Homework 4 (Part 1)

Ying-Yu Huang (yingyu010365@gmail.com)

Question 1: Generate a Data Set by Simulations

```
Step 1
```

```
> A
```

```
[,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,] -0.5312555 -0.5649478  0.05626874 -0.4443732
[2,] -0.8535393  1.0406786  1.81023079 -1.4159107
[3,] -0.9049987  1.0570002  0.35927503 -1.0292485
[4,] -1.0900022 -0.2154551 -1.05583049 -0.2402299
> B
[1] 1.3336832  0.2670032  0.4160923 -1.9653632
> C
[1] -1.161517
```

Step 2: Keep only 5000 cases of the generated data

> head(data)

```
X1 X2 X3 X4 U y
1 -0.3522819 1.1240544 -1.15163178 -0.8311079 -1.929165 -1
2 -1.6018695 -0.6775253 -1.41564195 0.2092816 -2.902350 -1
3 0.5346514 0.7972983 0.02893215 1.2319033 -4.634714 -1
4 -0.8914464 1.8938125 1.20765148 0.7618827 8.594368 1
5 -1.0079545 -1.6822697 1.35814524 -0.3054582 -5.880438 -1
6 -1.6258220 1.8846521 -0.12049491 1.8643487 -1.058891 -1
```

Center and Rescale this data

> head(new_data)

```
X1 X2 X3 X4 y
1 -0.3069243 0.9422528 -1.00511924 -0.6918174 -1
2 -1.3932685 -0.6158460 -1.23499904 0.2109401 -1
3 0.4641420 0.6596573 0.02282529 1.0982802 -1
4 -0.7756535 1.6079792 1.04916367 0.6904382 1
5 -0.8769412 -1.4848007 1.18020209 -0.2357053 -1
6 -1.4140919 1.6000568 -0.10728434 1.6470601 -1
```

Then Split each class into a training set and a test set, using the proportions 80% and 20%. Then get TRAIN and TEST of resp. sizes 4000 and 1000.

```
TRAIN 4000 obs. of 5 variables
TEST 1000 obs. of 5 variables
```

Q2: SVM classification by linear kernel

Parameters:

SVM-Type: C-classification

SVM-Kernel: linear

cost: 5

Number of Support Vectors: 2800

(1401 1399)

Number of Classes: 2

Levels:

-1 1

Use the svm() function, for instance cost = 5 and kernel = "linear ", then get S=2800 support vectors, and the ratio s=S/4000=70%

Confusion matrices for the training set:

real

predict -1 1

-1 1485 693

1 515 1307

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on training set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	74.25%	34.65%
Predict class: 1	25.75%	65.35%

The correct prediction of PredTrain: 69.8%

The errors of estimation on PredTRAIN:

$$\sqrt{69.8\%(1-69.8\%)/4000} = 7.26 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$69.8\% \pm 1.96 \times 7.26 \times 10^{-3} = (68.38\%, 71.22\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{74.25\%(1-74.25\%)/2000} = 9.78 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$74.25\% \pm 1.96 \times 9.78 \times 10^{-3} = (72.33\%, 76.17\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{65.35\%(1-65.35\%)/2000} = 0.011$$

95% confidence interval:

$$65.35\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.011 = (63.19\%,67.51\%)$$

Confusion matrices for the test set:

predict

real -1 1

-1 358 142

1 179 321

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on test set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	71.6%	35.8%
Predict class: 1	28.4%	64.2%

The correct prediction of PredTest is 67.9%

The errors of estimation on PredTEST:

$$\sqrt{67.9\%(1-67.9\%)/1000} = 0.015$$

95% confidence interval:

$$67.9\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.015 = (64.96\%, 70.84\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{71.6\%(1-71.6\%)/500} = 0.02$$

95% confidence interval:

$$71.6\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.02 = (67.68\%, 75.52\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{64.2\%(1-64.2\%)/500} = 0.021$$

95% confidence interval:

 $64.2\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.021 = (60.08\%, 68.32\%)$

Interpretation:

The performance of SVM function with 'kernel = linear' and 'cost = 5' is not quite good: 95% confidence interval for TRAIN is (68.38%,71.22%) and 95% confidence interval for TEST is (64.96%,70.84%).

Within each class, for both TRAIN and TEST set, the 95% confidence interval are on the left side of 72%.

