# International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care

www.cambridge.org/thc

## **Editorial**

Cite this article: Sagoo GS, Richardson M (2025). Reporting standards for economic evaluations of artificial intelligence interventions: a CHEERS extension. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 41(1), e2, 1–2 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462324004756

Received: 29 October 2024 Accepted: 04 November 2024

### **Corresponding author:**

Marina Richardson; Email: marina.richardson@alum.utoronto.ca

G.S.S. and M.R. are the co-first authors.

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



# Reporting standards for economic evaluations of artificial intelligence interventions: a CHEERS extension

Gurdeep S. Sagoo D and Marina Richardson D

International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care (IJTAHC), Toronto, ON, Canada

The International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force published the first Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement (1) in 2013 to provide a set of recommendations to optimize the reporting of health economic evaluations. The statement provides examples and explanations for the recommendations in an easy-to-complete twenty-four-item checklist. It has subsequently been highly cited and adopted by many international journals as a prerequisite to article submission and, as such, is familiar to anyone conducting and submitting an economic evaluation for publication. In 2022, the reporting guidance was updated (2) and replaced the original version with a twenty-eight-item checklist to enhance the original statement by addressing calls to recognize new developments in the field including the growth of patient and public involvement in research. An extension to the CHEERS 2022 statement has recently been published to ensure that economic evaluations of artificial intelligence (AI)-based health interventions are reported in a sufficiently transparent manner (3).

The publication of CHEERS-AI is timely, given the increasing focus on the development and use of AI in the healthcare system. Syntheses of economic evaluations of AI-based interventions are common (4-7) and have found that published economic evaluations of AI-based interventions are generally of moderate quality when it comes to following reporting guidelines (6), including, specifically, adequately reporting the details of the AI nature of the intervention (5). The development of this CHEERS-AI extension is prudent to ensure that such studies are reported as transparently as possible. The CHEERS-AI extension is built on the existing 2022 update but provides specific guidance relevant to AI-based interventions. It was developed through several steps: 1) convening of an expert steering group, 2) creation of a long list of possible reporting items, 3) refining the long list to take forward to a consensus development phase, 4) a three-round consensus Delphi exercise, 5) consensus meeting, 6) engagement with a patient expert group, 7) piloting of the agreed reporting items, and 8) final ratification of the CHEERS-AI checklist items. This process led to a thirty-eight-item checklist consisting of the twenty eight items included within the 2022 update plus ten new AI-specific reporting items. Furthermore, eight of the existing items now have additional guidance to draw out the relevance to AI more clearly. If implemented by editors, peer reviewers, and authors, the checklist is anticipated to lead to the inclusion of details about the AI technology that may not have been included in the reporting of an economic evaluation before the development of the guidance.

The AI extension calls for specific delineation of aspects of AI, such as how the intervention affects clinical care (e.g., diagnosing, treating, or informing clinical management); describing the details of the AI component, including how the effect of the AI is measured, how AI learning occurs over time, and how the AI component was developed and validated; reporting the results of analyses of uncertainty; and discussing the necessary requirements for implementation.

We commend the authors, and the authors of the core CHEERS checklist, for continuing to promote the publication of economic evaluations that are clearly, consistently, and transparently reported. We encourage authors to consider both CHEERS and CHEERS-AI in their submissions of economic evaluations to our journal.

**Funding statement.** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interest.** M.R. is an Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER) employee. The current work was unrelated to her employment, and ICER had no role in the funding, design, or oversight of the work reported.

### References

 Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards (CHEERS) statement. Int J Technol Assess Health Care. 2013;29(2):117–122. 2 Sagoo and Richardson

 Husereau D, Drummond M, Augustovski F, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2022;38(1):e13.

- Elvidge J, Hawksworth C, Avşar TS, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards for interventions that use artificial intelligence (CHEERS-AI). Value Health. 2024;27(9):1196–1205.
- Kastrup N, Holst-Kristensen AW, Valentin JB. Landscape and challenges in economic evaluations of artificial intelligence in healthcare: A systematic review of methodology. BMC Digital Health. 2024;2(1):39.
- Vithlani J, Hawksworth C, Elvidge J, Ayiku L, Dawoud D. Economic evaluations of artificial intelligence-based healthcare interventions: a systematic literature review of best practices in their conduct and reporting. Front Pharmacol. 2023;14:1220950.
- Voets MM, Veltman J, Slump CH, Siesling S, Koffijberg H. Systematic review of health economic evaluations focused on artificial intelligence in healthcare: The tortoise and the cheetah. Value Health. 2022;25(3):340–349.
- Wu H, Jin K, Yip CC, Koh V, Ye J. A systematic review of economic evaluation of artificial intelligence-based screening for eye diseases: From possibility to reality. Surv Ophthalmol. 2024;69(4):499–507.