

# The problem of Turán numbers of two disjoint stars

Niping Yi

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the Turán numbers of two disjoint star graphs, denoted as  $S_k$  and  $S_l$ , where  $l \leq k$ . We aim to determine the maximum number of edges in a graph of order  $n$  that avoids containing the graph  $S_k \cup S_l$  as a subgraph. Our main result provides a formula for the Turán number  $ex(n, S_k \cup S_l)$  based on the values of  $n$ ,  $k$ , and  $l$ .

*Keywords:* Turán number, Disjoint Stars, Extremal Graph

---

## 1. Introduction

Our notation in this paper is standard. Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a simple graph, where  $V(G)$  is the vertex set with size  $v(G)$  and  $E(G)$  is the edge set with size  $e(G)$ . The degree of  $v \in V(G)$ , the number of edges incident to  $v$ , is denoted by  $d_G(v)$  and the set of neighbors of  $v$  is denoted by  $N(v)$ . Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two disjoint graphs, denote by  $G \cup H$  the disjoint union of  $G$  and  $H$  and by  $k \cdot G$  the disjoint union of  $k$  copies of a graph  $G$ . Let  $S_k$  denote the star on  $k + 1$  vertices.

The Turán number of a graph  $H$ ,  $ex(n, H)$ , is the maximum number of edges in a graph of order  $n$  which does not contain  $H$  as a subgraph. We say that a graph is  $H$ -free if it does not contain  $H$  as a subgraph.

In this article, we determine  $ex(n, S_k \cup S_l)$  for all values of  $n, k, l$ , where  $l \leq k$ .

We will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $l \leq k$ , then*

$$ex(n, S_k \cup S_l) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \leq l + k + 1, \\ \max \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{(l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{(l+1)n + (k+1)(l+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)n}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}, & \text{if } n \geq l + k + 2. \end{cases}$$

---

*Email address:* yiniping123@gmail.com (Niping Yi)

It is easy to check that

$$ex(n, 2 \cdot S_l) \leq ex(n, S_l \cup S_k) \leq ex(n, 2 \cdot S_k)$$

where

$$ex(n, 2 \cdot S_l) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \leq 2(l+1) \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(l-1)n + (l+1)(2l+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } 2(l+1) \leq n \leq (l+1)^2 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(l+1)n - (l+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } n \geq (l+1)^2 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

As shown in Equation 1, [1]

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

**Proof.** Assume that  $l < k$ . Denote

$$f(n, k, l) = \max \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{(l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{(l+1)n + (k+1)(l+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)n}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}$$

Let  $G$  be an  $S_k \cup S_l$ -free graph with  $e(G) \geq f(n, k, l)$ , and let  $u \in V(G)$  be a vertex of maximum degree in  $G$ . We have the following claims.

**Claim 1:**  $\Delta(G) \geq k$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Delta(G) \leq k-1$ . Then  $e(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)n}{2} \right\rfloor$ , which implies  $e(G) \leq f(n, k, l)$ , leading to a contradiction. Therefore,  $\Delta(G) \geq k$ .

**Claim 2:**  $\Delta(G) \leq k+l$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Delta(G) \geq k+l+1$ . For any  $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ , we have  $d(v) \leq l$ . Otherwise, if there exists a  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $d(v) \geq l+1$ , then  $G$  contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $e(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1+l(n-1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ , which implies  $e(G) \leq f(n, k, l)$ , a contradiction.

**Claim 3:**  $\delta(G) \in [l-1, k-2]$ .

**Proof.** By the extremality of  $ex(n, S_k \cup S_l)$ , it is easy to see that  $\delta(G) \geq l - 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\delta(G) \geq k - 1$ , and since  $\Delta(G) \geq k$ , then  $G$  contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction.

**Claim 4:** There are at least two vertices in  $G$  with degree greater than  $l$ .

**Proof.** By contradiction. Suppose there is only one vertex with degree greater than  $l$ , and all other vertices have degree at most  $l$ . Then  $e(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)+l(n-1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ , which implies  $e(G) \leq f(n, k, l)$ , leading to a contradiction.