So, we need to modify the parameters in SVM function.

Q3: optimize the parameter "cost"

Parameter tuning of 'svm':

- sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
- best parameters:

cost

0.01

- best performance: 0.30425

```
- Detailed performance results:
```

cost error dispersion

1 1e-03 0.30875 0.02533799

2 1e-02 0.30425 0.02779014

3 1e-01 0.30425 0.02828550

4 1e+00 0.30425 0.02879646

5 5e+00 0.30425 0.02879646

6 1e+01 0.30425 0.02879646

Select a list of 6 values for the "cost" parameter: cost=c (0.001,0.01,0.1,1,5,10) When the cost=0.01, we can get the best performance: error=0.30425

Use the svm() function, for instance cost = 0.01 and kernel = "linear ", then get S=2899 support vectors, and the ratio s = S/4000=72.48%

Parameters:

SVM-Type: C-classification

SVM-Kernel: linear

cost: 0.01

Number of Support Vectors: 2899

(1450 1449)

Number of Classes: 2

Levels:

-1 1

Confusion matrices for the training set:

real

predict -1 1

-1 1484 694

1 516 1306

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on training set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	74.2%	34.7%
Predict class: 1	25.8%	65.3%

The correct prediction of PredTrain: 69.75%

The errors of estimation on PredTRAIN:

$$\sqrt{69.75\%(1-69.75\%)/4000} = 7.26 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$69.75\% \pm 1.96 \times 7.26 \times 10^{-3} = (68.33\%, 71.17\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{74.2\%(1-74.2\%)/2000} = 9.78 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$74.2\% \pm 1.96 \times 9.78 \times 10^{-3} = (72.28\%, 76.12\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{65.3\%(1-65.3\%)/2000} = 0.011$$

95% confidence interval:

$$65.3\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.011 = (63.14\%, 67.46\%)$$

Confusion matrices for the test set:

predict

real -1 1

-1 357 143

1 179 321

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on test set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	71.4%	35.8%
Predict class: 1	28.6%	64.2%

The correct prediction of PredTest is 67.8%

The errors of estimation on PredTEST:

$$\sqrt{67.8\%(1-67.8\%)/1000} = 0.015$$

95% confidence interval:

$$67.8\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.015 = (64.86\%, 70.74\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{71.4\%(1-71.4\%)/500} = 0.02$$

95% confidence interval:

$$71.4\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.02 = (67.48\%, 75.32\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{64.2\%(1-64.2\%)/500} = 0.021$$

95% confidence interval:

$$64.2\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.021 = (60.08\%, 68.32\%)$$

Interpretation:

After fix the cost = 0.01, 95% confidence interval for TRAIN is (68.33%,71.17%) and 95% confidence interval for TEST is (64.86%,70.74%). Within each class, for both TRAIN and TEST set, the 95% confidence interval are still on the left side of 72%.

The performance of 'linear' kernel doesn't change much. So, the 'linear' kernel won't do a good job.

Q4: SVM classification by radial kernel

```
Parameters:
```

SVM-Type: C-classification

SVM-Kernel: radial

cost: 0.01

Number of Support Vectors: 3783

(1891 1892)

Number of Classes: 2

Levels:

-1 1

Use the svm() function, for instance cost = 0.01 and kernel = "radial", then ge t S=3783 support vectors, and the ratio s = S/4000= 94.58%

Confusion matrices for the training set:

predict

real -1 1

-1 1957 43

1 193 1807

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on training set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	97.85%	9.65%
Predict class: 1	2.15%	90.35%

The correct prediction of PredTrain: 94.1%

The errors of estimation on PredTRAIN:

$$\sqrt{94.1\%(1-94.1\%)/4000} = 3.73 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$94.1\% \pm 1.96 \times 3.73 \times 10^{-3} = (93.37\%, 94.83\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{97.85\%(1-97.85\%)/2000} = 3.24 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$97.85\% \pm 1.96 \times 3.24 \times 10^{-3} = (97.21\%, 98.49\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{90.35\%(1-90.35\%)/2000} = 6.6 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$90.35\% \pm 1.96 \times 6.6 \times 10^{-3} = (89.06\%, 91.64\%)$$

Confusion matrices for the test set:

predict

real -1 1

-1 483 17

1 49 451

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on test set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	96.6%	9.8%
Predict class: 1	3.4%	90.2%

