Franklin College of Arts and Sciences - Course Evaluation

Powered by Franklin College Office of Information Technology

Course List Logout

Course Evaluation - Instructor Interface

Instructors: List Stats for course

Course List

Course Name: ECON2106: Principles of Microeconomics

Call Number: 26-702

Period: 03:00P-03:50P MWF

Semester: Spring 2021 **Instructor:** Yeongmi Jeong

Evaluation Start Date: 14 Apr 2021 **Evaluation End Date:** 04 May 2021

University-wide standard question results:

- 1. Was this course required for your degree? [AVG: 1.94286 STDDEV: 0.23550]
 - 1. [2: 5.7%] No, not required
 - 2. [33: 94.3%] Yes, required
- 2. On average, how many hours per week did you devote to this course outside of class? [AVG: 4.28571 STDDEV: 2.00839]
- 3. Assignments and activities were useful for helping me learn. [AVG: 4.45714 STDDEV: 0.91853]
 - 1. [0: 0.0%] Strongly Disagree
 - 2. [2: 5.7%] Disagree
 - 3. [4: 11.4%] Neutral
 - 4. [5: 14.3%] Agree
 - 5. [24: 68.6%] Strongly Agree
- 4. This course challenged me to think and learn. [AVG: 4.20000 STDDEV: 0.90098]
 - 1. [0: 0.0%] Strongly Disagree
 - 2. [1: 2.9%] Disagree
 - 3. [8: 22.9%] Neutral
 - 4. [9: 25.7%] Agree
 - 5. [17: 48.6%] Strongly Agree

Student

1. I came well prepared to class.

[AVG: 4.22857 STD DEV: 0.84316]

15: 42.9% -- Strongly Agree

15: 42.9% -- Somewhat Agree

3: 8.6% -- Neither agree or disagree

2: 5.7% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

2. I actively participated in class discussions.

[AVG: 3.45714 STD DEV: 1.14642]

8: 22.9% -- Strongly Agree

9: 25.7% -- Somewhat Agree

10: 28.6% -- Neither agree or disagree

7: 20.0% -- Somewhat Disagree

1: 2.9% -- Strongly Disagree

Course

1. The course was well organized.

[AVG: 4.51429 STD DEV: 0.74247]

22: 62.9% -- Strongly Agree

10: 28.6% -- Somewhat Agree

2: 5.7% -- Neither agree or disagree

1: 2.9% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

2. The course objectives were clearly stated.

[AVG: 4.71429 STD DEV: 0.57248]

27: 77.1% -- Strongly Agree

6: 17.1% -- Somewhat Agree

2: 5.7% -- Neither agree or disagree

0: 0.0% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

3. Overall, the course was excellent.

[AVG: 4.37143 STD DEV: 0.87735]

21: 60.0% -- Strongly Agree

7: 20.0% -- Somewhat Agree

6: 17.1% -- Neither agree or disagree

1: 2.9% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

Instructor

1. The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, discussion boards, etc...) to answer questions.

[AVG: 4.68571 STD DEV: 0.52979]

25: 71.4% -- Strongly Agree

9: 25.7% -- Somewhat Agree

1: 2.9% -- Neither agree or disagree

0: 0.0% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

2. The instructor stimulated student interest in the course material.

[AVG: 4.02857 STD DEV: 0.95442]

13: 37.1% -- Strongly Agree

13: 37.1% -- Somewhat Agree

6: 17.1% -- Neither agree or disagree

3: 8.6% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

3. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this course.

[AVG: 4.45714 STD DEV: 0.85209]

22: 62.9% -- Strongly Agree

9: 25.7% -- Somewhat Agree

2: 5.7% -- Neither agree or disagree

- 2: 5.7% -- Somewhat Disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

4. The instructor was well prepared for class.

[AVG: 4.74286 STD DEV: 0.44344]

- 26: 74.3% -- Strongly Agree
- 9: 25.7% -- Somewhat Agree
- 0: 0.0% -- Neither agree or disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Somewhat Disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

5. The instructor used class time effectively.

[AVG: 4.57143 STD DEV: 0.69814]

- 24: 68.6% -- Strongly Agree
- 7: 20.0% -- Somewhat Agree
- 4: 11.4% -- Neither agree or disagree
- $0{:}~0.0\%$ -- Somewhat Disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

6. The instructor encouraged appropriate student participation in class.

[AVG: 4.28571 STD DEV: 0.92582]

- 19: 54.3% -- Strongly Agree
- 9: 25.7% -- Somewhat Agree
- 5: 14.3% -- Neither agree or disagree
- 2: 5.7% -- Somewhat Disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

7. The instructor presented ideas and concepts clearly.

[AVG: 4.28571 STD DEV: 0.95706]

- 19: 54.3% -- Strongly Agree
- 10: 28.6% -- Somewhat Agree

3: 8.6% -- Neither agree or disagree

3: 8.6% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

8. Overall, the instructor was effective at teaching the subject matter.

[AVG: 4.45714 STD DEV: 0.88593]

