

# Nontrivial dispersion relation and QCD thermodynamics in the low energy effective model

Shi Yin,<sup>1</sup> Rui Wen,<sup>1</sup> and Wei-jie Fu<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, P.R. China*

We study the finite temperature and density two flavor quark-meson model under the functional renormalisation group. The effect of broken  $O(4)$ -symmetry of the wave function renormalisation and expansion point of effective potential on the thermodynamic quantities and baryon number fluctuation are investigate. At the same time, the field dependent Yukawa coupling is also considered. We give results of the pion mass, the quark mass, the trace anomaly, the baryon number fluctuation and the freeze-out curve.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The QCD phase structure and the search of the critical end point (CEP) are the most popular research direction in both experimental and theoretical field. The phase transition between the quark gluon plasma (QGP) and hadron is the main research objects. The research of the QGP-hadron phase transition can help us to help us better understand the nature of elementary particles. The experiment to looking for the QGP is being made at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC).

In terms of theoretical research, there many different methods to investigate the QCD phase structure. The most widely studied method is the lattice QCD. A lot of properties of the QCD matter have been discussed under the lattice simulations. Although the lattice theory has the sign problem at high baryon chemical potential, it still gave us plenty of great outcomes. In order to avoid the problem that occurs in lattice calculation, the study of the continuous non-perturbative field theory is in progress at the same time. For example, the Dyson-Schwinger equation. And the Functional Renormalization Group (FRG) is the other good functional approach of the continuous theory. In these ways we can study the behavior of the strong interaction matter under the finite temperature and density better.

This work is done with the low energy effective model under the FRG approach.

## II. DISPERSION RELATIONSHIP IN THE PQM MODEL

The Low-energy effective model is a effective research tool to study the QCD matter under the finite temperature and density. In the finite temperature quantum field theory, the  $O(4)$ -symmetry in the vacuum is broken. The time component of momentum changes into the summation of Matsubara frequency. The  $O(4)$ -symmetry changes into a  $Z_2 \otimes O(3)$  symmetry, which leads to the split of the

magnetic component and electric component. These two components are related to the transversal and longitudinal direction to the heat bath. This division makes the 4-dimension momentum into two parts, the Matsubara mode part and the space part. For example, the internal momentum under the finite temperature should be divided into  $q_0 = 2\pi T(n + \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\vec{q}$ . Naturally, this division will divide the propagator into these two parts and the wave function renormalization coefficient is also. So we should study the QCD matter in the case of considering the difference between the two components of the dressing function  $Z$ .

However, in the past research of the Polyakov-Quark-Meson model under functional renormalization group, we always employ an approximation that assume  $Z^\perp = Z^\parallel$ . Under this approximation we can simplify calculations as much as possible without losing too much information. Nevertheless, the transversal component plays a major role in the physics we should also study their nontrivial influence on the Matsubara frequency and the space momentum.

In the theory of the PQM we have two kind of dressing functions, the meson  $Z_\phi$  and the quark  $Z_q$ . In the previous research of PQM model, the approximation that  $Z^\perp = Z^\parallel = Z$  is widely used. The transversal and longitudinal components are assumed to be equal. However, the magnitude of this approximation has not been studied. Thus, it is necessary to check the reliability of this approximation.

In this work, we investigate the affect of the meson dressing function and compare the numerical results which are obtained under  $Z_\phi^\perp = Z_\phi^\parallel = Z_\phi$  with  $Z_\phi^\perp \neq Z_\phi^\parallel$ . The wave function renormalizations are scale-dependent, if we want to discuss the affect of them, the numerical calculation must be performed beyond the local potential approximation (LPA). The flow of the dressing function should meet the function written below

$$\partial_t Z_{\phi,k}^\perp \neq 0, \quad \partial_t Z_{\phi,k}^\parallel \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

