

Autonomous Vehicle Planning and Control

Wu Ning



Session 4

Vehicle Lateral Optimal Control





Outline

1. Lateral dynamic model
 - a. Dynamic bicycle model ✓
 - b. Linearized dynamic bicycle model ✓
 - c. Model parameters identification ✓
2. Linear quadratic regulator (LQR) review
 - a. What is LQR?
 - b. 1D Scalar example
 - c. General solution and Raccati Equation
 - d. LQR tuning case study
3. Vehicle lateral optimal control
 - a. Path coordinate model
 - b. Trajectory tracking with LQR ✓
 - c. Trajectory tracking with Preview control ✓







Lateral Dynamic Bicycle Model






Why do we need vehicle dynamic model?

Higher vehicle speeds, the assumption that the velocity at each wheel is in the direction of the wheel can no longer be made, i.e. **non-zero slip angle**.





Vehicle Dynamic Model






Dynamic Model of Lateral Vehicle Motion

Dynamic Bicycle Model Assumptions:

- Longitudinal velocity is constant,
- Left and right axle are lumped into a single wheel (bicycle model),
- Suspension movement, road inclination and aerodynamic influences are neglected,
- Decoupled longitudinal and lateral motion.






Dynamic Model of Lateral Vehicle Motion

"Bicycle" dynamic model 2D \Rightarrow F

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{y} &= \left(\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} \right)_{inertial} = \dot{v}_y + v_x \dot{\psi} \\ \{ \quad F_{yf} + F_{yr} &= m \ddot{y} = m(\dot{v}_y + v_x \dot{\psi}) \\ l_f F_{yf} - l_r F_{yr} &= I_z \ddot{\psi} \end{aligned}$$





We can also use $r = \dot{\psi}$ to represent the angular rate about the yaw axis.





Tire Slip Angle



- Many different tire slip models
- For *small slip-angles*, experimental results show that the linear function of tire slip angle (α)
- Tire variables:
 - Front tire slip angle, α_f
 - Rear tire slip angle, α_r





Front and Rear Tire Forces

425°



- For small slip-angles, experimental results show that the lateral tire force of a tire is proportional to the "slip-angle",

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{yf} = 2c_f \alpha_f = 2c_f (\delta - \theta_{vf}) \\ F_{yr} = 2c_r \alpha_r = 2c_r (-\theta_{vr}) \end{array} \right.$$

where c_f , c_r are the cornering stiffness of tire.





Front and Rear Tire Forces

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{yf} = 2c_f(\delta - \theta_{vf}) \\ F_{yr} = 2c_r(-\theta_{vr}) \end{array} \right.$$


$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_{vf} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{v_{fy}}{v_{fx}}\right) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{v_y + l_f r}{v_x}\right) \\ \theta_{vr} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{v_{ry}}{v_{rx}}\right) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{v_y - l_r r}{v_x}\right) \end{array} \right.$$

where $r = \dot{\psi}$ is the yaw rate / the angular rate about the yaw axis.

$$v_{fx} = v_{rx} = v_x$$

$$v_{fy} = v_y + l_f \cdot r$$

$$v_{rz} = v_y - l_r \cdot r$$



Dynamic Bicycle Model






Front and Rear Tire Forces

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F'_{yf} + F'_{yr} = ma_y = m(\dot{v}_y + v_x \dot{\psi}) \\ l_f F'_{yf} - l_r F'_{yr} = I_z \ddot{\psi} \end{array} \right.$$

↓

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (F_{yf} \cos(\delta) - F_{xf} \sin(\delta)) + F_{yr} = m(\dot{v}_y + v_x r) \\ l_f (F_{yf} \cos(\delta) - F_{xf} \sin(\delta)) - l_r F_{yr} = I_z \ddot{\psi} = I_z \dot{r} \end{array} \right.$$



Dynamic Bicycle Model





Lateral and Yaw Dynamics

Summing the lateral forces illustrated (longitudinal velocity is assumed to be controlled separately.):

$$\underline{(F_{yf} \cos(\delta) - F_{xf} \sin(\delta)) + F_{yr}} = m(\dot{v}_y + v_x r)$$


$$\ell_f(F_{yf} \cos(\delta) - F_{xf} \sin(\delta)) - \ell_r F_{yr} = I_z \dot{r}$$

where r is the angular rate about the yaw axis. (short form)

Without the constraint on lateral slip from the last section and assume constant speed, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_f = \delta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) \\ \alpha_r = -\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{yf} = c_f \alpha_f = c_f \left[\delta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) \right] \\ F_{yr} = c_r \alpha_r = -c_r \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right) \end{array} \right.$$



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{v}_y = \frac{c_f \left[\delta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) \right] \cos(\delta) - c_r \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right) - F_{xf} \sin(\delta)}{m} - v_x r \\ \dot{r} = \frac{\ell_f c_f \left[\delta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) \right] \cos(\delta) + \ell_r c_r \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right) - l_f F_{xf} \sin(\delta)}{I_z} \end{array} \right.$$





