

Review of basic ideas of rep. theory:

Regular representation: $G \times G$

$$(g_1, g_2) \mapsto L(g_1) R(g_2^{-1})$$

$$(g_1, g_2)x = g_1 x g_2^{-1} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} g_i \in G \\ x \in R_G \end{array} \right)$$

Now consider $L \& R : G \rightarrow GL(R_G)$

→ restrict to subgroups $G \times \{1\}$ or $\{1\} \times G$.

$$LRR: L(g) \cdot x = gx$$

$$RRR: R(g)x = xg^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) \cdot \underbrace{x}_{\stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{g}} &= L(h) \cdot \sum_g x(g) \cdot g = \sum_g x(g)(hg) = \sum_g x(h^{-1}g) \cdot g \\ &\stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{=} \sum_g [L(h) \cdot x](g) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

(View x also as functions on G . $x: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$)
 $g \mapsto x(g)$)

$$\Rightarrow [L(h) \cdot x](g) = x(h^{-1}g)$$

$$([R(h) \cdot x](g) = x(g \cdot h))$$

Define inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \int_G \overline{x(g)} y(g) dg$$

$$\stackrel{\text{finite}}{=} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \overline{x(g)} y(g)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle L(h)x, L(h)y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \text{unitary reps}$$

We will use $L(h)$, h , S_h etc. interchangeably

$$h = \sum_g h(g) \cdot g = 1 \cdot h \Rightarrow h(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g=h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(recover S_h from before)

$$\underline{S_h \cdot S_g} = \sum_k (\sum_l S_h(l) \cdot S_g(l^{-1} \cdot k)) \cdot k = 1 \cdot (hg) = \underline{S_{hg}}$$

$l = h$
 $l^{-1} \cdot k = g \quad k = h \cdot g$

see h as left action: $\underline{L(h)S_g(g')} = \underline{S_g(h^{-1} \cdot g')} = \underline{S_{hg}(g')}$

$$\underline{L(h)S_g} = \underline{S_{hg}}$$

group elements can be viewed both as operators and vectors on \mathbb{R}_G

Also, expand the class function on \mathbb{R}_G :

$$\underline{S_{C_i}(g)} = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in C_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{\underline{S_{C_i}}} = \sum_{g \in G} \underline{S_{C_i}(g)} \cdot g = \sum_{g \in C_i} \underline{\underline{g}}$$

(or view as class operators C_i)

where: $h C_i h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in C_i} hgh^{-1} = \sum_{g' \in C_i} g' = C_i$. C_i commutes with $h \in G$

8.13.2. Projectors onto invariant subspaces

$$V = \bigoplus_i W^i \quad \xrightarrow{\text{invariant subspace}}$$

Suppose. $V = W \oplus W^\perp$

Define projector P onto W .

$$\forall x \in V. \quad x = w + w^\perp \quad w \in \underline{W}, \quad w^\perp \in \underline{W^\perp}$$

then $\underline{P}x = w \quad \forall w \in W$

$$\forall g \in G. \quad g(\underline{P}x) = g(w) = \underline{P}(g w) = \underline{P}g(w + w^\perp) = \underline{P}g x$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{gP} = \underline{Pg} \quad \underline{P \text{ commutes with } \forall g \in G}.$$

$$\forall x \in R_G, \quad P_x = \sum_g x(g) \cdot Pg = \underbrace{\sum_g x(g)}_x \cdot \underline{Pg} = x \cdot Pe$$

Define $e' = Pe : e'^2 = PePe = P^2e = Pe = e'$ idempotent
 不等元

then the invariant subspace is defined as

$$W = \{ xe' : x \in R_G \} =: R_G \cdot e'$$

$$\{ P_x : \forall x \in R_G \}$$

$$\text{If } P_1 + P_2 = 1 \Rightarrow e = 1e = (P_1 + P_2)e = e_1 + e_2$$

$$P_1 P_2 \Rightarrow \Rightarrow e_1 e_2 = 0$$

irreps: e' is primitive, can not be decomposed
 into $e'_1 + e'_2$ ($e'_1 \neq 0, e'_2 \neq 0$)

Both C_i and P commutes with $\forall g \in G$. is it possible to find

P 's onto irreps using C_i ?