Therefore, the flow of  $Z_{\phi,k}$  also working in the meson anomalous dimensions, which follows

$$\eta_{\phi,k} = -\frac{\partial_t Z_{\phi,k}}{Z_{\phi,k}} \quad (2)$$

---

\* wjfu@dlut.edu.cn

With the broken of the  $O(4)$ -symmetry the meson anomalous dimension will split into

$$\eta_{\phi,k}^{\perp} = -\frac{\partial_t Z_{\phi,k}^{\perp}}{Z_{\phi,k}^{\perp}}, \quad \eta_{\phi,k}^{\parallel} = -\frac{\partial_t Z_{\phi,k}^{\parallel}}{Z_{\phi,k}^{\parallel}} \quad (3)$$

### III. POLYAKOV-QUARK-MESON MODEL UNDER THE FRG APPROXIMATION

As is shown in the previous work, the Polyakov-quark-meson model of two-flavor can be studied with the flow equation of the scale-dependent effective action  $\Gamma_k[\Phi]$ ,  $\Phi$  is the superfield, it can be written as  $\Phi = (q, \bar{q}, \phi, \dots)$ . The flow equation within the framework of FRG can be written as

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} Tr(G_{\phi\phi} \partial_t R_k^{\phi}) - Tr(G_{q\bar{q}} \partial_t R_k^q) \quad (4)$$

$$G_{\phi\phi/q\bar{q}}[\Phi] = \left( \frac{1}{\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k[\Phi]}{\delta \Phi^2} + R_k^{\Phi}} \right)_{\phi\phi/q\bar{q}} \quad (5)$$

is the propagator which is full field-dependent. The effective action that depends on the scale of the quark-meson model can be written like this

$$\Gamma_k = \int_x \left\{ Z_{q,k} \bar{q} [\gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - \gamma_0 (\mu + ig A_0)] q + \frac{1}{2} Z_{\phi,k} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^2 + h_k \bar{q} (T^0 \sigma + i \gamma_5 \vec{T} \cdot \vec{\pi}) q + V_k(\rho) - c\sigma \right\} + \dots \quad (6)$$

here we omitted the higher-order terms. The integral sign can be written as  $\int_x = \int_0^{1/T} dx_0 \int d^3x$ . The meson field is  $\phi = (\sigma, \vec{\pi})$ .  $V_k(\rho)$  is meson field-dependent effective potential which is  $O(4)$  invariant, with  $\rho = \phi^2/2$ . The  $k$  is the infrared cutoff scale in FRG;  $\Lambda$  is the UV cutoff renormalization scale, in this work  $\Lambda$  takes 700MeV;  $\mu$  is the chemical potential of quark.  $T$  is the generators of flavor space  $Tr(T^i T^j) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij}$  and  $T^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_f}} \mathbb{1}_{N_f \times N_f}$ .

In this work, we only consider the situation of two flavors that  $N_f = 2$ . The linear term  $-c\sigma$  breaks the chiral symmetry. At the same time, the linear breaking parameter  $c$  is related to the mass of  $\vec{\pi}$ ;  $h_k$  is the Yukawa coupling.

In the effective action and the flow equation, we only consider the matter part that is composed of quark loop and meson loop. The glue part is working as a input background. For the purpose to encode the information of de-confinement, we adopted the Polyakov loop. The Polyakov loop plays the role of the background field potential which has the same effect as the gluon.

It works in the model by the expectation value of the traced Polyakov loop which can be written as

$$L = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr } \mathcal{P} \rangle, \quad \bar{L} = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr } \mathcal{P}^{\dagger} \rangle \quad (7)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}(\vec{x}) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left( ig \int_0^{\beta} d\tau A_0(\vec{x}, \tau) \right) \quad (8)$$

$L$  and  $\bar{L}$  can be considered as the order-parameter of the phase transition from confinement to the de-confinement.

The bosonic and the fermionic distribution satisfy the equation given below

$$n_B(\bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2, z_{\phi}; T) = \frac{1}{\exp\{\frac{1}{T} \frac{k}{z_{\phi}^{1/2}} \sqrt{1 + \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2}\} - 1} \quad (9)$$

and

$$n_F(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, z_q; T) = \frac{1}{\exp\{\frac{1}{T} \frac{k}{z_q} \sqrt{1 + \bar{m}_{q,k}^2} - \mu\} + 1} \quad (10)$$

With the addition of the Polyakov loop, the distribution function of the fermion can be modified by the following form

$$n_F(x, z_q, T, L, \bar{L}) = \frac{1 + 2\bar{L}e^{x/T} + Le^{2x/T}}{1 + 3\bar{L}e^{x/T} + 3Le^{2x/T} + e^{3x/T}} \quad (11)$$

The  $x$  stands for

$$x = \frac{k}{z_q} \sqrt{1 + \bar{m}_{q,k}^2} - \mu$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{k}{z_q} \sqrt{1 + \bar{m}_{q,k}^2} + \mu \quad (12)$$

The plus and minus sign in front of the chemical potential  $\mu$  stand for the quark and anti-quark.

