

DOUBLE CATEGORIES OF PROFUNCTORS

YUTO KAWASE

ABSTRACT. We characterize virtual double categories of enriched categories, functors, and profunctors by introducing a new notion of double-categorical colimits. Our characterization is strict in the sense that it is up to equivalence between virtual double categories and, at the level of objects, up to isomorphism of enriched categories. Throughout the paper, we treat enrichment in a unital virtual double category rather than in a bicategory or a monoidal category, and, for consistency and better visualization of pasting diagrams, we adopt augmented virtual double categories as a fundamental language for double-categorical concepts.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Augmented virtual double categories	3
2.2. Categories enriched in a virtual double category	18
3. Colimits in augmented virtual double categories	23
3.1. Cocones, modules, and modulations	23
3.2. Versatile colimits	31
3.3. The case of loosewise indiscrete shapes	36
4. Axiomatization of double categories of profunctors	42
4.1. The formal construction of enriched categories	42
4.2. Density	46
4.3. Characterization theorems	47
4.4. Closedness under slicing	51
Appendix A. Final functors	52
Appendix B. Density in the case of virtual equipments	60
References	61

1. INTRODUCTION

One key aspect of formal category theory is the study of profunctors. Their behavior has classically been studied through *proarrow equipments* [Woo82; Woo85], as introduced by Wood. However, recently, (*augmented*) *virtual double categories* have begun to be used instead with the expectation that they are a better refinement of proarrow equipments [Kou24; AM24a; AM24b; AM25]. Enriched category theory is a prototypical stage for applying formal category theory. For each monoidal category \mathcal{V} , we can obtain a virtual double category of \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctors, where we can do \mathcal{V} -enriched category theory. The main motivation of the paper is to characterize such virtual double categories of enriched profunctors.

Date: April 12, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18A30, 18N10.

Key words and phrases. enriched category, collage of profunctors, augmented virtual double category.

The author wishes to express his thanks to his supervisor, Prof. Masahito Hasegawa, for his support. The author is also grateful to Keisuke Hoshino for several valuable comments, and grateful to Hayato Nasu for providing the idea for the proof of the strongness theorem and for suggesting the term “versatile colimits.”

We will treat enrichment not only in a monoidal category but also in a bicategory [Wal82] or, moreover, in a virtual double category [Lei99; Lei02; Lei04]. Since it is more general and contains the other cases, we will focus on enrichment in a virtual double category. As well as the monoidally enriched case, for each virtual double category \mathbb{X} , we can obtain a new virtual double category $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ of profunctors enriched in \mathbb{X} .

In the paper, we give a characterization of virtual double categories equivalent to $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ for some virtual double category \mathbb{X} . Not only $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$, we also characterize virtual double categories $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ arising from other constructions on virtual double categories \mathbb{X} . The first one is known as the *module* construction [Lei99; Lei04; CS10], and the latter is known as the *matrix* construction in the bicategorical context. Since the profunctor construction can be decomposed into them as $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof} = \text{Mod}(\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat})$, those three constructions are strongly related to each other.

Our strategy for characterization is parallel to the characterization of cocompletions in ordinary category theory. Recall that, in ordinary category theory, cocompletions of a category under specific colimits are characterized by the following properties:

- It has all colimits in mind;
- Every object can be written as such a colimit of “atomic” objects.

For instance, when considering filtered colimits, the atomic objects are precisely finitely presentable objects, while for coproducts, the atomic objects are connected objects. If the base virtual double category \mathbb{X} is *unital*, it can be fully embedded into the virtual double category $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ (Theorem 2.69). Objects in \mathbb{X} are regarded as single-object \mathbb{X} -categories there. Then, every \mathbb{X} -category is constructed by pasting single-object \mathbb{X} -categories together and behaves like a “colimit” whose universal property extends in three directions in the virtual double category $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ (Theorem 4.2). This observation leads us to a new notion of double-categorical colimits called *versatile collages*, refining Street’s *collage* construction for profunctors. Then, $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ can be regarded as a cocompletion of the enriching base \mathbb{X} under such colimits, and we obtain a cocompletion-like characterization of $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ (Theorem 4.24). That is, $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ is determined by the following properties:

- It has all versatile collages;
- Every object can be written as a versatile collage of *collage-atomic* objects.

Our characterization theorem requires no further condition beyond the unitality on the enriching base. This indicates that our theorem is new even in the case of enrichment in a bicategory.

The same strategy works not only for the profunctor construction but also for the module and matrix constructions. The notion of colimits corresponding to the module construction is called *versatile collapses*, and the corresponding notion of colimits to the matrix construction is called *versatile coproducts*. These kinds of colimits are unified under a more general notion called *versatile colimits*, which is also a new notion of double-categorical colimits.

Remark 1.1. A central ingredient in the theory of versatile colimits is the notion of “cocones,” which should be defined as a family of 0-coary cells, i.e., cells whose bottom boundary is of length 0. However, virtual double categories cannot naturally deal with 0-coary cells unless they are unital. While our virtual double categories $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ and $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ are unital, unfortunately, virtual double categories $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ of matrices are not. To address this limitation, we adopt *augmented virtual double categories* as a framework for developing the general theory of versatile colimits. Furthermore, we will regard every virtual double category as an augmented virtual double category and will consistently use the language of augmented virtual double categories throughout the paper. Although this is an experimental approach, the author believes that it enhances the coherence of the paper and unifies our treatment of double-categorical concepts. ♦

Related works. Bicategories of profunctors enriched in a bicategory were characterized by some authors [Str04; CKW87]. Our characterization is a double-categorical refinement of Street’s but differs considerably from both of them with respect to “strictness.” In fact, our characterization is strict in the sense that it is up to equivalence between (augmented) virtual double categories and, at the level of objects, up to isomorphism of enriched categories. On the other hand, both of their characterizations are up to biequivalence between bicategories and thus, at the level of objects, up to Morita equivalence of enriched categories.

Outline. In Section 2, we first introduce basic concepts of augmented virtual double categories as the fundamental language of the paper. We next recall enrichment in a virtual double category from [Lei99; Lei02] and discuss its double-categorical aspects.

In Section 3, we develop the general theory of *versatile colimits*, which is a new concept of double-categorical colimits. We will show the *unitality theorem* (Theorem 3.27) and the *strongness theorem* (Theorem 3.33), which depict the behavior of versatile colimits. In particular, the latter will play a crucial role in our characterization theorem.

Section 4 is devoted to the characterization of virtual double categories of enriched profunctors (Theorem 4.24), of modules (Theorem 4.26), and of matrices (Theorem 4.25). These are the main theorems in the paper. We will also apply them to the slice virtual double categories.

We also explore the notion of *finality* with respect to versatile colimits (Appendix A), which brings us a natural insight, especially when we are in a virtual equipment (Appendix B). However, since we can reach the main theorems without finality, it is driven to the appendix.

Notation and terminology.

Remark 1.2. In this paper, we will use the terms “left” and “right” with respect to the direction of tight arrows in an augmented virtual double category. That is, given a tight arrow, we refer to its left side as “left” and its right side as “right.” Since tight arrows are often written in the downward direction, our convention is opposite to the natural visual perception of left and right when viewing diagrams. ♦

Remark 1.3. For clarity, let us declare the sizes of the categories we treat. We fix three Grothendieck universes $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathcal{U}_1 \in \mathcal{U}_2$. Elements in \mathcal{U}_0 are called **small**, elements in \mathcal{U}_1 are called **large**, elements in \mathcal{U}_2 are called **huge**. Arbitrary sets (not necessarily in \mathcal{U}_0 nor \mathcal{U}_1 nor \mathcal{U}_2) are called **classes**. However, we do not distinguish between small (resp. large; huge) sets and “essentially” small (resp. large; huge) sets, i.e., sets that are bijective to some small (resp. large; huge) set. ♦

Remark 1.4. In order to distinguish different categorical structures by their dimensional levels, we use a systematic notation using distinct font styles:

- 0-dimensional structures (such as sets) are written in roman font, e.g., X, Y, Z .
- 1-dimensional structures (such as ordinary categories and enriched categories) are written in bold font, e.g., $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$.
- 2-dimensional structures (such as 2-categories, bicategories, and monoidal categories) are written in script font, e.g., $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$.
- Double-dimensional structures (such as double categories) are written in blackboard font, e.g., $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Z}$. ♦

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Augmented virtual double categories.

2.1.1. The 2-category of augmented virtual double categories.

Definition 2.1 ([Kou20]). An *augmented virtual double category* (AVDC) \mathbb{L} consists of the following data:

- A class $\text{Ob}\mathbb{L}$, whose elements are called **objects** in \mathbb{L} . We write $A \in \mathbb{L}$ to mean $A \in \text{Ob}\mathbb{L}$.
- For $A, B \in \mathbb{L}$, a class $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\frac{A}{B})$, whose elements are called **tight arrows** from A to B in \mathbb{L} . The objects and the tight arrows are supposed to form a category \mathbf{TL} , which is called the **tight category** of \mathbb{L} . We write id_A for the identity on an object $A \in \mathbb{L}$. The composite of $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ in \mathbf{TL} is denoted by $f \circ g$. Tight arrows are often written vertically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & A & \\ f \downarrow & \parallel_{\text{id}_A} & \text{in } \mathbb{L} \\ B & A & \end{array}$$

- For $A, B \in \mathbb{L}$, a class $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(A, B)$, whose elements are called **loose arrows** from A to B in \mathbb{L} . A loose arrow is denoted by \dashrightarrow and is often written horizontally. A path of loose arrows $A_0 \xrightarrow{u_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{u_2} \dots \xrightarrow{u_n} A_n$ is called a **loose path** of length n and is often denoted by a dashed arrow $A_0 \dashrightarrow A_n$. A loose path v of length 0 or 1 is denoted by a dotted arrow $A \dashrightarrow B$. Note that $A = B$ is required when the loose path v is of length 0.
- A class $\text{Cell}_{\mathbb{L}}(\frac{\vec{u}}{v} g)$, whose elements are called **cells**, for each “boundary” formed by loose arrows and tight arrows in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow & A_n \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ B & \dashrightarrow & C \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Cells where v is of length 1 (resp. 0) are called **1-coary** (resp. **0-coary**).

- Two kinds of special cells:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ \parallel & \parallel_u & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & & \\ f \left(\begin{array}{c} \dashrightarrow \\ \dashrightarrow \end{array} \right) f & & \\ B & & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

The cells \parallel_u on the left are called **loose identity cells**. The cells \dashrightarrow_f on the right are called **tight identity cells**.

- For cells $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta$ on the left below, a cell $\vec{\alpha} \circ \beta$ of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow & A_1 & \dashrightarrow & \dots & \dashrightarrow & A_n \\ f_0 \downarrow & \alpha_1 & \downarrow f_1 & \alpha_2 & & \alpha_n & \downarrow f_n \\ B_0 & \dashrightarrow & B_1 & \dashrightarrow & \dots & \dashrightarrow & B_n \\ g \downarrow & & & \beta & & & \downarrow h \\ C & \dashrightarrow & & & & & D \end{array} \mapsto \begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow & A_1 & \dashrightarrow & \dots & \dashrightarrow & A_n \\ f_0 \circ g \downarrow & & & \vec{\alpha} \circ \beta & & & \downarrow f_n \circ h \\ C & \dashrightarrow & & & & & D \end{array}$$

The composition defined by the assignments $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta) \mapsto \vec{\alpha} \circ \beta$ is required to satisfy a suitable associative law and a unit law with identity cells. See [Kou20] for more detail. \blacklozenge

Notation 2.2. Let $A_0 \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} A_n$ be a loose path of length n in an AVDC. We extend the notation for the loose identity cells as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} & A_n \\ \parallel & \parallel_{\vec{u}} & \parallel \\ A_0 & \dashrightarrow_{\vec{u}} & A_n \end{array} \quad (1)$$

When $n \geq 1$, the notation (1) means the path $(\parallel_{u_1}, \dots, \parallel_{u_n})$ of loose identity cells. When $n = 0$, the notation (1) means the tight identity cell id_{A_0} , where $A_0 = A_n$. \blacklozenge

Notation 2.3. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be cells in an AVDC of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_1} & A_1 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_2} & \dots & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_n} & A_n \\ f_0 \downarrow & \alpha_1 & \downarrow f_1 & \alpha_2 & & \alpha_n & \downarrow f_n \\ B_0 & \dashrightarrow_{v_1} & B_1 & \dashrightarrow_{v_2} & \dots & \dashrightarrow_{v_n} & B_n \end{array} \quad (2)$$

When the composite path \vec{v} of v_1, \dots, v_n is of length ≤ 1 , we use the same notation (2) for the composite of the following cells:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_1} & A_1 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_2} & \dots & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_n} & A_n \\ f_0 \downarrow & \alpha_1 & \downarrow f_1 & \alpha_2 & & \alpha_n & \downarrow f_n \\ B_0 & \dashrightarrow_{v_1} & B_1 & \dashrightarrow_{v_2} & \dots & \dashrightarrow_{v_n} & B_n \\ \parallel & & & \parallel & & & \parallel \\ B_0 & \dashrightarrow_{\vec{v}} & & & & & B_n \end{array}$$

For example, the following exhibits a cell given by the composition:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_1} & A_1 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_2} & A_2 \\ & \searrow \alpha_1 & \downarrow f_1 & \swarrow \alpha_2 & \downarrow f_3 \\ & f_0 & & \alpha_3 & \\ & & A_2 & \dashrightarrow_{v_3} & B_3 \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Note that the cell (3) coincides with another composite of the following cells.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_1} & A_1 & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}_2} & A_2 \\ & \searrow \alpha_1 & \downarrow f_1 & \swarrow \alpha_2 & \\ & f_0 & & & \\ & & A_2 & & \\ & \swarrow \alpha_3 & \searrow f_3 & & \\ & & A_2 & \dashrightarrow_{v_3} & B_3 \end{array}$$

Notation 2.4. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. We write \mathcal{TL} for the 2-category defined as follows: The underlying category is \mathbf{TL} ; 2-cells from f to g are cells whose top and bottom boundaries are of length 0 and whose left and right boundaries are f and g , respectively. The 2-category \mathcal{TL} is called the **tight 2-category** of \mathbb{L} . \blacklozenge

Example 2.5. The AVDC $\mathbb{R}el$ is defined as follows:

- An object is a (large) set.
- A tight arrow is a map.

- A loose arrow $X \multimap Y$ is a relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$.
- $\mathbb{R}el$ has at most one cell for every boundary. A 1-coary cell on the left below exists if and only if, for any $x_0 \in X_0, \dots, x_n \in X_n$, the conjunction of $(x_0, x_1) \in R_1, \dots, (x_{n-1}, x_n) \in R_n$ implies $(f(x_0), g(x_n)) \in S$. A 0-coary cell on the right below exists if and only if, for any $x_0 \in X_0, \dots, x_n \in X_n$, the conjunction of $(x_0, x_1) \in R_1, \dots, (x_{n-1}, x_n) \in R_n$ implies $f(x_0) = g(x_n)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{\vec{R}} & X_n \\
 f \downarrow & \cdot & \downarrow g \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{S} & Z
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{\vec{R}} & X_n \\
 \searrow f & \cdot & \swarrow g \\
 & Y &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}el
 \quad \blacklozenge$$

Definition 2.6 ([Kou20]). Let \mathbb{K} and \mathbb{L} be AVDCs. An *augmented virtual double (AVD)-functor* $\mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{L}$ consists of the following data:

- A functor $F: \mathbf{T}\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}\mathbb{L}$, which is also denoted by $\mathbf{T}F$.
- Assignments to loose arrows

$$A \xrightarrow{u} B \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K} \quad \mapsto \quad FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

In what follows, we extend the assignments from loose arrows to loose paths. Specifically, $F\vec{u} = F(u_1, \dots, u_n) := (Fu_1, \dots, Fu_n)$.

- Assignments to cells

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} & B \\
 f \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow g \\
 X & \xrightarrow{v} & Y
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K} \quad \mapsto \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FB \\
 Ff \downarrow & F\alpha & \downarrow Fg \\
 FX & \xrightarrow{Fv} & FY
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

These are required to satisfy the following:

- For any composable cells

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_0 & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}_n} & A_n \\
 f_0 \downarrow & \alpha_1 & f_1 \downarrow & \alpha_2 & & \alpha_n & \downarrow f_n \\
 B_0 & \xrightarrow{v_1} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{v_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{v_n} & B_n \\
 g \downarrow & & \beta & & & & \downarrow h \\
 X & \xrightarrow{w} & & & & & Y
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A_0 & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}_n} & A_n \\
 f_0 \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow f_n \\
 B_0 & & & \vec{\alpha}_i \beta & & & B_n \\
 g \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow h \\
 X & \xrightarrow{w} & & & & & Y
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K},$$

the equality $F\vec{\alpha}_i F\beta = F(\vec{\alpha}_i \beta)$ holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}_n} & FA_n \\
 Ff_0 \downarrow & F\alpha_1 & Ff_1 \downarrow & F\alpha_2 & & F\alpha_n & \downarrow Ff_n \\
 FB_0 & \xrightarrow{Fv_1} & FB_1 & \xrightarrow{Fv_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{Fv_n} & FB_n \\
 Fg \downarrow & & F\beta & & & & \downarrow Fh \\
 FX & \xrightarrow{Fw} & & & & & FY
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}_n} & FA_n \\
 Ff_0 \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow Ff_n \\
 FB_0 & & & F(\vec{\alpha}_i \beta) & & & FB_n \\
 Fg \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow Fh \\
 FX & \xrightarrow{Fw} & & & & & FY
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

- For any $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} , the equality $F\|_u = \|_{Fu}$ holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \xrightarrow{u} B & \xrightarrow{FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB} & FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB \\ \parallel \quad \|_u \quad \parallel & \mapsto & \parallel \quad F\|_u \quad \parallel \\ A \xrightarrow{u} B & \xrightarrow{FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB} & FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB & & FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB \\ \parallel \quad \|_{Fu} \quad \parallel & & \parallel \\ FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB & & FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB \end{array}$$

- For any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} , the equality $F\equiv_f = \equiv_{Ff}$ holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & FA \\ f \left(\equiv_f \right) f & \mapsto & Ff \left(\equiv_{Ff} \right) Ff \\ B & & FB \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & & FA \\ Ff \left(\equiv_{Ff} \right) Ff & & Ff \left(\equiv_{Ff} \right) Ff \\ FB & & FB \end{array}$$

◆

Definition 2.7 ([Kou20]). Let $F, G: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be AVD-functors between AVDCs. A **tight AVD-transformation** $F \xRightarrow{\rho} G$ consists of:

- for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a tight arrow $\begin{array}{c} FA \\ \rho_A \downarrow \\ GA \end{array}$ in \mathbb{L} ;
- for each $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} , a cell $\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB \\ \rho_A \downarrow & \rho_u & \downarrow \rho_B \\ GA & \xrightarrow{Gu} & GB \end{array}$ in \mathbb{L}

satisfying the following:

- ρ yields a natural transformation $\mathbf{TK} \xrightleftharpoons[\rho]{F} \mathbf{TL}$, i.e., for any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & FA & \\ \rho_A \swarrow & & \searrow Ff \\ GA & = & FB \\ Gf \searrow & & \swarrow \rho_B \\ & GB & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For any 1-coary cell

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{u_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{u_n} & A_n \\ f \downarrow & & & \alpha & & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & & & Y \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K},$$

the following equality holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{Fu_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{Fu_n} & FA_n \\ \rho_{A_0} \downarrow & \rho_{u_1} & \rho_{A_1} \downarrow & \rho_{u_2} & & \rho_{u_n} & \downarrow \rho_{A_n} \\ GA_0 & \xrightarrow{Gu_1} & GA_1 & \xrightarrow{Gu_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{Gu_n} & GA_n \\ Gf \downarrow & & & G\alpha & & & \downarrow Gg \\ GX & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & & & GY \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccccccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{Fu_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{Fu_n} & FA_n \\ Ff \downarrow & & & F\alpha & & & \downarrow Fg \\ FX & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & & & FY \\ \rho_X \downarrow & & & \rho_v & & & \downarrow \rho_Y \\ GX & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & & & GY \end{array}$$

- For any 0-coary cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{u_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{u_n} & A_n \\ & \searrow f \quad \swarrow g & \\ & X & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K},$$

the following equality holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{Fu_n} & FA_n \\ \rho_{A_0} \downarrow & \rho_{u_1} & \rho_{u_n} \downarrow \rho_{A_n} \\ GA_0 & \xrightarrow{Gu_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{Gu_n} & GA_n \\ & \searrow Gf \quad \swarrow Gg & \\ & GX & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{Fu_n} & FA_n \\ & \searrow Ff \quad \swarrow Fg & \\ & FX & \\ \rho_X \left(= \right) \rho_X & & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & GX & \end{array}$$

◆

Notation 2.8. The huge AVDCs, AVD-functors, and tight AVD-transformations form a 2-category [Kou20], which is denoted by \mathcal{AVDC} . ◆

Definition 2.9. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. A **full sub-AVDC** of \mathbb{L} is an AVDC whose class of objects is a subclass of $\text{Ob } \mathbb{L}$ and whose “local” classes of tight arrows, loose arrows, and cells are identical to those of \mathbb{L} . Additionally, all compositions and identities in the full sub-AVDC are required to be inherited directly from \mathbb{L} . ◆

The following is convenient to treat virtual-double-categorical concepts in the augmented-virtual-double-categorical setting.

