13 Parsing

Exercise 13.1 (Warm-up: Monads and Functors, LiftM.hs).

Given a function $f :: a \to b$ and an object mx :: m a, where m is an instance of Monad (i.e. mx is a monad holding a value of type a), the function liftM (in the module Control.Monad) applies the function f on the value inside the monad. Since every monad is also an applicative functor, it can be implemented using f map:

```
liftM :: (Monad m) \Rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow m a \rightarrow m b
liftM f mx = fmap f mx
or, equivalently, since fmap = (<$>):
liftM f mx = f <$> mx
```

Define liftM using only monadic operations, i.e. pure, (>>=) and/or *do-notation*. Test your function on couple of simple examples:

```
>>> liftM (+1) (Just 5)
Just 6
>>> liftM (*2) [1..10]
[2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20]
>>> liftM ("Hello, "++) getLine
Dave
"Hello, Dave"
```

(Practical note: you may encounter liftMin Haskell code written before 2018, but it has no advantage over fmap or \ll nowadays. Still, it is good to know how fmap relates to (\gg) and pure.)

Exercise 13.2 (Warm-up: Questions about parsing, Trivia.hs).

Parser combinators can be thought of as describing a tiny new language for describing grammars, fully implemented using Haskell operators. This idea is more generally called an *embedded domain specific language*. When developing grammars using this embedded language, problems can of course arise. Try to answer the following questions by reasoning in terms of the *grammar*, instead of the actual implementation of the Haskell functions:

1. Given this definition:

```
dot :: Parser Char
dot = char '.'
```

Explain the output of:

```
>>> parse dot ""
>>> parse dot "."
>>> parse dot ".."
>>> parse dot "...etc"
```

2. Changing dot above in many dot (which is a Parser [Char]), explain the output of:

```
>>> parse (many dot) ""
>>> parse (many dot) "."
>>> parse (many dot) ".."
>>> parse (many dot) "...etc"
```

- 3. Why does using many (many dot) as a parser cause an infinite loop?
- 4. Instead of many dot, we can also use the equivalent parser:

```
dots = (++) <$> many dot \langle * \rangle many dot
```

How many dots are being parsed by the first invocation of many dot, and how many by the second? Check your answer! (*Tip: change (++) in (\x y \rightarrow (x,y)) to be able to examine the individual components*)

Exercise 13.3 (Warm-up: Defining parser combinators, Parser.hs).

We can of course add many more parser combinators to the ones shown during the lecture. Here a few suggestions; try to implement them.

1. space1 :: Parser (), which like space consumes white space, except that space1 expects at least one space character:

```
>>> parse space ""
Just ((), "")
>>> parse space " "
Just ((), "")
>>> parse space1 ""
Nothing
>>> parse space1 " "
Just ((), "")
```

2. endBy :: Parser $a \rightarrow Parser b \rightarrow Parser [a]$; which is similar to sepBy, except that the second argument is a *terminator* instead of a *separator*. E.g.:

```
>>> parse (integer 'sepBy' symbol ",") "1,2,3,4,5,"
Just ([1,2,3,4,5],",")
>>> parse (integer 'endBy' symbol ";") "1;2;3;4;5;"
Just ([1,2,3,4,5],"")
```

- 3. atMost :: Int → Parser a → Parser [a], which is similar to many in that it should parse zero or more items using the parser supplied as its second argument; except that there is a maximum amount of elements it is allowed to parse, given by its first argument.
- 4. (Optional) between :: (Int,Int) → Parser a → Parser [a]; with the intent that between (a,b) p parses at least a items and at most b items. (Tip: replicateM can be used to parse exactly n items.)
- 5. (Optional) option :: Parser a → Parse (Maybe a); with the intent that option p should try to use the parser p and return its parsed value (wrapped in Just) if it succeeds; if p fails, option p should succeed without consuming any input and parse the value Nothing.
- 6. (Optional) anythingBut:: Parser a → Parser (); with the intent that anythingBut p fails if p would succeed, and succeeds if p fails (in which case no input is consumed).

Exercise 13.4 (*Warm-up*: Worked example: a tiny parser, Person.hs). We have seen this type before:

```
data Person = Person { studentName::String, studentAge::Int, favouriteCourse::String }
```

For which we can easily write a pretty printer:

```
pretty :: Person \rightarrow String
pretty p = studentName p ++ " (" ++ show (studentAge p) ++ "), likes " ++ favouriteCourse p
```

- 1. We have already provided a parser name :: Parser String for parsing student and course names. Define a parser person :: Parser Person that is capable of processing the input of the pretty printer back into an actual Person value. You can use the test :: Person → Person function to test your parser.
- 2. Instead of having to type "Kees (54), likes ICT and Society", we also want to support the shorter syntax "Betsy (32): Assembly Language". This results in the grammar:

Extend the parser to implement this grammar.

