1 Revision

Remark 1.1. [Gou, Prop. 5.4.1] Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ be a power series, then the radius of convergence is

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}}$$

Proposition 1.2. *[Gou, Prop. 5.1.4][Proposition 1.5 in Talk 8] Let $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and suppose $\forall i : \lim_{j\to\infty} b_{ij} = 0$ and $lim_{i\to\infty} b_{ij} = 0$ uniformly in j, then both series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{ij}\right)$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{ij}\right)$ converge and have equal sum.*

2 Formal Derivatives

Theorem-Definition 2.1 (162)**.** *Let $f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$, we define its **formal derivative** as*

$$f'(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n X^{n-1},$$

Then $f'(X)$ has the properties of the derivative:

- *Additivity: $(f+g)'(X) = f'(X) + g'(X)$*
- *Product rule: $(fg)'(X) = f(X)g'(X) + f'(X)g(x)$*
- *Chain rule: $(f \circ g)'(X) = f'(g(X))g'(X)$*

Proof. Chain Rule: □

Proposition 2.2 (165)**.** *Let $f(X)$ be a power series which converges for all $|x| < \rho$, if $|a| < 1$ and $|b| < \rho$, then $g(x) = f(ax+b)$ is given by a power series $g(X)$ which converges for $|x| < \rho$.*

3 Strassman's Theorem

Remark 3.1. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, $x, y \in R$, then we have $x^n - y^n = (x-y)\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Proof. , we use induction on n, Base case: $n = 2$, it's easy to see that

$$(x-y)\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j} = (x-y)(x+y) = x^2 - y^2$$

Induction hypothesis: we assume for an arbitrary $n \geq 2 : x^n - y^n = (x-y)\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}$, Induction step: consider

$$(x-y)\sum_{j=0}^{n} x^j y^{n-j} = (x-y)(y^n + y^{n-1}x + \cdots + x^{n-1}y + x^n)$$

$$= (x-y)(y(y^{n-1} + y^{n-2}x + \cdots + yx^{n-2} + x^{n-1}) + x^n) = y\underbrace{(x-y)\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}}_{=x^n - y^n} + x^n(x-y)$$

$$= y(x^n - y^n) + x^n(x-y) = yx^n - y^{n+1} + x^{n+1} - yx^n = x^{n+1} - y^{n+1}.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ be a non-zero power series which converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $|a_N| = \max_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} |a_n|$ and $|a_n| < |a_N|$ $\forall n > N$*

Proof. Since $f(X)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then we have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : \lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n x^n| = 0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n| \cdot |x^n| \implies \lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n| = 0$$

□

Theorem 3.3 (Strassman)**.** *Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ and suppose we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$, so that $f(x)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Define $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ like in Lemma 2.2 then the function f has at most N zeros.*

Proof. induction on N.

- Base case: if $N = 0$, then $|a_0| > |a_n|, \forall n \geq 1$, we want to show that there are no zeros: $f(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, if we had $f(x) = 0$, then

$$0 = f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots$$
$$\implies |a_0| = |a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots| \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n x^n| \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n|$$

  But this contradicts the assumption that $|a_0| > |a_n|, \forall n \geq 1$, so there are no zeros in this case.

- Induction step: Suppose N was defined like before, and $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p : f(\alpha) = 0$, then we have for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$f(x) = f(x) - f(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \alpha^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x^n - \alpha^n) \overset{2.1}{=} (x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j}$$

$$= (x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{nj}, \quad c_{nj} := \begin{cases} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} & j < n, \\ 0 & j \geq n. \end{cases}$$

  We can use prop 1.1 to change the order of the summation but first we have to show the conditions of the proposition:

  1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{j \to \infty} c_{nj} = 0$: Clear, since we have $c_{nj} = 0, \forall j \geq n$.
  2. $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_{nj} = 0$ uniformly in j: This is also easy to see, because we have $|a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j}| \leq |a_n| \to 0$ unrelated to j.

  So we can switch the sums and then we have

$$(x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{nj} = (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{nj}$$

  since $\forall j \geq n : c_{nj} = 0$, we need to only consider when $n > j$ so its equal to

$$= (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} = (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+j+1} \alpha^n}_{=: b_j}$$

$$= (x - \alpha) g(x), \quad g(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j$$

  Now we check if $g(X)$ fits the assumptions of the theorem, to use the induction steps. We need to show that $g(X)$ is non zero and that $b_j \to 0$

  - $g(X)$ is non zero: clear since if $g(X)$ was the zero power series then $f(X)$ would also be zero, which is a contradiction.
  - $b_j \to 0$: Consider $|b_j| = |\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+j+1} \alpha^n| \leq \max_n |a_{n+j+1} \alpha^n| \leq \max_n |a_{n+j+1}| \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} 0$

  Now we look for $\max_j |b_j|$, note that

$$|b_j| \leq \max_n |a_{n+j+1}| \leq |a_N|, \forall j$$

  So we have

$$|b_{N-1}| = |a_N + a_{N_+1} \alpha + a_{N+2} \alpha^2 + \cdots| = |a_N|$$

  Finnaly, if $j > N - 1$, then

$$|b_j| \leq \max_k |a_{j+k+1}| \leq \max_{j > N} |a_j| < |a_N|$$

  So the index at which the maximum coefficient is reached b_n is $N - 1$, if we assume that $g(X)$ has at most $N - 1$ zeros in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ then $f(X)$ has at most N zeros (g's zeros and α).