Linearized dynamic bicycle model

1. Applying small angle assumptions

$$\cos(\delta) \approx 1$$

$$\sin(\delta) \approx 0$$

$$\tan^{-1}(\theta) \approx \theta$$




$$\dot{v}_y = \frac{c_f \left[\delta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) \right] \cos(\delta) - c_r \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right) - F_{xf} \sin(\delta)}{m} - v_x r$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{\ell_f c_f \left[\delta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) \right] \cos(\delta) + \ell_r c_r \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right) + l_r F_{xf} \sin(\delta)}{I_z}$$

$$\dot{v}_y = \frac{-c_f v_y - c_f \ell_f r}{m v_x} + \frac{c_f \delta}{m} + \frac{-c_r v_y + c_r \ell_r r}{m v_x} - v_x r$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{-\ell_f c_f v_y - \ell_f^2 c_f r}{I_z v_x} + \frac{\ell_f c_f \delta}{I_z} + \frac{\ell_r c_r v_y - \ell_r^2 c_r r}{I_z v_x} .$$





Linearized dynamic bicycle model

2. Re-group by variables

$$\cancel{x} = \frac{\cancel{v_y}}{\cancel{r}}$$

$$\dot{v}_y = \frac{-c_f v_y - c_f \ell_f r}{m v_x} + \frac{c_f \delta}{m} + \frac{-c_r v_y + c_r \ell_r r}{m v_x} - v_x r$$

$$v = \delta$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{-\ell_f c_f v_y - \ell_f^2 c_f r}{I_z v_x} + \frac{\ell_f c_f \delta}{I_z} + \frac{\ell_r c_r v_y - \ell_r^2 c_r r}{I_z v_x} .$$



$$\begin{aligned}\dot{v}_y &= \frac{-(c_f + c_r)}{m v_x} v_y + \left[\frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{m v_x} - v_x \right] r + \frac{c_f}{m} \delta \\ \dot{r} &= \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v_x} v_y + \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} r + \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \delta\end{aligned}$$





Linearized dynamic bicycle model

3. Re-write into state space equation by using state $X = \begin{bmatrix} v_y \\ r \end{bmatrix}$

$$\dot{v}_y = \frac{-(c_f + c_r)}{mv_x} v_y + \left[\frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} - v_x \right] r + \frac{c_f}{m} \delta$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v_x} v_y + \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} r + \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \delta$$

$\Rightarrow \cancel{\star} \quad \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} \dot{v}_y \\ \dot{r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cancel{-(c_f + c_r)} & \frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} - v_x \\ \frac{m}{l_r c_r - l_f c_f} & \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_y \\ r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c_f}{m} \\ \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \end{bmatrix} \delta =}$

A

X





Linearized Dynamic Model of Lateral Vehicle Motion

$$\dot{X} = \begin{bmatrix} v_y \\ \dot{r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -(c_f + c_r) & (l_r c_r - l_f c_f) \\ mv_x & mv_x - v_x \\ l_r c_r - l_f c_f & -(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r) \\ I_z v_x & I_z v_x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_y \\ r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c_f}{m} \\ \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \end{bmatrix} \delta$$

$\dot{X} = \begin{bmatrix} v_y = \dot{y} \\ r = \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix}$

If we use state $X = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ \dot{y} \\ \psi \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix}$, input δ ,

rewrite in state space model $\dot{X} = AX + B\delta$, it is

$$\checkmark \quad \checkmark \quad \checkmark \quad \checkmark \quad \begin{bmatrix} y \\ \dot{y} \\ \psi \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-(c_f + c_r)}{mv_x} & 0 & \frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} - v_x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v_x} & 0 & \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ \dot{y} \\ \psi \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{c_f}{m} \\ 0 \\ \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \end{bmatrix} \delta$$

=






Model Parameter Identification

Unlike the previous models, the dynamic bicycle model has parameters that are not as convenient to directly measure. However, a workable estimate can be obtained using commonly available tools, such as utilizing four scales under each wheel:

C.G. estimation



$$m = \underbrace{m_{fl} + m_{fr}}_{m_f} + \underbrace{m_{rl} + m_{rr}}_{m_r}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ell_f &= L \left(1 - \frac{m_f}{m} \right) \\ \ell_r &= L \left(1 - \frac{m_r}{m} \right) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$m = m_{fr} + m_{fl} + m_{rr} + m_{rl}$$

$$m_f = m_{fr} + m_{fl}$$

$$m_r = m_{rr} + m_{rl}$$

Vehicle's moment of inertia is approximated by treating the vehicle as two point masses joined by a mass-less rod:



$$I_Z = m_f \ell_f^2 + m_r \ell_r^2$$







Model Parameter Identification

$C_f, C_r \rightarrow \text{tire}$

Cornering stiffness parameters: a constant of describing the slope in the most linear region of the data at a nominal normal force.