Exercise 13.5 (Mandatory: Monadic parsing, ListParse.hs).

Parser combinators in the *applicative style* can describe context-free grammars. However, when using them in the *monadic style* (i.e. do-notation) we can also describe *context-sensitive* grammars.

1. Using the applicative style, define a parser intList :: Parser [Int] to process zero or more integers, separated by white space and surrounded by braces. Examples:

```
>>> parseAll intList "{1 2 3}"
Just [1,2,3]
>>> parseAll intList "{}"
Just []
>>> parseAll intList "{1,2,3}" -- "," are not allowed
Nothing
```

2. Using the monadic style, define a parser intRecord :: Parser [Int] to processes zero or more integers in a similar notation, but where the input additionally contains a prefix denoting the number of elements that follow. Parsing for the entire record should fail if not exactly that amount of integers can be parsed:

```
>>> parseAll intRecord "{2#1 2 3}"
Nothing
>>> parseAll intRecord "{3#1 2 3}"
Just [1,2,3]
>>> parseAll intRecord "{4#1 2 3}"
Nothing
```

(Tip: start by copying intList and translating it to do-notation, as practised in Exercise 12.3)

Exercise 13.6 (Mandatory: Parsing dice expression, DiceParse.hs). In Exercise 12.6, we have created an analyser for expressions containing dice throws:

Which can represent expressions such as (2d6+2)/4, which is short-hand for "roll two 6-sided dice, compute their sum, add 2, and divide the result by 4, rounding down". It would be nice, of course, to have a parser for these short-hand expressions. We give a grammar for it here:

Write a parser expr :: Parser Expr that parses valid expressions into the Expr type.

- Don't try to define this parser all at once; start simple and reload often. We have provided *stubs* for every part of the grammar, which already allows you to parse very simple constant expressions such as "23".
- In some cases (especially for the production rule for *formula*), the grammar cannot be translated directly to Haskell combinators, as discussed during the lecture; this can be solved by re-ordering the production rules and eliminating *left-recursion*.
- A reminder about the *meaning* of the short-hand notation: as discussed before, 4d6 means the *sum* of four dice rolls; 1d6 is commonly abbreviated to d6, but both are valid.
- Your parser should accept as precisely as possible the language described by the grammar above. For instance, the grammar above does not accept the input "1+2/3", but forces the use of parenthesis to make clear whether "(1+2)/3" or "1+(2/3)" is meant. Similarly something like a 0-sided die ("d0") is invalid and should be rejected. You *are* allowed to add extra grammar rules for min and max, and implement these, if you wish.
- The template for this exercise contains several test cases!

Exercise 13.7 (Extra: Error handling in parsers, ParserErr.hs).

Producing good quality parsing error messages is more of an art than a science; and you will probably already be familiar with compilers that are not exceptionally good at it (due to Haskell's terse syntax, GHCi's parsing errors are also not always clear).

While producing high quality error messages would be way too much work, we can at least extend the Parser type class so that instead of returning a Nothing, it also returns the first part of the input that could not be parsed. We can do this by changing the definition of Parser to:

```
type ParseResult t = Either String t
newtype Parser  a = P { parse :: String → ParseResult (a, String) }
```

Change the parser accordingly: whenever the parser previously returned a Just (v,xs), it should now produce a Right (v,xs), and Nothing will become Left inp, where inp is the offending input:

```
>>> parse integer "123"
Right (123,"")
>>> parse integer "FNORD"
Left "FNORD"
>>> parse (symbol "##>") "##> abc"
Right ("##>", "abc")
>>> parse (symbol "##>") "#@> abc"
Left "@> abc"
>>> parse person "Vivian (21): Cryptography"
Right (Person {studentName = "Vivian", studentAge = 21, favouriteCourse = "Cryptography"},"")
>>> parse person "Vivian (21), Likes Cryptography"
Left ", Likes Cryptography"
```

- Try type-oriented programming: GHCi's type errors will indicate where changes are needed!
- You will have to define an instance Alternative ParseResult (or change the instance for Parser), since the type class Alternative is not pre-defined for the Either type.
- If you succeed, you may find that the first incorrect character of input is not being reported; one way to fix this is to change the definition of sat to: