2. Experiment Platform

We preformed our experiment on the Amazon EC2 instances, with 6 different kinds of setup. All of them are general purpose – current generation instances, as the difference between them are usually small, making us easier to control the factors and do the comparison between them. The fact that we only choose 6 kinds of instance to run the benchmarks is because the difference between them are significant enough to show the impact of them on the performance of the web server. Besides, the price of AWS instance is also considered, as instance with 40 cores are much more ideal, but much more expensive. Besides, our application is relatively small, which means it might never needs such good server to hold.

Here are the list of all the instances we used:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | vCPU | ECU | Memory (GiB) | Storage(GB) |
| t2.nano | 1 | Variable | 0.5 | EBS Only |
| t2. micro | 1 | Variable | 1 | EBS Only |
| t2.small | 1 | Variable | 2 | EBS Only |
| t2.medium | 2 | Variable | 4 | EBS Only |
| t2.large | 2 | Variable | 8 | EBS Only |
| m3.large | 2 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 1 x 32 SSD |

On the system side, we performed the experiment on the latest Amazon Linux AMI (version 2016.03.0 (HVM)), with kernel version 4.4.5.

As for Amazon Linux AMI is based on Red Hat, the PHP we get from ‘yum’ is 5.3.29, which is not the latest version. But, since our application may not need to unitize the full performance of the server, the version of PHP shouldn’t matter that much.

The MongoDB version we used is MongoDB Community Edition 3.2, which is the latest version.

What’s more, as for the benchmarks we used is Python based, the Python version 2.7.10, and gcc with version 4.8.3.

4. MongoDB Performance Evaluation

We used the Mongo-perf (not mongoperf) to do the evaluation on MongoDB. Mongo-perf is a micro benchmarking tool for the MongoDB server. It measures throughput of commands with regards to the number of threads. It is a python based benchmark, from whom we only use 2 test cases: simple insertion and simple query, to cover reading and writing from the database. We chose them as they are the most basic operation of the databases, and could be very representative. What’s more, inside the simple insertion and simple test scripts, there are actually a bunch of different test set ups. We would only use the empty insertion and empty query as the result would be affected by the content of the databases, and could represent the overall performance of the database. Another reason is that some of the test set up seems may case the database to crash and make the benchmark terminated with error. To avoid it, we decided to do the smallest scale test on the instances. Each of the test are set with threads of 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 to test the performance of database under different pressure.

Here are the bench result:

From the result, we can see that the CPU and RAM does impact the database performance a lot.

For example, comparing the outcome of t2.small (with 1 vCPU & 2 GiB RAM) and t2.mid (with 2 vCPU & 4 GiB RAM), although the single thread performance is kind of the same, the multi-thread performance are boosted by twice. It is reasonable since one more core means two thread can insert into the database simultaneously which result in twice performance.

Another example is shown between t2.mid (with 2 vCPU & 4 GiB RAM) and t2.large (with 2 vCPU & 8 GiB RAM). They both have 2 vCPUs, but one have RAM with as twice large as the other’s RAM. The performance boost by RAM is about 30% on both single thread on multi-thread. The boost is not as significant as increasing the number of cores, but, still, it is quite a huge boost consider they both have only two virtual cores.

However, there are something out of our expectation.

For the first one, the boost of RAM did not shown on the instance with one core, which are t2.nano, t2.micro and t2.small. This could cause by the CPU bottleneck effect as the only core they have are unable to fully utilize the RAM. However, there might be another reason, which is an interesting fact we found. The t2.nano and t2.micro instances were created on the ‘AWS Availability Zone’ - ‘us-west-2a’, and t2.small instance was created on ‘us-west-2c’. Could it means the performance of instance might be affect by the AWS zone it’s been hold? This might be tested to confirm in the future.

Secondly, the boost from using SSD as storage device did not boost the performance by huge amount as we expected. In fact, the performance decreases by a huge amount compare with t2.larege instance, where the only difference between them are the type of desk (assuming 8 and 7.5 GiB of RAM are the same). This could be caused by the fact that the SSD has only 32GB, which is too small to have a good performance, as we know the bigger the SSD is, the faster it can run. Besides, the AWS might use RAID as data storage, which is much faster if they choose RAID 0, 5 or 10. It is totally reasonable for AWS to do as it not only boost the date IO rate, but also make the clients’ data much more secure (as RAID data backup). This might also be tested to confirm in the future.