

Assignment 08

Name: Jingwei Ye

NetID: jy3555

Q1. You are given a relational schema $R = ABCDE$ satisfying the set of FDs Σ :

1. $AC \rightarrow E$
2. $AC \rightarrow CB$
3. $E \rightarrow DE$
4. $D \rightarrow B$

Goal: Compute a minimal cover for the above set

We first put the FD's in a standard form and get:

1. $AC \rightarrow E$
2. $AC \rightarrow C$
3. $AC \rightarrow B$
4. $E \rightarrow D$
5. $E \rightarrow E$
6. $D \rightarrow B$

We first remove the "defective" parts and get:

1. $AC \rightarrow E$
2. $AC \rightarrow B$
3. $E \rightarrow D$
4. $D \rightarrow B$

Then we apply union on 1 and 2 and get:

1. $AC \rightarrow EB$
2. $E \rightarrow D$
3. $D \rightarrow B$

Next we compute the closure and check if we can simplify 1.

we attempt to simplify $AC \rightarrow EB$ to $AC \rightarrow E$,

then in New, we have $(AC)^+ = ACEDB$, since it contains B, we can simplify $AC \rightarrow EB$ to $AC \rightarrow E$

we get:

1. $AC \rightarrow E$
2. $E \rightarrow D$
3. $D \rightarrow B$

No further simplifications can be made

our minimal cover is

New

1. **$AC \rightarrow E$**
2. **$E \rightarrow D$**
3. **$D \rightarrow B$**

Q2.

You are given a relational schema $R = ABCDEF$ satisfying the set of FDs:

1. $AB \rightarrow C$
2. $A \rightarrow E$
3. $DE \rightarrow F$
4. $E \rightarrow EF$
5. $F \rightarrow B$

Goal: Compute a minimal cover for the above set

We first remove the "defective" parts and get:

1. $AB \rightarrow C$
2. $A \rightarrow E$
3. $DE \rightarrow F$
4. $E \rightarrow F$
5. $F \rightarrow B$

Then we compute the closure and try to minimize the LHS:

$(A)^+ = AEFBC$, hence we can simplify $AB \rightarrow C$ to $A \rightarrow C$

our minimal cover is

New:

1. **$A \rightarrow C$**
2. **$A \rightarrow E$**
3. **$DE \rightarrow F$**
4. **$E \rightarrow F$**
5. **$F \rightarrow B$**

Q3. You are given a relational schema $R = ABCDEFGH$ satisfying the set of FDs:

1. $AB \rightarrow CD$
2. $C \rightarrow EF$
3. $E \rightarrow C$
4. $F \rightarrow G$

(a) Prove that the given set of FDs is already a minimal cover. To do that show that no simplification is possible. Attempt all simplifications.

We firstly attempt to simplify 1 and propose new as follows:

Old	New
1. AB->CD	1. AB->C
2. C->EF	2. C->EF
3. E->C	3. E->C
4. F->G	4. F->G

We attempt to simplify 1. in **Old** getting 1. in **New**. **New** could only be weaker. So to prove equivalence we need to prove 1. in **Old** from all in **New**

We compute $(AB)^+ = ABC$, $(AB)^+$'s CD is not in ABC, we proved non-equivalence. So we continue with **Old** (and do not replace by **New**).

Then we consider the following simplification and check if it is possible:

Old	New
1. AB->CD	1. AB->D
2. C->EF	2. C->EF
3. E->C	3. E->C
4. F->G	4. F->G

We attempt to simplify 1. in **Old** getting 1. in **New**. **New** could only be weaker. So to prove equivalence we need to prove 1. in **Old** from all in **New**

We compute $(AB)^+ = ABD$, $(AB)^+$'s CD is not in ABD, we proved non-equivalence. So we continue with **Old** (and do not replace by **New**).

Next, consider:

Old	New
1. AB->CD	1. A->CD
2. C->EF	2. C->EF
3. E->C	3. E->C
4. F->G	4. F->G

We attempt to simplify 1. in **Old** getting 1. in **New**. **New** could only be stronger. So to prove equivalence we need to prove 1. in **New** from all in **Old**

We compute $(A)^+ = ACD$, it contains CD, however, we cannot make a stronger FD without the proper existing FDs. That is to say, we cannot infer A->CD from AB->CD without having A->B in **Old**. So we continue with **Old** (and do not replace by **New**).

Similarly, consider:

Old	New
1. AB->CD	1. B->CD
2. C->EF	2. C->EF
3. E->C	3. E->C
4. F->G	4. F->G

We attempt to simplify 1. in **Old** getting 1. in **New**. **New** could only be stronger. So to prove equivalence we need to prove 1. in **New** from all in **Old**

We compute $(B)^+ = BCD$, it contains CD, however, we cannot make a stronger FD without the proper existing FDs. That is to say, we cannot infer $B \rightarrow CD$ from $AB \rightarrow CD$ without having $B \rightarrow A$ in **Old**. So we continue with **Old** (and do not replace by **New**).

Next, we attempt to simplify 2 in Old.

Old	New
1. AB->CD	1. AB->CD
2. C->EF	2. C->E
3. E->C	3. E->C
4. F->G	4. F->G

We attempt to simplify 2. in **Old** getting 2. in **New**. **New** could only be weaker. So to prove equivalence we need to prove 2. in **Old** from all in **New**

We compute $(C)^+ = CE$, C's EF is not in CE, we proved non-equivalence. So we continue with **Old** (and do not replace by **New**).

Then we consider:

Old	New
1. AB->CD	1. AB->CD
2. C->EF	2. C->F
3. E->C	3. E->C
4. F->G	4. F->G

We attempt to simplify 2. in **Old** getting 2. in **New**. **New** could only be weaker. So to prove equivalence we need to prove 2. in **Old** from all in **New**

We compute $(C)^+ = CF$, C's EF is not in CF, we proved non-equivalence. So we continue with **Old** (and do not replace by **New**).

By exhausting all possible simplifications, we observe that no further simplifications can be made from the original set of FDs, so we conclude that the give set of FDs is already a minimal cover.

(b) Produce a decomposition satisfying the conditions for Output in Section 3.2.

From the above minimal cover, we get the following tables/relations

1. (AB)CD
2. CEF
3. EC
4. FG

We now proceed to get a global key for R. Perhaps one of the 4 tables already includes a global key for R. We compute

1. $(ABCD)^+ = ABCDEFG$
2. $(CEF)^+ = CEF$
3. $(EC)^+ = ECFG$
4. $(FG)^+ = FG$

but we need $R = ABCDEFGH$

We examine all the attributes of R accounting for all the FDs that are satisfied.

We classify the attributes based on where they appear in FDs:

1. on both sides: C, E, F
2. on left side only: AB
3. on right side only: G, D
4. Nowhere: H

ABH appear in every key, G,D do not appear in any key. C,E,F may appear in a key.

We start with ABHCEF, which must contain a key. We cannot remove ABH but may try to remove C,E, and F.

We attempt to remove C. We compute $(ABHEF)^+ = ABCDEFGH$ and we continue with ABHEF

We attempt to remove E. We compute $(ABHF)^+ = ABCDEFGH$ and we continue with ABHF

We attempt to remove F. We compute $(ABH)^+ = ABCDEFGH$ and we continue with ABH

As nothing else can be done, ABH is a global key.

So our final decomposition is

1. (AB)CD
2. CEF
3. EC
4. FG
5. ABH