Example of lateral force tire data: *the slip angle of the tire changes nonlinearly as the lateral force on the tire changes*



Linear approximation of the lateral force tire data





Model Parameter Identification

Cornering stiffness parameters: when the detailed data is not readily available, a method to estimate it is required.

$$\ddot{v}_y = \frac{-c_f \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) - \delta \right] \cos(\delta) - c_r \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right)}{m} - v_x r$$
$$\ddot{r} = \frac{-\ell_f c_f \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y + \ell_f r}{v_x} \right) - \delta \right] \cos(\delta) + \ell_r c_r \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_y - \ell_r r}{v_x} \right)}{I_z}$$

re-org :

$$\ddot{v}_y + v_x r = \left(\frac{-\ell_f r - v_y}{mv_x} + \frac{\delta}{m} \right) c_f + \left(\frac{\ell_r r - v_y}{mv_x} \right) c_r$$

$$\ddot{r} = \left(\frac{-(\ell_f v_y + \ell_f^2 r)}{I_z v_x} + \frac{\ell_f \delta}{I_z} \right) c_f + \left(\frac{\ell_r v_y - \ell_r^2 r}{I_z v_x} \right) c_r$$





Model Parameter Identification

Cornering stiffness estimation:

Assuming that \dot{v}_y and \dot{r} are not directly measured, and that v and r are available. Use Euler method, rewrite

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{v}_y + v_x r = \left(\frac{-\ell_f r - v_y}{mv_x} + \frac{\delta}{m} \right) c_f + \left(\frac{\ell_r r - v_y}{mv_x} \right) c_r \\ \dot{r} = \left(\frac{-(\ell_f v_y + \ell_f^2 r)}{I_z v_x} + \frac{\ell_f \delta}{I_z} \right) C_f + \left(\frac{\ell_r v_y - \ell_r^2 r}{I_z v_x} \right) c_r \end{array} \right.$$

in a discrete form:

$$v_y(k+1) - v_y(k) + v_x(k)r(k)\Delta t = \left(\frac{-\ell_f r(k) - v_y(k)}{mv_x(k)} + \frac{\delta(k)}{m} \right) \Delta t c_f + \left(\frac{\ell_r r(k) - v_y(k)}{mv_x(k)} \right) \Delta t c_r$$

$$r(k+1) - r(k) = \left(\frac{-(\ell_f v_y(k) + \ell_f^2 r(k))}{I_z v_x(k)} + \frac{\ell_f \delta(k)}{I_z} \right) \Delta t c_f + \left(\frac{\ell_r v_y(k) - \ell_r^2 r(k)}{I_z v_x(k)} \right) \Delta t c_r$$

A least squares problem!

where k is an index of the measurement set and Δt is the time between measurement sets.





Model Parameter Identification

Cornering stiffness estimation: A least squares problem!

The least squares formulation then follows as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} v_y(2) - v_y(1) + v_x(1)r(1)\Delta t \\ r(2) - r(1) \\ \vdots \\ v_y(n) - v_y(n-1) + v_x(n-1)r(n-1)\Delta t \\ r(n) - r(n-1) \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{-\ell_f r(1) - v_y(1)}{mv_x(1)} + \frac{\delta(1)}{m}\right)\Delta t & \left(\frac{\ell_r r(1) - v_y(1)}{mv_x(1)}\right)\Delta t \\ \left(\frac{-(\ell_f v_y(1) + \ell_f^2 r(1))}{I_z v_x(1)} + \frac{\ell_f \delta(1)}{I_z}\right)\Delta t & \left(\frac{\ell_r v_y(1) - \ell_r^2 r(1)}{I_z v_x(1)}\right)\Delta t \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \left(\frac{-\ell_f r(n-1) - v_y(n-1)}{mv_x(n-1)} + \frac{\delta(n-1)}{m}\right)\Delta t & \left(\frac{\ell_r r(n-1) - v_y(n-1)}{mv_x(n-1)}\right)\Delta t \\ \left(\frac{-(\ell_f v_y(n-1) + \ell_f^2 r(n-1))}{I_z v_x(n-1)} + \frac{\ell_f \delta(n-1)}{I_z}\right)\Delta t & \left(\frac{\ell_r v_y(n-1) - \ell_r^2 r(n-1)}{I_z v_x(n-1)}\right)\Delta t \end{bmatrix}$$

The best results are obtained when the lateral force on the tires are moderate (without exciting the suspension too much) and continuously varying throughout the data collection.

Rewrite into the following form:

$$A = B \begin{bmatrix} c_f \\ c_r \end{bmatrix}$$



Linear Optimal Control


BACKGROUND





Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

What is the “best” way of going to work?




	time/trip	money/trip
✓	20 min	\$7
✓	75 min	\$0
✓	30 min	\$2
✓	4 min	\$400





Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

What is the “best” way of going to work?



$$\text{Cost: } J = Q \times \text{time} + R \times \text{money}$$

	Q	time/trip	R	money/trip	Cost
	1	20 min	1	\$7	27
	1	75 min	1	\$0	75
	1	30 min	1	\$2	32
	1	4 min	1	\$400	404






Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

What is the “best” way of going to work?

