MATH1005/MATH6005: Discrete Mathematical Models

Adam Piggott

Semester 1, 2021

Section A: The language of mathematics and computer science

Part 2: Sets

References

The ideas about sets that we cover in the next four lectures are covered in the following sections in our optional text:

3ed: Chapter 5

4ed: Chapter 6

5ed: Sections 1.2-1.3 and Chapter 6

Lecture 4: Introduction to sets

Sets and elements

A **set** is a collection of **elements**.

This is an intuitive statement, but cannot be considered a definition because we do not give a precise definition of "collection" or "element" (other than saying they are the things that are in sets). Even so, this is where we start.

The notation $a \in S$ is read "a is an element of S".

The notation $a \notin S$ is read "a is not an element of S".

Axiom of extensionality

A set is determined by what its elements are. No importance is placed on the order in which elements are considered, or how many times an element appears in the set. Membership is the only things that matters.

Methods for describing a set

To effectively communicate which set you are talking about, you may:

- Describe S with language that communicates the precise nature of the set
- Use set-roster notation
- Use set-builder notation

Some important sets described with language

We write \emptyset for the **emptyset**. It is the set with no elements

Let \mathbb{Z}^+ denote the set of **positive integers** (sometimes called the natural numbers).

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of **non-negative integers** (sometimes called the natural numbers). Note that $0 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$ but $0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let \mathbb{Z} denote the set of **integers**.

Let \mathbb{Q} denote the set of **rational numbers**.

Let \mathbb{R} denote the set of **real numbers**.

Example: Specifying membership with precision

Which, if any, of the following sentences define sets:

- A. Let E denote the set of Australian species that are endangered.
- B. Let E denote the set of Australian species that are officially endangered.
- C. Let E denote the set of species that are currently listed under Section 178 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act).

Example: Specifying membership with precision

- Which, if any, of the following sentences define sets:
- A. Let P denote the set of all (computer) programs.
- B. Let P denote the set of all programs written in the language C.
- C. Let P denote the set of all correct programs written in the language C.
- E. Let *P* denote the set of programs written in the language C that terminate in finite time.
- F. Let *P* denote the set of programs written in the language C that accept no input from the user and will run to completion without a run-time error in finite time.

Set-roster notation

A set *S* may be specified using the set-roster notation by:

- writing all of its elements, with elements separated by commas, and the entire collection enclosed by braces;
- writing some elements and ellipses (ellipses are ...
 that read "and so on"), enclosing the entire
 description between braces. The elements written
 must establish enough of a pattern, in a way that is
 obvious to the reader, that it becomes clear how the
 pattern continues and whether or not the pattern
 ends at some point.

Examples

Describe each of the following sets in words.

$$S = \{Mr Cookie, Lewis, Goblin\}$$

$$S = \{\ldots, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \ldots\}$$

$$T = \{2, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$$

$$U = \{3, 5, 7, \dots, 19\}$$

Examples

Describe each of the following sets in words.

 $S = \{Mr Cookie, Lewis, Goblin\}.$

The names of guinea pigs that live in Adam's house.

$$S = \{ \dots -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots \}.$$

The odd integers.

$$T = \{2, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}.$$

The prime numbers.

$$U = \{3, 5, 7, \dots, 19\}.$$

This could be "The odd primes less than 20" or "The odd integers between 2 and 20." As soon as we identify two reasonable interpretations, we know this description needs improvement to be effective.

Axiom of extensionality again

Recall: A set is determined by what its elements are. No importance is placed on the order in which elements are considered, or how many times an element appears in the set.

So
$$\{a, b, c\} = \{c, b, a\} = \{a, a, a, a, a, b, b, c\}$$

Set-builder notation

We use a predicate to describe membership. Recall that a predicate is a sentence that involves at least one variable, and the domain of the variable must be specified. Let p(x) be a predicate defined over a domain D. Then we write

$$\{x \in D \mid p(x)\}$$

for "the set of all x in D for which p(x) is true."

Note: The use of | to separate the specification of the domain from the predicate is not universal. Other commonly used symbols include ':' and ';'. In all cases, the symbol may be read as "such that" or "for which".

Universe of discourse

In any mathematical context, there is usually a type of object you are thinking about. We will usually consider sets that are subsets of a set U, called the **universe of discourse**, or **universal set**. This often forms the domain of the predicates we use to describe sets in a given situation.

