

Report:

Complete the code:

It only takes a few lines to have the code completed.

1) create a graph:

since we don't really have a weight, we set it to 1 on each edge

```
for (int i=0; i<titles.size();i++){
    for (int j=0; j<links[i].length;j++){
        g.setDirectedEdge(i, links[i][j], 1);
    }
}
```

2) run Choi's Dijkstra algorithm to find the shortest path.

```
Integer[] path= d.getShortestPath(g, source, target);
```

The return of this function is a array (linked list) and

3) we traverse it to get the answer

```
while (true){
    counter++;
    System.out.println(thisint+ " : "+titles.get(thisint));
    if (path[thisint]!= null) {

        thisint = path[thisint];
    } else break;
}
```

It is working fine... Some sample results

```
Finding path from 0 : For_Your_Consideration to 0 :
For_Your_Consideration
0 : For_Your_Consideration
length=0
No path
```

```
Finding path from 0 : For_Your_Consideration to 10 : Foster_care
0 : For_Your_Consideration
3741 : Television_network
4262 : United_States
1583 : Madonna_(entertainer)
10 : Foster_care
length=4
```

```
Finding path from 15 : Fox_Plaza_(Los_Angeles) to 20 :
Fracture_(2007_film)
```

15 : Fox\_Plaza\_(Los\_Angeles)  
1500 : Los\_Angeles,\_California  
3800 : The\_CW\_Television\_Network  
2147 : New\_Line\_Cinema  
20 : Fracture\_(2007\_film)  
length=4

Finding path from 45 : Frau\_Farbissina to 999 : July\_1999  
45 : Frau\_Farbissina  
4512 : Wikiquote  
1009 : July\_2007  
999 : July\_1999  
length=3

Finding path from 666 : Iraqi\_insurgency to 888 : Johanna\_Sällström  
666 : Iraqi\_insurgency  
length=0  
No path

Finding path from 789 : January\_26 to 1230 : Ladder\_49  
789 : January\_26  
777 : January\_2002  
580 : Impostor  
935 : John\_Travolta  
1230 : Ladder\_49  
length=4

Finding path from 78 : Futsal to 12 : Four\_Weddings\_and\_a\_Funeral  
78 : Futsal  
2111 : National\_sport  
1011 : July\_21  
3040 : Robin\_Williams  
12 : Four\_Weddings\_and\_a\_Funeral  
length=4

Finding path from 798 : January\_6 to 152 : Get\_Down\_Tonight  
798 : January\_6  
788 : January\_25  
1088 : KC\_and\_the\_Sunshine\_Band  
152 : Get\_Down\_Tonight  
length=3  
Finding path from 321 : Hallgeir\_Brenden to 905 : John\_E.\_McLaughlin  
321 : Hallgeir\_Brenden  
3297 : September\_21  
2334 : October\_2008  
2271 : November\_2004

905 : John\_E.\_McLaughlin  
length=4

Finding path from 1000 : July\_2 to 1001 : July\_20  
1000 : July\_2  
1001 : July\_20  
length=1

Finding path from 1001 : July\_20 to 1002 : July\_2000  
1001 : July\_20  
1002 : July\_2000  
length=1

#### Observations:

- 1) When target=source, the length of the path is always 0. This makes sense because we don't want loops and the shortest path from one node to itself is not going anywhere.
- 2) A—> B can be very different from B—> A in terms of both path and degree. This is because
  - 1) This is a directed graph so path might not be reversible
  - 2) Even it is, there might be other route from B—>A that is shorter than the reverse of A—B
  - 3) And the algorithm we find path is finding a shortest one, there might be many
- 3) A few pairs are impossible to reach
- 4) Other than those mentioned in 3), most pairs are reachable in less than 4 steps.
  - 1) I randomly chose 1000 pairs and the results are:
    - 1) Most of them (~700) case has degree 4
    - 2) A few (~150) has degree 3
    - 3) A few (~100) is not possible
    - 4) Fewer are of degree 2 or 1 or 5
    - 5) NO case has degree >=6. Maybe there can be some but in my experiment I didn't find any of them. That should be very few if at all exists. So it might be degree of 5
- 5) Although the graph is very sparse, it is still possible to have most pairs connected.
- 6) Finding one path is a very fast process and is instant.