#### A. The flow equations of the effective potential and the Yukawa coupling

We use the three-dimension regulators throughout our calculation of the threshold functions. Through the derivation of the effective action 4 and 5 we can get the flow equation of the effective potential under the constant mesonic fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t V_k(\rho) = & \frac{k^4}{4\pi^2} [(N_f^2 - 1) l_0^{(B,4)}(\bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2, \eta_{\phi,k}^{\perp}; T) \\ & + l_0^{(B,4)}(\bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2, \eta_{\phi,k}^{\perp}; T) \\ & - 4N_c N_f l_0^{(F,4)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \eta_{q,k}; T, \mu)] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$




FIG. 1. The left diagram is the mass of the pion as a function of temperature, the right curves are the constituent quark mass. These diagrams are obtained under fix point and physical point expansion of the effective potential.

The  $l_0^{(B/F,n)}$  stands for the threshold functions of the boson and fermion. The analytical form of the threshold functions are given at ???. Below are the quark mass and meson masses which are renormalized and dimensionless

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2 &= \frac{V'_k(\rho)}{k^2 Z_{\phi,k}^\perp} \\ \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2 &= \frac{V'_k(\rho) + 2\rho V''_k(\rho)}{k^2 Z_{\phi,k}^\perp} \\ \bar{m}_{q,k}^2 &= \frac{h_k^2 \rho}{2k^2 Z_{q,k}^2}\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

In the finite temperature the meson wave function renormalization  $Z_{\phi,k}$  is split into  $Z^\parallel$  and  $Z^\perp$ . In the past calculation we use a approximation that we assume  $Z^\parallel = Z^\perp$ . In this work, we abolished this approximation and observe if the change have any influence on the results. By considering the difference between  $Z_{\phi,k}^\perp$  and  $Z_{\phi,k}^\parallel$  we can calculate the anomalous dimensions respectively. The definition of the anomalous dimensions is given by

$$\eta_{\phi,k}^\perp = -\frac{\partial_t Z_{\phi,k}^\perp}{Z_{\phi,k}^\perp}, \quad \eta_{\phi,k}^\parallel = -\frac{\partial_t Z_{\phi,k}^\parallel}{Z_{\phi,k}^\parallel} \quad (15)$$

and the definition of the quark anomalous dimension is

$$\eta_{q,k} = -\frac{\partial_t Z_{q,k}}{Z_{q,k}} \quad (16)$$

The frequency and spatial momentum are independent when we calculating the anomalous dimensions. So we can easily obtain the longitudinal component of the meson anomalous dimension with the same derivation method of the transversal component. The analytic

form of the transversal component is consistent with the anomalous dimension under  $Z_{\phi,k}^\perp = Z_{\phi,k}^\parallel$ . The calculation of anomalous dimensions is ultimately the calculation of the flow of the wave-function renormalizations. We can use the Wetterich equation which is mentioned in Eq 5.

Here we consider two kinds of expansion point of the effective potential. On one hand, the Taylor expansion of the effective potential is about a field value  $\kappa$  which is unrenormalised and fixed. The effective potential can be written as

$$\bar{V}_k(\bar{\rho}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_v} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_{n,k}}{n!} (\bar{\rho} - \bar{\kappa}_k)^n \quad (17)$$

with  $\bar{\lambda}_{n,k} = \lambda_{n,k}/Z_{\phi,k}^{\perp n}$  and  $\bar{\kappa}_k = Z_{\phi,k}^\perp \kappa$ . The other hand, we make the expansion point  $\kappa$  depends on the cutoff scales  $k$ . Then the  $\bar{\kappa}_k$  can be written as

