


#### **Conservation Laws**

- A conservation law describes that a quantity is conserved
- Comes from the physical laws of nature
- Example: Newtons first law: When viewed in an inertial reference frame, an object either remains at rest or continues to move at a constant velocity, unless acted upon by an external force.



Isaac Newton, by Gottfried Kneller, public

- Example: Newtons third law: When one body exerts a force on a second body, the second body simultaneously exerts a force equal in magnitude and opposite in direction on the first body.
- More examples: conservation of mass (amount of water) in shallow water, amount of energy (heat) in the heat equation, linear momentum, angular momentum, etc.
- Conservation laws are mathematically formulated as partial differential equations: PDEs



# Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)

- Let us look at Newtons second law
  - The vector sum of the external forces F on an object is equal to the mass m of that object multiplied by the acceleration vector a of the object:
  - $\vec{F} = m \cdot \vec{a}$
- We know that acceleration, a, is the rate of change of speed over time, or in other words

• 
$$a = v' = \frac{dv}{dt}$$

- We can then write Newtons second law as an ODE:
  - $F = m \frac{dv}{dt}$


# Trajectory of a projectile

- From Newton's second law, we can derive a simple ODE for the trajectory of a projectile
  - Acceleration due to gravity:
    - $\vec{a} = [0, 0, 9.81]$
  - Velocity as a function of time

• 
$$\vec{v}(t) = \overrightarrow{v_o} + t \cdot \vec{a}$$

Change in position, p, over time is a function of the velocity

• 
$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{v}(t)$$



- We can solve this ODE analytically with pen and paper, but for more complex ODEs, that becomes infeasible
- The term "computer" used to be the profession for those who (amongst other things) calculated advanced projectile trajectories (air friction etc.).

## Solving a simple ODE numerically

- To solve the ODE numerically on a computer, we discretize it
- To discretize an ODE is to replace the continuous derivatives with discrete derivatives, and to impose a discrete grid.
- In our ODE, we discretize in time, so that

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{v}(t)$$

becomes

$$\frac{\vec{p}^{n+1} - \vec{p}^n}{\Delta t} = \vec{v}(n \cdot \Delta t)$$



#### **Initial conditions**

Recall our discretization

$$\frac{\vec{p}^{n+1} - \vec{p}^n}{\Delta t} = \vec{v}(n \cdot \Delta t)$$

Rewriting so that n+1 is on the left hand side, we get an explicit formula

$$\vec{p}^{n+1} = \vec{p}^n + \Delta t \cdot \vec{v}(n \cdot \Delta t)$$

- Given initial conditions, that is the initial position,  $p^0$ , and the initial velocity,  $v^0$ , we can now simulate!
  - Example:

t	р	v
0	0.0	0.0
0.1	p0 + dt*v0 = 0.0	v0 - t*9.81 = -0.981
0.2	p1 - dt*v1 = -0.0981	v0 - t*9.81 = -1.962
0.2		



#### Projectile trajectory Python implementation

Enable in-line plotting

```
%pylab inline
```

```
Set initial conditions

v0 = np.array([200.0, 100.0])

p0 = np.array([0.0, 0.0])

dt = 0.1

nt = 100

a = np.array([0.0, -9.81])
```

```
Create a for-loop with our time-stepping for i in range(nt):  \begin{array}{c} t = ??? \\ v1 = ??? \\ p1 = ??? \end{array}  \vec{p}^{n+1} = \vec{p}^n + \Delta t \cdot \vec{v}(n \cdot \Delta t)  \begin{array}{c} \#Plot \\ plot(p1[0], p1[1], 'x') \\ \#Swap \ p0 \ and \ p1 \\ p0, \ p1 = p1, \ p0 \end{array}
```



#### Projectile trajectory Python implementation

Enable in-line plotting

```
%pylab inline
```

```
Set initial conditions

v0 = np.array([200.0, 100.0])

p0 = np.array([0.0, 0.0])

dt = 0.1

nt = 100

a = np.array([0.0, -9.81])
```

```
Create a for-loop with our time-stepping for i in range(nt):  t = n*dt   v1 = v0+t*a   p1 = p0+dt*v1   \vec{p}^{n+1} = \vec{p}^n + \Delta t \cdot \vec{v}(n \cdot \Delta t)   \#Plot   \#Plot   \#Plot   \#Plot(p1[0], p1[1], 'x')   \#Swap \ p0 \ and \ p1   \#p0, \ p1 = p1, \ p0
```




