DETERMINING NOISE FROM DETERMINSTIC FORCES

Consider the following deterministic equations

$$(1) dX_{\alpha} = V_{\alpha}dt$$

and

(2)
$$dV_{\alpha} = -U_{\alpha}dt - \nabla\phi(\mathbf{X})dt.$$

We assume that U_{α} are generated by hydrodynamic interactions which do not however affect the equilibrium Gibbs Boltzmann distribution which is

(3)
$$P_{eq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{\bar{Z}} \exp(-\frac{\beta \mathbf{V}^2}{2} - \beta \phi(\mathbf{X}))$$

The Fokker Planck equation at finite temperature which introduces white noise and possibly temperature dependent drifts is $\phi(\mathbf{X})$ is

(4)
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial V_{\alpha}} \left[T \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial P}{\partial V_{\beta}} + U_{\alpha} P + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_{\alpha}} P \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\alpha}} V_{\alpha} P$$

We obtain the GB distribution for the steady state if

$$(5) U_{\alpha} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} V_{\beta}$$

We have for small velocities that

(6)
$$U_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{X})V_{\beta} + \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma}V_{\beta}V_{\gamma},$$

and so we find

(7)
$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta}V_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{X})V_{\beta} + \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{X})V_{\beta}V_{\gamma}$$

Written this way the term $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{X})$ is just the friction tensor in the absence of any elastic effects. We can thus write

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha\beta} + \gamma_{2\alpha\beta}$$

and we write

(9)
$$\gamma_{2\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} V_{\gamma}$$

and

(10)
$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}V_{\beta}V_{\gamma} = \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma}V_{\beta}V_{\gamma},$$

where we without loss of generality take $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma\beta}$ This then gives

(11)
$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma\beta} = 2\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

We have to solve this system with the constraint that $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}V_{\gamma}=\Gamma_{\beta\alpha\gamma}V_{\gamma}$. In Thomas' problem we have

(12)
$$U_z = \xi \frac{V_z}{Z^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{21\kappa\xi}{4} \frac{V_z^2}{Z^{\frac{9}{2}}} - \frac{\kappa\xi}{4} \frac{V_x^2}{Z^{\frac{7}{2}}}$$

and

(13)
$$U_{x} = 2\xi \epsilon \frac{V_{x}}{3Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{19\kappa\xi\epsilon}{24} \frac{V_{z}V_{x}}{Z^{\frac{7}{2}}}$$

Form this we find that

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{z\alpha\beta} V_{\alpha} V_{\beta} = \frac{21\kappa\xi}{4} \frac{V_{z}^{2}}{Z^{\frac{9}{2}}} - \frac{\kappa\xi}{4} \frac{V_{x}^{2}}{Z^{\frac{7}{2}}}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{x\alpha\beta} V_{\alpha} V_{\beta} = \frac{19\kappa\xi\epsilon}{24} \frac{V_{z} V_{x}}{Z^{\frac{7}{2}}}$$
(14)

This gives the set of equations

(15)
$$\Gamma_{zzz} = \frac{21\kappa\xi}{4Z^{\frac{9}{2}}}$$

(16)
$$\Gamma_{zxx} = -\frac{\kappa \xi}{4Z^{\frac{7}{2}}}$$

$$\Gamma_{zxz} + \Gamma_{zzx} = 0$$

$$\Gamma_{xzz} = 0$$

$$\Gamma_{xxx} = 0$$

(20)
$$\Gamma_{xxz} + \Gamma_{xzx} = \frac{19\kappa\xi\epsilon}{24Z_2^{\frac{7}{2}}}.$$

The symmetry $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \Gamma_{\beta\alpha\gamma}$ now gives