The correct prediction of PredTest is 93.4%

The errors of estimation on PredTEST:

$$\sqrt{93.4\%(1-93.4\%)/1000} = 7.85 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$93.4\% \pm 1.96 \times 7.85 \times 10^{-3} = (91.86\%, 94.94\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{96.6\%(1-96.6\%)/500} = 8.1 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$96.6\% \pm 1.96 \times 8.1 \times 10^{-3} = (95.01\%, 98.19\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{90.2\%(1-90.2\%)/500} = 0.013$$

95% confidence interval:

$$90.2\% \pm 1.96 \times 0.013 = (87.65\%, 92.75\%)$$

Interpretation:

The performance of SVM function with 'kernel = radial' and 'cost = 0.01' and 'gamma=1' is quite good: 95% confidence interval for TRAIN is (93.37%,94.83%) and 95% confidence interval for TEST is (91.86%,94.94%).

Within each class, for both TRAIN and TEST set, the 95% confidence interval are on the right side of 91%.

So, radial kernel is doing a better job than linear kernel.

Question 5: optimize the parameter "cost" and "gamma"

Parameter tuning of 'svm':

- sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
- best parameters:

cost gamma 1000 0.1

- .
- best performance: 0.009
- Detailed performance results:

```
cost gamma error dispersion
```

- 1 1e-01 1e-02 0.27100 0.021285102
- 2 1e+00 1e-02 0.15675 0.017242148
- 3 1e+01 1e-02 0.05650 0.012812754
- 4 1e+02 1e-02 0.02100 0.009294562
- 5 1e+03 1e-02 0.01000 0.003726780
- 6 1e-01 1e-01 0.07000 0.014092945
- 7 1e+00 1e-01 0.02700 0.009264628
- 8 1e+01 1e-01 0.01375 0.004894725
- 9 1e+02 1e-01 0.01150 0.004743416
- 10 1e+03 1e-01 0.00900 0.004743416
- 11 1e-01 1e+00 0.03525 0.008775756
- 12 1e+00 1e+00 0.02800 0.008147938
- 13 1e+01 1e+00 0.02250 0.009354143
- 14 1e+02 1e+00 0.02350 0.010013879
- 15 1e+03 1e+00 0.02400 0.008913161
- 16 1e-01 1e+01 0.47600 0.134170290
- 17 1e+00 1e+01 0.04625 0.011008204
- $18\ 1e{+}01\ 1e{+}01\ 0.04700\ 0.011105554$
- 19 1e+02 1e+01 0.04700 0.011105554
- 20 1e+03 1e+01 0.04700 0.011105554
- 21 1e-01 1e+02 0.50750 0.037006006
- 22 1e+00 1e+02 0.38475 0.064919287
- 23 1e+01 1e+02 0.36800 0.062303023
- 24 1e+02 1e+02 0.36800 0.062303023
- 25 1e+03 1e+02 0.36800 0.062303023

Select a list of 5 values for the "cost " parameter and a list of 5 values for t he parameter "gamma"

cost=c(0.1,1,10,100,1000),gamma=c(0.01,0.1,1,10,100)

When the cost=1000, gamma=0.1, we can get the best performance: error= 0.0 09

Use the svm() function, for instance cost = 1000, gamma=0.1 and kernel = "radial", then get S=115 support vectors, and the ratio s = S/4000=2.88%

Parameters:

SVM-Type: C-classification

SVM-Kernel: radial

cost: 1000

Number of Support Vectors: 115

(5758)

Number of Classes: 2

Levels:

-1 1

Confusion matrices for the training set:

predict

real -1 1

-1 1997 3

1 3 1997

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on training set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	99.85%	0.15%
Predict class: 1	0.15%	99.85%

The correct prediction of PredTrain: 99.85%

The errors of estimation on PredTRAIN:

$$\sqrt{99.85\%(1-99.85\%)/4000} = 6.12 \times 10^{-4}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$99.85\% \pm 1.96 \times 6.12 \times 10^{-4} = (99.73\%, 99.97\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{99.85\%(1-99.85\%)/2000} = 8.65 \times 10^{-4}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$99.85\% \pm 1.96 \times 8.65 \times 10^{-4} = (99.68\%, 100\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{99.85\%(1-99.85\%)/2000} = 8.65 \times 10^{-4}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$99.85\% \pm 1.96 \times 8.65 \times 10^{-4} = (99.68\%, 100\%)$$