Definition 2.10. An AVDC is called **diminished** if all 0-coary cells are tight identity cells, that is, $=_f$ for some tight arrow f . ◆

Notation 2.11. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. We write \mathbb{L}^b for the diminished AVDC obtained by removing all 0-coary cells, except for tight identity cells, from \mathbb{L} . ◆

Remark 2.12. A diminished AVDC is the essentially same concept as a **virtual double category (VDC)** [CS10], which is also called **fc-multicategories** [Lei99; Lei02; Lei04] and is originally introduced in [Bur71]. Indeed, the AVD-functors between diminished AVDCs correspond to the **virtual double (VD)-functors** between VDCs. ◆

2.1.2. Equivalences in the 2-category \mathcal{AVDC} .

Notation 2.13. For an AVDC \mathbb{L} , let $\mathbf{T}^{\leq 1}\mathbb{L}$ denote a category defined as follows:

- An object is a loose path $A^0 \cdots \xrightarrow{A} \cdots \xrightarrow{A} A^1$ in \mathbb{L} of length ≤ 1 .
- A morphism from $A^0 \xrightarrow{A} A^1$ to $B^0 \xrightarrow{B} B^1$ is a tuple $(\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \alpha)$ of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^0 & \cdots \xrightarrow{A} \cdots \xrightarrow{A} & A^1 \\ \alpha^0 \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow \alpha^1 \\ B^0 & \cdots \xrightarrow{B} \cdots \xrightarrow{B} & B^1 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

We write $\mathbf{T}^1\mathbb{L}$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{T}^{\leq 1}\mathbb{L}$ consisting of paths of length 1, i.e., loose arrows. ◆

Definition 2.14 (Loosewise invertible cells). Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. Isomorphisms in the category $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{L}$ are called **invertible tight arrows**. Two objects in \mathbb{L} are called **tightwise isomorphic**

if there is an invertible tight arrow between them. Isomorphisms in the category $\mathbf{T}^{\leq 1}\mathbb{L}$ are called **loosewise invertible cells** and are often denoted by the symbol “ \cong ” as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdots \dashrightarrow & \cdot \\ f \downarrow & \parallel & \downarrow g \\ \cdot & \cdots \dashrightarrow & \cdot \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

For a loosewise invertible cell of the above form, the tight arrows f and g automatically become invertible. \blacklozenge

Theorem 2.15 ([Kou20, 3.8. Proposition]). An AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is a part of an equivalence in the 2-category \mathcal{AVDC} if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

- The assignments $\alpha \mapsto F\alpha$ induce bijections $\text{Cell}_{\mathbb{K}}\left(f \begin{smallmatrix} \vec{u} \\ v \end{smallmatrix} g\right) \cong \text{Cell}_{\mathbb{L}}\left(Ff \begin{smallmatrix} F\vec{u} \\ Fv \end{smallmatrix} Fg\right)$;
- The assignments $f \mapsto Ff$ induce bijections $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A \\ B \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} FA \\ FB \end{smallmatrix}\right)$;
- We can simultaneously make the following choices:
 - for each $A \in \mathbb{L}$, an object $A' \in \mathbb{K}$ and an invertible tight arrow $FA' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_A} A$ in \mathbb{L} ;
 - for each $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{L} , a loose arrow $A' \xrightarrow{u'} B'$ in \mathbb{K} and a loosewise invertible cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA' & \xrightarrow{Fu'} & FB' \\ \varepsilon_A \downarrow & \parallel & \downarrow \varepsilon_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

2.1.3. Cartesian cells.

Definition 2.16 (Cartesian cells). A cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^0 & \cdots \dashrightarrow & X^1 \\ \alpha^0 \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow \alpha^1 \\ Y^0 & \cdots \dashrightarrow & Y^1 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

in an AVDC is called **cartesian** if it satisfies the following condition: Suppose that we are given a loose path $A \dashrightarrow B$, tight arrows $A \xrightarrow{f} X^0$ and $B \xrightarrow{g} X^1$, and a cell β on the right below; then there uniquely exists a cell γ satisfying the following equation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \dashrightarrow & B \\ f \downarrow & \gamma & \downarrow g \\ X^0 & \cdots \dashrightarrow & X^1 \\ \alpha^0 \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow \alpha^1 \\ Y^0 & \cdots \dashrightarrow & Y^1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} A & \dashrightarrow & B \\ f \downarrow & \beta & \downarrow g \\ X^0 & \cdots \dashrightarrow & X^1 \\ \alpha^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^1 \\ Y^0 & \cdots \dashrightarrow & Y^1 \end{array}$$

We will use a symbol “**cart**” to represent a cartesian cell:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdots \dashrightarrow & \cdot \\ \downarrow & \text{cart} & \downarrow \\ \cdot & \cdots \dashrightarrow & \cdot \end{array} \quad \blacklozenge$$

Proposition 2.17. Let α be a cell of the form (4) in an AVDC, and suppose that α^0 and α^1 are invertible. Then, the cell α is cartesian if and only if it is loosewise invertible. In particular, every loosewise invertible cell is cartesian.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Definition 2.18 (Restrictions). Suppose that we are given a cartesian cell in an AVDC of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{p} & \cdot \\ f \downarrow & \text{cart} & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{u} & Y \end{array}$$

- (i) Since the loose arrow p is unique up to loosewise invertible cell, we call p the **restriction** of u along f and g and write $u(f, g)$ for it. When u is of length 0 (hence $X = Y$), we also write $X(f, g)$ for p . To emphasize that u is of length 1 (resp. 0), we sometimes call $u(f, g)$ the **1-coary restriction** (resp. **0-coary restriction**).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{u(f, g)} & \cdot \\ f \downarrow & \text{cart} & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{u} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{X(f, g)} & \cdot \\ & \text{cart} & \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow g \\ & X & \end{array}$$

- (ii) When $g = \text{id}$ and u is of length 0, we call p the **companion** of f and write f_* for it. When $f = \text{id}$ and u is of length 0, we call p the **conjoint** of g and write g^* for it. We write f_{\dagger} and g^{\dagger} for the associated cartesian cells as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{f_*} & X \\ f \searrow & f_{\dagger} & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array} : \text{cart} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g^*} & \cdot \\ & g^{\dagger} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ & X & \end{array} : \text{cart}$$

- (iii) When $f = g = \text{id}$ and u is of length 0, we call p the **loose unit** on X and write U_X for it. Note that the associated cartesian cell is loosewise invertible automatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{U_X} & X \\ & \parallel & \\ & X & \end{array} : \text{cart}$$

◆

Definition 2.19. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. We say \mathbb{L} **has restrictions** (resp. **1-coary restrictions**) if the restriction $u(f, g)$ exists for any f, g , and u of length ≤ 1 (resp. length 1). We say \mathbb{L} **has companions** (resp. **conjoins**) if the companion f_* (resp. conjoint f^*) exists for any f . We say \mathbb{L} **has loose units** if the loose unit U_X exists for any X . We refer to such an \mathbb{L} as an AVDC with restrictions, companions, etc. ◆

Proposition 2.20 ([Kou20, 5.4. Lemma]). Let $A \xrightarrow{f} X$ be a tight arrow in an AVDC. Then, the following data correspond bijectively to each other:

- (i) A pair (p, ε) of a loose arrow $A \xrightarrow{p} X$ and a cartesian cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ f \searrow & \varepsilon & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array} : \text{cart},$$

which gives a companion of f .

- (ii) A tuple (p, η, ε) of a loose arrow $A \xrightarrow{p} X$ and cells η, ε satisfying the following equations:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \parallel \eta \parallel \\ A \xrightarrow{p} X \\ \parallel \varepsilon \parallel \\ X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow f \\ X \end{array} = f \left(\begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow (=) f \\ X \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{array}{c} A \xrightarrow{p} X \\ \parallel \eta \parallel \\ A \xrightarrow{p} X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} A \xrightarrow{p} X \\ \downarrow f \\ X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} A \xrightarrow{p} X \\ \parallel \varepsilon \parallel \\ A \xrightarrow{p} X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} A \xrightarrow{p} X \\ \parallel \parallel \\ A \xrightarrow{p} X \end{array}$$

Corollary 2.21 ([Kou20, 5.5. Corollary]). Companions, conjoints, and loose units are preserved by any AVD-functor.

Remark 2.22. An AVDC with loose units, called a **unital AVDC** in [Kou20], can be identified with a **unital VDC**, i.e., VDC with “units” in the sense of [CS10]. When we regard AVDCs with loose units as unital VDCs, the AVD-functors between them correspond to the **normal** VD-functors [CS10]. Indeed, there is a 2-equivalence [Kou20, 10.1. Theorem]:

$$\mathcal{U}AVDC \simeq \mathcal{UVDC}_n. \quad (5)$$

Here, $\mathcal{U}AVDC$ denotes the 2-category of (huge) unital AVDCs and AVD-functors, and \mathcal{UVDC}_n denotes the 2-category of (huge) unital VDCs and normal VD-functors.

An AVDC with 1-coary restrictions is called an **augmented virtual equipment**, and AVDC with restrictions is called a **unital virtual equipment** in [Kou20]. The latter can be identified with a **virtual equipment** [CS10] by the 2-equivalence (5). \blacklozenge

Remark 2.23. We now have two ways to regard unital VDCs as AVDCs. The first one is to regard as diminished AVDCs, where the AVD-functors between them correspond to the VD-functors. The second one is to regard as AVDCs with loose units, where the AVD-functors between them correspond to the normal VD-functors. Depending on which types of VD-functors are considered, we will use both ways. \blacklozenge

2.1.4. Cocartesian cells.

Definition 2.24 (Cocartesian cells). A cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} & B \\ \parallel & \alpha & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{\vec{v}} & B \end{array}$$

in an AVDC is called **cocartesian** if the following assignment induces a bijection $\text{Cell}\left(f \begin{array}{c} \vec{p}\vec{u}\vec{q} \\ w \end{array} g\right) \cong \text{Cell}\left(f \begin{array}{c} \vec{p}\vec{u}\vec{q} \\ w \end{array} g\right)$ for any $f, g, \vec{p}, \vec{q}, w$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} A \xrightarrow{\vec{v}} B \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} \cdot \\ \downarrow f \quad \cdot \quad \downarrow g \\ \cdot \xrightarrow{w} \cdot \end{array} & \mapsto & \begin{array}{c} \cdot \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} A \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} B \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} \cdot \\ \parallel \parallel \parallel \alpha \parallel \parallel \\ \cdot \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} A \xrightarrow{\vec{v}} B \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} \cdot \\ \downarrow f \quad \cdot \quad \downarrow g \\ \cdot \xrightarrow{w} \cdot \end{array} \end{array}$$

The cell α is called **VD-cocartesian** if it induces the above bijection only for w of length 1. Cocartesian cells and VD-cocartesian cells are often denoted by the symbol “cocart” and

“VD.cocart,” respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \dashrightarrow & \cdot \\ \parallel & \text{cocart} & \parallel \\ \cdot & \dashrightarrow & \cdot \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \dashrightarrow & \cdot \\ \parallel & \text{VD.cocart} & \parallel \\ \cdot & \dashrightarrow & \cdot \end{array}$$

◆

Remark 2.25. We can also consider cocartesian cells with an arbitrary boundary rather than identity tight arrows. See [Kou20, Section 7] for details. ◆

Remark 2.26. The VD-cocartesian cells recover the concept of “cocartesian cells in VDCs” introduced in [CS10], where a different term “opcartesian” is used. Indeed, VD-cocartesian cells in a diminished AVDC are nothing but opcartesian cells, in the sense of [CS10], in the corresponding VDC. ◆

Definition 2.27. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC, and let $X \in \mathbb{L}$. A loose arrow u in a VD-cocartesian cell of the following form is called the *loose VD-unit* on X .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ X & \xrightarrow[u]{} & X \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (6)$$

Note that the loose VD-unit on X is, if it exists, unique up to loosewise invertible cell. ◆

Remark 2.28. If the cell (6) is cocartesian rather than VD-cocartesian, the loose cell u in (6) becomes the loose unit on X . Indeed, every cocartesian cell of the form (6) is loosewise invertible. Thus, the loose VD-units are a weaker concept than the loose units. Clearly, loose VD-units in diminished AVDCs are the same concept as (loose) “units” in VDCs in the sense of [CS10]. ◆

Definition 2.29. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. An object $A \in \mathbb{L}$ is called *VD-composable* in \mathbb{L} if:

- For any loose arrows $\cdot \xrightarrow{u_1} A \xrightarrow{u_2} \cdot$ in \mathbb{L} , there exists a VD-cocartesian cell of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{u_1} A \xrightarrow{u_2} & \cdot \\ \parallel & \text{VD.cocart} & \parallel \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdot \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}; \quad (7)$$

- A has the loose VD-unit. That is, there is a VD-cocartesian cell of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}. \quad (8)$$

◆

Notation 2.30. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. Then, all of the VD-composable objects yield a bicategory $\mathcal{L}\mathbb{L}$, called the *loose bicategory* of \mathbb{L} , where 1-cells are loose arrows and compositions and identities are defined by the VD-cocartesian cells (7) and (8). ◆

Remark 2.31. A diminished AVDC where all objects are VD-composable is the essentially same concept as a *pseudo double category*. See [CS10, 5.2. Theorem] or [DPP06, 2.8. Proposition] for details. ◆

Notation 2.32. Given a bicategory \mathcal{W} , we can obtain a diminished AVDC $\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W}$ as follows. The tight category $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W})$ is the discrete category of objects in \mathcal{W} . A loose arrow in $\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W}$ is a 1-cell in \mathcal{W} . A cell from \vec{f} to g in $\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W}$ is a 2-cell from $\odot \vec{f}$ to g in \mathcal{W} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{\vec{f}} & c' \\ \parallel & \alpha & \parallel \\ c & \xrightarrow{g} & c' \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{V}\mathcal{W} \quad \parallel \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \odot \vec{f} & \\ c & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c' \\ & \Downarrow \alpha & \\ & g & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{W}$$

Here, $\odot \vec{f}$ denotes the composite of \vec{f} in \mathcal{W} . ◆

Theorem 2.33. For bicategories \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' , the lax-functors $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$ are the same as the AVD-functors $\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\mathcal{W}'$. Moreover, the pseudo-functors $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$ are the same as the AVD-functors that preserve all VD-cocartesian cells.

Proof. See [CS10, 3.5. Example]. □

2.1.5. *The module construction.* We recall the $\mathbb{M}\text{od}$ -construction from [Lei99; Lei04; CS10], which is a construction of a VDC “ $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ ” from a VDC \mathbb{X} . Since the resulting VDCs are always unital and normal VD-functors between them are often considered, we redefine “ $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ ” as an AVDC with loose units. Such a redefinition is also considered in [Kou20].

Definition 2.34 ([Lei99; Lei04; CS10; Kou20]). Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. The AVDC $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ is defined as follows:

- An object is a **monoid**, which consists of the following data $A := (A^0, A^1, A^e, A^m)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A^0 & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ A^0 & \xrightarrow[A^1]{} & A^0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A^0 & \xrightarrow[A^1]{} & A^0 \\ \parallel & A^m & \parallel \\ A^0 & \xrightarrow[A^1]{} & A^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}.$$

The data (A^0, A^1, A^e, A^m) are required to satisfy a monoid-like axiom. The cells A^e and A^m are called the **unit** and the **multiplication** of the monoid A , respectively.

- A tight arrow $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is called a **monoid homomorphism**. It consists of the following data (f^0, f^1) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^0 & \xrightarrow[A^1]{} & A^0 \\ f^0 \downarrow & f^1 & \downarrow f^0 \\ B^0 & \xrightarrow[B^1]{} & B^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}$$

that is required to be compatible with units and multiplications.

- A loose arrow $A \xrightarrow{M} B$ is called a **(bi)module**. It consists of the following data (M^1, M^l, M^r) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^0 & \xrightarrow[A^1]{} & A^0 \\ \parallel & M^l & \parallel \\ A^0 & \xrightarrow[M^1]{} & B^0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A^0 & \xrightarrow[M^1]{} & B^0 \\ \parallel & M^r & \parallel \\ A^0 & \xrightarrow[M^1]{} & B^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}$$

that is required to satisfy a module-like axiom.

- A 1-coary cell α in $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ on the left below is a cell in \mathbb{X} on the right below

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_0 \xrightarrow{\bar{M}} A_n & & A_0^0 \xrightarrow{M_1^1} \dots \xrightarrow{M_n^1} A_n^0 \\
f \downarrow \quad \alpha \quad \downarrow g & \text{in } \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X}) & f^0 \downarrow \quad \alpha \quad \downarrow g^0 \text{ in } \mathbb{X} \\
B \xrightarrow{N} C & & B^0 \xrightarrow{N^1} C^0
\end{array}$$

such that, for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, two canonical ways to fill the following boundary give the same cell in \mathbb{X} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_0^0 \xrightarrow{(M_j^1)_{0 < j \leq i}} A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_i^1} A_i^0 \xrightarrow{(M_j^1)_{i < j \leq n}} A_n^0 & & \\
f^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow g^0 \\
B^0 \xrightarrow{N^1} C^0 & & \text{in } \mathbb{X}.
\end{array}$$

- A 0-coary cell β in $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ on the left below is a cell in \mathbb{X} on the right below

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_0 \xrightarrow{\bar{M}} A_n & & A_0^0 \xrightarrow{M_1^1} \dots \xrightarrow{M_n^1} A_n^0 \\
f \searrow \beta \swarrow g & \text{in } \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X}) & f^0 \downarrow \quad \beta \quad \downarrow g^0 \text{ in } \mathbb{X} \\
B & & B^0 \xrightarrow{B^1} B^0
\end{array}$$

such that, for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, two canonical ways to fill the following boundary give the same cell in \mathbb{X} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_0^0 \xrightarrow{(M_j^1)_{0 < j \leq i}} A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_i^1} A_i^0 \xrightarrow{(M_j^1)_{i < j \leq n}} A_n^0 & & \\
f^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow g^0 \\
B^0 \xrightarrow{B^1} B^0 & & \text{in } \mathbb{X}.
\end{array}$$

◆

Remark 2.35. In the construction of $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$, no 0-coary cell in \mathbb{X} is used except for identities. In particular, we have $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X}^b)$. ◆

Theorem 2.36 ([CS10]). Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC with loose units and let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. Then, the following data correspond to each other up to isomorphism:

- (i) An AVD-functor $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$.
- (ii) An AVD-functor $\mathbb{L}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. An AVD-functor $\mathbb{L}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is nothing but a VD-functor $\mathbb{L}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^b$. By the universal property of the $\mathbb{M}\text{od}$ -construction [CS10, 5.14. Proposition], it corresponds to a normal VD-functor $\mathbb{L}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X}^b)^b$ in the sense of [CS10]. Since $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X}^b) = \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ and since both \mathbb{L} and $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ have loose units, it also corresponds to an AVD-functor $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$. □

Remark 2.37. We now give an explicit description of the above construction. Let $F: \mathbb{L}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be an AVD-functor in the situation of Theorem 2.36. Consider loose units U_L on objects $L \in \mathbb{L}$. Then, U_L is no longer loose unit in the diminished AVDC \mathbb{L}^b , but it is a monoid in \mathbb{L}^b . Thus, FU_L is still a monoid in \mathbb{X} , to which the corresponding AVD-functor $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ sends each object $L \in \mathbb{L}$.

Furthermore, if loose units are chosen for each object in \mathbb{L} , the correspondence of Theorem 2.36 actually becomes a bijection, which gives a (strict) 2-adjunction. ◆

Notation 2.38. For an AVDC \mathbb{X} with loose units, we write $U: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ for the AVD-functor corresponding to the inclusion $\mathbb{X}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. This AVD-functor sends each object $c \in \mathbb{X}$ to the trivial monoid, denoted by U_c , which is induced by the loose unit U_c on c . \blacklozenge

Remark 2.39. It follows straightforwardly that U locally induces bijections on the classes of tight arrows, loose arrows, and cells. Thus, we can regard \mathbb{X} as a full sub-AVDC of $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ by the inclusion U . \blacklozenge

Proposition 2.40 ([CS10]). Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC.

- (i) $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ has loose units.
- (ii) If \mathbb{X} has 1-coary restrictions, then $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ has restrictions.

Proof.

- (i) By [CS10, 5.5. Proposition], the diminished AVDC $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})^b$ has loose VD-units. Those units automatically become loose units in $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ since all 0-coary cells are inherited from them.
- (ii) By [CS10, 7.4. Proposition], 1-coary restrictions in \mathbb{X} give those in $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$. \square

2.1.6. Loosewise indiscreteness.

Definition 2.41. An AVDC \mathbb{K} is called *loosewise discrete* if:

- It has no loose arrows.
- It has no cells except for tight identity cells. \blacklozenge

Definition 2.42. An AVDC \mathbb{K} is called *loosewise AVD-indiscrete* if:

- For any objects $A, B \in \mathbb{K}$, there is a unique loose arrow from A to B , denoted by $A \xrightarrow{!AB} B$.
- For any boundary for cells, there is a unique cell filling it. \blacklozenge

Definition 2.43. An AVDC \mathbb{K} is called *loosewise VD-indiscrete* if:

- For any objects $A, B \in \mathbb{K}$, there is a unique loose arrow from A to B , denoted by $A \xrightarrow{!AB} B$.
- For any $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n, X, Y \in \mathbb{K}$ ($n \geq 0$) and any tight arrows $A_0 \xrightarrow{f} X, A_n \xrightarrow{g} Y$ in \mathbb{K} , there is a unique cell of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{!A_0A_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{!A_1A_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{!A_{n-1}A_n} & A_n \\ f \downarrow & & & & ! & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & & & & & Y \\ & & & & !_{XY} & & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K}.$$

- \mathbb{K} is diminished. \blacklozenge

Notation 2.44. Let \mathbf{C} be a category. Let $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{C}$ (resp. $\mathbb{I}\mathbf{C}; \mathbb{I}^b\mathbf{C}$) denote a loosewise discrete (resp. AVD-indiscrete; VD-indiscrete) AVDC uniquely determined by $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}$ (resp. $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{I}\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}; \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{I}^b\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}$). Then, $\mathbb{I}^b\mathbf{C} = (\mathbb{I}\mathbf{C})^b$ follows immediately. Note that every loosewise discrete (resp. AVD-indiscrete; VD-indiscrete) AVDC is of the form $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{C}$ (resp. $\mathbb{I}\mathbf{C}; \mathbb{I}^b\mathbf{C}$) for some \mathbf{C} . \blacklozenge

Notation 2.45. For a large set S , we write $\mathbb{D}S$ (resp. $\mathbb{I}S; \mathbb{I}^bS$) for the loosewise discrete (resp. AVD-indiscrete; VD-indiscrete) large AVDC of Notation 2.44 obtained from the discrete category S . \blacklozenge

Remark 2.46. Let 1 denote the singleton, and let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC.

- (i) An AVD-functor $\mathbb{D}1 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is the same as an object in \mathbb{L} .

