

Review of basic ideas of rep. theory.

Regular representation: $G \times G$

$$(g_1, g_2) \mapsto L(g_1) R(g_2^{-1})$$

$$(g_1, g_2)x = g_1 x g_2^{-1} \quad \begin{pmatrix} g_i \in G \\ x \in R_G \end{pmatrix}$$

Now consider $L \& R : G \rightarrow GL(R_G)$

→ restrict to subgroups $G \times \{1\}$, or $\{1\} \times G$.

$$LRR: L(g) \cdot x = gx$$

$$RRR: R(g)x = xg^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) \cdot x &= L(h) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_g x(g) \cdot g}_{=} = \sum_g x(g)(hg) = \sum_g x(h^{-1}g) \cdot g \\ &\equiv \sum_g [L(h) \cdot x](g) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

(View x also as functions on G . $x: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$)
 $g \mapsto x(g)$

$$\Rightarrow [L(h) \cdot x](g) = x(h^{-1}g)$$

$$([R(h) \cdot x](g) = x(g \cdot h))$$

Define inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \int_G \overline{x(g)} y(g) dg$$

$$\stackrel{\text{finite}}{=} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \overline{x(g)} y(g)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle L(h)x, L(h)y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \text{unitary reps}$$

We will use $L(h)$, h , δ_h etc. interchangeably

$$h = \sum_g h(g) \cdot g = 1 \cdot h \Rightarrow h(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g=h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(recover δ_h from before)

$$\underline{\delta_h \cdot \delta_g} = \sum_k (\sum_l \delta_{hl}(l) \delta_{lg}(l^{-1} \cdot k)) \cdot k = 1 \cdot (hg) = \underline{\delta_{hg}}$$

$l=h$
 $l^{-1} \cdot k=g$ $k=hg$

see h as left action: $\underline{L(h)\delta_g(g')} = \delta_g(h^{-1} \cdot g') = \delta_{hg}(g')$

$$\underline{L(h)\delta_g} = \delta_{hg}$$

group elements can be viewed both as operators and vectors on \mathbb{R}_G

Also. expand the class function on \mathbb{R}_G :

$$\underline{\delta_{C_i}(g)} = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in C_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{\delta_{C_i}} = \sum_{g \in G} \underline{\delta_{C_i}(g)} \cdot g = \sum_{g \in C_i} \underline{g}$$

(or view as class operators C_i)

where: $h C_i h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in C_i} hgh^{-1} = \sum_{g' \in C_i} g' = C_i$. C_i commutes with $h \in G$

8.13.2. Projectors onto invariant subspaces

$$V = \bigoplus_i W^i \quad \xrightarrow{\text{invariant subspace}}$$

Suppose. $V = W \oplus W^\perp$

Define projector P onto W .

$$\forall x \in V. \quad x = w + w^\perp \quad w \in \underline{W}, \quad w^\perp \in W^\perp$$

then $\underline{P}x = \underline{w} \quad \underline{g}w \in W$

$$\forall g \in G: \quad \underline{g}(P\underline{x}) = \underline{g}\underline{w} = \underline{P}(\underline{g}\underline{w}) = \underline{P} \underline{g}(\underline{w} + \underline{w}^\perp) = \underline{P} \underline{g} x$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{g}P = P\underline{g} \quad \underline{P} \text{ also commutes with } \forall g \in G.$$

$$\forall x \in R_G, \quad Px = \sum_g x(g) \cdot Pg = \underbrace{\sum_x x(g)}_{\text{def}} gPe = x Pe$$

Define $e' = Pe: \quad e'^2 = PePe = P^2e = Pe = e'$ idempotent
等幂元

then the invariant subspace is defined as

$$W = \{ xe': x \in R_G \} =: R_G \cdot e'$$

$$\{ Px : \forall x \in R_G \}$$

$$\text{If } P_1 + P_2 = \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow e = \mathbf{1}e = (P_1 + P_2)e = e_1 + e_2$$

$$P_1 P_2 = 0 \Rightarrow e_1 e_2 = 0$$

irreps: e' is primitive, can not be decomposed
into $e'_1 + e'_2$ ($e'_1 \neq 0, e'_2 \neq 0$)

Both C_i and P commutes with $\forall g \in G$. is it possible to find
 P 's onto irreps using C_i ?

