

Lecture 13

Last time : QT Hopf algebra. (H, R)

(QT1) $R \in H \otimes H$ invertible

(QT2) $\tau \Delta(h) = R \cdot \Delta(h) \cdot R^{-1}, \quad \forall h \in H$

(QT3) $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})R = R^{13}R^{23}, \quad (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)R = R^{13}R^{12}$

$$R = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i, \quad R^{ijk} = \sum \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ j\text{th pos.}}}{1_H \otimes \dots \otimes 1_H} \otimes a_i \otimes \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k\text{th pos.}}}{1_H \otimes \dots \otimes b_i \otimes 1_H} \dots$$

LEM 1.2' If (H, R) is almost cocommutative, then $V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$ for all left H -modules V and W .

Sketch. Check $\varphi: V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$ is an H -module isom.

$$v \otimes w \mapsto \tau(R \cdot (v \otimes w))$$

PROP 1.6. If (H, R) is QT, then

$$(QYBE) \quad R^{12}R^{13}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}R^{12}$$

$$(S \otimes \text{id})R = R^{-1} = (\text{id} \otimes S^{-1})R, \quad (S \otimes S)R = R$$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})R = 1_H = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)R \quad ((\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})R = \sum_i \varepsilon(a_i) b_i \quad \text{if } R = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i)$$

identify $\gamma \in k$ w/
 $\uparrow \quad \gamma(y) = y \cdot 1_H \in H$.

$$\begin{aligned} & " \varepsilon(a_i) \otimes b_i \rightarrow \varepsilon(a_i) 1_H \otimes b_i " \\ & \downarrow \\ & \varepsilon(a_i) b_i \end{aligned}$$

QYBE : Quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

The quantum universal enveloping algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ were introduced to study matrix solutions to QYBE.

Note that, R acts on $H \otimes H$ by left multiplication L_R . Write

$$B^{ij} := \tau^{ij} \circ L_{R^{ij}} \in \text{Aut}(H^{\otimes 3})$$

τ^{ij} : interchanges tensor factors in the obvious way.

In general, given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, the automorphism τ induced by some $\sigma \in S_n$ (in cycle form) is denoted by τ^σ . For example, $\tau^{12}\tau^{23}\tau^{12} = \tau^{13}$

Then, for any $s, t, u \in H$, QYBE implies

$$\begin{aligned} B^{12} B^{23} B^{12} (s \otimes t \otimes u) &= B^{12} B^{23} (\tau^{12}(R^{12}) \cdot \tau^{12}(s \otimes t \otimes u)) \\ &= \tau^{12}(R^{12}) \cdot \tau^{12}\tau^{23}(R^{23}) \cdot \tau^{12}\tau^{23}\tau^{12}(R^{12}) \cdot \tau^{12}\tau^{23}\tau^{12}(s \otimes t \otimes u) \\ &= \tau^{13}(R^{23}R^{13}R^{12}) \cdot \tau^{13}(s \otimes t \otimes u) \\ &= \tau^{13}(R^{12}R^{13}R^{23}) \cdot \tau^{13}(s \otimes t \otimes u) = B^{23}B^{12}B^{23}(s \otimes t \otimes u). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta = \tau \circ L_R \in \text{Aut}(H \otimes H)$, then the above implies

(Braid relation) $(\beta \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \beta)(\beta \otimes \text{id}) = (\text{id} \otimes \beta)(\beta \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \beta)$

$$\text{Diagram: } \begin{array}{c} \text{Two red strands crossing} \\ = \\ \text{Two red strands crossing} \end{array}$$

In general, for any vector space V over \mathbb{k} , a linear automorphism $\beta \in \text{Aut}(V \otimes V)$ satisfying the braid relation in $\text{Aut}(V^{\otimes 3})$ is called an **R-matrix** for V .

Finding R-matrices for arbitrary vector spaces can be computationally challenging as the braid relation is a system of degree 3 homogeneous equations in a huge number of variables. However, if a vector space has ^{an} additional structure of an H -module for some QT Hopf algebra (H, R) , then it automatically has an R-matrix afforded by R .

Indeed, for any left H -module V , define $\beta_{V,V} : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$
 $v \otimes v' \mapsto \tau(R \cdot (v \otimes v'))$

then the above implies that $\beta_{V,V}$ is an R -matrix for V . Moreover, LEM 1.2' implies that $\beta_{V,V} \in \text{Aut}_H(V \otimes V)$.

Another special property of QT Hopf algebra is given as follows.

THM 1.7 Let (H, R) be QT. Write $R = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$, and $u = \sum_i (Sb_i) a_i$.

Then for all $h \in H$, $S^4(h) = y h y^{-1}$, where $y = u(Su)^{-1} \in \underline{G(H)}$.
part of statement.