8.13.3 Construction of character table

We've seen a few character tables for simple groups.

But how do we construct the character tables?

We present an algorithm to obtain them

If we can find all the projectors onto irreps, or equivalently all the idempotents.

Some ideas:

Recall previously, a Hamiltonian H is an intertwiner. $[H, T(G)] = 0$

The eigenvectors $\{\psi_\mu\}$ span an invariant subspace W of the representation space $L^2(G)$:

$$H \psi_\mu = E_\mu \psi_\mu$$

$$\underline{H T(g) \psi_\mu} = T(g) H \psi_\mu = E_\mu \underline{T(g) \psi_\mu} \quad \forall g \in G$$

$T(g) \psi_\mu \in W. (H \in G)$ \Rightarrow W is an invariant subspace, i.e. a representation space

$$V \cong \bigoplus W^\mu$$

If W^μ is still reducible, find another

operator that satisfies $[D, T(g)] = 0 \quad (\forall g \in G)$

With a complete set of commuting operators (CSCo), we can achieve a complete reduction of representations / find all irreps!

This is an idea explored systematically by 陈金全 (南大).

- ① 陈金全 . “群表示论的新途径”
- ② English translation: Group representation theory for physicists . 2nd. Ed.
World Scientific, 2002
- ③ The representation group and its application to space groups

RMP 57, 211 (1985)

First RMP of PRC.

To illustrate the idea, consider a finite group G .

with r conjugacy classes $[c_i]$ ($i=1, \dots, r$)

$|[c_i]| = m_i$. Correspondingly, r irreps V^μ and characters χ_μ

What operator commutes with all elements of $\mathbb{Z}[R_G]$

The center of the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[R_G]$

is spanned by the class operators / functions

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}(R_G), \quad c_i = \sum_{g \in G} g \quad \text{and} \\ xgx^{-1} = g$$

They have the following properties:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \text{left \& right \& centralizers: } [c_i, h] = 0 : h c_i h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} h g h^{-1} = c_i$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \forall i, j \quad [c_i, c_j] = 0 : \text{because of } \textcircled{1}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{closed/complete: } c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k c_k, \quad (C_{ij}^k = c_{j,i}^k \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ where}$$

C_{ij}^k the class multiplication coefficient., something we can easily compute given a group.

Proof: $\forall h_{i_1}, h_{i_2} \in c_i, \exists g' \in G, \text{s.t. } h_{i_1} = g' h_{i_2} g'^{-1}$

$$\sum_{g \in G} g h_{i_1} g^{-1} = \sum_g g(g' h_{i_2} g'^{-1}) \tilde{g} = \sum_g g h_{i_2} g^{-1}$$

$$m_i = |c_i| \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} g c_i g^{-1} = m_i \sum_{g \in G} g g_i a g^{-1},$$

$g_i a \in c_i$

$$\because \textcircled{1}, \text{LHS} = |G| \cdot c_i$$

\Rightarrow so theorem / class eq.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} g g_i a g^{-1} = \frac{|G|}{m_i} c_i$$

$$|c_{(g)}| = \frac{|G|}{|\mathbb{Z}_G(g)|}$$

one element on LHS. then full class on RHS

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow c_i c_j = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(c_i c_j) g^{-1}$$

Any $g \in c_i c_j$. belongs to some c_k , then RHS contains full c_k

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k c_k} \quad (*)$$

(Should they be enough for finding all irreps of a group? Some arguments: we've mentioned before that $\{\delta_{C_i}\}$ is a complete basis for $L^2(G)$ ^{class}, so is $\{\chi_\mu\}$.)

If we can diagonalize some/all C_i 's, and decompose them into projectors / find idempotents.