For example, in one context the universe of discourse may be the set of positive integers, while in another it may by the set of connected graphs (we will find out about these later).

The importance of having a universe of discourse will be explored later.

An Example

Example:

$$S = \{\underbrace{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \underline{x^2 + 2x - 15} = 0}\}.$$
 domain predicate

The expression may be read aloud as:

"S is equal to the set of all x from the set of integers such that $x^2 + 2x - 15 = 0$." or

"S is equal to the set of all integers x such that $x^2 + 2x - 15 = 0$."

"S is equal to the set of all integers x for which $x^2 + 2x - 15 = 0$."

Sets can be easy to define, but hard to understand

Example: Let $T = \{ p \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid p \text{ and } p + 2 \text{ are both prime} \}$.

I can, for example, tell you that $3, 11, 17 \in T$ and $6, 7 \notin T$.

If you give me any positive integer n, and leave me alone long enough, I can tell you whether or not $n \in T$.

The statement:

The set T has infinitely many elements

is known as the Twin Prime Conjecture. It has been studied since 1849. At the time of writing, no one knows whether the statement is true or false.

Subsets

Let A and B be sets. We say that A is a **subset** of B, or A is contained in B, and we write $A \subseteq B$, when every element of A is also an element of B. Symbolically,

$$A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \forall x \ (x \in A \to x \in B).$$

You may say that \subseteq is the set theoretic analogue of IMLIES in logic.

Understanding $A \not\subseteq B$

We often indicate that the negation of a statement is true by placing a diagonal slash through the key symbol in the statement. Now

Understanding $A \not\subseteq B$

We often indicate that the negation of a statement is true by placing a diagonal slash through the key symbol in the statement. Now

$$A \not\subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \neg(A \subseteq B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg \forall x \ (x \in A \to x \in B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \ \neg(x \in A \to x \in B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \ x \in A \land (\neg(x \in B))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \ x \in A \land x \not\in B.$$

Understanding $A \not\subseteq B$

We often indicate that the negation of a statement is true by placing a diagonal slash through the key symbol in the statement. Now

$$A \not\subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \neg(A \subseteq B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg \forall x \ (x \in A \to x \in B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \ \neg(x \in A \to x \in B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \ x \in A \land (\neg(x \in B))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \ x \in A \land x \not\in B.$$

We can interpret this intuitively: $A \not\subseteq B$ means that there is at least one element in A that is not in B.

Proper containment

We say that A is a **proper subset** of B, or A is properly contained in B, and write $A \subsetneq B$, when every element of A is in B but there is at least one element of B that is not in A. Symbolically:

$$A \subsetneq B \Leftrightarrow (A \subseteq B) \land (B \not\subseteq A)$$

You are well acquainted with the following proper containments:

$$\mathbb{Z}^+ \subsetneq \mathbb{N} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{Q} \subsetneq \mathbb{R} \subsetneq \mathbb{C}.$$

BEWARE: Some mathematicians use \subset for \subsetneq . I don't do this because others mathematicians use \subset for \subset .

Set equality

Let A and B be sets. We say that A equals B, written A = B, when $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$. Symbolically,

$$A = B \Leftrightarrow (A \subseteq B) \land (B \subseteq A)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \ (x \in A \leftrightarrow x \in B)$$

You may say that = is the set theoretic analogue of IF AND ONLY IF in logic.

An Example

A set may be described in more than one way. Some ways say more about the set, perhaps by saying why it is interesting, while others make it more obvious which things are elements of the set. For example:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 + 2x - 15 = 0\} = \{-5, 3\}$$

The first description tells me why the set is interesting in a given context, while the second description gives me a particularly clear understanding of the which integers are in the set and which integers are not in the set.

Set equality as a type of problem

Many problems in mathematics (and computer science) can be framed as: we know one way to describe a particular set because we know what makes the set interesting, but we want to know another way to describe the same set that makes membership easier to recognise or understand. Thus we wish to show a set equality.

For example: The problem of solving the equation $x^2 + 2x - 15 = 0$ over the domain of integers is essentially the following: Show that

$${x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 + 2x - 15 = 0} = {-5,3}$$
?