1.	Questions	1-2 are	about	noisy	targets.
----	-----------	---------	-------	-------	----------

10 points

Consider the bin model for a hypothesis h that makes an error with probability  $\mu$  in approximating a deterministic target function f (both h and f outputs  $\{-1,+1\}$ ). If we use the same h to approximate a noisy version of f given by

$$P(\mathbf{x}, y) = P(\mathbf{x})P(y|\mathbf{x})$$

$$P(y|\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \lambda & y = f(\mathbf{x}) \\ 1 - \lambda & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

What is the probability of error that h makes in approximating the noisy target y?

- $\bigcap 1-\lambda$
- $\bigcirc u$
- $\lambda(1-\mu) + (1-\lambda)\mu$
- $\lambda \mu + (1 \lambda)(1 \mu)$
- none of the other choices
- 2. Following Question 1, with what value of  $\lambda$  will the performance of h be independent of  $\mu$ ?

10 points

- 0
- $\bigcirc$  1
- 0 or 1
- 0.5
- none of the other choices
- 3. Questions 3-5 are about generalization error, and getting the feel of the bounds numerically. Please use the simple upper bound  $N^{d_{\mathrm{vc}}}$  on the growth function  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ , assuming that  $N \geq 2$  and  $d_{vc} \geq 2$ .

10 points

For an  ${\cal H}$  with  $d_{\rm vc}=10$ , if you want 95% confidence that your generalization error is at most 0.05, what is the closest numerical approximation of the sample size that the VC generalization bound predicts?

	420,000	
	440,000	
	O 460,000	
	480,000	
	500,000	
4.	There are a number of bounds on the generalization error $\epsilon$ , all holding with probability at least $1-\delta$ . Fix $d_{\rm vc}=50$ and $\delta=0.05$ and plot these bounds as a function of $N$ . Which bound is the tightest (smallest) for very large $N$ , say $N=10,000$ ?	10 points
	Note that Devroye and Parrondo & Van den Broek are implicit bounds in $\epsilon.$	
	Original VC bound: $\epsilon \leq \sqrt{\frac{8}{N} \ln \frac{4m_{\mathcal{H}}(2N)}{\delta}}$	
	Rademacher Penalty Bound: $\epsilon \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(2Nm_{\mathcal{H}}(N))}{N}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} + \frac{1}{N}$	
	O Parrondo and Van den Broek: $\epsilon \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \left(2\epsilon + \ln \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(2N)}{\delta}\right)}$	
	Devroye: $\epsilon \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2N} \left(4\epsilon(1+\epsilon) + \ln \frac{4m_{\mathcal{H}}(N^2)}{\delta}\right)}$	
	O Variant VC bound: $\epsilon \leq \sqrt{\frac{16}{N} \ln \frac{2m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)}{\sqrt{\delta}}}$	
5.	Continuing from Question 4, for small $N$ , say $N=5$ , which bound is the tightest (smallest)?	10 points
	Original VC bound	
	Rademacher Penalty Bound	
	Parrondo and Van den Broek	
	O Devroye	
	Variant VC bound	

6. In Questions 6-11, you are asked to play with the growth function or VC-dimension of some hypothesis sets.

10 points

What is the growth function  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$  of "positive-and-negative intervals on  $\mathbb{R}$ "? The hypothesis set  $\mathcal{H}$  of "positive-and-negative intervals" contains the functions which are +1 within an interval  $[\ell,r]$  and -1 elsewhere, as well as the functions which are -1 within an interval  $[\ell,r]$  and +1 elsewhere.

For instance, the hypothesis  $h_1(x)=\mathrm{sign}(x(x-4))$  is a negative interval with -1 within [0,4] and +1 elsewhere, and hence belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ . The hypothesis  $h_2(x)=\mathrm{sign}((x+1)(x)(x-1))$  contains two positive intervals in [-1,0] and  $[1,\infty)$  and hence does not belong to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

- $N^2 N + 2$
- $\bigcap N^2$
- $N^2 + 1$
- none of the other choices.
- $N^2 + N + 2$
- 7. Continuing from the previous problem, what is the VC-dimension of the hypothesis set of "positive-and-negative intervals on  $\mathbb{R}$ "?

10 points

- $\bigcirc$  3
- ( ) 4
- $\bigcirc$  5
- $\bigcirc$   $\propto$
- $\bigcirc$  2
- 8. What is the growth function  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$  of "positive donuts in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ "?

