

1 Revision

Proposition 1.1 (Prop. 1.5 in Talk 8). Let $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and suppose $\forall i : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_{ij} = 0$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_{ij} = 0$ uniformly in j , then both series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{ij} \right)$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{ij} \right)$ converge and have equal sum.

Proposition 1.2 (Prop. 2.1 in Talk 8). Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ be a power series, then the radius of convergence is

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}}$$

2 Formal Derivatives of Power Series

Theorem-Definition 2.1. Let $f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$, we define its **formal derivative** as

$$f'(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n X^{n-1},$$

Then $f'(X)$ has the properties of the derivative:

- **Additivity:** $(f + g)'(X) = f'(X) + g'(X)$
- **Product Rule:** $(fg)'(X) = f(X)g'(X) + f'(X)g(X)$
- **Chain Rule:** $(f \circ g)'(X) = f'(g(X))g'(X)$

Proof. Let $f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$, $g(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n$

- **Additivity:**

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)'(X) &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \right)' = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) X^n \right)' = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n + b_n) X^{n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n X^{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_n X^{n-1} = f'(X) + g'(X) \end{aligned}$$

- **Product Rule:**

$$\begin{aligned} f(X)g'(X) + f'(X)g(X) &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) b_{n+1} X^n \right) + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} X^n \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n X^n, \quad c_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1) b_{i+1} a_{n-i}, \quad d_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1) a_{i+1} b_{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1) b_{i+1} a_{n-i} X^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1) a_{i+1} b_{n-i} X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (i+1) b_{i+1} a_{n-i} + \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1) a_{i+1} b_{n-i} \right) X^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (i+1) (b_{i+1} a_{n-i} + a_{i+1} b_{n-i}) \right) X^n \end{aligned}$$

- **Chain Rule:**

□

Proposition 2.2. Let $f(X)$ be a power series which converges for all $|x| < \rho$, if $|a| < 1$ and $|b| < \rho$, then $g(x) = f(ax + b)$ is given by a power series $g(X)$ which converges for $|x| < \rho$.

3 Strassman's Theorem

Remark 3.1. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, $x, y \in R$, then we have $x^n - y^n = (x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Proof. , we use induction on n , Base case: $n = 2$, it's easy to see that

$$(x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j} = (x - y)(x + y) = x^2 - y^2$$

Induction hypothesis: we assume for an arbitrary $n \geq 2$: $x^n - y^n = (x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}$, Induction step: consider

$$(x - y) \sum_{j=0}^n x^j y^{n-j} = (x - y)(y^n + y^{n-1}x + \cdots + x^{n-1}y + x^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (x-y)(y(y^{n-1} + y^{n-2}x + \cdots + yx^{n-2} + x^{n-1}) + x^n) = y(x-y) \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j y^{n-1-j}}_{=x^n - y^n} + x^n(x-y) \\
&= y(x^n - y^n) + x^n(x-y) = yx^n - y^{n+1} + x^{n+1} - yx^n = x^{n+1} - y^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ be a non-zero power series which converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $|a_N| = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |a_n|$ and $|a_n| < |a_N| \forall n > N$

Proof. Since $f(X)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then we have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n x^n| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| \cdot |x^n| \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = 0$$

□

Theorem 3.3 (Strassman). Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ and suppose we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, so that $f(x)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Define $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ like in Lemma 2.2 then the function f has at most N zeros.

Proof. induction on N .

- Base case: if $N = 0$, then $|a_0| > |a_n|, \forall n \geq 1$, we want to show that there are no zeros: $f(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, if we had $f(x) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots \\
\implies |a_0| &= |a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots| \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n x^n| \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n|
\end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts the assumption that $|a_0| > |a_n|, \forall n \geq 1$, so there are no zeros in this case.