A.



$$\text{Cost: } J = \underline{Q} \times \text{time} + R \times \text{money}$$


	\underline{Q}	time/trip	\underline{R}	money/trip	Cost
	30	20 min	1	\$7	607
	30	75 min	1	\$0	2250 High cost
	30	30 min	1	\$2	902
	30	4 min	1	\$400	520



Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

What is the “best” way of going to work?

B



$$\text{Cost: } J = Q \times \text{time} + R \times \text{money}$$

	Q	time/trip	R	money/trip	Cost
	1	20 min	40	\$7	300
	1	75 min	40	\$0	75
	1	30 min	40	\$2	110
	1	4 min	40	\$400	16004

X




High cost



Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

What is the “best” way of going to work?



$$\text{Cost: } J = Q \times \text{time} + R \times \text{money}$$

2 10


	<u>Q</u>	time/trip	<u>R</u>	money/trip	Cost
	2	20 min	10	\$7	110
	2	75 min	10	\$0	150
	2	30 min	10	\$2	80
	2	4 min	10	\$400	4008





Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

What is the “best” way of going to work?



$$\text{Cost: } J = \underline{Q} \times \text{time} + \underline{R} \times \text{money}$$

	<u>Q</u>	time/trip	<u>R</u>	money/trip	<u>Cost</u>
	1	20 min	5	\$7	55
	1	75 min	5	\$0	75
	1	30 min	5	\$2	40
	1	4 min	5	\$400	2004



40





Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

A system is described by the standard linear state space model:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx$$


The objective is to bring the non-zero initial state to zero in the infinite time horizon.

The cost function takes the quadratic form:

$$J = Q \cdot x^2 + R \cdot u^2$$


$$J \downarrow x(t) e^2$$

Area under the curve indicates the total "cost" of bringing state to 0



$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x^T Q x + u^T R u) dt$$

We don't want negative value to subtract the sum. Therefore, we sum the square value of the state





Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

The matrices \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{R} appear most often in diagonal form. Though there is no inherent restriction to such a form,

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (\underline{x}^T \underline{\mathbf{Q}} \underline{x} + \underline{u}^T \underline{\mathbf{R}} \underline{u}) dt$$

Note from $\underline{x}^T \underline{\mathbf{Q}} \underline{x} = q_1 x_1^2 + q_2 x_2^2 + \dots + q_n x_n^2$,

Where $q_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $r_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

$$\underline{\mathbf{Q}} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & & & & 0 \\ & q_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & & q_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{R}} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & & & & 0 \\ & r_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & & r_m \end{bmatrix},$$

- q_i are relative weightings among x_i .
- if q_1 is bigger than q_2 , there is higher penalty/price on error x_1 than x_2 , and control will try to make smaller than, vice versa.

The same can be said on $\underline{u}^T \underline{\mathbf{R}} \underline{u} = r_1 u_1^2 + r_2 u_2^2 + \dots + r_m u_m^2$.





LQR: a scalar example

1 D

For the plant $\dot{x} = x + u, x(0) \neq 0$,

we want to regulate the state to $x = u = 0$.

- Assume that we do not wish to apply any more control effort than is necessary.
- For example, we might wish to avoid saturation of the control elements or to use as little power as possible.
Thus we should keep u as well as x near zero.

The following extension of the integral squares error (ISE) index expresses this mathematically:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (qx^2 + ru^2) dt$$

- The weighting factors $q \geq 0$ and $r > 0$ express the relative importance of keeping x and u near zero.





LQR: a scalar example

The optimal control is to find the control law that minimizes this J .

- Let $u = -Kx$, $r = 1$

\mathfrak{g}

- Then we have


$$\dot{x} = x + u$$

CL

$$\dot{x} = x - Kx = -(K-1)x$$

- Its solution for constant K is $x(t) = x(0)e^{-(K-1)t}$.

$$K-1 > 0 \Rightarrow \\ K > 1$$



- And the system is stable for $K > 1$. Substitute $x(t)$ into J , we have:

$$J = \frac{1}{2}(q + K^2)x^2(0) \int_0^\infty e^{-2(K-1)t} dt = \frac{q + K^2}{4(K-1)}x^2(0)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial K} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial K^2} &> 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow J_{\min}$$

- To minimize J for fixed q and $x(0)$, we let $\frac{\partial J}{\partial K} = 0$, this gives $K^2 - 2K - q = 0$.

Its roots are: $K_1 = 1 + \sqrt{1+q}$, $K_2 = 1 - \sqrt{1+q}$.





LQR: a scalar example

$$K^2 - 2K - q \rightarrow 2K - 2 \geq 0.$$

$$K = 1 \pm \sqrt{1+q}$$

For a minimum we require that $\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial^2 K} \geq 0$, this implies that $K - 1 \geq 0$. Because $q \geq 0$, K_1 , will satisfy this condition. In this case, $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ and J has a minimum value of

$$\boxed{J_{min} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + q})x^2(0)}$$

$$K > 1$$


It says that the value of K that minimizes J must be such that the closed-loop system will be stable.