Based on the above claims, let us assume another vertex with degree greater than  $l$  is  $y$ .

**Claim 5:**  $\Delta(G) \leq k + l - 1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Delta(G) = k + l$ . Then we have  $N(y) \subseteq N(u)$ , otherwise  $G$  contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction.

Now we consider  $k \leq \Delta(G) \leq k + l - i$ , where  $i \geq 1$ . We define the following notations. Let  $Z = \{x \mid x \in N(u), d(x) \geq l + 1\}$ , and let  $z = |Z|$ . Let  $V_1 = \{x \mid x \in V(G) \setminus N(u), d(x) \geq l\}$ , and  $V_2 = V(G) \setminus (N(u) \cup V_1)$ . Let  $m_{l+t}$  be the number of vertices in  $V_1$  with degree  $l + t$ , where  $0 \leq t \leq k - i$ . Let  $m_q$  be the number of vertices in  $V_2$  with degree  $q$ , where  $0 \leq q \leq l - 1$ .

- (a) We claim that for any  $x \in Z$ ,  $|N(x) \setminus N(u)| \leq i$ . Otherwise, if there exists an  $x$  such that  $|N(x) \setminus N(u)| \geq i + 1$ , then  $G$  contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , which is a contradiction.
- (b) We claim that for any  $y \in V_1$ ,  $|N(y) \cap N(u)| \geq l + t - (i - 1)$ . If  $|N(y) \cap N(u)| \leq l + t - i$ , then the degree of vertices in  $N(y)$  intersecting with  $V(G) \setminus N(u)$  is at least  $l$ , which implies that  $G$  contains  $S_k \cup S_l$ , leading to a contradiction.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} e(N(u), V_1 \cup V_2) &= \sum_{x \in Z} |N(x) \cap N(u)| + \sum_{x \in N(u) \setminus Z} |N(x) \cap N(u)| \\ &\leq iz + (l - 1)|N(u) \setminus Z| = iz + (l - 1)(l + k - i - z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e(N(u), V_1 \cup V_2) &= \sum_{x \in V_1} |N(x) \cap N(u)| + \sum_{x \in V_2} |N(x) \cap N(u)| \\
&\geq \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l+t-(i-1))m_{l+t}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2e(G) &= d(u) + \sum_{x \in Z} d(x) + \sum_{x \in N(u) \setminus Z} d(x) + \sum_{x \in V_1} d(x) + \sum_{x \in V_2} d(x) \\
&\leq k+l-i + (k+l-i)z + (k+l-i-z)l + \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l+t)m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} qm_q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2e(G) &\geq 2f(n, k, l) + 2 \\
&\geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{(l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor + 2 \\
&\geq (l-1)n + (k+1)(l+k+1) \\
&= (l-1) \left( \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} m_q + k+l-i+1 \right) + (k+1)(l+k+1)
\end{aligned}$$

From (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&iz + (l-1)(k+l-i-z) + (k+l-i) + (k+l-i)z + (k+l-i-z)l + \left( \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l+t)m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} qm_q \right) \\
&\geq \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l+t-(i-1))m_{l+t} + (l-1) \left( \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} m_q + k+l-i+1 \right) + (k+1)(l+k+1)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$z \geq \frac{\left( \sum_{t=0}^{k-i} (l-i)m_{l+t} + \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} (l-1-q)m_q \right) + (k^2 - l^2) + li + l + k + i}{k-l+1}$$

Given that

$$\frac{(k^2 - l^2) + li + l + k + i}{k-l+1} > k+l-i,$$

it follows that

$$z > k + l - i$$

.

This leads to a contradiction. ■

## References

- [1] Sha-Sha Li and Jian-Hua Yin, "Two results about the Turán number of star forests," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 343, no. 11, pp. 111702, 2020.