The University Case Study

Zvi M. Kedem

3 Contents

4 1 Introduction 1

5 2 Application: Client's Narrative

1

6 1 Introduction

- Our client, who does not know anything about ER (Entity-relationship) diagrams or relational database management systems asked us to build a system for maintaining its data.
- Below is the description, which on purpose, was written so that effort is needed to understand what is going on.
- 10 It is written in this way for us to practice understanding such informal descriptions so as to convert them into
- clear, formal, actionable specifications. The bold font does not have a significant meaning and it is there to make
- following the description easier.
- 13 Try to understand and build "a mental picture" of the application.
- 14 To reiterate: you are not asked to produce any drawing or implementation for this application—just think about it.
- Our plans:
- 1. In Unit 2, from the client's narrative, we will create an Entity-Relationship diagram. After we do that, you could come back to this description.
- 2. In Unit 3, from the Entity-Relationship diagram built in Unit 2 we will create a relational database implementation.

20 Application: Client's Narrative

- We maintain information about female **Horses** (mares). We know the **Name** of the **Horse** and it uniquely identifies the **Horse**. We also, sometimes, know the **Name** of its **Mother**, if it's in the database. A formal term
- for a Mother of a Horse is Dam. Of course, obvious biological properties are satisfied such as, a Horse cannot
- 4 have more than one **Mother** and a **Horse** cannot be its own **Mother**. We need to think about what exactly
- makes (or does not make) sense. The client used to assign Horses for transportation but now they are just retired
- 26 and are enjoying themselves.
- 27 We maintain information about **Persons**. For each **Person** we may need to maintain the properties **ID**#, **SSN**,
- Name, DOB, Children. Name consists of two parts: FN and LN. We do not necessarily know the value of
- **FN** for everybody, but we know the values of all the other attributes. No 2 **Persons** can have the same value of
- 30 SSN. No two Persons can have the same value of ID#.
- A Person may have 0 or more Children. All we need to know about the Children of a Person is their first
- names. No 2 Children of a Person can have the same first name. Even if a Child has more than 1 Person as a
- parent in our database, the **Child** is "assigned" to only one parent.
- We maintain information about Cars. A Car has two attributes VIN and Color. VIN is like an N-Number for
- Cars and is always known, and a Car can be uniquely identified by it.
- We maintain information about Automobiles. In contrast with Cars, these are abstract objects. An Automobile
- is a description of a type of an **Automobile** and not a specific physical object. An example would be an entry
- for a model of Honda. Such an entry would store **Model** with the value of "Honda CR", **Year** with the value of
- "2018", and Weight with the value of "3358". All these properties of an entry are always known and for each pair
- of values of Model and Year there is exactly one value of Weight.
- We maintain information about which Persons Like which Automobiles.

- Each Car is related through Type with exactly 1 Automobile. Through this relation we can find out for each
- 43 Car what Model Year it is and what its Weight is.
- 44 A Person has at least 2 Cars but no 2 Persons can have the same Car. We keep information about that, but
- we may also keep information about the **Date** on which a **Car** was acquired by a **Person** who currently has it.
- Some of the Persons are Students and some are Professors. A Student has an attribute GPA. A Professor
- has an attribute Salary, which is always known. GPA will need to be computed based on the student performance
- in some known way, to be specified later in the implementation process.
- We maintain the following information about Courses: C# and Title. C# identifies a specific Course and is
- always known. Title is also always known.
- We need to maintain required **Prerequisites**. For example, C# 101 might be a **Prerequisite** for C# 102. Some
- sensible restrictions on **Prerequisites** need to be specified. For example, we cannot have all the following together:
- ⁵³ C# 101 is Prerequisite for C# 102, C# 102 is Prerequisite for C# 103, and C# 103 is Prerequisite for
- $\mathbf{C} \# 101$, as that would be a circular dependency. We need to think about what exactly makes (or does not make)
- sense.
- We maintain information about Books. For each Book, Title and Author are always known and together
- 57 identify that **Book**.
- ⁵⁸ We maintain information about required **Books**. A **Requirement** for a **Book** specifies which **Professor** required
- it for which Course.
- 60 Courses are listings in a university catalog. When an Offering of a Course is taught, a Section is generated for
- the Course. For example your Section is one of 001, 002, or 003 in Fall of 2021 for the C# CSCI-GA 2433 or
- DS-GA 2433. A Section has natural attributes Year, Semester, and Sec#, which are always known and which
- are needed for its identification. It also has attribute Room, which is sometimes known. No Course is listed in a
- catalog until at least one **Section** has been offered.
- We keep information about which Students Took which Sections. For each enrollment the Grade may be
- 66 known. A Student may have a known GPA, which is computed by adding all the known numeric Grades,
- of dividing by the number of **Sections** that the **Student Took**. A **Section** has between 3 and 50 **Students**.
- We keep information which **Professors Taught** which **Sections**. Such a teaching assignment may be **Monitored**
- by at most 1 Professor but a Professor cannot be Monitored by itself.