

4. (a) $X_t - 1.1X_{t-1} + 0.5X_{t-2} = 5 + W_t$.

$\phi(B) = 1 - 1.1B + 0.5B^2$ gives two roots. $r_1 = 1.1 + 0.89i$ and $r_2 = 1.1 - 0.89i$.

Both roots are outside unit circle, so $AR(2)$ is causal.

(b) $X_{2005} = 9$, $X_{2006} = 11$, $X_{2007} = 10$.

$$\hat{X}_{2008} = 5 + 1.1 \cdot X_{2007} - 0.5 \cdot X_{2006} = 10.5$$

$$\hat{X}_{2009} = 5 + 1.1 \cdot \hat{X}_{2008} - 0.5 \cdot X_{2007} = 11.55$$

(c) For year 2008, $m=1$, $SE = \sigma_W = \sqrt{2}$

$$PI = 10.5 \pm 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{2} = (7.73, 13.27)$$

For year 2009, $m=2$, $SE = \sigma_W \sqrt{1 + \psi_1^2}$.

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{1 - 1.1z + 0.5z^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1.1z - 0.5z^2)^j = 1 + 1.1z - 0.5z^2 + \dots, \text{ so } \psi_1 = 1.1 \text{ and}$$

$$SE = \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{1 + 1.1^2} = \sqrt{4.42} = 2.1$$

$$PI = 11.55 \pm 1.96 \cdot 2.1 = (7.43, 15.67)$$

(d) This is because at the early stage, as m increases, the standard error increases. In this question, standard error increases from $\sigma_W \psi_0$ to $\sigma_W \sqrt{\psi_0^2 + \psi_1^2}$. Thus, PI becomes wider.

(e) It would not surprise me because 12 is within 95% PI .

(f) $\hat{X}_{2009} = 5 + 1.1 \cdot 12 - 0.5 \cdot 10 = 13.2$ million