$$\bar{\kappa}_k = Z_{\phi,k}^\perp \kappa_k \quad (18)$$

Then we give the flow equation of the derivation of the effective potential

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho^n (\partial_t|_\rho \bar{V}_k(\bar{\rho})) \Big|_{\bar{\rho}=\bar{\kappa}_k} \\ = (\partial_t \bar{\lambda}_{n,k} - n \eta_{\phi,k} \bar{\lambda}_{n,k}) - (\partial_t \bar{\kappa}_k + \eta_{\phi,k} \bar{\kappa}_k) \bar{\lambda}_{n+1,k}\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

The definition of the pion decay constant is  $f_\pi = \langle \sigma \rangle$  which is equal to the expected value of the  $\sigma$  field.

The Yukawa coupling  $h_k$  is the coupling coefficient of the quark and meson. Here we also consider the  $\rho$ -dependent  $h$  and calculate its impact on the decouple speed of the meson mass. Similar to the expansion of the effective potential, the Yukawa coupling can be

expanded at the point  $\kappa_k$ . So the  $h_k$  can be written as

$$\bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_h} \frac{\bar{h}_{n,k}}{n!} (\bar{\rho} - \bar{\kappa}_k)^n \quad (20)$$

We only study the impact of the expansion of  $h$  under the  $Z_{\phi,k}^{\parallel} = Z_{\phi,k}^{\perp}$ , so the definition of the  $\bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho})$  and the expansion coefficients is

$$\bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho}) = \frac{h_k(\rho)}{Z_{q,k} Z_{\phi,k}^{1/2}} \quad (21)$$

$$\bar{h}_{n,k} = \frac{h_{n,k}}{Z_{q,k} Z_{\phi,k}^{(2n+1)/2}} \quad (22)$$

The expression of the Yukawa coupling is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho}) = & \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\phi,k} + \eta_{q,k} \right) \bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho}) \\ & + 8v_3 \bar{h}_k^3(\bar{\rho}) \left[ L_{(1,1)}^{(4)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2, \eta_{q,k}, \eta_{\phi,k}; T, \mu) \right. \\ & \left. - (N_f^2 - 1) L_{(1,1)}^{(4)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2, \eta_{q,k}, \eta_{\phi,k}; T, \mu) \right] \\ & + 4v_3 \bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho}) \bar{h}'_k(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \left[ \bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho}) + \bar{\rho} \bar{h}'_k(\bar{\rho}) \right] \\ & \times L_{(1,1)}^{(4)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2, \eta_{q,k}, \eta_{\phi,k}; T, \mu) \\ & - 2v_3 k^2 \left[ (3\bar{h}'_k(\bar{\rho}) + 2\bar{\rho} \bar{h}''_k(\bar{\rho})) l_1^{(B,4)}(\bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2, \eta_{\phi,k}; T) \right. \\ & \left. + 3\bar{h}'_k(\bar{\rho}) l_1^{(B,4)}(\bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2, \eta_{\phi,k}; T) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Similar to the effective potential, the flow equation of the derivative Yukawa coupling is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\rho}}^n (\partial_t \bar{h}_k(\bar{\rho})) \Big|_{\bar{\rho}=\bar{\kappa}_k} \\ = (\partial_t \bar{h}_{n,k} - n \eta_{\phi,k} \bar{h}_{n,k}) - (\partial_t \bar{\kappa}_k + \eta_{\phi,k} \bar{\kappa}_k) \bar{h}_{n+1,k} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

### B. Baryon number fluctuation and kurtosis

The fluctuation of the baryon number is related to some observables. The kurtosis of the baryon number fluctuation at different collision energy can be observed in the experiment like STAR. So the calculation of the baryon number fluctuation is important work. The definition of the each order baryon number fluctuation can be written as

$$\chi_n^B = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial (\mu_B/T)^n} \frac{p}{T^4} \quad (25)$$