- Induction step: Suppose N was defined like before, and $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p : f(\alpha) = 0$, then we have for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= f(x) - f(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \alpha^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x^n - \alpha^n) \stackrel{2.1}{=} (x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} \\
&= (x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{nj}, \quad c_{nj} := \begin{cases} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} & j < n, \\ 0 & j \geq n. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We can use prop 1.1 to change the order of the summation but first we have to show the conditions of the proposition:

1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{nj} = 0$: Clear, since we have $c_{nj} = 0, \forall j \geq n$.
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{nj} = 0$ uniformly in j : This is also easy to see, because we have $|a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j}| \leq |a_n| \rightarrow 0$ unrelated to j .

So we can switch the sums and then we have

$$(x - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{nj} = (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{nj}$$

since $\forall j \geq n : c_{nj} = 0$, we need to only consider when $n > j$ so its equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} a_n x^j \alpha^{n-1-j} = (x - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+j+1} \alpha^n}_{=: b_j} \\
&= (x - \alpha) g(x), \quad g(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j
\end{aligned}$$

Now we check if $g(X)$ fits the assumptions of the theorem, to use the induction steps. We need to show that $g(X)$ is non zero and that $b_j \rightarrow 0$

- $g(X)$ is non zero: clear since if $g(X)$ was the zero power series then $f(X)$ would also be zero, which is a contradiction.
- $b_j \rightarrow 0$: Consider $|b_j| = |\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+j+1} \alpha^n| \leq \max_n |a_{n+j+1} \alpha^n| \leq \max_n |a_{n+j+1}| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$

Now we look for $\max_j |b_j|$, note that

$$|b_j| \leq \max_n |a_{n+j+1}| \leq |a_N|, \forall j$$

So we have

$$|b_{N-1}| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{N+n} \alpha^n \right| = \left| a_N + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{N+n} \alpha^n}_S \right| = \left| a_N + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^m a_{N+n} \alpha^n}_{S_m} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_{N+n} \alpha^n}_{S-S_m} \right|$$

We know from Lemma 1.2 in Talk 8, That every convergent sequence (a_n) in \mathbb{Q}_p $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = 0$ or the sequence becomes constant, i.e. $\exists M \in \mathbb{N} : |a_n| = |a_M| \forall n \geq M$, so we take $M \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the index at which S_n becomes constant, then we have

$$= |a_N + S_M + \underbrace{S - S_M}_{=0}| = |a_N + S_M|$$

Since S_M is a finite sum we have

$$S_M \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_{N+n} \alpha^n| \leq \max_{n \geq 1} |a_{N+n}| < |a_N|$$

$$|a_N| \neq |S_M| \xrightarrow{2.2.2} |a_N + S_N| = |a_N| \implies b_{N-1} = a_N.$$

Finally, if $j > N - 1$, then

$$|b_j| \leq \max_k |a_{j+k+1}| \leq \max_{j > N} |a_j| < |a_N| = |b_{N-1}|$$

So the index at which the maximum coefficient b_n is reached is $N - 1$, if we assume that $g(X)$ has at most $N - 1$ zeros in \mathbb{Z}_p then $f(X)$ has at most N zeros (g 's zeros and α), this proves the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a non-zero power series which converges on \mathbb{Z}_p , and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the roots of $f(X)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p , then there exists another power series $g(X)$ which also converges on \mathbb{Z}_p but has no zeros in \mathbb{Z}_p , for which

$$f(X) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (X - \alpha_i) \right) g(X)$$

Proof. Clear from the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a non-zero power series which converges on $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $f(X)$ has a finite number of roots in $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. Define

$$g(X) = f(p^m X) = \sum a_n p^{mn} X^n,$$

Since $f(x)$ converges for $x \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$, $g(x) = f(p^m x)$ converges for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, applying the theorem to $g(X)$ gives the finiteness of its zeros. \square

Corollary 3.6. Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ and $g(X) = \sum b_n X^n$ be two p -adic power series which converge in a disc $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. If there exist infinitely many numbers $\alpha \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$, then $a_n = b_n, \forall n \geq 0$

Proof. Define

$$h(X) = f(X) - g(X) = \sum (a_n - b_n) X^n$$

, then $h(X)$ converges also on $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$, by Corollary 2.5 $h(X)$ has to have finitely many zeros, otherwise it must be the zero power series. Which means that

$$f(X) = g(X) \implies a_n = b_n \forall n \geq 0$$

\square

Corollary 3.7. Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a p -adic power series which converges in some disc $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. If the function $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p, x \mapsto f(x)$ is periodic, that is, $\exists \pi \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p : f(x + \pi) = f(x), \forall x \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ then $f(X)$ is constant.