# Particle trajectory results

- When writing simulator code it is essential to check for correctness.
- The analytical solution to our problem is

$$p(t) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{a}t^2 + t \cdot v^0 + p^0$$

Let us compare the solutions





## More accuracy

- We have used a very simple integration rule (or approximation to the derivative)
  - Our rule is known as forward Euler

$$p^{n+1} = p^n + \Delta t \cdot \vec{v}$$


 We can get much higher accuracy with more advanced techniques such as Runge-Kutta 2

$$p^* = p^n + \Delta t \cdot \vec{v}(n \cdot \Delta t)$$

$$p^{**} = p^* + \Delta t \cdot \vec{v}((n+1) \cdot \Delta t)$$

$$p^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(p^n + p^{**})$$

 In summary, we need to think about how we discretize our problem!





# Partial Differential Equations (PDEs)

- Partial differential equations (PDEs) are much like ordinary differential equations (ODEs)
- They consist of derivatives, but in this case partial derivatives.
- Partial derivatives are derivatives with respect to one variable
  - Example:

$$f(x,y) = x \cdot y^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} = y^{2}$$


$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y} = 2 \cdot x \cdot y$$





# Partial Differential Equations (PDEs)

- Many natural phenomena can (partly) be described mathematically as such conservation laws
  - Magneto-hydrodynamics
  - Traffic jams
  - Shallow water
  - Groundwater flow
  - Tsunamis
  - Sound waves
  - Heat propagation
  - Pressure waves
  - •



"Magnificent CME Erupts on the Sun - August 31" by NASA Goddard Space Flight Center - Flickr: Magnificent CME Erupts on the Sun - August 31. Licensed under CC BY 2.0 via Wikimedia Commons



# Example: The linear wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \, \nabla^2 u$$



Can describes vibration of string (in 1D)

- u is the deflection of the string
- c is a material property (related to wave propagation speed)







## The Heat Equation

 The heat equation is a prototypical PDE (partial differential equation)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

• u is the temperature, kappa is the diffusion coefficient, t is time, and x is space.








 We can discretize this PDE by replacing the continuous derivatives with discrete approximations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \qquad \frac{1}{\Delta t} (u_i^n - u_i^{n-1}) = \frac{\kappa}{\Delta x^2} (u_{i-1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i+1}^n)$$

The discrete approximations use a set of grid points in space and time



• The choice of discrete derivatives and grid points gives rise to different discretizations with different properties



• From the discretized PDE, we can create a numerical scheme by reordering the terms

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t}(u_i^n - u_i^{n-1}) = \frac{\kappa}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i+1}^n)$$

$$-ru_{i-1}^n + (1+2r)u_i^n - ru_{i+1}^n = u_i^{n-1}, \qquad r = \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$$

This discretization gives us one equation per grid point which we must solve

- We can write up the equation for each cell as follows:
- Cell ui:  $-r u_{i-1}^n + (1+2r) u_i^n r u_{i+1}^n = u_i^{n-1}$
- Cell u1:  $-r u_0^n + (1 + 2r) u_1^n r u_2^n = u_1^{n-1}$
- Cell u2:  $-r u_1^n + (1 + 2r) u_2^n r u_3^n = u_2^{n-1}$   $t^n$   $t^{n-1}$   $x_0$   $x_1$   $x_2$   $x_3$   $x_4$   $x_5$   $x_6$
- Problem:
  - Cell u-1 does not exist (outside domain!)
  - Cell u7 does not exist (outside domain!)
  - These are called boundary conditions (what the temperature is at the boundary)



## Solving a PDE

- We organize all the equations we have into a matrix equation Ax=b
  - We gather the coefficients in A
  - We gather the unknowns  $(u^n)$  in the vector x
  - We gather the known state  $(u^{n-1})$  in the vector b





#### **Boundary conditions**

- Boundary conditions describe how the solution should behave at the boundary of our domain
- Different boundary conditions give very different solutions!
- A simple boundary condition to implement is "fixed boundaries" / Dirichlet boundaries
  - This simply sets the temperature at the end points to a fixed value