The baryon chemical potential satisfying the relationship of  $\mu_B = 3\mu$ . The quadratic and quartic fluctuations can

be expressed using the average baryon number fluctuation  $\langle N_B \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_2^B &= \frac{1}{VT^3} \langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle \\ \chi_4^B &= \frac{1}{VT^3} (\langle \delta N_B^4 \rangle - 3 \langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle^2) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The definition of the kurtosis can be written as

$$\kappa \sigma^2 = \frac{\chi_4^B}{\chi_2^B} \quad (27)$$

At the same time, we calculated the chemical freeze-out line as the function of the collision energy and the kurtosis of the baryon number fluctuation. The value of the kurtosis is determined by the temperature and the baryon chemical potential. We use the correspondence of the collision energy and chemical potential which is give in the Table I. We obtain the freeze-out temperature by the three and two order of the baryon number fluctuation  $\chi_3^B/\chi_2^B$  under the different baryon chemical potential which are corresponding to the different collision energy. Then we can compare the numerical results between the fix point expansion and physical point expansion of the effective potential  $V_k(\rho)$  and the results of splitted meson wave function renormalisation. There are many ways to determine the freeze-out temperature.

$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	200	62.4	39	27	19.6	11.5	7.7
$\mu_{B,N_f=2}$ (MeV)	25.3	78.1	121	168.7	222.7	343	459.4

TABLE I. The relationship of collision energy and baryon chemical potential

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

In this work, we investigated the baryon number fluctuations and some thermodynamic quantities with in the functional renormalization group. We made a comparison of the results between the approximation of the mesonic wave function renormalizations and without the approximation. The calculation are accomplished under the fix point and physical point expansion of the effective potential. Now we give the initial conditions of our calculation. The initial UV scale is  $\Lambda = 700 \text{ MeV}$ . At  $k = \Lambda$  the initial effective potential can be written as

$$V_{\Lambda}(\rho) = \frac{\lambda_{\Lambda}}{2} \rho^2 + \nu_{\Lambda} \rho \quad (28)$$

And the parameter we chosen in the equation above is shown in the table below. These four parameters are chosen by fitting the observables:  $m_{\pi} = 135.9 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $m_{\sigma} = 460.0 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $f_{\pi} = 92.1 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $m_q = 298.8 \text{ MeV}$ .

In the end, we give the numerical calculation results of the physical quantity. In this section we will show the




FIG. 2. The first to the fourth order of the baryon number fluctuation, which are obtained under  $Z_\phi^\parallel = Z_\phi^\perp$ ,  $Z_\phi^\parallel \neq Z_\phi^\perp$  and fix point, physical point expansion of the effective potential.

	$\lambda_\Lambda$	$\nu_\Lambda$	c	h
$\kappa Z_\phi^\parallel = Z_\phi^\perp h_k$	10.15	8.11( $GeV^2$ )	0.2568( $GeV^3$ )	7.274
$\kappa Z_\phi^\parallel \neq Z_\phi^\perp h_k$	10.15	8.17( $GeV^2$ )	0.2568( $GeV^3$ )	7.274
$\kappa_k Z_\phi^\parallel = Z_\phi^\perp h_k$	5.00	17.86( $GeV^2$ )	0.3696( $GeV^3$ )	10.110
$\kappa_k Z_\phi^\parallel \neq Z_\phi^\perp h_k$	11.00	17.91( $GeV^2$ )	0.3684( $GeV^3$ )	10.198

TABLE II. The setting of the initial condition parameters.

results of the comparison in the two flavor PQM model. Two comparisons have been made, one is  $Z_\phi^\parallel = Z_\phi^\perp$  and  $Z_\phi^\parallel \neq Z_\phi^\perp$ , the other one is the fix point and physical point expansion of the effective potential.

As we can see in the Fig. II, the pion mass and constituent quark mass as the function of temperature. It is clear that the pion mass under the physical point is larger at the high temperature, which means the pion is decoupling quicker. For the meson mass is related to the expansion of the effective potential, the expansion point is running with the cutoff scale  $k$  or not will of course influence the value of the pion mass. However, the split of the meson wave function renormalisation make little effect on the mass.

