

## Lecture 14

Last time : QT Hopf algebra , QYBE

### § 2. Coquasitriangular Hopf algebras

DEF. A coquasitriangular (CQT) Hopf algebra is a pair  $(H, r)$ , where  $H$  is a Hopf algebra and  $r \in (H \otimes H)^*$  is a bilinear form on  $H$ , satisfying the following conditions :

- (CQT 1)  $r$  is invertible in  $(H \otimes H)^*$ .  
→ in  $\text{Hom}_k(H \otimes H, H)$
- (CQT 2)  $r * \mu = \mu \tau * r$ , where we identify  $r$  w/  $\eta \circ r \in \text{Hom}_k(H \otimes H, H)$   
↓ multiplication.  $\mu: H \otimes H \rightarrow H$       ↓ unit
- (CQT 3)  $r \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}) = r^{13} * r^{23}$ ,  $r \circ (\text{id} \otimes \mu) = r^{13} * r^{12}$

where  $r^{12} = r \otimes \varepsilon$ ,  $r^{23} = \varepsilon \otimes r$ , and  $r^{13} = (\varepsilon \otimes r)(\tau \otimes \text{id})$ .

The pair is called almost commutative if it only satisfies (CQT 1) and (CQT 2).

"when  $H$  is fin-dim'l,  
 $r = \sum \varphi_i \otimes \psi_i: EH^* \otimes H^*$   
 $r^{13} = \sum \varphi_i \otimes 1_{H^*} \otimes \psi_i$   
 $r^{13}(a \otimes b \otimes c)$   
 $= \sum \varphi_i(a) \varepsilon(b) \psi_i(c)$   
 $= \sum \varepsilon(b) \varphi_i(a) \psi_i(c) ..$   
 $= (\varepsilon \otimes r)(b \otimes a \otimes c)$

Write above in terms of  $H$ .

Let  $a, b, c, d \in H$  be arbitrary elements. then  $r^{13}(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \varepsilon(b) r(a \otimes c)$

(CQT 1) says there exists  $r' \in (H \otimes H)^*$  s.t.

$$(r * r')(a \otimes b) = \sum r(a_1 \otimes b_1) \cdot r'(a_2 \otimes b_2) = \sum r'(a_1 \otimes b_1) r(a_2 \otimes b_2)$$

$$= (r' * r)(a \otimes b) = \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b).$$

$$(CQT 2) \text{ requires } \sum r(a_1 \otimes b_1) \cdot a_2 b_2 = \sum r(a_2 \otimes b_2) b_1 a_1$$

$$(r * \mu^*) (a \otimes b) \quad (\mu^* * r)$$

$$(CQT 3) \text{ says } (r \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id})) (a \otimes b \otimes c) = (r^{13} * r^{23}) (a \otimes b \otimes c)$$

$$r(a \overset{\text{''}}{\otimes} b \otimes c) \quad \sum r(a \otimes c_1) r(b \otimes c_2)$$

Note: the notation here is different from Montgomery's book.

Let  $(H, r)$  be CQT. If  $H$  is finite-dim'l, then  $(H \otimes H)^* = H^* \otimes H^*$  as Hopf algebras. In this case, (CQT 1) and (CQT 2) imply that  $(H^*, r)$  is almost cocommutative. In fact, (CQT 2) is equivalent to

$$r * \Delta^*(f) = \varepsilon \Delta^*(f) * r \quad \text{for all } f \in H^*.$$

$$(r * \Delta^*(f)) (a \otimes b) = \sum r(a_1 \otimes b_1) \Delta^*(f) (a_2 \otimes b_2) = \sum r(a_1 \otimes b_1) f(a_2 b_2)$$

$$= \dots = (r \Delta^*(f) * r) (a \otimes b).$$

Moreover, (CQT 3) is exactly (QT 3) for  $H^*$ .

Prop 2.2. Let  $H$  be a finite-dim'l Hopf algebra. Then a pair  $(H, r)$  is CQT if and only if  $(H^*, r)$  is QT.  $\square$

Example. Let  $H$  be any commutative Hopf algebra, then  $(H, \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$  is CQT.