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0^n \\ u_1^n \\ u_2^n \\ u_3^n \\ u_4^n \\ u_5^n \\ u_6^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0^{n-1} \\ u_1^{n-1} \\ u_2^{n-1} \\ u_3^{n-1} \\ u_4^{n-1} \\ u_5^{n-1} \\ u_6^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & 1+2r & -r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0^n \\ u_1^n \\ u_2^n \\ u_3^n \\ u_4^n \\ u_5^n \\ u_6^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0^{n-1} \\ u_1^{n-1} \\ u_2^{n-1} \\ u_3^{n-1} \\ u_4^{n-1} \\ u_5^{n-1} \\ u_6^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- We now have a well-formed problem, if we give some initial heat distribution,  $u^0$
- We can solve the matrix equation Ax = b using linear algebra solvers (Gaussian elimination, conjugate gradients, tri-diagonal solvers, etc.)
- Choosing the right solver is often key to performance: CUBLAS, CUSPARSE, CUSP, ...



#### The Heat Equation on the GPU

- The example so far is quite inefficient and boring...
  - It solves only in 1D
    - Many real-world problems require 2D or 3D simulations
  - It does not utilize any knowledge about the matrix A or the solution
    - A is tridiagonal: we are storing and computing  $n^2$  elements, whilst we only need to store the 3n non-zero elements
  - It uses a regular grid
    - Non-regular grids give us local refinement where we need it

- Adding more features gives a more complex picture
  - The matrix A quickly gets more complex with more features (2D/3D/non-regular grids/etc.)
  - More complex problems have more equations, and the A matrix must often be re-calculated for each simulation step (non-constant coefficients)



# The Heat Equation on the GPU

- The presented numerical scheme is called an *implicit* scheme
- Implicit schemes are often sought after
  - They allow for large time steps,
  - They can be solved using standard tools
  - Allow complex geometries
  - They can be very accurate
  - ...
- However...
  - Solution time is often a function of how long it takes to solve Ax=b and linear algebra solvers can be slow and memory hungry, especially on the GPU
  - for many time-varying phenomena, we are also interested in the temporal dynamics of the problem



## Explicit scheme for the heat equation

 For problems in which disturbances travel at a finite speed, we can change the time derivative from a backward to a forward difference.

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t}(u_i^n - u_i^{n-1}) = \frac{\kappa}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i+1}^n)$$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t}(u_{i}^{n+1} - u_{i}^n) = \frac{\kappa}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i+1}^n)$$

• This gives us an explicit numerical scheme (compared to the implicit scheme already shown)

$$-ru_{i-1}^{n} + (1+2r)u_{i}^{n} - ru_{i+1}^{n} = u_{i}^{n-1}$$


$$u_{i}^{n+1} = ru_{i-1}^{n} + (1-2r)u_{i}^{n} + ru_{i+1}^{n}$$



## Explicit scheme for the heat equation

- An explicit scheme for the heat equation gives us an explicit formula for the solution at the next timestep for each cell!
  - It is simply a weighted average of the two nearest neighbors and the cell itself

$$u_i^{n+1} = ru_{i-1}^n + (1-2r)u_i^n + ru_{i+1}^n$$



- This is perfectly suited for the GPU: each grid cell at the next time step can be computed independently of all other grid cells!
- However, we must have much smaller time steps than in the implicit scheme



#### Timestep restriction

- Consider what would happen if you used a timestep of e.g., 10 hours for a stencil computation.
  - It is impossible, numerically, for a disturbance to travel more than one grid cell
  - Physically, however, the disturbance might have travelled half the domain
  - Using too large timesteps leads to unstable simulation results (too large timesteps in implicit schemes, you only loose accuracy)
- The restriction on how large the timestep can be is called the Courant-Friedrichs-Levy condition, or more commonly, the CFL condition
  - Find the fastest propagation speed within the domain, and the timestep is inversely proportional to this speed.
  - For the heat equation:  $\frac{1}{2} > \frac{\kappa \Delta r}{\Delta r}$

## The heat equation in Python

$$u_i^{n+1} = ru_{i-1}^n + (1-2r)u_i^n + ru_{i+1}^n$$

General setup

%pylab inline import numpy as np

Initial conditions

$$r = \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$$
  $\frac{1}{2} > \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$ 

Explicit heat equation

Boundary conditions

• Swap u0 and u1

Simulation for loop for internal cells

```
for n in range(nt):
for i in range(1, nx-1):
```



## The heat equation in Python

$$u_i^{n+1} = ru_{i-1}^n + (1-2r)u_i^n + ru_{i+1}^n$$

General setup

%pylab inline import numpy as np

Initial conditions

```
nx = 100
u0 = np.random.rand(nx)
u1 = np.empty(nx)
kappa = 1.0
dx = 1.0
dt = 0.8 * dx*dx / (2.0*kappa)
nt = 500
```

