8.511 Problem Set 8

Yijun Jiang

November 11, 2015

1 Hartree-Fock

Define one-body Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{r}$$

I use J_i instead of V_H to denote the Hartree potential. Then the Hartree-Fock equation is written as

$$H_1 u_i(1) + J_i u_i(1) - \sum_{j \neq i, \text{spin} \parallel} \int d\mathbf{r}_2 u_j^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} u_j(1) u_i(2) = \varepsilon_i u_i(1)$$

The parallel-spin constraint in the exchange summation can be removed, for the integral vanishes whenever i and j have anti-parallel spins.

The Hartree potential is

$$J_{i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(2)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(2) \right) - \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{i}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{i}(2)$$

$$= J' - \Delta J$$

where

$$J' = \sum_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(2)$$
$$\Delta J = \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{i}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{i}(2)$$

The exchange term is (the spin constraint removed)

$$\hat{K}_{i}u_{i}(1) = \sum_{j \neq i} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2}u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}}u_{j}(1)u_{i}(2)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2}u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}}u_{j}(1)u_{i}(2)\right) - \int d\mathbf{r}_{2}u_{i}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}}u_{i}(1)u_{i}(2)$$

$$= \hat{K}'u_{i}(1) - \Delta \hat{K}u_{i}(1)$$

where

$$\hat{K}'u_i(1) = \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}_2 u_j^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} u_j(1) u_i(2)$$
$$\Delta \hat{K}u_i(1) = \int d\mathbf{r}_2 u_i^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} u_i(1) u_i(2)$$

Yijun Jiang 8.511 Problem Set 8

Notice that

$$\Delta J u_i(1) = \Delta \hat{K} u_i(1)$$

Therefore, the Hartree-Fock equation can be written as

$$H_1 u_i(1) + J' u_i(1) - \hat{K}' u_i(1) = \varepsilon_i u_i(1)$$

Taking dot product with $u_k(1)$, we get

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_k^*(1)(H_1 + J')u_i(1) - \sum_j \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 u_k^*(1)u_j^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} u_j(1)u_i(2) = \varepsilon_i \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_k^*(1)u_i(1)$$
(1)

Exchange i and k, then take Hermitian conjugate. Notice that H_1 and J' are both Hermitian. Therefore,

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_k^*(1)(H_1 + J')u_i(1) - \sum_i \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 u_k^*(2)u_j^*(1) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} u_j(2)u_i(1) = \varepsilon_k^* \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_k^*(1)u_i(1)$$
(2)

Subtracting equation (2) from equation (1), we have

$$\sum_{i} \iint d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{k}^{*}(2) u_{j}^{*}(1) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(2) u_{i}(1) - \sum_{i} \iint d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{k}^{*}(1) u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(1) u_{i}(2) = (\varepsilon_{i} - \varepsilon_{k}^{*}) \int d\mathbf{r}_{1} u_{k}^{*}(1) u_{i}(1) d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{k}^{*}(1) u_{i}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(1) u_{i}(2) = (\varepsilon_{i} - \varepsilon_{k}^{*}) \int d\mathbf{r}_{1} u_{k}^{*}(1) u_{i}(1) d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{k}^{*}(2) u_{i}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(2) u_{i}(1) d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{k}^{*}(2) u_{i}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(2) u_{i}(1) d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{k}^{*}(2) u_{i}^{*}(2) u_{i}^{*$$

The difference between the first term and the second term is a swap of arguments. Since $r_{12} = r_{21}$, we can exchange 1 and 2 in the first term, making it identical to the second. Therefore, the left hand side vanishes.

$$(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_k^*) \int d\mathbf{r}_1 u_k^*(1) u_i(1) = 0$$

Let i = k, then $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_i^* = 0$, which means ε_i is real.

Let $i \neq k$. If no degeneracy occurs, $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_k$, so $\langle u_i | u_k \rangle = 0$. If ε_i and ε_k are degenerate, there is some unitary matrix **T** that diagonalizes the degenerate subspace. Later I will prove that this unitary transformation preserves the Hartree-Fock equation. Then there is a way to choose u_i and u_k such that $\langle u_i | u_k \rangle = 0$ even if degeneracy occurs.

Therefore, the solutions are orthonormal: $\langle u_i | u_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$

Proof of the fact that ${\bf T}$ preserves the Hartree-Fock equation:

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)^T$ are the original states, and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_n)^T$ are the diagonalized states. Since H_1 is linear, $H_1(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(1)) = \mathbf{T}H_1\mathbf{u}(1)$.