8.13.3 Construction of character table

We've seen a few character tables for simple groups.

But how do we construct the character tables?

We present an algorithm to obtain them.

If we can find all the projectors onto irreps, or equivalently all the idempotents.

Some ideas:

Recall previously, a Hamiltonian H is an intertwiner. $[H, T(G)] = 0$

The eigenvectors $\{\psi_\mu\}$ span an invariant subspace W of the representation space $L^2(G)$:

$$H \psi_\mu = E_\mu \psi_\mu$$

$$\underline{H T(g) \psi_\mu} = T(g) H \psi_\mu = E_\mu \underline{T(g) \psi_\mu} \quad \forall g \in G$$

$T(g) \psi_\mu \in W, (\forall g \in G) \Rightarrow W$ is an invariant subspace,
i.e. a representation space

$$V \cong \bigoplus W^\mu$$

If W^μ is still reducible, find another operator that satisfies $[D, T(g)] = 0 \quad (\forall g \in G)$

With a complete set of commuting operators (CSO), we can achieve a complete reduction of representations / find all irreps!

This is an idea explored systematically by 陈金全 (南大).

- ① 陈金全 . «群表示论的新途径»
- ② English translation: Group representation theory for physicists . 2nd Ed.
World Scientific, 2002
- ③ The representation group and its application to space groups
RMP 57, 211 (1985)

First RMP of PRC.

To illustrate the idea, consider a finite group G .

with r conjugacy classes $[c_i]$ ($i=1, \dots, r$)

$|[c_i]| = m_i$. Correspondingly, r irreps V^k and characters χ_μ

What operator commutes with all elements of \mathbb{R}_G

The center of the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[R_G]$

is spanned by the class operators / functions

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}[R_G]: \quad c_i = \sum_{g \in G} g c_i g^{-1}$$

They have the following properties:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall h \in G, [c_i, h] = 0 : \quad h c_i h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} h g h^{-1} = c_i$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \forall i, j \quad [c_i, c_j] = 0 : \quad \text{because of } \textcircled{1}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{closed/complete: } c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k c_k, \quad (C_{ij}^k = c_{kj} \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ where}$$

C_{ij}^k the class multiplication coefficient., something we can easily compute given a group.

$$\underline{\text{Proof:}} \quad \forall h_{i1}, h_{i2} \in c_i, \exists g' \in G, \text{s.t. } h_{i1} = g' h_{i2} g'^{-1}$$

$$\sum_{g \in G} g h_{i1} g^{-1} = \sum_g g(g' h_{i2} g'^{-1}) \tilde{g} = \sum_g g h_{i2} \tilde{g}$$

$$m_i = |c_i| \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} g c_i g^{-1} = m_i \sum_{g \in G} g a_g \tilde{g}^{-1},$$

$$a_g \in c_i \quad \because \textcircled{1}, \text{LHS} = |G| \cdot c_i \quad \text{S-O theorem / class rep.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} g a_g \tilde{g}^{-1} = \frac{|G|}{m_i} c_i \quad |c_i| = \frac{|G|}{|\mathbb{Z}_G(g)|}$$

one element on LHS. then full class on RHS

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow c_i c_j = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(c_i c_j) \tilde{g}^{-1}$$

Any $g \in c_i c_j$. belongs to some c_k , then RHS contains full c_k

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k c_k} \quad (\star)$$

Should they be enough for finding all irreps of
 a group? Some arguments: we've mentioned before
 that $\{\delta_{C_i}\}$ is a complete basis for $L^2(G)^{\text{class}}$, so
 is $\{\chi_\mu\}$.

If we can diagonalize some/all C_i 's. and
decompose them into projectors / final idempotents.

