## Homework 2

Yuki Joyama

2024-02-16

1

```
# load data
dose = c(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)
num = c(30, 30, 30, 30, 30)
dead = c(2, 8, 15, 23, 27)
data_1 = data.frame(dose, num, dead)
# visualization
# plot(data_1$dose, data_1$dead/data_1$num, xlab = 'dose', ylab = 'Proportion dying', cex = 1.5, pch =
# data prep
x = data_1$dose
y = data_1$dead
m = data_1$num
resp = cbind(y, m-y)
Now, I will fit the model g(P(dying)) = \alpha + \beta X with logit, probit, and complementary log-log links.
# fit logistic model, logit
glm_logit = glm(resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = 'logit'))
summary(glm_logit)
##
## glm(formula = resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = "logit"))
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -2.3238
                            0.4179 -5.561 2.69e-08 ***
## x
                                      6.405 1.51e-10 ***
                 1.1619
                             0.1814
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 64.76327 on 4 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 0.37875 on 3 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 20.854
```

## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

```
# fit logistic model, probit
glm_probit = glm(resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = 'probit'))
summary(glm probit)
##
## glm(formula = resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = "probit"))
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                          0.22781 -6.045 1.49e-09 ***
## (Intercept) -1.37709
## x
               0.68638
                          0.09677 7.093 1.31e-12 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 64.76327 on 4 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 0.31367 on 3 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 20.789
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
# fit logistic model, cloglog
glm_cloglog = glm(resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = 'cloglog'))
summary(glm_cloglog)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = "cloglog"))
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.9942
                        0.3126 -6.378 1.79e-10 ***
## x
                0.7468
                           0.1094 6.824 8.86e-12 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 64.7633 on 4 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 2.2305 on 3 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 22.706
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
(a)
# 95% CI for beta, logit
beta = glm_logit$coefficients[2]
```

```
se = sqrt(vcov(glm_logit)[2, 2])
round(beta + c(qnorm(0.025), -qnorm(0.025)) * se, 3)
## [1] 0.806 1.517
# 95% CI for beta, probit
beta = glm_probit$coefficients[2]
se = sqrt(vcov(glm_probit)[2, 2])
round(beta + c(qnorm(0.025), -qnorm(0.025)) * se, 3)
## [1] 0.497 0.876
# 95% CI for beta, cloglog
beta = glm_cloglog$coefficients[2]
se = sqrt(vcov(glm_cloglog)[2, 2])
round(beta + c(qnorm(0.025), -qnorm(0.025)) * se, 3)
## [1] 0.532 0.961
\# p_hat(dying|x = 0.01), logit
predict(glm_logit, newdata = data.frame(x = 0.01), type = 'response')
## 0.09011997
# calculate by hand
\# or = exp(coef(glm\_logit)[1] + 0.01 * coef(glm\_logit)[2])
\# \ or \ / \ (1 + or)
\# p_hat(dying/x = 0.01), probit
predict(glm_probit, newdata = data.frame(x = 0.01), type = 'response')
##
## 0.0853078
\# p_hat(dying/x = 0.01), cloglog
predict(glm_cloglog, newdata = data.frame(x = 0.01), type = 'response')
##
## 0.1281601
```

| Model     | Estimate of beta | CI for beta     | Deviance | p_hat(dying x=0.01) |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| logit     | 1.162            | (0.806 - 1.517) | 0.379    | 0.0901              |
| probit    | 0.686            | (0.497 - 0.876) | 0.314    | 0.0853              |
| c-log-log | 0.747            | (0.532 - 0.961) | 2.231    | 0.128               |

The estimate of beta in the logit model represents the change in the log odds of the response variable for a one-unit change in the predictor variable (dose). The 95% CI for the estimate of beta provides a range

within which we can be 95% confident that the true value of beta lies. The deviance can be used to check the goodness of fit of the models and 0.314 in probit model indicates a better fit.  $\hat{p}(dying|x=0.01)$  gives a probability estimate given that the predictor variable x takes the value of 0.01. In logit and probit model, the probabilities are similar. However, in the c-log-log model, which employs an asymmetric link function, the estimated probabilities appears to be larger than the other two models.

```
(b)
```

