COMP2610 / COMP6261 Information Theory Lecture 4: Bayesian Inferencee

Thushara Abhayapala

Audio & Acoustic Signal Processing Group School of Engineering, College of Engineering & Computer Science The Australian National University, Canberra. Australia.



Last time

Examples of joint, marginal and conditional distributions

• When can we say that X, Y do not influence each other?

$$p(X=x,Y=y) = p(X=x) p(Y=y)$$

• What, if anything, does p(X = x | Y = y) tell us about p(Y = y | X = x)?

Review

What, if anything, does p(X = x | Y = y) tell us about p(Y = y | X = x)? Recall

$$p(Y = y | X = x) = \frac{p(Y = y, X = x)}{P(X = x)}$$

$$= \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{P(X = x)}$$

$$= \frac{p(X = x | Y = y)P(Y = y)}{P(X = x)}$$

$$= \frac{p(X = x | Y = y)P(Y = y)}{\sum_{y} p(X = x, Y = y)}$$

$$= \frac{p(X = x | Y = y)P(Y = y)}{\sum_{y} p(X = x | Y = y)P(Y = y)}$$

Suppose we have binary random variables X, Y such that

$$p(X = 1) = 0.6$$

 $p(Y = 1|X = 0) = 0.7$
 $p(Y = 1|X = 1) = 0.8$

$$p(X = 1 | Y = 1) =$$

Suppose we have binary random variables X, Y such that

$$p(X = 1) = 0.6$$

 $p(Y = 1|X = 0) = 0.7$
 $p(Y = 1|X = 1) = 0.8$

$$p(X = 1 | Y = 1) = \frac{p(Y = 1 | X = 1)p(X = 1)}{p(Y = 1)}$$
 Bayes' rule

Suppose we have binary random variables X, Y such that

$$p(X = 1) = 0.6$$

 $p(Y = 1|X = 0) = 0.7$
 $p(Y = 1|X = 1) = 0.8$

$$p(X = 1|Y = 1) = \frac{p(Y = 1|X = 1)p(X = 1)}{p(Y = 1)}$$
 Bayes' rule
=
$$\frac{p(Y = 1|X = 1)p(X = 1)}{p(Y = 1|X = 1)p(X = 1) + p(Y = 1|X = 0)p(X = 0)}$$

Suppose we have binary random variables X, Y such that

$$p(X = 1) = 0.6$$

 $p(Y = 1|X = 0) = 0.7$
 $p(Y = 1|X = 1) = 0.8$

$$p(X = 1|Y = 1) = \frac{p(Y = 1|X = 1)p(X = 1)}{p(Y = 1)}$$
 Bayes' rule

$$= \frac{p(Y = 1|X = 1)p(X = 1)}{p(Y = 1|X = 1)p(X = 1) + p(Y = 1|X = 0)p(X = 0)}$$

$$= \frac{(0.8)(0.6)}{(0.8)(0.6) + (0.7)(0.4)}$$

$$\approx 0.63$$

This time

- More examples on Bayes' theorem:
 - Eating hamburgers

Detecting terrorists

- ► The Monty Hall problem
- Are there notions of probability beyond frequency counting?

Outline

- Bayes' Rule: Examples
 - Eating Hamburgers
 - Detecting Terrorists
 - The Monty Hall Problem
- Moments for functions of two discrete Random Variables
- The meaning of Probability
- Wrapping Up

- Bayes' Rule: Examples
 - Eating Hamburgers
 - Detecting Terrorists
 - The Monty Hall Problem
- 2 Moments for functions of two discrete Random Variables
- The meaning of Probability
- Wrapping Up

Example 1 (Barber, BRML, 2011)

90% of people with McD syndrome are frequent hamburger eaters

Example 1 (Barber, BRML, 2011)

• 90% of people with McD syndrome are frequent hamburger eaters

Probability of someone having McD syndrome: 1/10000

Example 1 (Barber, BRML, 2011)

• 90% of people with McD syndrome are frequent hamburger eaters

Probability of someone having McD syndrome: 1/10000

Proportion of hamburger eaters is about 50%

Example 1 (Barber, BRML, 2011)

• 90% of people with McD syndrome are frequent hamburger eaters

Probability of someone having McD syndrome: 1/10000

Proportion of hamburger eaters is about 50%

What is the probability that a hamburger eater will have McD syndrome?