23: 65.7% -- Strongly Agree

7: 20.0% -- Somewhat Agree

3: 8.6% -- Neither agree or disagree

2: 5.7% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

Grading, Course Assignments, Text, and Reading Materials

1. The textbook, readings, and other materials were useful.

[AVG: 4.17143 STD DEV: 1.04278]

19: 54.3% -- Strongly Agree

6: 17.1% -- Somewhat Agree

7: 20.0% -- Neither agree or disagree

3: 8.6% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

2. Assignments and activities were useful for helping me learn.

[AVG: 4.45714 STD DEV: 0.91853]

24: 68.6% -- Strongly Agree

5: 14.3% -- Agree

4: 11.4% -- Neutral

2: 5.7% -- Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

3. Homework, papers, and exams were returned within a reasonable period.

[AVG: 4.74286 STD DEV: 0.56061]

- 28: 80.0% -- Strongly Agree
- 5: 14.3% -- Somewhat Agree
- 2: 5.7% -- Neither agree or disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Somewhat Disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

4. The exams were fair.

[AVG: 4.62857 STD DEV: 0.73106]

- 26: 74.3% -- Strongly Agree
- 6: 17.1% -- Somewhat Agree
- 2: 5.7% -- Neither agree or disagree
- 1: 2.9% -- Somewhat Disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

5. Feedback on graded homework and exams was valuable.

[AVG: 4.34286 STD DEV: 0.83817]

- 19: 54.3% -- Strongly Agree
- 10: 28.6% -- Somewhat Agree
- 5: 14.3% -- Neither agree or disagree
- 1: 2.9% -- Somewhat Disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

Outcomes

1. The course will be (or has been) of value to me.

[AVG: 4.45714 STD DEV: 0.88593]

- 22: 62.9% -- Strongly Agree
- 9: 25.7% -- Somewhat Agree
- 3: 8.6% -- Neither agree or disagree
- 0: 0.0% -- Somewhat Disagree
- 1: 2.9% -- Strongly Disagree

2. I learned a lot in this course.

[AVG: 4.25714 STD DEV: 0.85209]

17: 48.6% -- Strongly Agree

11: 31.4% -- Somewhat Agree

6: 17.1% -- Neither agree or disagree

1: 2.9% -- Somewhat Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

3. The course challenged me to think and learn.

[AVG: 4.20000 STD DEV: 0.90098]

17: 48.6% -- Strongly Agree

9: 25.7% -- Agree

8: 22.9% -- Neutral

1: 2.9% -- Disagree

0: 0.0% -- Strongly Disagree

4. I would take another course with this instructor if I had the chance.

[AVG: 3.97143 STD DEV: 1.27154]

17: 48.6% -- Strongly Agree

9: 25.7% -- Somewhat Agree

1: 2.9% -- Neither agree or disagree

7: 20.0% -- Somewhat Disagree

1: 2.9% -- Strongly Disagree

Background

1. On average, how many hours per week did you devote to this course?

[AVG: 4.28571 STD DEV: 2.00839]

2. Is this course in your major?

[AVG: 1.57143 STD DEV: 0.50210]

15: 42.9% -- No, not in my major

20: 57.1% -- Yes, in my major

3. Was the course required for your degree?

[AVG: 1.94286 STD DEV: 0.23550]

2: 5.7% -- No, not required

33: 94.3% -- Yes, required

Written Comments

There are 31 comments for this course:

Course as a whole: What was done well? How could it be improved?	Instructor: What was done well? How could the instructor improve?
Adaptation to an online medium performed extraordinarily well	Instructor dealt with Zoom-bombers in an extremely quick and professional manner Adaptation to an online medium performed extraordinarily well
Allowing students to work at their own pace and be able to ask questions when needed. More interactions with students would be better.	She was very knowledgeable about economics. She could allow students to ask questions more of the time. She never looked up from her ipad that she used to teach so it was very hard to ask questions if we needed to.
Class pace was nice	very easy to get in contact with
I liked how she scheduled homework and exams	Homework she helps a lot with
I liked mintap I just wish there was some videos for explaining.	She was so good at being communicative and upfront which was so helpful.
I loved how the professor went over example test questions for each chapter because it let us have an idea of what to expect on the tests. It could be improved by having more opportunities to gain points besides homework and tests.	The instructor was very driven to make sure we understood the material and made sure we were prepared for the test. The instructor could improve by allowing more questions during class.
I personally do not like	She needs to try and have more energy and be more engaging. She

