

# 8.511 Problem Set 10

Yijun Jiang

November 24, 2015

## 1 Second Quantization

### 1.1 Part (a)

A Hartree-Fock ket occupying states  $\phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2}, \dots, \phi_{\alpha_N}$  is described in second quantization by

$$\Psi = \prod_i c_i^\dagger |0\rangle$$

Since  $\{c_{\alpha_i}^\dagger, c_{\alpha_j}^\dagger\} = 0$  for any two creation operators, exchanging two creation operators changes the sign of  $|\Psi\rangle$ . This means that  $|\Psi\rangle$  is antisymmetrized. Let  $\hat{A}$  be the antisymmetrization operator, then

$$\Psi = \hat{A}|\phi_{\alpha_1}\rangle|\phi_{\alpha_2}\rangle \cdots |\phi_{\alpha_N}\rangle$$

Therefore, in the representation of position and spin, the Hartree-Fock wavefunction is

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1, \mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\sigma_N) &= \langle \mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1 | \langle \mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2 | \cdots \langle \mathbf{r}_N\sigma_N | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \hat{A}\phi_{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1)\phi_{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2) \cdots \phi_{\alpha_N}(\mathbf{r}_N\sigma_N)\end{aligned}$$

The antisymmetrization operator  $\hat{A}$  explores all permutations of  $1, 2, \dots, N$  including the signs, giving exactly the following Slater determinant.

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1, \mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\sigma_N) = \begin{vmatrix} \phi_{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1) & \phi_{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1) & \cdots & \phi_{\alpha_N}(\mathbf{r}_1\sigma_1) \\ \phi_{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2) & \phi_{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2) & \cdots & \phi_{\alpha_N}(\mathbf{r}_2\sigma_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{r}_N\sigma_N) & \phi_{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{r}_N\sigma_N) & \cdots & \phi_{\alpha_N}(\mathbf{r}_N\sigma_N) \end{vmatrix}$$

### 1.2 Part (b)

Notice that for all  $i$ ,

$$c_i^\dagger |\Psi\rangle = (-1)^{P_i} c_i^\dagger c_i^\dagger \prod_{j \neq i} c_j^\dagger |0\rangle = 0$$

where  $P_i$  is the number of swaps to move  $c_i$  to the beginning of all other creation operators, and the identity  $c_i^\dagger c_i^\dagger = 0$  is used. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Psi | H_0 | \Psi \rangle &= \sum_{ij} f_{ij} \langle \Psi | c_i^\dagger c_j | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{ij} f_{ij} \langle \Psi | (\delta_{ij} - c_j c_i^\dagger) | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_i f_{ii} \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle - \sum_{ij} f_{ij} \langle \Psi | c_j c_i^\dagger | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_i f_{ii}\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi | H_1 | \Psi \rangle &= \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} \langle \Psi | c_i^\dagger c_j^\dagger c_k c_l | \Psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} \langle \Psi | c_i^\dagger (\delta_{jk} - c_k c_j^\dagger) c_l | \Psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} \langle \Psi | \delta_{jk} (\delta_{il} - c_l c_i^\dagger) - (\delta_{ik} - c_k c_i^\dagger) (\delta_{jl} - c_l c_j^\dagger) | \Psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} \langle \Psi | \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \delta_{jk} c_l c_i^\dagger - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{jl} c_k c_i^\dagger + \delta_{ik} c_l c_j^\dagger - c_k c_i^\dagger c_l c_j^\dagger | \Psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} \langle \Psi | \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} | \Psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{ij} V_{ijji} - \sum_{ij} V_{ijij} \\
&= \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ijji} - \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ijij} \\
&= \sum_{i \neq j} v_{ijji} \langle \chi_i | \chi_i \rangle \langle \chi_j | \chi_j \rangle - \sum_{i \neq j} v_{ijij} \langle \chi_i | \chi_j \rangle \langle \chi_j | \chi_i \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i \neq j} v_{ijji} - \sum_{i \neq j, \text{spin}} v_{ijij}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | H_0 | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | H_1 | \Psi \rangle = \sum_i f_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} v_{ijji} - \sum_{i \neq j, \text{spin}} v_{ijij}$$