Example 1: Formalization

Example 1: Formalization

$$p(H = 1|McD = 1) = 9/10$$

Example 1: Formalization

$$p(H = 1|McD = 1) = 9/10$$
 $p(McD = 1) = 10^{-4}$

Example 1: Formalization

$$p(H = 1|McD = 1) = 9/10$$
 $p(McD = 1) = 10^{-4}$
 $p(H = 1) = 1/2$

Example 1: Formalization

Let $McD \in \{0,1\}$ be the variable denoting having the McD syndrome and $H \in \{0,1\}$ be the variable denoting a hamburger eater. Therefore:

$$p(H = 1|McD = 1) = 9/10$$
 $p(McD = 1) = 10^{-4}$
 $p(H = 1) = 1/2$

We need to compute p(McD = 1|H = 1), the probability of a hamburger eater having McD syndrome.

Example 1: Formalization

Let $McD \in \{0,1\}$ be the variable denoting having the McD syndrome and $H \in \{0,1\}$ be the variable denoting a hamburger eater. Therefore:

$$p(H = 1|McD = 1) = 9/10$$
 $p(McD = 1) = 10^{-4}$
 $p(H = 1) = 1/2$

We need to compute p(McD = 1|H = 1), the probability of a hamburger eater having McD syndrome.

Any ballpark estimates of this probability?

Example 1: Solution

$$p(McD = 1|H = 1) = \frac{p(H = 1|McD = 1)p(McD = 1)}{p(H = 1)}$$
$$= 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$$

Example 1: Solution

$$p(McD = 1|H = 1) = \frac{p(H = 1|McD = 1)p(McD = 1)}{p(H = 1)}$$

= 1.8 × 10⁻⁴

Repeat the above computation if the proportion of hamburger eaters is rather small: (say in France) 0.001.

From understandinguncertainty.org

Scanner detects true terrorists with 95% accuracy

From understandinguncertainty.org

Scanner detects true terrorists with 95% accuracy

Scanner detects upstanding citizens with 95% accuracy

From understandinguncertainty.org

Scanner detects true terrorists with 95% accuracy

Scanner detects upstanding citizens with 95% accuracy

There is 1 terrorist on your plane with 100 passengers aboard

From understandinguncertainty.org

Scanner detects true terrorists with 95% accuracy

Scanner detects upstanding citizens with 95% accuracy

There is 1 terrorist on your plane with 100 passengers aboard

The shifty looking man sitting next to you tests positive (terrorist)

From understandinguncertainty.org

Scanner detects true terrorists with 95% accuracy

Scanner detects upstanding citizens with 95% accuracy

There is 1 terrorist on your plane with 100 passengers aboard

The shifty looking man sitting next to you tests positive (terrorist)

What are the chances of this man being a terrorist?

Simple Solution Using "Natural Frequencies" (David Spiegelhalter)




Figure reproduced from understandinguncertainty.org

Simple Solution Using "Natural Frequencies" (David Spiegelhalter)




Figure reproduced from understandinguncertainty.org

The chances of the man being a terrorist are $\approx \frac{1}{6}$

Simple Solution Using "Natural Frequencies" (David Spiegelhalter)




Figure reproduced from understandinguncertainty.org

The chances of the man being a terrorist are $\approx \frac{1}{6}$

- Relation to disease example
- Consequences when catching criminals

Formalization with Actual Probabilities

Let $T \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the variable regarding whether the person is a terrorist and $S \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the outcome of the scanner.