- (ii) An AVD-functor $\mathbb{I}1 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is the same as an object with a chosen loose unit in \mathbb{L} .
- (iii) An AVD-functor $\mathbb{I}^p1 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is the same as a monoid in \mathbb{L} . ◆

The following definition is useful for dealing with two types of “indiscreteness” simultaneously.

Definition 2.47. An AVDC \mathbb{K} is called *loosewise indiscrete* if:

- For any objects $A, B \in \mathbb{K}$, there is a unique loose arrow from A to B .
- For any boundary for 1-coary cells, there is a unique cell filling it.
- For any boundary for 0-coary cells, there is at most one cell filling it.

Note that either loosewise AVD-indiscreteness or loosewise VD-indiscreteness implies loosewise indiscreteness. ◆

Surprisingly, almost all cells in a loosewise indiscrete AVDC become cartesian for a diagrammatic reason. To show this, we introduce a special type of “absolutely” cartesian cells.

Definition 2.48. A cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{u} & A_1 \\ f_0 \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow f_1 \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{v} & B_1 \end{array}$$

in an AVDC is called *split* if there are data $(p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, \beta_0, \beta_1, \gamma, \delta_0, \delta_1, \sigma, \eta_0, \eta_1)$ of the following forms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & A_0 & A_1 & & A_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 \\ & \swarrow \beta_0 \downarrow f_0 & f_1 \downarrow \searrow \beta_1 & & \parallel \quad \gamma \quad \parallel \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 & B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 & & A_0 \xrightarrow{u} A_1 \\ & \delta_0 \parallel & \parallel \delta_1 \downarrow f_1 & & \parallel \\ f_0 \downarrow & & & & \parallel \quad \sigma \quad \parallel \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{q_0} B_0 & B_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} B_1 & & B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & & B_1 \\ \swarrow \eta_0 \searrow & & \swarrow \eta_1 \searrow \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{q_0} & B_0 \quad B_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} B_1 \end{array}$$

These are required to satisfy the following equations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A_0 \xrightarrow{u} A_1 & \\ & \swarrow \beta_0 \downarrow f_0 \quad \alpha \quad f_1 \downarrow \searrow \beta_1 & \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 & = A_0 \xrightarrow{u} A_1 \\ \parallel & \gamma & \parallel \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{u} A_1 & \\ & & A_0 \xrightarrow{u} A_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 & & A_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 \\ f_0 \downarrow \quad \delta_0 \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \delta_1 \downarrow f_1 & & \parallel \quad \gamma \quad \parallel \\ B_0 \xrightarrow{q_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} B_1 & = & A_0 \xrightarrow{u} A_1 \\ \parallel & & \parallel \quad \sigma \quad \parallel \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{v} B_1 & \parallel \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 & & A_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 \\ f_0 \downarrow \quad \delta_0 \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \delta_1 \downarrow f_1 & & \parallel \quad \gamma \quad \parallel \\ B_0 \xrightarrow{q_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} B_1 & = & A_0 \xrightarrow{u} A_1 \\ \parallel & & \parallel \quad \sigma \quad \parallel \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{v} B_1 & \parallel \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
& A_0 & \\
\swarrow \beta_0 & \downarrow f_0 & \\
A_0 & \xrightarrow[p_0]{+} & B_0 \\
\downarrow f_0 & \delta_0 & \parallel \\
B_0 & \xrightarrow[q_0]{+} & B_0
\end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
& A_0 & \\
f_0 \downarrow (=) f_0 & & \\
& B_0 & \\
\swarrow \eta_0 & & \searrow \eta_0 \\
B_0 & \xrightarrow[q_0]{+} & B_0
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
& A_1 & \\
f_1 \downarrow (=) f_1 & & \\
& B_1 & \\
\swarrow \eta_1 & & \searrow \eta_1 \\
B_1 & \xrightarrow[q_1]{+} & B_1
\end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
& A_1 & \\
f_1 \downarrow & \swarrow \beta_1 & \\
B_1 & \xrightarrow[p_1]{+} & A_1 \\
\parallel & \delta_1 & \downarrow f_1 \\
B_1 & \xrightarrow[q_1]{+} & B_1
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & \\
\swarrow \eta_0 & \parallel & \searrow \eta_1 \\
B_0 \xrightarrow[q_0]{+} B_0 & \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & \xrightarrow[q_1]{+} B_1 \\
\parallel & \sigma & \parallel \\
B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & & B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1
\end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
& B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & \\
\parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\
B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & & B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1
\end{array}$$

◆

Lemma 2.49. Every split cell is cartesian. In particular, every split cell is *absolutely cartesian*; that is, it is a cartesian cell preserved by any AVD-functor.

Proof. Let α be a split cell as in Definition 2.48. Take an arbitrary cell θ on the left below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 & & X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 \\
x_0 \downarrow & \downarrow x_1 & x_0 \downarrow \quad \bar{\theta} \quad \downarrow x_1 \\
A_0 & \theta & A_1 \\
f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\
B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & & B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1
\end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 & & X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 \\
x_0 \downarrow & \bar{\theta} & \downarrow x_1 \\
A_0 & \xrightarrow[u]{+} & A_1 \\
f_0 \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow f_1 \\
B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & & B_0 \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1
\end{array} \quad (9)$$

If there exists a cell $\bar{\theta}$ satisfying the above equation, then $\bar{\theta}$ must be given by the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 & & X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 \\
x_0 \downarrow & \bar{\theta} & \downarrow x_1 \\
A_0 & \xrightarrow[u]{+} & A_1 \\
\swarrow \beta_0 & \downarrow f_0 & \alpha & f_1 & \searrow \beta_1 \\
A_0 \xrightarrow[p_0]{+} B_0 & \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & \xrightarrow[p_1]{+} A_1 \\
\parallel & \gamma & \parallel \\
A_0 \xrightarrow[u]{+} A_1 & & A_0 \xrightarrow[u]{+} A_1
\end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 & & X_0 \xrightarrow[\vec{+}]{\vec{w}} X_1 \\
x_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow x_1 \\
A_0 & \theta & A_1 \\
\swarrow \beta_0 & \downarrow f_0 & f_1 & \searrow \beta_1 \\
A_0 \xrightarrow[p_0]{+} B_0 & \xrightarrow[v]{+} B_1 & \xrightarrow[p_1]{+} A_1 \\
\parallel & \gamma & \parallel \\
A_0 \xrightarrow[u]{+} A_1 & & A_0 \xrightarrow[u]{+} A_1
\end{array}$$

Conversely, let us define $\bar{\theta}$ by the above equation. Then, the following calculation shows that $\bar{\theta}$ satisfies the desired equation (9):

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
X_0 \xrightarrow{\vec{w}} X_1 \\
x_0 \downarrow \quad \downarrow x_1 \\
A_0 \quad \theta \quad A_1 \\
\beta_0 \swarrow \downarrow f_0 \quad \downarrow f_1 \swarrow \beta_1 \\
A_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 \\
\parallel \quad \quad \gamma \quad \parallel \\
A_0 \xrightarrow{u} A_1 \\
f_0 \downarrow \quad \quad \alpha \quad \downarrow f_1 \\
B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
X_0 \xrightarrow{\vec{w}} X_1 \\
x_0 \downarrow \quad \downarrow x_1 \\
A_0 \quad \theta \quad A_1 \\
\beta_0 \swarrow \downarrow f_0 \quad \downarrow f_1 \swarrow \beta_1 \\
A_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 \\
\parallel \quad \quad \delta_0 \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \delta_1 \quad \downarrow f_1 \\
B_0 \xrightarrow{q_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} B_1 \\
\parallel \quad \quad \sigma \quad \parallel \\
B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
X_0 \xrightarrow{\vec{w}} X_1 \\
x_0 \downarrow \quad \downarrow x_1 \\
A_0 \quad \theta \quad A_1 \\
f_0 \downarrow \quad \downarrow f_1 \\
B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \\
\eta_0 \swarrow \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \eta_1 \swarrow \\
B_0 \xrightarrow{q_0} B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} B_1 \\
\parallel \quad \quad \sigma \quad \parallel \\
B_0 \xrightarrow{v} B_1
\end{array}
= \theta.
\end{array}$$

This shows that α is cartesian. \square

Corollary 2.50. Let \mathbb{K} be a loosewise indiscrete AVDC. Then, every cell of the following form is absolutely cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{!_{AB}} & B \\
f \downarrow & !_{fg} & \downarrow g \\
X & \xrightarrow{!_{XY}} & Y
\end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K}.$$

Proof. By the loosewise indiscreteness, it immediately follows that the cell $!_{fg}$ is split. Then, Lemma 2.49 shows that it is absolutely cartesian. \square

2.2. Categories enriched in a virtual double category. In this subsection, we will recall the notion of enriched categories in a VDC from [Lei99; Lei02]. We first define the diminished AVDC of *matrices*, whose special case is described in [Lei04, Example 5.1.9].

Definition 2.51. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. By an \mathbb{X} -colored *large set*, we mean a large set A equipped with a map $A \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_A} \text{Ob}\mathbb{X}$. \blacklozenge

Definition 2.52. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. Let A and B be \mathbb{X} -colored large sets. A *morphism of families* F from A to B consists of:

- for $x \in A$, an element $F^0 x \in B$;

- for $x \in A$, a tight arrow $|x|_A \xrightarrow{F^1 x} |F^0 x|_B$ in \mathbb{X} . \blacklozenge

Definition 2.53. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. Let A and B be \mathbb{X} -colored large sets. An $(A \times B)$ -**matrix** M over \mathbb{X} is defined to be a family of loose arrows $|x|_A \xrightarrow{M(x,y)} |y|_B$ in \mathbb{X} for $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. \blacklozenge

Definition 2.54. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. The **AVDC of matrices over \mathbb{X}** , denoted by $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$, is defined as follows: it is diminished, its objects are \mathbb{X} -colored large sets, its tight arrows are morphisms of families, its loose arrows $A \longrightarrow B$ are $(A \times B)$ -matrices over \mathbb{X} , and a 1-coary cell of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{M_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{M_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{M_n} & A_n \\ F \downarrow & & & \alpha & & & \downarrow G \\ B & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & & N & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & & C \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$$

consists of a family of cells

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} |x_0|_{A_0} & \xrightarrow{M_1(x_0,x_1)} & |x_1|_{A_1} & \xrightarrow{M_2(x_1,x_2)} & \dots & \xrightarrow{M_n(x_{n-1},x_n)} & |x_n|_{A_n} \\ F^1 x_0 \downarrow & & & \alpha_{x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n} & & & \downarrow G^1 x_n \\ |F^0 x_0|_B & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & & N(F^0 x_0, G^0 x_n) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & & |G^0 x_n|_C \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X},$$

one for each tuple of $x_0 \in A_0, x_1 \in A_1, \dots, x_n \in A_n$. \blacklozenge

Remark 2.55. In the above definition of $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$, we do not use any 0-coary cell in \mathbb{X} , hence $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat} = \mathbb{X}^b\text{-Mat}$. \blacklozenge

Remark 2.56. The tight category $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Fam}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{X})$, known as the **category of families** or the **coproduct cocompletion of $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{X}$** . \blacklozenge

Example 2.57. Let \mathcal{V} be a monoidal category. Regarding \mathcal{V} as a single-object bicategory, we have a diminished AVDC $(\mathbb{V}\mathcal{V})\text{-Mat}$, which is also denoted by $\mathcal{V}\text{-Mat}$, whose objects are (large) sets, whose tight arrows are maps, and whose loose arrows $X \longrightarrow Y$ are families $(M(x,y))_{x \in X, y \in Y}$ of objects in \mathcal{V} . When \mathcal{V} is the two element chain, we have $\mathcal{V}\text{-Mat} \cong \mathbf{Rel}^b$. \blacklozenge

Proposition 2.58. If an AVDC \mathbb{X} has all 1-coary restrictions, so does $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$.

Proof. Suppose that we are given the following data:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & & B' \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow G \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & B \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}.$$

For $x \in A'$ and $y \in B'$, let $N(F,G)(x,y)$ denote the following loose arrow:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |x| & \xrightarrow{N(F,G)(x,y)} & |y| \\ F^1 x \downarrow & \text{cart} & \downarrow G^1 y \\ |F^0 x| & \xrightarrow{N(F^0 x, G^0 y)} & |G^0 y| \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}.$$

Then, the matrix $N(F,G)$ over \mathbb{X} gives the desired restriction. \square

Definition 2.59 (Enrichment in a virtual double category). Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. The **AVDC of \mathbb{X} -enriched profunctors**, denoted by $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$, is defined to be $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat})$. Objects in $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ are called **\mathbb{X} -enriched (large) categories**, tight arrows are called **\mathbb{X} -functors**, and loose arrows are called **\mathbb{X} -profunctors**. Note that $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ has restrictions whenever \mathbb{X} has all 1-coary restrictions, which follows from [Propositions 2.40](#) and [2.58](#). \blacklozenge

Remark 2.60. Our \mathbb{X} -enriched categories and \mathbb{X} -functors coincide with Leinster's [[Lei99](#); [Lei02](#)]. For a bicategory \mathcal{W} , the AVDC $(\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W})\text{-Prof}$ recovers the classical notion of enrichment in a bicategory, which includes ordinary enrichment in a monoidal category as a special case. Indeed, the tight 2-category $\mathcal{T}((\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W})\text{-Prof})$ is isomorphic to the 2-category of \mathcal{W} -enriched categories and \mathcal{W} -functors defined by Walters [[Wal82](#)]. Moreover, the loose bicategory $\mathcal{L}((\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W})\text{-Prof})$ of VD-composable objects coincides with the bicategory of sufficiently small \mathcal{W} -enriched categories and \mathcal{W} -profunctors (sometimes called **\mathcal{W} -modules**). The AVDC $(\mathbb{V}\mathcal{W})\text{-Prof}$ is also denoted by $\mathcal{W}\text{-Prof}$. \blacklozenge

Remark 2.61. If an AVDC \mathbb{X} is huge, then the AVDCs $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$, $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$, and $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ are also huge. \blacklozenge

We now unpack the definition.

Remark 2.62. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. An \mathbb{X} -enriched (large) category \mathbf{A} consists of:

- (**Colored objects**) An \mathbb{X} -colored large set $\text{Ob}\mathbf{A}$. For $x \in \text{Ob}\mathbf{A}$, its color is denoted by $|x|_{\mathbf{A}}$ or simply $|x|$. When $|x| = c$, we call x an **object colored with c** .
- (**Hom-loose arrows**) For $x, y \in \text{Ob}\mathbf{A}$, a loose arrow $|x| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,y)} |y|$ in \mathbb{X} .
- (**Compositions**) For $x, y, z \in \text{Ob}\mathbf{A}$, a cell $\mu_{x,y,z}$ of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |x| & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,y)} & |y| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(y,z)} |z| \\ \parallel & \mu_{x,y,z} & \parallel \\ |x| & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,z)} & |z| \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}.$$

- (**Identities**) For each $x \in \text{Ob}\mathbf{A}$, a cell η_x of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & |x| & \\ \swarrow & \eta_x & \searrow \\ |x| & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,x)} & |x| \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}.$$

The above data are required to satisfy suitable axioms. \blacklozenge

Proposition 2.63. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. Then, an \mathbb{X} -enriched (large) category is the same as the following data:

- A (large) set S ;
- An AVD-functor $\mathbb{I}^b S \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. Let \mathbf{A} be an \mathbb{X} -enriched large category. Then, the following assignments yield an AVD-functor $\mathbb{I}^b \text{Ob}\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x \mapsto |x|_{\mathbf{A}}, & x \xrightarrow{!_{xy}} y \mapsto |x| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,y)} |y|, & \\ \begin{array}{ccc} & x & \\ \swarrow & ! & \searrow \\ x & \xrightarrow{!_{xx}} & x \end{array} \mapsto \begin{array}{ccc} & |x| & \\ \swarrow & \eta_x & \searrow \\ |x| & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,x)} & |x| \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} x \xrightarrow{!_{xy}} y \xrightarrow{!_{yz}} z & \mapsto & |x| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,y)} |y| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(y,z)} |z| \\ \parallel & ! & \parallel \\ x \xrightarrow{!_{xz}} z & \mapsto & |x| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(x,z)} |z| \end{array} \end{array}$$

Furthermore, we can reconstruct \mathbf{A} from the AVD-functor $\mathbb{I}^b \text{Ob} \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. \square

Notation 2.64. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. For $c \in \mathbb{X}$, let Y_c denote the \mathbb{X} -colored set $Y_c := \{*\}$ containing a unique element $*$ colored with c . It easily follows that all of Y_c form the full sub-AVDC of $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ isomorphic to \mathbb{X}^b . We write $Y: \mathbb{X}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ for the corresponding inclusion. \blacklozenge

Notation 2.65. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC with loose units. We write $Z: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ for an AVD-functor corresponding to $Y: \mathbb{X}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ by [Theorem 2.36](#). We write \mathbf{Z}_c for the \mathbb{X} -enriched category assigned to each $c \in \mathbb{X}$ by Z . \blacklozenge

Lemma 2.66. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC with loose units, and let $c \in \mathbb{X}$. Then, the unit cell associated with the monoid \mathbf{Z}_c is VD-cocartesian in $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & c & \\ \swarrow & \gamma & \searrow \\ c & \xrightarrow{U_c} & c \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}$$

be the loosewise invertible (cocartesian) cell associated with the loose unit U_c of c . In the diminished AVDC \mathbb{X}^b , the cell γ is no longer cocartesian but VD-cocartesian. Moreover, we see at once that the VD-cocartesian cell γ is preserved by the AVD-functor $Y: \mathbb{X}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$. Thus, the monoid structure of \mathbf{Z}_c is induced by the VD-cocartesian cell $Y\gamma$. \square

Definition 2.67. Let \mathbf{A} be an \mathbb{X} -enriched category. A **preobject** in \mathbf{A} colored with $c \in \mathbb{X}$ is a pair $x = (x^0, x^1)$ of an object $x^0 \in \text{Ob} \mathbf{A}$ and a tight arrow $c \xrightarrow{x^1} |x^0|$ in \mathbb{X} . \blacklozenge

We call \mathbf{Z}_c the **preobject classifier** because it classifies the preobjects colored with c in the following sense:

Theorem 2.68. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC with loose units, and let $c \in \mathbb{X}$. Then, there is a bijective correspondence between the \mathbb{X} -functors $\mathbf{Z}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ and the preobjects in \mathbf{A} colored with c .

Proof. By [Lemma 2.66](#), a monoid homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is simply a tight arrow $Y_c \rightarrow \text{Ob} \mathbf{A}$ in $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$. Indeed, a monoid homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}_c \xrightarrow{(f^0, f^1)} \mathbf{A}$ must be compatible with units as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} Y_c & & \\ f^0 \downarrow (=) f^0 & & \\ A^0 & & \\ \swarrow A^e \searrow & & \\ A^0 & \xrightarrow{A^1} & A^0 \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{ccc} Y_c & & \\ \swarrow \text{VD.cocart} \searrow & & \\ Y_c & \xrightarrow{Y U_c} & Y_c \\ f^0 \downarrow & f^1 & \downarrow f^0 \\ A^0 & \xrightarrow{A^1} & A^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}. \end{array}$$

Here, \mathbf{A} is regarded as a monoid $(\text{Ob} \mathbf{A} = A^0, A^1, A^e, A^m)$ in $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$. By the universal property of the VD-cocartesian cell, f^1 can be reconstructed uniquely from f^0 . Since the compatibility of f^1 with multiplications is automatically satisfied, the monoid homomorphism (f^0, f^1) is the same as the tight arrow f^0 . Since f^0 is simply a choice of a preobject in \mathbf{A} colored with c , this finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.69. For an AVDC \mathbb{X} with loose units, the AVD-functor $Z: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ makes \mathbb{X} into a full sub-AVDC of $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$.

Proof. Let c, d be objects in \mathbb{X} . By [Theorem 2.68](#), the \mathbb{X} -functors $\mathbf{Z}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_d$ are the same as the tight arrows $c \rightarrow d$ in \mathbb{X} . The same is true for loose arrows. Indeed, an \mathbb{X} -profunctor $\mathbf{Z}_c \xrightarrow{(P^1, P^l, P^r)} \mathbf{Z}_d$ must be compatible with the unit of \mathbf{Z}_c for example:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & Y_c & \xrightarrow{P^1} Y_d \\
 & \swarrow \text{VD.cocart} \searrow & \parallel \\
 Y_c & \xrightarrow{YU_c} Y_c & \xrightarrow{P^1} Y_d \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 Y_c & \xrightarrow{P^l} Y_c & \xrightarrow{P^1} Y_d \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 Y_c & \xrightarrow{P^1} Y_d &
 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
 Y_c & \xrightarrow{P^1} Y_d \\
 \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\
 Y_c & \xrightarrow{P^1} Y_d &
 \end{array} \text{ in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}.$$

By the universal property of the VD-cocartesian cell, P^l can be reconstructed uniquely from P^1 , and so does P^r . Since the compatibility with the multiplications of \mathbf{Z}_c and \mathbf{Z}_d is automatically satisfied, the \mathbb{X} -profunctor (P^1, P^l, P^r) is the same as a loose arrow P^1 . Since $Y: \mathbb{X}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ is a full inclusion, the loose arrow P^1 is simply a loose arrow $c \rightarrow d$ in \mathbb{X} .

Similarly, we can establish between \mathbb{X} and $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$, a bijective correspondence of 1-coary cells. Furthermore, since both \mathbb{X} and $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ have loose units, the same is true also for 0-coary cells. This finishes the proof. \square

We give a remark on idempotency of the $\mathbb{P}\text{rof}$ -construction.

Remark 2.70. It is known that, at the level of bicategories, the profunctor construction is idempotent up to biequivalence. Indeed, for any bicategory \mathcal{W} admitting a suitable cocompleteness condition, the bicategory $\mathcal{W}\text{-Prof}$ of small \mathcal{W} -categories and \mathcal{W} -profunctors is biequivalent to the bicategory $(\mathcal{W}\text{-Prof})\text{-Prof}$ of small $(\mathcal{W}\text{-Prof})$ -categories and $(\mathcal{W}\text{-Prof})$ -profunctors [\[CKW87\]](#). However, at the level of double categories, such idempotency fails. Indeed, there is a bicategory \mathcal{B} with no equivalence in \mathcal{AVDC} between $\mathcal{B}\text{-Prof}$ and $(\mathcal{B}\text{-Prof})\text{-Prof}$. Moreover, such an equivalence does still not exist even if we weaken the notion of equivalences into, at the level of objects, up to “tightwise equivalence” rather than up to invertible tight arrow. For such a counterexample, we can take \mathcal{B} to be the trivial one, as shown in the following lemma. \blacklozenge

Lemma 2.71. Let us consider the diminished AVDC $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{I}^b 1$, where $1 := \{c\}$ is the singleton. Then, there is no AVD-functor $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof} \xrightarrow{K} (\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})\text{-Prof}$ satisfying the following:

- It is surjective on objects up to *tightwise equivalence*, i.e., equivalence in the tight 2-categories.
- It is “full” on tight arrows. Equivalently, it induces surjections between the local classes of tight arrows.