Confusion matrices for the test set:

predict

real -1 1

-1 494 6

1 0 500

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on test set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	98.8%	0%
Predict class: 1	1.2%	100%

The correct prediction of PredTest is 99.4%

The errors of estimation on PredTEST:

$$\sqrt{99.4\%(1-99.4\%)/1000} = 2.44 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$99.4\% \pm 1.96 \times 2.44 \times 10^{-3} = (98.92\%, 99.88\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{98.8\%(1-98.8\%)/500} = 4.87 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$98.8\% \pm 1.96 \times 4.87 \times 10^{-3} = (97.85\%, 99.75\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{100\%(1-100\%)/500} = 0$$

95% confidence interval:

 $100\% \pm 1.96 \times 0 = (100\%, 100\%)$

Interpretation:

After fix the cost = 1000, gamma=0.1, 95% confidence interval for TRAIN is (99.73%,99.97%) and 95% confidence interval for TEST is (98.92%,99.88%). Within each class, for both TRAIN and TEST set, the 95% confidence interval are on the right side of 98%.

The performance of 'radial' kernel gets improved. So, after optimizing the parameters, 'radial' kernel give a very good performance.

Question 6 : SVM classification using a polynomial kernel

```
Parameter tuning of 'svm':
```

- sampling method: 10-fold cross validation

- best parameters:

coef0 cost

100 10

- best performance: 0.00225
- Detailed performance results:

coefO cost error dispersion

- 1 1e-02 1e-01 0.12350 0.015284342
- 2 1e-01 1e-01 0.04750 0.014433757
- 3 1e+00 1e-01 0.01950 0.007888106
- 4 1e+01 1e-01 0.00975 0.007307720
- 5 1e+02 1e-01 0.00500 0.002886751
- 6 1e-02 1e+00 0.05875 0.012318121
- 7 1e-01 1e+00 0.02975 0.010570530
- 8 1e+00 1e+00 0.01350 0.007283924
- 9 1e+01 1e+00 0.00650 0.004887626
- 10 1e+02 1e+00 0.00300 0.003291403
- 11 1e-02 1e+01 0.05050 0.007888106
- 12 1e-01 1e+01 0.01575 0.008901467
- 13 1e+00 1e+01 0.00925 0.005533986
- 14 1e+01 1e+01 0.00550 0.004684490
- 15 1e+02 1e+01 0.00225 0.002486072
- 16 1e-02 1e+02 0.03900 0.009874209
- 17 1e-01 1e+02 0.01100 0.004887626
- 18 1e+00 1e+02 0.00575 0.004721405
- 19 1e+01 1e+02 0.00300 0.003073181
- 20 1e+02 1e+02 0.00425 0.002371708
- 21 1e-02 1e+03 0.01875 0.010622957
- 22 1e-01 1e+03 0.00825 0.004571956
- 23 1e+00 1e+03 0.00325 0.003545341
- 24 1e+01 1e+03 0.00350 0.002934469
- 25 1e+02 1e+03 0.00550 0.004216370

Select a list of 5 values for the "cost" parameter and a list of 5 values for the parameter "coef0"

cost = c(0.1,1,10,100,1000), coef0 = c(0.01,0.1,1,10,100)

When the cost=10, coef0=100, we can get the best performance: error=0.00225

Use the svm() function, for instance cost = 10, coef0=100, and kernel = "pol ynomial ", then get S=27 support vectors, and the ratio <math>s = S/4000=0.68%

Parameters:

```
SVM-Type: C-classification
SVM-Kernel: polynomial
    cost: 10
    degree: 4
    coef.0: 100
Number of Support Vectors: 27
( 15 12 )
```

Number of Classes: 2 Levels: -1 1

Confusion matrices for the training set:

