

Derivation for Marker Code

Yiliang Wan

1 Overview

The problem is to reconstruct the template sequence $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, \dots, t_N\} \in \Sigma^N$, given a set of prior probabilities for each template symbol $\mathbf{t}_p = \{p(t_1), \dots, p(t_N)\}$ and a known sample sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_1, \dots, s_M\} \in \Sigma^M$, where Σ is the alphabet.

In this derivation, we adopt the simple assumption that the probability of each $A \in \Sigma$ is equivalent if there is no other information. Also, the insertion rate *ins_p*, deletion rate *del_p* and substitution rate *sub_p* are known.

To make the following derivation more concise, we first introduce a shorthand:

$$\text{Let } p(A | B, \mathbf{t}_p) := \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{t})} [p(A | B, \mathbf{t})] = \sum_{\mathbf{t}} p(A | B, \mathbf{t}) p(\mathbf{t})$$

To do the reconstruction, we use HMM (Hidden Markov Model), with π_k as the latent variables, which represent the alignment states between certain s_i and t_j . There are three kinds of alignment states:

- **Match** denoted by $\pi^M(i, j)$, which means that s_i and t_j are aligned (including substitution).
- **Insertion** denoted by $\pi^I(i, j)$, which means that s_i is an insertion not being aligned to any symbol in \mathbf{t} , with the last aligned or deleted symbol in \mathbf{t} being t_j .
- **Deletion** denoted by $\pi^D(i, j)$, which means there is a deletion for t_j , with the last aligned or inserted symbol in \mathbf{s} being s_i .

All the alignment states will together form an alignment path $\mathbf{\Pi} = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_K\}$, where K is uncertain because there are insertions and deletions.

For convenience, we further define the start symbols s_0 and t_0 for the sample and the template, respectively, and consider that they are always matched, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\pi^M(0, 0)) &= 1 \\ p(\pi^I(0, 0)) &= 0 \\ p(\pi^D(0, 0)) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In general, there are two steps to decode the marker code. First, calculate $p(\pi^S(i, j) | \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p)$ for all $S \in \{M, I, D\}$, $i = 0, \dots, M$ and $j = 0, \dots, N$, which constitute the belief of the alignment path $p(\mathbf{\Pi} | \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p)$. This belief is later used for inferring the posterior of each t_j by marginalizing all the possible paths

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}(t_j | s) &= \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{\Pi} | \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p)} [p(t_j, \mathbf{\Pi})] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^N p(t_j | \pi^M(i, j)) p(\pi^M(i, j) | \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p) + p(t_j | \pi^D(i, j)) p(\pi^D(i, j) | \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

2 Transition Probability

Here we use a first order HMM, and the transition probability p_{trans} is the conditional probabilities of an alignment state given the previous alignment state

$$p_{trans} = p(\pi_k | \pi_{k-1}) \tag{3}$$

p_{trans} can be represented by a 3×3 matrix T . For example, T_{MI} is the probability of a match following an insertion.

For most of the transitions, we can use a consistent T which is calculated according to the insertion rate and deletion rate of the system. However, the last symbols s_M and t_N should be taken care of. For all $S \in \{M, I, D\}$, we have the following conditional probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\pi^M(M, j) | \pi^S(M, j-1)) &= 0 \\
p(\pi^I(M, j) | \pi^S(M, j-1)) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N-1 \\
p(\pi^D(M, j) | \pi^S(M, j-1)) &= 1
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\pi^M(i, N) | \pi^S(i-1, N)) &= 0 \\
p(\pi^I(i, N) | \pi^S(i-1, N)) &= 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, M-1 \\
p(\pi^D(i, N) | \pi^S(i-1, N)) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