Proof. Suppose that such an AVD-functor K exists. Let \emptyset be the empty \mathbb{X} -category. Consider the following three $(\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})$ -categories and unique $(\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})$ -functors between them:

$$\bar{\emptyset} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\emptyset} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}_c} \text{ in } (\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})\text{-Prof}. \quad (10)$$

Here, $\bar{\emptyset}$ denotes the empty $(\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})$ -category. Then, we can observe that, in the sequence (10), there is no $(\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})$ -functor in the opposite direction. Now, from the first condition for K , there are three \mathbb{X} -categories $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ and tightwise equivalences $KA \simeq \emptyset, KB \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\emptyset}, KC \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}_c}$ in $(\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})\text{-Prof}$. Then, from the second condition for K , we have a sequence of \mathbb{X} -functors

$$\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof},$$

and there is still no \mathbb{X} -functor in the opposite direction. However, since the tight category $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof})$ is isomorphic to the category of large sets, such a sequence cannot exist. This is a contradiction. \square

3. COLIMITS IN AUGMENTED VIRTUAL DOUBLE CATEGORIES

3.1. Cocones, modules, and modulations. To give a notion of “colimits” in an AVDC, we consider “cocones” for each of the three directions: left, right, and downward. The “cocones” for the downward direction are called **tight cocones**, and the “cocones” for the left and right directions are called left and right **modules**, respectively. In addition, we also consider several types of morphisms between them, called **modulations**. The terms “module” and “modulation” come from the essentially same concept in [Par11].

Definition 3.1 (Tight cocones). Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs. A **tight cocone** l (from F) consists of:

- an object $L \in \mathbb{L}$ (the **vertex** of l);
- for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a tight arrow $\begin{array}{c} FA \\ \downarrow l_A \\ L \end{array}$ in \mathbb{L} ;
- for each $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} , a cell $\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB \\ & \searrow l_A \quad \swarrow l_B & \\ & L & \end{array}$ in \mathbb{L}

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any tight arrow $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} , $(Ff) \circ l_B = l_A$;
- For any cell

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{u_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{u_n} & A_n \\ f \downarrow & & & \alpha & & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & \xrightarrow{v} & & & Y \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K},$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\ Ff \downarrow & F\alpha & \downarrow Fg \\ FX & \xrightarrow{Fv} & FY \\ l_X \searrow & l_v & \swarrow l_Y \\ & L & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\ & l_{\vec{u}} & \\ l_{A_0} \searrow & & \swarrow l_{A_n} \\ & L & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Here $l_{\vec{u}}$ denotes the composite of the following cells:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{Fu_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{Fu_{n-1}} & FA_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{Fu_n} & FA_n \\ & \searrow l_{u_1} & \searrow l_{A_1} & & \dots & \swarrow l_{A_{n-1}} & \swarrow l_{u_n} & & \\ & l_{A_0} & & & & & & l_{A_n} & \\ & & & & & & & & L \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

When \vec{u} is of length 0, the cell $l_{\vec{u}}$ is defined to be the tight identity. ◆

Definition 3.2. A tight cocone l is called **strong** if l_u is cartesian for any loose arrow u . ◆

Definition 3.3 (Left/right modules). Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs. A **left F -module** m consists of:

- an object $M \in \mathbb{L}$ (the **vertex** of m);
- for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a loose arrow $FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M$ in \mathbb{L} ;

- for each $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} , a cartesian cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \\ Ff \downarrow & m_f: \text{cart} & \parallel \\ FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L};$$

- for each $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} , a cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} M \\ \parallel & m_u & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \\ Ff \downarrow & m_f & \parallel \\ FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M \\ Fg \downarrow & m_g & \parallel \\ FC & \xrightarrow{m_C} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \\ Ff \downarrow & m_{f \circ g} & \parallel \\ FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M \\ Fg \downarrow & m_g & \parallel \\ FC & \xrightarrow{m_C} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For any $A \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \\ \text{Fid}_A \parallel & m_{\text{id}_A} & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For any cell

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{u_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{u_n} & A_n \\ f \downarrow & & \alpha & & & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & & & Y \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K},$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n & \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M \\ Ff \downarrow & F\alpha & Fg \downarrow \\ FX & \xrightarrow{Fv} FY & \xrightarrow{m_Y} M \\ \parallel & m_v & \parallel \\ FX & \xrightarrow{m_X} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n & \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M \\ \parallel & m_{\vec{u}} & \parallel \\ FA_0 & \xrightarrow{m_{A_0}} & M \\ Ff \downarrow & m_f & \parallel \\ FX & \xrightarrow{m_X} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Here, $m_{\vec{u}}$ denotes the composition of the following cells:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{Fu_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{Fu_{n-1}} & FA_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{Fu_n} & FA_n & \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} & M \\
 \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \cdots & \parallel & \parallel & & m_{u_n} & \parallel & \\
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{Fu_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{Fu_{n-1}} & FA_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{m_{A_{n-1}}} & M & & \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & & & & & \parallel & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & & & & & \vdots & & \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & & & & & \parallel & & \\
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{Fu_1} & FA_1 & \xrightarrow{m_{A_1}} & & & & & M & & \\
 \parallel & & & & m_{u_1} & & & & \parallel & & \\
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{m_{A_0}} & & & & & & & M & &
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

When \vec{u} is of length 0, the cell $m_{\vec{u}}$ (and hence m_v) is defined to be the loose identity.

Moreover, **right F -modules** are also defined as the loosewise dual of the left F -modules. \blacklozenge

Notation 3.4. A tight cocone from F with a vertex L is denoted by a double arrow $F \Rightarrow L$. A left (resp. right) F -module with a vertex M is denoted by a slashed double arrow $F \Longrightarrow M$ (resp. $M \Longrightarrow F$). \blacklozenge

Definition 3.5 (Modulations of type 0). Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs.

Let m, m' be left F -modules whose vertices are $M, M' \in \mathbb{L}$, respectively. Consider $M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'' \xrightarrow{j} M'$ in \mathbb{L} . A **modulation (of type 0)** ρ , denoted by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & \xRightarrow{m} & M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'' \\
 \parallel & \rho & \downarrow j \\
 F & \xRightarrow{m'} & M'
 \end{array} \quad (11)$$

consists of:

- for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'' \\
 \parallel & \rho_A & \downarrow j \\
 FA & \xrightarrow{m'_A} & M'
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'' \\
 \downarrow Ff & m_f & \parallel \\
 FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'' \\
 \parallel & \rho_B & \downarrow j \\
 FB & \xrightarrow{m'_B} & M'
 \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'' \\
 \parallel & \rho_A & \downarrow j \\
 FA & \xrightarrow{m'_A} & M' \\
 \downarrow Ff & m'_f & \parallel \\
 FB & \xrightarrow{m'_B} & M'
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.
 \end{array}$$

- For any $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M'' \\
 \parallel & & m_u & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\
 FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M'' & = & FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M'' \\
 \parallel & & \rho_A & & \downarrow j & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \rho_B & & \downarrow j \\
 FA & \xrightarrow{m'_A} & M' & & & & FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB & \xrightarrow{m'_B} & M' & & \\
 & & & & & & \parallel & & m'_u & & \parallel & & \\
 & & & & & & FA & \xrightarrow{m'_A} & M' & & & &
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

◆

Notation 3.6. For a functor $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ between AVDCs and $M \in \mathbb{L}$, let $\mathbf{Mdl}(F, M)$ denote the category of left F -modules with the vertex M . A morphism $m \rightarrow m'$ in $\mathbf{Mdl}(F, M)$ is defined as a modulation of type 0 such that \vec{p} is of length 0 and j is the identity in (11). Similarly, we write $\mathbf{Mdl}(M, F)$ for the category of right F -modules with the vertex M . ◆

Remark 3.7. A modulation (of type 0) $\rho: m \rightarrow m'$ in $\mathbf{Mdl}(F, M)$ is called *invertible* if every component ρ_A is loosewise invertible. Such a modulation (of type 0) is the same as an isomorphism in $\mathbf{Mdl}(F, M)$. ◆

Definition 3.8 (Modulations of type 1). Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs. Let $F \xRightarrow{l} L \in \mathbb{L}$ be a tight cocone and let $F \xRightarrow{m} M \in \mathbb{L}$ be a left F -module. Consider $M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'$, $M' \xrightarrow{j} L'$, and $L \xrightarrow{q} L'$ in \mathbb{L} . A **modulation (of type 1)** σ , denoted by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & \xRightarrow{m} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\
 \downarrow l & & \sigma & & \downarrow j \\
 L & \xrightarrow{q} & L' & &
 \end{array}$$

consists of:

- for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\
 \downarrow \iota_A & & \sigma_A & & \downarrow j \\
 L & \xrightarrow{q} & L' & &
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\
 Ff \downarrow & m_f & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\
 FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\
 \downarrow \iota_B & \sigma_B & \downarrow j & & \\
 L & \xrightarrow{q} & L' & &
 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\
 \downarrow \iota_A & \sigma_A & \downarrow j & & \\
 L & \xrightarrow{q} & L' & &
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For any $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{c}
 FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M \dashrightarrow^{\vec{p}} M' \\
 \parallel \quad m_u \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\
 FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M \dashrightarrow^{\vec{p}} M' \\
 \downarrow l_A \quad \sigma_A \quad \downarrow j \\
 L \dashrightarrow^q L'
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{c}
 FA \xrightarrow{Fu} FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M \dashrightarrow^{\vec{p}} M' \\
 \downarrow l_A \quad \swarrow l_u \quad \searrow l_B \quad \sigma_B \quad \downarrow j \\
 L \dashrightarrow^q L'
 \end{array}
 \text{ in } \mathbb{L}.$$

◆

Remark 3.9. Suppose that, in the situation of [Definition 3.8](#), we are alternatively given a right F -module $M \xRightarrow{m} F$, loose paths $M' \dashrightarrow^{\vec{p}} M$ and $L' \dashrightarrow^q L$ in \mathbb{L} . Then, we can also define the loosewise dual concept, which is called modulations of type 1 as well and is denoted by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M' & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{p}} & M & \xRightarrow{m} & F \\
 j \downarrow & & \sigma & & \downarrow l \\
 L' & \dashrightarrow^q & & & L
 \end{array}$$

◆

Definition 3.10 (Modulations of type 2). Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs. Let $F \xRightarrow{l} L \in \mathbb{L}$ and $F \xRightarrow{l'} L' \in \mathbb{L}$ be tight cocones. Consider $L \dashrightarrow^q L'$ in \mathbb{L} . A **modulation (of type 2)** τ , denoted by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & F & \\
 \swarrow l & & \searrow l' \\
 L & \dashrightarrow^q & L'
 \end{array}
 \quad \tau \quad (12)$$

consists of:

- for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & FA & \\
 \swarrow l_A & & \searrow l'_A \\
 L & \dashrightarrow^q & L'
 \end{array}
 \quad \tau_A \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & & \\
 Ff \downarrow (=) Ff & & \\
 FB & & \\
 \swarrow l_B & & \searrow l'_B \\
 L & \dashrightarrow^q & L'
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & FA & \\
 \swarrow l_A & & \searrow l'_A \\
 L & \dashrightarrow^q & L'
 \end{array}
 \quad \tau_A \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For any $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB \\ \downarrow l_A & \searrow l'_u & \downarrow l'_B \\ L & \xrightarrow[q]{} & L' \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB \\ \downarrow l_A & \swarrow l_u & \downarrow l'_B \\ L & \xrightarrow[q]{} & L' \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

◆

Notation 3.11. Let $\mathbf{Cone}(\frac{F}{L})$ denote the category of tight cocones from F with a vertex L . A morphism $l \rightarrow l'$ in $\mathbf{Cone}(\frac{F}{L})$ is defined as a modulation of type 2 such that q is of length 0 in (12). ◆

Definition 3.12 (Modulations of type 3). Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs. Let $N \xrightarrow{n} F \xrightarrow{m} M$ be a right F -module and a left F -module, respectively. Consider $N' \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} N$, $M \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} M'$, $N' \xrightarrow{j} N''$, $M' \xrightarrow{i} M''$, and $N'' \xrightarrow{r} M''$ in \mathbb{L} . A **modulation (of type 3)** ω , denoted by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{n} & F & \xrightarrow{m} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ j \downarrow & & & \omega & & & & & \downarrow i \\ N'' & \xrightarrow{r} & & & & & & & M'' \end{array}$$

consists of:

- for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a cell

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{n_A} & FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ j \downarrow & & & \omega_A & & & & & \downarrow i \\ N'' & \xrightarrow{r} & & & & & & & M'' \end{array}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{n_A} & FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & n_f & \downarrow Ff & m_f & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{n_B} & FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ j \downarrow & & & \omega_B & & & & & \downarrow i \\ N'' & \xrightarrow{r} & & & & & & & M'' \end{array} = \omega_A \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For any $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{n_A} & FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & & m_u & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{n_A} & FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ j \downarrow & & & \omega_A & & & & & \downarrow i \\ N'' & \xrightarrow{r} & & & & & & & M'' \end{array}$$

◆

$$\Xi \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\xi_A} FA \\ \xrightarrow{k} L \end{array} \quad (\xi_A k)_A \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- $$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB \\
\xrightarrow{\xi_A} & \searrow \xi_u & \swarrow \xi_B \\
& \Xi & \\
& k \left(= \right) k & \\
& \downarrow & \\
& L &
\end{array}
= :
\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} & FB \\
(\xi \circ k)_A \searrow & (\xi \circ k)_u & \swarrow (\xi \circ k)_B \\
& L &
\end{array}
\quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

◆

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow[p(f, \text{id})]{} & \cdot \\ f \downarrow & \text{cart} & \parallel \\ B & \xrightarrow[p]{} & \cdot \end{array}$$

◆

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{p(\xi_A, \text{id})} & L \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \tilde{p}_A: \text{cart} \parallel & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{v} & L \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

for each loose arrow p , the following assignments yield a functor $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\Xi, L) \xrightarrow{\xi_*^-} \mathbf{Mdl}(F, L)$ between categories.

- For each $\Xi \xrightarrow{p} L$ in \mathbb{L} , a left F -module ξ_*p with the vertex L is defined as follows:
 - For each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, $(\xi_*p)_A := p(\xi_A, \text{id})$.
 - For each $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} , $(\xi_*p)_f$ is a unique cell such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_A} & L \\ Ff \downarrow & (\xi_*p)_f \parallel & \\ FB & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_B} & L \\ \xi_B \downarrow & \tilde{p}_B: \text{cart} \parallel & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & L \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_A} & L \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \tilde{p}_A: \text{cart} \parallel & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & L \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For each $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{K} , $(\xi_*p)_u$ is a unique cell such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} FB & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_B} L \\ \parallel & (\xi_*p)_u & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_A} & L \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \tilde{p}_A: \text{cart} & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & L \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{Fu} FB & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_B} L \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \swarrow \xi_u \quad \searrow \xi_B & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_B: \text{cart}} & L \\ & \xrightarrow{p} & L \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For each cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & L \\ \parallel & \delta & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & L \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L},$$

a modulation $\xi_*\delta: \xi_*p \rightarrow \xi_*q$ is defined as follows:

- For each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, $(\xi_*\delta)_A$ is a unique cell such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_A} & L \\ \parallel & (\xi_*\delta)_A \parallel & \\ FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*q)_A} & L \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \tilde{q}_A: \text{cart} \parallel & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & L \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*p)_A} & L \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \tilde{p}_A: \text{cart} \parallel & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & L \\ \parallel & \delta \parallel & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & L \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

◆

Notation 3.16. In [Construction 3.15](#), the cartesian cells $(\tilde{p}_A)_{A \in \mathbb{K}}$ yield a modulation of type 1 below. We write ξ_*p for such a modulation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_*p} & L \\ \xi \downarrow & \xi_*p & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & L \end{array}$$

◆

Remark 3.17. By an argument similar to [Construction 3.15](#), we can show that every tight cocone $F \xRightarrow{l} L$ induces a left F -module $F \xRightarrow{l_*} L$ whenever the companions l_{A*} ($A \in \mathbb{K}$) exist. \blacklozenge

Notation 3.18. In [Construction 3.15](#), if we alternatively assume that ξ_A is right-pulling for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$, then we can construct in the same way a functor $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(L, \Xi) \xrightarrow{-\xi^*} \mathbf{Mdl}(L, F)$, which sends q to a right F -module $q\xi^*$. As well as [Notation 3.16](#), we can get a modulation of type 1, denoted by $q\xi^\dagger$, of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xRightarrow{q\xi^*} & F \\ \parallel & q\xi^\dagger & \Downarrow \xi \\ L & \xrightarrow{q} & \Xi \end{array}$$

Remark 3.19. We have defined the modulations of the following types:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F & F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F & F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F & F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F \\ \downarrow & \text{type 0} & \parallel & \parallel & \downarrow & \text{type 1} & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & \text{type 1} & \downarrow \\ \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F & F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F & F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F & F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \cdot & & \cdot \\ \text{type 2} & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot & & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \\ \downarrow & \text{type 3} & \downarrow \\ \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot & & \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \xRightarrow{\quad} F \xRightarrow{\quad} \cdot \dashrightarrow \cdot \end{array}$$

We may consider another type of “modulation.” For example:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ F & \xRightarrow{\quad} & \cdot \end{array}$$

In the paper, we will only treat “modulations” whose bottom boundary is a loose path of length ≤ 1 or a module inherited from the functors ξ_* , $-\xi^*$. Furthermore, such “modulations,” which include the type 0, are reduced to one of the types 1, 2, or 3 by the universal property of restrictions. \blacklozenge

3.2. Versatile colimits. In this subsection, we fix an AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ between AVDCs and a tight cocone ξ from F with a vertex $\Xi \in \mathbb{L}$.

Definition 3.20. We consider the following conditions for ξ :

- (T) The canonical functor $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\Xi, L) \xrightarrow{\xi_*^-} \mathbf{Cone}(F_L)$ of [Construction 3.13](#) is bijective on objects for any $L \in \mathbb{L}$.
- (L-l) ξ_A is left-pulling for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$, and the canonical functor $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\Xi, L) \xrightarrow{\xi_*^-} \mathbf{Mdl}(F, L)$ of [Construction 3.15](#) is essentially surjective for any $L \in \mathbb{L}$.
- (L-r) The loosewise dual of (L-l) holds.

(M0-l) ξ_A is left-pulling for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$, and the following hold: Take objects $M, M' \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\Xi \xrightarrow{p} M, \Xi \xrightarrow{p'} M'$ in \mathbb{L} arbitrarily. Then, for any modulation ρ of type 0

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_* p} & M & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & M'' \\ \parallel & & \rho & & \downarrow j \\ F & \xrightarrow{\xi_* p'} & M' & & \end{array}$$

There exists a unique cell $\hat{\rho}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_* p)_A} & M & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & M'' \\ \parallel & & \rho_A & & \downarrow j \\ FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_* p')_A} & M' & & \\ \xi_A \downarrow & (\xi_{\dagger} p')_A: \text{cart} & \parallel & & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p'} & M' & & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccccc} FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_* p)_A} & M & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & M'' \\ \xi_A \downarrow & (\xi_{\dagger} p)_A: \text{cart} & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & M'' \\ \parallel & & \hat{\rho} & & \downarrow j \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p'} & M' & & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (\text{for any } A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

(M0-r) The loosewise dual of (M0-l) holds.

(M1-l) ξ_A is left-pulling for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$, and the following hold: Take objects $L, M \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\Xi \xrightarrow{k} L, \Xi \xrightarrow{p} M$ in \mathbb{L} arbitrarily. Then, for any modulation σ of type 1

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_* p} & M & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & M' \\ \xi_{\dagger} k \downarrow & & \sigma & & \downarrow j \\ L & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{r}} & L' & & \end{array}$$

there exists a unique cell $\hat{\sigma}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_* p)_A} & M & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & M' \\ (\xi_{\dagger} k)_A \downarrow & \sigma_A & \parallel & & \parallel \\ L & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{r}} & L' & & \\ \parallel & & \hat{\sigma} & & \downarrow j \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & M' \\ k \downarrow & & \hat{\sigma} & & \downarrow j \\ L & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{r}} & L' & & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (\text{for any } A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

(M1-r) The loosewise dual of (M1-l) holds.

(M2) Take $L, L' \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\Xi \xrightarrow{k} L, \Xi \xrightarrow{k'} L'$ in \mathbb{L} arbitrarily. Then, for any modulation τ of type 2

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \xi_{\dagger} k \swarrow & \tau & \searrow \xi_{\dagger} k' \\ L & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & L' \end{array}$$

there exists a unique cell $\hat{\tau}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & FA & \\ (\xi_{\dagger} k)_A \swarrow & \tau_A & \searrow (\xi_{\dagger} k')_A \\ L & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & L' \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} & FA & \\ \xi_A \downarrow \Xi & \xi_A & \\ k \swarrow & \hat{\tau} & \searrow k' \\ L & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{q}} & L' \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (\text{for any } A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

(M3) ξ_A is pulling for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$, and the following hold: Take $N, M \in \mathbb{L}$ and $N \xrightarrow{t} \Xi \xrightarrow{s} M$ in \mathbb{L} arbitrarily. Then, for any modulation ω of type 3

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{t\xi^*} & F & \xrightarrow{\xi_*s} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ j \downarrow & & & \omega & & & & & \downarrow i \\ N'' & \xrightarrow{\vec{r}} & & & & & & & M'' \end{array}$$

there exists a unique cell $\hat{\omega}$ such that

$$\omega_A = \begin{array}{ccccccc} N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{(t\xi^*)_A} & FA & \xrightarrow{(\xi_*s)_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ \parallel & & \parallel & (t\xi^\dagger)_A: \text{cart}^{\xi_A} & \downarrow & (\xi_\dagger s)_A: \text{cart} & \parallel & & \parallel \\ N' & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} & N & \xrightarrow{t} & \Xi & \xrightarrow{s} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ j \downarrow & & & \hat{\omega} & & & & & \downarrow i \\ N'' & \xrightarrow{\vec{r}} & & & & & & & M'' \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (\text{for any } A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

◆

Remark 3.21. The above conditions are independent of the construction of the functors ξ_* and $-\xi^*$. In particular, the condition (L-1) can be rephrased as follows:

(L-1)' ξ_A is left-pulling for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$. Furthermore, for any left F -module $m: F \Rightarrow L$, there exist a loose arrow $\Xi \xrightarrow{p} L$ in \mathbb{L} and a modulation σ of type 1

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{m} & L \\ \xi \downarrow & \sigma & \downarrow \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} & L \end{array}$$

such that every component σ_A ($A \in \mathbb{K}$) is cartesian.

