

STA 532 HW10 Due Apr 20

yi mi ym-154

$$\begin{aligned} 1. (a) \Pr(p_i < \alpha/m) &= \int_0^{\alpha/m} (1-y) p_0 + y f_1 dy \\ &= (1-y) \frac{\alpha}{m} + y F_1(\alpha/m) \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) H_0: y=0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0) &= \Pr(\text{any } p_j < \alpha/m | H_0) \\ &= \Pr(\text{any } p_j < \alpha/m | H_0) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(\text{all } p_j > \alpha/m | H_0) \\ &= 1 - \pi \Pr(p_j > \alpha/m) \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) \log(1 - \Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0)) = m \log(1 - (1-y) \frac{\alpha}{m} - y F_1(\alpha/m))$$

For small x , $\log(1-x) \approx -x$.

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0) &\approx -m [(1-y) \frac{\alpha}{m} + y F_1(\frac{\alpha}{m})] \\ \Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0) &\approx m [(1-y) \frac{\alpha}{m} + y F_1(\frac{\alpha}{m})] \end{aligned}$$

(d) For α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0)}{\partial \alpha} &= 1-y + my \frac{\partial F_1(\alpha/m)}{\partial \alpha} \\ &= 1-y + my \frac{\partial F_1(\alpha/m)}{\partial \alpha/m} \cdot \frac{\partial \alpha/m}{\partial \alpha} = 1-y + y \frac{\partial F_1(\alpha/m)}{\partial \alpha/m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 0 < \frac{\partial F_1(\alpha/m)}{\partial \alpha/m} < 1$$

$$-1 < \frac{\partial F_1(\alpha/m)}{\partial \alpha/m} - 1 < 0$$

$$1-y < y \left(\frac{\partial F_1(\alpha/m)}{\partial \alpha/m} - 1 \right) + 1 < 1$$

$$\therefore 1-y > 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0)}{\partial \alpha} > 0$$

thus, with α increasing, prob of rejection increasing.

For y_i

$$\frac{\partial \Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0)}{\partial y_i} = -\alpha + m F_i\left(\frac{\alpha}{m}\right)$$

thus, how the change of y influence the change of prob of rejection depends on F_i .

- (e) The Bonferroni procedure is applied when in need in a bay test scenario, which means that if P_i 's shape has sharp peak and F_i is not flat, the Bonferroni will have good power as $m \rightarrow \infty$

2. See Appendix

$$3. (1) L(\theta_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y_j - \theta_j)^2\right\}$$

$$L(\theta_j) = -\frac{1}{2}(y_j - \theta_j)^2 + c$$

$$\frac{\partial L(\theta_j)}{\partial \theta_j} = y_j - \theta_j = 0$$

The MLE for θ_j is $\hat{\theta}_j = y_j$

$$(2) H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_m = 0$$

$$\rightarrow 2 \log p(y_j | \theta) = m \log 2\pi + \sum (y_j - \theta_j)^2$$

$$\rightarrow 2 \log p(y_j | \theta_0) = m \log 2\pi + \sum y_j^2$$

$$\rightarrow 2 \log p(y_j | \theta) = m \log 2\pi + \sum (y_j - \hat{\theta}_j)^2 = m \log 2\pi$$

$$\rightarrow L = \sum y_j^2$$

level α testing:

choose C so that $\Pr(-2L > C | H_0) \approx \alpha$

reject H_0 if $-2L > \chi_{m, 1-\alpha}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \textcircled{1} \Pr(p_j < c) &= \Pr(L \times \Phi(-|y_j|) < c) \\ &= \Pr(L \times (1 - \Phi(|y_j|)) < c) \\ &= \Pr(\Phi(|y_j|) > 1 - c/2) \\ &= \Pr(|y_j| > \Phi^{-1}(1 - c/2)) \\ &= \Pr(|y_j| > z_{1-c/2}) \\ &= c/2 + c/2 = c \end{aligned}$$

thus, p_j has a uniform distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
\textcircled{2} \Pr(\text{rej } H_0 | H_0) &= \Pr(\text{rej } H_1 \text{ or } \text{rej } H_2 \dots \text{rej } H_m | H_0) \\
&= \Pr(\cup \{ p_j \leq \alpha/m \} | H_0) \\
&\leq \sum \Pr(p_j \leq \alpha/m | H_0) \\
&= \sum \alpha/m \\
&= \alpha
\end{aligned}$$

thus, this procedure will control the global error rate to be less than or equal to α , even if y_i 's are correlated