economics.	was also hard to understand.
I think that the content was very well laid out and easy to understand. The instructor taught well and understandably.	I think the Instructor was overall very good with her lessons. They were coherent and she was open to any questions and wanted the class to succeed and learn.
I think the continent was delivered in a very effective way, and it does not need to be channeled in any significant ways.	My instructor did an amazing job teaching this course!
I think the homework assignments in this class were really beneficial. The Cengage website would give us three chances and explain it whenever we got one wrong so that we could try to fix it. The course did a good job in laying out microeconomics in a helpful way.	Ms. Jeong clearly cared a lot about how successful and was very nice. I think her lectures could be improved with some variety to keep them interesting so that it's not the same thing every class.
It was honestly done very well, I wouldn't change it much. The homework was fair and clear, overall I learned a lot.	The instructor was very clear in all her explanations and taught the course well. She was very flexible as well, which was very nice.
I was pleasantly surprised how much I liked this course. I found the material interesting and challenging.	The instructor information was presented in an engaging and clear manner. She was available for office hours if I ever needed help. I have no suggestions for improvement.
Material was well presented. Perhaps too much time was spent reviewing.	Professor Jeong made sure everyone understood the material well.
More engagement w students	Teaching thru any form other than just powerpoints
N/A	Very understanding teacher. What every Professor should be like.
nothing! Great Class	Speak a bit louder, but that could be an issue with the size of the class. She was very understanding and open to discussion.
Overall, this class allowed me to learn much more about microeconomics than I anticipated from taking macroeconomics, and the assigned homework definitely assisted in my knowledge of course material.	Overall, Professor Jeong did a great job of teaching the class, especially in being asked to explain a difficult concept, however, at times, her teaching pace differed a noticeable margin.
Professor was really helpful and understanding	Her responsiveness and explanations were done well. Nothing really to improve

record lectures so can rewatch later to study	spend less time reviewing old material in class
Structured very well. Some homework questions could be easier to find in the book/slides, didn't always know how to do it.	She made sure the students know what would be do and when. Could be more passionate about the subject.
The class was organized. The notes were PowerPoints that were not engaging.	The instructor was always ready and prepared for class. The instructor was not very excited about the material.
The course is tedious but as long as you put in the work and read the book, it should be okay.	She is very understanding and helpful. She wanted to make sure that everyone understands the topics. She is very soft spoken though, so it was tough to hear at time. Professor Jeong gets side tracked sometimes, but not so bad that it throws off the lesson. I think it's nice She's great, no real complaints.
The course was pretty straight forward and I liked the program we used for this course.	She gave us lots of notes and time to do our homework and take notes. She was more worried about us learning the material then just trying to put a grade in so very helpful.
the course was super interesting and taught me things I will remember forever. This course brought a lot of value to my life	Professor Jeong was amazing. She knew exactly what she was talking about every time and was very good at making complex ideas seem simple. I would take every class with her if I could. every class she came prepared and encouraged us to participate and engage in conversation. You can tell Professor Jeong really cared about our learning and outcome.
The course was very simple to follow and very well organized. Due dates were predictable and the work load was very light. I feel as though class time was slightly unengaging, however, it was easily made up for by the textbook.	She is very kind and understanding. She also responds very quickly to emails. Class time was slightly unengaging.
The exams and assignments had very clear due dates and tested our knowledge very well.	Teaching us what we were going to be quizzed on.
The overall structure of this course was great. We were given a clear teaching schedule, and I always knew where we were when covering topics in this course. The course and the concepts within the course isn't difficult, and sometimes it discourages people from fully participating. Perhaps, more hands on learning or providing incentives would help engagement.	The instructor is such a great, sweet person, and she genuinely cared about our success in this class. She is always responsive with emails, and she always made herself available to answering questions when necessary. She wrote practice problems for us to complete and encouraged us to answer them and participate in class. She made the resources available for us to refer back to and for us to succeed. Sometimes, lectures feel a bit repetitive because what we learn is already presented on the lecture slides. Thus, it could feel a bit boring and somewhat less engaging. But even then, the instructor still does explain everything and makes sure we fully understands everything before moving on. Overall, I enjoyed this course this semester.

The powerpoints were very helpful and had a lot of helpful information. I can't think of a way it could be improved.	The instructor was a great teacher and cared about her students. She could be a little more engaging during her lessons.
The teaching was great. The only way to improve the class is to return to in-person classes next semester.	Everything was done well.
This course was pretty simple but presented a lot of information. This course was set up for success for those who worked hard for it.	Dr. Jeong was pretty great in my opinion. The instructor was understanding, helpful, and flexible. Dr. Jeong made this course as easy as she could.
This entire course was essentially a lecture course which isn't the best way for me personally to learn. I think the content was presented well but participation wasn't mandatory or really encouraged. The homework assignments for the class were helpful but not a lot of work, I think more work on understanding the concepts of econ would be beneficial.	The instructor was very considerate and always worked with the students whenever they needed something. She was very helpful in teaching the course overall but I think she could encourage more participation, that way she would be able to help even more of the students.

Number of students in this course: 43 Number of evaluations for this course: 35

Privacy Policy

Having Trouble?

Contact the Franklin Web Team

 $\underline{helpdesk@franklin.uga.edu}$

The Franklin College of Arts and Sciences