

Sudoku Solver Project Report

Yassin Es Saim - 22109654

Fall Semester 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Problem Statement	2
3	Solution Design	2
3.1	Deduction Rules	2
3.2	Grid Management	2
3.3	User Interaction	2
4	Design Patterns Used	2
4.1	Singleton Pattern	3
4.2	Factory Pattern	3
4.3	Template Method Pattern	3
4.4	Observer Pattern	4
4.5	Pattern Interactions	4
5	Implementation Details	4
5.1	File Handling	4
5.2	Solving Process	5
6	Challenges Encountered	5
6.1	Technical Challenges	5
6.1.1	Candidate Management	5
6.1.2	DR3 (Locked Candidates) Implementation	6
6.2	Design Challenges	6
6.2.1	Pattern Integration	6
6.2.2	Error Handling	6
6.3	Performance Optimization	7
6.3.1	Grid Traversal	7
7	Conclusion	7
8	References	8

1 Introduction

The Sudoku Solver is a Java application that implements a solution for solving Sudoku puzzles using deduction rules and user interaction. The solver combines automated solving techniques with user input when needed, providing a flexible approach to puzzle solving.

2 Problem Statement

The project required implementing a Sudoku solver with the following key requirements:

- Implementation of three distinct deduction rules (DR1, DR2, DR3)
- Integration of user interaction when automated solving cannot progress
- Detection and handling of puzzle inconsistencies
- Implementation using multiple design patterns
- File-based input/output handling

3 Solution Design

3.1 Deduction Rules

Three deduction rules were implemented as subclasses of an abstract DeductionRule class:

1. **DR1 - Single Candidate:**

- Identifies cells with only one possible candidate
- Simplest and most basic rule

2. **DR2 - Hidden Singles:**

- Finds values that can only appear in one position within a unit
- Checks rows, columns, and boxes

3. **DR3 - Locked Candidates:**

- Eliminates candidates based on box-line interactions
- Most complex rule implemented

3.2 Grid Management

- Linear array representation (81 cells)
- Efficient candidate tracking system
- Cell state management with observer pattern

3.3 User Interaction

The solver includes:

- Manual input capability when deduction fails
- Move validation system
- Clear feedback on invalid moves
- Option to restart solving when inconsistencies occur

4 Design Patterns Used

The implementation utilizes four key design patterns to ensure a maintainable and extensible codebase:

4.1 Singleton Pattern

The Singleton pattern is implemented in two key components:

- **SudokuBoard**
 - Ensures only one instance of the game board exists
 - Provides global access point to the board state
 - Maintains consistency across the application
- **RuleFactory**
 - Centralizes rule creation logic
 - Ensures consistent rule instantiation
 - Manages rule creation throughout the solver

4.2 Factory Pattern

The Factory pattern is implemented through the RuleFactory class:

- **Purpose**
 - Creates appropriate deduction rule instances
 - Encapsulates rule instantiation logic
 - Provides flexibility for adding new rules
- **Benefits**
 - Centralizes rule creation
 - Makes rule management more maintainable
 - Simplifies rule addition and modification

4.3 Template Method Pattern

Implemented in the DeductionRule hierarchy:

- **Structure**
 - Abstract base class defines the algorithm structure
 - Concrete rule classes implement specific behaviors
 - Standardizes rule application process
- **Components**
 - DeductionRule: Abstract base class
 - DR1, DR2, DR3: Concrete implementations
 - Common progress tracking mechanism
- **Advantages**
 - Ensures consistent rule application
 - Reduces code duplication
 - Facilitates addition of new rules

4.4 Observer Pattern

Manages state changes and updates between components:

- **Implementation**
 - Cell class acts as the subject
 - CandidateManager implements observer interface
 - Automatic updates when cell values change
- **Benefits**
 - Maintains candidate list consistency
 - Reduces coupling between components
 - Automates update propagation
- **Usage**
 - Tracks cell value changes
 - Updates affected candidates
 - Maintains grid consistency

4.5 Pattern Interactions

The design patterns work together to create a cohesive system:

- **Singleton + Factory**
 - RuleFactory singleton manages rule creation
 - Ensures consistent rule instantiation
- **Template Method + Factory**
 - Factory creates rule instances
 - Template method standardizes their execution
- **Observer + Singleton**
 - Single board instance notifies observers
 - Maintains consistent game state

This combination of patterns creates a flexible and maintainable architecture that effectively supports the solver’s functionality while allowing for future extensions and modifications.

5 Implementation Details

5.1 File Handling

The program handles input/output through:

```

1 public class FileHandler {
2     public static void loadFromFile(
3         SudokuBoard board, String filename) {
4         // Read comma-separated values
5         // Convert 0 to -1 for empty cells
6     }
7
8     public static void saveToFile(
9         SudokuBoard board, String filename) {
10        // Convert grid to output format
11        // Save to file
12    }
13 }

```

5.2 Solving Process

The main solving algorithm:

```

1 public class SudokuSolver {
2     private List<DeductionRule> rules;
3
4     public void solve(SudokuBoard board) {
5         while (!board.isFull()) {
6             boolean progress = false;
7             for (DeductionRule rule : rules) {
8                 rule.apply(board);
9                 if (rule.hasMadeProgress()) {
10                    progress = true;
11                    break;
12                }
13            }
14            if (!progress) {
15                getUserInput(board);
16            }
17        }
18    }
19 }

```

6 Challenges Encountered

6.1 Technical Challenges

6.1.1 Candidate Management

One of the most significant challenges was implementing efficient candidate management:

1. Problem:

- Updating candidates after each move was computationally expensive
- Need to track 9 possible values for each of the 81 cells
- Required frequent updates and validations

2. Solution:

- Implemented a dedicated CandidateManager class

- Used HashSet for efficient candidate storage and operations
- Implemented Observer pattern to automatically update candidates when cell values change
- Created an efficient update mechanism that only affects relevant cells

6.1.2 DR3 (Locked Candidates) Implementation

The implementation of the Locked Candidates rule presented complex challenges:

1. Problem:

- Complex logic for identifying locked candidates
- Need to check both box-line and line-box interactions
- Required efficient iteration over rows, columns, and boxes
- Difficulty in maintaining code readability while implementing complex logic

2. Solution:

- Split implementation into box-to-line and line-to-box checks
- Created helper methods for pattern detection
- Implemented efficient elimination methods
- Structured the code to clearly separate different aspects of the rule

6.2 Design Challenges

6.2.1 Pattern Integration

Integrating multiple design patterns required careful consideration:

1. Challenge:

- Ensuring patterns worked together seamlessly
- Avoiding pattern conflicts
- Maintaining clean architecture
- Balancing flexibility with complexity

2. Solution:

- Clear separation of concerns between components
- Well-defined interfaces for pattern interaction
- Careful consideration of pattern responsibilities
- Documentation of pattern relationships

6.2.2 Error Handling

Implementing robust error handling was crucial:

1. Challenge:

- Detecting invalid states in the puzzle
- Handling incorrect user input
- Managing puzzle inconsistencies

- Providing meaningful feedback

2. Solution:

- Comprehensive validation system for all moves
- Clear error messages for users
- Graceful error recovery mechanisms
- State validation after each operation

6.3 Performance Optimization

6.3.1 Grid Traversal

Optimizing grid traversal operations was essential:

1. Challenge:

- Frequent iteration over rows, columns, and boxes
- Need for efficient access patterns
- Memory usage concerns
- Balance between speed and code clarity

2. Solution:

- Used linear array representation for the grid
- Implemented efficient index calculations
- Cached frequently accessed data
- Created specialized iterators for different traversal patterns

3. Results:

- Significantly improved solving speed
- Reduced memory overhead
- Maintained code readability
- Better overall system performance

These challenges and their solutions provided valuable learning experiences in software design and implementation.

7 Conclusion

The implemented Sudoku solver successfully meets all project requirements, providing:

- Effective automated solving through deduction rules
- Smooth integration of user interaction
- Robust error handling
- Clean, maintainable code structure

8 References

1. Design Patterns
https://github.com/CodeJamm/JAVA_Design_Patterns
2. Sudoku Solving Techniques
<https://sudokusolver.app/>