(21)
$$\Gamma_{xxz} = \frac{19\kappa\xi\epsilon}{24Z_{\overline{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}}} - \Gamma_{xzx} = \frac{19\kappa\xi\epsilon}{24Z_{\overline{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}}} - \Gamma_{zxx} = \frac{\kappa\xi}{Z_{\overline{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}}} (\frac{19\epsilon}{24} + \frac{1}{4}),$$

as well as

(22)
$$\Gamma_{zxz} = \Gamma_{zzx} = 0$$

The Langevin equation corresponding to this is, using the Ito convention,

(23)
$$\frac{dV_{\alpha}}{dt} = -U_{\alpha} - \frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{X})}{\partial X_{\alpha}} + T \frac{\partial \gamma_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial V_{\beta}} + \eta_{\alpha}(t)$$

which can be written as

(24)
$$\frac{dV_{\alpha}}{dt} = -U_{\alpha} - \frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{X})}{\partial X_{\alpha}} + T\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\beta} + \eta_{\alpha}(t),$$

where we use the Einstein summation convention and the noise correlator is given by

(25)
$$\langle \eta_{\alpha}(t)\eta_{\beta}(t')\rangle = 2T\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\delta(t-t') = 2T[\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{X}) + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{X})V_{\gamma})]\delta(t-t').$$

Putting this together we find

$$\frac{dV_z}{dt} = -V'(Z) - \xi \frac{V_z}{Z_2^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{21\kappa\xi}{4} \frac{V_z^2}{Z_2^{\frac{9}{2}}} + \frac{\kappa\xi}{4} \frac{V_x^2}{Z_2^{\frac{7}{2}}} + T[\frac{21\kappa\xi}{4Z_2^{\frac{9}{2}}} - \frac{\kappa\xi}{4Z_2^{\frac{7}{2}}}] + \eta_z(t)$$
(26)
$$\frac{dV_x}{dt} = -2\xi\epsilon \frac{V_x}{3Z_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{19\kappa\xi\epsilon}{24} \frac{V_zV_x}{Z_2^{\frac{7}{2}}} + \eta_x(t)$$

1. Extra acceleration terms

We have the general set of Newton equations equations

(27)
$$m_{\alpha}\dot{v}_{\alpha} = F_{h\alpha}(\mathbf{v}, \dot{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{x}) - \nabla_{\alpha}\phi(\mathbf{x})$$

with

$$\dot{x}_{\alpha} = v_{\alpha}.$$

The oddity here is that the hydrodynamic forces F_{α} (drag and lift) depend on the acceleration terms $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$, in fact one has

(29)
$$F_{h\alpha}(\mathbf{v}, \dot{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{x}) = F_{1h\alpha}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) + F_{2h\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x})\dot{v}_{\beta},$$

and the hydrodynamic force due to the acceleration is linear, and the matrix giving the force only depends on the position. The equations can thus be written as

(30)
$$M_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} = F_{1h\alpha}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) - \nabla_{\alpha} \phi(\mathbf{x})$$

where

(31)
$$M_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} m_{\alpha} - F_{2h\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}).$$

The explicit second order equations are thus

(32)
$$\dot{v}_{\alpha} = M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} [F_{1h\beta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) - \nabla_{\beta}\phi(\mathbf{x})],$$

We now assume that the effect of temperature is to introduce an anti-Ito noise white

(33)
$$\langle \eta_{\alpha}(t)\eta_{\beta}(t')\rangle = 2T\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\delta(t-t')$$

and a drift TU_{α} . This is general as it encompasses all possible prescriptions of stochastic calculs. We thus have

(34)
$$\dot{v}_{\alpha} = M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} [F_{1h\beta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) - \nabla_{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x})] + TU_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha}.$$

This now gives the Fokker-Planck equation

(35)
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\alpha}} [T\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\alpha}} P - [M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} [F_{1h\beta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) - \nabla_{\beta}\phi(\mathbf{x})] - TU_{\alpha}]P] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} [v_{\alpha}P].$$

The steady state of this equation must be the GB distribution

(36)
$$P_0 = \exp(-\beta \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}^2}{2} - \beta \phi(\mathbf{x})).$$

This must work for all ϕ and so one must have that

(37)
$$\beta v_{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \phi P + \nabla_{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\alpha}} [M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} P] = 0$$

and so

(38)
$$\beta v_{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \phi - \beta \nabla_{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x}) M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} m_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} + \nabla_{\beta} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\alpha}} M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} = 0.$$

However $M_{\alpha\beta}$ is independent of ${\bf v}$ in the case studied here and so the GB distribution cannot be recovered unless

(39)
$$M_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} m_{\alpha}.$$