 $r = \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$   $\frac{1}{2} > \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$ 

Explicit heat equation

```
u1[i] = u0[i]
+ kappa*dt/(dx*dx)
* (u0[i-1] - 2*u0[i] + u0[i+1])
```

Boundary conditions

• Swap u0 and u1 u0, u1 = u1, u0

Simulation for loop for internal cells


```
for n in range(nt):

for i in range(1, nx-1):
```



## Heat equation results

 We see that given something with random heat inside, our implementation will smear the data, and interpolate the end points





Recall the discretized heat equation

$$u_i^{n+1} = ru_{i-1}^n + (1-2r)u_i^n + ru_{i+1}^n$$
  $r = \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$   $\frac{1}{2} > \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$ 

We also need initial conditions, and boundary conditions to be able to simulate

**Initial conditions** 

•  $u_i^0 = rand() \forall i$ 

Boundary conditions (Fixed value, socalled Dirichlet boundary condition)

- $u_0^n = u_0^0$ ,  $u_k^n = u_k^0 \quad \forall n$
- k = nx = number of cells
- We see that every  $u_i^{n+1}$  can be computed independently for internal cells (i != 0, k)

• 
$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n + r(u_{i-1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i+1}^n)$$



The OpenCL kernel

```
%%cl_kernel
 _kernel void heat_eq_1D(__global float *u1,
          __global const float *u0,
          float kappa, float dt, float dx) {
  int i = get_global_id(0);
  int nx = get_global_size(0); //Get total number of cells
  //Internal cells
  if (i > 0 \&\& i < nx-1) {
    u1[i] = u0[i] + kappa*dt/(dx*dx)*(u0[i-1] - 2*u0[i] + u0[i+1]);
  //Boundary conditions (socalled ghost cells)
  else {
    u1[i] = u0[i];
```

Uploading initial conditions

```
#CPU data
u0 = np.random.rand(50).astype(np.float32)
#Number of cells
nx = len(u0)
mf = cl.mem_flags
#Upload data to the device
U0_g = cl.Buffer(cl_ctx, mf.READ_WRITE | mf.COPY_HOST_PTR, hostbuf=u0)
#Allocate output buffers
U1_g = cl.Buffer(cl_ctx, mf.READ_WRITE, u0.nbytes)
```





```
#Set number of timesteps
nt = 50
#Calculate timestep size from CFL condition
dt = 0.8 * dx*dx / (2.0*kappa)
#Loop through all the timesteps
for i in range(nt):
    #Execute kernel on device with nx threads
    heat eq 1D(cl queue, (nx,1), None, u1 g, u0 g,
          numpy.float32(kappa), numpy.float32(dt), numpy.float32(dx))
    #Download and plot solution every fifth iteration
    if (i % 10 == 0):
        u1 = np.empty(nx, dtype=np.float32)
        cl.enqueue_copy(cl_queue, u0_g, u1)
         plot(u1, label="u_"+str(i))
    #Swap variables
    u0_g, u1_g = u1_g, u0_g
```



 The kernel smooths the input data as expected, and the boundary values remain unchanged

 If we run a huge amount of iterations, the boundary conditions (end points) dictate the solution







#### Two dimensions


In two dimensions, the heat equation can be written

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \kappa 
abla^2 u \ &= \kappa \left[ rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} 
ight] \end{aligned}$$

This simply adds the second or der partial derivative of u with respect to the y dimension.

For the code, we have to now solve in 2 dimensions, not only one!

#### Example: The 2D wave equation



$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right]$$



$$\frac{1}{\Delta t^2} (u_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j}^{n-1}) 
= \frac{c}{\Delta x^2} (u_{i-1,j}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i+1,j}^n) + \frac{c}{\Delta y^2} (u_{i,j-1}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j+1}^n)$$





## Heat Equation in 2D

In 1D, we started with

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

And ended up with the numerical scheme

$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n + k \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} (u_{i-1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i+1}^n)$$

In 2D, we start with

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = k \nabla^2 u = k \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right]$$

And end up equivalently with

$$u_{i,j}^{n+1} = u_{i,j}^{n} + k \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^{2}} (u_{i-1,j}^{n} - 2u_{i,j}^{n} + u_{i+1,j}^{n}) + k \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y^{2}} (u_{i,j-1}^{n} - 2u_{i,j}^{n} + u_{i,j+1}^{n})$$

• All we have done, is add a second index, j, and the second order partial derivative of u with respect to y.