Example. Let  $H = kG$  be a group algebra, then  $H$  admits a CQT structure  $r \in (H \otimes H)^*$  if and only if for all  $g, h, k \in G$ ,

- $r(g \otimes h) \in \mathbb{K}^\times$
- $gh = hg$
- $r(gh \otimes k) = r(g \otimes k)r(h \otimes k), \quad r(g \otimes hk) = r(g \otimes h)r(g \otimes k).$

Therefore, in this case,  $(H, r)$  is CQT if and only if the following conditions hold : (1)  $G$  is abelian ; (2)  $r$  is a bicharacter of  $G$ .  
 induced from  $\begin{cases} x: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times \\ x(gh, k) = x(g, k) \\ x(h, k) \\ x(g, hk) = x(g, h)x(g, k) \end{cases}$

By definition, bicharacters of  $G$  naturally corresponds to group homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $\hat{G} := \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{K}^\times)$ .

Now suppose  $G$  is a finite abelian group of order  $n$ , and suppose  $\mathbb{K}$  contains a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Then  $G \cong \hat{G}$  and  $(\mathbb{K}G)^* \xrightarrow{\text{in one-to-one}} \mathbb{K}\hat{G}$ . By Prop 2.2, the QT structures on  $\mathbb{K}G$  are correspondence the bicharacters on  $\hat{G}$ .

For example, consider  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{e, g\}$  written multiplicatively. Write  $\hat{G} = \{\epsilon, \gamma\}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the trivial character, and  $\gamma(g) = -1$ . Then there is only one nontrivial bicharacter  $z$  on  $\hat{G}$ , namely,  $z(\gamma, \gamma) = -1$ , and all other  $z$ -values are 1. Suppose  $R_z$  is the QT structure on  $\mathbb{K}G$  corresponding to  $z$ , and write

$$R_z = \alpha_1(e \otimes e) + \alpha_2(e \otimes g) + \alpha_3(g \otimes e) + \alpha_4(g \otimes g) \in \mathbb{K}G \otimes \mathbb{K}G.$$

By the above discussions, we have

$$(\epsilon \otimes \epsilon)(R_z) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = z(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 1$$

$$(\epsilon \otimes \gamma)(R_z) = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 = z(\epsilon, \gamma) = 1$$

$$(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon)(R_Z) = d_1 + d_2 - d_3 - d_4 = \chi(\gamma, \varepsilon) = 1$$

$$(\gamma \otimes \gamma)(R_Z) = d_1 - d_2 - d_3 + d_4 = \chi(\gamma, \gamma) = -1$$

system of

The above 7 linear equations has a unique solution, i.e.,  $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $d_4 = -\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $R_Z$  is exactly the  $R$  in the previous section.

LEM 2.5 Let  $(H, r)$  be an almost commutative Hopf algebra, and let  $V, W$  be left  $H$ -comodules. Then  $V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$  as left comodules.

P.F. Define  $\beta_{V,W} : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$  by  $v \otimes w \mapsto \sum r(w_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}) \underbrace{w_0}_{\epsilon H} \otimes \underbrace{v_0}_{\epsilon W}$

Since  $r$  is invertible,  $\beta_{V,W}$  is a linear isom. It remains to show that it is an  $H$ -comodule map. Let  $\rho$  be the coaction of  $H$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\beta_{V,W}} & W \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\rho_W \otimes \rho_V} & H \otimes W \otimes H \otimes V \\ \rho_{V \otimes W} \downarrow & ? & \downarrow \rho_{W \otimes V} & & \downarrow id \otimes \epsilon \otimes id \\ H \otimes (V \otimes W) & \xrightarrow{id_H \otimes \beta_{V,W}} & H \otimes (W \otimes V) & \xleftarrow{\mu \otimes id \otimes id} & H \otimes H \otimes W \otimes V \end{array}$$

$$(\rho_{W \otimes V} \circ \beta_{V,W})(v \otimes w) = \rho_{W \otimes V} \left( \sum r(w_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}) w_0 \otimes v_0 \right)$$

$$= \sum r(w_{-2} \otimes v_{-2}) \underbrace{w_{-1}}_{\epsilon H} \underbrace{v_{-1}}_{\epsilon W} \otimes \underbrace{w_0}_{\epsilon W} \otimes \underbrace{v_0}_{\epsilon V}$$

$$= \dots = ((id \otimes \beta_{V,W}) \circ \rho_{V \otimes W})(v \otimes w) \quad \square$$