7. (a) From lecture notes, we have that for $p_j \sim (1-\gamma)P_0 + \gamma P_1$

$$\begin{aligned} FDR &= \frac{\#\{H_j\text{'s rej and equal to zero}\}}{\#\{H_j\text{'s rej}\}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{1}(P_j < \alpha_E \text{ and } H_j = 0)}{\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{1}(P_j < \alpha_E)} \\ &= \frac{\sum D_j (1 - H_j)}{D_j}, \text{ with } \alpha_E \text{ noted as type I error rate} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} FDR &= E(FDR) = E[\sum D_j E[(1 - H_j) | D_j] / \sum D_j] = E(1 - H | D=1) \\ &= Pr(H=0 | D=1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(H=0 | D=1) &= Pr(H=0 | p < \alpha_E) = \frac{Pr(p < \alpha_E | H=0) Pr(H=0)}{Pr(p < \alpha_E)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_E (1-\gamma)}{F(\alpha_E)} \end{aligned}$$

The pdf for beta distribution is

$$p_b(x) = \frac{(1-x)^{b-1} \Gamma(b+1)}{\Gamma(1) \Gamma(b)} = (1-x)^{b-1} b$$

The corresponding cdf is

$$F_b(x) = \int_0^x (1-x)^{b-1} \cdot b \, dx = 1 - (1-x)^b.$$

The cdf for uniform(0,1) is

$$F_u(x) = x \text{ in } (0,1)$$

Thus the mixture cdf is

$$F(x) = (1-\gamma)F_u + \gamma F_b = (1-\gamma)x + \gamma[1 - (1-x)^b]$$

To control the FDR at level α , we have

$$FDR = \frac{(1-\gamma)\alpha_E}{(1-\gamma)\alpha_E + \gamma[1 - (1-\alpha_E)^b]} = \alpha$$

(b) For mixture distribution

$$E[H(x)] = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i E[H(x_i)]$$

$$E[(x-\mu)^j] = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} (\mu_i - \mu)^{j-k} E[(x_i - \mu_i)^k]$$

We have the mean and variance of uniform and beta.

$$\text{Uniform}(0, 1) : E(x) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{Var}(x) = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$\text{beta}(1, b) : E(x) = \frac{1}{1+b}, \text{Var}(x) = \frac{b}{(1+b)^2(2+b)}$$

Therefore, the mean and variance of p_i are

$$E(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mu_i = (1-\gamma) \frac{1}{2} + \gamma \cdot \frac{1}{1+b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(p_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (\sigma_i^2 + \mu_i^2) - E(p_i)^2 \\ &= (1-\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{(1+b)^2} + \frac{b}{(1+b)^2(2+b)} \right) - \left[\frac{1-\gamma}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{1+b} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{12} (1-\gamma)^2 + \gamma^2 \frac{b}{(1+b)^2(b+2)} \end{aligned}$$

With observed values of $p_1 \dots p_m$

$$E(p_i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i = \bar{p}$$

$$\text{Var}(p_i) = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (p_i - \bar{p})^2 = s^2$$

With the equation of mean and variance,

$$\bar{p} = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{1+b}$$

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{12} (1-\gamma)^2 + \gamma^2 \frac{b}{(1+b)^2(b+2)}$$

STA 532 Homework 10 - Appendix

Yi Mi

Chi-square test

Situation 1

$\theta_1 \dots \theta_m \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, K/100)$ for $K \in \{1, 4, 16, 64\}$.

```
compute_prob.chi = function(K){
  m = 100
  N = 10000
  rej = 0
  for (n in 1:N){
    theta = rnorm(m, 0, sqrt(K/100))
    y = rnorm(m, theta, 1)
    obs = sum(y^2)
    p = pchisq(q = obs, df = m, lower.tail = FALSE)
    if(p<0.05){
      rej = rej + 1
    }
  }
  return(rej/N)
}

chia1 = compute_prob.chi(1)
chia4 = compute_prob.chi(4)
chia16 = compute_prob.chi(16)
chia64 = compute_prob.chi(64)

chi_a = c(chia1, chia4, chia16, chia64)
```

Situation 2

$\theta_1 = K$ and $\theta_2 = \dots = \theta_m = 0$, where $K \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

```
compute_prob.chi2 = function(K){
  m = 100
  N = 10000
  rej = 0
  for (n in 1:N){
    y = rnorm(m-1, 0, 1)
    theta1 = K
    y1 = rnorm(1, theta1, 1)
    obs = sum(y^2+y1)
    p = pchisq(q = obs, df = m, lower.tail = FALSE)
    if(p<0.05){
      rej = rej + 1
    }
  }
}
```

```

    }
    return(rej/N)
}

chib1 = compute_prob.chi2(1)
chib3 = compute_prob.chi2(3)
chib5 = compute_prob.chi2(5)
chib7 = compute_prob.chi2(7)
chi_b = c(chib1, chib3, chib5, chib7)