◆

Proposition 3.22.

- (i) (M2) implies that the functor $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\Xi/L) \xrightarrow{\xi_*^-} \mathbf{Cone}(F/L)$ is fully faithful for any $L \in \mathbb{L}$.
- (ii) (M0-1) implies that the functor $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\Xi, L) \xrightarrow{\xi_*^-} \mathbf{Mdl}(F, L)$ is fully faithful for any $L \in \mathbb{L}$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that morphisms between tight cocones or modules are a special case of modulations of type 2 or 0. \square

Proposition 3.23.

- (i) (M1-1) implies (M0-1).
- (ii) If \mathbb{L} has loose units and every tight arrow is left-pulling in \mathbb{L} , then (M1-1) and (M0-1) are equivalent.

Proof. Using the universal property of restrictions, we can establish a bijective correspondence between the modulations of type 1 and the modulations of type 0. We can finish the proof by using such a correspondence. \square

Proposition 3.24.

- (i) If \mathbb{L} has companions, then (M1-1) implies (M2).
- (ii) If \mathbb{L} has conjoints, then (M3) implies (M1-1).

Proof.

- (i) Suppose (M1-l) and that \mathbb{L} has companions, in particular, loose units. Consider the canonical cells associated with the companions ξ_{A*} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Xi & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} FA & & \Xi \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \xi_A \\ FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K}). \quad (13)$$

Let ξ_* denote the left F -module given by the companions ξ_{A*} . Then, we have bijective correspondences among the following data:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \xi \swarrow & & \searrow \xi \\ \Xi & & \Xi \\ k \downarrow & \tau & \downarrow k' \\ L & \cdots \hat{q} \cdots & L' \end{array} \parallel \begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_*} & \Xi \\ \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Xi & \sigma & \downarrow k' \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \cdots \hat{q} \cdots & L' \end{array} \parallel \begin{array}{ccc} \Xi & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Xi \\ k \downarrow & \hat{\sigma} & \downarrow k' \\ L & \cdots \hat{q} \cdots & L' \end{array} \parallel \begin{array}{ccc} & \Xi & \\ k \swarrow & & \searrow k' \\ L & \cdots \hat{q} \cdots & L' \end{array} \end{array}$$

Here, the first correspondence is given by component-wise pasting with the cells (13). The second one is given by (M1-l). The third one is given by the universal property of loose units. Therefore (M2) follows.

- (ii) Suppose (M3) and that \mathbb{L} has conjoints. Then, we have bijective correspondences among the following data:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_* p} & M \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} M' \\ \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ \Xi & \sigma & \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \cdots \hat{r} \cdots & L' \end{array} \parallel \begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{k^* \xi_*} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_* p} M \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} M' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow j \\ L & \cdots \hat{r} \cdots & L' \end{array} \parallel \begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{k^*} \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} M \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} M' \\ \parallel & \hat{\omega} & \downarrow j \\ L & \cdots \hat{r} \cdots & L' \end{array} \parallel \begin{array}{ccc} \Xi & \xrightarrow{p} M & \xrightarrow{\vec{q}} M' \\ k \downarrow & \hat{\sigma} & \downarrow j \\ L & \cdots \hat{r} \cdots & L' \end{array} \end{array}$$

The first correspondence is given by component-wise pasting with the canonical cells associated with the conjoints $\xi_A \circ k^* = (k^* \xi_*)_A$. The second one is given by (M3). The third one is given by pasting with the canonical cell associated with the conjoint k^* . Therefore (M1-l) follows. \square

Definition 3.25 (Versatile colimits). ξ is called a **versatile colimit** of F if it satisfies the conditions (T)(L-l)(L-r)(M1-l)(M1-r)(M2)(M3). \blacklozenge

Corollary 3.26. When \mathbb{L} has companions and conjoints, ξ becomes a versatile colimit if and only if it satisfies (T)(L-l)(L-r)(M3).

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.24. \square

Theorem 3.27 (Unitality theorem). Suppose (L-l)(M1-l)(M2) and that ξ_A has a companion for every $A \in \mathbb{K}$. Then, Ξ has a loose unit.

Proof. Let ξ_* denote the left F -module given by the companions ξ_{A*} . Then, the canonical cartesian cells $\xi_{A\dagger}$ on the right below form a modulation ξ_{\dagger} of type 1 on the left below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_*} & \Xi \\ \xi \downarrow & \nearrow \xi_{\dagger} & \\ \Xi & & \end{array} \quad \parallel \quad \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \nearrow \xi_{A\dagger} & \\ \Xi & & \end{array} : \text{cart} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K})$$

By (L-1)', we have a loose arrow $\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi$ in \mathbb{L} and a modulation $\xi_{\dagger}u$ of type 1 whose components are cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\xi_*} & \Xi \\ \xi \downarrow & \xi_{\dagger}u & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{u} & \Xi \end{array} \quad \parallel \quad \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \text{cart} & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{u} & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K})$$

By (M1-l), there is a unique cell ε corresponding to the modulation ξ_{\dagger} . The cell ε is uniquely determined by the following equations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \\ \xi_A \downarrow & (\xi_{\dagger}u)_A & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{u} & \Xi \\ \parallel & \nearrow \varepsilon & \\ \Xi & & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \nearrow \xi_{A\dagger} & \\ \Xi & & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

Let us consider a modulation τ of type 2 given by the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \xi \swarrow & & \searrow \xi \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{u} & \Xi \end{array} \quad \parallel \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & FA & \\ & \nearrow \delta_A \searrow \xi_A & \\ FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \\ \xi_A \downarrow & (\xi_{\dagger}u)_A & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{u} & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K}),$$

where δ_A denotes the canonical cell associated with the companion ξ_{A*} . By (M2), there is a unique cell η corresponding to τ . The cell η is uniquely determined by the following equations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & FA & \\ \xi_A \downarrow & \xi_A & \\ \Xi & & \\ \parallel & \nearrow \eta & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{u} & \Xi \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} & FA & \\ & \nearrow \delta_A \searrow \xi_A & \\ FA & \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} & \Xi \\ \xi_A \downarrow & (\xi_{\dagger}u)_A & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{u} & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

Then, (M1-1)(M2) and the following calculations conclude that u becomes a loose unit on Ξ :

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} \Xi \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad (\xi_{\dagger} u)_A \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi \\
\parallel \quad \varepsilon \quad \parallel \\
\Xi \\
\parallel \quad \eta \quad \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} \Xi \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad \xi_{A\dagger} \nearrow \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi \\
\parallel \quad \eta \quad \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} \Xi \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad \delta_A \nearrow \quad \xi_{A\dagger} \nearrow \\
FA \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} \Xi \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad (\xi_{\dagger} u)_A \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} \Xi \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad (\xi_{\dagger} u)_A \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi
\end{array}
\\[20pt]
\begin{array}{c}
FA \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad \xi_A \uparrow \\
\Xi \\
\parallel \quad \eta \quad \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi \\
\parallel \quad \varepsilon \quad \parallel \\
\Xi
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
FA \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad \delta_A \nearrow \quad \xi_{A\dagger} \nearrow \\
FA \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} \Xi \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad (\xi_{\dagger} u)_A \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{u} \Xi
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
FA \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad \delta_A \nearrow \quad \xi_{A\dagger} \nearrow \\
FA \xrightarrow{\xi_{A*}} \Xi \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad \xi_{A\dagger} \nearrow \\
\Xi
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{c}
FA \\
\xi_A \downarrow \quad \xi_A \uparrow \\
\Xi
\end{array}
\text{ in } \mathbb{L}.
\end{array}$$

□

Example 3.28 (Versatile coproducts). Consider the AVDC $\mathbb{R}el$ of relations as in [Example 2.5](#). Let $(X, Y): \mathbb{D}2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}el$ be an AVD-functor determined by two (large) sets $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}el$, where 2 denotes the two-element set. Then, the disjoint union $X + Y$ gives a versatile colimit of (X, Y) . This is an example of a **versatile coproduct** defined later ([Definition 4.3](#)). ♦

Example 3.29. A **collage**, also called **cograph**, of a profunctor $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{B}$ between categories is the category \mathbf{X} whose class of objects is the disjoint union of $\text{Ob} \mathbf{A}$ and $\text{Ob} \mathbf{B}$ and where

$$\mathbf{X}(x, y) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbf{A}; \\ \mathbf{B}(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbf{B}; \\ P(x, y) & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{A}, y \in \mathbf{B}; \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}, y \in \mathbf{A}. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathbb{J} denote the AVDC consisting of just two objects 0, 1 and a unique loose arrow $0 \rightarrow 1$. Let **Set** and **SET** denote the categories of small sets and large sets, respectively. If the categories \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are large and the profunctor P is locally large, then \mathbf{X} gives a versatile colimit of P , where P is regarded as an AVD-functor from \mathbb{J} to **SET**-Prof, the AVDC of large categories. When the profunctor P is locally small, \mathbf{X} still gives a versatile colimit in $(\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{SET})\text{-Prof}$, the AVDC of large categories and locally small profunctors [[Kou20](#), 2.6. Example]. This gives an example of a versatile colimit with no loose unit. ♦

3.3. The case of loosewise indiscrete shapes. In this subsection, we study versatile colimits in the special case when the shape is loosewise indiscrete. Let us fix an AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ from a loosewise indiscrete AVDC \mathbb{K} .

Proposition 3.30. A tight cocone from F with a vertex $L \in \mathbb{L}$ is the same as the following data:

- For each object $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a tight arrow $FA \xrightarrow{l_A} L$ in \mathbb{L} .

- $$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} & FB \\ & \downarrow l_{AB} & \downarrow l_B \\ & L & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{K} , the cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & FA \\
 Ff \swarrow & & \parallel \\
 FB & \xrightarrow{F!} & FA \\
 & \nearrow l_{BA} & \downarrow l_A \\
 & & L
 \end{array}$$

- For $A, B, C \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} & FB & \xrightarrow{F!_{BC}} & FC \\
 \parallel & & & & \parallel \\
 FA & \xrightarrow{F!_{AC}} & FC & = & FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} FB \xrightarrow{F!_{BC}} FC \\
 & \searrow l_A & \swarrow l_C & & \searrow l_A \quad \downarrow l_B \quad \swarrow l_C \\
 & L & & & L
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

[illegible]

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F!} & FA_n \\ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow Fg \\ FB & \xrightarrow{F!_{BC}} & FC \\ l_B \searrow & & \swarrow l_C \\ & L & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F!_{A_0B}} & FB & \xrightarrow{F!_{BC}} & FC & \xrightarrow{F!_{CA_n}} & FA_n \\ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow Ff & & \downarrow Fg & & \downarrow Ff \\ FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F!_{A_0B}} & FB & \xrightarrow{F!_{BC}} & FC & \xrightarrow{F!_{CA_n}} & FA_n \\ l_{A_0} \searrow & & \swarrow l_{A_0B} & & \swarrow l_{BC} & & \swarrow l_{CA_n} \\ & & & L & & & \end{array} \\
& = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F!_{A_0A_n}} & FA_n \\ l_{A_0} \searrow & & \swarrow l_{A_n} \\ & L & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F!_{A_0A_n}} & FA_n \\ l_{A_0} \searrow & & \swarrow l_{A_n} \\ & L & \end{array} \\
& = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F_{A_0A_1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{F_{A_{n-1}A_n}} & FA_n \\ l_{A_0} \searrow & & \swarrow l_{A_n} \\ & L & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L},
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the compatibility with 1-coary cells. The compatibility with 0-coary cells can be shown similarly. \square

Proposition 3.31. A left F -module with a vertex $M \in \mathbb{L}$ is the same as the following data:

- For each object $A \in \mathbb{K}$, a loose arrow $FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M$ in \mathbb{L} .
- For objects $A, B \in \mathbb{K}$, a cell m_{AB} of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} FB & \xrightarrow{m_B} M \\ \parallel & m_{AB} & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

These are required to satisfy the following:

- For each $A \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{F!_{AA}} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} M \\ \parallel & m_{AA} & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

- For $A, B, C \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} FB \xrightarrow{F!_{BC}} FC \xrightarrow{m_C} M & & FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} FB \xrightarrow{F!_{BC}} FC \xrightarrow{m_C} M \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AC}} FC \xrightarrow{m_C} M & = & FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M & & FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Proof. We have to show that the above data (m_A, m_{AB}) uniquely extend to a left F -module. If such an extension exists, for each tight arrow f in \mathbb{K} , the cell m_f must be defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M & & FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M \\
 Ff \downarrow & \searrow F! & \parallel \\
 FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M & & FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M
 \end{array}
 \quad := \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M & & FA \xrightarrow{m_A} M \\
 Ff \downarrow & \searrow F! & \parallel \\
 FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M & & FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Let define several cells in \mathbb{L} as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \beta_0 := \begin{array}{ccc} & FA & \\ & \searrow F! & \\ FA & \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} & FB \end{array} & \delta_0 := \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} & FB \\ Ff \downarrow & F! & \parallel \\ FB & \xrightarrow{F!_{BB}} & FB \end{array} & \eta_0 := \begin{array}{ccc} & FB & \\ & \searrow F! & \\ FB & \xrightarrow{F!_{BB}} & FB \end{array} \\
 \gamma := m_{AB} & \sigma := m_{BB} & \beta_1 = \delta_1 = \eta_1 := \begin{array}{c} M \\ \left(= \right) \\ M \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Since the above cells make m_f split, m_f becomes cartesian by [Lemma 2.49](#). Then, we can easily verify that the data (m_A, m_{AB}, m_f) actually give a left F -module. \square

Proposition 3.32. When the shape \mathbb{K} of the diagram AVD-functor F is loosewise indiscrete, the axiom of modulations for tight arrows in \mathbb{K} automatically follows from the axiom for loose arrows in \mathbb{K} .

Proof. We can prove this by using [Propositions 3.30](#) and [3.31](#). \square

Theorem 3.33 (Strongness theorem). Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs, and let \mathbb{K} be loosewise indiscrete. Suppose that we are given a tight cocone ξ from F to a vertex $\Xi \in \mathbb{L}$ that satisfies the conditions [\(L-1\)\(M1-1\)](#). Then, ξ_A has a conjoint for every $A \in \mathbb{K}$, and ξ becomes strong.

Proof. Fix $K \in \mathbb{K}$. Let us define a left F -module m with the vertex FK as follows:

- For each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, $m_A := F!_{AK}: FA \rightarrow FK$ in \mathbb{L} .
- For $A, B \in \mathbb{K}$, m_{AB} is defined as the following cell:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AB}} FB \xrightarrow{F!_{BK}} FK & & \\
 \parallel & F!_{ABK} & \parallel \\
 FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Here, $!_{ABK}$ is a unique cell in \mathbb{K} .

By (L-1), we have a loose arrow $\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK$ in \mathbb{L} and a modulation $\xi_{\dagger}q$ of type 1 whose components are cartesian as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \xrightarrow{m} FK & \parallel & FA \xrightarrow{m_A=F!_{AK}} FK \\ \xi \downarrow \quad \xi_{\dagger}q & \parallel & \xi_A \downarrow \quad (\xi_{\dagger}q)_A : \mathbf{cart} \\ \Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK & \parallel & \Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

We can define a modulation σ of type 1 by $\sigma_A := \xi_{AK}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \xrightarrow{m} FK & \parallel & FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK \\ \xi \downarrow \quad \sigma & \searrow \xi_K & \xi_A \downarrow \quad \xi_{AK} \\ \Xi & & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (A \in \mathbb{K}).$$

By (M1-l), we have a cell ε corresponding to the modulation σ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \\ \parallel & \searrow \varepsilon & \\ \Xi & & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Now, we shall show that ε is cartesian. Equivalently, we shall show that q is a conjoint of ξ_K . To show that, let us consider the following cell η :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & FK & \\ \xi_K \swarrow & & \searrow F! \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \end{array} \quad \eta \quad := \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & FK & \\ & \parallel F! & \\ FK & \xrightarrow{m_K=F!_{KK}} & FK \\ \xi_K \downarrow & & \parallel (\xi_{\dagger}q)_K \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Then, one of the triangle identities can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} & FK & \\ \xi_K \swarrow & \eta & \searrow \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{ccc} & FK & \\ & \parallel F! & \\ FK & \xrightarrow{F!_{KK}} & FK \\ \xi_K \downarrow & & \parallel (\xi_{\dagger}q)_K \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \end{array} \\ \parallel \varepsilon \swarrow \xi_K & & \parallel \varepsilon \swarrow \xi_K \\ \Xi & & \Xi \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} & FK & \\ & \parallel F! & \\ FK & \xrightarrow{F!_{KK}} & FK \\ \xi_K \downarrow & & \parallel \xi_{KK} \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \end{array} \xrightarrow{(\xi)} \begin{array}{ccc} & FK & \\ & \parallel & \\ \xi_K \left(= \right) \xi_K & & \Xi \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

We next prove the other triangle identity. The following calculation shows that a cell $q \rightarrow q$, which appears in the triangle identity, is sent to the identity modulation on $m = \xi_*q$ by the functor $\xi_*- : \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(\Xi, FK) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mdl}(F, FK)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA \xrightarrow{m_A=F!_{AK}} FK & & FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK \\ \xi_A \downarrow \quad (\xi_{\dagger}q)_A & \parallel & \parallel \\ \Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK & = & \begin{array}{ccc} FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK & & \\ \xi_A \downarrow \quad \xi_{AK} & \searrow \xi_K & \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \end{array} \\ \parallel \varepsilon \swarrow \xi_K \eta & & \parallel \varepsilon \swarrow \xi_K \\ \Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK & & \begin{array}{ccc} FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK & & \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ \Xi & \xrightarrow{q} & FK \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK \\
\parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\
(\xi_{\dagger}q) \quad FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK \xrightarrow{F!_{KK}} FK \\
\parallel \quad \parallel \\
FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK \\
\searrow \xi_A \quad \downarrow (\xi_{\dagger}q)_A \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK
\end{array}
= \begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK \\
\downarrow \xi_A \quad \downarrow (\xi_{\dagger}q)_A \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK
\end{array}
\quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Since the functor $\xi_* -$ is fully faithful, we have

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK \\
\parallel \quad \varepsilon \quad \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK
\end{array}
= \begin{array}{c}
\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK \\
\parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK
\end{array}
\quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Thus $q = \xi_K^*$, and the cell ε is cartesian.

Consequently, we have the following for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$:

$$\begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{F!_{AK}} FK \\
\downarrow \xi_A \quad \swarrow \xi_{AK} \quad \searrow \xi_K \\
\Xi
\end{array}
= \begin{array}{c}
FA \xrightarrow{m_A = F!_{AK}} FK \\
\downarrow \xi_A \quad (\xi_{\dagger}q)_A : \text{cart} \quad \parallel \\
\Xi \xrightarrow{q} FK : \text{cart} \\
\parallel \quad \varepsilon : \text{cart} \quad \swarrow \xi_K \\
\Xi
\end{array}
\quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

This proves that ξ_{AK} is cartesian. \square

Corollary 3.34. Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs, and let \mathbb{K} be loosewise indiscrete. Then, a vertex of a tight cocone ξ from F has a loose unit in \mathbb{L} if ξ satisfies the conditions (L-l)(L-r)(M1-l)(M1-r)(M2).

Proof. Combine the strongness theorem (Theorem 3.33) and the loosewise dual of the unitality theorem (Theorem 3.27). \square

Example 3.35 (Versatile collapses). Let $A := (A^0 \xrightarrow{A^1} A^0, A^e, A^m)$ be a monoid in an AVDC \mathbb{X} . Suppose that A^0 has a loose unit in \mathbb{X} . Let UA^0 denote the monoid in \mathbb{X} induced by the loose unit on A^0 , let $UA^0 \xrightarrow{UA^1} UA^0$ denote the module in \mathbb{X} induced by A^1 , and let UA^e and UA^m denote the cells in $\mathbb{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ induced by A^e and A^m , respectively. Now, we have a monoid $UA := (UA^0, UA^1, UA^e, UA^m)$ in $\mathbb{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ and the corresponding AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{I}^b 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$, where 1 denotes the singleton. Then, the monoid A gives a versatile colimit of F , which is strong. This is an example of a *versatile collapse* (Definition 4.3). \blacklozenge

Example 3.36. Consider the AVDC \mathbb{Rel} of relations as in Example 2.5. Let $R \subseteq X \times X$ be an equivalence relation on a (large) set X . Since a monoid in \mathbb{Rel} is simply a (large) preordered set, we have an AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{I}^b 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Rel}$ corresponding to R . Then, the quotient set X/R becomes a versatile colimit (collapse) of F . However, such a versatile colimit does not exist in general unless the relation R is symmetric. \blacklozenge

4. AXIOMATIZATION OF DOUBLE CATEGORIES OF PROFUNCTORS

4.1. The formal construction of enriched categories.

Notation 4.1. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC with loose units, and let \mathbf{A} be an \mathbb{X} -enriched large category. We now regard \mathbf{A} as an AVD-functor $\mathbf{A}: \mathbb{I}^b(\text{Ob}\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ as in Proposition 2.63, where $\text{Ob}\mathbf{A}$ denotes the large set of objects in \mathbf{A} . Then, we obtain an AVD-functor $F_{\mathbf{A}}: \mathbb{I}^b(\text{Ob}\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ by post-composing the embedding Z as in Notation 2.65:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I}^b(\text{Ob}\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}} & \mathbb{X} \\ & \searrow F_{\mathbf{A}} & \downarrow Z \\ & & \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof} \end{array}$$

Similarly to Proposition 2.63, every object in $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ or $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ can also be regarded as an AVD-functor to the AVDC \mathbb{X} . Indeed, a monoid M in \mathbb{X} is the same as an AVD-functor $M: \mathbb{I}^b 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. An \mathbb{X} -colored large set A can be regarded as an AVD-functor $|\cdot|_A: \mathbb{D}A \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$, which represents the coloring map. Then, we obtain an AVD-functor F_M by post-composing the embedding U if \mathbb{X} has loose units, and also obtain F_A by post-composing the embedding Y :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I}^b 1 & \xrightarrow{M} & \mathbb{X} \\ & \searrow F_M & \downarrow U \\ & & \text{Mod}(\mathbb{X}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}A & \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_A} & \mathbb{X}^b \\ & \searrow F_A & \downarrow Y \\ & & \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat} \end{array}$$

◆

Theorem 4.2. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC.