Write in first quantization language,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi | H_0 | \Psi \rangle &= \sum_i f_{ii} \\
&= \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) \left( \frac{\hbar^2 \nabla_1^2}{2m} + \frac{Ze^2}{r_1} \right) u_i(1) \langle \chi_i | \chi_i \rangle \\
&= \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) h(1) u_i(1)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $h(1)$  is the one body operator of kinetic energy and external potential.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi | H_1 | \Psi \rangle &= \sum_{i \neq j} v_{ijji} - \sum_{i \neq j, \text{spin}} v_{ijij} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) \left( \sum_{j, j \neq i} \int d\mathbf{r}_2 u_j^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} u_j(2) \right) u_i(1) - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) \sum_{j, j \neq i, \text{spin}} \int d\mathbf{r}_2 u_j^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} u_i(2) u_j(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) V_H(1) u_i(1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) \hat{V}_{ex}(1) u_i(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle &= \langle \Psi | H_0 | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | H_1 | \Psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) h(1) u_i(1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) V_H(1) u_i(1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) \hat{V}_{ex}(1) u_i(1) \\
&= \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) (h(1) + V_H(1) + \hat{V}_{ex}(1)) u_i(1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) V_H(1) u_i(1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) \hat{V}_{ex}(1) u_i(1) \\
&= \sum_i \varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) V_H(1) u_i(1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_i^*(1) \hat{V}_{ex}(1) u_i(1)
\end{aligned}$$

which gives exactly what we have obtained using first quantization.

## 2 Local Density Approximation

### 2.1 Part (a)

The Hohenberg-Kohn energy functional is

$$E^{(HK)}[n(\mathbf{r})] = T[n(\mathbf{r})] + V_{ee}[n(\mathbf{r})] + \int V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$$

where  $T[n(\mathbf{r})]$  is the kinetic energy,  $V_{ee}[n(\mathbf{r})]$  the electron-electron interactions, and  $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r})$  the external potential. Imagine a non-interacting electron system with the same density  $n(\mathbf{r})$ , which can be decomposed as  $n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_i(\mathbf{r})$ . Rewrite the energy functional as

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{(HK)}[n(\mathbf{r})] &= T_0[n(\mathbf{r})] + V_H[n(\mathbf{r})] + \int V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} + E_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})] \\
&= \sum_i \int \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext} \right) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \int \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' + E_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})]
\end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})] = T[n(\mathbf{r})] + V_{ee}[n(\mathbf{r})] - T_0[n(\mathbf{r})] - V_H[n(\mathbf{r})]$ .

Defining Lagrange multipliers  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ , we want to make the variation of  $E^{(HK)}[n(\mathbf{r})] - \varepsilon_{ij} (\int \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} - 1)$  vanish. Treat  $\psi_i(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\psi_i^*(\mathbf{r})$  as independent, and pick orthogonal orbitals such that  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \delta \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext} \right) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} + \sum_j \int \delta \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' + \int V_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})] \delta \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \\
&= \varepsilon_i \int \delta \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $V_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})]$  is the functional derivative of  $E_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})]$ . In Local Density Approximation,  $E_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})] = \int n(\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) d\mathbf{r}$ , and  $V_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) = \mu_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) = d(n \varepsilon_{xc})/dn$ .

Since  $\delta \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r})$  is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext} + \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}') e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + \mu_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) \right) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \psi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i \varepsilon_i &= \left( \sum_i \int \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \right) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \right) + \int V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} + \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}') e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' + \int \mu_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \\
&= T_0 + 2V_H + \int V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} + \int \mu_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) n(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the expression for  $E^{(HK)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(HK)} &= \sum_i \varepsilon_i - V_H + E_{xc} - \int \mu_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r}))n(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} \\ &= \sum_i \varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' + \int (\varepsilon_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})) - \mu_{xc}(n(\mathbf{r})))n(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Part (b)