Formalization with Actual Probabilities

Let $T \in \{0,1\}$ denote the variable regarding whether the person is a terrorist and $S \in \{0,1\}$ denote the outcome of the scanner.

$$p(S = 1|T = 1) = 0.95$$
 $p(S = 0|T = 1) = 0.05$

Formalization with Actual Probabilities

Let $T \in \{0,1\}$ denote the variable regarding whether the person is a terrorist and $S \in \{0,1\}$ denote the outcome of the scanner.

$$p(S = 1|T = 1) = 0.95$$
 $p(S = 0|T = 1) = 0.05$
 $p(S = 0|T = 0) = 0.95$ $p(S = 1|T = 0) = 0.05$

Formalization with Actual Probabilities

Let $T \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the variable regarding whether the person is a terrorist and $S \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the outcome of the scanner.

$$p(S = 1 | T = 1) = 0.95$$
 $p(S = 0 | T = 1) = 0.05$
 $p(S = 0 | T = 0) = 0.95$ $p(S = 1 | T = 0) = 0.05$
 $p(T = 1) = 0.01$ $p(T = 0) = 0.99$

Formalization with Actual Probabilities

Let $T \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the variable regarding whether the person is a terrorist and $S \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the outcome of the scanner.

$$p(S = 1|T = 1) = 0.95$$
 $p(S = 0|T = 1) = 0.05$
 $p(S = 0|T = 0) = 0.95$ $p(S = 1|T = 0) = 0.05$
 $p(T = 1) = 0.01$ $p(T = 0) = 0.99$

We want to compute p(T = 1|S = 1), the probability of the man being a terrorist given that he has tested positive.

Solution with Bayes' Rule

$$p(T=1|S=1) = \frac{p(S=1|T=1)p(T=1)}{p(S=1|T=1)p(T=1) + p(S=1|T=0)p(T=0)}$$

Solution with Bayes' Rule

$$p(T = 1|S = 1) = \frac{p(S = 1|T = 1)p(T = 1)}{p(S = 1|T = 1)p(T = 1) + p(S = 1|T = 0)p(T = 0)}$$
$$= \frac{(0.95)(0.01)}{(0.95)(0.01) + (0.05)(0.99)}$$

Solution with Bayes' Rule

$$p(T = 1|S = 1) = \frac{p(S = 1|T = 1)p(T = 1)}{p(S = 1|T = 1)p(T = 1) + p(S = 1|T = 0)p(T = 0)}$$

$$= \frac{(0.95)(0.01)}{(0.95)(0.01) + (0.05)(0.99)}$$

$$\approx 0.16$$

Solution with Bayes' Rule

$$p(T = 1|S = 1) = \frac{p(S = 1|T = 1)p(T = 1)}{p(S = 1|T = 1)p(T = 1) + p(S = 1|T = 0)p(T = 0)}$$

$$= \frac{(0.95)(0.01)}{(0.95)(0.01) + (0.05)(0.99)}$$

$$\approx 0.16$$

The probability of the man being a terrorist is $\approx \frac{1}{6}$

Posterior Versus Prior Belief

While the man has a low probability of being a terrorist, our belief has increased compared to our prior:

$$\frac{p(T=1|S=1)}{p(T=1)} = \frac{0.16}{0.01} = 16$$

i.e. our belief in him being a terrorist has gone up by a factor of 16

Since terrorists are so rare, a factor of 16 does not result in a very high (absolute) probability or belief

(Aside: They are indeed very rare. For an intruiging (and surprising) example of the implications of inability to take account of actual base rates (in the example above we made the numbers up), and the effect on people's subsequent decisions, see Gerd Gigerenzer, Dread Risk, September 11, and Fatal Traffic Accidents, Psychological Science 15(4), 286–287, (2004); Gerd Gigerenzer, Out of the Frying Pan into the Fire: Behavioural Reactions to Terrorist Attacks, Risk Analysis 26(2), 347–351 (2006). His calculation (which of course is based on some assumptions) is that in the year following 9/11, 6 times the number of people who were killed as passengers additionally died on roads (that is the increase in road deaths due to people chosing to drive instead of flying)! He calls the reaction to very low probability events with a bad outcome "dread risk".)