As is shown in the Fig. III B, because the baryon chem-

ical potential has little influence to the numerical result of the  $\chi_2^B$  and  $\chi_4^B$ , however the  $\chi_1^B$  and  $\chi_3^B$  are more sensitive to the chemical potential. Consequently, we give the odd order of the fluctuation under the chemical potential of 50 MeV and 100 MeV, and the even order under vanishing chemical potential. The results of the quartic fluctuations under two kinds of wave function renormalizations have larger difference at the peak of the curves, the results of  $Z_{\phi,k}^\perp \neq Z_{\phi,k}^\parallel$  are little lower than the results of  $Z_{\phi,k}^\perp = Z_{\phi,k}^\parallel$ .

In the Fig. 3 we show  $\kappa\sigma^2 = \chi_4^B/\chi_2^B$  the kurtosis of the baryon number fluctuation. We can tell from the curves, the two kinds of  $Z_\phi$  almost no effect on the kurtosis results. On the other hand, the influence of the running expansion point of the effective potential is greater. The physical point expansion suppress the kurtosis quicker as the temperature rises than the fix point expansion. It is expected from the results of the four order of the fluctuation.

In the Fig. IV we give the pion decay constant and trace anomaly as a function of temperature. The left one is the pion decay constant. It is clear, the separation of the meson wave function renormalisation doesn't make




FIG. 3. The left curves are the kurtosis as the function of temperature. The right broken lines are the kurtosis as the function of collision energy.

much change. However, the running expansion point of the effective potential brings some differences at high temperature. The trace anomaly doesn't change much either under the different wave function renormalisation, and the physical point expansion suppress the value at high temperature.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks

### Appendix A

Three-dimensional regulators have been used in this work. The regulator functions of the meson field and quark field can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R_k^\phi(q_0, \vec{q}) &= Z_{\phi,k}^\perp \vec{q}^2 r_B(\vec{q}^2/k^2), \\ R_k^q(q_0, \vec{q}) &= Z_{q,k} i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{q} r_F(\vec{q}^2/k^2) \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} r_B(x) &= \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) \Theta(1-x), \\ r_F(x) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} - 1\right) \Theta(1-x) \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

Then we give a definition to the meson and quark propagator

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(q, \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2) &= \frac{1}{z_\phi \tilde{q}_0^2 + 1 + \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2}, \\ G_q(q, \bar{m}_{q,k}^2) &= \frac{1}{z_q^2 (\tilde{q}_0 + i\tilde{\mu})^2 + 1 + \bar{m}_{q,k}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

in the equation above we have  $\tilde{q}_0 = q_0/k$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu/k$  and for the fermions we have  $q_0 = (2n_q + 1)\pi T$  ( $n_q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) for the bosons we have  $q_0 = 2n_q\pi T$ . Here  $z = Z^\parallel/Z^\perp$  is the ratio of the two components of the wave function renormalizations. In this work we choose  $z_q = 1$  which means  $Z_q^\parallel = Z_q^\perp$  and  $z_\phi \neq 1$  which means  $Z_\phi^\parallel \neq Z_\phi^\perp$ . To obtain the threshold functions, we define

in the equations above the form of the distribution functions are

$$n_B(\bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2, z_\phi; T) = \frac{1}{\exp\left\{\frac{1}{T} \frac{k}{z_\phi^{1/2}} \sqrt{1 + \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2}\right\} - 1} \quad (A4)$$

and

$$n_F(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, z_q; T) = \frac{1}{\exp\left\{\frac{1}{T} \frac{k}{z_q} \sqrt{1 + \bar{m}_{q,k}^2} - \mu\right\} + 1} \quad (A5)$$

In the effective potential's flow equation, there are bosonic and fermionic threshold functions. The anomalous dimension of the mesonic field has change into the transverse component of it. The form of the threshold functions are

$$l_0^{(B,d)}(\bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2, \eta_{\phi,k}^\perp; T) = \frac{2}{d-1} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{\phi,k}^\perp}{d+1}\right) \mathcal{B}_{(1)}(\bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2, z_\phi; T) \quad (A6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} l_0^{(F,d)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \eta_{q,k}; T, \mu) \\ = \frac{2}{d-1} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{q,k}}{d}\right) \mathcal{F}_{(1)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, z_q = 1; T, \mu) \end{aligned} \quad (A7)$$




FIG. 4.