From an algebraic point of view, E.g. (x) provided
us with a set of eigen problems.

$$\hat{C}_i \delta_{C_j} = \sum_{k=1}^r [C^i]_{jk} \delta_{C_k}$$

with $\{\delta_{C_i}\}$ an orthogonal basis: of class algebra
(recall inner product $\langle \delta_{C_j}, \delta_{C_k} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \delta_{C_j}(g) \delta_{C_k}(g) = \frac{m_j}{|G|} \delta_{jk}$)

Suppose for \hat{C}_i we find its eigenvectors $\{\phi^\mu\}$

$\hat{C}_i \phi^\mu = \lambda_i^\mu \phi^\mu$ $\lambda^\mu = \lambda^\nu$, or $\phi^\mu \phi^\nu = 0$
 then $\hat{C}_i(\phi^\mu \phi^\nu) = \lambda_i^\mu (\phi^\mu \phi^\nu) = \lambda^\nu (\phi^\mu \phi^\nu)$, i.e. $\phi^\mu \phi^\nu$ is also
 an eigen vector associated to λ_i^μ . Assuming λ_i^μ is nondegenerate.

then $\phi^\mu \phi^\nu = \alpha_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu} \phi^\mu$, α_μ some constant $\in \mathbb{C}$. (if \hat{C}_i is a
class)

Define $P^\mu = \alpha_i^{-1} \phi^\mu$, $P^\mu P^\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu} P^\mu$. if P^μ 's are the
primitive idempotents of R_G . \hookrightarrow projectors onto
1D space

and $C_i = \sum_{\mu=1}^r \lambda_i^\mu P^\mu$ is actually a linear combination
of projectors onto irreps.

What if there is degeneracy? Find another C_i that splits the degeneracy.

With a complete set of commuting operators (CSO) one can uniquely determine the P^{μ} 's.

- Note that when restricted to a specific irrep.

$$\underline{c_i^\mu} = \lambda_i^\mu \cdot \underline{1_{V^\mu}}$$

We can also obtain λ_i^μ by noticing:

$$\begin{cases} \chi_\mu(c_i) = \sum_{g \in C_i} \chi_\mu(g) = m_i \chi([C_i]) \\ c_i \propto 1_{V^\mu} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{c_i^\mu} = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_i]) \cdot \underline{1_{V^\mu}} \quad (n_\mu = \dim V^\mu)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \lambda_i^\mu = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_i]) \quad \text{remaining two unknowns: } n_\mu, \chi_\mu$$

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{c_i} m_i \chi_\mu(c_i) \overline{\chi_\nu(c_i)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{c_i} m_i \lambda_i^\mu \overline{\lambda_i^\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \right)^2$$

$$n_\mu = \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\langle \lambda_i^\mu, \lambda_i^\mu \rangle}} \quad = \langle \lambda_i^\nu, \lambda_i^\mu \rangle$$

$$\chi_\mu = \frac{\lambda_i^\mu}{\sqrt{\langle \lambda_i^\mu, \lambda_i^\mu \rangle}}$$

for different groups

- How to find a minimal CSO \rightarrow 陈金金

We will use a possibly "overcomplete" set:

There are in total r linearly independent C_i 's. We will try to diagonalize all of them.

$$c_i^{(n)} = \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{V^k}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \frac{m_i}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) \frac{m_j}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{kj}]) = \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k \frac{m_k}{n_\mu} \chi_\mu([C_{kj}])$$

$$\underline{m_i \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) m_j \chi_\mu([C_{kj}]) = n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k m_k \chi_\mu([C_{kj}])}$$

Now introduce a set of auxiliary variables $\{y^i, i=1, \dots, r\}$
 (so we can differentiate between different c_i 's, $c_i \rightarrow c_i y^i$)

$$\text{LHS : } \sum_{i=1}^r m_i m_j \underbrace{\chi_\mu([C_{ij}]) \chi_\mu([C_{kj}])}_{y^i} y^i = \sum_{i=1}^r (\psi_i y^i) \psi_j \quad (\psi_i = m_i \chi_\mu([C_{ij}]))$$

$$\text{RHS : } \sum_{i=1}^r n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ij}^k m_k \chi_\mu([C_{kj}]) \underbrace{y^i}_{\psi_i} = n_\mu \sum_{k=1}^r L_j^k \psi_k$$

$$\text{Define } \lambda = \frac{1}{n_\mu} \sum_{i=1}^r \psi_i y^i \quad (L_j^k = \sum_i C_{ij}^k y^i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^r L_j^k \psi_k = \lambda \psi_j$$