Finally, we note that the design problem has been transformed into one of selecting a value for q .

- The larger q is, the larger will be the gain K , and the faster will $x(t)$ approach zero.
- However, the peak magnitude of u will be larger.
- The parameter q is selected to achieve a compromise between these effects. We will indicate a general procedure for doing this.

$$x(t) = x(0)e^{-(K-1)t}$$

$$u = -Kx$$





LQR: General Solution (Raccati Equation)

1D
↓
n D

$x: n \times n$

$u:$

For a LQR problem defined as

System

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx$$

State feedback

$$u = -Kx$$

The closed loop system is: $\dot{x} = (A - BK)x = A_c x$.

We assume that K is such that this system is stable.

Cost function

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x^T Q x + u^T R u) dt$$

Will bring $x(\infty) \rightarrow 0$ and $u(\infty) \rightarrow 0$.

Let's bring $u = -Kx$ into cost function, we have $J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} x^T (Q + K^T R K) x dt$





LQR: General Solution (Raccati Equation)

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \underbrace{x^T(Q + K^T R K)x}_{\text{red}} dt$$

Let P , an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, and

$$\triangle \quad \underbrace{P = P^T > 0}_{\text{red}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \underbrace{(x^T P x)}_{\text{red}} = -x^T(Q + K^T R K)x$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{df(t)}{dt} dt \rightarrow f(\infty) - f(0)$$

So that we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} (x^T P x) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\cancel{x^T(\infty)Px(\infty)} - x^T(0)Px(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (x^T(0)Px(0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P &\rightarrow x(\infty) = 0 \\ u(\infty) &\approx 0 \end{aligned}$$





LQR: General Solution (Riccati Equation)

Let P , an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, and $\frac{d}{dt}(x^T P x) = -x^T(Q + K^T R K)x$

Differentiate both sides, we will have $\dot{x}^T P x + x^T P \dot{x} = -x^T Q x - x^T K^T R K x$

$$\dot{x}^T P x + x^T P \dot{x} + x^T Q x + x^T K^T R K x = 0 \quad (1)$$

We know the closed loop system is $\dot{x} = (A - BK)x = A_c x$ (2)

$$x^T A_c^T P x + x^T P A_c x + x^T Q x + x^T K^T R K x = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$x^T(A_c^T P + P A_c + Q + K^T R K)x = 0$$

This is a quadratic form, only have solution when $A_c^T P + P A_c + Q + K^T R K = 0$ (2)

$$(A - BK)^T P + P(A - BK) + Q + K^T R K = 0$$

$$\cancel{A^T P + PA + Q + K^T R K} - \cancel{K^T B^T P} - \cancel{PBK} = 0 \quad (4)$$

Let $K = R^{-1}B^T P$, we have $\cancel{A^T P + PA + Q + K^T R (R^{-1}B^T P)} - \cancel{K^T B^T P} - \cancel{PB(R^{-1}B^T P)} = 0$

$$A^T P + PA + Q = PBR^{-1}B^T P$$

This equation is called the *Algebraic Riccati Equation (CARE)*. ★

P ★





LQR: General Solution (Raccati Equation)

For a LQR problem defined as

System

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

State feedback

$$u = -Kx$$

The closed loop system is: $\dot{x} = (A - BK)x = A_c x$.

Cost function

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x^T Q x + u^T R u) dt$$

Control law: $u = -Kx = -R^{-1}B^T P x$, will bring $x(\infty) \rightarrow 0$ and $u(\infty) \rightarrow 0$


$$\text{where } A^T P + P A + Q = P B R^{-1} B^T P$$

that is, the optimal control law is a linear feedback of the state vector x , as assumed.






Case study: LQR tuning



$$f = m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x}$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x \\ \dot{x}_2 = \dot{x} \end{cases}$$



Consider a simple mass system (with friction b) connected to a linear motor to maintain its position (e.g. a UAV hovering at a fixed position).

At time $t = 0$, a disturbance (wind) makes the mass block to displace to new location x_0 . We would like to design a LQR controller to make the mass block move back to its original location in an “optimal” way.

We choose system states to be , therefore, the state space representation of the system can be written as:

$$\boxed{\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{b}{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{m} \end{bmatrix} f}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} - f &= 0 \\ \ddot{x} &= -\frac{b}{m}\dot{x} + \frac{f}{m} \end{aligned}$$







Case study: LQR tuning

Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ we choose different R

- When $R = 10$
 - Penalty on control effort is large → Less control effort is used → slower response
- When $R = 1$
 - Penalty on control effort is small → More control effort is used → faster response



$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x^T Q x + u^T R u) dt$$






Case study: LQR tuning

Let $R = 1$, we choose different Q


- When $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\underline{q_1=10}, \underline{q_2=1}$
 - Penalty on position error > Penalty on speed error \rightarrow faster response
- When $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 - Penalty on position error = Penalty on speed error \rightarrow slower response

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \rightarrow \text{posi} \\ x_2 \rightarrow \text{speed} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x^T Q x + u^T R u) dt$$




Position error response to initial condition



Speed error response to initial condition




From continuous time to discrete time





Continuous time model to discrete time model



- Where $A_d = e^{A\Delta t}$, $B_d = \left(\int_0^{\Delta t} e^{A\tau} d\tau \right) B$
- How to calculate e^{At} ? $e^{At} = L^{-1}((sI - A)^{-1})$

[From Continuous Time to Discrete Time](#)





Discrete time LQR

Apply LQR in this situation, we have

$$\underline{\underline{u^*(k) = -Kx(k)}}$$

Where $K = \underline{\underline{(R + B_d^T P B_d)^{-1} B_d^T P A_d}}$.

