# COMP2610 / COMP6261 Information Theory Lecture 5: Bernoulli, Binomial, Maximum Likelihood and MAP

#### Thushara Abhayapala

Audio & Acoustic Signal Processing Group School of Engineering, College of Engineering & Computer Science The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.



### **Announcements**

#### Assignment 1

- Will be released this week
- Worth 10% of Course total
- Due Friday 26 August 2022, 5:00 pm

#### Last time

- Examples of application of Bayes' rule
  - Formalizing problems in language of probability
  - Eating hamburgers, detecting terrorists, ...
- Frequentist vs Bayesian probabilities

## The Bayesian Inference Framework

### Bayesian Inference

Bayesian inference provides us with a a mathematical framework explaining how to change our (prior) beliefs in the light of new evidence.

$$\underbrace{\frac{p(Z|X)}{posterior}} = \underbrace{\frac{p(X|Z)p(Z)}{p(X)}}_{\text{evidence}}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{p(X|Z)p(Z)}{p(X|Z)p(Z)}}_{\sum_{Z'} p(X|Z')p(Z')}$$

**Prior**: Belief that someone is sick

**Likelihood**: Probability of testing positive given someone is sick

**Posterior**: Probability of being sick given someone tests positive

#### This time

 The Bernoulli and binomial distribution (we will make much use of this henceforth in studying binary channels)

Estimating probabilities from data

Bayesian inference for parameter estimation

### Outline

- The Bernoulli Distribution
- The Binomial Distribution
- Parameter Estimation
- Bayesian Parameter Estimation
- Wrapping up

- The Bernoulli Distribution
- The Binomial Distribution
- Parameter Estimation
- Bayesian Parameter Estimation
- Wrapping up

Introduction

Consider a binary variable  $X \in \{0, 1\}$ . It could represent many things:

- Whether a coin lands heads or tails
- The presence/absence of a word in a document
- A transmitted bit in a message
- The success of a medical trial

Often, these outcomes (0 or 1) are not equally likely

What is a general way to model such an X?

Definition

The variable *X* takes on the outcomes

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{probability } \theta \\ 0 & \text{probability } 1 - \theta \end{cases}$$

Here,  $0 \le \theta \le 1$  is a parameter representing the probability of success

For higher values of  $\theta$ , it is more likely to see 1 than 0

e.g. a biased coin

Definition

By definition,

$$p(X = 1|\theta) = \theta$$
$$p(X = 0|\theta) = 1 - \theta$$

More succinctly,

$$p(X = x | \theta) = \theta^{x} (1 - \theta)^{1 - x}$$

Definition

By definition,

$$p(X = 1|\theta) = \theta$$
$$p(X = 0|\theta) = 1 - \theta$$

More succinctly,

$$p(X = x | \theta) = \theta^{x} (1 - \theta)^{1 - x}$$

This is known as a Bernoulli distribution over binary outcomes:

$$p(X = x|\theta) = Bern(x|\theta) = \theta^{x}(1-\theta)^{1-x}$$

Note the use of the conditioning symbol for  $\theta$ ; will revisit later

#### Mean and Variance

The expected value (or mean) is given by:

$$\mathbb{E}[X|\theta] = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}} x \cdot p(x|\theta)$$
$$= 1 \cdot p(X = 1|\theta) + 0 \cdot p(X = 0|\theta)$$
$$= \theta.$$

The variance (or squared standard deviation) is given by:

$$V[X|\theta] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(X - \theta)^2]$$

$$= (0 - \theta)^2 \cdot p(X = 0|\theta) + (1 - \theta)^2 \cdot p(X = 1|\theta)$$


$$= \theta(1 - \theta).$$

# **Example: Binary Symmetric Channel**

Suppose a sender transmits messages s that are sequences of bits


The receiver sees the bit sequence (message) r

Due to noise in the channel, the message is flipped with probability  $0 \le f \le 1$ 



# Example: Binary Symmetric Channel

We can think of r as the outcome of a random variable, with conditional distribution given by:



If E denotes whether an error occurred, clearly

$$p(E = e) = Bern(e|f), e \in \{0, 1\}.$$

## Example: Binary Symmetric Channel

We can think of r as the outcome of a random variable, with conditional distribution given by:

If E denotes whether an error occurred, clearly

$$p(E = e) = Bern(e|f), e \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Why? 
$$p(E=e)=p(r=1,s=0)+p(r=0,s=1)$$
 (mutually exclusive) So  $p(E=e)=p(r=1|s=0)p(s=0)+p(r=0|s=1)p(s=1)$  This equals  $f$  regardless of the value of  $p(s=0)$ .

- The Bernoulli Distribution
- The Binomial Distribution
- Parameter Estimation
- Bayesian Parameter Estimation
- Wrapping up

### The Binomial Distribution

#### Introduction

Suppose we perform N independent Bernoulli trials

- e.g. we toss a coin N times
- e.g. we transmit a sequence of N bits across a noisy channel

Each trial has probability  $\theta$  of success

What is the distribution of the number of times (*m*) that X = 1?