Problem Statement

• Three boxes, one with a prize and the other two are empty

- Three boxes, one with a prize and the other two are empty
- Each box has equal probability of having the prize

- Three boxes, one with a prize and the other two are empty
- Each box has equal probability of having the prize
- Your goal is to pick up the box with the prize in it

- Three boxes, one with a prize and the other two are empty
- Each box has equal probability of having the prize
- Your goal is to pick up the box with the prize in it
- You select one of the boxes

- Three boxes, one with a prize and the other two are empty
- Each box has equal probability of having the prize
- Your goal is to pick up the box with the prize in it
- You select one of the boxes
- The host, who knows the location of the prize, opens the empty box out of the other two boxes

Problem Statement

- Three boxes, one with a prize and the other two are empty
- Each box has equal probability of having the prize
- Your goal is to pick up the box with the prize in it
- You select one of the boxes
- The host, who knows the location of the prize, opens the empty box out of the other two boxes

Should you switch to the other box? Would that increase your chances of winning the prize?

Formalization

Let $C \in \{r, g, b\}$ denote the box that contains the prize where r, g, b refer to the identity of each box.

Formalization

Let $C \in \{r, g, b\}$ denote the box that contains the prize where r, g, b refer to the identity of each box.

WLOG assume the following:

You have selected box r

Formalization

Let $C \in \{r, g, b\}$ denote the box that contains the prize where r, g, b refer to the identity of each box.

- You have selected box r
- Denote the event: "the host opens box b" with H=b

Formalization

Let $C \in \{r, g, b\}$ denote the box that contains the prize where r, g, b refer to the identity of each box.

- You have selected box r
- Denote the event: "the host opens box b" with H=b

$$P(C = r) = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $p(C = g) = \frac{1}{3}$ $p(C = b) = \frac{1}{3}$

Formalization

Let $C \in \{r, g, b\}$ denote the box that contains the prize where r, g, b refer to the identity of each box.

- You have selected box r
- Denote the event: "the host opens box b" with H=b

$$P(C = r) = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $p(C = g) = \frac{1}{3}$ $p(C = b) = \frac{1}{3}$ $p(H = b|C = r) = \frac{1}{2}$ $p(H = b|C = g) = 1$ $p(H = b|C = b) = 0$

Formalization

Let $C \in \{r, g, b\}$ denote the box that contains the prize where r, g, b refer to the identity of each box.

WLOG assume the following:

- You have selected box r
- Denote the event: "the host opens box b" with H=b

$$P(C = r) = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $p(C = g) = \frac{1}{3}$ $p(C = b) = \frac{1}{3}$ $p(H = b|C = r) = \frac{1}{2}$ $p(H = b|C = g) = 1$ $p(H = b|C = b) = 0$

We want to compute p(C = r|H = b) and p(C = g|H = b) to decide if we should switch from our initial choice.

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b|C = c)p(C = c)$$

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b|C = c)p(C = c)$$
$$= (1/2)(1/3) + (1)(1/3) + (0)(1/3)$$

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b|C = c)p(C = c)$$
$$= (1/2)(1/3) + (1)(1/3) + (0)(1/3)$$
$$= 1/2$$

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b|C = c)p(C = c)$$
$$= (1/2)(1/3) + (1)(1/3) + (0)(1/3)$$
$$= 1/2$$

Therefore:

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b|C = c)p(C = c)$$
$$= (1/2)(1/3) + (1)(1/3) + (0)(1/3)$$
$$= 1/2$$

Therefore:

$$p(C = r|H = b) = \frac{p(H = b|C = r)p(C = r)}{p(H = b)}$$

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b | C = c) p(C = c)$$
$$= (1/2) (1/3) + (1) (1/3) + (0) (1/3)$$
$$= 1/2$$

Therefore:

$$p(C = r|H = b) = \frac{p(H = b|C = r)p(C = r)}{p(H = b)} = \frac{(1/2)(1/3)}{(1/2)} = 1/3$$

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b|C = c)p(C = c)$$
$$= (1/2)(1/3) + (1)(1/3) + (0)(1/3)$$
$$= 1/2$$

Therefore:

$$p(C = r|H = b) = \frac{p(H = b|C = r)p(C = r)}{p(H = b)} = \frac{(1/2)(1/3)}{(1/2)} = 1/3$$

Similarly, p(C = g|H = b) = 2/3.