Objective cost function to be minimized by the control is

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \underline{x^T(k) Q x(k)} + \underline{\underline{u^T(k) R u(k)}}$$

where $\underline{\underline{P}}$ satisfies the matrix difference Riccati equation (DARE)

$$\underline{\underline{P = A_d^T P A_d - A_d^T P B_d (R + B_d^T P B_d)^{-1} B_d^T P A_d + Q}} \quad \star$$

- $\underline{\underline{Q}}$ is a diagonal weighting matrix with an entry for each state corresponding to the performance aspects contributing to the cost function and R is weighting value corresponding to the control effort contributing to the cost function.





Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) Summary

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (\underline{x}^T Q \underline{x} + \underline{u}^T R \underline{u}) dt$$

What is LQR?

- LQR represents a class of control problems well: balance/tradeoff between minimization of system errors and minimization of control efforts

Why LQR?

- LQR has a neat solution: linear state feedback
- LQR is about easiest to solve among all optimal control problems $\underline{K} = \underline{R}^{-1} \underline{B}^T \underline{P}$
- LQR provides means for tuning.
- LQR guarantees a good robustness (phase marge > 60 degrees)



Trajectory tracking with LQR






Path Coordinates Model (Error dynamics)

function of its length, s

For path tracking, it is useful to express the bicycle model with respect to the path.

We can choose $\underline{x} = (e_{cg} \ \dot{e}_{cg} \ e_\theta \ \dot{e}_\theta)^T$ as our system state and $u = \underline{\delta}$.

- e_{cg} : Orthogonal distance of the C.G. to the nearest path waypoint;
- \dot{e}_{cg} : Relative speed between vehicle C.G and path;
- e_θ : Heading/Yaw difference between vehicle and path, $\theta - \theta_p(s)$;
- \dot{e}_θ : Relative yaw rate between vehicle C.G and path



With the constant longitudinal velocity assumption,

$$\dot{e}_{cg} = \underline{v_y} + v_x \sin(\theta - \theta_p(s))$$

$$= v_y + \underline{v_x \sin(e_\theta)}$$

$$\dot{e}_\theta = \underline{r} - r(s)$$

where $r(s) = \dot{\theta}(s)$ is the yaw rate derived from the path





Path Coordinates Model (Error dynamics) (Cont'd)

Thus, the acceleration of C.G. is:

$$\ddot{e}_{cg} = v_y + v_x \sin \theta_e \quad (\ddot{e}_{cg}) = (\dot{v}_y + v_x r) - \dot{v}_y(s)$$


$$\ddot{e}_{cg} = \dot{v}_y + v_x \cdot \dot{\theta}_e \\ = \dot{v}_y + v_x(r - r(s)) \\ = \dot{v}_y + v_x \dot{e}_\theta.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_y = \dot{e}_{cg} - v_x \sin(e_\theta) \\ \dot{v}_y = \ddot{e}_{cg} - v_x \dot{e}_\theta \end{array} \right.$$

$$\dot{v}_y = v_x^2 \cdot K(s) \quad \text{曲率}$$

$$r(s) = v_x \cdot r(s)$$

$$\dot{v}_y(s) = v_x \cdot r(s).$$



Dynamic Bicycle Model in path coordinates

$$\star \quad \checkmark \quad \dot{v}_y = \frac{-(c_f + c_r)}{mv_x} v_y + \left[\frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} - v_x \right] r + \frac{c_f}{m} \delta$$

$$\checkmark \quad \dot{r} = \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v_x} v_y + \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} r + \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \delta$$

