# The problem of Turán numbers of two disjoint stars

### Niping Yi

#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the Turán numbers of two disjoint star graphs, denoted as  $S_k$  and  $S_l$ , where  $l \leq k$ . We aim to determine the maximum number of edges in a graph of order n that avoids containing the graph  $S_k \cup S_l$  as a subgraph. Our main result provides a formula for the Turán number  $ex(n, S_k \cup S_l)$  based on the values of n, k, and l.

Keywords: Turán number, Disjoint Stars, Extremal Graph

#### 1. Introduction

Our notation in this paper is standard. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple graph, where V(G) is the vertex set with size v(G) and E(G) is the edge set with size e(G). The degree of  $v \in V(G)$ , the number of edges incident to v, is denoted by  $d_G(v)$  and the set of neighbors of v is denoted by N(v). Let G and G be two disjoint graphs, denote by  $G \cup G$  the disjoint union of G and G and G and G the disjoint union of G and G and G and G and G and G are G the disjoint union of G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G and G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G are G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G and G are G and G are G ar

The Turán number of a graph H, ex(n, H), is the maximum number of edges in a graph of order n which does not contain H as a subgraph. We say that a graph is H-free if it does not contain H as a subgraph.

In this article, we determine  $ex(n, S_k \cup S_l)$  for all values of n, k, l, where  $l \leq k$ .

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If  $l \leq k$ , then

$$ex(n, S_k \cup S_l) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \leq l+k+1, \\ \max\left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{(l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{(l+1)n + (k+1)(l+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)n}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}, & \text{if } n \geq l+k+2. \end{cases}$$

Email address: yiniping123@gmail.com (Niping Yi)

It is easy to check that

$$ex(n, 2 \cdot S_l) \le ex(n, S_l \cup S_k) \le ex(n, 2 \cdot S_k)$$

where

$$ex(n, 2 \cdot S_l) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \leq 2(l+1) \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(l-1)n + (l+1)(2l+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } 2(l+1) \leq n \leq (l+1)^2 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(l+1)n - (l+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } n \geq (l+1)^2 \end{cases}$$
(1)

As shown in Equation 1, [1]

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

**Proof.** Assume that l < k. Denote

$$f(n,k,l) = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{(l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1)}{2} \right|, \left| \frac{(l+1)n + (k+1)(l+1)}{2} \right|, \left| \frac{(k-1)n}{2} \right| \right\}$$

Let G be an  $S_k \cup S_l$ -free graph with  $e(G) \ge f(n, k, l)$ , and let  $u \in V(G)$  be a vertex of maximum degree in G. We have the following claims.

Claim 1:  $\Delta(G) \geq k$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Delta(G) \leq k-1$ . Then  $e(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)n}{2} \right\rfloor$ , which implies  $e(G) \leq f(n,k,l)$ , leading to a contradiction. Therefore,  $\Delta(G) \geq k$ .

Claim 2:  $\Delta(G) \leq k + l$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Delta(G) \geq k + l + 1$ . For any  $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ , we have  $d(v) \leq l$ . Otherwise, if there exists a  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $d(v) \geq l + 1$ , then G contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $e(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1+l(n-1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ , which implies  $e(G) \leq f(n,k,l)$ , a contradiction.

Claim 3:  $\delta(G) \in [l-1, k-2]$ .

**Proof.** By the extremality of  $ex(n, S_k \cup S_l)$ , it is easy to see that  $\delta(G) \geq l-1$ . On the other hand, if  $\delta(G) \geq k-1$ , and since  $\Delta(G) \geq k$ , then G contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction.

Claim 4: There are at least two vertices in G with degree greater than l.

**Proof.** By contradiction. Suppose there is only one vertex with degree greater than l, and all other vertices have degree at most l. Then  $e(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)+l(n-1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ , which implies  $e(G) \leq f(n,k,l)$ , leading to a contradiction.

Based on the above claims, let us assume another vertex with degree greater than l is y.

Claim 5: 
$$\Delta(G) \le k + l - 1$$
.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Delta(G) = k + l$ . Then we have  $N(y) \subseteq N(u)$ , otherwise G contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction.

Now we consider  $k \leq \Delta(G) \leq k+l-i$ , where  $i \geq 1$ . We define the following notations. Let  $Z = \{x \mid x \in N(u), d(x) \geq l+1\}$ , and let z = |Z|. Let  $V_1 = \{x \mid x \in V(G) \setminus N(u), d(x) \geq l\}$ , and  $V_2 = V(G) \setminus (N(u) \cup V_1)$ . Let  $m_{l+t}$  be the number of vertices in  $V_1$  with degree l+t, where  $0 \leq t \leq k-i$ . Let  $m_q$  be the number of vertices in  $V_2$  with degree q, where  $0 \leq q \leq l-1$ .

- (a) We claim that for any  $x \in Z$ ,  $|N(x) \setminus N(u)| \leq i$ . Otherwise, if there exists an x such that  $|N(x) \setminus N(u)| \geq i + 1$ , then G contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction.
- (b) We claim that for any  $y \in V_1$ ,  $|N(y) \cap N(u)| \ge l + t (i 1)$ . If  $|N(y) \cap N(u)| \le l + t i$ , then the degree of vertices in N(y) intersecting with  $V(G) \setminus N(u)$  is at least l, which implies that G contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , leading to a contradiction.

$$e(N(u), V_1 \cup V_2) = \sum_{x \in Z} |N(x) \cap N(u)| + \sum_{x \in N(u) \setminus Z} |N(x) \cap N(u)|$$
  
 
$$\leq iz + (l-1)|N(u) \setminus Z| = iz + (l-1)(l+k-i-z)$$

$$e(N(u), V_1 \cup V_2) = \sum_{x \in V_1} |N(x) \cap N(u)| + \sum_{x \in V_2} |N(x) \cap N(u)|$$

$$\geq \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l+t-(i-1))m_{l+t}$$

$$\begin{split} 2e(G) &= d(u) + \sum_{x \in Z} d(x) + \sum_{x \in N(u) \backslash Z} d(x) + \sum_{x \in V_1} d(x) + \sum_{x \in V_2} d(x) \\ &\leq k + l - i + (k + l - i)z + (k + l - i - z)l + \sum_{t = 0}^{k - i} (l + t)m_{l + t} + \sum_{q = 0}^{l - 1} qm_q \end{split}$$

$$2e(G) \ge 2f(n,k,l) + 2$$

$$\ge 2\left\lfloor \frac{(l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor + 2$$

$$\ge (l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1)$$

$$= (l-1)\left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-i} m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} m_q + k + l - i + 1\right) + (k+1)(l+k+1)$$

From (c), we have

$$iz + (l-1)(k+l-i-z) + (k+l-i) + (k+l-i)z + (k+l-i-z)l + \left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l+t)m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} qm_q\right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l+t-(i-1))m_{l+t} + (l-1)\left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-i} m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} m_q + k + l - i + 1\right) + (k+1)(l+k+1)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$z \ge \frac{\left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l-i) m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} (l-1-q) m_q\right) + (k^2 - l^2) + li + l + k + i}{k - l + 1}$$

Given that

$$\frac{(k^2 - l^2) + li + l + k + i}{k - l + 1} > k + l - i,$$

it follows that

$$z > k + l - i$$

.

This leads to a contradiction.  $\blacksquare$ 

## References

[1] Sha-Sha Li and Jian-Hua Yin, "Two results about the Turán number of star forests," Discrete Mathematics, vol. 343, no. 11, pp. 111702, 2020.