Solution

We have that:

$$p(H = b) = \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} p(H = b|C = c)p(C = c)$$
$$= (1/2)(1/3) + (1)(1/3) + (0)(1/3)$$
$$= 1/2$$

Therefore:

$$p(C = r|H = b) = \frac{p(H = b|C = r)p(C = r)}{p(H = b)} = \frac{(1/2)(1/3)}{(1/2)} = 1/3$$

Similarly, p(C = g|H = b) = 2/3.

You should switch from your initial choice to the other box in order to increase your chances of winning the prize!

Illustration of the Solution




Illustration of the solution when you have initially selected box r.

Another Perspective

Switching is bad if, and only if, we initially picked the prize box (because if not, the other remaining box must contain the prize)

We picked the prize box with probability 1/3. This is independent of the host's action

Hence, with probability 2/3, switching will reveal the prize box

Variants to Ponder

Would switching be rational if:

• The host only revealed a box when he knew we picked the right one?

• The host only revealed a box when he knew we picked the wrong one?

 The host is himself unaware of the prize box, and reveals a box at random, which by chance does not have the prize?

- Bayes' Rule: Examples
 - Eating Hamburgers
 - Detecting Terrorists
 - The Monty Hall Problem
- Moments for functions of two discrete Random Variables
- The meaning of Probability
- Wrapping Up

The Expected Value of a Function of Two Discrete Random Variables

(Assuming you have met Expectation E[X] and Variance Var(X) before...)

The expected value of a function g(X, Y) of two discrete random variables is defined as

$$E[g(X, Y)] = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} g(x, y) p(X = x, Y = y).$$
 (1)

In particular, the expected value of X is given by

$$E[X] = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} xp(X = x, Y = y).$$
 (2)

It should be noted that if we have already calculated the marginal distribution of X, then it is simpler to calculate E[X] using this.

Covariance and the Correlation Coefficient

The covariance between X and Y, Cov(X, Y) is given by

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$$
(3)

Note that by definition Cov(X, X) = E(XX) - E(X)E(X) = Var(X). The coefficient of correlation between X and Y is given by

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)\operatorname{Var}(Y)}} \tag{4}$$

Always in [-1, 1].

Discrete random variables X and Y have the following joint distribution:

	Y = -1	<i>Y</i> = 0	<i>Y</i> = 1
<i>X</i> = 0	0	<u>1</u> 3	0
<i>X</i> = 1	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>1</u> 3

Calculate

- marginal distributions of X and Y
- expected values and variances of X and Y
- coefficient of correlation between X and Y

Are X and Y independent?

To calculated the probability of such an event, note that we sum over all the cells which correspond to that event. Hence,

$$p(X > Y) = p(X = 0, Y = -1) + p(X = 1, Y = -1)$$

 $+ p(X = 1, Y = 0) = \frac{1}{3}$

Recall that

$$p(X=x)=\sum_{y}p(X=x,Y=y).$$

Hence,

$$p(X = 0) = \sum_{y=-1}^{1} p(X = 0, Y = y) = 0 + \frac{1}{3} + 0 = \frac{1}{3}$$
$$p(X = 1) = \sum_{y=-1}^{1} p(X = 1, Y = y) = \frac{1}{3} + 0 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$$

Note that after obtaining p(X = 0), we could calculate p(X = 1) by using the fact that

$$p(X = 1) = 1 - p(X = 0),$$
 (5)

since X only takes the values 0 and 1.

Similarly,

$$p(Y = -1) = \sum_{x=0}^{1} p(X = x, Y = -1) = 0 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$$
$$p(Y = 0) = \sum_{x=0}^{1} p(X = x, Y = 0) = \frac{1}{3} + 0 = \frac{1}{3}$$
$$p(Y = 1) = 1 - p(Y = -1) - p(Y = 0) = \frac{1}{3}$$

We then calculate the expected values and variances of X and Y from these marginal distributions.

$$E(X) = \sum_{x=0}^{1} x p(X = x) = 0 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$E(Y) = \sum_{y=-1}^{1} y \, p(Y=y) = -1 \times \frac{1}{3} + 0 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0.$$