Solving the eigen problem $(L - \lambda I) \psi = 0$

and obtain a set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_\mu\}$

$$(*) \quad \lambda_\mu = \frac{1}{n_\mu} \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \underbrace{\chi_\mu([C_{ij}])}_{\psi_i} y^i \quad \mu = 1, \dots, r$$

Note if we set $y^j = \delta_{ij}$, we recover our earlier λ_i^μ .

Now recall the orthogonality relation:

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{C_i} m_i \chi_\mu(C_i) \overline{\chi_\nu(C_i)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{ortho. of rows})$$

$$\stackrel{\mu=\nu}{\Rightarrow} \sum_{i=1}^r m_i |\chi_\mu([C_i])|^2 = |G|$$

$$|G| = |\chi_\mu([C_i])|^2 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2$$

$$= n_\mu^2 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow n_\mu = \left[\frac{|G|}{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{\chi_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

known from above ()*

Implementation in practice:

$$S_3 : E; (12), (13), (23); (123), (132)$$

① class operators: $C_1 = E$

$$C_2 = (12) + (13) + (23) \quad (12)(13) = (132)$$

$$C_3 = (123) + (132) \quad (12)(123) = (1)(23)$$

② class multiplication table:

	C_1	C_2	C_3	① explain underlined. ② symmetric (\because abelian)
C_1	C_1	C_2	C_3	
C_2	C_2	$\underline{3C_1 + 3C_3}$	$\underline{2C_2}$	
C_3	C_3	$\underline{2C_2}$	$\underline{2C_1 + C_3}$	

$$③ L_j^k = \sum_i C_{ij}^k y^i \quad 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}$$

$$L_1^1 = C_{11}^1 y^1 + C_{21}^1 y^2 + C_{31}^1 y^3 = y^1 + 0 + 0$$

$$L_1^2 = \sum_i C_{i1}^2 y^i = y^2$$

$$L_1^3 = y^3$$

$$L_2^1 = \sum_i C_{i2}^1 y^i = 3y^2$$

$$L_2^2 = \sum_i C_{i2}^2 y^i \quad L_2^3 = \sum_i C_{i2}^3 y^i$$

$$L_3^1 = \sum_i C_{i3}^1 y^i \quad L_3^2 = \sum_i C_{i3}^2 y^i$$

$$L_3^3 = \sum_i C_{i3}^3 y^i$$

$$\hat{L} = \begin{pmatrix} y^1 & y^2 & y^3 \\ 3y^2 & y^1 + 2y^3 & 3y^2 \\ 2y^3 & 2y^2 & y^1 + y^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y^1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y^3$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_a = y^1 + 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_b = y^1 - 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_c = y^1 + 0y^2 - y^3 \end{array} \right.$$

write in col.

$$\lambda_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i x_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} y^i$$

$$n_\mu = \left[\frac{|G|}{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \left| \frac{x_\mu([C_i])}{n_\mu} \right|^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$④ x_a = n_a (1, 1, 1) \quad n_a = 1$$

$$x_b = n_b (-1, -1, 1) \quad n_b = 1$$

$$x_c = n_c (1, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) \quad n_c = \left[\frac{6}{1+3+2 \cdot \frac{1}{4}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2$$

⑤ character tab

	[1]	$3[(12)]$	$2[(123)]$
1^+	1	1	1
1^-	1	-1	1
2	2	0	-1

Note that in the solution:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_a = y^1 + 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_b = y^1 - 3y^2 + 2y^3 \\ \lambda_c = y^1 + 0y^2 - y^3 \end{array} \right.$$

The eigenvalues of \hat{C}_2 is non-degenerate.

This defines a set of unique eigenvectors that diagonalizes all \hat{C}_i . Which means \hat{C}_2 is a CSCD by itself.

Again, see [附录](#) for details of finding a minimal CSCD.