

Birkhoff's variety theorem for relative algebraic theories

Yuto Kawase

RIMS, Kyoto University

September 20, 2023. MSJ 2023 Autumn Meeting

1 A categorical view of algebras

2 4 examples

3 Relative algebraic theories

4 Birkhoff's variety theorem

5 Filtered colimit elimination

Single-sorted algebras

Definition

A (single-sorted) algebra consists of:

- a base set A ;
- operators $\sigma: A^n \rightarrow A$ ($n \geq 0$);
- equations.

Example

A group consists of:

- a base set G ;
- operators $e: 1 \rightarrow G$, $i: G \rightarrow G$, $m: G^2 \rightarrow G$;
- equations $m(e, x) = x = m(x, e)$, $m(x, i(x)) = e = m(i(x), x)$,
 $m(m(x, y), z) = m(x, m(y, z))$.

Multi-sorted algebras

Definition

S : a set. (the set of sorts)

An S -sorted algebra consists of:

- base sets $(A_s)_{s \in S}$ indexed by S ;
- operators $\sigma: A_{s_1} \times \cdots \times A_{s_n} \rightarrow A_s$;
- equations.

Example

A chain complex consists of:

- base sets $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$;
- operators $0_n: 1 \rightarrow A_n$, $-_n: A_n \rightarrow A_n$, $+_n: A_n \times A_n \rightarrow A_n$,
 $d_n: A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$;
- appropriate equations.

This is an \mathbb{Z} -sorted algebra.

The free-forgetful adjunctions

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Grp} \\ F \uparrow \downarrow U \\ \mathbf{Set} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Ch} \\ F \uparrow \downarrow U \\ \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{Z}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathit{Alg}(\Omega, E) \\ F \uparrow \downarrow U \\ \mathbf{Set}^S \end{array}$$

$(\underbrace{\Omega}_{\text{operators}}, \underbrace{E}_{\text{equations}})$: an S -sorted algebraic theory.

Finitary monads

Definition

A **monad** on a category \mathcal{C} consists of:

- an endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$,
- a natural transformation $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow T$,
- a natural transformation $\mu : T^2(= T \circ T) \Rightarrow T$

such that the following commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\eta T} & T^2 & \xleftarrow{T\eta} & T \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow & \\ & & T & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^3 & \xrightarrow{T\mu} & T^2 \\ \mu T \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ T^2 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T \end{array}$$

Definition

A monad (T, η, μ) is **finitary** when the functor T preserves filtered colimits.

Linton's theorem

Theorem ([Lin69])

There is an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Th}^S \simeq \mathbf{Mnd}_f(\mathbf{Set}^S).$$

Here,

\mathbf{Th}^S : the category of S -sorted algebraic theories,

$\mathbf{Mnd}_f(\mathbf{Set}^S)$: the category of finitary monads on \mathbf{Set}^S .

S -sorted algebraic theory = finitary monad on \mathbf{Set}^S

1 A categorical view of algebras

2 4 examples

3 Relative algebraic theories

4 Birkhoff's variety theorem

5 Filtered colimit elimination

Algebras over a quiver

Example

A **small category** consists of:

- a base quiver $\text{mor}\mathcal{C} \xrightleftharpoons[c]{d} \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$;
- a total operator $\text{id}: \text{ob}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mor}\mathcal{C}$;
- a **partial** operator $\circ: \text{mor}\mathcal{C} \times \text{mor}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mor}\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$g \circ f \text{ is defined iff } d(g) = c(f)$$

which satisfy the following:

- $d(\text{id}(x)) = x$ and $c(\text{id}(x)) = x$;
- $d(g \circ f) = d(f)$ and $c(g \circ f) = c(g)$ whenever $d(g) = c(f)$;
- $f \circ \text{id}(d(f)) = f$ and $\text{id}(c(f)) \circ f = f$;
- $(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$ whenever $d(h) = c(g)$ and $d(g) = c(f)$.

Small categories are algebras over quivers.

Ordered algebras

Example ([Gol03])

A **uniquely difference-ordered semiring** consists of:

- a base poset (R, \leq) ;
- total operators $+, \cdot: R \times R \rightarrow R$;
- constants $0, 1 \in R$;
- a partial operator $\ominus: R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that

$b \ominus a$ is defined iff $a \leq b$

which satisfy the following:

- $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a semiring;
- $a \leq a + b$;
- $(a + b) \ominus a = b$;
- $a + (b \ominus a) = b$ whenever $a \leq b$.

UDO semirings are algebras over posets.

Partial algebras

Example ([BH12])

A **partial abelian group** consists of:

- a base set A with a reflexive symmetric relation $\odot \subseteq A \times A$; (a set with commensurability)
- a constant $0 \in A$;
- a total operator $- : A \rightarrow A$;
- a partial operator $+ : A \times A \rightharpoonup A$ such that

$$a + b \text{ is defined iff } a \odot b$$

which satisfy the following:

- $a \odot 0$;
- $a \odot (-b)$ whenever $a \odot b$;
- $a \odot (b + c)$ whenever $a \odot b, b \odot c, c \odot a$;
- $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$ whenever $a \odot b, b \odot c, c \odot a$;
- $a + b = b + a$ whenever $a \odot b$;
- $a + 0 = a$ and $a \odot (-a) = 0$.

Definition

A **monoid-graded set** is a map $d: X \rightarrow M$ from a set X to a monoid (M, \cdot, e) .

Example

A **monoid-graded ring** consists of:

- a base monoid-graded set (X, d, M, \cdot, e) ;
- a constant $1 \in X$;
- total operators $\otimes: X \times X \rightarrow X$, $0: M \rightarrow X$, $-: X \rightarrow X$;
- a partial operator $+: X \times X \rightharpoonup X$ s.t. $x + y$ is defined iff $d(x) = d(y)$

which satisfy the following:

- $d(1) = e$, $d(x \otimes y) = d(x)d(y)$, $d(0(a)) = a$, $d(-x) = d(x)$;
- $d(x + y) = d(x)$ whenever $d(x) = d(y)$;
- $(x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)$, $1 \otimes x = x = x \otimes 1$;
- $x + 0(d(x)) = x$, $x + (-x) = 0(d(x))$;
- $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ whenever $d(x) = d(y) = d(z)$;
- $x + y = y + x$ whenever $d(x) = d(y)$;
- $(x + y) \otimes z = x \otimes z + y \otimes z$ and $z \otimes (x + y) = z \otimes x + z \otimes y$ whenever $d(x) = d(y)$.

1 A categorical view of algebras

2 4 examples

3 Relative algebraic theories

4 Birkhoff's variety theorem

5 Filtered colimit elimination

Relative algebraic theories

Informal definition [Kaw23a]

\mathcal{A} : a (locally presentable) category

An \mathcal{A} -relative algebraic theory consists of:

- a set Ω of *partial operators*;
- a set E of *implications* $\dots (\underbrace{\text{YYY}}_{\text{postcondition}} \text{ whenever } \underbrace{\text{XXX}}_{\text{precondition}})$

such that

- For each operator $\omega \in \Omega$, its domain must be defined by “ \mathcal{A} ’s language.”
- For each implication in E , its precondition must be written in “ \mathcal{A} ’s language.”

Example

- small categories \rightsquigarrow a **Quiv**-relative algebraic theory
- UDO semirings \rightsquigarrow a **Pos**-relative algebraic theory
- partial abelian groups \rightsquigarrow an **RSRel**-relative algebraic theory
- monoid-graded rings \rightsquigarrow an **MGSet**-relative algebraic theory

A generalized Linton's theorem

Theorem ([Kaw23a])

There is an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Th}^{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbf{Mnd}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Here,

$\mathbf{Th}^{\mathcal{A}}$: the category of \mathcal{A} -relative algebraic theories,

$\mathbf{Mnd}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{A})$: the category of finitary monads on \mathcal{A} .

↑ generalize

Recall (Linton's theorem)

$$\mathbf{Th}^S \simeq \mathbf{Mnd}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{Set}^S).$$

S -sorted algebraic theory = \mathbf{Set}^S -relative algebraic theory

The free-forgetful adjunction

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Alg}(\Omega, E) \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ F \left(\dashv \right) U \\ \downarrow \end{array} \\ \mathbf{Set}^S \end{array}$$

(Ω, E) : an S -sorted algebraic theory

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Alg}(\Omega, E) \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ F \left(\dashv \right) U \\ \downarrow \end{array} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

(Ω, E) : an \mathcal{A} -relative algebraic theory

1 A categorical view of algebras

2 4 examples

3 Relative algebraic theories

4 Birkhoff's variety theorem

5 Filtered colimit elimination

Equational classes

Definition

(Ω, E) : a single-sorted algebraic theory. A full subcategory $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$ is **definable (by equations)** if $\mathcal{E} = \text{Alg}(\Omega, E + \exists E')$, i.e., \mathcal{E} can be defined by adding equations.

Example

$\{\text{commutative monoids}\} \subseteq \mathbf{Mon}$ is definable by the equation $xy = yx$.

Example

$\{\text{invertible monoids}\} \subseteq \mathbf{Mon}$ is **not** definable by equations.
not definable by equations.

How can we prove this?

Birkhoff's variety theorem

Birkhoff's variety theorem [Bir35]

(Ω, E) : a single-sorted algebraic theory. $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$: fullsub.

TFAE:

- 1 $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$ is definable by equations.
- 2 $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$ is closed under *products*, *subobjects*, and *quotients*.

closed under products: $A_i \in \mathcal{E} \implies \prod_i A_i \in \mathcal{E}$.

closed under subobjects: $B \subseteq A$: sub, $A \in \mathcal{E} \implies B \in \mathcal{E}$.

closed under quotients: $A \twoheadrightarrow B$: surj, $A \in \mathcal{E} \implies B \in \mathcal{E}$.

Corollary

$\{\text{invertible monoids}\} \subseteq \mathbf{Mon}$ is not definable by equations.

Proof.

There is a submonoid $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$. The additive monoid \mathbb{N} is not invertible even though \mathbb{Z} is invertible. Thus, $\{\text{invertible monoids}\} \subseteq \mathbf{Mon}$ is not closed under subobjects. □

A generalized Birkhoff's theorem

Theorem ([Kaw23a])

(Ω, E) : an \mathcal{A} -relative algebraic theory. $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$: fullsub.

TFAE:

- 1 $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$ is definable.
- 2 $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$ is closed under *products*, *closed subobjects*, *U -local retracts*, and *filtered colimits*.

single-sorted alg. (Set-relative alg.)		\mathcal{A} -relative alg.
products	\rightsquigarrow	products
subobjects	\rightsquigarrow	<i>closed subobjects</i>
quotients	\rightsquigarrow	<i>U-local retracts</i>
	\rightsquigarrow	<i>filtered colimits</i> (new)

The filtered colimit elimination problem

Question

Why can the closure property under filtered colimits be eliminated in the case of **Set**-relative algebras?

Answer

The category **Set** satisfies a “noetherian” condition.

- 1 A categorical view of algebras
- 2 4 examples
- 3 Relative algebraic theories
- 4 Birkhoff's variety theorem
- 5 Filtered colimit elimination

A noetherian condition for categories

Definition ([Kaw23b])

A category \mathcal{A} satisfies the **ascending chain condition (ACC)** if it has no chain $A_0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \cdots$ of objects such that there is no morphism $A_n \leftarrow A_{n+1}$ for all n .

Definition

- Objects X and Y are **strongly connected** if there are morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$, $Y \rightarrow X$.
- An equivalence class under strong connectedness is called a **strongly connected component**.
- $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$: the large poset of all strongly connected components in a category \mathcal{A} .
(the *posetification* of \mathcal{A})

Proposition

A category \mathcal{A} satisfies ACC \Leftrightarrow the large poset $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies ACC.

Filtered colimit elimination

Theorem ([Kaw23b])

(Ω, E) : an \mathcal{A} -relative algebraic theory. $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$: fullsub.

Assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies ACC.

TFAE:

- ① $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$ is definable.
- ② $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\Omega, E)$ is closed under *products*, *closed subobjects*, and *U -local retracts*.

Example

$\sigma(\mathbf{Set}) = \{ \text{the empty set, the non-empty sets} \}$.

$\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{Set}$ satisfies ACC. $\rightsquigarrow \text{fil.colim.elim.}$ holds for single-sorted alg.

Further examples

Example

S : a set.

\mathbf{Set}^S satisfies ACC $\Leftrightarrow S$ is finite.

\leadsto fil.colim.elim. holds for *finite-sorted algebras*.

\leadsto This generalizes a result in [ARV12].

Example

\mathbf{Pos} satisfies ACC.

\leadsto fil.colim.elim. holds for *ordered algebras*.

\leadsto This generalizes a result in [Blo76].

References

- [ARV12] J. Adámek, J. Rosický, and E. M. Vitale. “Birkhoff’s variety theorem in many sorts”. In: *Algebra Universalis* 68.1-2 (2012), pp. 39–42.
- [BH12] B. van den Berg and C. Heunen. “Noncommutativity as a colimit”. In: *Appl. Categ. Structures* 20.4 (2012), pp. 393–414.
- [Bir35] G. Birkhoff. “On the structure of abstract algebras”. In: *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 31.4 (1935), pp. 433–454.
- [Blo76] S. L. Bloom. “Varieties of ordered algebras”. In: *J. Comput. System Sci.* 13.2 (1976), pp. 200–212.
- [Gol03] J. S. Golan. *Semirings and Affine Equations over Them: Theory and Applications*. Vol. 556. Mathematics and its Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003, pp. xiv+241.
- [Kaw23a] Y. Kawase. *Birkhoff’s variety theorem for relative algebraic theories*. 2023. arXiv: 2304.04382.
- [Kaw23b] Y. Kawase. *Filtered colimit elimination from Birkhoff’s variety theorem*. 2023. arXiv: 2309.05304.
- [Lin69] F. E. J. Linton. “An outline of functorial semantics”. In: *Sem. on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory (ETH, Zürich, 1966/67)*. Springer, Berlin, 1969, pp. 7–52.

l.f.p. category	Objects	Number of srg.conn. components	satisfaction of ACC
Set	sets	2	true
Pos	posets	2	true
Mon	monoids	1	true
Grp	groups	1	true
Ab	abelian groups	1	true
SGrp	semigroups	infinity	false
Ring	rings	infinity	false
SLat	(join-)semilattices	2	true
SLat₀	bounded (join-)semilattices	1	true
Lat	lattices	2	true
Lat_{0,1}	bounded lattices	infinity	false
Setⁿ	<i>n</i> -sorted sets	2 ^{<i>n</i>}	true
Set^S	<i>S</i> -sorted sets (<i>S</i> : an infinite set)	infinity	false
Set_*	pointed sets	1	true
<i>n</i>/Set	sets with <i>n</i> constants	the <i>n</i> -th Bell number	true
<i>S</i>/Set	sets with <i>S</i> -indexed constants (<i>S</i> : an infinite set)	infinity	false
End	sets with an endomorphism	infinity	false
Idem	sets with an idempotent endomorphism	2	true
Aut	sets with an automorphisms	infinity	false

l.f.p. category	Objects	Number of srg.conn. components	satisfaction of ACC
Quiv	quivers (or directed graphs)	infinity	false
RQuiv	reflexive quivers	2	true
Cat	small categories	2	true
Set[→]	maps	3	true
Cospan	cospans of sets	6	true
Set^{ω^{op}}	ω^{op} -chains of sets	infinity	false
Set^{ω}	ω -chains of sets	infinity	true
Set^{Δ^{op}}	simplicial sets	2	true
Set^{G^{op}}	globular sets	infinity	false
Nom	nominal sets	infinity	false
URel	sets with an unary relation	3	true
BRel	sets with a binary relation	infinity	false
SRel	sets with a symmetric relation	infinity	false
RSRel	sets with a reflexive symmetric relation	2	true
PER	sets with a symmetric transitive relation	3	true
PreOrd	preordered sets	2	true
ERel	sets with an equivalence relation	2	true