Set  $V = W$  in the above Lemma, then we can show that  $\beta_{V,V}$  is an  $R$ -matrix for  $V$ . In fact, it is clear that one can define a CQT bialgebra in the same way as we did for Hopf algebras. Then LEM 2.5 holds also for

CQT bialgebras, i.e., a CQT bialgebra gives rise to an R-matrix on every comodule.

The famous FRT construction, due to Faddeev, Reshetikhin and Takhtadjan, says that the converse is true. Namely, if any  $\text{fin-dim}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -vector space  $V$  admits an R-matrix  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(V \otimes V)$ , then  $\exists$  a CQT bialgebra  $(A_\beta, \tau)$  s.t.  $V$  is a left  $A_\beta$ -comodule, and  $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{A_\beta}(V \otimes V)$ , and

$\beta = \beta_{V,V}$  defined in Lem 2.5. The construction is briefly described as follows.

Let  $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$  be a basis for  $V$ , and write the matrix presentation of  $\beta$  w.r.t.  $\{v_i \otimes v_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$  for  $V \otimes V$  by

$$\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) = \sum_{k,l} b_{ij}^{kl} v_k \otimes v_l$$

Consider the free algebra  $F_\beta = \mathbb{k}\langle X_s^t \mid 1 \leq s, t \leq N \rangle$  generated by a family of indeterminates  $X_s^t$ , and let  $I_\beta$  be the two-sided ideal of  $F_\beta$  generated by all elements of the form

$$\left( \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq N} b_{ij}^{kl} X_k^s X_l^t \right) - \left( \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq N} X_i^m X_j^n b_{mn}^{st} \right)$$

where  $i, j, s, t$  run over the index set  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ . Then the quotient

$A_\beta := F_\beta / I_\beta$  has an (essentially unique) CQT algebra structure determined by  $\Delta(X_s^t) = \sum_{i=1}^N X_s^i \otimes X_i^t$ ,  $\epsilon(X_s^t) = \delta_{s,t}$ .

and  $r \in (A_\beta \otimes A_\beta)^*$  is determined  $r(X_i^k \otimes X_j^l) = b_{ji}^{kl}$ .

For example, suppose  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\dim(V) = 2$  w/ basis  $\{v_1, v_2\}$ .

It is easy to check, w/ r.t.  $\{v_1 \otimes v_1, v_1 \otimes v_2, v_2 \otimes v_1, v_2 \otimes v_2\}$ , the matrix

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & q-q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } q \in \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ is not a root of unity,}$$

is an R-matrix for  $V$ . Denote  $X_1^1 := a$ ,  $X_1^2 := b$ ,  $X_2^1 := c$ ,  $X_2^2 := d$ . Then the algebra  $A_\beta$  is generated by  $a, b, c, d$ , subject to the relation

$$\beta \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & ba & b^2 \\ ac & ad & bc & bd \\ ca & cb & da & db \\ c^2 & cd & dc & d^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & ba & b^2 \\ ac & ad & bc & bd \\ ca & cb & da & db \\ c^2 & cd & dc & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \beta$$

It is easy to see that

$$A_\beta = \mathbb{C} \langle a, b, c, d \mid ba = qab, ca = qac, cb = bc, db = qbd, da - ad = (q - q^{-1})bc \rangle$$

w/ the bialgebra structure defined above. As a bialgebra, this is called the coordinate ring of quantum  $2 \times 2$ -matrices, and is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_q(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$

in Mont. book. (compare w/  $\mathcal{O}(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$  in our Section 1.4)

One can introduce a notion of  $q$ -determinant so as to define  $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $\mathcal{O}_q(GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ . In fact, it can be shown (e.g. Kassel's book)  $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$  is "dual" to  $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$  in an appropriate sense.