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on training set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	99.6%	0.25%
Predict class: 1	0.4%	99.75%

```
The correct prediction of PredTrain: 99.68% The errors of estimation on PredTRAIN: \sqrt{99.68\%(1-99.68\%)/4000} = 8.93 \times 10^{-4} 95% confidence interval: 99.68% \pm 1.96 \times 8.93 \times 10<sup>-4</sup> = (99.5%,99.86%) The errors of estimation on class(-1): \sqrt{99.6\%(1-99.6\%)/2000} = 1.41 \times 10^{-3} 95% confidence interval: 99.6% \pm 1.96 \times 1.41 \times 10<sup>-3</sup> = (99.32%,99.88%) The errors of estimation on class(1): \sqrt{99.75\%(1-99.75\%)/2000} = 1.12 \times 10^{-3} 95% confidence interval: 99.75% \pm 1.96 \times 1.12 \times 10<sup>-3</sup> = (99.53%,99.97%)
```

Confusion matrices for the test set:

predict

real -1 1 -1 493 7 1 1 499

Matrix in frequency of correct predictions within each class on test set:

	Real class: -1	Real class: 1
Predict class: -1	98.6%	0.2%
Predict class: 1	1.4%	99.8%

The correct prediction of PredTest is 99.2%

The errors of estimation on PredTEST:

$$\sqrt{99.2\%(1-99.2\%)/1000} = 2.82 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$99.2\% \pm 1.96 \times 2.82 \times 10^{-3} = (98.65\%, 99.75\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(-1):

$$\sqrt{98.6\%(1-98.6\%)/500} = 5.25 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$98.6\% \pm 1.96 \times 5.25 \times 10^{-3} = (97.57\%, 99.63\%)$$

The errors of estimation on class(1):

$$\sqrt{99.8\%(1-99.8\%)/500} = 2 \times 10^{-3}$$

95% confidence interval:

$$99.8\% \pm 1.96 \times 2 \times 10^{-3} = (99.4\%, 100\%)$$

Interpretation:

After fix the cost = 10, coef0=100, 95% confidence interval for TRAIN is (99.5%,99.86%) and 95% confidence interval for TEST is (98.65%,99.75%). Within each class, for both TRAIN and TEST set, the 95% confidence interval are on the right side of 98%.

So, after optimizing the parameters, 'polynomial' kernel give a very good performance.

Code;

#Q1

#step1

A = matrix(runif(16,-2,2), 4, 4)

Α

B = runif(4,-2,2)

```
В
C = runif(1,-2,2)
\mathbf{C}
\#Pol(x) = \Sigma i \Sigma j Aij xi xj + \Sigma i Bi xi + c/20
pol <- function(x){</pre>
  sum_A = 0
  sum_B = 0
  for (i in 1:4){
     for (j in 1:4){
       sum\_A = sum\_A + A[i,j] * x[i] * x[j]
     }
  }
  for (i in 1:4){
     sum\_B = sum\_B + B[i] * x[i]
  }
  result = sum\_A + sum\_B + C/20
  return(result)
}
#step2
#10, 000 vectors, each vector has 4 randomly chosen coordinates with values in [-2,
21
row=10000
col=4
x = matrix(runif(row*col,-2,2), row, col)
#U(n) = Pol(xn)
U <- function(n){
  U_result = pol(x[n,])
  return(U_result)
}
#y(n) = sign[U(n)]
y <- function(n){
  y_result= sign(U(n))
  return(y_result)
}
#keep only 2500 cases in CL(1) and 2500 cases in CL(-1)
```

```
select=2500
select_up=0
select down=0
data = matrix(NA, select*2, col+2)
for(i in 1:row){
  select\_total = select\_down + select\_up + 1
  if(y(i)==1 \&\& select\_up < select) 
     data[select_total,1:col]=x[i,]
     data[select_total,col+1]=U(i)
     data[select_total,col+2]=y(i)
     select_up = select_up+1;
  }else if(y(i)==-1 && select_down<select) {</pre>
     data[select_total,1:col]=x[i,]
     data[select_total,col+1]=U(i)
     data[select_total,col+2]=y(i)
     select_down=select_down+1
  }
  if(select_up==select && select_down==select) break
}
dimnames(data) <- list(c(1:(select*2)), c("X1", "X2", "X3", "X4", "U", "y"))\\
data = na.omit(data)
data=data.frame(data)
head(data)
#Center and Rescale this data set of size 5000 so that the standardized data set will
have mean = 0 and dispersion = 1
library(scales)
cen_data <- scale(data[,1:4])
cen_data <- data.frame(cen_data)</pre>
\#cen_data <- rescale(data[,1:4], mean = 0, sd = 1)
y = as.factor(data[,6])
new_data <- cbind(cen_data,y)</pre>
new_data = data.frame(new_data)
head(new_data)
# Split training and test set using an 80:20 ratio
#defines a training set TRAIN and a test set TEST of resp. sizes 4000 and 1000
CL_PO = subset(new_data,y==1)
```

```
CL_NE = subset(new_data, y==-1)
train = sample(2500,2000)
newtrain=merge(CL_PO[train,],CL_NE[train,],all=T)
TRAIN_data = newtrain[c(1:4)]
TRAIN labels=newtrain[5]
newtest = merge(CL_PO[-train,],CL_NE[-train,],all=T)
TEST data = newtest[c(1:4)]
TEST_labels=newtest[5]
TRAIN = cbind(TRAIN_data,TRAIN_labels)
Summary(TRAIN)
TEST = cbind(TEST_data,TEST_labels)
#Question 2: SVM classification by linear kernel
#Run the svm() function on the set TRAIN,kernel = "linear ", cost = 5
library(e1071)
TRAIN_labels$y=as.factor(TRAIN_labels$y)
svmfit=svm(TRAIN labels$y~.,data=TRAIN,kernel="linear",cost=5,scale=FALSE)
#compute the number S of support vectors and the ratio s = S/4000
#compute the percentages of correct prediction PredTrain and PredTest on the sets
TRAIN and TEST
train_pred = predict(symfit, TRAIN)
test_pred = predict(symfit, TEST)
#confusion matrices must be converted in terms of frequencies of correct predictions
within each class
#confusion matrices for TRAIN
TRAIN_confus.matrix = table(predict=train_pred,real=TRAIN$y)
TRAIN confus.matrix
#confusion matrices for TEST
TEST_confus.matrix = table(real=TEST$y, predict=test_pred)
TEST_confus.matrix
```