- (i) Every \mathbb{X} -colored large set A is a versatile colimit of the AVD-functor $F_A: \mathbb{D}A \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ in Notation 4.1.
- (ii) If \mathbb{X} has loose units, then every monoid M in \mathbb{X} is a versatile colimit of the AVD-functor $F_M: \mathbb{I}^b 1 \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ in Notation 4.1.
- (iii) If \mathbb{X} has loose units, then every \mathbb{X} -enriched large category \mathbf{A} is a versatile colimit of the AVD-functor $F_{\mathbf{A}}: \mathbb{I}^b(\text{Ob}\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ in Notation 4.1.

Proof. These are special cases of the construction in the proof of Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.6. \square

Definition 4.3.

- (i) A **(large) versatile coproduct** is a versatile colimit of an AVD-functor from $\mathbb{D}S$ for some (large) set S .
- (ii) A **versatile collapse** is a versatile colimit of an AVD-functor from $\mathbb{I}^b 1$, where 1 denotes the singleton.
- (iii) A **(large) versatile collage** is a versatile colimit of an AVD-functor from $\mathbb{I}^b S$ for some (large) set S . \blacklozenge

Remark 4.4. The term “collapse” has been used for similar concepts in a virtual equipment: For a monoid M in a virtual equipment, a tight cocone from M satisfying (T) is called a “collapse” in [Sch15]; The same term is also used in [AM24b] for a tight cocone from the monoid satisfying a stronger condition, which coincides with our term “versatile collapse.” \blacklozenge

Lemma 4.5. For any AVDC \mathbb{X} , $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ has all large versatile coproducts.

Proof. Let $(A_i)_{i \in S}$ be \mathbb{X} -colored large sets indexed by a large set S . Let Ξ be a (large) disjoint union of $(A_i)_{i \in S}$, and let $A_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} \Xi$ denote the coprojections. We write $(i; x)$ for an element of Ξ , where $x \in A_i$, and define its color by $|(i; x)| := |x|$.

We have to show that Ξ is a versatile coproduct of $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbf{S}}$. The condition (T) follows clearly by the construction. Since the tight arrow part of $\xi_i(x)$ for each $x \in A_i$ is the identity, ξ_i is pulling in $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$. The remaining conditions (L-l)(L-r)(M1-l)(M1-r)(M2)(M3) follow directly from the structure of Ξ as a disjoint union. \square

Theorem 4.6. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC, and let \mathbf{C} be a category. If \mathbb{X} has versatile colimits of any AVD-functors $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$, then $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ has versatile colimits of any AVD-functors $\mathbb{P}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$.

Proof. Let $A: \mathbb{P}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ be an AVD-functor. Now, A assigns to each object $i \in \mathbf{C}$, a monoid $A_i = (A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_i^1} A_i^0, A_i^e, A_i^m)$ in \mathbb{X} , where A_i^e is the unit and A_i^m is the multiplication, and A also assigns to each pair (i, j) of $i, j \in \mathbf{C}$, a bimodule $A_{ij} = (A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0, A_{ij}^l, A_{ij}^r)$ in \mathbb{X} , where A_{ij}^l and A_{ij}^r are the left action and the right action, respectively.

Let $F: \mathbb{P}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ denote an AVD-functor given by pre-composing A with the forgetful functor $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. Let $G: \mathbb{D}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ denote an AVD-functor given by post-composing F with the inclusion $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathbf{C}$. Let us take a versatile colimit $(A_i^0 \xrightarrow{\xi_i^0} \Xi^0)_i$ in \mathbb{X} of G . For each $i \in \mathbf{C}$, the families $(A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0)_j$ and $(A_j^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ji}^1} A_i^0)_j$ yield a right G -module and a left G -module, respectively. By (M1-r) and (M1-l), there exist two loose arrows $A_i^0 \xrightarrow{q_i} \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{p_i} A_i^0$ in \mathbb{X} and modulations $q_i \xi^{0\dagger}$ and $\xi^0 \dagger p_i$ of type 1 whose components are cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} & A_j^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ji}^1} & A_i^0 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \xi_j^0 & & \parallel \\ \parallel & & (\mathbf{q}_i \xi^{0\dagger})_j: \text{cart} & & (\xi^0 \dagger \mathbf{p}_i)_j: \text{cart} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \xi_j^0 & & \parallel \\ A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{q_i} & \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{p_i} & A_i^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i, j \in \mathbf{C}).$$

By (M0-r) for Ξ^0 , there exist, for each $i, j \in \mathbf{C}$, a unique cell q_{ij} in \mathbb{X} corresponding to a modulation of type 0 on the right below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} & A_j^0 & \xrightarrow{q_j} & \Xi^0 \\ \parallel & & \mathbf{q}_{ij} & & \parallel \\ A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{q_i} & \Xi^0 & & \Xi^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad \left\| \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} & A_j^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{jk}^1} & A_k^0 \\ \parallel & & A! & & \parallel \\ A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ik}^1} & A_k^0 & & A_k^0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (k \in \mathbf{C})$$

Then, (q_i, q_{ij}) uniquely extends to a left F -module \mathbf{q} by Proposition 3.31 and (M0-r) for Ξ^0 . In particular, \mathbf{q} is also a left G -module. Thus, by (L-l) for Ξ^0 , we obtain a unique loose arrow Ξ^1 in \mathbb{X} and a modulation $\xi^0 \dagger \Xi^1$ of type 1 whose components are cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{q_i} & \Xi^0 \\ \xi_i^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_i^0 \\ \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} & \Xi^0 \end{array} \quad (\xi^0 \dagger \Xi^1)_i: \text{cart} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i \in \mathbf{C}).$$

In the same way, we can construct a right F -module $\mathbf{p} = (p_i, p_{ij})$, a loose arrow $\Xi^{1'}$, and a modulation $\Xi^{1'} \xi^{0\dagger}$ of type 1 whose components are cartesian. By replacing p_i appropriately, we

can assume $\Xi^1 = \Xi^{1'}$ without loss of generality. We now have cartesian cells as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0 & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0 \\
 \xi_i^0 \downarrow \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_j^0 & \parallel (\mathbf{q}_i \xi^{0\dagger})_j : \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_j^0 & \xi_i^0 \downarrow (\xi^{0\dagger} \mathbf{p}_j)_i : \text{cart} \parallel \\
 \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0 & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{q}_i} \Xi^0 = \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_j} A_j^0 & \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0 \\
 \xi_i^0 \downarrow (\xi^{0\dagger} \Xi^1)_i : \text{cart} \parallel & & \parallel (\Xi^1 \xi^{0\dagger})_j : \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_j^0 \\
 \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0 & & \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i, j \in \mathbf{C}). \quad (14)$$

By (M2) for Ξ^0 , we have a unique cell Ξ^e below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i^0 & & A_i^0 \\
 \xi_i^0 \downarrow \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_i^0 & & \parallel A! \parallel \\
 \Xi^0 & = & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ii}^1} A_i^0 \\
 \parallel \Xi^e \parallel & & \xi_i^0 \downarrow \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_i^0 \\
 \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0 & & \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i \in \mathbf{C}).$$

By (M0-l), (M0-r), and (M3) for Ξ^0 , we have a unique cell Ξ^m below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0 \xrightarrow{A_{jk}^1} A_k^0 & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0 \xrightarrow{A_{jk}^1} A_k^0 & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0 \xrightarrow{A_{jk}^1} A_k^0 \\
 \xi_i^0 \downarrow \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_j^0 \downarrow \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_k^0 & \parallel A! \parallel & \parallel A! \parallel \\
 \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0 & = & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ik}^1} A_k^0 \\
 \parallel \Xi^m \parallel & & \xi_i^0 \downarrow \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_k^0 \\
 \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0 & & \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} \Xi^0
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i, j, k \in \mathbf{C}).$$

Using the functoriality of A and the universal property of versatile colimits, we can verify that $(\Xi^0, \Xi^1, \Xi^e, \Xi^m)$ becomes a monoid Ξ in \mathbb{X} .

By the naturality axiom of cells in $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$, the following two composites of cells coincide:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_i^1} A_i^0 & & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_i^1} A_i^0 \\
 \parallel & \parallel A! \parallel & \parallel A! \parallel \\
 A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_i^1} A_i^0 & = & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_i^1} A_i^0 \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ii}^1} A_i^0 & & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ii}^1} A_i^0
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}.$$

Let ξ_i^1 be a cell obtained by the tightwise composite of the above cell and the cell (14) with $i = j$. Then, we can verify that (ξ_i^0, ξ_i^1) becomes a tight arrow $A_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} \Xi$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ for each $i \in \mathbf{C}$.

For objects $i, j \in \mathbf{C}$, the cell (14) yields a cartesian cell ξ_{ij} in $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}} & A_j \\
 \searrow \xi_i & \searrow \xi_{ij} & \swarrow \xi_j \\
 & \Xi &
 \end{array} : \text{cart} \quad \text{in } \text{Mod}(\mathbb{X}).$$

Then, the data $(\xi_i, \xi_{ij})_{i,j}$ yield a tight cocone ξ from A with the vertex $\Xi \in \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ by [Proposition 3.30](#).

We should show that ξ is a versatile colimit of A . Let us begin with the verification of [\(T\)](#) for ξ . Let $l = (l_i, l_{ij})_{i,j}$ be a tight cocone from A with a vertex $L \in \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$. By [\(T\)](#) for the versatile colimit Ξ^0 , there is a unique tight arrow $\Xi^0 \xrightarrow{k^0} L^0$ in \mathbb{X} such that, for all i , $\xi_i^0 \circ k^0 = l_i^0$. By [\(M1-l\)](#) and [\(M1-r\)](#) for Ξ^0 , there is a unique cell k^1 as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} & A_j^0 \\ \xi_i^0 \downarrow & \xi_{ij} : \text{cart} \downarrow \xi_j^0 & A_i^0 \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} A_j^0 \\ \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} & \Xi^0 = l_i^0 \downarrow \quad l_{ij} \quad \downarrow l_j^0 \\ k^0 \downarrow & k^1 \downarrow k^0 & L^0 \xrightarrow{L^1} L^0 \\ L^0 & \xrightarrow{L^1} & L^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i, j \in \mathbf{C}).$$

Using [\(M2\)\(M1-l\)\(M1-r\)\(M3\)](#) for Ξ^0 , we can verify that (k^0, k^1) becomes a tight arrow $\Xi \xrightarrow{k} L$ in $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ and that it is a unique one satisfying $\xi \circ k = l$.

We next show [\(L-l\)](#) for ξ . Since ξ_i^0 are pulling in \mathbb{X} and since $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ inherits 1-coary restrictions from \mathbb{X} by [Proposition 2.40](#), ξ_i become pulling in $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$. Let $m = (m_i, m_{ij})_{i,j}$ be a left A -module with a vertex $M \in \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$. By [\(L-l\)](#) for Ξ^0 , there are loose arrow p^1 and cartesian cells σ_i in \mathbb{X} being a modulation of type 1:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{m_i^1} & M^0 \\ \xi_i^0 \downarrow & \sigma_i : \text{cart} \parallel & \\ \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{p^1} & M^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i \in \mathbf{C}).$$

By [\(M0-l\)](#) and [\(M3\)](#) for Ξ^0 , there exists a unique cell p^l in \mathbb{X} satisfying the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} & A_j^0 \xrightarrow{m_j^1} M^0 \\ \xi_i^0 \downarrow & \xi_{ij} \downarrow \xi_j^0 & \sigma_j \parallel \\ \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{\Xi^1} & \Xi^0 \xrightarrow{p^1} M^0 \\ \parallel & p^l & \parallel \\ \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{p^1} & M^0 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{A_{ij}^1} & A_j^0 \xrightarrow{m_j^1} M^0 \\ \parallel & m_{ij} & \parallel \\ A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{m_i^1} & M^0 \\ \xi_i^0 \downarrow & \sigma_i & \parallel \\ \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{p^1} & M^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i, j \in \mathbf{C}).$$

By [\(M0-l\)](#) for Ξ^0 , there exists a unique cell p^r in \mathbb{X} corresponding to a modulation of type 0 on the right below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{p^1} & M^0 \xrightarrow{M^1} M^0 \\ \parallel & p^r & \parallel \\ \Xi^0 & \xrightarrow{p^1} & M^0 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{m_i^1} & M^0 \xrightarrow{M^1} M^0 \\ \parallel & m_i^r & \parallel \\ A_i^0 & \xrightarrow{m_i^1} & M^0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X} \quad (i \in \mathbf{C})$$

Then, $p := (p^1, p^l, p^r)$ and the cells σ_i form a loose arrow and cells in $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$. Then, we can verify that the cells σ_i become a modulation (of type 1), which shows [\(L-l\)](#) for ξ . The loosewise dual [\(L-r\)](#) also follows similarly. The rest conditions [\(M1-l\)\(M1-r\)\(M2\)\(M3\)](#) for ξ follow from those for Ξ^0 directly. \square

Corollary 4.7. For any AVDC \mathbb{X} , $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ has all versatile collapses.

Proof. Since versatile colimits for the shape $\mathbb{D}1$ are trivial, this follows directly from [Theorem 4.6](#). \square

Corollary 4.8. For any AVDC \mathbb{X} , $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ has all large versatile collages.

Proof. Combine [Lemma 4.5](#) and [Theorem 4.6](#). \square

4.2. Density.

Definition 4.9. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. An object $A \in \mathbb{L}$ is called **collage-atomic** (resp. **coproduct-atomic**) if, for any large versatile collage (resp. coproduct) $\Xi \in \mathbb{L}$ of $F: \mathbb{P}S \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}S \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$), every tight arrow $A \xrightarrow{f} \Xi$ in \mathbb{L} uniquely factors through a unique coprojection $Fc \xrightarrow{\xi_c} \Xi$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \exists! \swarrow & \downarrow f & \\ Fc & = & \downarrow \xi_c \\ & \Xi & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (\exists! c \in S).$$

◆

Definition 4.10. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. An object $A \in \mathbb{L}$ is called **collapse-atomic** if, for any versatile collapse $\Xi \in \mathbb{L}$ of a monoid $B = (B^0, B^1, B^e, B^m)$ in \mathbb{L} , every tight arrow $A \xrightarrow{f} \Xi$ in \mathbb{L} uniquely factors through the coprojection $B^0 \xrightarrow{\xi} \Xi$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \exists! \swarrow & \downarrow f & \\ B^0 & = & \downarrow \xi \\ & \Xi & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

◆

Proposition 4.11. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC. Then, $A \in \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ is coproduct-atomic if and only if it is tightwise isomorphic to Y_c for some $c \in \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. Let Ξ be a versatile coproduct of a large family $A_i \in \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$. By [Lemma 4.5](#), Ξ is a disjoint union of $(A_i)_i$. Thus, it immediately follows that Y_c is coproduct-atomic since the underlying set of Y_c is the singleton.

To prove the converse direction, take a coproduct-atomic \mathbb{X} -colored large set A arbitrarily. By [Theorem 4.2](#), A can be regarded as a large versatile coproduct of objects of the form Y_c ($c \in \mathbb{X}$). Since A is coproduct-atomic, the identity tight arrow on A factors through some coprojection $Y_c \xrightarrow{x} A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \exists! K \swarrow & \parallel & \\ Y_c & = & \parallel \\ & \downarrow x & \\ & A & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}.$$

Since Y_c is also coproduct-atomic, the tight arrow x must uniquely factor through itself. Thus we have $x \circ K = \text{id}$ and $A \cong Y_c$. \square

A similar proof to [Proposition 4.11](#) works for the following propositions:

Proposition 4.12. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC with loose units. Then, $A \in \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ is collapse-atomic if and only if it is tightwise isomorphic to the trivial monoid U_c as in [Notation 2.38](#) for some $c \in \mathbb{X}$.

Proposition 4.13. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC with loose units. An \mathbb{X} -enriched large category is collage-atomic in $\mathbb{X}\text{-}\mathbb{P}\text{rof}$ if and only if it is tightwise isomorphic to a preobject classifier \mathbf{Z}_c for some $c \in \mathbb{X}$.

Definition 4.14. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC. A full sub-AVDC $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ is called **collage-dense** (resp. **coproduct-dense**; **collapse-dense**) if it satisfies following:

- Every object in \mathbb{X} is collage-atomic (resp. coproduct-atomic; collapse-atomic) in \mathbb{L} .
- Every object in \mathbb{L} can be written as a large versatile collage (resp. a large versatile coproduct; a versatile collapse) of objects from \mathbb{X} . \blacklozenge

Remark 4.15. Collage-dense full sub-AVDCs are called **Cauchy generators** in the bicategorical setting [\[Str04\]](#). \blacklozenge

Proposition 4.16. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC.

- (i) The full sub-AVDC given by $\mathbb{X}^b \xrightarrow{Y} \mathbb{X}\text{-}\mathbb{M}\text{at}$ is coproduct-dense.
- (ii) If \mathbb{X} has loose units, the full sub-AVDC given by $\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{U} \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})$ is collapse-dense.
- (iii) If \mathbb{X} has loose units, the full sub-AVDC given by $\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{Z} \mathbb{X}\text{-}\mathbb{P}\text{rof}$ is collage-dense.

Proof. These follow from [Theorem 4.2](#) and [Propositions 4.11](#) to [4.13](#). \square

Remark 4.17. In an AVDC with restrictions, the density theorem ([Theorem B.2](#)) shows that the collage-density can be captured by the “canonical” tight cocones defined in [Definition B.1](#). In particular, if \mathbb{X} has restrictions, every \mathbb{X} -category can be written as a versatile colimit in $\mathbb{X}\text{-}\mathbb{P}\text{rof}$ of all of its preobjects. The term “preobject” comes from this fact. \blacklozenge

4.3. Characterization theorems. We first focus on the shapes of coproducts and characterize them up to finality. This observation is essential to the characterization of $\mathbb{X}\text{-}\mathbb{P}\text{rof}$, especially when the enriching base \mathbb{X} does not have all restrictions.

Definition 4.18. Let \mathbf{C} be a category. An object $m \in \mathbf{C}$ is called **maximal** if every parallel morphisms $m \rightrightarrows \cdot$, not necessarily distinct, have a common retraction. Let $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ denote the full subcategory of all maximal objects in \mathbf{C} . \blacklozenge

Remark 4.19. The category $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$ always becomes a “simply connected groupoid.” That is, $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$ has at most one morphism between any two objects, and such a morphism is an isomorphism. \blacklozenge

Definition 4.20. A category \mathbf{C} is called **C -discrete** if:

- The isomorphism classes of $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$ form a large set;
- The inclusion functor $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is final. \blacklozenge

Lemma 4.21. The following are equivalent for a category \mathbf{C} :

- (i) \mathbf{C} is C -discrete.
- (ii) There is a final functor $S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ from a large discrete category S .
- (iii) There is a large set S of objects in \mathbf{C} such that any object in \mathbf{C} has a unique morphism from itself whose codomain lies in S .

Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, the large set S above becomes isomorphic to a skeleton of $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$.

Proof. [(i) \implies (ii)] Let S be a skeleton of $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$. We regard S as a discrete category. Since $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$ is a simply connected groupoid, the inclusion functor $S \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$ is final. Since finality is closed under composition, the composite of the inclusions $S \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ gives a desired final functor.

[(ii) \implies (iii)] Let $\Phi: S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a final functor from a large discrete category. By the finality, Φ becomes injective on objects. Then, the image of Φ gives a desired class of objects in \mathbf{C} .

[(iii) \implies (i)] Let $S \subseteq \text{Ob}\mathbf{C}$ be the large set in the condition (iii). Let $s \in S$, and let $f, g: s \rightrightarrows c$ be morphisms in \mathbf{C} . By the assumption, there is a morphism $h: c \rightarrow s'$ such that $s' \in S$. By the uniqueness, we have $f \circ h = \text{id} = g \circ h$, which shows that s is maximal in \mathbf{C} . Thus, the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ factors through $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, where S is regarded as a large discrete category. Then, S gives a large skeleton of $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$. Since $S \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is final and the inclusion $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is full (and faithful), the functor $S \rightarrow \mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C})$ becomes final. Then, the cancellation property shows that $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is final. \square

Notation 4.22. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC, and let $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be a full sub-AVDC. For an object $L \in \mathbb{L}$, let \mathbf{TX}/L denote a category defined as follows:

- An object is a pair (X, x) of an object $X \in \mathbb{X}$ and a tight arrow $X \xrightarrow{x} L$ in \mathbb{L} .
- A morphism $(X, x) \rightarrow (X', x')$ is a tight arrow $X \xrightarrow{f} X'$ in \mathbb{L} such that $f \circ x' = x$.

Given $(X, x) \in \mathbf{TX}/L$, we write Dx for X and identify x with $(Dx, x) \in \mathbf{TX}/L$. \blacklozenge

Construction 4.23 (Nerve construction). Let $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be a full sub-AVDC of an AVDC. Suppose that the following conditions hold for every $L \in \mathbb{L}$:

- The category \mathbf{TX}/L is C -discrete;
- $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{TX}/L)$ has a skeleton whose elements are pulling in \mathbb{L} .