Proof. The series $f(X) - f(0)$ has zeros at $n\pi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $\pi \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ implies $n\pi \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$, this gives infinitely many zeros, and hence the series $f(X) - f(0)$ must be identically zero, i.e. $f(X)$ must be constant. \square

Corollary 3.8. Let $f(X) = \sum a_n x^n$ be a p -adic power series which is entire, that is, $f(x)$ converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then $f(X)$ has at most countably many zeros. Furthermore, if the set of zeros is not finite then the zeros form a sequence α_n with $|\alpha_n| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. This is clear, because the number of zeros in each bounded disk $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ is finite. \square

4 The p -adic Logarithm Function

Definition 4.1 (Formal power series for the logarithm).

$$\log(1 + X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{X^n}{n} = X - \frac{X^2}{2} + \frac{X^3}{3} \mp \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$$

Since the coefficients are in \mathbb{Q} we can consider it as a power series with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_p

Remark 4.2. We use \log when referring to the formal power series, not the logarithm function itself.

Proposition 4.3. $\log(1 + X)$ converges if and only if $|x| < 1$

Proof. $\log(1 + X)$ is given by the power series

$$\log(1 + X) = f(X) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n X^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{X^n}{n}, \quad a_n = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$$

So by Prop 8.2.1 the radius of convergence is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \right)^{-1} = \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \right|} \right)^{-1} = \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left| \frac{1}{n} \right|} \right)^{-1} = \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{p^{-v_p(1/n)}} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{p^{v_p(n) - v_p(1)}} \right)^{-1} = \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{v_p(n)/n} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\frac{v_p(n)}{n} \leq \frac{\log(n)}{\log(p)n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \implies \rho = 1$$

We have to check for $x = 1$ to determine if $\log(1 + X)$ converges for $|x| \leq \rho$ or for $|x| < \rho$ □

Definition 4.4. Let $U_1 = B(1, 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |x - 1| < 1\} = 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we define the p -adic logarithm of $x \in U_1$ as:

$$\log_p(x) = \log(1 + (x - 1)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x - 1)^n}{n}$$

In order to be able to call it a logarithm, it has to fill the usual logarithmic property:

Proposition 4.5. Let $a, b \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$, then we have

$$\log_p(ab) = \log_p(a) + \log_p(b)$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $a = 1 + x, b = 1 + y$, and define for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$f(x) = \log_p(1 + x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}$$

□

5 Roots of Unity

Proposition 5.1. For $p \neq 2$ we have $\log_p(x) = 0 \iff x = 1$ and for $p = 2$, we have $\log_p(x) = 0 \iff x = \pm 1$.

Proof. We know that $\log_p(x)$ converges only for $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, not in \mathbb{Z}_p , but we can do a change of variables like in Corollary 2.5 □

Proposition 5.2. Let $p \neq 2, x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $x^p = 1$, then $x = 1$.

Proof. □

Corollary 5.3. (Remark 4.5 in Talk 6) There are no p -th and hence no p^n -th roots of unity in \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proof. □

Proposition 5.4. If $p = 2, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ and $x^4 = 1$ then $x = \pm 1$, which means that there are no fourth roots of unity in \mathbb{Q}_2

Proof. □

Remark 5.5. We now summarize what we know so far about the roots of unity in \mathbb{Q}_p :

- If $p = 2$, then the only roots of unity are ± 1
- If $p \neq 2$, then \mathbb{Q}_p contains all the $p - 1$ -st roots of unity and none other. (their existence was shown in Talk 6)

References

[Gou] Fernando Q. Gouvêa: *p-adic Numbers*.