CS 435: Introduction to Cryptography

Fall 2020

Homework 2

Professor Somesh Jha Due: Oct 8

- 1. Prove the second direction of Lemma 2.4 in the textbook. That is, show that if an encryption scheme is perfectly secret, then the condition of the equation (2.1) holds.
- 2. (a) Assume there is a ciphertext \hat{c} such that there exists two messages m_0 and m_1 such that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{Enc}_K(m_0) = \hat{c}] > \Pr[\mathsf{Enc}_K(m_1) = \hat{c}]$$

Consider the following adversary A in the indistinguishability game:

```
if c = \hat{c} then
guess m_0 (outputs b' = 0)
else
guess randomly (outputs a random bit b' \in \{0, 1\})
end if
```

What is the probability of A winning the game?

- (b) Now argue that definition III (indistinguishability game) implies definition II (equation (2.1) in the textbook).
- 3. Consider the encryption scheme of Homework 1 (question 4(b)).
 - (a) Let n=5. Given the ciphertext c=1000111001, consider the following message space:

$$\mathcal{M}(c) = \{ m \mid m = \mathsf{Dec}_k(c) \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{K} \}$$

(we used this in the bad news theorem). Show a message m such that $m \notin \mathcal{M}(c)$.

- (b) Now take arbitrary n and $c \in \{0,1\}^{2n}$. Show how to get m which is not in $\mathcal{M}(c)$.
- 4. Let f(n) be a negligible function and k a positive integer. Prove the following:
 - (a) $f(\frac{n}{k})$ is negligible.
 - (b) $f(n^{1/k})$ is negligible.
 - (c) a(n)f(n) is negligible where a(n) is polynomially bounded (i.e., there exists a positive polynomial r(n) such that r(n) > a(n) asymptotically).