

Statistical Inference Assignment

i. Location Family

- **Definition:** Let $f(\cdot; \theta, \theta \in R)$ be a family of densities indexed by a parameter θ . The parameter θ is defined to be a **location parameter** if and only if $f(x; \theta)$ can be written as a function of $x - \theta$. $f(x; \theta) = h(x - \theta)$ for some function $h(\cdot)$. Equivalently, θ is a location parameter for the density $f(x; \theta)$ of a random variable X if and only if the distribution of $X - \theta$ does not depend on θ .
- **Importance:** To determine the location where the distribution is centered (population mean) along horizontal axis. If θ is increased, the graph of the probability function shifts to the right on the horizontal axis and vice versa.
- **Example:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid (identical and independent distributed) random sample from logistic distribution with location parameter $\theta \in R$ and scale parameter $b = 1$.
 - The probability density function (pdf): $f(x; \theta)$ is given by:

$$f(x; a) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{1 + e^{x-\theta}}, x \in R$$

- By letting $y = x - \theta$, we have the following expression in which the distribution of $y = x - \theta$ does not depend on θ .

$$f(y; a) = \frac{e^y}{1 + e^y}, y \in R$$

ii. Scale Family

- **Definition:** Let $f(\cdot; \theta, \theta > 0)$ be a family of densities indexed by a parameter θ . The parameter θ is defined to be a **location parameter** if and only if $f(x; \theta)$ can be written as a function of $\frac{1}{\theta}h(\frac{x}{\theta})$. $f(x; \theta) = h(x - \theta)$ for some function $h(\cdot)$. Equivalently, θ is a location parameter for the density $f(x; \theta)$ of a random variable X if and only if the distribution of $\frac{X}{\theta}$ does not depend on θ .
- **Importance:** To scale the shape of a distribution, which usually stretches, squeezes or even changes the general shape of distribution along horizontal axis.
- **Example:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random sample from exponential distribution with mean of θ .
 - The probability density function (pdf): $f(x; \theta)$ is given by:

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{(-\frac{x}{\theta})}, \text{ for } x \geq 0$$

- By letting $y = \frac{x}{\theta}$, we have the following expression in which the distribution of $y = \frac{x}{\theta}$ does not depend on θ .

$$f(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot e^{-y}, \text{ for } x \geq 0$$

iii. Sufficient statistic

- **Definition:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be random sample from density $f(\cdot, \theta)$ where θ may be a vector. A statistic $S = s(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is defined to be sufficient statistics iff the conditional distribution of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n given $S = s$ does not depend on θ .

- **Importance:**

- To act as a form of data reduction.
- To condense all the important information of sample in a statistics in such a way that no information of parameter θ is lost.

- **Factorization Theorem (single sufficient statistic):** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be random sample from density $f(\cdot, \theta)$ where θ may be a vector. A statistics $S = s(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is sufficient iff the joint density of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n can be expressed as:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = g(s(x_1, \dots, x_n); \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(s; \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

- **Example:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random sample from a Poisson distribution with mean of $\theta > 0$.
 - The probability mass function (pmf): $f(x; \theta)$ is given by:

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta^x e^{-\theta}}{x!}$$

- Using a statistic of $s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, its likelihood is expressed by:

$$L(\lambda; x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^{x_i} e^{-\theta}}{x_i!} \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\theta}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)!} \quad (2)$$

$$= \underbrace{\theta^s e^{-n\theta}}_{g(s; \theta)} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)!}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \quad (3)$$

- Hence by factorization theorem, we can say that a statistic of $s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is **sufficient** to condense all important information of sample drawn from a Poisson distribution, without losing the information of θ .

iv. Minimal sufficient statistic

- **Definition:** A set of jointly sufficient statistics is defined to be **minimal sufficient** iff it is a **function** of every other set of sufficient statistics. Given two sample points of x and y , $S(X)$ is a minimal sufficient iff the ratio of likelihood, $\frac{L(\theta; x)}{L(\theta; y)}$ is a constant as a function of θ iff $S(X) = S(Y)$.

- **Importance:** A statistic captures all possible information of θ most efficiently.