PF. The equation involving S^4 follows immediately from PROP 1.4

grouplike

$$S^2(h) = u h u^{-1} = (Su)^{-1} h (Su)$$

↓

so it remains to show $y \in G(H)$, and it suffices to show $\Delta(y) = y \otimes y$.
(as $\epsilon(y) = \epsilon(u(Su)^{-1}) = 1$. by def and Prop 1.4).

Firstly, we show that

$$(**) \quad \Delta(u) = (R^{21} R)^{-1} (u \otimes u) = (u \otimes u) (R^{21} R)^{-1}$$

By def, for any $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{21} R (\Delta(h)) &= \tau(R) \cdot \tau(\Delta(h)) \cdot R = \tau(R \cdot \Delta(h)) R = \tau(\tau(\Delta(h)) \cdot R) R \\ &= \Delta(h) \cdot R^{21} R \end{aligned}$$

so it suffices to show $\Delta(u) R^{21} R = u \otimes u$. Again by def,

$$\Delta(u) = \sum_i \Delta(Sb_i) \cdot \Delta(a_i) = \sum_i (S \otimes S)(\tau(\Delta(b_i))) \cdot \Delta(a_i)$$

$$10 \quad \Delta(u) R^{21} R = \sum_i (S \otimes S) (\tau \Delta(b_i)) \cdot R^{21} R \cdot \Delta(a_i)$$

Now we make $H^{\otimes 2}$ into a right $H^{\otimes 4}$ -module by defining

$$(h \otimes k) \diamond (c \otimes d \otimes e \otimes f) := (Se)hc \otimes (Sf)kd. \quad (\text{check it's indeed an action})$$

$$\sum_i (\theta S)(b_{i,(1)} \otimes b_{i,(2)}) (a_{i,(1)} \otimes a_{i,(2)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \Delta(u) R^{21} R &= \sum_i S(b_{i,(2)}) b_k a_j a_{i,1} \otimes S(b_{i,(1)}) a_k b_j a_{i,2} \\ &\quad \sum_k b_k \otimes a_k \\ &= R^{21} \diamond \left[R^{12} \cdot (\Delta \otimes \tau \Delta)(R) \right] \\ &\quad \in H^{\otimes 2} \quad \in H^{\otimes 4} \end{aligned}$$

Recall by (QT3), $(id \otimes \tau \Delta)R = (id \otimes \tau)(R^{13}R^{12}) = R^{12}R^{13}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \otimes \tau \Delta)R &= (id \otimes id \otimes \tau \Delta)(\Delta \otimes id)R \\ &= (id \otimes id \otimes \tau \Delta)(R^{13}) \cdot (id \otimes id \otimes \tau \Delta)(R^{23}) \\ &= \tau^{12} \left(\tau^{12} \left(\sum_i a_i \otimes 1_H \otimes \tau \Delta(b_i) \right) \right) \cdot (id \otimes id \otimes \tau \Delta)(R^{23}) \\ &= \tau^{12} \left(\sum_i 1_H \otimes a_i \otimes \tau \Delta(b_i) \right) \cdot (id \otimes id \otimes \tau \Delta)(R^{23}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \tau^{12} \left(1_H \otimes (id \otimes \tau \Delta)(R) \right) \cdot (id \otimes id \otimes \tau \Delta)(R^{23}) \\ &= \underbrace{\tau^{12} \left(1_H \otimes (R^{12}R^{13}) \right)}_{R^{13} R^{14}} \cdot \underbrace{(id \otimes id \otimes \tau \Delta)(R^{23})}_{R^{23} R^{24}} \\ &= R^{13} R^{14} \boxed{R^{23} R^{24}} \end{aligned}$$

" " " "
" " " "

So by QYBE, $R^{12} \cdot (\Delta \otimes \tau \Delta)R = R^{23} R^{13} R^{12} R^{14} R^{24}$. Also, by Prop 1.6

$$R^{-1} = (\text{id} \otimes S^{-1}) R = \sum_i a_i \otimes S^{-1} b_i, \quad \text{so}$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{\epsilon H^{\otimes 2}} R^{21}}_{\epsilon H^{\otimes 4}} \diamond \underbrace{\sum_{\epsilon H^{\otimes 4}} R^{23}}_{\epsilon H^{\otimes 2}} = \sum_i (b_i \otimes a_i) \diamond (1_H \otimes a_j \otimes b_j \otimes 1_H)$$

$$= \sum_i S(b_j) b_i \otimes a_i a_j = (S \otimes \text{id}) \left[\left(\sum_i S^{-1} b_i \otimes a_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_i b_j \otimes a_j \right) \right]$$

$$= (S \otimes \text{id}) \left[\tau(R^{-1}) \cdot \tau(R) \right] = (S \otimes \text{id}) (1_H \otimes 1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_H$$

$$\text{So } R^{21} \diamond (R^{23} R^{13}) = (1_H \otimes 1_H) \diamond R^{13} = u \otimes 1_H. \quad \text{Thus.}$$

$$R^{21} \diamond (R^{23} R^{13} R^{12} R^{14}) = (u \otimes 1_H) \diamond (R^{12} R^{14}) = \sum_i u a_i a_j \otimes S(b_j) b_i$$

$$= (u \otimes 1_H) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_i a_i a_j \otimes S(b_j)}_{= 1_H \otimes 1_H} b_i = u \otimes 1_H$$

$$\text{Finally, } (u \otimes 1_H) \diamond R^{24} = u \otimes u, \quad \text{proving } (**).$$