To calculate the variances of X and Y, $\mathrm{Var}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Var}(Y)$, we use the formula

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2$$
.

$$E(X^2) = \sum_{x=0}^{1} x^2 p(X = x) = 0^2 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1^2 \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$E(Y^2) = \sum_{Y=-1}^{1} y^2 p(Y=y) = (-1)^2 \times \frac{1}{3} + 0^2 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1^2 \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Thus we get

$$Var(X) = \frac{2}{3} - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 = \frac{2}{9}$$
$$Var(Y) = \frac{2}{3} - (0)^2 = \frac{2}{3}$$

Example

To calculate the correlation coefficient, we first calculate the covariance between X and Y. We have

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$$

where

$$E(XY) = \sum_{x=0}^{1} \sum_{y=-1}^{1} xy \, p(X = x, Y = y)$$
$$= 0(-1)0 + 0(0)\frac{1}{3} + 0(1)0 + 1(-1)\frac{1}{3} + 1(0)0 + 1(1)\frac{1}{3} = 0$$

Thus we get

$$Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y) = 0 - \frac{2}{3} \times 0 = 0.$$

From the definition of the correlation coefficient,

$$\rho(X,Y)=0.$$

Example - is X and Y independent

We have that

$$p(X = 0, Y = -1) = 0 \neq p(X = 0)p(Y = -1) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2$$

- Bayes' Rule: Examples
 - Eating Hamburgers
 - Detecting Terrorists
 - The Monty Hall Problem
- 2 Moments for functions of two discrete Random Variables
- The meaning of Probability
- Wrapping Up

Frequentist: Frequencies of random repeatable experiments

Frequentist: Frequencies of random repeatable experiments

• E.g. Prob. of biased coin landing "Heads"

Frequentist: Frequencies of random repeatable experiments

E.g. Prob. of biased coin landing "Heads"

Bayesian: Degrees of Belief

Frequentist: Frequencies of random repeatable experiments

E.g. Prob. of biased coin landing "Heads"

Bayesian: Degrees of Belief

 E.g. Prob. of Tasmanian Devil disappearing by the end of this decade

Frequentist: Frequencies of random repeatable experiments

E.g. Prob. of biased coin landing "Heads"

Bayesian: Degrees of Belief

 E.g. Prob. of Tasmanian Devil disappearing by the end of this decade

Cox Axioms

Given B(x), $B(\bar{x})$, B(x,y), B(x|y), B(y):

- Degrees of belief can be ordered
- $B(x) = f[B(\bar{x})]$

Frequentist: Frequencies of random repeatable experiments

E.g. Prob. of biased coin landing "Heads"

Bayesian: Degrees of Belief

 E.g. Prob. of Tasmanian Devil disappearing by the end of this decade


Cox Axioms

Given B(x), $B(\bar{x})$, B(x,y), B(x|y), B(y):

- Degrees of belief can be ordered
- $B(x) = f[B(\bar{x})]$
- **3** B(x, y) = g[B(x|y), B(y)]

If a set of Beliefs satisfy these axioms they can be mapped onto probabilities satisfying the rules of probability.

Frequentists versus Bayesians: Round I



Frequentists versus Bayesians: Round II




Image from http://normaldeviate.wordpress.com/2012/11/09/anti-xkcd/

In practice one needs to make use of both interpretations. Wise to be open to both. This is a huge topic which we can not get into further here. Note that Mackay was firmly in the Bayesian camp...

- Bayes' Rule: Examples
 - Eating Hamburgers
 - Detecting Terrorists
 - The Monty Hall Problem
- 2 Moments for functions of two discrete Random Variables
- The meaning of Probability
- Wrapping Up

Summary

- Examples of application of Bayes' rule
 - Formalization

- Solution by applying Bayes' theorem
- Intuition is usually helpful although it may sometimes deceive us

Frequentist v Bayesian probabilities

Cox axioms

Next time

Working through some useful probability distributions

More on Bayesian inference

Acknowledgement

These slides were originally developed by Professor Robert C. Williamson.