

01.23 Tue

$\mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \text{field} \Rightarrow \text{have } (+, -, \times, /, 0, 1)$

ex) $(x^2+5)(x-\frac{1}{x}) + \frac{1}{x^4 - \frac{4}{9}x^2 + \frac{1}{x^2+1}} = 0$

$\hookrightarrow \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$ with coeff of these p and q are in \mathbb{Q} .

\rightarrow You cannot construct $e^x \approx 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$
or
 $\sin x \approx x - \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^5}{120} - \dots$

with these properties.

$\star \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{completion} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Cauchy Sequence

\hookrightarrow whenever you have a sequence in \mathbb{Q} that are supposed to be converged, it will introduce an element that is

(ex) $1, 1.4, 1.41, 1.414, \dots \rightarrow \sqrt{2}$

the limit of the sequence.

$\star \mathbb{SCR}$ is a subset of \mathbb{R}

def) M is the maximum of S if

- $M \in S$
- $\forall s \in S, s \leq M$

ex) $S = \{-1, 1, 5, 7, -10\} \Rightarrow \max S = 7$

ex) $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \Rightarrow \max N$ does not exist

ex) $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x < 1\} \Rightarrow \max S$ does not exist because $1 \notin S$.

def) m is $\min S$ if $-m \in S$
 $-\forall s \in S, s \geq m$.

Observation) Not all subsets in \mathbb{R} have maximum or minimum.

def) Given $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, M is an upper bound of S if $\forall s \in S, s \leq M$.

ex) $S = \{1, -1, 5, 7, -10\} \Rightarrow$ any $\# \geq 7$ (not unique)

def) $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is a lower bound of S if $\forall s \in S, s \geq m$

ex) $S = \{n^{(-1)^n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{1, 2, \frac{1}{3}, 4, \frac{1}{5}, 6, \frac{1}{7}, \dots\}$

$\Rightarrow 0$ is a lower bound for S

There is no upper bound.

Is 0 the biggest lower bound for S ? \Rightarrow Yes

def) Given $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, M the least upper bound of S if $-M$ is an upper bound of S
 $-\forall \varepsilon > 0, M - \varepsilon$ is not an upper bound of S .
 $\hookrightarrow \exists s \in S$ s.t. $s > M - \varepsilon$

Def) Given $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, m is the greatest lower bound of S if

- m is a lower bound of S
- $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $m + \epsilon$ is not a lower bound of S
 $\hookrightarrow \text{ex } \exists s \in S \text{ s.t. } s < m + \epsilon.$

Completion Axiom \Rightarrow Given $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ and S is bounded above (ex S has an upper bound), then $\sup S$ exists.

~~★~~ \mathbb{R} satisfies the completion axiom from the way \mathbb{R} is constructed.

However, \mathbb{Q} does not satisfy the completion axiom.

$\Rightarrow \text{ex) } S \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 < 2\}$$

There is no lowest rational upper bound

Thm) If $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded below, then $\inf S$ exists

Pf) Consider the set $S' = \{-s \mid s \in S\}$

lpg 24 If m is a lower bound for S , then $-m$ is an upper bound for S'

Since $\sup S'$ exists (by completion axiom),

$-\sup S'$ is the greatest lower bound of S .

Thus $\inf S$ exists and is equal to $-\sup S'$.

$$\hookrightarrow \inf S = -(\sup S')$$

Thm) (Denseness of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R})

Given 2 real # $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, $\exists q \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.
 $a < q < b$.

↳ p.f) we can assume that $0 < a < b$

(if $a \leq 0 < b$, then $q = 0$.)

if $a < b \leq 0$, then consider the case $0 < -b < -a$

Want to find $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a < \frac{m}{n} < b$, so $an < m < bn$.

Two steps \Rightarrow

① $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n(b-a) > 1$

② Since $nb - na > 1$, there is a number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ between na and nb .

For ①, using Archimedean property (given $a, b > 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $na > b$), to $b-a$ and 1.

So, prove Archimedean prpt.

Suppose $na < b \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. consider $S = \{na \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$\subset \mathbb{R}$. S is bounded above by b . So completion axiom implies that $M = \sup S$ exists.

Hence $M \geq na \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and since $a > 0$, $M-a$ is not an upper bound for S .

Hence, $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $M-a < n_0 a$, so $M < (n_0+1)a$ and it contradicts. as $(n_0+1)a \in S$.

So, ① is proved.

For ②,