- e.g. the number of times we obtained *m* heads
- e.g. the number of errors in the transmitted sequence

## The Binomial Distribution

Definition

Let

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$$

where  $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(\theta)$ .

Then *Y* has a binomial distribution with parameters N,  $\theta$ :

$$p(Y = m) = Bin(m|N, \theta) = {N \choose m} \theta^m (1 - \theta)^{N-m}$$

for  $m \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ . Here

$$\binom{N}{m} = \frac{N!}{(N-m)!m!}$$

is the # of ways we can we obtain m heads out of N coin flips

### The Binomial Distribution:

Mean and Variance

It is easy to show that:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_{m=0}^{N} m \cdot \text{Bin}(m|N,\theta) = N\theta$$

$$\mathbb{V}[Y] = \sum_{m=0}^{N} (m - \mathbb{E}[m])^{2} \cdot \text{Bin}(m|N,\theta) = N\theta(1 - \theta)$$

Follows from linearity of mean and variance

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[X_i] = N\theta$$

$$\mathbb{V}[Y] = \mathbb{V}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{V}[X_i] = N\theta(1-\theta)$$

## The Binomial Distribution:

#### Example


Ashton is an excellent off spinner. The probability of him getting a wicket during a cricket match is  $\frac{1}{4}$ . (That is, on each attempt, there is a 1/4 chance he will get a wicket.)

His coach commands him to make 10 attempts of wickets in a particular game.

- What is the probability that he will get exactly three wickets? Bin(3|10, 0.25)
- ② What is the expected number of wickets he will get?  $\mathbb{E}[Y]$ , where  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(\cdot|10, 0.25)$ .
- What is the probability that he will get at least one wicket?  $\sum_{m=1}^{10} \text{Bin}(m|N=10, \theta=0.25) = 1 \text{Bin}(m=0|N=10, \theta=0.25)$

### The Binomial Distribution:

Example: Distribution of the Number of Wickets



Histogram of the binomial distribution with N=10 and  $\theta=0.25$ . From Bishop (PRML, 2006)

(A plot of the function  $m \mapsto \text{Bin}(m|N=10, \theta=0.25)$ , for  $m \in \{0, \dots, 10\}$ )

- The Bernoulli Distribution
- 2 The Binomial Distribution
- Parameter Estimation
- Bayesian Parameter Estimation
- Wrapping up

Consider the set of observations  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  with  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ :

• The outcomes of a sequence of coin flips

Whether or not there are errors in a transmitted bit string

Each observation is the outcome of a random variable *X*, with distribution

$$p(X = x) = Bern(x|\theta) = \theta^{x}(1-\theta)^{1-x}$$

for some parameter  $\theta$ 

We know that

$$X \sim \text{Bern}(x|\theta) = \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x}$$

But often, we don't know what the value of  $\theta$  is

• The probability of a coin toss resulting in heads

 The probability of the word defence appearing in a document about sports

What would be a reasonable estimate for  $\theta$  from  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

Maximum Likelihood

### Say that we observe

$$\mathcal{D} = \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$$

Intuitively, which seems more plausible:  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ ?  $\theta = \frac{1}{5}$ ?

Maximum Likelihood

Say that we observe

$$\mathcal{D} = \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$$

If it were true that  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ , then the probability of this sequence would be

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} p(x_i|\theta)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{1}{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{10}}$$
$$\approx 0.001.$$

Maximum Likelihood

Say that we observe

$$\mathcal{D} = \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$$

If it were true that  $\theta = \frac{1}{5}$ , then the probability of this sequence would be

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} p(x_i|\theta)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^8$$
$$\approx 0.007.$$

Maximum Likelihood

We can write down how likely  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$  is under the Bernoulli model. Assuming independent observations:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i}$$

We call  $L(\theta) = p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  the likelihood function

Maximum Likelihood

We can write down how likely  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$  is under the Bernoulli model. Assuming independent observations:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i}$$

We call  $L(\theta) = p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  the likelihood function

Maximum likelihood principle: We want to maximize this function wrt  $\theta$ 

The parameter for which the observed sequence has the highest probability

Maximum Likelihood

Maximising  $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  is equivalent to maximising  $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p(x_i|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ x_i \log \theta + (1-x_i) \log(1-\theta) \right]$$

Maximum Likelihood

Maximising  $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  is equivalent to maximising  $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p(x_i|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ x_i \log \theta + (1-x_i) \log(1-\theta) \right]$$

Setting  $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\theta} = 0$  we obtain:

$$\theta_{\mathsf{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$

Maximum Likelihood

Maximising  $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  is equivalent to maximising  $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p(x_i|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ x_i \log \theta + (1-x_i) \log(1-\theta) \right]$$

Setting  $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\theta} = 0$  we obtain:

$$\theta_{\mathsf{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$

The proportion of times x = 1 in the dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ !

Parameter Estimation — Issues with Maximum Likelihood

### Consider the following scenarios:

- After N = 3 coin flips we obtained 3 'tails'
  - What is the estimate of the probability of a coin flip resulting in 'heads'?
- In a small set of documents about sports, the words defence never appeared.
  - What are the consequences when predicting whether a document is about sports (using Bayes' rule)?

Parameter Estimation — Issues with Maximum Likelihood

### Consider the following scenarios:

- After N = 3 coin flips we obtained 3 'tails'
  - What is the estimate of the probability of a coin flip resulting in 'heads'?
- In a small set of documents about sports, the words defence never appeared.
  - What are the consequences when predicting whether a document is about sports (using Bayes' rule)?

#### These issues are usually referred to as overfitting

- Need to "smooth" out our parameter estimates
- Alternatively, we can do Bayesian inference by considering priors over the parameters

- The Bernoulli Distribution
- 2 The Binomial Distribution
- Parameter Estimation
- Bayesian Parameter Estimation
- Wrapping up

Parameter Estimation: Bayesian Inference

Recall:

$$\underbrace{p(\theta|X)}_{\text{posterior}} = \underbrace{\frac{p(X|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(X)}}_{\substack{\text{evidence}}}$$

If we treat  $\theta$  as a random variable, we may have some prior belief  $p(\theta)$  about its value

• e.g. we believe  $\theta$  is probably close to 0.5

Our prior on  $\theta$  quantifies what we believe  $\theta$  is likely to be, before looking at the data

Our posterior on  $\theta$  quantifies what we believe  $\theta$  is likely to be, after looking at the data

Parameter Estimation: Bayesian Inference

The likelihood of X given  $\theta$  is

$$Bern(x|\theta) = \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x}$$

For the prior, it is mathematically convenient to express it as a Beta distribution:


Beta
$$(\theta|a,b) = \frac{1}{Z(a,b)} \theta^{a-1} (1-\theta)^{b-1},$$

where Z(a,b) is a suitable normaliser

We can tune a, b to reflect our belief in the range of likely values of  $\theta$ 

## **Beta Prior**

#### Examples



**Beta Posterior Distribution** 

Recall that for  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ , the likelihood under a Bernoulli model is:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \theta^m (1-\theta)^{\ell},$$

where 
$$m = \sharp(x = 1)$$
 and  $\ell \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N - m = \sharp(x = 0)$ .

**Beta Posterior Distribution** 

Recall that for  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ , the likelihood under a Bernoulli model is:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \theta^m (1-\theta)^{\ell},$$

where  $m = \sharp (x = 1)$  and  $\ell \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N - m = \sharp (x = 0)$ .

For the prior  $p(\theta|a,b) = \text{Beta}(\theta|a,b)$  we can obtain the posterior:

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}, a, b) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta|a, b)}{p(\mathcal{D}|a, b)}$$
$$= \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta|a, b)}{\int_0^1 p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta|a, b)d\theta}$$
$$= \text{Beta}(\theta|m+a, \ell+b).$$

**Beta Posterior Distribution** 

Recall that for  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ , the likelihood under a Bernoulli model is:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \theta^m (1 - \theta)^{\ell},$$

where  $m = \sharp(x = 1)$  and  $\ell \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N - m = \sharp(x = 0)$ .

For the prior  $p(\theta|a,b) = \text{Beta}(\theta|a,b)$  we can obtain the posterior:

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}, a, b) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta|a, b)}{p(\mathcal{D}|a, b)}$$

$$= \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta|a, b)}{\int_0^1 p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta|a, b)d\theta}$$

$$= \text{Beta}(\theta|m + a, \ell + b).$$

Can use this as our new prior if we see more data!

**Beta Posterior Distribution** 

Now suppose we choose  $\theta_{\text{MAP}}$  to maximise  $p(\theta|\mathcal{D})$  (MAP= Maximum *A Posteriori*)

One can show that

$$\theta_{\mathsf{MAP}} = \frac{m+a-1}{N+a+b-2}$$

cf. the estimate that did not use any prior,

$$\theta_{\mathsf{ML}} = \frac{m}{\mathsf{N}}$$

The prior parameters *a* and *b* can be seen as adding some "fake" trials!

What values of a and b ensure  $\theta_{MAP} = \theta_{ML}$ ? a = b = 1. Make sense? (Note that the choice of the beta distribution was not accidental here — it is the "conjugate prior" for the binomial distribution. )

- The Bernoulli Distribution
- The Binomial Distribution
- Parameter Estimation
- Bayesian Parameter Estimation
- Wrapping up

## Summary

- Distributions involving binary random variables
  - Bernoulli distribution

- Binomial distribution
- Bayesian inference: Full posterior on the parameters
  - ▶ Beta prior and binomial likelihood → Beta posterior
- Reading: Mackay §23.1 and §23.5; Bishop §2.1 and §2.2

## Next time

Entropy

# Acknowledgement

These slides were originally developed by Professor Robert C. Williamson.