Repeatability Test

Young-Kyoon Suh April 11, 2017

1 Description

This document presents two sets of histograms based on the two runs of a program under test, called *INC*, with a set of increasing task lengths from 1 second to 4096 seconds. We would like to see if both of the sets have the same shape for the same task length. If so then, we can say that run repeatability is satisfied in our experiment setting.

Now we show histograms of elapsed time (ET) and process time (PT) of INC.

2 Histograms on the First Run

This section exhibits histograms on the first run of INC with its task length increasing from 1 second to 4096 seconds, via EMPv5. The detailed description of the base data is from Table 1.

3 Experiment Notes

Table 1 provides a short description of our experimental runs, on which the following histograms are based.

Machine	Task Length (sec)	Description	Experiment Period	Relevant	
				Histograms	
sodb9	INC1~INC64	1000 samples, each	$2017-03-02 \sim 2017-03-04$	Figs. 1, 2, 5, and 6	
sodb9	INC128~INC1024	300 samples, each	$2017-03-04 \sim 2017-03-11$	Figs. 3 and 7	
sodb10	INC2048	300 samples	$2017-03-02 \sim 2017-03-09$	Figs. 4(a) and 8(a)	
sodb12	INC4096	300 samples	$2017-02-13 \sim 2017-02-27$	Figs. 4(b) and 8(b)	

Table 1: Notes on experiment runs used for histograms

3.1 ET






Figure 1: ET Histograms of INC1 \dots INC8





(c) ET frequency on INC64

Figure 2: ET Histograms of INC16 \dots INC64




Figure 3: ET Histograms of INC128 \dots INC1024




Figure 4: ET Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

3.2 PT





Figure 5: PT Histograms of INC1 \dots INC8








Figure 6: PT Histograms of INC16 \dots INC64




Figure 7: PT Histograms of INC256 \dots INC1024




Figure 8: PT Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

4 Histograms on the Second Run

This section exhibits histograms on the second run of INC with its task length increasing from 1 second to 4096 seconds, via EMPv5. The detailed description of the base data is from Table 2.

Machine	Task Length (sec)	Description Experiment Period		Relevant	
				Histograms	
sodb9	INC1~INC64	1000 samples, each	$2017-03-13 \sim 2017-03-14$	Figs. 9, 10, 13, and 14	
sodb9	INC128~INC1024	300 samples, each	$2017-03-14 \sim 2017-03-21$	Figs. 11 and 15	
sodb10	INC2048	300 samples	$2017-03-13 \sim 2017-03-20$	Figs. 12(a) and 16(a)	
sodb12	INC4096	300 samples	$2017-03-02 \sim 2017-03-17$	Figs. 12(b) and 16(b)	

Table 2: Notes on experiment runs used for histograms

4.1 ET





Figure 9: ET Histograms of INC1 \dots INC8






Figure 10: ET Histograms of INC16 \dots INC64




Figure 11: ET Histograms of INC128 \dots INC1024




Figure 12: ET Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

4.2 PT





Figure 13: PT Histograms of INC1 ... INC8






Figure 14: PT Histograms of INC16 \dots INC64




Figure 15: PT Histograms of INC256 \dots INC1024




Figure 16: PT Histograms of INC2048 and INC4096

4.2.1 Analysis

In this section we look into what happened inside the peaks observed in a certain histogram. We consider Figure 13(d) for this study. In the figure, we see the peaks at 8015 msec, 8020 msec, and 8021 msec.

Table 3 shows captured daemons and their runtime statistics per bin of figure. Note that bin is at the unit of PT. It appears that the peaks are definitely correlated with (1) appearances of some daemons and (2) times that those daemons co-ran with INC8.

TASK_LEN	BIN (PT)	DAEMON	MIN_PT	MAX_PT	AVG_PT	STD_PT	Counts
INC8	8013	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	1
INC8	8013	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	1
INC8	8013	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	17
INC8	8013	proc_monitor	196	200	197.72	1.07	18
INC8	8014	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	5
INC8	8014	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	35
INC8	8014	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	26
INC8	8014	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	58
INC8	8014	proc_monitor	196	200	197.31	1.06	95
INC8	8015	java	2	7	4.5	3.54	2
INC8	8015	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	2
INC8	8015	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	86
INC8	8015	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	89
INC8	8015	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	18
INC8	8015	proc_monitor	196	200	197.28	1.01	194
INC8	8016	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	36
INC8	8016	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	40
INC8	8016	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	8
INC8	8016	proc_monitor	196	200	196.45	.95	78
INC8	8017	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	11
INC8	8017	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	10
INC8	8017	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	3
INC8	8017	proc_monitor	196	200	197.15	1.16	26
INC8	8018	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	13
INC8	8018	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	9
INC8	8018	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	6
INC8	8018	proc_monitor	196	200	197.24	1.27	29
INC8	8019	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	3
INC8	8019	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	9
INC8	8019	kslowd001	1	2	1.06	.24	18
INC8	8019	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	27
INC8	8019	proc_monitor	196	200	197.1	1.18	52
INC8	8020	jbd2/md0-8	1	1	1	0	8
INC8	8020	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	52
INC8	8020	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	57
INC8	8020	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	91
INC8	8020	proc_monitor	196	200	197.03	1.02	180
INC8	8021	cifsd	1	1	1	0	1
INC8	8021	java	2	37	19.5	24.75	2
INC8	8021	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	146
INC8	8021	kslowd001	1	1	1	0	143
INC8	8021	md0_raid1	1	1	1	0	11
INC8	8021	proc_monitor	196	198	197.15	.98	299
INC8	8022	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	20
INC8	8022	kslowd000	1	1	1	0	9
INC8	8022	proc_monitor	196	198	196.07	.37	29

Table 3: Daemons observed from the INC8 run