From an algebraic point of view, Eg. (*) provided us with a set of eigen problems.

$$\hat{C}_i \delta_{C_j} = \sum_{k=1}^r [C^i]_{jk} \delta_{C_k}$$

with $\{\delta_{C_i}\}$ an orthogonal basis: of class algebra

(recall inner product $\langle \delta_{C_j}, \delta_{C_k} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \delta_{C_j}(g) \delta_{C_k}(g) = \frac{m_j}{|G|} \delta_{jk}$)

Suppose for \hat{C}_i we find its eigenvectors $\{\phi^\mu\}$

$$\hat{C}_i \phi^\mu = \lambda_i^\mu \phi^\mu \quad \lambda^\mu = \lambda^\nu, \text{ or } \phi^\mu \phi^\nu = 0$$

then $\hat{C}_i(\phi^\mu \phi^\nu) = \lambda_i^\mu (\phi^\mu \phi^\nu) = \lambda^\nu (\phi^\mu \phi^\nu)$, i.e. $\phi^\mu \phi^\nu$ is also an eigen vector associated to λ_i^μ . Assuming λ_i^μ is nondegenerate.

then $\phi^\mu \phi^\nu = \alpha_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu} \phi^\mu$, α_μ some constant $\in \mathbb{C}$. *(if \hat{C}_i is a class)*

Define $P^\mu = \alpha_\mu^{-1} \phi^\mu$, $P^\mu P^\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu} P^\mu$. $\{P^\mu e\}$ are the primitive idempotents of R_G . *projectors onto*

1D space
and $C_i = \sum_{\mu=1}^r \lambda_i^\mu P^\mu$ is actually a linear combination of projectors onto irreps.

What if there is degeneracy? Find another C_i that splits the degeneracy.

With a complete set of commuting operators (CSO) one can uniquely determine the P^μ 's.

- Note that when restricted to a specific irrep.

$$\underline{C_i^\mu = \lambda_i^\mu \cdot \mathbb{1}_{V^\mu}}$$

We can also obtain λ_i^μ by noticing:

$$\begin{cases} X_\mu(C_i) = \sum_{g \in C_i} X_\mu(g) = m_i X([C_i]) \\ C_i \propto \mathbb{1}_{V^\mu} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{C_i^\mu = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} X_\mu([C_i]) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{V^\mu}} \quad (n_\mu = \dim V^\mu)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \lambda_i^\mu = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} X_\mu([C_i]) \quad \text{remaining two unknowns: } n_\mu, X_\mu$$

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{C_i} m_i X_\mu(C_i) \overline{X_\nu(C_i)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{C_i} m_i \lambda_i^\mu \overline{\lambda_i^\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \right)^2$$

$$n_\mu = \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\langle \lambda_i^\mu, \lambda_i^\mu \rangle}} = \langle \lambda_i^\mu, \lambda_i^\mu \rangle$$

$$X_\mu = \frac{\lambda_i^\mu}{\sqrt{\langle \lambda_i^\mu, \lambda_i^\mu \rangle}}$$

for different groups

- How to find a minimal CSO \rightarrow 陈金金

We will use a possibly "overcomplete" set:

There are in total t linearly independent C_i 's. We will try to diagonalize all of them.

$$c_i^{(k)} = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) \delta_{jk}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) \frac{m_j}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{kj}]) = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k \frac{m_k}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{kj}])$$

$$m_i \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) m_j \chi_\mu([C_{kj}]) = n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k m_k \chi_\mu([C_{kj}])$$

Now introduce a set of auxiliary variables $\{y^i\}$, $i=1, \dots, r$

(So we can differentiate between different c_i 's, $c_i \rightarrow c_i y^i$)

$$\Sigma LHS: \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i m_j \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) \chi_\mu([C_{kj}])}_{-} y^i = \sum_{i=1}^r (\psi_i y^i) \psi_j \quad (\psi_i = m_i \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]))$$

$$\Sigma RHS: \sum_{i=1}^r n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k m_k \chi_\mu([C_{kj}]) y^i = n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r L_j^k \psi_k$$

$$\text{Define } \lambda = \frac{1}{n_\mu} \sum_{i=1}^r \psi_i y^i \quad (L_j^k = \sum_i C_{ij}^k y^i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^r L_j^k \psi_k = \lambda \psi_j$$

Solving the eigen problem $(L - \lambda I) \psi = 0$

and obtain a set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_\mu\}$

$$(*) \quad \lambda_\mu = \frac{1}{n_\mu} \sum_{i=1}^r \underbrace{m_i \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) y^i}_{\psi_i} \quad \mu = 1, \dots, r$$

Note if we set $y^j = \delta_{ij}$, we recover our earlier λ_i^μ .