The Hartree potential is unaffected by the uniform transformation, i.e. $\tilde{J}'(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(1)) = \mathbf{T}J'\mathbf{u}(1)$, for

$$\begin{split} \tilde{J}'(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(1)) &= \sum_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} \tilde{u}_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} \tilde{u}_{j}(2) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(1) \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} (\mathbf{u}^{\dagger}(2) \mathbf{T}^{\dagger}) (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(2)) (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(1)) \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} \mathbf{u}^{\dagger}(2) \mathbf{u}(2) (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(1)) \\ &= \mathbf{T} \sum_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(2) \mathbf{u}(1) \\ &= \mathbf{T} J' \mathbf{u}(1) \end{split}$$

Yijun Jiang 8.511 Problem Set 8

The exchange operator is also unaffected by the uniform transformation, i.e. $\hat{\vec{K'}}(\mathbf{Tu}(1)) = \mathbf{T}\hat{K'}\mathbf{u}(1)$, for

$$\begin{split} \hat{K'}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(1)) &= \sum_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2}\tilde{u}_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}}\tilde{u}_{j}(1)\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(2) \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} (\mathbf{u}^{\dagger}(2)\mathbf{T}^{\dagger})(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(1))(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(2)) \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} \mathbf{u}^{\dagger}(2)\mathbf{u}(1)(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}(2)) \\ &= \mathbf{T} \sum_{j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} u_{j}^{*}(2) \frac{e^{2}}{r_{12}} u_{j}(1)\mathbf{u}(2) \\ &= \mathbf{T}\hat{K'}\mathbf{u}(1) \end{split}$$

Therefore, the uniform transformation preserves the Hartree-Fock equation.

2 Schottky Barrier

2.1 Part (a)

Before contact, the conduction band E_c of the semiconductor is higher than the chemical potential μ of the metal, and the valance band E_v is lower than μ . The chemical potential of the n-type semiconductor is close to E_c and thus higher than μ . In contact and in equilibrium, the semiconductor chemical potential equals μ , since the electric potential in the metal-semiconductor junction bends E_c and E_v downwards. Close to z=0, in the semiconductor both E_c and E_d are way higher than μ relative to k_BT , which means almost no population of electrons. This leads to a depletion region.

In the depletion region, the charge is dominated by ionized doner cores, whose density is N_d . The ionized electrons move to the metal side. In order to charge neutralize metal-semiconductor junction, these electrons will form a thin negatively charged layer on the metal boundary.

The boundary conditions are $\phi'(z_0) = 0$ and $\phi(z_0) - \phi(0) = E_B/e$. Define the zero point of ϕ at z = 0. Then the solution to Poisson's equation has the form

$$\phi(z) = -\frac{2\pi N_d e}{\varepsilon} ((z - z_0)^2 - z_0^2)$$

where

$$\phi(z_0) = \frac{2\pi N_d e}{\varepsilon} z_0^2 = \frac{E_B}{e}$$

Therefore,

$$z_0 = \frac{1}{e} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon E_B}{2\pi N_d}}$$

Plugging this into the expression for $\phi(z)$,

$$\phi(z) = -\frac{E_B}{e} \left(\left(\frac{z}{z_0} - 1 \right)^2 - 1 \right)$$
$$= -\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi N_d e}{\varepsilon}} z - \sqrt{\frac{E_B}{e}} \right)^2 + \frac{E_B}{e}$$

Using the numerical values, we get

$$z_0 \approx 5.76 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m} = 5.76 \times 10^3 \text{ Å}$$

Yijun Jiang 8.511 Problem Set 8

2.2 Part (b)

The electrons see a potential barrier

$$V(z) = \eta(z)E_B - e\phi(B)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & (z < 0 \text{ or } z > z_0) \\ E_B \left(\frac{z}{z_0} - 1\right)^2 & (0 \leqslant z \leqslant z_0) \end{cases}$$

where $\eta(z) = 1$ if $z \ge 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Then

$$\kappa(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2mV(z)}}{\hbar} = \frac{\sqrt{2mE_B}}{\hbar} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_0}\right)$$

And WKB gives

$$P = \exp\left(-2\int_0^{z_0} \kappa(z)dz\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{2mE_B}z_0}{\hbar} \int_0^1 (1-x)dx\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{2mE_B}z_0}{\hbar}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{E_B}{e\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon m}{\pi N_d}}\right)$$

2.3 Part (c)

Probability for an electron to flow from the metal side to the semiconductor side is $P(m \to s) = (\exp(E_B/kT) + 1)^{-1} \approx \exp(-E_B/kT)$. Probability for an electron to flow from the semiconductor side to the metal side is $P(s \to m) = (\exp((E_B - eV)/kT) + 1)^{-1} \approx \exp((eV - E_B)/kT)$. Therefore, the current is

$$I \propto P(s \to m) - P(m \to s) = \exp(-E_B/kT)(\exp(eV/kT) - 1)$$