- **Example:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random sample from a **Bernoulli distribution**, to show a test statistic $s = \sum X_i$ is minimal sufficient statistic:

- The ratio of likelihood of 2 samples, X and Y is expressed as below:

$$\frac{L(\theta; x)}{L(\theta; y)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n p^{y_i} (1-p)^{1-y_i}} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i)}}{p^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (1-y_i)}} \quad (5)$$

- By algebra, we know that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = n\bar{X}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n\bar{Y}$

$$\frac{L(\theta; x)}{L(\theta; y)} = \frac{p^{n\bar{X}}(1-p)^{n(1-\bar{X})}}{p^{n\bar{Y}}(1-p)^{n(1-\bar{Y})}} \quad (6)$$

$$= p^{n(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})}(1-p)^{n(\bar{Y}-\bar{X})} \quad (7)$$

- The ratio of likelihood is a constant iff $\bar{X} = \bar{Y}$, hence, it implies that the sample mean \bar{X} is minimum sufficient statistic. Any one-to-one function of \bar{X} such as $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is also a sufficient statistic.

v. Complete sufficient statistic

- Definition:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be random sample from density $f(\cdot, \theta)$ with parameter space Ω , and let $T = t(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ be a statistic. The family of densities of T is defined to be complete iff $E_\theta[z(T)] \equiv 0$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$ implied that $P_\theta[z(T) = 0] \equiv 1$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$, where $z(T)$ is a statistic. The statistic T is said to be complete iff its family of densities is complete.
- Importance:**
 - It ensures that the distributions corresponding to different values of the parameters are distinct.
 - Lehmann–Scheffé theorem**, it states that letting $T = t(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ be a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter θ if there is a function of T that is an unbiased estimator of θ , then this function of T is the unique **minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE)** of θ .
 - If T is a complete sufficient statistics, then it is an unbiased estimator for θ that has **lower variance** than any other unbiased estimator for all possible values of the parameter.
- Example:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random sample from a **Exponential distribution** with mean of $\frac{1}{\theta}$ and $\theta > 0$, to show a test statistic $T = \sum X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic:
 - The pdf of Exponential distribution is:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \theta e^{-\theta x_i} \quad (8)$$

- We need to show that $E_\theta[z(T)] \equiv 0$

$$E_\theta[z(T)] = \int z(t)P_\theta(t) dt \quad (9)$$

$$= \int_0^\theta z(t)\theta e^{-\theta t} dt \quad (10)$$

$$= \underbrace{\theta}_{>0} \int_0^\theta z(t)e^{-\theta t} dt \quad (11)$$

- Looking at the fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^{k(\theta)} f(u) du = f(k(\theta)) \cdot \frac{dk(\theta)}{d\theta} \quad (12)$$

- Let $k(\theta) = \theta$ which implies $\frac{dk(\theta)}{d\theta} = 1$, and $f(u) = z(t)e^{-\theta t}$:

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^\theta z(t)e^{-\theta t} dt = f(k(\theta)) \cdot 1 = 0 \quad (13)$$

- This also implies that

$$z(t) \underbrace{e^{-\theta t}}_{e^x > 0 \text{ for } x \in R} = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$z(t) = 0 \quad (15)$$

- This also implies that $z(t) = 0$ for all $t \in R$, hence, $T = \sum X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic.

vi. Ancillary statistic

- **Definition:** A statistic $S = s(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ whose distribution **does not depend** on the parameters.
- **Importance:** The value of statistic does not change as the parameter of the distribution changes.
- **Example:** Show sample variance S^2 from a Normal distribution with unknown mean of μ , and **known variance** and σ^2 is an **ancillary statistic**.
 - Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random sample from a Normal distribution with unknown mean of μ , and known variance of σ^2 .
 - Sample variance is known as:

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n - 1}$$

- There is a standard distribution of sample variance, given population variance is known that :

$$\frac{(n - 1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

- This has shown that sample variance can be distributed from a χ_{n-1}^2 without explicitly having population mean to be known.
- The sample variance S^2 does not depend on population mean of μ , hence it is an ancillary statistic.