## 2D array indexing

- We typically treat 2D arrays using an interpretation of a 1D array
- It is fast, and wastes no memory

$$nx = 10$$



$$u(i, j) = u[j*nx + j]$$

$$i = 4, j = 2 => u(i, j) = u[2*10 + 4] = u[24]$$



#### **OpenCL Kernel**

```
_kernel void heat_eq_2D(__global float *u1, __global const float *u0,
                     float kappa, float dt, float dx, float dy) {
//Get total number of cells
int nx = get global size(0);
int ny = get_global_size(1);
int i = ???; int j = ???;
//Calculate the four indices of our neighboring cells
int center = j*nx + i;
int north = (j+1)*nx + i; int south = ??? int east = ???
                                                               int west = ???
//Internal cells
if (i > 0 \&\& i < nx-1 \&\& j > 0 \&\& j < ny-1) {
  u1[center] = u0[center] + ???
//Boundary conditions (ghost cells)
else {
  u1[center] = u0[center];
```



#### Initial conditions

```
nx = 100
ny = nx
kappa = 1.0
dx = 1.0
dy = 1.0
dt = 0.4 * min(dx*dx / (2.0*kappa), dy*dy / (2.0*kappa))
u0 = np.random.rand(ny, nx).astype(np.float32)
mf = cl.mem_flags
#Upload data to the device
u0_g = cl.Buffer(cl_ctx, mf.READ_WRITE | mf.COPY_HOST_PTR, hostbuf=u0)
#Allocate output buffers
u1_g = cl.Buffer(cl_ctx, mf.READ_WRITE, u0.nbytes)
```



#### Execute kernel

```
nt = 500
for i in range(0, nt):
  #Execute program on device
  heat_eq_2D(cl_queue, (cl_data.nx, cl_data.ny), None,
        u1 g, u0 g,
        numpy.float32(kappa), numpy.float32(dt), numpy.float32(dx), numpy.float32(dy))
  #Swap the two timesteps
  u0_g, u1_g = u1_g, u0_g
  #Plot results
  if (i % 50 == 0):
      figure()
      u0 = np.empty((nx, ny), dtype=np.float32)
      cl.enqueue_copy(cl_queue, u0, u0_g)
      pcolor(u0)
```



#### **Linear Wave Equation**

The heat equation can be written

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = k\nabla^2 u = k \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right]$$

which gave the numerical scheme

$$u_{i,j}^{n+1} = u_{i,j}^{n} + k \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^{2}} (u_{i-1,j}^{n} - 2u_{i,j}^{n} + u_{i+1,j}^{n}) + k \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y^{2}} (u_{i,j-1}^{n} - 2u_{i,j}^{n} + u_{i,j+1}^{n})$$

The linear wave equation can be written

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c \nabla^2 u = c \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right]$$

which only changes the left hand side. Here c is the wave propagation speed coefficient We can write the numerical scheme as

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t^2}(u_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j}^{n-1}) = \frac{c}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i+1,j}^n) + \frac{c}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j+1}^n)$$



## **Linear Wave Equation**

Rewriting

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t^2}(u_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j}^{n-1}) = \frac{c}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i+1,j}^n) + \frac{c}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j+1}^n)$$

We get

$$u_{i,j}^{n+1} = 2u_{i,j}^n - u_{i,j}^{n-1} + \frac{c\Delta t^2}{\Delta x^2} (u_{i-1,j}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i+1,j}^n) + \frac{c\Delta t^2}{\Delta y^2} (u_{i,j-1}^n - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j+1}^n)$$

The major difference with the heat equation is that we now need two timesteps of u to compute the next timestep!

## Simulation loop



#### **Boundary conditions**

```
_kernel void linear_wave_2D_bc(__global float* u) {
int nx = get_global_size(0); int ny = get_global_size(1);
int i = get_global_id(0); int j = get_global_id(1);
//Calculate the four indices of our neighboring cells
int center = j*nx + i;
int north = ...; int south = ...; int east = ...; int west = ...;
if (i == 0) {
  u[center] = u[east];
else if (i == nx-1) {
  u[center] = u[west];
else if (j == 0) {
  u[center] = u[north];
else if (j == ny-1) {
  u[center] = u[south];
```

