## Homework 3

#### Yuki Joyama

```
# libraries
library(tidyverse)
library(ggplot2)
# setup plot theme
theme_set(
  theme_bw() +
    theme(legend.position = "top")
###CODE FOR HW3####
set.seed(124)
n <- 16
p_C <- 1/5
C <- rbinom(n,1,p_C)
theta0 \leftarrow 1/2
theta1 \leftarrow -1/5
p_A <- theta0+theta1*C</pre>
A \leftarrow rbinom(n,1,p_A)
beta0 <- 110
beta1 <- 20
beta2 <- 5
sigma_Y <- 1
mu_Y <- beta0+beta1*C+beta2*A</pre>
Y <- rnorm(n,mu_Y, sigma_Y)
```

### 1. Interpret parameters

- C: Obesity (p = 1/5 of the mice are obese at baseline)
- A: Exposure to light
- Y: Glucose outcome such that  $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma)$ , where  $E(\mu_Y) = f(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * obesity + \beta_2 * light)$ ,  $\beta_0 = 110$ ,  $\beta_1 = 110$
- 20, and  $\beta_2 = -5$
- p: The probability of a mouse to be obese at baseline. Theoretically, 3.2 out of 16 mice are obese. In the simulation by the above R code, 3 out of 16 mice are set to be obese at baseline.
- $\theta_0$ : The probability for a non-obese mouse (C=0) to be exposed to light.  $\theta_0=1/2$  means that there's a 50% chance for a non-obese mouse to be exposed to light.
- $\theta_1$ : Describes how more (or less) likely it is for an obese mouse (C=1) to be exposed to light.  $\theta_1=-1/5$  indicates that obese mice are 20% less likely to be exposed to the light. In other words, there's a 30% chance for an obese mouse to be exposed to light.
- $\beta_0$ : The baseline mean glucose level for non-obese mice that are not exposed to light, which was set to be 110 mg/dL.
- $\beta_1$ : The coefficient of obesity on glucose level.  $\beta_1=20$  indicates that obese mice have 20~mg/dL higher

average glucose level from the baseline compared to non-obese mice, holding other variables constant.  $\beta_2$ : The coefficient of light on glucose level.  $\beta_2 = -5$  suggests that mice with exposure to light have 5 mg/dL lower average glucose level from the baseline compared to non-exposed mice, holding other variables constant.

#### 2. PACE

Let  $Y_1$  be the glucose outcome when A=1, and  $Y_0$  be the glucose outcome when A=0.

Marginal PACE:  $E[Y_1] - E[Y_0]$ 

This holds under consistency, SUTVA, exchangeability, and positivity assumption.

Conditional PACE:  $E[Y_1|C=c] - E[Y_0|C=c]$ 

This holds under consistency, SUTVA, exchangeability, positivity, and NUCA  $(Y_a \perp A|C)$  assumption.

# 3. g-formula (randomized vs. observational study)

g-formula for the randomized study:  $E[Ya] = \sum_c E[Y|A=a,C=c] Pr(C=c) = E[Y|A=a]$  g-formula for the observational study:  $E[Ya] = \sum_c E[Y|A=a,C=c] Pr(C=c)$  under NUCA. Randomization enforces unconfoundedness. The distribution of C does not depend on A, so g-formula simplifies to E[Y|A=a]. In an observational study, A is influenced by C, so the formula cannot be simplified without accounting for the confounders.

- 4. Estimate and confidence interval of E[Y|A=1]-E[Y|A=0]
- 5. Estimate and confidence interval of  $E[Y_1] E[Y_0]$
- 6. Assumptions of estimate  $E[Y_1] E[Y_0]$  using linear regression