2. Exponential Family of Distributions

i. Definition

- A one-parameter family (θ is unidimensional of densities $f(\cdot; \theta)$) that can be expressed as the following:

$$f(x; \theta) = a(\theta)b(x) \exp[c(\theta)d(x)]$$

is defined to belong to the **exponential family** for $-\infty < x < \infty$, for all $\theta \in R$.

- A k-parameter family (θ is unidimensional of densities $f(\cdot; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$) that can be expressed as the following:

$$f(x; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = a(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)b(x) \exp \sum_{j=1}^k [c_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)d_j(x)]$$

is defined to belong to the **exponential family** for $-\infty < x < \infty$, for all $\theta \in R$.

ii. Advantages

- a. Mathematical convenience.
- b. Demonstration of **complete** minimal sufficient statistics

a. Mathematical convenience.

- The form is designed for **mathematical convenience** to express useful algebraic properties.
- It is much clearer in determining likelihood expression; direct applying **multiplication and summation** at $a(\theta)b(x)$ and $\exp[c(\theta)d(x)]$, respectively.
- For example, given X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid. (identical and independent distributed) observation from an exponential distribution with mean of $\frac{1}{\lambda}$.
 - The pdf is in the form of:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda e^{(-\lambda x)} \text{ for } x \geq 0$$

- The pdf can also be expressed in the form of exponential family as such:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda \cdot 1 \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot x)$$

- Hence, for likelihood, it can be easily expressed in the form of:

$$L(\lambda; x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda \cdot 1 \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot x_i) \tag{16}$$

$$= \lambda^n \exp(-\lambda \cdot \sum x_i) \tag{17}$$

b. Demonstration of complete minimal sufficient statistics

- **Theorem:** given X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid random sample from an exponential family distribution, then $\sum d(X_i)$ is a complete minimal sufficient statistics.
- For example, given X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid from an exponential distribution with mean of $\frac{1}{\lambda}$.
 - The pdf expression in the form of exponential family is:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda \cdot 1 \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot x)$$

- with:
 - $a(\lambda) = \lambda$
 - $b(x) = 1$
 - $c(\lambda) = -\lambda$
 - $d(x) = x$
- We have $d(x) = x$, hence by convenience of theorem without explicitly proving, $\sum d(X_i) = \sum(X_i)$ is a complete minimal sufficient statistic.

3 Basu's Theorem

- **Theorem:** If $T(X)$ is a complete and minimal sufficient statistics, then $T(X)$ is independent of every ancillary statistics.
- **Example:** Given X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid from a normal distribution with unknown mean and known variance of μ and $\sigma^2 = 1$, respectively. We want to show that the sample mean as a complete and minimal sufficient statistic: $T(X) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$ is independent of an ancillary statistic such as sample variance, S^2 .
 - Probability density function (pdf) of $N(\mu, 1)$ is defined as :

$$f(x; \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-\mu^2}{2}} \cdot 1 \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{2} + \mu x\right] \quad (19)$$

- The pdf is in the form of k-parameter exponential family in the form of $a(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)b(x) \exp \sum_{j=1}^k [c_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)d_j(x)]$, with $k = 2$, by having:

- $a(\mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{-\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}}$
- $b(x) = 1$
- $c_1(\mu, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2}$
- $c_2(\mu, \sigma) = \mu$
- $d_1(x) = x^2$
- $d_2(x) = x$

- By theorem, $\sum d_1(X_i) = \sum_i^n x_i^2$ and $\sum d_2(X_i) = \sum_i^n x_i$ are complete minimal statistics.
- Only referring to $\sum d_2(X_i) = \sum_i^n x_i$, this implies that its one-to-one function, the sample mean, \bar{X} is **also a complete minimal statistic**.
- **Sample variance** $S^2\sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$, is one of the **ancilliary statistics** as it does not depend on the parameters of μ .
- According to Basu's Theorem, $T(X) = \bar{X} = \sum_i^n x_i$ is **independent** of sample variance S^2 .

Citation

1. Casella, G., & Berger, R. L. (2002). Statistical inference. Belmont, CA: Duxbury.