Recall part 1





Path Coordinates Model (Error dynamics) (Cont'd)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{v}_y = \frac{-(c_f + c_r)}{mv_x} \underline{v}_y + \left[\frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} - v_x \right] \underline{r} + \frac{c_f}{m} \delta \\ \dot{r} = \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v_x} \underline{v}_y + \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} \underline{r} + \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \delta \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{v}_y = \ddot{e}_{cg} - v_x \dot{e}_\theta = \frac{-(c_f + c_r)}{mv_x} (\dot{e}_{cg} - v_x e_\theta) + \left[\frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} - v_x \right] (\dot{e}_\theta + r(s)) + \frac{c_f}{m} \delta \\ \dot{r} = \ddot{e}_\theta + \dot{r}(s) = \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v_x} (\dot{e}_{cg} - v_x e_\theta) + \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} (\dot{e}_\theta + r(s)) + \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \delta \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{e}_{cg} = \frac{-(c_f + c_r)}{mv_x} \dot{e}_{cg} + \frac{(c_f + c_r)}{m} \underline{e}_\theta + \frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} \dot{e}_\theta + \left[\frac{(l_r c_r - l_f c_f)}{mv_x} - v_x \right] \underline{r}(s) + \frac{c_f}{m} \delta \\ \ddot{e}_\theta = \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v_x} \dot{e}_{cg} + \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z} \underline{e}_\theta + \frac{-(\ell_f^2 c_f + \ell_r^2 c_r)}{I_z v_x} (\dot{e}_\theta + \underline{r}(s)) + \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \delta - \boxed{\dot{r}(s)} \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$





Trajectory tracking with LQR

From the first section, we have the linear lateral dynamic model.

Rewrite it as: $\dot{x} = Ax + B_1\delta + B_2r_{des}$, where $x = (e_{cg} \ \dot{e}_{cg} \ e_\theta \ \dot{e}_\theta)^T$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{(c_f + c_r)}{mv} & \frac{c_f + c_r}{m} & \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{mv} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z v} & \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{I_z} & -\frac{l_f^2 c_f + l_r^2 c_r}{I_z v} \end{bmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{c_f}{m} \\ 0 \\ \frac{l_f c_f}{I_z} \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{l_r c_r - l_f c_f}{mv} - v \\ 0 \\ -\frac{l_f^2 c_f + l_r^2 c_r}{I_z v} \end{bmatrix}$$

Basic work flow:

- Check the controllability matrix has full rank: $[B_1, AB_1, A^2B_1, A^3B_1]$.
- Convert the continuous time system to discrete time.

$$x(k+1) = A_d x(k) + B_{1d}\delta(k) + B_{2d}r_{des}(k)$$

- Use the full state feedback law: $\delta = -Kx = -k_1 e_{cg} - k_2 \dot{e}_{cg} - k_3 e_\theta - k_4 \dot{e}_\theta$.





Trajectory tracking with LQR

Apply LQR in this situation, we have

D LQR

$$\delta^*(k) = -Kx(k)$$

Where $K = \underline{(R + B_d^T P B_d)^{-1} B_d^T P A_d}$.

Objective cost function to be minimized by the control is

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \underline{x^T(k)} Q \underline{x(k)} + \delta(k) R \delta(k)$$

where P satisfies the matrix difference Riccati equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_g \\ \dot{e}_g \\ e_0 \\ \dot{e}_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & & & & \\ & q_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & q_3 & \\ & & & & q_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

DARE

$$\textcircled{P} = A_d^T P A_d - A_d^T P B_d (R + B_d^T P B_d)^{-1} B_d^T P A_d + Q$$


- Q is a diagonal weighting matrix with an entry for each state corresponding to the performance aspects contributing to the cost function and R is weighting value corresponding to the control effort contributing to the cost function.





LQR summary

- The Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) method can be used with the dynamic bicycle model to design a path tracker. The model and resulting control law are easy to understand and implement.
- Tuning the LQR tracker is more complicated because the solution to the optimal control problem must be solved to obtain the gains.
- Curvy road performance is not that good. The dynamic bicycle model approximates the lateral dynamics of the vehicle, but in order to enable the use of linear control techniques the path coordinate model is linearized about the forward direction. In other words, the model excludes the non-linear path dynamics and best approximates a vehicle following a straight path.



Trajectory tracking with Preview Control






Trajectory tracking with Preview Control

Why preview control?

- What if the path looks like this: a U-turn, can the previously designed LQR handle it?
- How would a human drive handle U-turn?
 - ✓ Starts to prepare before reaching the U-turn
- Why not use all available information on path but only look at "current"?
- "Best" utilizing all existing knowledge: a preview of the path ahead of the vehicle.



Dynamic Bicycle Model in path coordinates





Trajectory tracking with Preview Control

1. Translated vehicle lateral dynamics the state space to the discrete time-form:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= A_v x(t) + B_v \delta(t) \\ y(t) &= C_v x(t) + D_v \delta(t)\end{aligned}$$

↓

$$\begin{aligned}x(k+1) &= A_d x(k) + B_d \delta(k) \\ y(k) &= C_d x(k) + D_d \delta(k)\end{aligned}$$

Where $x(k) = (y(k) \quad \dot{y}(k) \quad \psi(k) \quad \dot{\psi}(k))^T$ and A_d, B_d, C_d, D_d stand for the discretized vehicle model.

The lateral profile of the path is considered in discrete sample value form, with sample values from past observations of the path ahead being stored as states of the full vehicle/path system.





Trajectory tracking with Preview Control

2. The Reference Path Preview Model:

As the vehicle moves forward in time, the previous preview reference will be discarded and a new set of preview reference can be obtained based on path planning module at each sampling time.