```

Bonferroni's procedure

Situation 1

$\theta_1 \dots \theta_m \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, K/100)$ for $K \in \{1, 4, 16, 64\}$.

```

compute_prob.b = function(K){
  m = 100
  N = 10000
  rej = 0
  for (n in 1:N){
    theta = rnorm(m, 0, sqrt(K/100))
    y = rnorm(m, theta, 1)
    p = 2*(1-pnorm(abs(y)))
    if(any(p<0.05/m)){
      rej = rej + 1
    }
  }
  return(rej/N)
}

bonfa1 = compute_prob.b(1)
bonfa4 = compute_prob.b(4)
bonfa16 = compute_prob.b(16)
bonfa64 = compute_prob.b(64)
bonf_a = c(bonfa1, bonfa4, bonfa16, bonfa64)

```

Situation 2

$\theta_1 = K$ and $\theta_2 = \dots = \theta_m = 0$, where $K \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

```

compute_prob.b2 = function(K){
  m = 100
  N = 10000
  rej = 0
  for (n in 1:N){
    y = rnorm(m-1, 0, 1)
    theta1 = K
    y[100] = rnorm(1, theta1, 1)
    p = 2*(1-pnorm(abs(y)))
  }
  return(rej/N)
}

```



```

    if(any(p<0.05/m)){
      rej = rej + 1
    }
  }
  return(rej/N)
}

bonfb1 = compute_prob.b2(1)
bonfb3 = compute_prob.b2(3)
bonfb5 = compute_prob.b2(5)
bonfb7 = compute_prob.b2(7)
bonf_b = c(bonfb1, bonfb3, bonfb5, bonfb7)

```

Fisher's procedure

Situation 1

$\theta_1 \dots \theta_m \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, K/100)$ for $K \in \{1, 4, 16, 64\}$.

```

compute_prob.f = function(K){
  m = 100
  N = 10000
  rej = 0
  for (n in 1:N){
    theta = rnorm(m, 0, sqrt(K/100))
    y = rnorm(m, theta, 1)
    p = 2*(1-pnorm(abs(y)))
    obs = -2*sum(log(p))
    P = pchisq(q = obs, df = 2*m, lower.tail = FALSE)
    if(P<0.05){
      rej = rej + 1
    }
  }
  return(rej/N)
}

fisha1 = compute_prob.f(1)
fisha4 = compute_prob.f(4)
fisha16 = compute_prob.f(16)
fisha64 = compute_prob.f(64)
fish_a = c(fisha1, fisha4, fisha16, fisha64)

```

Situation 2

$\theta_1 = K$ and $\theta_2 = \dots = \theta_m = 0$, where $K \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

```

compute_prob.f2 = function(K){
  m = 100
  N = 10000
  rej = 0

```

```

for (n in 1:N){
  y = rnorm(m-1, 0, 1)
  theta1 = K
  y[100] = rnorm(1, theta1, 1)
  p = 2*(1-pnorm(abs(y)))
  obs = -2*sum(log(p))
  P = pchisq(q = obs, df = 2*m, lower.tail = FALSE)
  if(P<0.05){
    rej = rej + 1
  }
}
return(rej/N)
}

fishb1 = compute_prob.f2(1)
fishb3 = compute_prob.f2(3)
fishb5 = compute_prob.f2(5)
fishb7 = compute_prob.f2(7)
fish_b = c(fishb1, fishb3, fishb5, fishb7)

```

Conclusion

```

df.1 = t(data.frame('Chi-square' = chi_a,
                    'Bonferroni' = bonf_a,
                    'Fisher' = fish_a))
colnames(df.1) = c('K=1', 'K=4', 'K=16', 'K=64')
df.1%>%kable(caption = 'Situation 1 in (a)')

```

Table 1: Situation 1 in (a)

	K=1	K=4	K=16	K=64
Chi.square	0.0550	0.0856	0.2878	0.9666
Bonferroni	0.0531	0.0629	0.1162	0.4844
Fisher	0.0579	0.0859	0.2935	0.9658

```

df.2 = t(data.frame('Chi-square' = chi_b,
                    'Bonferroni' = bonf_b,
                    'Fisher' = fish_b))
colnames(df.2) = c('K=1', 'K=3', 'K=5', 'K=7')
df.2%>%kable(caption = 'Situation 2 in (b)')

```

Table 2: Situation 2 in (b)

	K=1	K=3	K=5	K=7
Chi.square	0.7660	0.9961	1.0000	1.0000
Bonferroni	0.0542	0.3554	0.9362	0.9998
Fisher	0.0606	0.1348	0.3712	0.7674