10 points

The hypothesis set  $\mathcal H$  of "positive donuts" contains hypotheses formed by two concentric circles centered at the origin. In particular, each hypothesis is +1 within a "donut" region of  $a^2 \leq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq b^2$  and -1 elsewhere. Without loss of generality, we assume  $0 < a < b < \infty$ .

- $\bigcirc N+1$
- $\binom{N+1}{2} + 1$
- $\binom{N+1}{3} + 1$
- none of the other choices.
- $\binom{N}{2} + 1$

9. Consider the "polynomial discriminant" hypothesis set of degree D on  $\mathbb R$ , which is given by

10 points

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ h_{\mathbf{c}} \middle| h_{\mathbf{c}}(x) = \operatorname{sign} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{D} c_{i} x^{i} \right) \right\}$$

What is the VC-dimension of such an  $\mathcal{H}$ ?

- $\bigcirc D$
- $\bigcirc D+1$
- $\bigcirc$   $\infty$
- none of the other choices.
- $\bigcirc D+2$
- 10. Consider the "simplified decision trees" hypothesis set on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  , which is given by

10 points

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{S}} \mid h_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{x}) = 2[[\mathbf{v} \in S]] - 1, \text{ where } v_i = [[x_i > t_i]],$$
  
**S** a collection of vectors in  $\{0,1\}^d, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

That is, each hypothesis makes a prediction by first using the d thresholds  $t_i$  to locate  $\mathbf{x}$  to be within one of the  $2^d$  hyper-rectangular regions, and looking up  $\mathbf{S}$  to decide whether the region should be +1 or -1.

What is the VC-dimension of the "simplified decision trees" hypothesis set?

- $\bigcirc$  2<sup>d</sup>
- $2^{d+1}-3$
- $\infty$
- one of the other choices.
- $\bigcirc$  2<sup>d+1</sup>
- 11. Consider the "triangle waves" hypothesis set on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is given by

10 points

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ h_{\alpha} \mid h_{\alpha}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(|(\alpha x) \bmod 4 - 2| - 1), \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Here  $(z \mod 4)$  is a number z-4k for some integer k such that  $z-4k \in [0,4)$ . For instance,  $(11.26 \mod 4)$  is 3.26, and  $(-11.26 \mod 4)$  is 0.74. What is the VC-dimension of such an  $\mathcal{H}$ ?

	$\bigcirc$ 1	
	O 2	
	$\bigcirc$ $\infty$	
	one of the other choices.	
12.	In Questions 12-15, you are asked to verify some properties or bounds on the growth function and VC-dimension.	10 points
	Which of the following is an upper bounds of the growth function $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ for $N \geq d_{vc} \geq 2$ ?	
	$\bigcap m_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor\right)$	
	$\bigcirc \ 2^{d_{vc}}$	
	$\bigcap_{1 \le i \le N-1} 2^i m_{\mathcal{H}}(N-i)$	
	$igcup \sqrt{N^{d_{vc}}}$	
	one of the other choices	
13.	Which of the following is not a possible growth functions $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ for some hypothesis set?	10 points
	$\bigcirc 2^N$	
	$\bigcirc \ 2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N}   floor}$	
	O 1	
	$ N^2 - N + 2 $	
	one of the other choices	
14.	For hypothesis sets $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_K$ with finite, positive VC-dimensions $d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ , some of the following bounds are correct and some are not.	10 points
	Which among the correct ones is the tightest bound on $d_{vc}(\bigcap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k)$ , the VC-dimension of the intersection of the sets?	
	(The VC-dimension of an empty set or a singleton set is taken as zero.)	

$$\bigcirc 0 \le d_{vc}(\bigcap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \le \sum_{k=1}^K d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

$$\bigcirc 0 \le d_{vc}(\bigcap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \le \min\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K$$

$$\bigcirc 0 \le d_{vc}(\bigcap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \le \max\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K$$

$$\bigcap \min\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K \le d_{vc}(\bigcap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \le \max\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K$$

$$\bigcirc \min\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K \leq d_{vc}(\bigcap_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^K d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

15. For hypothesis sets  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_K$  with finite, positive VC-dimensions  $d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ , some of the following bounds are correct and some are not.