□

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a non-zero power series which converges on $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and let $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the roots of $f(X)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then there exists another power series $g(X)$ which also converges on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ but has no zeros in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, for which*

$$f(X) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} (X - \alpha_i) \right) g(X)$$

Proof. Clear from the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a non-zero power series which converges on $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $f(X)$ has a finite number of roots in $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. Define

$$g(X) = f(p^m X) = \sum a_n p^{mn} X^n,$$

Since $f(x)$ converges for $x \in p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$, $g(x) = f(p^m x)$ converges for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, applying the theorem to $g(X)$ gives the finiteness of its zeros. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ and $g(X) = \sum b_n X^n$ be two p-adic power series which converge in a disc $p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$. If there exist infinitely many numbers $\alpha \in p^m Z_p$ such that $f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$, then $a_n = b_n, \forall n \geq 0$*

Proof. Define

$$h(X) = f(X) - g(X) = \sum (a_n - b_n) X^n$$

, then $h(X)$ converges also on $p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$, by Corollary 2.5 $h(X)$ has to have finitely many zeros, otherwise it must be the zero power series. Which means that

$$f(X) = g(X) \implies a_n = b_n \forall n \geq 0$$

□

Corollary 3.7. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a p-adic power series which converges in some disc $p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$. If the function $p^m\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p, x \mapsto f(x)$ is periodic, that is, $\exists \pi \in p^m\mathbb{Z}_p : f(x + \pi) = f(x), \forall \in p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$ then $f(X)$ is constant.*

Proof. The series $f(X) - f(0)$ has zeros at $n\pi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $\pi \in p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$ implies $n\pi \in p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$, this gives infinitely many zeros, and hence the series $f(X) - f(0)$ must be identically zero, i.e. $f(X)$ must be constant. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a p-adic power series which is entire, that is, $f(x)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then $f(X)$ has at most countably many zeros. Furthermore, if the set of zeros is not finite then the zeros form a sequence α_n with $|\alpha_n| \to \infty$.*

Proof. This is clear, because the number of zeros in each bounded disk $p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$ is finite. □

4 The p-adic Logarithm Function

Definition 4.1 (Formal power series for the logarithm)**.**

$$\mathbf{log}(1 + X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{X^n}{n} = X - \frac{X^2}{2} + \frac{X^3}{3} \mp \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$$

Since the coefficients are in $\mathbb{Q}$ we can consider it as a power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Remark 4.2. We use **log** when referring to the formal power series, not the logarithm function itself.

Proposition 4.3. $\mathbf{log}(1 + X)$ *converges if and only if* $|x| < 1$

Proof. □

Definition 4.4. Let $U_1 = B(1, 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |x - 1| < 1\} = 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we define the p-adic logarithm of $x \in U_1$ as:

$$\log_p(x) = \mathbf{log}(1 + (x - 1)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n}$$

In order to be able to call it a logarithm, it has to fill the usual logarithmic property:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $a, b \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$, then we have*

$$\log_p(ab) = \log_p(a) + \log_p(b)$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $a = 1 + x, b = 1 + y$, and define for $x \in \imath Z_p$

$$f(x) = \log_p(1 + x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}$$

□

5 Roots of Unity

Proposition 5.1. *For $p \neq 2$ we have $\log_p(x) = 0 \iff x = 1$ and for $p = 2$, we have $\log_p(x) = 0 \iff x = \pm 1$.*

Proof. We know that $\log_p(x)$ converges only for $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, not in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, but we can do a change of variables like in Corollary 2.5 □

Proposition 5.2. *Let $p \neq 2, x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $x^p = 1$, then $x = 1$.*

Proof. □

Corollary 5.3. *(Remark 4.5 in Talk 6) There are no p-th and hence no p^n-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Proof. □

Proposition 5.4. *If $p = 2, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ and $x^4 = 1$ then $x = \pm 1$, which means that there are no fourth roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}_2$*

Proof. □

Remark 5.5. We now summarize what we know so far about the roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}_p$:

- If $p = 2$, then the only roots of unity are ± 1
- If $p \neq 2$, then $\mathbb{Q}_p$ contains all the $p-1$-st roots of unity and none other. (their existence was shown in Talk 6)

References

[Gou] Fernando Q. Gouvêa: *p-adic Numbers.*