## [1] 5.51 9.91

```
Three models can be expressed as below:
log(\frac{p}{1-p}) = \alpha + \beta X
\Phi^{-1}(p) = \alpha + \beta X
log(-log(1-p)) = \alpha + \beta X
We want to estimate x_0 such that \alpha + \beta X = g(p = 0.5)
Given p=0.5,
Logit: 0 = \alpha + \beta x_0 \rightarrow x_0 = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}
var(\hat{x_0}) = (\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \alpha})^2 var(\hat{\alpha}) + (\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \beta})^2 var(\hat{\beta}) + 2(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \alpha})(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \beta})cov(\hat{\alpha},\hat{\beta})
-> var(\hat{x_0}) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} var(\hat{\alpha}) + \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^4} var(\hat{\beta}) - 2\frac{\alpha}{\beta^3} cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})
Probit:
\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha + \beta x_0 -> x_0 = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\ var(\hat{x_0}) &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} var(\hat{\alpha}) + \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^4} var(\hat{\beta}) - 2\frac{\alpha}{\beta^3} cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) \end{aligned}
C-loglog:
-0.367 = \alpha + \beta x_0 \rightarrow x_0 = -\frac{0.367 + \alpha}{\beta}
var(\hat{x_0}) = (\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \alpha})^2 var(\hat{\alpha}) + (\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \beta})^2 var(\hat{\beta}) + 2(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \alpha})(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \beta})cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})
-> var(\hat{x_0}) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} var(\hat{\alpha}) + \frac{(\alpha - \log(-\log(1 - 0.5)))^2}{\beta^4} var(\hat{\beta}) + 2\frac{\log(-\log(1 - 0.5)) - \alpha}{\beta^3} cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})
The asymptotic (1-\alpha)100\% CI of x_0 is [\hat{x_0} - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{var(\hat{x_0})}, \hat{x_0} + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{var(\hat{x_0})}]
Now, I will calculate these values using the following codes.
# LD50 point est, logit
x0 = - glm_logit$coefficients[1]/glm_logit$coefficients[2]
round(exp(x0), 3)
       (Intercept)
##
                    7.389
# 95% CI
beta0 = glm_logit$coefficients[1]
beta1 = glm_logit$coefficients[2]
betacov = vcov(glm logit) # inverse fischer information
varx0 = betacov[1, 1]/(beta1^2) + betacov[2, 2]*(beta0^2)/(beta1^4) - 2*betacov[1,2]*beta0/(beta1^3)
se = sqrt(varx0)
round(exp(x0 + c(qnorm(0.05), -qnorm(0.05)) * sqrt(varx0)), 3)
```

```
# LD50 point est, probit
x0 = - glm_probit$coefficients[1]/glm_probit$coefficients[2]
round(exp(x0), 3)
## (Intercept)
                           7.436
##
# 95% CI
beta0 = glm_probit$coefficients[1]
beta1 = glm_probit$coefficients[2]
betacov = vcov(glm_probit) # inverse fischer information
varx0 = betacov[1, 1]/(beta1^2) + betacov[2, 2]*(beta0^2)/(beta1^4) - 2*betacov[1,2]*beta0/(beta1^3)
se = sqrt(varx0)
round(exp(x0 + c(qnorm(0.05), -qnorm(0.05)) * sqrt(varx0)), 3)
## [1] 5.583 9.904
# LD50 point est, cloglog
x0 = (log(-log(1 - 0.5)) - glm_cloglog$coefficients[1])/(glm_cloglog$coefficients[2])
round(exp(x0), 3)
## (Intercept)
##
                          8.841
# 95% CI
beta0 = glm_cloglog$coefficients[1]
beta1 = glm_cloglog$coefficients[2]
betacov = vcov(glm_cloglog) # inverse fischer information
varx0 = betacov[1, 1]/(beta1^2) + betacov[2, 2]*(beta0 - (log(-log(1 - 0.5))))^2/(beta1^4) + 2*betacov[1, 1]/(beta1^4) + 2*betacov[1, 1]/(be
se = sqrt(varx0)
round(exp(x0 + c(qnorm(0.05), -qnorm(0.05)) * sqrt(varx0)), 3)
## [1] 6.526 11.977
```