#compute the errors of estimation on PredTRAIN, PredTEST, and on the terms of the

confusion matrices

```
sum(diag(TRAIN_confus.matrix))/sum(TRAIN_confus.matrix)
sum(diag(TEST confus.matrix))/sum(TEST confus.matrix)
#Q3 : optimize the parameter "cost"
#Select a list of 6 values for the "cost" parameter
#Run the tuning function tune() for the linear sym() to identify the best value of "cost"
set.seed (1)
tune.out=tune(svm,y~.,data=TRAIN,kernel ="linear",ranges
=list(cost=c(0.001,0.01,0.1,1,5,10)))
summary (tune.out) #best value of "cost"= 0.01
#Evaluate the performance characteristics of the "best" linear svm as in question 2
svmfit_1=svm(TRAIN_labels$y~.,data=TRAIN,kernel="linear",cost=0.01,scale=FA
LSE)
summary(svmfit_1)
train_pred_1 = predict(svmfit_1, TRAIN)
test_pred_1 = predict(svmfit_1, TEST)
#confusion matrices must be converted in terms of frequencies of correct predictions
within each class
#confusion matrices for TRAIN
TRAIN confus.matrix 1 = table(predict=train pred 1,real=TRAIN$y)
TRAIN_confus.matrix_1
#confusion matrices for TEST
TEST_confus.matrix_1 = table(real=TEST$y, predict=test_pred_1)
TEST_confus.matrix_1
#compute the errors of estimation on PredTRAIN, PredTEST, and on the terms of the
confusion matrices
sum(diag(TRAIN_confus.matrix_1))/sum(TRAIN_confus.matrix_1)
sum(diag(TEST_confus.matrix_1))/sum(TEST_confus.matrix_1)
#Q4: SVM classification by radial kernel
#Fix the "cost" parameter in the svm() function to the best cost value identified in
question 3
#Select the kernel parameter kernel = "radial" which means that the kernel ks given
```

```
by the formula
#Select arbitrarily the gamma parameter "gamma" = 1
#Run the svm() function on the set TRAIN
svmfit1=svm(TRAIN_labels$y~.,data=TRAIN,kernel="radial",gamma
=1,cost=0.01,scale=FALSE)
summary(svmfit1)
#as in question 2 compute the number S and the ratio s = S/4000
#the percentages of correct predictions PredTrain and PredTest and the two confusion
matrices
train_pred1 = predict(symfit1, TRAIN)
test_pred1 = predict(symfit1, TEST)
#confusion matrices for TRAIN
TRAIN_confus.matrix1 = table(real=TRAIN$y, predict=train_pred1)
TRAIN confus.matrix1
#confusion matrices for TEST
TEST_confus.matrix1 = table(real=TEST$y, predict=test_pred1)
TEST_confus.matrix1
sum(diag(TRAIN_confus.matrix1))/sum(TRAIN_confus.matrix1) # 0.94575
sum(diag(TEST_confus.matrix1))/sum(TEST_confus.matrix1) #0.931
#Q5 : optimize the parameter "cost"and "gamma"
#Select a list of 5 values for the "cost" parameter and a list of 5 values for the