Now recall the orthogonality relation:

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{C_i} m_i \chi_\mu(G) \overline{\chi_\nu(C_i)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{ortho. of rows})$$

$$\stackrel{\mu=\nu}{\Rightarrow} \sum_{i=1}^r m_i |\chi_\mu([C_i])|^2 = |G|$$

$$|G| = |\chi_\mu([C_i])|^2 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{\chi_\mu([C_i])} \right|^2$$

$$= n_\mu^2 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow n_\mu = \left[\frac{|G|}{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

\curvearrowleft known from above (★)

Implementation in practice:-

$$S_3 : E; (12), (13), (23); (123), (132)$$

① Class operators: $C_1 = E$

$$C_2 = (12) + (13) + (23) \quad (12)(13) = (132)$$

$$C_3 = (123) + (132) \quad (12)(123) = (1)(23)$$

② Class multiplication table:

	C_1	C_2	C_3	
C_1	C_1	C_2	C_3	① explain underlined.
C_2	C_2	$\underline{3C_1 + 3C_3}$	$\underline{2C_2}$	② symmetric (\because abelian)
C_3	C_3	$\underline{2C_2}$	$\underline{2C_1 + C_3}$	

$$\textcircled{3} \quad L_j^k = \sum_i C_{ij}^k y^i \quad 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}$$

$$L_1^1 = C_{11}^1 y^1 + C_{21}^1 y^2 + C_{31}^1 y^3 = y^1 + 0 + 0$$

$$L_1^2 = \sum_i C_{1i}^2 y^i = y^2$$

$$L_1^3 = y^3$$

$$L_2^1 = \sum_i C_{i2}^1 y^i = 3y^2$$

$$L_2^2 = \sum_i C_{i2}^2 y^i \quad L_2^3 = \sum_i C_{i2}^3 y^i$$

$$L_3^1 = \sum_i C_{i3}^1 y^i \quad L_3^2 = \sum_i C_{i3}^2 y^i$$

$$L_3^3 = \sum_i C_{i3}^3 y^i$$

	c_1	c_2	c_3	
c_1	c_1	c_2	c_3	
c_2	c_1	c_2	$3c_1 + 3c_3$	$2c_2$
c_3	c_3	c_3	$2c_1 + c_3$	

$$\hat{L} = \begin{pmatrix} y^1 & y^2 & y^3 \\ 3y^2 & y^1 + 2y^3 & 3y^2 \\ 2y^3 & 2y^2 & y^1 + y^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y^1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y^2 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y^3$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_a = y^1 + 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_b = y^1 - 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_c = y^1 + 0y^2 - y^3 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\lambda_\mu = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i X_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu}} y^i$$

$$n_\mu = \left[\frac{|G|}{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{X_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Write in cols.



$$\textcircled{4} \quad X_a = n_a (1, 1, 1) \quad n_a = 1$$

$$X_b = n_b (1, -1, 1) \quad n_b = 1$$

$$X_c = n_c (1, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) \quad n_c = \left[\frac{6}{1+3+2 \cdot \frac{1}{4}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2$$

⑤ Character table

	[1]	$3[(12)]$	$2[(123)]$
1^+	1	1	1
1^-	1	-1	1
2	2	0	-1

Note that in the solution:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_a = y^1 + 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_b = y^1 - 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_c = y^1 + 0y^2 - y^3 \end{array} \right.$$

The eigenvalues of \hat{C}_2 is non-degenerate.

This defines a set of unique eigenvectors that diagonalizes all \hat{C}_i . Which means \hat{C}_2 is a CSCD by itself.

Again, see 例 1 for details of finding a minimal CSCD.