

BACHELORARBEIT

Growth, order and zeros of entire functions

ausgeführt am

Institut für Analysis und Scientific Computing TU Wien

unter der Anleitung von

Ao.Univ.Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Harald Woracek

durch

Ian Hornik

Matrikelnummer: 12115564 Haizingergasse 18/4 1180 Wien

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Order	2
3	Factorization	3
4	Zeros	4
5	Composition	6
Bi	bliography	7

1 Introduction

Some words why the subject is of interest.

A reference to the primary literature used.

Overview of used notation.

2 Order

Definition 2.1. Let f be an entire function. The *order* of f is defined by

$$\rho \coloneqq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r},$$

where $M(r) := \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$. Constant functions, by convention, have order 0.

Remark 2.2. Initial explanation and intuition of the order. Make sure to note the possible values of the order $(0 \le \rho \le \infty)$. And that ρ can also be seen as the infimum over all ρ that satisfy $|f(z)| \le Ae^{B|z|^{\rho}}$ for suitable A, B > 0.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an entire function. Then f is of finite order ρ if and only if

$$\mu := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log \frac{1}{|a_n|}} < \infty,$$

where we take the quotient to be zero if $a_n = 0$. In either case we have $\rho = \mu$.

Proof. TODO.

Example 2.4. Some examples for functions of specific order. Specifically order 0 (polynomials, rapidly convering sum), order 1 (exp, sin), arbitrary order ρ and order ∞ .

Proposition 2.5. Let f, g be entire functions of respective finite orders ρ_f, ρ_g . Then it holds that

- i. f + g has order at most $\max\{\rho_f, \rho_g\}$.
- ii. fg has order at most $\max\{\rho_f, \rho_g\}$.
- iii. f' has order ρ_f .

Proof. TODO.

3 Factorization

Short introduction.

Do I need a citation for this?

Theorem 3.1 (Weierstrass). Let $(z_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{C} without accumulation points. Then there exists an entire function P (called the Weierstrass canonical product formed from said sequence) that has zeros precisely at $z_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, with multiplicities equal to how often z_j occurs in the sequence.

Furthermore, if f is any other entire function satisfying the above, then there exists an entire function g such that

$$f = e^g P$$
.

Short remark on how Hadamard refines Weierstrass (for functions of finite order).

Lemma 3.2 (Borel-Carathéodory). Let f be analytic in cl(B(0,R)) and let

$$M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|, \quad A(r) = \max_{|z|=r} \Re f(z).$$

Then, for 0 < r < R,

$$M(r) \le \frac{2r}{R-r}A(R) + \frac{R+r}{R-r}|f(0)|$$

and, if additionally $A(R) \geq 0$, then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\max_{|z|=r} |f^{(n)}(z)| \le \frac{2^{n+2} n! R}{(R-r)^{n+1}} (A(R) + |f(0)|).$$

Proof. TODO.

Theorem 3.3 (Hadamard). Let f be an entire function of finite order ρ with zeros $(z_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $f(0) \neq 0$. Then there exists a polynomial Q with $\deg Q \leq \rho$, such that

$$f = e^Q P$$
.

where P is the Weierstrass canonical product formed from the zeros of f.

Proof. TODO.

4 Zeros

Maybe Jensen? Not sure if I need it earlier. Anyhow, include the equivalent version using the zero counting function.

Definition 4.1. Let f be an entire function satisfying $f(0) \neq 0$. Let $(r_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote the moduli of the zeros of f (if any) arranged in non-decreasing order. Then

$$\rho_1 := \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_n^{\alpha}} < \infty\}$$

is called the exponent of convergence of the zeros of f. If f has finitely many zeros, then we set $\rho_1 = 0$ by convention. I am not sure about this – the paper only establishes this convention if f has no zeros at all.

Furthermore, the exponent of convergence of the a-points of f is defined as exponent of convergence of f(z) - a.

Theorem 4.2. Let f be an entire function of finite order ρ and exponent of convergence of zeros ρ_1 . Then $\rho_1 \leq \rho$.

Example 4.3. An example of a series where we see some convergence for some appropriate function using the above.

Theorem 4.4. Let P be a Weierstrass canonical product of finite order ρ and exponent of convergence of zeros ρ_1 . Then $\rho_1 = \rho$.

Theorem 4.5. Let f be an entire function of finite order ρ and exponent of convergence of zeros ρ_1 . If ρ is not an integer, then $\rho = \rho_1$.

Theorem 4.6. Let f be an entire function of finite, non-integer order. Then f has infinitely many zeros.

Proof. TODO.

Maybe introduce Borel exceptional values as a definition? But then again, I will never need them again. Maybe also add a remark on the relation to lacunary values (Picard).

Theorem 4.7 (Borel). Existence of Borel exceptional values.

Proof. TODO.

5 Composition

Theorem 5.1 (Pólya). Let g, h be entire. For the order of $g \circ h$ to be finite, it must hold that either

- i. h is a polynomial and g of finite order, or
- ii. h is of finite order, not a polynomial, and g is of order zero.

Proof. TODO.

Theorem 5.2. Let g be an entire function of finite order, not a polynomial, which takes some value w only finitely often. Suppose further that there exists f such that $f \circ f = g$. Then f is not entire.

Proof. TODO.

Example 5.3. The example with $f(f(z)) = e^z$.

Bibliography

[1] S. L. Segal. Nine introductions in complex analysis, volume 208 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, revised edition, 2008.