The preview reference y_r can be found as:

$$\underline{y_r(k+1) = A_r y_r(k) + B_r y_{rN}}$$

$$A_r = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(N \times N)} \quad B_r = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(N \times 1)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{r1} \\ y_{r2} \\ y_{r3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{r0} \\ y_{r1} \\ y_{r2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \underline{y_{r3}}$$

$v_s \rightarrow \text{Constant}$.



$k=0$





Trajectory tracking with Preview Control

3. Augmented model: the dynamic bicycle model and online path preview model is coupled by the QP (Quadratic Programming) cost function. The model is:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(k+1) &= \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(k) + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\delta + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r y_{rN} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_d & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_r \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{B}_r \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Where $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = [y \quad \dot{y} \quad \psi \quad \dot{\psi} \quad y_{r0} \quad y_{r1} \dots \quad y_{rN}]^T$.

The cost function is:

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T(k) \mathbf{R}_1 \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(k) + R_2 \delta^2(k)$$

Vehicle road





Trajectory tracking with Preview Control

Use cost function to link the vehicle model and road model:

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{X}^T(k) R_1 \tilde{X}(k) + R_2 \delta^2(k)$$


$$R_1 = C^T Q C$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{v_x T_s} & \frac{-1}{v_x T_s} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \text{diag}[q_1 \ q_2]$$

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{X}^T(k) C^T Q C \tilde{X}(k) + R_2 \delta^2(k)$$

$$C \tilde{X}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{v_x T_s} & \frac{-1}{v_x T_s} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} [y \ \dot{y} \ \psi \ \dot{\psi} \ y_{r0} \ y_{r1} \ \dots \ y_{rN}]^T$$



$$\text{Current road angle} = (y_{r1} - y_{r0}) / v_x T_s$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y - y_{r0} \\ \psi - \frac{y_{r1} - y_{r0}}{v_x T_s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_y \\ e_\phi \end{bmatrix}$$





Trajectory tracking with Preview Control

LQR

The steering angle will be

$$\underline{\delta_{opt}} = -\underline{K} \tilde{X}(k),$$

where $K = (R_2 + \tilde{B}^T P \tilde{B})^{-1} \tilde{B}^T P \tilde{A}$,

where \boxed{P} satisfies the discrete-time-Riccati equation (DARE),

$$P = \tilde{A}^T P \tilde{A} - \tilde{A}^T P \tilde{B} (R + \tilde{B}^T P \tilde{B})^{-1} \tilde{B}^T P \tilde{A} + C^T Q C.$$

Recall the continuous time Algebraic Riccati Equation (CARE/ARE),

$$\text{Where } K = R^{-1} B^T P$$

and where P satisfies $0 = PA + A^T P - PBR^{-1}B^T P + Q$.





Trajectory tracking with Preview Control

The final problem convert to

$$\star J = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{X}^T(k) \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{C} \tilde{X}(k) + R_2 \delta^2(k)$$


$$\mathbf{Q} = \text{diag}[q_1 \ q_2] = I$$

$$\mathbf{C} \tilde{X}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} e_y \\ e_\varphi \end{bmatrix}$$

where,

✓ q_1 : the weights corresponding to the performance of lateral reference tracking,

✓ q_2 : the weights corresponding to the performance of orientation reference tracking.





How to tune Preview Control in different scenarios

Cost function:

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{X}^T(k) \underbrace{\mathbf{C}^T Q \mathbf{C}}_{\text{red bracket}} \tilde{X}(k) + R_2 \delta^2(k)$$

Q is the weight on vehicle tracking error. It is used to avoid the positions that are not suitable for the vehicle. Therefore, if to track the preplanned reference is desired, the weight in Q will be heavier.

Use case: For environments with strict constraints, such as static obstacle, narrow road with curb.

R_2 is the weight on control effort (steering angle). This may help the vehicle to balance the unexpected disturbance. Therefore, if it is required to be more robust, the weighted in R_2 will be heavier.

Use case: Highway driving.





Preview control summary

The Optimal Preview method provides the LQR method with a lookahead, or preview, of the upcoming path.

未来

1. Work well in rapid change curvy road: The idea is that if a rapid change in curvature is known ahead of time the tracker can react earlier to minimize error. The proactive nature of the Preview method often sacrifices some error entering a curve to minimize the overall error through the curve.
2. This approach results in similar performance as Model Predictive Control (MPC) under certain circumstances, but using less computational resources. It is a little more complicated than the LQR method, but it is still easy to understand and implement.

Math trick





Different controller comparison





Different controller comparison

Controller name	1. Cross-track error <u>(Overshoot)</u>	2. Planner requirement	3. Robustness	Suitable Application
Pure Pursuit	High steady state error (high speed)	No	High	Slow driving; discontinuous path
Stanley Method	Better than PP but still when speed increase, error increase	Continuous	Mid	Smooth high speed; Parking
LQR	Large error in the curvy road, good tracking in straight road	Continuous	Low	High way drive
Preview Control	Good tracking performance with the preview information	Low	Mid	High way and urban drive



Thanks for Listening !