3 Emission Probability

The emission probabilities p_{emis} are the conditional probabilities of a particular sample symbol s_m given the corresponding alignment state and the template's prior \mathbf{t}_p

$$p_{emis}^{(S,n)}(s_m) = p(s_m | \pi^S(m, n), \mathbf{t}_p) \tag{6}$$

We only consider the simple condition of that the prior For a match, we have

$$\begin{cases} p_{emis}^{(M,j)}(s_m) = 1/|\Sigma| & \text{if } t_j \text{ is not a marker,} \\ p_{emis}^{(M,j)}(s_m) = 1 - sub_p & \text{if } t_j \text{ is a marker and } t_j = s_m, \\ p_{emis}^{(M,j)}(s_m) = sub_p/(1 - |\Sigma|) & \text{if } t_j \text{ is a marker and } t_j \neq s_m. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Here is where the markers provide synchronization information to the decoding process. For an insertion, we have

$$p_{emis}^{(I,j)}(s_m) = p_{emis}^I(s_m) = 1/|\Sigma| \tag{8}$$

since no relevant information is available. The deletion states will always emit a dummy symbol in \mathbf{s} , so the emission rate is always 1

$$p_{emis}^{(D,j)}(s_m) = p_{emis}^D(s_m) = 1 \tag{9}$$

4 Belief of Alignment Path

We calculate all possible $p(\pi^S(i, j) | \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p)$ with forward-backward algorithm.

4.1 Forward Message

The forward messages are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
f^M(i, j) &= P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^M(i, j) | \mathbf{t}_p) \\
f^I(i, j) &= P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^I(i, j) | \mathbf{t}_p) \\
f^D(i, j) &= P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^D(i, j) | \mathbf{t}_p)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We will first examine $f^M(i, j)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
f^M(i, j) &= P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^M(i, j) | \mathbf{t}_p) = \sum_{\pi_{prev}} P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^M(i, j), \pi_{prev} | \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^M(i, j), \pi^M(i-1, j-1) | \mathbf{t}_p) + P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^M(i, j), \pi^I(i-1, j-1) | \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&\quad + P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^M(i, j), \pi^D(i-1, j-1) | \mathbf{t}_p)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The first term of (11) can be further decomposed with the conditional independent relationships in the HMM

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^M(i, j), \pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1} \mid s_i, \pi^M(i, j), \pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(s_i \mid \pi^M(i-1, j-1), \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&\quad \times P(\pi^M(i, j) \mid \pi^M(i-1, j-1), \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(\pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1} \mid \pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(s_i \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(\pi^M(i, j) \mid \pi^M(i-1, j-1)) \\
&\quad \times P(\pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1} \mid \pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(\pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(\pi^M(i, j) \mid \pi^M(i-1, j-1)) \\
&\quad \times P(s_i \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, \pi^M(i-1, j-1) \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(\pi^M(i, j) \mid \pi^M(i-1, j-1)) \times P(s_i \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= f^M(i-1, j-1) \times T_{MM} \times p_{emis}^{(M,j)}(s_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Similar procedures can be applied to the second and the third term of (11)

$$P(\pi^I(i-1, j-1), \pi^M(i, j), s_1, \dots, s_i \mid \mathbf{t}_p) = f^I(i-1, j-1) \times T_{IM} \times p_{emis}^{(M,j)}(s_i) \tag{13}$$

$$P(\pi^D(i-1, j-1), \pi^M(i, j), s_1, \dots, s_i \mid \mathbf{t}_p) = f^D(i-1, j-1) \times T_{DM} \times p_{emis}^{(M,j)}(s_i) \tag{14}$$

Put (12) (13) and (14) into (11), and we can obtain the recursion of f^M

$$f^M(i, j) = (f^M(i-1, j-1) \times T_{MM} + f^I(i-1, j-1) \times T_{IM} + f^D(i-1, j-1) \times T_{DM}) \times p_{emis}^{(M,j)}(s_i) \tag{15}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the recursion formula of f^I and f^D

$$\begin{aligned}
f^I(i, j) &= \sum_{\pi_{prev}} P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^I(i, j), \pi_{prev} \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= (f^M(i-1, j) \times T_{MI} + f^I(i-1, j) \times T_{II} + f^D(i-1, j) \times T_{DI}) \times p_{emis}^I(s_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f^D(i, j) &= \sum_{\pi_{prev}} P(s_1, \dots, s_i, \pi^D(i, j), \pi_{prev} \mid \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= f^M(i, j-1) \times T_{MD} + f^I(i, j-1) \times T_{ID} + f^D(i, j-1) \times T_{DD}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

