## Characterizing Execution Times on Realistic Programs

Data & Knowledge Engineering Laboratory, School of Computer Science and Engineering, Kyungpook National University, Young-Kyoon Suh

September 14, 2018

### 1 Description

This document characterizes execution times measured on several real-world programs with different input sizes. To achieve this characterization, we discuss various histograms of execution times, measured in program time (PT), of the programs throughout this document. In this work we wish to achieve several goals as follows. The first goal is to unravel any structure behind the histograms and present insights into how such structure is formed. Another goal is to build a statistical distribution (or model) fitting in the histograms. From that distribution, we may reach predicting a concrete execution time considering system noise via the model on an arbitrary algorithm with a given input on a real execution environment. As a note, the execution times were measured along with the EMPv5 [1] protocol.

## 2 Histograms of the Execution Times on Real-World Programs

This section shows histograms for runs on different real-world programs with varying input sizes. In addition to the insertion sort program, we use another kind of program— $matrix\ multiplication$ . For these programs, we varied their input sizes by  $2\times$  while trying some intermediate sizes increased by  $\sqrt{2}$  and measured execution times of the programs over each input size. We then exhibit different kinds of histograms in the subsequent sections.

Experimental Notes: In the experiments we also used four nodes (sodb8, sodb9, sodb10, and sodb12) in the same cluster. A short summary of the experiments is shown in Table 1.

Machine	Task Length	Description	Time Length
sodb9 (plugged into the	SORT100,	Runs of 300 samples	$2018-04-09 \sim 2018-04-12$
upper left power strip)	SORT200,		
	SORT400,		
	SORT800		
sodb10 (plugged into the	MATC1K,	Runs of 300 samples	2018-03-02 ~2018-03-12
upper left power strip)	MATC2K,		
	MATC4K,		
	MATC8K		
sodb12 (plugged into the	MATR1K,	Runs of 300 samples	$2018-03-02 \sim 2018-03-12$
upper right power strip)	MATR2K,		
	MATR4K,		
	MATR8K		

Table 1: Detailed description of INC data used for histograms

#### 2.1 Insertion Sort on sodb9

This section shows a series of histograms of an insertion sorting program that sorts the elements of a given array in non-decreasing order. The program repeatedly runs 300 times for a given input size. Note that each sort program over a specific input size is termed SORTx: for instance, SORT100 indicates the insertion sort program over 100K elements. This experiment was performed on sodb9 plugged into the upper left power strip.




Figure 1: PT Histograms of SORT100 ... SORT800 on sodb9

#### 2.2 Matrix Multiplication

This section shows a series of histograms of the execution times of an matrix multiplication program. We used the same sample size for each input size of this program: i.e., 40 iterations. For simplicity, we used two square matrices for performing their multiplication in the program. We also varied the input sizes of each of the two matrices: from  $1K \times 1K$  to  $8K \times 8K$  integer elements that are also randomly generated. Note that each matrix multiplication program for a specific size is called MATxyyyy, where x indicates which major, specifically column vs. row, is used, and yyyy, how large a given matrix is. For instance, MATC1000 represents a matrix multiplication program in column major over two square matrices having 1,000 integer (random) elements in a row (and a column).

#### 2.2.1 Column Major

Figure 2 shows a series of histograms of the execution times measured on the same matrix multiplication program in column major as the input sizes grows. The program was run on sodb10 plugged into the upper left power strip.




Figure 2: PT Histograms of MATC1024 ... MATC8192

#### 2.2.2 Row Major

Figure 2 shows a series of histograms of the execution times measured on the same matrix multiplication program in row major as the input sizes grows. The program was run on sodb12 plugged into the upper right power strip.





Figure 3: PT Histograms of MATR1K ... MATR8K

## 3 Appendix

#### 3.1 Insertion Sort on sodb8

This section shows a series of histograms of an insertion sorting program that sorts the elements of a given array in non-decreasing order. The program repeatedly runs 300 times for a given input size. The input size for the program varies from 100,000 to 1,160,000 integer elements, which are randomly generated. Note that each sort program over a specific input size is termed SORTx: for instance, SORT100 indicates the insertion sort program over 100K elements. This experiment was performed on sodb8 <u>plugged into bottom left</u> power strip.






Figure 4: PT Histograms of SORT100 ... SORT580




Figure 5: PT Histograms of SORT800  $\dots$  SORT2320

# 3.2 One-to-One Comparison between An Insertion Sort & A Corresponding INC Programs

This section compares program time histograms of an insertion sort and a nested-for-loop programs (termed INC) with different input sizes. The insertion sort program sorts the elements of a given array in non-decreasing order. The program repeatedly runs 300 times for a given input size. The input size for the program varies from 144K to 344K integer elements, which are randomly generated. Note that each sort program over a specific input size is termed SORTx: for instance, SORT100 indicates the insertion sort program over 100K elements. An INC program's task length is correspondingly determined by the program time of an SORT program. In Figures 6, 7, and 8 we perform a match on an SORT program and its corresponding INC program. sodb8 was plugged into bottom left power strip while sodb10 plugged into the upper left power strip.




Figure 6: PT Histograms I




Figure 7: PT Histogram Comparison II




Figure 8: PT Histogram Comparison III

# References

- [1] Young-Kyoon Suh, Richard T. Snodgrass, John Kececioglu, Peter J. Downey, Rob S. Maier, and Cheng Yi, "EMP: Execution Time Measurement Protocol for Compute-Bound Programs", in *Software: Practice and Experience*, 47(4):559–597, 2017.
- [2] Sabah Currim, Richard T. Snodgrass, Young-Kyoon Suh, and Rui Zhang, "DBMS Metrology: Measuring Query Time", in ACM Transactions on Database Systems, 42(1):3:1–42(+8), 2017.