Now we have

$$\Delta(Su) = (S \otimes S)(\tau \Delta(u)) = (S \otimes S) \left[\tau(R^{21} R)^{-1} \cdot (u \otimes u) \right] \quad \text{by } (**).$$

$$= (S \otimes S)(u \otimes u) \cdot (S \otimes S)(R R^{21})^{-1} = (Su \otimes Su) \cdot (R^{21} R)^{-1} \quad \text{by Prop 1.6.}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \Delta(y) = \Delta(u) \Delta(Su)^{-1}$$

$$= (u \otimes u) (R^{21} R)^{-1} \cdot (R^{21} R) \cdot (Su)^{-1} \otimes (Su)^{-1}$$

$$= y \otimes y \quad \blacksquare$$

Rank. If (H, R) is QT w/ $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $g \in H$, $\alpha \in H^*$ be distinguished group-like elements, $y = \alpha(Su)^{-1}$ as above. If $\tilde{\alpha} := (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) R \in H$, then $gy = yg = \tilde{\alpha}$. The proof can be found in [Radford, On the antipode of QTHA].

DEF. If (H, R) and (H', R') are QT, then they are isomorphic as QT Hopf algebra if and only if there exists a Hopf algebra isom $f: H \rightarrow H'$ s.t. $R' = (f \otimes f)(R)$. Two universal R -matrices R, R' on H are equivalent if $(H, R) \cong (H, R')$ as QT Hopf algebras.

Example. For any cocommutative Hopf algebra H , $(H, \text{Id}_H \otimes \text{Id}_H)$ is a QT Hopf algebra, but H may have other universal R -matrices. For example, $H = \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) \neq 2$, then a nontrivial R is given by

$$R = \frac{1}{2} (e \otimes e + e \otimes g + g \otimes e - g \otimes g)$$

where we write $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{e, g\}$ multiplicatively.

Example. Let $H = T_4(-1)$ and assume $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 2$. Then H has a one-parameter family of universal R -matrices : for $\theta \in \mathbb{k}$, define

$$R_\theta := \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g + g \otimes 1 - g \otimes g) + \frac{\theta}{2} (x \otimes x - x \otimes gx + gx \otimes x + gx \otimes gx)$$

Radford showed they are indeed universal R -matrices. and if $\theta \neq 0$, then $(H, R_\theta) \not\cong (H, R_0)$.

"Example". Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a nonzero complex number that is not a root of unity. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 of 2×2 -traceless matrices has a basis $\{e, f, h\}$ where

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that $[e, f] = h$, $[h, e] = \alpha e$, $[h, f] = -\alpha f$.

Recall $\mathcal{U}(sl_2)$ is a Hopf algebra w/

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \quad S(x) = -x, \quad \epsilon(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in sl_2.$$

The **quantum enveloping algebra** $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$ is defined as follows.

As an algebra, $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2) = \langle E, F, K, K^{-1} \rangle$ subject to the following relations

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1, \quad KE = q^2 EK, \quad KF = q^{-2} FK, \quad EF - FE = \frac{K^2 - K^{-2}}{q^2 - q^{-2}}.$$

A Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$ is given by

$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes E, \quad S(E) = -q^{-2} E, \quad \epsilon(E) = 0$$

$$\Delta(F) = F \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes F, \quad S(F) = -q^2 F, \quad \epsilon(F) = 0$$

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K, \quad S(K) = K^{-1}, \quad \epsilon(K) = 1$$

(For details, see standard textbooks on quantum groups. e.g. Kassel)

To understand the relation between $\mathcal{U}(sl_2)$ and $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$, one can work w/ "topological algebras" over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, the ring of power series in the variable \hbar .

Then one can think of $q = \exp(\hbar)$ and $K = \exp(\hbar/h)$. In general, one can define $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . and they are the motivating examples of QT Hopf algebras. However, they are not QT in the strict sense of our definition. One should again work w/ $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and find a universal R-matrix in the "topological tensor product of the topological algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ w/ itself". Nevertheless, \forall fin. dim'l rep $P : \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$, then

$$R_P := (P \otimes P) R \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{is a matrix solution to QYBE.}$$