The results are as follows.

| Model     | Estimate LD50 | 90% CI         |
|-----------|---------------|----------------|
| logit     | 7.389         | (5.510-9.910)  |
| probit    | 7.436         | (5.583-9.904)  |
| c-log-log | 8.841         | (6.526-11.977) |

2

- Amount: one-time two-year scholarship
- Offer: the number of offers made with the corresponding scholarship
- Enrolls: the number of offer accepted

```
# load data
amount = seq(10, 90, 5)
offers = c(4, 6, 10, 12, 39, 36, 22, 14, 10, 12, 8, 9, 3, 1, 5, 2, 1)
enrolls = c(0, 2, 4, 2, 12, 14, 10, 7, 5, 5, 3, 5, 2, 0, 4, 2, 1)

data_2 = data.frame(amount, offers, enrolls)

# visualization
# plot(data_2$amount, data_2$enrolls/data_2$offers, xlab = 'amount', ylab = 'Proportion enrollment', ce

# data prep
x = data_2$amount
y = data_2$enrolls
m = data_2$enrolls
m = data_2$offers + data_2$enrolls
resp = cbind(y, m-y)
```

## (a) How does the model fit the data?

```
# fit logistic model, logit
glm_logit = glm(resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = 'logit'))
```

I employed the logistic regression model to investigate the relationship between the scholarship amount and enrollment rate.

```
Model: g(P(enrolls)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X
```

By the rule of thumb, there are not sufficient people in each scholarship group. Therefore, I will conduct Hosmer-Lemeshow test to check the goodness of fit.

```
# HL test
hltest(glm_logit)
```

```
##
##
      The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test
##
##
   Group Size Observed Expected
            26
                      6 5.477335
##
        1
##
            14
                      2 3.264660
##
            51
                     12 12.651525
        3
##
        4
            50
                     14 13.178563
##
       5
            32
                     10 8.949818
##
       6
            21
                      7 6.223997
##
       7
            32
                     10 10.339060
##
            30
                     10 10.943589
##
            16
                      7 6.971452
##
            Statistic = 1.26951
##
## degrees of freedom = 7
##
              p-value = 0.98924
```

P-value of 0.990 indicates that the model fits well to the data.

(b) How do you interpret the relationship between the scholarship amount and enrollment rate? What is 95% CI?

```
summary(glm_logit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = resp ~ x, family = binomial(link = "logit"))
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -1.597627
                           0.365184
                                     -4.375 1.22e-05 ***
                                               0.039 *
## x
                0.016290
                           0.007893
                                      2.064
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
  (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 9.0736 on 16 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 4.8285
                             on 15 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 52.086
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
# 95% CI for beta, logit
beta = glm_logit$coefficients[2]
se = sqrt(vcov(glm_logit)[2, 2])
round(beta + c(qnorm(0.025), -qnorm(0.025)) * se, 3)
## [1] 0.001 0.032
```

The model assumes that when the amount scholarship is zero, the log odds for enrollment among offer is -1.598. One unit increase of scholarship amount (in thousand dollars) will increase the log odds of enrollment by 0.0163. With 95% confidence, the true  $\beta_1$  lies within (0.001-0.032).

(c) How much scholarship should we provide to get 40% yield rate (the percentage of admitted students who enroll?) What is the 95% CI?