The definition of the threshold function  $\mathcal{BB}_{(1,1)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{BB}_{(1,1)}(\bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2, z_\phi; T) \\ = -\frac{T}{k} \sum_{n_q} G_\phi(q, \bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2) G_\phi(q, \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

then we can obtain the  $\mathcal{BB}_{(2,2)}$  in a same way

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{BB}_{(2,2)}(\bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2, z_\phi; T) \\ = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2 \partial \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2} \mathcal{BB}_{(1,1)}(\bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2, z_\phi; T) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

The expression of the  $\mathcal{BB}_{(1,1)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{BB}_{(1,1)}(\bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2, z_\phi; T) = \\ -\frac{1}{z_\phi^{1/2}} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_B(\bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2, z_\phi; T) \right) \frac{1}{(1 + \bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2)^{1/2}} \right. \\ \times \frac{1}{\bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2 - \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2} + \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_B(\bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2, z_\phi; T) \right) \\ \left. \times \frac{1}{(1 + \bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\bar{m}_{\phi_b,k}^2 - \bar{m}_{\phi_a,k}^2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

then we can get the expression of the threshold functions of any  $n$ . The definition of the  $L_{(1,1)}^{(4)}$  in the flow equation of the Yukawa coupling is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(1,1)}^{(4)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2, \eta_{q,k}, \eta_{\phi,k}; T, \mu) \\ = \frac{2}{3} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_{\phi,k}}{5} \right) \mathcal{FB}_{(1,2)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2; T, \mu) \right. \\ \left. + \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_{q,k}}{4} \right) \mathcal{FB}_{(2,1)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2; T, \mu) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

The higher order of the function  $L$  can be obtain by the derivation of the square of mass. The expression of the threshold function  $\mathcal{FB}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{FB}_{(1,1)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2; T, \mu, p_0) \\ = \frac{T}{k} \sum_{n_q} G_\phi(p - q, \bar{m}_{\phi,k}^2) G_q(q, \bar{m}_{q,k}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

## Appendix B

In order to obtain the flow equation of the effective potential, the mesonic anomalous dimensions are needed. Because we have divided the wave function renormalizations into the transversal and longitudinal components, so the anomalous dimensions should be divided either. The analytical form of the mesonic anomalous dimensions can be written like

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\phi,k}^\parallel = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{4}{k^2 z_\phi^4} \bar{\kappa}_k (\bar{V}_k''(\bar{\kappa}_k))^2 \left[ -6\mathcal{BB}_{(2,2)}(\bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2; T) \right. \right. \\ + \frac{4}{z_\phi} (1 + \bar{m}_\sigma^2) \mathcal{BB}_{(2,3)}(\bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2; T) \\ + \frac{4}{z_\phi} (1 + \bar{m}_\pi^2) \mathcal{BB}_{(3,2)}(\bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2; T) \left. \right] \\ \times \left( 1 - \frac{1}{5} \eta_{\phi,k}^\perp + \frac{N_c \bar{h}_k^2}{z_\phi} \mathcal{F}_{(3)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2; T, \mu) (4 - \eta_{q,k}) \right) \left. \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

and the other component

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\phi,k}^\perp = & \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{4}{k^2 z_\phi^4} \bar{\kappa}_k (\bar{V}_k''(\bar{\kappa}_k))^2 \mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}_{(2,2)}(\bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2; T) \right. \\ & + N_c \bar{h}_k^2 \left[ \mathcal{F}_{(2)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2; T, \mu) (2\eta_{q,k} - 3) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 4(\eta_{q,k} - 2) \mathcal{F}_{(3)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2; T, \mu) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Now we can obtain the form of the quark anomalous dimension

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{q,k} = & \frac{1}{24\pi^2 N_f} (4 - \eta_{\phi,k}) \bar{h}_k^2 \\ & \times \left\{ (N_f^2 - 1) \mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_{(1,2)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\pi,k}^2; T, \mu, p_{0,ex}) \right. \\ & \left. + \mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_{(1,2)}(\bar{m}_{q,k}^2, \bar{m}_{\sigma,k}^2; T, \mu, p_{0,ex}) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$