4.2 Backward Messages

The forward messages are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
b^M(i, j) &= P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
b^I(i, j) &= P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M \mid \pi^I(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
b^D(i, j) &= P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M \mid \pi^D(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Similarly, we will first examine $b^M(i, j)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
b^M(i, j) &= P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) = \sum_{\pi_{next}} P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M, \pi_{next} \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M, \pi^M(i+1, j+1) \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) + P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M, \pi^I(i+1, j) \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&\quad + P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M, \pi^D(i, j+1) \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p)
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$b^M(i, j)$ is the sum of three terms which correspond to three possible next states. The first term of (19) can be further decomposed

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M, \pi^M(i+1, j+1) \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_{i+2}, \dots, s_M \mid s_{i+1}, \pi^M(i+1, j+1), \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(s_{i+1}, \pi^M(i+1, j+1) \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_{i+2}, \dots, s_M \mid s_{i+1}, \pi^M(i+1, j+1), \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(s_{i+1} \mid \pi^M(i+1, j+1) \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&\quad \times P(\pi^M(i+1, j+1) \mid \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) \\
&= P(s_{i+2}, \dots, s_M \mid \pi^M(i+1, j+1), \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(s_{i+1} \mid \pi^M(i+1, j+1), \mathbf{t}_p) \times P(\pi^M(i+1, j+1) \mid \pi^M(i, j)) \\
&= b^M(i+1, j+1) \times p_{emis}^{(M,j+1)}(s_{i+1}) \times T_{MM}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Similar procedures can be applied to the second and the third term of (19)

$$P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M, \pi^I(i+1, j) | \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) = b^I(i+1, j) \times p_{emis}^I(s_{i+1}) \times T_{MI} \quad (21)$$

$$P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M, \pi^D(i, j+1) | \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p) = b^D(i, j+1) \times T_{MD} \quad (22)$$

Put (20) (21) and (22) into (19), and we can obtain the recursion of b^M

$$b^M(i, j) = b^M(i+1, j+1) \times p_{emis}^{(M, j+1)}(s_{i+1}) \times T_{MM} + b^I(i+1, j) \times p_{emis}^I(s_{i+1}) \times T_{MI} + b^D(i, j+1) \times T_{MD} \quad (23)$$

Similarly, we can obtain the recursion formula of b^I and b^D

$$b^I(i, j) = b^M(i+1, j+1) \times p_{emis}^{(M, j+1)}(s_{i+1}) \times T_{IM} + b^I(i+1, j) \times p_{emis}^I(s_{i+1}) \times T_{II} + b^D(i, j+1) \times T_{ID} \quad (24)$$

$$b^D(i, j) = b^M(i+1, j+1) \times p_{emis}^{(M, j+1)}(s_{i+1}) \times T_{DM} + b^I(i+1, j) \times p_{emis}^I(s_{i+1}) \times T_{DI} + b^D(i, j+1) \times T_{DD} \quad (25)$$

4.3 Scaling Factors

To prevent underflowing, we define scaled message based on the forward and backward messages. The scaled forward messages are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}^M(i, j) &= P(\pi^M(i, j) | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p) = \frac{f^M(i, j)}{p(s_1, \dots, s_i | \mathbf{t}_p)} \\ \hat{f}^I(i, j) &= P(\pi^I(i, j) | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p) = \frac{f^I(i, j)}{p(s_1, \dots, s_i | \mathbf{t}_p)} \\ \hat{f}^D(i, j) &= P(\pi^D(i, j) | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p) = \frac{f^D(i, j)}{p(s_1, \dots, s_i | \mathbf{t}_p)} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Correspondingly, we can define scaled backward messages

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}^M(i, j) &= \frac{P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | \pi^M(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p)}{p(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p)} = \frac{b^M(i, j)}{p(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p)} \\ \hat{b}^I(i, j) &= \frac{P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | \pi^I(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p)}{p(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p)} = \frac{b^I(i, j)}{p(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p)} \\ \hat{b}^D(i, j) &= \frac{P(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | \pi^D(i, j), \mathbf{t}_p)}{p(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p)} = \frac{b^D(i, j)}{p(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M | s_1, \dots, s_i, \mathbf{t}_p)} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The scaling factors are

$$c_i = P(s_i | s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, \mathbf{t}_p) \quad (28)$$

and we can get (29) with the chain rule.