parameter "gamma"
#On the TRAIN set, run the tuning function tune() for the radial svm() to identify the
best value of the pair ("cost", "gamma") among the 25 values you have listed
set.seed (1)
tune.out1=tune(svm ,y~ .,data=TRAIN,kernel ="radial",ranges
=list(cost=c(0.1,1,10,100,1000),gamma=c(0.01,0.1,1,10,100)))
summary (tune.out1)
#Evaluate the performance characteristics of the "best" radial svm as in question 2
#Interpret your results
svmfit1_1=svm(TRAIN_labels$y~ .,data=TRAIN,kernel="radial",gamma
=0.1,cost=1000,scale=FALSE)
summary(svmfit1_1)
train_pred1_1 = predict(svmfit1_1, TRAIN)
```

```
test_pred1_1 = predict(svmfit1_1, TEST)
#confusion matrices for TRAIN
TRAIN_confus.matrix1_1 = table(real=TRAIN$y, predict=train_pred1_1)
TRAIN confus.matrix1 1
#confusion matrices for TEST
TEST_confus.matrix1_1 = table(real=TEST$y, predict=test_pred1_1)
TEST confus.matrix1 1
sum(diag(TRAIN_confus.matrix1_1))/sum(TRAIN_confus.matrix1_1)
sum(diag(TEST_confus.matrix1_1))/sum(TEST_confus.matrix1_1)
#Q6 : SVM classification using a polynomial kernel
#Implement the steps of question 4 and 5 for the svm() function based on the
polynomial kernel
\#K(x,y) = (a + \langle x,y \rangle)^4
#You will have to optimize the choice of the two parameters "a" >0 and "cost"
svmfit2=svm(TRAIN_labels$y~.,data=TRAIN,kernel="polynomial",coef0=1,degree
=4,cost=0.01,scale=FALSE)
summary(symfit2)
train_pred2 = predict(symfit2, TRAIN)
test_pred2 = predict(symfit2, TEST)
#confusion matrices for TRAIN
TRAIN confus.matrix2 = table(real=TRAIN$y, predict=train pred2)
TRAIN confus.matrix2
#confusion matrices for TEST
TEST confus.matrix2 = table(real=TEST$y, predict=test_pred2)
TEST_confus.matrix2
sum(diag(TRAIN_confus.matrix2))/sum(TRAIN_confus.matrix2)
sum(diag(TEST_confus.matrix2))/sum(TEST_confus.matrix2)
set.seed (1)
tune.out2=tune(svm ,y~ .,data=TRAIN,kernel ="polynomial",ranges
=list(coef0=c(0.01,0.1,1,10,100),cost=c(0.1,1,10,100,1000)))
summary (tune.out2)
```

```
svmfit2_1=svm(TRAIN_labels$y~ .,data=TRAIN,kernel="polynomial",coef0=100,de
gree=4,cost=10,scale=FALSE)
summary(svmfit2_1)

train_pred2_1 = predict(svmfit2_1, TRAIN)
test_pred2_1 = predict(svmfit2_1, TEST)

#confusion matrices for TRAIN
TRAIN_confus.matrix2_1 = table(real=TRAIN$y, predict=train_pred2_1)
TRAIN_confus.matrix2_1
#confusion matrices for TEST
TEST_confus.matrix2_1 = table(real=TEST$y, predict=test_pred2_1)
TEST_confus.matrix2_1
sum(diag(TRAIN_confus.matrix2_1))/sum(TRAIN_confus.matrix2_1)
sum(diag(TEST_confus.matrix2_1))/sum(TEST_confus.matrix2_1)
```