Repeatability Test

Young-Kyoon Suh

April 6, 2017

1 Description

This document presents two sets of histograms based on the two runs of a program under test, called *INC*, with a set of increasing task lengths from 1 second to 4096 seconds. We would like to see if both of the sets have the same shape for the same task length. If so then, we can say that run repeatability is satisfied in our experiment setting.

2 Experiment Notes

Table 1 provides a short description of our experimental runs, on which the following histograms are based.

Machine	Task Length (sec)	Description	Experiment Period
`sodb9`	INC1∼INC64	Two runs with 1000 samples	2017-03-02 ∼ 2017-03-04 / 2017-03-13 ∼ 2017-03-14
`sodb9`	INC128∼INC1024	Two runs with 300 samples	2017-03-04 ∼ 2017-03-11 / 2017-03-14 ∼ 2017-03-21
`sodb10`	INC2048	Two runs of 300 samples	2017-03-02 ∼ 2017-03-09 / 2017-03-13 ∼ 2017-03-20
`sodb12`	INC4096	Two runs of 300 samples	2017-02-13 ∼ 2017-02-27 / 2017-03-02 ∼ 2017-03-17

Table 1: Notes on experiment runs used for histograms

Now we show histograms of elapsed time (ET) and process time (PT) of INC.

3 Histograms on the First Run

This section exhibits histograms on the first run of INC with its task length increasing from 1 second to 4096 seconds, via EMPv5. The detailed description of the base data is from Table 1.

3.1 ET



(a) ET frequency on INC1



(b) ET frequency on INC2



(c) ET frequency on INC4



(d) ET frequency on INC8

Figure 1: ET Histograms of INC1 ... INC8



(a) ET frequency on INC16



(b) ET frequency on INC32



(c) ET frequency on INC64

Figure 2: ET Histograms of INC16 ... INC64



(a) ET frequency on INC128



(b) ET frequency on INC256



(c) ET frequency on INC512



(d) ET frequency on INC1024

Figure 3: ET Histograms of INC128 ... INC1024



(a) ET frequency on INC2048

(b) ET frequency on INC4096

Figure 4: ET Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

3.2 PT



(a) PT frequency on INC1



(b) PT frequency on INC2



(c) PT frequency on INC4



(d) PT frequency on INC8

Figure 5: PT Histograms of INC1 ... INC8



(a) PT frequency on INC16



(b) PT frequency on INC32



(c) PT frequency on INC64

Figure 6: PT Histograms of INC16 ... INC64



(a) PT frequency on INC128



(b) PT frequency on INC256



(c) PT frequency on INC512



(d) PT frequency on INC1024

Figure 7: PT Histograms of INC256 ... INC1024



(a) PT frequency on INC2048

(b) PT frequency on INC4096

Figure 8: PT Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

4 Histograms on the Second Run

This section exhibits histograms on the second run of INC with its task length increasing from 1 second to 4096 seconds, via EMPv5. The detailed description of the base data is from Table 1.

4.1 ET



(a) ET frequency on INC1



(b) ET frequency on INC2



(c) ET frequency on INC4



(d) ET frequency on INC8

Figure 9: ET Histograms of INC1 ... INC8



(a) ET frequency on INC16



(b) ET frequency on INC32



(c) ET frequency on INC64

Figure 10: ET Histograms of INC16 ... INC64



(a) ET frequency on INC128



(b) ET frequency on INC256



(c) ET frequency on INC512



(d) ET frequency on INC1024

Figure 11: ET Histograms of INC128 ... INC1024



(a) ET frequency on INC2048

(b) ET frequency on INC4096

Figure 12: ET Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

4.2 PT



(a) PT frequency on INC1



(b) PT frequency on INC2



(c) PT frequency on INC4



(d) PT frequency on INC8

Figure 13: PT Histograms of INC1 ... INC8



(a) PT frequency on INC16



(b) PT frequency on INC32



(c) PT frequency on INC64

Figure 14: PT Histograms of INC16 ... INC64



(a) PT frequency on INC128



(b) PT frequency on INC256



(c) PT frequency on INC512



(d) PT frequency on INC1024

Figure 15: PT Histograms of INC256 ... INC1024



(a) PT frequency on INC2048

(b) PT frequency on INC4096

Figure 16: PT Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

4.2.1 Analysis

In this section we look into what happened inside the peaks observed in a certain histogram. We consider Figure 13(d) for this study. In the figure, we see the peaks at 8015 msec, 8020 msec, and 8021 msec.

Table 2 shows captured daemons and their runtime statistics per bin of figure. Note that bin is at the unit of PT. It appears that the peaks are definitely correlated with (1) appearances of some daemons and (2) times that those daemons co-ran with INC8.

TASK_LEN	BIN (PT)	DAEMON	MIN_PT	MAX_PT	AVG_PT	STD_PT	Counts
INC8	8013	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	1
INC8	8013	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	1
INC8	8013	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	17
INC8	8013	proc_monitor	196	200	197.72	1.07	18
INC8	8014	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	5
INC8	8014	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	35
INC8	8014	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	26
INC8	8014	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	58
INC8	8014	proc_monitor	196	200	197.31	1.06	95
INC8	**8015**	java	2	7	4.5	3.54	2
INC8	**8015**	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	2
INC8	**8015**	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	86
INC8	**8015**	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	89
INC8	**8015**	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	18
INC8	**8015**	proc_monitor	196	200	197.28	1.01	194
INC8	8016	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	36
INC8	8016	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	40
INC8	8016	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	8
INC8	8016	proc_monitor	196	200	196.45	.95	78
INC8	8017	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	11
INC8	8017	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	10
INC8	8017	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	3
INC8	8017	proc_monitor	196	200	197.15	1.16	26
INC8	8018	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	13
INC8	8018	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	9
INC8	8018	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	6
INC8	8018	proc_monitor	196	200	197.24	1.27	29
INC8	8019	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	3
INC8	8019	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	9
INC8	8019	kslowd001	1	2	1.06	.24	18
INC8	8019	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	27
INC8	8019	proc_monitor	196	200	197.1	1.18	52
INC8	**8020**	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	8
INC8	**8020**	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	52
INC8	**8020**	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	57
INC8	**8020**	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	91
INC8	**8020**	proc_monitor	196	200	197.03	1.02	180
INC8	**8021**	cifsd	1	1	1	0	1
INC8	**8021**	java	2	37	19.5	24.75	2
INC8	**8021**	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	146
INC8	**8021**	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	143
INC8	**8021**	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	11
INC8	**8021**	proc_monitor	196	198	197.15	.98	299
INC8	8022	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	20
INC8	8022	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	9
INC8	8022	proc_monitor	196	198	196.07	.37	29

Table 2: Daemons observed from the INC8 run