Then, we can construct an AVD-functor $N: \mathbb{L}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ as follows:

- Fix $L \in \mathbb{L}$. We choose a skeleton S_L of $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{TX}/L)$ whose elements are pulling in \mathbb{L} and define $NL := S_L$. For $x \in NL$, its color is defined by $|x| := Dx$.
- For a tight arrow $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{L} , we write Nf for a morphism $NA \rightarrow NB$ defined as follows: Let $x \in NA$; since \mathbf{TX}/B is C -discrete, the tight arrow $x \circ f$ uniquely factors through a unique element in NB , denoted by $(Nf)^0x$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & |x| & \\ x \swarrow & & \searrow (Nf)^1x \\ A & = & |y| \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow (Nf)^0x \\ & B & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L},$$

which gives a morphism $x \mapsto (Nf)x$.

- For a loose arrow $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathbb{L} , we write Nu for a matrix $NA \rightrightarrows NB$ over \mathbb{X} defined as follows: For $x \in NA$ and $y \in NB$, the loose arrow $(Nu)(x, y)$ is defined as a restriction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |x| & \xrightarrow{(Nu)(x,y)} & |y| \\ x \downarrow & \text{cart} & \downarrow y \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

(iv) For a cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} & A_n \\ f \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow g \\ B & \xrightarrow{v} & C \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L},$$

we write $N\alpha$ for a cell in $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ defined by the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |x_0| & \xrightarrow{Nu_1(x_0, x_1)} & |x_1| \xrightarrow{Nu_2(x_1, x_2)} \dots \xrightarrow{Nu_n(x_{n-1}, x_n)} |x_n| \\ (Nf)^1 x_0 \downarrow & (N\alpha)_{x_0 x_1 \dots x_n} & \downarrow (Ng)^1 x_n \\ |(Nf)^0 x_0| & \xrightarrow{Nv((Nf)^0 x_0, (Ng)^0 x_n)} & |(Ng)^0 x_n| \\ (Nf)^0 x_0 \downarrow & \text{cart} & \downarrow (Ng)^0 x_n \\ B & \xrightarrow{v} & C \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{ccccccc} |x_0| & \xrightarrow{Nu_1(x_0, x_1)} & |x_1| & \xrightarrow{Nu_2(x_1, x_2)} & \dots & \xrightarrow{Nu_n(x_{n-1}, x_n)} & |x_n| \\ x_0 \downarrow & \text{cart} & x_1 \downarrow & \text{cart} & \dots & \text{cart} & \downarrow x_n \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{u_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{u_n} & A_n \\ f \downarrow & & \alpha & & & & \downarrow g \\ B & \xrightarrow{v} & & & & & C \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Here, $x_0 \in NA_0, x_1 \in NA_1, \dots, x_n \in NA_n$. ◆

Theorem 4.24. The following are equivalent for an AVDC \mathbb{L} :

- (i) \mathbb{L} is equivalent to $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ for some AVDC \mathbb{X} with loose units.
- (ii) \mathbb{L} has large versatile collages and a collage-dense full sub-AVDC.

Proof. [(i) \implies (ii)] This follows from [Corollary 4.8](#) and [Proposition 4.16](#).

[(ii) \implies (i)] In what follows, we write I for the inclusion AVD-functor $\mathbb{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}$. We first show that the conditions of [Construction 4.23](#) are satisfied for every $L \in \mathbb{L}$. By the collage-density, there are a large set S_L , an AVD-functor $F_L: \mathbb{I}^b S_L \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ factoring through \mathbb{X} , and a tight cocone ξ^L exhibiting L as a versatile colimit of F_L . Then, by the collage-atomicity, the assignment $s \mapsto \xi_s^L$ yields a final functor $S_L \rightarrow \mathbf{TX}/L$, which implies C -discreteness. Moreover, the large set $S_L \cong \{\xi_s^L \mid s \in S_L\}$ gives a skeleton of $\mathbf{Max}(\mathbf{TX}/L)$ whose elements are pulling in \mathbb{L} . Thus, we obtain the AVD-functor $N: \mathbb{L}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ of [Construction 4.23](#). By [Corollary 3.34](#), \mathbb{L} has all loose units, hence we have the AVD-functor $\mathcal{N}: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}) = \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ corresponding to N .

Let $L \in \mathbb{L}$. By the bijection $S_L \cong \{\xi_s^L \mid s \in S_L\}$, the \mathbb{X} -enriched large category $\mathbf{NL} := \mathcal{N}(L)$ can be regarded as an AVD-functor of the following form:

$$\mathbb{I}^b S_L \xrightarrow{\mathbf{NL}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{I} \mathbb{L}.$$

For $s, t \in S_L$, $I \circ \mathbf{NL}$ sends the unique loose arrow $!_{st}$ in $\mathbb{I}^b S_L$ to the following restriction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_L s & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{NL}(\xi_s^L, \xi_t^L)} & F_L t \\ \xi_s^L \downarrow & \text{cart} & \downarrow \xi_t^L \\ L & \xrightarrow{U_L} & L \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L},$$

where U_L denotes the loose unit on L . Then, by the strongness theorem ([Theorem 3.33](#)), $I \circ \mathbf{NL}$ becomes isomorphic to F_L . In what follows, we will regard $F_L = I \circ \mathbf{NL}$.

To show that \mathcal{N} is an equivalence, we will use [Theorem 2.15](#). Let $A, B \in \mathbb{L}$. Since A is a versatile collage of F_A , by [\(T\)](#), the tight arrows $A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbb{L} bijectively correspond to the tight cocones from F_A with the vertex B . By the collage-atomicity and $F_A = I \circ \mathbf{N}A$, those tight cocones correspond to the \mathbb{X} -functors $\mathbf{N}A \rightarrow \mathbf{N}B$.

Take arbitrary data on the left below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} & A_n \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ B & \xrightarrow{\vec{v}} & C \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{N}A_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}\vec{u}} & \mathbf{N}A_n \\ \mathcal{N}f \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{N}g \\ \mathbf{N}B & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}\vec{v}} & \mathbf{N}C \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof} \quad (15)$$

Using [\(M1-l\)\(M1-r\)\(M2\)\(M3\)](#) for the versatile collages A_i of F_{A_i} , we can straightforwardly show that the cells fitting into the left of [\(15\)](#) correspond to the cells fitting into the right of [\(15\)](#).

Take $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ arbitrarily. Regarding \mathbf{A} as an AVD-functor, we can take a versatile collage ζ with a vertex $Z \in \mathbb{L}$ from the following AVD-functor:

$$\mathbb{P}\text{Ob}\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{I} \mathbb{L}.$$

Let $s \in S_Z$. Since $F_Z s \in \mathbb{L}$ is collage-atomic, the tight arrow ξ_s^Z uniquely factors through $\zeta_{Q^0 s}$ for a unique object $Q^0 s \in \mathbf{A}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F_Z s & \\ Q^1 s \swarrow & & \downarrow \xi_s^Z \\ |Q^0 s|_{\mathbf{A}} & = & \\ \searrow \zeta_{Q^0 s} & & Z \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

By the strongness theorem ([Theorem 3.33](#)) and the universal property of restrictions, there is a unique cell Q_{st} for $s, t \in S_Z$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_Z s & \xrightarrow{F_Z(!_{st})} & F_Z t \\ Q^1 s \downarrow & Q_{st} & \downarrow Q^1 t \\ |Q^0 s|_{\mathbf{A}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(Q^0 s, Q^0 t)} & |Q^0 t|_{\mathbf{A}} \\ \searrow \zeta_{Q^0 s} & \zeta_{Q^0 s Q^0 t} & \swarrow \zeta_{Q^0 t} \\ & Z & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} F_Z s & \xrightarrow{F_Z(!_{st})} & F_Z t \\ \searrow \xi_s^Z & \xi_{st}^Z & \swarrow \xi_t^Z \\ & Z & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L},$$

which gives an \mathbb{X} -functor $\mathbf{N}Z \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{A}$. We can also obtain an \mathbb{X} -functor $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{Q'} \mathbf{N}Z$ in a similar way, and by the collage-atomicity of objects from \mathbb{X} , Q and Q' become inverse to each other.

Let $Q: \mathbf{N}Z \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{A}$ and $R: \mathbf{N}W \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{B}$ be the invertible \mathbb{X} -functors constructed above for $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$. Let $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{B}$ be an \mathbb{X} -profunctor. Then, by [\(L-l\)](#) for Z and [\(L-r\)](#) for W , we obtain a loose arrow $Z \xrightarrow{P} W$ in \mathbb{L} and a cartesian cell θ of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{N}Z & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}P} & \mathbf{N}W \\ Q \downarrow \cong & \theta: \text{cart} \cong \downarrow & R \\ \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathbf{B} \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}.$$

Since the left and right boundary are invertible, the cell θ becomes loosewise invertible automatically. Then, we conclude that the AVD-functor $\mathcal{N}: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ becomes an equivalence. \square

We can also prove the following theorems in a similar way to [Theorem 4.24](#):

Theorem 4.25. The following are equivalent for a diminished AVDC \mathbb{L} :

- (i) \mathbb{L} is equivalent to $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ for some AVDC \mathbb{X} .
- (ii) \mathbb{L} has large versatile coproducts and a coproduct-dense full sub-AVDC.

Theorem 4.26. The following are equivalent for an AVDC \mathbb{L} :

- (i) \mathbb{L} is equivalent to $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{X})$ for some AVDC \mathbb{X} with loose units.
- (ii) \mathbb{L} has versatile collapses and a collapse-dense full sub-AVDC.

Remark 4.27. In spite of the fact that the Prof -construction can be split into two constructions as $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof} = \text{Mod}(\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat})$, the characterization theorem of $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}$ (Theorem 4.24) does not directly follow from the characterization theorems of the others (Theorems 4.25 and 4.26). This is because $\mathbb{X}\text{-Mat}$ does not have loose units in general. \blacklozenge

4.4. Closedness under slicing. In this subsection, we prove that the AVDCs of profunctors are closed under “slicing” as a direct consequence of our characterization theorems. We first generalize to AVDCs, the notion of slice double categories [Par11], which has been denoted by the double slash “//.”

Definition 4.28. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC, and let $L \in \mathbb{L}$. The *slice* AVDC, denoted by \mathbb{L}/L , is the AVDC defined by the following:

- The tight category is $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{L}/L$;
- A loose arrow $x \xrightarrow{u} y$ in \mathbb{L}/L is a pair (Du, u) of a loose arrow Du and a cell u

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Dx & \xrightarrow{Du} & Dy \\ & \searrow x \quad \swarrow y & \\ & L & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L};$$

- A cell $\alpha \in \text{Cell}_{\mathbb{L}/L}(f \xrightarrow{u} g)$ is a cell in \mathbb{L} satisfying the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Dx_0 & \xrightarrow{Du_1} \dots \xrightarrow{Du_n} & Dx_n \\ f \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow g \\ Dy & \xrightarrow{Dv} & Dz \\ & \searrow y \quad \swarrow z & \\ & L & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} Dx_0 & \xrightarrow{Du_1} \dots \xrightarrow{Du_n} & Dx_n \\ & \searrow x_0 \quad \swarrow x_n & \\ & L & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

We write $D_L: \mathbb{L}/L \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ for the canonical AVD-functor defined by $x \mapsto Dx$. For a full sub-AVDC $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$, we write $\mathbb{X}/L \subseteq \mathbb{L}/L$ for the full sub-AVDC consisting of objects $x \in \mathbb{L}/L$ such that $Dx \in \mathbb{X}$. \blacklozenge

Lemma 4.29. Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs. Then, a tight cocone from F with a vertex $L \in \mathbb{L}$ is the same as an AVD-functor $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}/L$ where the pre-composite with $D_L: \mathbb{L}/L \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is F .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{L}/L \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow D_L \\ & & \mathbb{L} \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.30. Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC, and let $L \in \mathbb{L}$. Let $G: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}/L$ be an AVD-functor from an AVDC. Suppose that we are given a versatile colimit ξ of $D_L G$ with a vertex $\Xi \in \mathbb{L}$. Then, there is a versatile colimit of G , which is sent to ξ by D_L .

Proof. Let l denote the tight cocone from $D_L G$ associated with G , and let $L \in \mathbb{L}$ be its vertex. By (T) for the versatile colimit ξ , we obtain the canonical tight arrow $\Xi \xrightarrow{k} L$ in \mathbb{L} . Then, the AVD-functor $H: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}/\Xi$ corresponding to ξ makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K} & \xrightarrow{H} & \mathbb{L}/\Xi \cong (\mathbb{L}/L)/k \\ & \searrow G & \downarrow D_k \\ & & \mathbb{L}/L \end{array}$$

This gives a tight cocone from G with the vertex k , which becomes a versatile colimit of G straightforwardly. \square

Lemma 4.31. Let $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ be a collage-dense (resp. collapse-dense) full sub-AVDC of an AVDC, and let $L \in \mathbb{L}$. Then, $\mathbb{X}/L \subseteq \mathbb{L}/L$ also becomes collage-dense (resp. collapse-dense).

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.30 directly. \square

By the characterization theorems (Theorems 4.24 and 4.26), we now have the following:

Corollary 4.32. Let \mathbb{X} be an AVDC with loose units.

- (i) For an \mathbb{X} -enriched category \mathbf{A} , there is an equivalence $\mathbb{X}\text{-Prof}/\mathbf{A} \simeq (\mathbb{X}/\mathbf{A})\text{-Prof}$ in \mathcal{AVDC} .
- (ii) For a monoid M in \mathbb{X} , there is an equivalence $\mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X})/M \simeq \mathbb{M}\text{od}(\mathbb{X}/M)$ in \mathcal{AVDC} .

Remark 4.33. Corollary 4.32(i) is a double categorical refinement of the result in [FL24], which treats the (strict) slice 2-category of the 2-category of categories and functors enriched in a bicategory. \blacklozenge

APPENDIX A. FINAL FUNCTORS

Definition A.1. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be an AVD-functor between AVDCs. For a loose path $A \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} B$ in \mathbb{K} , we define a category $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ as follows:

- An object in $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ is a tuple $(X^0, X^1, X, \varphi^0, \varphi^1, \varphi)$ of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} & B \\ \varphi^0 \downarrow & \varphi & \downarrow \varphi^1 \\ \Phi X^0 & \dashrightarrow_{\Phi X} & \Phi X^1 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K}. \quad (16)$$

We also write (X, φ) for such an object $(X^0, X^1, X, \varphi^0, \varphi^1, \varphi)$.

- A morphism $(X, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\theta} (Y, \psi)$ in $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ is a tuple $(\theta^0, \theta^1, \theta)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} & B \\ \varphi^0 \downarrow & \varphi & \downarrow \varphi^1 \\ \Phi X^0 & \dashrightarrow_{\Phi X} & \Phi X^1 \\ \Phi \theta^0 \downarrow & \Phi \theta & \downarrow \Phi \theta^1 \\ \Phi Y^0 & \dashrightarrow_{\Phi Y} & \Phi Y^1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} A & \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} & B \\ \psi^0 \downarrow & \psi & \downarrow \psi^1 \\ \Phi Y^0 & \dashrightarrow_{\Phi Y} & \Phi Y^1 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K}.$$

When $A = B$ and \vec{u} is of length 0, the category $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ is also denoted by $\mathbf{S}(\frac{A}{\Phi})$. \blacklozenge

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) & \xleftarrow{(-)^0} & \mathbf{S}\left(\frac{A}{\Phi}\right) & \xrightarrow{(-)^1} & A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & A \\ p \downarrow & \mapsto & p \left(= \right) p \\ \Phi X & & \Phi X \end{array}$$

◆

- For every object $A \in \mathbb{K}$, the comma category $A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$ is simply connected.
- For every loose path \vec{u} in \mathbb{K} , the category $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ is connected.
- For every loose path $A_0 \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} A_n$ in \mathbb{K} , there exist data of the following form:

1

Proof. [(ii) \implies (i)] This follows from [Lemma A.6](#).

[(i) \implies (ii)] The first and third conditions for finality are trivial. We will show the second condition. Let $a \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} b$ in $\mathbb{I}^b \mathbf{D}$ be a loose path. The following shows that every object (x, φ) in $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ on the left below is connected with an object such that X is of length 1 in (16):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 a \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} b & & a \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} b \\
 \varphi^0 \downarrow \quad \varphi & \downarrow \varphi^1 & \downarrow \varphi^1 \\
 \Phi x^0 \dashrightarrow^{\Phi x} \Phi x^1 & = & \Phi x^0 \xrightarrow{\Phi!} \Phi x^1 \\
 \parallel \quad \Phi! & & \parallel \\
 \Phi x^0 \xrightarrow{\Phi!} \Phi x^1 & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{I}^b \mathbf{D}$$

The full subcategory of $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ consists of objects where X is of length 1 in (16) is isomorphic to a product $a/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) \times b/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$ of comma categories, which are connected by the assumption. Therefore, $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ is connected. \square

We now present a slight generalization of cartesian cells. While this may seem somewhat technical, we introduce it here since it will be used later.

Definition A.8. Let $A \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} B$ be a loose path in an AVDC \mathbb{L} . Let \mathbf{C} be a category, and let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\leq 1} \mathbb{L}$ be a functor. A **cone** over F with the vertex \vec{u} is a family of cells α_c for $c \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfying the following equality for any morphism $c \xrightarrow{s} d$ in \mathbf{C} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} B & & A \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} B \\
 \alpha_c^0 \downarrow \quad \alpha_c & \downarrow \alpha_c^1 & \downarrow \alpha_d^1 \\
 F^0 c \dashrightarrow^{F c} F^1 c & = & F^0 d \dashrightarrow^{F d} F^1 d \\
 F^0 s \downarrow \quad F s & \downarrow F^1 s & \\
 F^0 d \dashrightarrow^{F d} F^1 d & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

◆

Definition A.9 (Jointly cartesian cells). Let \mathbb{L} be an AVDC, let \mathbf{C} be a category, and let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\leq 1} \mathbb{L}$ be a functor. A cone over F

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X^0 \dashrightarrow^X X^1 & & \\
 \alpha_c^0 \downarrow \quad \alpha_c & \downarrow \alpha_c^1 & \\
 F^0 c \dashrightarrow^{F c} F^1 c & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L} \quad (c \in \mathbf{C})$$

is called **jointly cartesian** in \mathbb{L} if it satisfies the following condition: Suppose that we are given a loose path $A \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} B$, tight arrows $A \xrightarrow{f} X^0$ and $B \xrightarrow{g} X^1$, and a cone β over F on the right below; then there uniquely exists a cell γ satisfying the following equality for any $c \in \mathbf{C}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} B & & A \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} B \\
 f \downarrow \quad \gamma & \downarrow g & f \downarrow \quad \beta_c \\
 X^0 \dashrightarrow^X X^1 & = & X^0 \dashrightarrow^{\beta_c} X^1 \\
 \alpha_c^0 \downarrow \quad \alpha_c & \downarrow \alpha_c^1 & \alpha_c^0 \downarrow \quad \alpha_c^1 \\
 F^0 c \dashrightarrow^{F c} F^1 c & & F^0 c \dashrightarrow^{F c} F^1 c
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}$$

◆

Notation A.10. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be AVD-functors between AVDCs. Then, a tight cocone l from F yields a tight cocone from $F\Phi$, denoted by l_Φ , in a natural way. We also use such a notation for modules and modulations. \blacklozenge

Theorem A.11. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a final AVD-functor. Then, the following hold for any AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$.

- (i) The assignment $l \mapsto l_\Phi$ yields isomorphisms of categories

$$-\Phi: \mathbf{Cone}(F_L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Cone}(F_L^\Phi) \quad (L \in \mathbb{L}).$$

- (ii) Assume the following additional condition: for any $A \in \mathbb{K}$ there exists an object $(X, p) \in A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$ such that Fp is left-pulling in \mathbb{L} . Then, the assignment $m \mapsto m_\Phi$ yields equivalences of categories

$$-\Phi: \mathbf{Mdl}(F, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Mdl}(F\Phi, M) \quad (M \in \mathbb{L}).$$

- (iii) The assignment $\rho \mapsto \rho_\Phi$ yields bijections among the classes of modulations of the same type.

Proof. We first show (iii) for modulations of type 1. Let σ be a modulation of type 1 exhibited by the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F\Phi & \xrightarrow{m_\Phi} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ l_\Phi \downarrow & & \sigma & & \downarrow j \\ L & \xrightarrow{\dots\dots\dots q} & & & L' \end{array}$$

Here, m is a left F -module, and l is a tight cocone from F . We have to construct a modulation \mathfrak{s} such that $\mathfrak{s}_\Phi = \sigma$. For each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, let us take a tight arrow $A \xrightarrow{a} \Phi X$ in \mathbb{K} by using the ordinary finality of $\mathbf{T}\Phi$ and define \mathfrak{s}_A as the following cell:

$$\mathfrak{s}_A := \begin{array}{ccccc} FA & \xrightarrow{m_A} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ Fa \downarrow & m_a & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ F\Phi X & \xrightarrow{m_{\Phi X}} & M & \xrightarrow{\vec{p}} & M' \\ l_{\Phi X} \downarrow & & \sigma_X & & \downarrow j \\ L & \xrightarrow{\dots\dots\dots q} & & & L' \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

By using the ordinary finality of $\mathbf{T}\Phi$ again, we can show that the cells \mathfrak{s}_A are independent of the choice of $A \xrightarrow{a} \Phi X$. Then, from the independence of \mathfrak{s}_A and the second condition in the definition of finality, it easily follows that the cells \mathfrak{s} form a desired modulation \mathfrak{s} . The uniqueness of \mathfrak{s} is trivial. The same argument works in the case of modulations of the other types.