$$P(s_1, \dots, s_m | \mathbf{t}_p) = \prod_{i=1}^m c_i \quad (29)$$

The scaled version of (15), (16) and (17) can be derived

$$c_i \hat{f}^M(i, j) = (\hat{f}^M(i-1, j-1) \times T_{MM} + \hat{f}^I(i-1, j-1) \times T_{IM} + \hat{f}^D(i-1, j-1) \times T_{DM}) \times p_{emis}^{(M, j)}(s_i) \quad (30)$$

$$c_i \hat{f}^I(i, j) = (\hat{f}^M(i-1, j) \times T_{MI} + \hat{f}^I(i-1, j) \times T_{II} + \hat{f}^D(i-1, j) \times T_{DI}) \times p_{emis}^I(s_i) \quad (31)$$

$$\hat{f}^D(i, j) = \hat{f}^M((i, j-1) \times T_{MD} + \hat{f}^I((i, j-1) \times T_{ID} + \hat{f}^D((i, j-1) \times T_{DD} \quad (32)$$

Since the sum of the probabilities for all possible paths is 1, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^N (\pi^M(i, j) + \pi^I(i, j)) = 1 \quad (33)$$

Similarly, we also have (34) because it is also the case for a conditional probability.

$$\sum_{j=0}^N \left(\hat{f}^M(i, j) + \hat{f}^I(i, j) \right) = 1 \quad (34)$$

So, if we denote the right sides of (30) and (31) as $\tilde{f}^M(i, j)$ and $\tilde{f}^I(i, j)$, respectively, we can calculate c_i with

$$c_i = \sum_{j=0}^N \left(\tilde{f}^M(i, j) + \tilde{f}^I(i, j) \right) \quad (35)$$

Similarly, we can get the scaled version of (22), (23) and (24)

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i+1} \hat{b}^M(i, j) &= \hat{b}^M(i+1, j+1) \times p_{emis}^{(M, j+1)}(s_{i+1}) \times T_{MM} + \hat{b}^I(i+1, j) \times p_{emis}^I(s_{i+1}) \times T_{MI} \\ &\quad + c_{i+1} \times \hat{b}^D(i, j+1) \times T_{MD} \\ c_{i+1} \hat{b}^I(i, j) &= \hat{b}^M(i+1, j+1) \times p_{emis}^{(M, j+1)}(s_{i+1}) \times T_{IM} + \hat{b}^I(i+1, j) \times p_{emis}^I(s_{i+1}) \times T_{II} \\ &\quad + c_{i+1} \times \hat{b}^D(i, j+1) \times T_{ID} \\ c_{i+1} \hat{b}^D(i, j) &= \hat{b}^M(i+1, j+1) \times p_{emis}^{(M, j+1)}(s_{i+1}) \times T_{DM} + \hat{b}^I(i+1, j) \times p_{emis}^I(s_{i+1}) \times T_{DI} \\ &\quad + c_{i+1} \times \hat{b}^D(i, j+1) \times T_{DD} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

It is not difficult to verify that the probabilities of all alignments can be calculated with corresponding scaled forward and backward messages

$$p(\pi^S(i, j) \mid \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p) = \hat{f}^S(i, j) \hat{b}^S(i, j) \quad (37)$$

4.4 Boundary Condition

For all invalid i and j , we set $p(\pi^S(i, j) \mid \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}_p)$ to 0.

For the forward messages, we can initialize the recursion with (1) and $c[0] = 1$.

For backward message passing, we initialize the last row with

$$\hat{b}^S(M, j) = 1 \quad \text{for all } S \in \{M, I, D\} \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, N \quad (38)$$

which can be verified by replacing the definition of $\hat{f}^S(M, j)$ into (37).

Also, the message passing on the boundary should be adapted according to (4) and (5).