### § 3. Drinfeld double.

DEF. Let  $H$  be any Hopf algebra w/ bijective antipode  $S$  w/ inverse  $\bar{S}$ , and let  $h \in H$ ,  $f \in H^*$  be arbitrary elements. The left coadjoint action of  $H$  on  $H^*$  is given by

$$h \rightarrow f := \sum h_1 \rightarrow f \leftarrow \bar{S}(h_2)$$

The right coadjoint action of  $H$  on  $H^*$  is given by

$$f \leftarrow h := \sum \bar{S}(h_1) \rightarrow f \leftarrow h_2.$$

Rank. The coadjoint actions are related to the obvious adjoint actions of  $H$  on itself.

$$\text{If } h, k \in H, \quad \text{ad}^L(h)(k) := \sum h_1 k S(h_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad}^R(h)(k) = \sum S(h_1) k h_2,$$

then it is easy to check

$$\langle h \rightarrow f, k \rangle = \langle f, \text{ad}^L(\bar{S}(h))(k) \rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle f \leftarrow h, k \rangle = \langle f, \text{ad}^R(\bar{S}(h))(k) \rangle$$

For example, if  $H = \mathbb{k}G$  for a finite group  $G$ , then  $H^* = (\mathbb{k}G)^*$ . In this case, for any  $x, y, z \in G$ ,  $(y \rightarrow \delta_x)(z) = \delta_{x,zy} = \delta_{xy^{-1}}(z)$  so  $y \rightarrow \delta_x = \delta_x y^{-1}$ . Similarly,  $\delta_x \leftarrow y = \delta_{y^{-1}x}$ . Hence,

$$y \rightarrow \delta_x = y \rightarrow \delta_x \leftarrow y^{-1} = \delta_{y \times y^{-1}}$$

When  $H$  is finite-dim'l, the coadjoint actions make  $H^{*, \text{cop}}$  into a left  $H$ -module coalgebra, and  $H$  into a right  $H^{*, \text{cop}}$ -module algebra. That is,

$$\Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(h \rightarrow f) = \sum (h_1 \rightarrow f_2) \otimes (h_2 \rightarrow f_1)$$

$$\Delta^*(f) = \sum f_1 \otimes f_2, \quad \Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(f) = \sum f_2 \otimes f_1, \quad \Delta^*(f)(x \otimes y) = f(xy), \\ \Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(f)(x \otimes y) = f(yx)$$

and

$$\Delta(h \leftarrow f) = \sum (h_1 \leftarrow f_2) \otimes (h_2 \leftarrow f_1).$$

$$\Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(h \rightarrow f)(x \otimes y) = (h \rightarrow f)(yx)$$

$$= \sum \langle h_1 \rightarrow f_2 \leftarrow \bar{s}(h_2), yx \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle f_2, \bar{s}(h_2) yx h_1 \rangle$$

$$\left( \sum (h_1 \rightarrow f_2) \otimes (h_2 \rightarrow f_1) \right) (x \otimes y)$$

$$= \sum \langle (h_1)_1 \rightarrow f_2 \leftarrow \bar{s}((h_1)_2), x \rangle \langle (h_2)_1 \rightarrow f_1 \leftarrow \bar{s}((h_2)_2), y \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle f_2, \bar{s}(h_2) x h_1 \rangle \langle f_1, \bar{s}(h_2) y h_3 \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle f, \bar{s}(h_4) y \underbrace{\bar{s}(h_3)}_{\bar{s}(h_3) y \underbrace{\varepsilon(h_2)}_{\bar{s}(h_2) yx h_1}} \underbrace{x}_{\bar{s}(h_3) yx h_1} h_1 \rangle = \sum \langle f, \bar{s}(h_2) yx h_1 \rangle.$$

$$\bar{s}(h_3) y \underbrace{\varepsilon(h_2)}_{\bar{s}(h_2) yx h_1} x h_1$$

$$\bar{s}(h_2) yx h_1$$