10 points

Which among the correct ones is the tightest bound on  $d_{vc}(\bigcup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k)$ , the VC-dimension of the union of the sets?

$$\bigcirc 0 \le d_{vc}(\bigcup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \le K - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^K d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

$$\bigcirc \min\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K \leq d_{vc}(\bigcup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^K d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

$$\bigcap \max\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K \leq d_{vc}(\bigcup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^K d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

$$\bigcap \max\{d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)\}_{k=1}^K \le d_{vc}(\bigcup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \le K - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^K d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

$$\bigcirc 0 \le d_{vc}(\bigcup_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_k) \le \sum_{k=1}^K d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

16. For Questions 16-20, you will play with the decision stump algorithm.

10 points

In class, we taught about the learning model of "positive and negative rays" (which is simply one-dimensional perceptron) for one-dimensional data. The model contains hypotheses of the form:

$$h_{s,\theta}(x) = s \cdot \operatorname{sign}(x - \theta).$$

The model is frequently named the "decision stump" model and is one of the simplest learning models. As shown in class, for one-dimensional data, the VC dimension of the decision stump model is 2.

In fact, the decision stump model is one of the few models that we could easily minimize  $E_{in}$  efficiently by enumerating all possible thresholds. In particular, for N examples, there are at most 2N dichotomies (see page 22 of lecture 5 slides), and thus at most 2N different  $E_{in}$  values. We can then easily choose the dichotomy that leads to the lowest  $E_{in}$ , where ties an be broken by randomly choosing among

the lowest  $E_{in}$  ones. The chosen dichotomy stands for a combination of some "spot" (range of  $\theta$ ) and s, and commonly the median of the range is chosen as the  $\theta$  that realizes the dichotomy.

In this problem, you are asked to implement such and algorithm and run your program on an artificial data set. First of all, start by generating a one-dimensional data by the procedure below:

- (a) Generate x by a uniform distribution in [-1, 1].
- (b) Generate y by  $f(x)=\widetilde{s}(x)$  + noise where  $\widetilde{s}(x)=\mathrm{sign}(x)$  and the noise flips the result with 20% probability.

For any decision stump  $h_{s,\theta}$  with  $\theta \in [-1,1]$ , express  $E_{out}(h_{s,\theta})$  as a function of  $\theta$  and s.

- $0.3 + 0.5s(|\theta| 1)$
- $0.3 + 0.5s(1 |\theta|)$
- $0.5 + 0.3s(|\theta| 1)$
- $0.5 + 0.3s(1 |\theta|)$
- none of the other choices
- 17. Generate a data set of size 20 by the procedure above and run the one-dimensional decision stump algorithm on the data set. Record  $E_{in}$  and compute  $E_{out}$  with the formula above. Repeat the experiment (including data generation, running the decision stump algorithm, and computing  $E_{in}$  and  $E_{out}$ ) 5,000 times. What is the average  $E_{in}$ ? Please choose the closest option.

10 points

- 0.05
- 0.15
- 0.25
- 0.35
- 0.45
- 18. Continuing from the previous question, what is the average  $E_{\it out}$ ? Please choose the closest option.

10 points

- 0.05
- 0.15

	0.25	
	0.35	
	0.45	
19.	Decision stumps can also work for multi-dimensional data. In particular, each	10 points
	decision stump now deals with a specific dimension $\emph{i}$ , as shown below.	
	$h_{s,i,\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = s \cdot \operatorname{sign}(x_i - \theta).$	
	Implement the following decision stump algorithm for multi-dimensional data:	
	a) for each dimension $i=1,2,\cdots,d$ , find the best decision stump $h_{s,i,\theta}$ using the one-dimensional decision stump algorithm that you have just implemented.	
	b) return the "best of best" decision stump in terms of $E_{\it in}$ . If there is a tie , please randomly choose among the lowest- $E_{\it in}$ ones	
	The training data $\mathcal{D}_{train}$ is available at:	
	https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/mooc/datasets/mlfound_math/hw2_train.dat	
	The testing data $\mathcal{D}_{test}$ is available at:	
	https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/mooc/datasets/mlfound_math/hw2_test.dat	
	Run the algorithm on the $\mathcal{D}_{train}$ . Report the $E_{\rm in}$ of the optimal decision stump returned by your program. Choose the closest option.	
	0.05	
	0.15	
	0.25	

0.35

0.45

Report an estimate of $E_{ m out}$ by $E_{ m test}.$ Please choose the closest option.
0.05
0.15
0.25
0.35
0.45