

1 Revision

Remark 1.1. [Gou, Prop. 5.4.1] Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ be a power series, then the radius of convergence is

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}}$$

Proposition 1.2. [Gou, Prop. 5.1.4] Let $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and suppose $\forall i : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_{ij} = 0$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_{ij} = 0$ uniformly in j , then both series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{ij} \right)$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{ij} \right)$ converge and have equal sum.

2 Strassman's Theorem

Remark 2.1. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, $x, y \in R$, then we have $x^n - y^n = (x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Proof. , we use induction on n , Base case: $n = 2$, it's easy to see that

$$(x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j} = (x - y)(x + y) = x^2 - y^2$$

Induction hypothesis: we assume for an arbitrary $n \geq 2$: $x^n - y^n = (x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}$,
Induction step: consider

$$\begin{aligned} (x - y) \sum_{j=0}^n x^j y^{n-j} &= (x - y)(y^n + y^{n-1}x + \cdots + x^{n-1}y + x^n) \\ &= (x - y)(y(y^{n-1} + y^{n-2}x + \cdots + yx^{n-2} + x^{n-1}) + x^n) = y \underbrace{(x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}}_{=x^n - y^n} + x^n(x - y) \\ &= y(x^n - y^n) + x^n(x - y) = yx^n - y^{n+1} + x^{n+1} - yx^n = x^{n+1} - y^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ be a non-zero power series which converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $|a_N| = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |a_n|$ and $|a_n| < |a_N| \forall n > N$

Proof. Since $f(X)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then we have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n x^n| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| \cdot |x^n| \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = 0$$

□

Theorem 2.3 (Strassman). Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ and suppose we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, so that $f(x)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Define $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ like in Lemma 2.2 then the function f has at most N zeros.

Proof. induction on N .

- Base case: if $N = 0$, then $|a_0| > |a_n|, \forall n \geq 1$, we want to show that there are no zeros: $f(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, if we had $f(x) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots \\ \implies |a_0| &= |a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots| \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n x^n| \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n| \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts the assumption that $|a_0| > |a_n|, \forall n \geq 1$, so there are no zeros in this case.

- Induction step: Suppose N was defined like before, and $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p : f(\alpha) = 0$, then we have for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x) - f(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \alpha^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x^n - \alpha^n) \stackrel{2.1}{=} (x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} \\ &= (x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{nj}, \quad c_{nj} := \begin{cases} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} & j < n, \\ 0 & j \geq n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We can use prop 1.1 to change the order of the summation but first we have to show the conditions of the proposition:

1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{nj} = 0$: Clear, since we have $c_{nj} = 0, \forall j \geq n$.
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{nj} = 0$ uniformly in j : This is also easy to see, because we have $|a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j}| \leq |a_n| \rightarrow 0$ unrelated to j .

So we can switch the sums and then we have

$$(x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{nj} = (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{nj}$$

since $\forall j \geq n : c_{nj} = 0$, we need to only consider when $n > j$ so its equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &= (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} = (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+j+1} \alpha^n}_{=: b_j} \\ &= (x - \alpha) g(x), \quad g(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \end{aligned}$$

Now we check if $g(X)$ fits the assumptions of the theorem, to use the induction steps. We need to show that $g(X)$ is non zero and that $b_j \rightarrow 0$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a non-zero power series which converges on \mathbb{Z}_p , and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the roots of $f(X)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p , then there exists another power series $g(X)$ which also converges on \mathbb{Z}_p but has no zeros in \mathbb{Z}_p , for which*

$$f(X) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (X - \alpha_i) \right) g(X)$$

Proof. Clear from the proof of the theorem.

□

Corollary 2.5. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a non-zero power series which converges on $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $f(X)$ has a finite number of roots in $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof.

□

Corollary 2.6. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ and $g(X) = \sum b_n x^n$ be two p -adic power series which converge in a disc $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. If there exist infinitely many numbers $\alpha \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$, then $a_n = b_n, \forall n \geq 0$*

Corollary 2.7. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a p -adic power series which converges in some disc $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. If the function $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p, x \mapsto f(x)$ is periodic, that is, $\exists \pi \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p : f(x + \pi) = f(x), \forall x \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ then $f(X)$ is constant.*

Corollary 2.8. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a p -adic power series which is entire, that is, $f(x)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then $f(X)$ has at most countably many zeros. Furthermore, if the set of zeros is not finite then the zeros form a sequence α_n with $|\alpha_n| \rightarrow \infty$.*

3 The p -adic Logarithm Function

Definition 3.1 (Formal power series for the logarithm).

$$\log(1 + X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{X^n}{n} = X - \frac{X^2}{2} + \frac{X^3}{3} \mp \dots \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$$

Since the coefficients are in \mathbb{Q} we can consider it as a power series with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_p

Remark 3.2. We use **log** when referring to the formal power series, not the logarithm function itself.

Proposition 3.3. *$\log(1 + X)$ converges if and only if $|x| < 1$*

Proof.

□

Definition 3.4. Let $U_1 = B(1, 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |x - 1| < 1\} = 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we define the p -adic logarithm of $x \in U_1$ as:

$$\log_p(x) = \log(1 + (x - 1)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x - 1)^n}{n}$$

In order to be able to call it a logarithm, it has to fill the usual logarithmic property:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $a, b \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$, then we have*

$$\log_p(ab) = \log_p(a) + \log_p(b)$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $a = 1 + x, b = 1 + y$, and define for $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$

$$f(x) = \log_p(1 + x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}$$

□

4 Roots of Unity

Proposition 4.1. *For $p \neq 2$ we have $\log_p(x) = 0 \iff x = 1$ and for $p = 2$, we have $\log_p(x) = 0 \iff x = \pm 1$.*

Proof. We know that $\log_p(x)$ converges only for $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, not in \mathbb{Z}_p , but we can do a change of variables like in Corollary 2.5 □

References

[Gou] Fernando Q. Gouvêa: *p-adic Numbers*.