We next show (i). Since the functor $-\Phi: \mathbf{Cone}(F_L) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cone}(F_L^\Phi)$ is fully faithful by (iii), it suffices to show that the functor $-\Phi$ is bijective on objects. Let l be a tight cocone from $F\Phi$ to L . Since $A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$ is connected for each $A \in \mathbb{K}$, we can define l_A as $(Fp)_! l_X$ independently of a choice of $A \xrightarrow{p} \Phi X$ in \mathbb{K} . Since $\mathbf{S}(\vec{u}_\Phi)$ is connected for $A_0 \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} A_n$ in \mathbb{K} , we can also define a

cell $\mathfrak{l}_{\vec{u}}$ as follows independently of a choice of an object $(X, \varphi) \in \mathbf{S}(\vec{u}_{\Phi})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_0} & \searrow \mathfrak{l}_{\vec{u}} & \swarrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_n} \\
 & L &
 \end{array}
 :=
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\
 F\varphi^0 \downarrow & F\varphi & \downarrow F\varphi^1 \\
 F\Phi X^0 & \xrightarrow{F\Phi X} & F\Phi X^1 \\
 l_{X^0} \searrow & l_X & \swarrow l_{X^1} \\
 & L &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Taking data $(\vec{X}, Y, Z, \vec{p}, f, g, \vec{v}, w, \vec{\varphi}, \theta)$ as in (17), we can show that the cell $\mathfrak{l}_{\vec{u}}$ is the composite of the cells $(\mathfrak{l}_{u_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{l}_{u_n})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_0} & \searrow \mathfrak{l}_{\vec{u}} & \swarrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_n} \\
 & L &
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\
 Fp_0 \downarrow & F\vec{\varphi} & \downarrow Fp_n \\
 F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{F\Phi \vec{v}} & F\Phi X_n \\
 F\Phi f \downarrow & F\Phi \theta & \downarrow F\Phi g \\
 F\Phi Y & \xrightarrow{F\Phi w} & F\Phi Z \\
 l_Y \searrow & l_w & \swarrow l_Z \\
 & L &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 FA_0 \xrightarrow{Fu_1} FA_1 \xrightarrow{Fu_2} \dots \xrightarrow{Fu_{n-1}} FA_{n-1} \xrightarrow{Fu_n} FA_n \\
 \downarrow Fp_0 \quad \downarrow F\varphi_1 \quad \downarrow Fp_1 \quad \downarrow F\varphi_2 \quad \downarrow F\varphi_{n-1} \quad \downarrow Fp_{n-1} \quad \downarrow F\varphi_n \quad \downarrow Fp_n \\
 = F\Phi X_0 \xrightarrow{F\Phi v_1} F\Phi X_1 \xrightarrow{F\Phi v_2} \dots \xrightarrow{F\Phi v_{n-1}} F\Phi X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{F\Phi v_n} F\Phi X_n \\
 \searrow l_{X_0} \quad \swarrow l_{v_1} \quad \swarrow l_{X_1} \quad \swarrow l_{X_{n-1}} \quad \swarrow l_{v_n} \quad \swarrow l_{X_n} \\
 \quad \quad \quad L
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 FA_0 \xrightarrow{Fu_1} FA_1 \xrightarrow{Fu_2} \dots \xrightarrow{Fu_{n-1}} FA_{n-1} \xrightarrow{Fu_n} FA_n \\
 \searrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_0} \quad \swarrow \mathfrak{l}_{u_1} \quad \swarrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_1} \quad \swarrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_{n-1}} \quad \swarrow \mathfrak{l}_{u_n} \quad \swarrow \mathfrak{l}_{A_n} \\
 \quad \quad \quad L
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

To show that \mathfrak{l} is a tight cocone, take an arbitrary cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_0 & \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} & A_n \\
 b \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow c \\
 B & \xrightarrow{v} & C
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K}. \tag{18}$$

Taking an object $(Z, \chi) \in \mathbf{S}(\frac{v}{\Phi})$, we have the following:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\
 Fb \downarrow & F\alpha & \downarrow Fc \\
 FB & \xrightarrow{Fv} & FC \\
 \downarrow \iota_B & \downarrow \iota_v & \downarrow \iota_C \\
 & L &
 \end{array} \\
 = \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\
 Fb \downarrow & F\alpha & \downarrow Fc \\
 FB & \xrightarrow{Fv} & FC \\
 F\chi^0 \downarrow & F\chi & \downarrow F\chi^1 \\
 F\Phi Z^0 & \xrightarrow{F\Phi Z} & F\Phi Z^1 \\
 \downarrow \iota_{Z^0} & \downarrow \iota_Z & \downarrow \iota_{Z^1} \\
 & L &
 \end{array} \\
 = \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 FA_0 & \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} & FA_n \\
 \downarrow \iota_{A_0} & \downarrow \iota_{\vec{u}} & \downarrow \iota_{A_n} \\
 & L &
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Therefore, \mathfrak{l} becomes a tight cocone. The uniqueness of \mathfrak{l} is trivial.

We next show (ii) under the additional assumption on left-pullingness. Since the functor $-_{\Phi}: \mathbf{Mdl}(F, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mdl}(F\Phi, M)$ is fully faithful by (iii), it suffices to show that the functor $-_{\Phi}$ is essentially surjective. Let m be a left $F\Phi$ -module with a vertex M . Consider a functor $G_A: A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^1\mathbb{L}$ defined by the following assignment:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 A \\
 \downarrow p \\
 \Phi X
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{K} \quad \mapsto \quad F\Phi X \xrightarrow{m_X} M \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Note that G_A can be decomposed into two functors $A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}\mathbb{J} \xrightarrow{m(-)} \mathbf{T}^1\mathbb{L}$, where the first one is the forgetful functor and the second one is induced by the left module m . By the assumption, there are an object $A \xrightarrow{p_0} \Phi X_0$ in $A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$ and a restriction, denoted by \mathfrak{m}_A , of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_A} & M \\
 Fp_0 \downarrow & \text{cart} & \parallel \\
 F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{m_{X_0}} & M
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}. \quad (19)$$

Since $A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$ is connected and simply connected, the above cell (19) uniquely extends to a cone over G_A of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FA & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_A} & M \\
 Fp \downarrow & \rho_X^p: \text{cart} & \parallel \\
 F\Phi X & \xrightarrow{m_X} & M
 \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}, \text{ where } (X, p) \in A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi). \quad (20)$$

Note that ρ_X^p automatically becomes cartesian since the cell (19) ($=\rho_{X_0}^{p_0}$) is cartesian. Since $A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$ is connected, the cone (20) over G_A becomes jointly cartesian. Furthermore, since $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$ is connected for $A \xrightarrow{\vec{u}} B$ in \mathbb{K} , a cone over $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi}) \xrightarrow{(-)^0} A/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) \xrightarrow{G_A} \mathbf{T}^1\mathbb{L}$ obtained by pre-composing $(-)^0$ with the cone (20) also becomes jointly cartesian.

Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ be a tight arrow in \mathbb{K} . Then, the assignment to $(X, p) \in B/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$, the cell $\rho_X^{f \circ p}$ gives a cone over G_B . Using the joint cartesianness of “ ρ ,” we have a unique cell \mathfrak{m}_f satisfying

the following for any $(X, p) \in B/(\mathbf{T}\Phi)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_A} & M \\ Ff \downarrow & & \parallel \\ FB & \xrightarrow{\rho_X^{f;p}} & M \\ Fp \downarrow & & \parallel \\ F\Phi X & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_X} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_A} & M \\ Ff \downarrow & \mathfrak{m}_f & \parallel \\ FB & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_B} & M \\ Fp \downarrow & \rho_X^p & \parallel \\ F\Phi X & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_X} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

It easily follows that the assignment $f \mapsto \mathfrak{m}_f$ is functorial.

Let $A_0 \dashrightarrow^{\vec{u}} A_n$ be a loose path in \mathbb{K} . Then, the assignment to $(X, \varphi) \in \mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$, a cell on the left below gives a cone over $\mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi}) \xrightarrow{(-)^0} A_0/(\mathbf{T}\Phi) \xrightarrow{G_{A_0}} \mathbf{T}^1\mathbb{L}$. Using the joint cartesianness of “ ρ ,” we have a unique cell, denoted by $\mathfrak{m}_{\vec{u}}$, such that the following holds for every object $(X, \varphi) \in \mathbf{S}(\frac{\vec{u}}{\Phi})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 \dashrightarrow^{F\vec{u}} FA_n & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_n}} & M \\ F\varphi^0 \downarrow & F\varphi & \downarrow F\varphi^1 \quad \rho_{X^1}^1 \\ F\Phi X^0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_X} & F\Phi X^1 \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{X^1}} M \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ F\Phi X^0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{X^0}} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 \dashrightarrow^{F\vec{u}} FA_n & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_n}} & M \\ \parallel & \mathfrak{m}_{\vec{u}} & \parallel \\ FA_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_0}} & M \\ F\varphi^0 \downarrow & \rho_{X^0}^{\varphi^0} & \parallel \\ F\Phi X^0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{X^0}} & M \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

Taking data $(\vec{X}, Y, Z, \vec{p}, f, g, \vec{v}, w, \vec{\varphi}, \theta)$ as in (17), we can decompose the cell $\mathfrak{m}_{\vec{u}}$ into the cells $(\mathfrak{m}_{u_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_{u_n})$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 \dashrightarrow^{F\vec{u}} FA_n & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_n}} & M \\ Fp_0 \downarrow & F\vec{\varphi} & Fp_n \downarrow \\ F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Z} & F\Phi X_n \xrightarrow{\rho_Z^{p_n; \Phi g}} M \\ F\Phi f \downarrow & F\Phi \theta & F\Phi g \downarrow \\ F\Phi Y & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Z} & F\Phi Z \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Z} M \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ F\Phi Y & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Y} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 \dashrightarrow^{F\vec{u}} FA_n & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_n}} & M \\ Fp_0 \downarrow & F\vec{\varphi} & Fp_n \downarrow \\ F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Z} & F\Phi X_n \xrightarrow{\rho_{X_n}^{p_n}} M \\ F\Phi f \downarrow & F\Phi \theta & F\Phi g \downarrow \\ F\Phi Y & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Z} & F\Phi Z \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Z} M \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ F\Phi Y & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Y} & M \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 \dashrightarrow^{F\vec{u}} FA_n & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_n}} & M \\ Fp_0 \downarrow & F\vec{\varphi} & Fp_n \downarrow \\ F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Z} & F\Phi X_n \xrightarrow{\rho_{X_n}^{p_n}} M \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{X_0}} & M \\ F\Phi f \downarrow & \mathfrak{m}_f & \parallel \\ F\Phi Y & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Y} & M \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} FA_0 \dashrightarrow^{F(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})} FA_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_{n-1}}} & FA_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_n}} M \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ FA_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{A_{n-1}}} & M \\ Fp_0 \downarrow & F(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) & \downarrow Fp_{n-1} \\ F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{X_{n-1}}} & F\Phi X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{X_{n-1}}^{p_{n-1}}} M \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ F\Phi X_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{X_0}} & M \\ F\Phi f \downarrow & \mathfrak{m}_f & \parallel \\ F\Phi Y & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_Y} & M \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA_0 \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M & & FA_0 \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M \\
\parallel & (\mathbf{m}_{u_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{u_n}) & \parallel \\
FA_0 \xrightarrow{m_{A_0}} M & & FA_0 \xrightarrow{m_{A_0}} M \\
= \cdots = Fp_0 \downarrow \rho_{X_0}^{p_0} \downarrow m_{X_0} & & = Fp_0 \downarrow \rho_Y^{p_0 \circ \Phi f} : \text{cart} \\
F\Phi X_0 \xrightarrow{m_f} M & & F\Phi X_0 \xrightarrow{m_f} M \\
F\Phi f \downarrow m_f & & F\Phi f \downarrow m_f \\
F\Phi Y \xrightarrow{m_Y} M & & F\Phi Y \xrightarrow{m_Y} M
\end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L}.$$

To show that \mathbf{m} is a left F -module, let us take an arbitrary cell α in \mathbb{K} as in (18). Taking an object $(Y, \psi) \in \mathbf{S}(\frac{v}{\psi})$, we have the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA_0 \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M & & FA_0 \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M \\
Fb \downarrow F\alpha \downarrow Fc \quad \mathbf{m}_c & & Fb \downarrow F\alpha \downarrow Fc \quad \mathbf{m}_c \\
FB \xrightarrow{Fv} FC \xrightarrow{m_C} M & & FB \xrightarrow{Fv} FC \xrightarrow{m_C} M \\
\parallel \quad \mathbf{m}_v & = & \parallel \\
FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M & & F\Phi Y^0 \xrightarrow{F\Phi Y} F\Phi Y^1 \xrightarrow{m_{Y^1}} M \\
F\psi^0 \downarrow \rho_{Y^0}^{\psi^0} : \text{cart} & & \parallel \quad m_Y \\
F\Phi Y^0 \xrightarrow{m_{Y^0}} M & & F\Phi Y^0 \xrightarrow{m_{Y^0}} M
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA_0 \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M & & FA_0 \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M \\
Fb \downarrow Fc & & \parallel \quad \mathbf{m}_{\vec{u}} \\
FB \xrightarrow{F(\alpha \circ \psi)} FC \xrightarrow{\rho_{Y^1}^{c \circ \psi^1}} M & & FA_0 \xrightarrow{m_{A_0}} M \\
= F\psi^0 \downarrow & & = Fb \downarrow \rho_{Y^0}^{b \circ \psi^0} \\
F\Phi Y^0 \xrightarrow{F\Phi Y} F\Phi Y^1 \xrightarrow{m_{Y^1}} M & & FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M \\
\parallel \quad m_Y & & F\psi^0 \downarrow \\
F\Phi Y^0 \xrightarrow{m_{Y^0}} M & & F\Phi Y^0 \xrightarrow{m_{Y^0}} M
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA_0 \xrightarrow{F\vec{u}} FA_n \xrightarrow{m_{A_n}} M & & \\
\parallel & \mathbf{m}_{\vec{u}} & \parallel \\
FA_0 \xrightarrow{m_{A_0}} M & & \\
= Fb \downarrow & \mathbf{m}_b & \parallel \\
FB \xrightarrow{m_B} M & & \\
F\psi^0 \downarrow & \rho_{Y^0}^{\psi^0} : \text{cart} & \parallel \\
F\Phi Y^0 \xrightarrow{m_{Y^0}} M & &
\end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{L},$$

which shows that \mathbf{m} becomes a left F -module. We can easily verify that the cells ρ_X^{id} for $X \in \mathbb{J}$ form an invertible modulation $\mathbf{m}_{\Phi} \cong m$ of type 0, which finishes the proof. \square

Example A.12. Let \mathbb{J} be the AVDC consisting of two objects 0, 1 and a unique loose arrow $0 \rightarrow 1$. Let \mathbb{K} be an AVDC defined by the following:

- \mathbb{K} has just two objects 0, 1;

- \mathbb{K} has no non-trivial tight arrow;
- \mathbb{K} has just three loose arrows $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1$;
- For any boundary for cells, which include 0-coary ones, \mathbb{K} has a unique cell filling it.

Then, the inclusion $\mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ gives a final AVD-functor. An AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is the same as a choice of a loose arrow $F0 \rightarrow F1$ and loose units on $F0$ and $F1$. By [Theorem A.11](#), we can ignore the loose units when we regard F as a diagram for tight cocones, modules, and modulations. \blacklozenge

Corollary A.13. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a final AVD-functor. Let $F: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be an AVD-functor such that Ff is pulling in \mathbb{L} for any tight arrow f in \mathbb{K} . Then, a tight cocone ξ from F is a versatile colimit if and only if so is the tight cocone ξ_Φ from $F\Phi$.

Proof. This follows from [Theorem A.11](#). \square

APPENDIX B. DENSITY IN THE CASE OF VIRTUAL EQUIPMENTS

Definition B.1. Let \mathbb{E} be an AVDC with restrictions. Let $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ be a full sub-AVDC. Fix an object $E \in \mathbb{E}$.

- (i) We define an AVD-functor $K_E: \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{TX}/E) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, factoring through \mathbb{X} , as follows:
- For $x \in \mathbf{TX}/E$, $K_E(x) := Dx$.
 - For $x, y \in \mathbf{TX}/E$, $K_E(!_{xy})$ is defined to be the following restriction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Dx & \xrightarrow{K_E(!_{xy})} & Dy \\ & \text{cart} & \\ x & \searrow & \swarrow y \\ & E & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{E}. \quad (21)$$

- For $x_0, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{TX}/E$ and $x_0 \xrightarrow{f} y, x_n \xrightarrow{g} z$ in \mathbf{TX}/E , the assignment to the unique cell $!$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{TX}/E)$ is defined using the universality of restrictions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Dx_0 & \xrightarrow{K_E(!_{x_0x_1})} \dots \xrightarrow{K_E(!_{x_{n-1}x_n})} & Dx_n \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Dy & \xrightarrow{K_E(!_{yz})} & Dz \\ & \text{cart} & \\ & y \searrow & \swarrow z \\ & E & \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccccccc} Dx_0 & \xrightarrow{K_E(!)} & Dx_1 & \xrightarrow{K_E(!)} & \dots & \xrightarrow{K_E(!)} & Dx_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{K_E(!)} & Dx_n \\ & \text{cart} & & & \dots & & \text{cart} & & \\ & x_0 & & x_1 & & x_{n-1} & & x_n & \\ & & & & & & & & E \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{E}.$$

- (ii) Furthermore, the cartesian cells (21) yield a tight cocone $K_E \Rightarrow E$, which is denoted by κ_E . \blacklozenge

Theorem B.2 (Density theorem). Let \mathbb{E} be an AVDC with restrictions. For a full sub-AVDC $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ whose objects are collage-atomic in \mathbb{E} , the following are equivalent:

- $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ is collage-dense.
- For every $E \in \mathbb{E}$, the tight cocone κ_E of [Definition B.1](#) is a versatile colimit and the category \mathbf{TX}/E is C -discrete.

Proof. [(ii) \implies (i)] Since \mathbf{TX}/E is C -discrete, there is a final functor $\Phi: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{TX}/E$ from a large discrete category \mathbf{S} . By [Proposition A.7](#), Φ induces a final AVD-functor $\mathbb{P}\Phi: \mathbb{P}\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{TX}/E)$. Then, [Corollary A.13](#) makes $(\kappa_E)_{\mathbb{P}\Phi}$ be a versatile collage.

[(i) \implies (ii)] Fix $E \in \mathbb{E}$. By assumption, there is a large set \mathbf{S} , an AVD-functor $F: \mathbb{P}\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ factoring through \mathbb{X} , and a tight cocone ξ from F that exhibits E as a large versatile collage.

Then, the following assignment yields a functor $\Phi: S \rightarrow \mathbf{TX}/E$:

$$i \in S \quad \xrightarrow{\Phi} \quad \begin{array}{c} Fi \\ \downarrow \xi_i \\ E \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{TX}/E.$$

By the definition of collage-atomic objects, the functor Φ becomes final, hence \mathbf{TX}/E is C -discrete. By virtue of the strongness theorem ([Theorem 3.33](#)), we have an invertible tight AVD-transformation of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I}^b S & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{E} \\ \mathbb{I}^b \Phi \searrow & \Downarrow \cong & \nearrow K_E \\ & \mathbb{I}^b (\mathbf{TX}/E) & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{AVDC}.$$

By [Proposition A.7](#), the induced AVD functor $\mathbb{I}^b \Phi$ is final. Then, [Corollary A.13](#) implies that the canonical tight cocone κ_E becomes a versatile colimit. \square

REFERENCES

- [AM24a] N. Arkor and D. McDermott. “The formal theory of relative monads”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 228.9 (2024), Paper No. 107676, 107 (cit. on p. 1).
- [AM24b] N. Arkor and D. McDermott. *The nerve theorem for relative monads*. 2024. arXiv: [2404.01281 \[math.CT\]](#) (cit. on pp. 1, 42).
- [AM25] N. Arkor and D. McDermott. “Relative monadicity”. In: *J. Algebra* 663 (2025), pp. 399–434 (cit. on p. 1).
- [Bur71] A. Burroni. “ T -catégories (catégories dans un triple)”. In: *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle* 12 (1971), pp. 215–321 (cit. on p. 8).
- [CKW87] A. Carboni, S. Kasangian, and R. Walters. “An axiomatics for bicategories of modules”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 45.2 (1987), pp. 127–141 (cit. on pp. 3, 22).
- [CS10] G. S. H. Crutwell and M. A. Shulman. “A unified framework for generalized multicategories”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 24 (2010), No. 21, 580–655 (cit. on pp. 2, 8, 11–15).
- [DPP06] R. J. M. Dawson, R. Paré, and D. A. Pronk. “Paths in double categories”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 16 (2006), No. 18, 460–521 (cit. on p. 12).
- [FL24] S. Fujii and S. Lack. “The oplax limit of an enriched category”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 40 (2024), Paper No. 14, 390–412 (cit. on p. 52).
- [Kou20] S. R. Koudenburg. “Augmented virtual double categories”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 35 (2020), Paper No. 10, 261–325 (cit. on pp. 4, 6–13, 36).
- [Kou24] S. R. Koudenburg. “Formal category theory in augmented virtual double categories”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 41 (2024), Paper No. 10, 288–413 (cit. on p. 1).
- [Lei99] T. Leinster. *Generalized Enrichment for Categories and Multicategories*. 1999. arXiv: [math/9901139 \[math.CT\]](#) (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 8, 13, 18, 20).
- [Lei02] T. Leinster. “Generalized enrichment of categories”. In: vol. 168. 2-3. *Category theory 1999 (Coimbra)*. 2002, pp. 391–406 (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 8, 18, 20).
- [Lei04] T. Leinster. *Higher operads, higher categories*. Vol. 298. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. xiv+433 (cit. on pp. 2, 8, 13, 18).
- [Par90] R. Paré. “Simply connected limits”. In: *Canad. J. Math.* 42.4 (1990), pp. 731–746 (cit. on p. 53).
- [Par11] R. Paré. “Yoneda theory for double categories”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 25 (2011), No. 17, 436–489 (cit. on pp. 23, 51).

- [Sch15] P. Schultz. *Regular and exact (virtual) double categories*. 2015. arXiv: [1505.00712 \[math.CT\]](#) (cit. on p. [42](#)).
- [Str04] R. Street. “Cauchy characterization of enriched categories [MR0708046]”. In: *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.* 4 (2004), pp. 1–16 (cit. on pp. [3](#), [47](#)).
- [Wal82] R. F. C. Walters. “Sheaves on sites as Cauchy-complete categories”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 24.1 (1982), pp. 95–102 (cit. on pp. [2](#), [20](#)).
- [Woo82] R. J. Wood. “Abstract proarrows. I”. In: *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle* 23.3 (1982), pp. 279–290 (cit. on p. [1](#)).
- [Woo85] R. J. Wood. “Proarrows. II”. In: *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.* 26.2 (1985), pp. 135–168 (cit. on p. [1](#)).

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
Email address: ykawase@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp