

User's Guide and Codebook for the ANES 2012 Time Series Study

American National Election Studies
The University of Michigan and Stanford University
August 28, 2013

Suggested Citation

ANES. 2013. User's Guide and Codebook for the ANES 2012 Time Series Study. Ann Arbor, MI and Palo Alto, CA: the University of Michigan and Stanford University.

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants SES-0937715 and SES-0937727. ANES is also supported by the University of Michigan and Stanford University. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation, the University of Michigan, or Stanford University.

Sections of this report may reprint previous documentation of the American National Election Studies without explicit attribution. Parts 1 through 8 of this report were prepared by Matthew DeBell and part 9 was prepared by Julia Payson and Simon Jackman.

The ANES Principal Investigators are Vincent Hutchings at the University of Michigan and Gary Segura and Simon Jackman at Stanford University. Ted Brader at the University of Michigan is Associate Principal Investigator.

The ANES study directors for the Time Series were Darrell Donakowski for the face-to-face mode and Matthew DeBell for the internet mode. ANES staff contributing to the Time Series are Pat Luevano, Jaime Ventura, and Laurie Pierson at the University of Michigan and Catherine Wilson at Stanford University. Research assistance was provided by Julia Payson, Spencer Piston, Vanessa Cruz, Andrew Boboltz, Kathleen Koehl, and Nora Stephens.

The members of the ANES Board contributing to the Time Series Study and reviewing Online Commons proposals were John Aldrich (chair), Stephen Ansolabehere, Matt Barreto, Shaun Bowler, Ted Brader, Brandice Canes-Wrone, Kathleen Dolan, James Druckman, Morris Fiorina, Sunshine Hillygus, Leonie Huddy, Michael Jones-Correa, Geoffrey Layman, Taeku Lee, Paula McClain, Kathleen McGraw, Diana Mutz, Stephen Nicholson, Tasha Philpot, David Redlawsk, Douglas Rivers, Deborah Schildkraut, Daron Shaw, Randolph Stevenson, Nicholas Valentino, and Lynn Vavreck.

Data collection for the face-to-face interviewing was performed by Abt SRBI. The Abt SRBI study director was Allison Ackerman, under the direction of Chintan Turakhia, with support from David Ciemnecki, Davyd Roskilly, Ricki Jarmon, Courtney Kennedy, K. P. Srinath, and many others. Sections of this report include information from technical reports that were written for ANES by Abt SRBI.

Data collection for the Internet interviewing was performed by GfK (formerly Knowledge Networks). The GfK study director was Larry Osborn. GfK staff contributing to the study included Robert Benford, Elisa Chan, Benjamin DiPaola, Wendy Gross, Leticia Maciel, Xiuli Tang, and Joana Valencia.

Contact

The ANES website address is <http://www.electionstudies.org>

ANES sends occasional updates on Twitter @electionstudies

Any questions not answered on the ANES website or by this report may be directed to ANES staff by email at anes@electionstudies.org

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 5 |
| 2. SURVEY CONTENT | 8 |
| 3. SAMPLE DESIGN | 21 |
| 4. DATA COLLECTION | 28 |
| 5. DISPOSITIONS AND OUTCOME RATES | 31 |
| 6. WEIGHTS (PRELIMINARY) | 32 |
| 7. DATA ANALYSIS | 33 |
| 8. ORIENTATION TO THE DATA FILE | 34 |
| 9. CODEBOOK | 39 |

1. Introduction

The User's Guide

This User's Guide describes the methods and procedures followed in the design and implementation of the ANES 2012 Time Series study. It provides an overview of the study and describes the content of the questionnaires, the design and selection of the sample, and the procedures of data collection. It is not a comprehensive methodology report. ANES staff will complete a comprehensive methodology report in future.

Highlights of the 2012 Study

The ANES 2012 Time Series Study is the 29th study in a series of election studies conducted during years of Presidential elections since 1948 (the "ANES Time Series"). As with all Time Series studies conducted during years of presidential elections, respondents were interviewed during the two months preceding the November election (Pre-election interview), and then re-interviewed during the two months following the election (Post-election interview).

For the first time in Time Series history, face-to-face interviewing was supplemented with data collection on the Internet. Data collection was conducted in the two modes independently, using separate samples. For the face-to-face mode, all sampled persons were interviewed in person using Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI), which also incorporated an interview segment in each wave that was self-administered (CASI). For the Internet mode, all study participants were members of the KnowledgePanel, a panel of regular survey participants administered by GfK (formerly Knowledge Networks).

Highlights of the 2012 study include the following:

Dual-mode design. The ANES 2012 Time Series study features a dual-mode design combining the traditional ANES face-to-face interviewing with a separate sample interviewed on the internet.

Largest-ever sample size. By virtue of the dual mode design we completed interviews with 5,916 respondents, more than any previous study in the Time Series.

Online commons content development. Continuing the practice introduced in 2006, a major facet of the study design process was the proposal of content by members of the user community on the Online Commons. Nineteen proposals led directly to new questions.

New instrumentation. Several items were measured on ANES for the first time, including "Big Five" personality traits using the Ten Item Personality Inventory, skin tone observations made by interviewers in the face-to-face study, and a vocabulary test from the General Social Survey called "Wordsum."

Mnemonic variable names. To make the data easier to use, we have switched from the past use of numeric variable names, such as V081234, to mnemonic names, such as gender_respondent.

Non-clustered sample for the Internet mode. The face-to-face sample, like all nationally representative sample surveys using in-person interviewing, is geographically clustered, which prevents using the data for statistical inferences about sub-national geographies such as states. The internet sample in 2012 is not geographically clustered. Subject to sample-size limitations, it may be used for inferences about sub-national geographic regions.

Self-administered questions. A portion of the in-person interview was conducted by handing the interviewer's tablet computer to the respondent and allowing the respondent to answer questions privately, to limit the effects of social desirability or privacy concerns on respondents' answers.

The Release

This User's Guide accompanies the latest release of data from the ANES 2012 Time Series Study.

ANES staff will continue to conduct checks on the survey responses and on the completeness, consistency, and accuracy of the data. Errors that we discover or that are reported to us will be investigated and either documented or corrected. Additional administrative, summary, and non-survey variables will be added to the file in future. Future documentation will more comprehensively detail the procedures followed during study design, data collection, and data processing.

Name of the Study

The ANES was previously called the "National Election Studies" or NES. To avoid confusion with the many other national election studies in other countries, it has been called the American National Election Studies since 2005.

For many years, studies in the ANES Time Series were traditionally labeled solely by year, for example, "the 1980 ANES" (or, "the 1980 American National Election Study"). However, this convention invited confusion, since ANES as an organization conducts studies other than Time Series studies, often during the same years as studies from the Time Series.

Beginning with the 2008 study, the Time Series naming convention for data releases specifically includes the label "Time Series" -- in this case, the "ANES 2012 Time Series Study" (rather than "the 2012 ANES").

ANES 2012 Time Series Study at a Glance

| | |
|--|--|
| <i>Title:</i> | ANES 2012 Time Series Study. |
| <i>Purpose:</i> | To describe the American electorate and to test hypotheses concerning voting behavior and public opinion concerning the 2012 presidential elections. |
| <i>Modes:</i> | Face-to-face and Internet, for separate samples. |
| <i>Design:</i> | Face-to-face mode: Address-based sample with in-person recruitment and interviews. Internet mode: Primarily address-based sample and mail recruitment, and Internet interviews; some RDD telephone sample and recruitment, and Internet interviews. |
| <i>Population:</i> | U.S. citizens age 18 or older. |
| <i>Field periods:</i> | Pre-election, face-to-face: Sept. 8 – Nov. 5 (median October 13). Pre-election, internet: Oct. 11 – Nov. 6 (median Oct. 25) Post-election, face-to-face: Nov. 7 – January 13, 2013 (median Nov. 26). Post-election, internet: Nov. 29 – January 24, 2013 (median Dec. 9). |
| <i>Interviews (n):</i> | Pre-election: 5,914 (2,054 face-to-face and 3,860 online). Post-election: 5,510 (1,929 face-to-face and 3,581online). |
| <i>Variables:</i> | 1,908 variables . |
| <i>Languages:</i> | English or Spanish (available in both modes). |
| <i>Response rates (estimated, AAPOR RR1):</i> | Face-to-face: 38 percent . Online: 2 percent. |
| <i>Re-interview rates for post-election interview:</i> | Face-to-face: 94 percent. Online: 93 percent. Overall: 93 percent. |
| <i>Interview length (median):</i> | Face-to-face: about 90 minutes per interview. Online: 83 minutes pre-election and 94 minutes post-election. |
| <i>Weights:</i> | To be representative of the population the data must be weighted. |
| <i>Data:</i> | Data are available free of charge from the ANES website, www.electionstudies.org |

2. Survey Content

Online Commons

The ANES Online Commons is a forum in which any interested person can contribute to the development of ANES surveys by submitting proposals for questionnaire content and by making comments on proposals. This forum is intended to improve the quality and scientific value of ANES data collections by promoting innovation, collaboration, and constructive, inclusive dialogue about study design, and was central to the development of the Time Series Study questionnaires. The Online Commons was developed for the ANES 2006 Pilot Study and was used for the ANES 2008 Time Series.

In 2011, the Online Commons was open to proposals for Time Series Study content from all members of the user community. Following the proposal period, an additional comments period was open, during which opinions and remarks on the final set of submitted proposals continued to be accepted for consideration by the PIs and ANES Board as part of the decision-making process.

There were 19 proposals received and considered as part of the study design process. Each proposal was reviewed by the ANES Board, which provided feedback to the Principal Investigators (PIs). The PIs selected content for the study based on the Online Commons proposals, and in many cases the PIs and staff worked with proposal authors and outside experts to develop and refine content for the study.

The original proposals are available in the Online Commons Archive section of the ANES Google Groups at https://groups.google.com/forum/?hl=en&fromgroups#!forum/anes_online_commons_archive. Winning proposals helped develop content on numerous topics that shaped the study.

Splice

A few questions were “spliced.” In the Time Series, as in any survey repeated over time, there is tension between the goals of measuring change over time and measuring as accurately as possible. To pursue both of these goals, we spliced some questions by randomly assigning respondents to receive either an old (standard) version that is the same as questions asked on past surveys or a new (revised) version that we believe has better measurement properties. There is one random assignment condition on the survey that applies to all spliced questions, so that a respondent is assigned to receive either the old version or the new version on all spliced questions that have old and new versions.

Spliced items can be identified in the codebook or questionnaire by “STD” or “REV” in the item label.

Screener Survey Content

Face-to-face interviewing began with a Screener questionnaire, the purpose of which was to ascertain the presence of eligible residents in the sampled household. This questionnaire asked for the first name, age, citizenship status, and race/ethnicity of every adult living in the sampled household.

Internet surveys were conducted with members of the GfK KnowledgePanel who had previously completed a profile questionnaire on which age, citizenship, and race/ethnicity were reported.

Pre-Election Questionnaire Content

The pre-election questionnaire consisted of the following sections in this order.

The *campaign interest and engagement* (CAMPINT) section asked questions about attention to politics, whether the respondent knows where to vote, turnout in the 2008 election, and candidate choice in the 2008 election.

Media exposure and attention (PRMEDIA) asked questions about household internet use, and news consumption and attention on the Internet, television, newspapers, and radio.

Registration, turnout, and vote choice (PREVOTE) asked questions on these topics for the 2012 election. These included questions about voting in the primaries. For the first time, ANES asked about early voting on the pre-election survey. Voting questions concerned the elections for president, House of Representatives, and, where applicable, the U.S. Senate and state governor. Respondents who had not voted early were asked their vote intentions or their candidate preferences. The survey also asked respondents the name under which they are registered to vote, to facilitate validation of turnout reports against official government records.

Likes and dislikes for major party presidential candidates (CANDLIK) asked open-ended questions: "Is there anything in particular about (candidate) that might make you want to vote (for/against) him?" (Note that, despite the "likes and dislikes" section name, respondents were never asked what they "like" or "dislike" about the candidates.)

Congressional approval (CONGAPP) asked if respondents approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job.

Presidential and status quo approval (PRESAPP) asked if respondents think the country is on the right or the wrong "track" and whether respondents approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama has been handling his job as president, and how he has been handling various specific policy domains (the economy, relations with foreign countries, health care, the war in Afghanistan).

Feeling thermometers (FT) used the “feeling thermometer” devise to ask respondents their attitudes toward Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, Joe Biden, Paul Ryan, Hillary Clinton, George W. Bush, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party.

Major party likes-dislikes (PTYLIK) asked open-ended questions: “Is there anything in particular that you (like/don’t like) about the (Democratic/Republican) party?”

Personal financial situation (FINANCE) questions asked how many family members live with the respondent, whether they are better off or worse off financially than a year ago, and whether they think they will be better or worse off a year from now.

Personal health situation and health care law (HEALTH) questions asked if the respondent has health insurance, favors or opposes the 2010 health care reform law, what their current health status is, and whether they are or have been a smoker. Self-reported health was asked as a result of Michael Jones-Correa and Mallory Sorelle’s Online Commons proposal for EGSS-4, “Self-Reported Health and Politics.”

Presidential candidate affect (CANDAFF) questions asked how often Barack Obama and Mitt Romney have made the respondent feel angry, hopeful, afraid, or proud.

Liberal-conservative placement (LIBCPRE) asked respondents to place themselves and others on a seven point scale ranging from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Placements for others were for Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party.

The *divided government* (DIVGOV) section asked one question, “Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress, better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn’t it matter?”

Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote (LIKELYPCT) asked respondents how likely they were to vote in the general election in November. This question had two forms with within-subjects randomization of the question’s position on the questionnaire. Each respondent was asked both forms of the question. Half got one at this point and the other later in the questionnaire, and half got the reverse order.

Campaign finance regulation (CAMPFIN) asked if the respondent favors or opposes “the government being able to place limits on how much money corporations and unions can give to a political candidate” and “the federal government banning political ads sponsored by corporations or unions that directly support or oppose political candidates.”

Income inequality in the U.S. (INEQ) asked if income differences between rich and poor are larger or smaller now than 20 years ago.

Efficacy (EFFIC) asked a battery of four questions on political efficacy. Respondents were randomly assigned to receive either the “standard” questions that have been part of the ANES Time Series for many years or a “revised” set of questions

introduced on the ANES 2008 Time Series survey that should have better measurement properties than the standard battery because they replace the agree-disagree question format that is subject to acquiescence bias.

State of the economy (ECON) asked respondents to rate the current state of the economy, to indicate whether the economy and unemployment had gotten better or worse in the last year and would get better or worse in the next year.

Blame for poor economic conditions (ECBLAME) asked respondents how much various people and groups were to blame for "the poor economic conditions of the past several years": President Obama, President Bush, Democrats in Congress, Republicans in Congress, Wall Street bankers, consumers who borrowed too much money, and mortgage lenders. This module was included as a result of Rick Wilson and Catherine Eckel's Online Commons proposal for the ANES EGSS-1 survey, "Stimulus Spending and Blame Attribution."

Party performance (PRTYPERF) asked one question: Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy?

Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections (PRESWIN) asked how much the respondent cared who wins the presidential election, who he or she thought would win the election nationally and in the respondent's own state, and whether the election would be close. The item on voting as a choice or a duty was asked as a result of Christopher Achen's Online Commons proposal, "Proposal for a New Question about Civic Duty in the ANES."

U.S. position in the world (USWORLD) asked if the US position in the world regarding American strength and isolationism.

Party ID (PRYID) asked questions to place the respondent on the traditional seven-point party identification scale ranging from strong Democrat through independent to strong Republican.

Tea Party (TEAPTY) asked if the respondent supported or opposed the Tea Party movement. This question originated with an Online Commons proposal by Bryce Summary, "The Tea Party Movement," which was originally proposed for the ANES EGSS-1 survey.

Presidential candidate traits (CTRAIT) asked if Barack Obama and Mitt Romney were moral, provide strong leadership, really care about people like you, were knowledgeable, intelligent, and honest.

Cost and benefit of the war (WAR) asked if the war in Afghanistan was worth the cost and how it affected the threat of terrorism against the United States.

Services and spending tradeoff placement (SPSRVPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the

Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning how many services the government should provide.

Defense spending level placement (DEFSPPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning how much should be spent on defense.

Government or private health insurance placement (INSPRE) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning preferences for government insurance or private insurance for health care.

Position on gun access (GUN) asked, "Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?"

Guaranteed jobs and living standard placement (GUARPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning preferences for whether the government should see to jobs and standard of living or should let each person get ahead on their own.

Government policy on unauthorized immigrants (IMMIG) asked about policy choices regarding unauthorized immigrants.

Aid to blacks placements (AIDBPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, and Mitt Romney on a seven point scale concerning preferences for whether government should help blacks or blacks should help themselves.

Environment-jobs tradeoff (ENVJOB) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, and Mitt Romney on a seven point scale concerning how much government should regulate businesses to protect the environment.

Affirmative action at universities and at work (AA) asked if the respondent favored or opposed these practices.

Federal spending levels on programs (FEDSPEND) asked if federal spending should be increased or decreased for Social Security, public schools, science and technology, dealing with crime, welfare programs, child care, aid to the poor, and protecting the environment.

Political knowledge: religion of presidential candidates (CANDREL) asked the respondent to identify Barack Obama's and Mitt Romney's religious faith.

Trust in government (TRUSTGOV) measured trust in the federal government. Respondents were randomly assigned to receive either a traditional version of this question that has been asked on ANES Time Series since 1958 or a slightly modified

version introduced in 2008 that may have better measurement properties. Three additional trust questions were asked.

Social trust (TRUST) asked, "Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people?"

Government responsiveness (RESPONS) asked, "How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think?"

Effect of presidential candidate win on economy (ECONCAND) asked how the economy would perform if particular candidates won the presidency.

Environmental policy and conditions (ENVIR) asked about offshore oil drilling, nuclear power, whether global warming is happening, whether it will be good or bad, and what is causing it.

Civil rights for gays and lesbians (GAYRT) asked about several policies regarding gays and lesbians: job discrimination, military service, adoption, and gay marriage. The questions on job discrimination and military service were spliced with two question wording formats.

Abortion standard self-placement (ABORTION) asked which abortion policy option the respondent preferred.

Position on the death penalty (PENALTY) asked what is says in the label.

Current presidential administration (PRESADM) asked if the U.S. was more or less secure from its foreign enemies compared to 2008.

Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote (PCTLIKELY) is the later partner to the LIKELYPCT section, asking either the percent chance or the likelihood that the respondent will vote.

Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation (RELIG) asked questions about the importance of religion to the respondent, frequency of prayer, the Bible's status as the word of God, attendance of religious services, being part of a particular faith or church or denomination, status as a born-again Christian, and terms the respondent might use to describe his or her religious beliefs.

Demographics (DEM) asked date of birth, marital status, domestic partnership status, level of education, spouse's level of education, service in the armed forces, employment status, occupation, industry, work hours, worry about losing job, layoff status, spouse or partner's employment status, labor union membership, class identity, ethnicity, race, parents' nativity, respondent's nativity, Hispanic background details, immigration to the US, naturalization, and language spoken at home.

Demographics 2 (DEM2) continued the demographics section, asking about children living in the household, school enrollment, internet use, and telephones.

Demographics 3 (DEM3) continued the demographics section, asking where the respondent grew up, how long he or she had lived in the same community, the location of the previous relationship, home tenure, name changes, where the respondent lived five years ago, and government-issued identification (driver's license, passport, or other).

CASI intro and practice screens (CASISTART) was administered only to face-to-face respondents to train respondents to use the tablet for the computer-aided self-interview (CASI) section of the questionnaire. This section asked about owning a dog and what the respondent ate for breakfast.

CASI: Assets (WEALTH) asked about investments and other assets.

CASI: family and R income (INC) asked the respondent's family income.

CASI sources of media information Pre (MEDSRC) asked about media use, including specific television programs watched, radio programs listened to, web sites visited, newspapers read, and the use of Twitter, Facebook, and blogs. This module was influenced by Terri Towner's Online Commons proposal, "When Web 2.0 Meets Politics: The Influence of Social Media."

CASI gun ownership (OWNGUN) asked if anyone in the household owns a gun.

CASI literacy (WORDSUM) asked a modified version of the GSS wordsum vocabulary test. The selection of words was based on the work of Cor, Haertel, Krosnick, and Malhotra.¹

CASI sexual orientation (ORIENTN) asked the respondent's sexual orientation and whether any people close to the respondent are gay, lesbian, or bisexual.

CASI political knowledge (PREKNOW) asked five questions testing the respondent's knowledge of American government.

R happiness with life (HAPP) asked the respondent, "All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life these days?"

Post-Election Questionnaire Content

The post-election questionnaire consisted of the following sections in this order.

¹ Cor, Ken, Edward Haertel, Jon A. Krosnick, and Neil Malhotra. 2012. "Improving Ability Measurement in Surveys by Following the Principles of IRT: The Wordsum Vocabulary Test in the General Social Survey." *Social Science Research*. 41, 1003-1016.

Post media exposure and attention (MEDIAPO) asked questions that have been repeated since the 1950s about watching or listening to programs about the campaign on television or radio and reading the newspaper, as well as new questions about getting campaign information on the Internet. These are not the same questions as PRMEDIA on the pre-election survey.

Mobilization and engagement in the political process (MOBILPO) asked questions about parties or campaigns contacting the respondent to urge a vote, and about the respondent's own campaign activities such as urging a vote, volunteering, or giving money.

DHS-based civic engagement (DHSINVOLV) asked questions introduced on the 2008 Time Series about civic engagement. Items in this section were influenced by David Repass's Online Commons proposal, "Contact with Congressional Representatives."

Post registration, turnout, and vote choice (POSTVOTE) asked about voter registration, turnout, and candidate choices, repeating the PREVOTE module.

Better party for women (PTYWOM) asked a single question, "Which party do you think does a better job looking out for the interests of women?"

Political knowledge: office recognition (OFCREC) asked a battery of questions of a type introduced in 1986, asking "what job or political office is now held" by the four people who were currently Speaker of the House, Vice President, Chief Justice, and Prime Minister of the UK. These are open-ended questions.

Post individual political figure thermometers (FTPO) were asked for the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates (Barack Obama, Mitt Romney), their respective wives (Michelle Obama, Ann Romney), candidates for the House of Representatives in the respondent's district, candidates for Senate or Governor if those races occurred in the respondent's state, incumbent senators, Democratic and Republican Vice-Presidential candidates (Joe Biden, Paul Ryan), and Supreme Court Chief Justice John Roberts.

Post group thermometers (FTGR) were asked regarding Christian Fundamentalists, Catholics, feminists, the federal government in Washington, liberals, middle class people, labor unions, poor people, the military, big business, people on welfare, conservatives, working class people, the U.S. Supreme Court, gay men and lesbians, Congress, rich people, Muslims, Christians, atheists, Mormons, and the Tea Party.

Performance of House incumbent (HSEINC) asked job approval regarding the House incumbent.

Most important problems in the country (MIP) asked the respondent to name the most important problems facing the country. These were open-ended questions.

Revisions to this module were made as a result of David Repass's Online Commons proposal, "Correcting the Most Important Problems Question."

Security and civil liberties, public security measures (SECURPUB) asked if changes in security at public places have gone too far, are about right, or do not go far enough.

Political knowledge (KNOWL) tested the respondents' knowledge of the party with the most members in the House of Representatives and in the Senate before the election.

Woman president (WPRES) asked the respondent's attitudes toward the prospect of a woman becoming president.

Federal deficit reduction (BUDGET) measured policy preferences concerning the federal budget deficit.

Patriotism (PATRIOT) asked three questions about the respondent's patriotism.

Millionaire tax (MILLN) asked about taxes for people making over one million dollars per year.

Government ensure fair jobs for blacks (FAIRJOB) asked if the government should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs.

Limits on foreign imports (IMPORTS) asked one question about trade protectionism.

U.S. support for Israel (ISRSUPP) asked one question about American support for Israel.

Immigration levels and consequences (IMMIGPO) asked two questions about immigration.

Effect of health care law (HLTHLAW) asked about the Affordable Care Act.

Policy and attitudes toward Iran (IRAN) asked about Iran and nuclear weapons.

Policy and attitudes toward China (CHINA) asked whether the recent economic expansion of China has been good or bad for the U.S. and asked if China's military is a threat to the U.S.

Economic peril (ECPERIL) asked questions about deferring health care because the respondent cannot afford it, worry about the respondent's financial situation, home tenure, the ability to make housing payments, and contact with people who have lost jobs.

Liberal-conservative placement (LIBCPO) repeats the pre-election measurement of liberal-conservative self-placement and obtains placements for the House candidates.

Scientific policy (SCIENCE) asked how often the government should rely on scientific approaches.

Abortion (ABORT) involved a splice. Those assigned the “standard” version were asked to place Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a standard four-point scale for abortion policy. Those assigned the “revised” condition were asked whether they believed abortion should or should not be legal in a variety of circumstances.

Court authorize wiretapping (WIRETAP) asked about warrants for wiretapping suspected terrorists.

Outsourcing of U.S. jobs (OUTSOURCE) asked how the federal government should respond to outsourcing of jobs.

Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds (SSINV) asked about investment of Social Security taxes.

Neo-nullification (NEONULL) asked whether states should be able to decide whether federal laws apply within the state.

Legalization of marijuana use (POT) asked about this policy option.

Size of government role (LIMTGOV) asked four questions about the respondent’s view on bigger or smaller government. This module was influenced by Emily Ekins’s Online Commons proposal, “Assessing the American Ideological Landscape.”

Discuss politics with family or friends (DISCUSS) asked how often the respondent talked about politics.

Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism (MORMON) asked three questions on this topic influenced by David Smith’s Online Commons proposal for the EGSS-4 surveys, “Candidate Religion, Culture Wars and National Identity.”

Presidential candidate preference and aversion factors (PRFACTOR) asked if several characteristics of Obama and Romney made the respondent more or less likely to vote for each candidate.

Comparisons of major parties (PTYDIFF) asked if there are any differences between the major parties and if one party is more conservative.

TARP (TARP) asked if the respondent favored or opposed the 2008 Troubled Asset Relief Program.

Supreme Court (SCOURT) asked questions evaluating the court and its legitimacy. These questions came from James L. Gibson and Gregory A. Caldeira.

Community involvement (INVOLV) asked questions about contacting government officials, working with people or attending a meeting about an issue facing the respondent's community, belonging to organizations, volunteering, or donating to church or charity.

Linked fate (LINK) asked whether what happens generally to other people would affect what happens in the respondent's life. Reference groups were other members of the respondent's race/ethnicity, women (for female respondents only), and "other people in this country."

Moral traditionalism (TRAD) is a four-item scale asked since 1986.

Racial resentment/symbolic racism (RESENT) is a four-item scale asked since 1986, based on work by Donald Kinder, David Sears, and others.

Trust in government (TRUSTGVPO) asked respondents how many of the people running the government are "crooked."

Efficacy – Post (EFFICPO) asked questions about internal and external political efficacy. This section included a splice in which half the respondents were asked an old version of the questions repeated since 1952 and half were asked a new version introduced in 2008.

Electoral integrity WVS Post (ELECTINPO) asked items from the World Values Survey concerning how often votes are counted fairly, journalists provide fair coverage of elections, election officials are fair, rich people buy elections, and voters are offered a genuine choice at the ballot box.

Working women (WOMEN) asked questions about women working outside the home.

Modern sexism (MODSEXISM) asked the "modern sexism" battery concerning discrimination against women, demands for equality, and opportunities for achievement.

Affirmative action in hiring (AAPOST) repeated an item asked since 1986 about "preferential hiring and promotion of blacks." Different questions address the same subject in pre-election section AA.

Authoritarianism (AUTH) asked a set of four questions about child-rearing that have been used to measure authoritarianism.

Equalitarianism (EGAL) asked six questions about egalitarian preferences that have been repeated since the 1980s.

Security and civil liberties, increase in wiretapping (WIRETAPPO) asked one question: "Have increases in the government's wiretapping powers since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?"

Cognitive style (COG) asked questions concerning "need to evaluate," first asked on the ANES Time Series in 2000. (The "cognitive style" label for this section reflects an initial plan to ask more questions that could not be included on the final questionnaire because of length.)

Non-mainstream mass public allegations (NONMAIN) asked the respondent's beliefs regarding several factual allegations in public discourse. This module was developed in response to Erick Oliver and Adam Berinsky's Online Commons proposal, "Conspiratorial Beliefs, Public Opinions, and Democratic Citizenship."

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES) is a battery of questions common to many national election surveys around the world.

Department of Homeland Security (DHS) asked questions concerning terrorism, radicalization, and legitimacy, most of which were developed and asked on the ANES 2008 Time Series survey with funding from the Department of Homeland Security.

Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation (POHISP) asked Hispanic respondents questions about language use, Hispanic culture, and, for those born outside the United States, connections to native countries.

CASI intro and practice screens Post (ACASISTARTPO) introduced the respondent to the tablet computer before the respondent answered the subsequent sections in the Computer-Aided Self Interview (CASI) format. These practice questions asked if the respondent has a dog and what the respondent ate for breakfast.

CASI thermometers (FTCASI) asked feeling thermometers regarding Asian-Americans, Hispanics, blacks, illegal immigrants, and whites.

CASI attitudes toward race groups (RACECASI) asked about the influence of whites, blacks, and Hispanics in politics and asked about admiration and sympathy for racial/ethnic groups.

CASI group identity (IDENT) asked respondents the importance of their race/ethnicity to their identity.

CASI income inequality (INEQINC) asked if it is good or bad that income inequality has increased in the past 40 years, and whether government should try to make the difference smaller.

CASI Post group stereotypes (STYPE) measured racial stereotyping, asking respondents to rate whites, blacks, Hispanics, and Asians as hardworking or intelligent.

TIPI (TIPI) is the Ten Item Personality Inventory, a brief measurement of the “Big Five” personality traits developed by Gosling, Rentfrow, and Swann.²

CASI family and R income (INCPO) asked the total income of all members of the respondent’s family living in the household during 2011.

CASI discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally (DISCRIM) asked how much discrimination there is in the U.S. today against blacks, Hispanics, whites, gays and lesbians, and women, and asked how much racial/ethnic discrimination the respondent has experienced personally.

CASI religious stereotypes (RSTYPE) was asked only online. The face-to-face sample was not asked these questions. They asked how well the words “violent” and “patriotic” describe most Catholics, Protestants, Muslims, Mormons, and non-religious people.

Interviewer Observations

Interviewers were asked to make observations about the respondent and the household. These included recording the respondent’s skin tone (color), taking note of window signs or other political or campaign-related signs visible at the residence, and noting characteristics of the respondent such as apparent intelligence, cooperation, suspicion, interest in the interview, and sincerity. These observations were made by the interviewer at the end of the pre- and post-election interviews.

² Gosling, Samuel D., Peter J. Rentfrow, and William B. Swann, Jr. 2003. A Very Brief Measure of the Big-Five Personality Domains. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 37, 504-528.

3. Sample Design

Overview

The ANES 2012 Time Series is a dual-mode survey (face-to-face and Internet) with two independent samples. Cases selected for the face-to-face sample could not be interviewed on the Internet, and cases selected for the Internet survey could not be interviewed in person.

The target population for the two samples is U.S. citizens age 18 or older. Design criteria also included having sufficient numbers of black and Hispanic respondents to enable analysis of those subgroups.

Internet Sample

Internet respondents were members of the GfK (formerly Knowledge Networks) KnowledgePanel. The KnowledgePanel is a large online panel of survey respondents who are invited to complete surveys several times each month on a variety of topics for a variety of investigators. Panelists are recruited using two probability sampling methods: address-based sampling (ABS) and random-digit dialing (RDD). Prospective panelists who do not have Internet access at the time of recruitment are furnished with free Internet service and free hardware to connect to the Internet.

A sample of KnowledgePanelists selected from the KnowledgePanel to receive invitations to take the ANES Time Series survey. This sample was limited to U.S. citizens who would be at least 18 years old by Election Day, November 6, 2012, and was limited to one person per household.

Information about the construction of the KnowledgePanel is presented below.

KnowledgePanel Sampling

The following description of sampling for the KnowledgePanel is reprinted from documentation furnished by Knowledge Networks.

RDD and ABS Sample Frames

Knowledge Networks initially selects households using random digit dialing (RDD) sampling and address-based sampling (ABS) methodology. In this section, we will describe the RDD-based methodology, while the ABS methodology is described in a separate section below.

KnowledgePanel recruitment methodology uses the quality standards established by selected RDD surveys conducted for the Federal Government (such as the CDC-sponsored National Immunization Survey).

Knowledge Networks utilizes list-assisted RDD sampling techniques based on a sample frame of the U. S. residential landline telephone universe. For efficiency purposes, Knowledge Networks excludes only those banks of telephone numbers (a bank consists of 100 numbers) that have less than 2 directory listings. Additionally, an oversample is conducted among a stratum telephone exchanges that have high concentrations of African-American and Hispanic households based on Census data. Note that recruitment sampling is done without replacement, thus numbers already fielded do not get fielded again.

A telephone number for which a valid postal address can be matched occurs in about 70% of the sample. These address-matched cases are all mailed an advance letter informing them that they have been selected to participate in KnowledgePanel. For efficiency purposes, the unmatched numbers are under-sampled at a current rate of 0.75 relative to the matched numbers. Both the oversampling mentioned above and this under-sampling of non-address households are adjusted appropriately in the panel's weighting procedures.

Following the mailings, the telephone recruitment begins for all sampled phone numbers using trained interviewer/recruiters. Cases sent to telephone interviewers are dialed for up to 90 days, with at least 14 dial attempts on cases where no one answers the phone, and on numbers known to be associated with households. Extensive refusal conversion is also performed. The recruitment interview, about 10 minutes long, begins with informing the household member that they have been selected to join KnowledgePanel. If the household does not have a computer and access to the Internet, they are told that in return for completing a short survey weekly, they will be provided with a laptop computer (previously a WebTV device was provided) and free monthly Internet access. All members in a household are then enumerated, and some initial demographic and background information on prior computer and Internet use are collected.

Households that inform interviewers that they have a home computer and Internet access are asked to take their surveys using their own equipment and Internet connection. Incentive points per survey, redeemable for cash, are given to these "PC" respondents for completing their surveys. Panel members who were provided with either a WebTV earlier or currently a laptop computer (both with free Internet access) do not participate in this per survey points incentive program. However, all panel members do receive special incentive points for select surveys to improve response rates and for all longer surveys as a modest compensation for burden.

For those panel members receiving a laptop computer (as with the former WebTV), prior to shipment, each unit is custom configured with individual email accounts, so that it is ready for immediate use by the household. Most households are able to install the hardware without additional assistance, though Knowledge Networks maintains a telephone technical support line.

The Knowledge Networks Call Center contacts household members who do not respond to email and attempts to restore both contact and cooperation. PC panel members provide their own email addresses and we send their weekly surveys to that email account.

All new panel members are sent an initial survey to both welcome them as new panel members but also to familiarize them with how online survey questionnaires work. They also complete a separate profile survey that collects essential demographic information such as gender, age, race, income, and education to create a personal member profile. This information can be used to determine eligibility for specific studies, is used for weighting purposes, and operationally need not be gathered with each and every survey. This information is updated annually with each panel member. Once completed new member is "profiled," they are designated as "active" and ready to be sampled for client studies. [Note: Parental or legal guardian consent is also collected for conducting surveys with teenage panel members, ages 13-17.]

Once a household is contacted by phone—and additional household members recruited via their email address—panel members are sent surveys linked through a personalized email invitation (instead of by phone or mail). This permits surveys to be fielded quickly and economically, and also facilitates longitudinal research. In addition, this approach reduces the burden placed on respondents, since email notification is less obtrusive than telephone calls, and allows research subjects to participate in research when it is convenient for them.

Address-Based Sampling (ABS) Methodology

When KN started KnowledgePanel panel recruitment in 1999, the state of the art in the industry was that probability-based sampling could be cost effectively carried out using a national random-digit dial (RDD) sample frame. The RDD landline frame at the time allowed access to 96% of the U.S. population. This is no longer the case. We introduced the ABS sample frame to rise to the well-chronicled changes in society and telephony in recent years. The following changes have reduced the long-term scientific viability of the landline RDD sampling methodology: declining respondent cooperation to telephone surveys; do not call lists; call screening, caller-ID devices and answering machines; dilution of the RDD sample frame as measured by the working telephone number rate; and finally, the emergence and exclusion of cell-phone-only households (CPOHH) because they have no landline phone.

According to the Center for Disease Control, approximately 25% of U.S. households cannot be contacted through RDD sampling: 22% as a result of CPOHH status and 3% because they have no phone service whatsoever. Among some segments of society, the sample noncoverage is substantial: more than one-third of young adults, ages 18-24, reside in CPOHHs.

After conducting an extensive pilot project in 2008, we made the decision to add an address-based sample (ABS) frame in response to the growing number of cell-phone only households that are outside of the RDD frame. Before conducting the ABS pilot, we also experimented with supplementing our RDD samples with cell-phone samples. However, this approach was not cost effective for you our clients and raised a number of other operational, data quality, and liability issues (e.g., calling people's cell phones while they were driving).

The key advantage of the ABS sample frame is that it allows sampling of almost all U.S. households. An estimated 98% of households are "covered" in sampling nomenclature. Regardless of household telephone status, they can be reached and contacted via the mail. Second, our ABS pilot project revealed some other advantages beyond the expected improvement in recruiting adults from CPOHHs:

Improved sample representativeness for minority racial and ethnic groups
Improved inclusion of lower educated and low income households
Exclusive inclusion of CPOHHs that have neither a landline telephone nor Internet access (approximately 4% to 6% of US households).

ABS involves probability-based sampling of addresses from the U.S. Postal Service's Delivery Sequence File. Randomly sampled addresses are invited to join KnowledgePanel through a series of mailings and in some cases telephone follow-up calls to non-responders when a telephone number can be matched to the sampled address. Invited households can join the panel by one of several means:

by completing and mailing back a paper form in a postage-paid envelope;
by calling a toll-free hotline maintained by Knowledge Networks; or
by going to a designated KN web-site and completing an online recruitment form.

After initially accepting the invitation to join the panel, respondents are then "profiled" online answering key demographic questions about themselves. This profile is maintained using the same procedures established for the RDD-recruited research subjects. Respondents not having an Internet connection are provided a laptop computer and free Internet service. Respondents sampled from ABS frame, like those from the RDD frame are provided the same privacy terms and confidentiality protections that we have developed over the years and have been reviewed by dozens of Institutional Review Boards.

Large-scale ABS sampling for our KnowledgePanel recruitment began in April, 2009. As a result, KnowledgePanel will be improving its sample coverage of CPOHHs and young adults.

Because we will have recruited panelists from two different sample frames – RDD and ABS – we are taking several technical steps to merge samples sourced from these frames. Our approach preserves the representative structure of the overall panel for the selection of individual client study samples. An advantage of mixing ABS frame panel members in any KnowledgePanel sample is a reduction in the variance of the weights. ABS-sourced sample tends to align more true to the overall population demographic distributions and thus the associated adjustment weights are somewhat more uniform and less varied. This variance reduction efficaciously attenuates the sample's design effect and confirms a real advantage for study samples drawn from KnowledgePanel with its dual frame construction.

Face-to-Face Sample

The in-person (face-to-face) interviews were conducted using an address-based, stratified, multi-stage cluster sample in 125 census tracts. The sample includes a nationally-representative "main sample" and two "oversamples," one of blacks and one of Hispanics. The target number of interviews was 1,400 responses from the main sample (including people of all racial and ethnic backgrounds) and 300 responses from the black oversample and 300 responses from the Hispanic oversample, for a total of 2,000 pre-election interviews.

Note that in contrast to the ANES 2008 Time Series oversample design, in which there was one sample with selection probabilities adjusted to produce oversamples of blacks and Hispanics, the 2012 design has distinct samples for the oversamples, making it possible to distinguish respondents as belonging to the main sample or an oversample.

Sample selection for the face-to-face survey was performed by statistical staff at Abt SRBI working under the oversight of ANES personnel. References below to "we" refer collectively to these groups.

Strata and stages

The first stage of sampling consisted of stratifying the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia into nine regions corresponding to Census Divisions. Alaska and Hawaii were excluded as a cost-saving measure, and their small populations make this exclusion a fairly small source of bias. These Census Divisions constitute the study's strata. Within each region, a number of census tracts was then randomly selected. The number of tracts selected per region was proportional to the region's proportion of the U.S. adult population. For example, the New England region is home to about 5 percent of the U.S. adult population, so we drew five percent of the 125 tracts from New England, amounting to six tracts. Within each region, tracts were selected with "probability proportional to size," meaning that tracts with larger populations had a higher probability of selection. This is a

desirable method because it preserves similar selection probabilities for individuals all over the country.

The second stage of sampling consisted of the random selection of residential addresses within each tract. The sampling frame – that is, the list of every possible address from which we randomly drew our sample of addresses – consisted of the Delivery Sequence File (DSF) used by the United States Postal Service for the residential delivery of mail.

The DSF provides the best and most cost-effective list of residential addresses in the United States, but it is not perfect. There are areas where residential housing units are not included on the DSF because home delivery of mail is not available or for other reasons. To evaluate the extent to which the DSF appeared to adequately include all residential addresses, we compared the number of addresses on the DSF for a sampled census tract to the 2010 Decennial Census count of housing units in the tract. In tracts where the number of addresses on the DSF was less than 99% of the number of addresses on the Decennial Census, we took steps to assure that households not on the DSF had a chance to be included in the sample. Where this coverage ratio was less than 70% we discarded the DSF as inadequate and instead sent interviewers to visit the tract and make a list of all housing units. This was the case in 4 tracts. Where the coverage ratio was between 70% and 99%, we used the DSF to select addresses and then performed additional procedures to check for housing units missed (CHUM) and, if necessary, add missed housing units to the sample. This was the case in 59 tracts. These "CHUM" procedures will be fully described in a forthcoming methodology report for the study, but in brief they consisted of looking to see if houses near the sampled address were included on the DSF, and adding households to the sample if they were found to be missing from the DSF. In the remaining 62 tracts the coverage ratio was 99% or better and we relied solely on the DSF as the sampling frame.

Addresses for the black and Hispanic oversamples were selected from tracts with relatively high proportions of one or both of these populations. This design approach is a cost-saving measure, making it less expensive to find and recruit members of the oversample populations. The black oversample addresses were drawn from tracts (among the 125 already selected) where Census data indicated blacks were 15% or more of the population. The Hispanic oversample addresses were drawn from tracts where Census data indicated Hispanics were 20% or more of the population. There were 22 tracts meeting the criteria for black oversample and 29 meeting the criteria for Hispanic oversample. Four of these tracts met the criteria for both.

The third and final stage of sampling was the selection of one eligible person per household. As described in the Data Collection Procedures section below, screening was accomplished by sending an interviewer to the sampled address and completing a brief interview in which a household informant provided the first name, age, citizenship status, race, and ethnicity of all household members. The interviewer's computer then randomly selected one person from among those identified as eligible for the study. At the addresses drawn for the main sample,

individuals were eligible if they were U.S. citizens, lived at the address as their main residence, and would be 18 years old on or before November 5, 2012. At the addresses drawn for the black and Hispanic oversamples, individuals were eligible if they were eligible by the main sample criteria and also were identified as black or Hispanic, respectively. The selection of individuals was made by the computer and enforced by the interviewer, and no substitutions were acceptable. If no eligible person lived in the household, no interview was conducted there.

4. Data Collection

Overview

As with all Time Series studies conducted during years of presidential elections, respondents were interviewed during the two months preceding the November election (Pre-election interview) and then re-interviewed during the two months following the election (Post-election interview). Face-to-face interviews were conducted with one interview before the election and a second interview with the same respondents after the election. Internet interviews were conducted with two interviews before the election and two more interviews with the same respondents after the election. Internet data collection was managed by GfK. In-person data collection was managed by Abt SRBI.

For both the internet and face-to-face data collection, the study was described using a name that sounded less political than "American National Election Studies." This name is confidential so that future study participants will not be able to readily associate the study with the ANES. The name is a relatively general label for the study. Two names that were considered and rejected were the National Study of American Life and the Survey of the American Public. The actual name is similar to these examples. We use this generic name with respondents to reduce the potential for non-response bias related to interest in politics.

Internet Data Collection

Internet respondents were invited to the survey by email. They followed a link to a web address to complete the survey over the internet. The survey began with a consent screen that provided information about the study and included contact information for the study administrators.

Prior to completing the ANES surveys, internet respondents had completed profile surveys on demographic and political topics. Data from these profile surveys are included in the release data files.

In addition to completing the ANES questions, internet respondents also completed a Direct Democracy module, for which ANES will release data in future.

Face-to-Face Data Collection

Interviewers were provided with lists of sampled addresses in their work area and maps showing the locations of these addresses. Upon reaching a sampled address, interviewers completed the CHUM procedure described in part 3, if appropriate. Interviewers also made observations regarding the dwelling unit, such as noting its state of repair and the presence of political yard signs. Interviewers then attempted to complete a brief screening interview at the selected address. During the screening interview, interviewers sought to make a list of each eligible person residing at the household. For the main sample, this consisted of U.S. citizens age 18 or older by Election Day. For the black and Hispanic oversamples, this consisted

of U.S. citizens age 18 or older by Election Day who were black, or Hispanic, respectively. After completing this household listing, the computer randomly selected one eligible person from the list. An interview could be conducted only with the selected person; no substitutions were allowed.

Interviewers recorded the outcome of every attempt to conduct an interview. These outcomes are called "call dispositions," and there are four general categories of dispositions: completed interviews, incomplete interviews (including cases where no interview had yet been attempted; "incomplete" does not mean "partial") at addresses where a screener was completed and at least one person was determined to be eligible for the study, incomplete interviews where we did not know if any eligible person lived there, and addresses where it was known that no eligible person lived there. Additional details were recorded, such as "refused," or "no contact" (no one home), or noting that the sampled address did not really exist or actually turned out to be a business or other non-residential address. These records of call dispositions will be released as part of the full release of data in the future.

The survey itself was administered by the interview in person in two parts, one pre-election and one post-election. Surveys were typically administered at the respondent's home. Interviewers conducted the interviews using a touch-sensitive tablet computer. For both surveys, most of the interview was administered in computer-aided personal interview (CAPI) format, in which the computer displayed a question to the interviewer, the interviewer read the question aloud, verbatim, to the respondent, the respondent answered verbally, and the interviewer marked the answer on the computer. When respondents expressed reluctance to answer, interviewers were trained to encourage respondents to answer, a process known as "probing."

Some of the questions on each survey were asked using a computer-aided self-interview format (CASI). For this part of the interview, the interviewer handed the tablet computer to the respondent and the respondent answered questions privately, without the interviewer's participation. Questions administered this way are so noted in the questionnaire and the codebook.

Interviewers were instructed to attempt to make an audio recording of every interview, in its entirety, with the respondent's consent.

Incentive Payments

To encourage participation, internet respondents were paid \$10 per survey plus an additional \$25 for completing all four, for a total of \$65. Face-to-face respondents were initially offered \$25 to complete the pre-election interview. The offered amounts were increased to \$50 on October 5th, \$75 on October 24th, and \$100 on October 26th. For the post-election survey, respondents who were paid \$25 or \$50 for the pre-election survey were initially offered \$50, and those who were paid \$75 or \$100 for the pre-election survey were initially offered \$100. These amounts were increased to \$75 and \$125, respectively, at the end of the field period.

Interview Length

The median lengths of the four internet interviews were 32, 43, 44, and 42 minutes, respectively. The median total lengths of the pre-election and post-election internet interviewing were 83 and 94 minutes, respectively.

The median lengths of the pre-election and post-election face-to-face interviews were 95 and 96 minutes, respectively.

Timing data for the internet and face-to-face interviews are not strictly comparable because of differences in the instrumentation included in the timings for the two modes. The face-to-face timings include a module after the end of the survey that collected contact information for the respondents to facilitate re-contacting them in the future. This module probably took about 5 minutes and was not administered online. Excluding this contact module, the median lengths of the face-to-face interviews were probably about 90 minutes each. The online interviews included a module at the very end of the post-election survey that was not administered face-to-face. The online timings also include the Direct Democracy instrumentation, which took several minutes. Data from this instrumentation will be released separately in the future.

Vote Validation and Supplemental Information

We are in the process of obtaining official records of voter registration and voter turnout for each sampled person and for the people at each sampled address. We are also obtaining other information from commercial vendors to supplement the questionnaires. This process will be completed later this year and resulting data will be included in a final data release.

5. Dispositions and Outcome Rates

Final dispositions and outcome rates are not available for the current release of the ANES 2012 Time Series Study. This information will become available later this year. Preliminary response rates in the pre-election survey are a minimum of 38 percent for the face-to-face sample and 2 percent for the internet sample, elaborated below.

The response rate is designed to estimate the proportion of eligible sample members who were interviewed. There were 7,298 addresses sampled for the face-to-face interviewing and to which an advance letter was mailed. Of these, Abt SRBI determined that 1,918 were not eligible because the address did not exist, it was outside of the sampled tract, it was not a permanently occupied residence, there was no adult U.S. citizen living there, or, for addresses sampled for the black and Hispanic oversamples, there was no black or Hispanic resident at the address. These ineligible cases are excluded from the denominator of the response rate. 2,056 interviews were completed, for a minimum response rate (AAPOR RR1) of $2056/(7298-1918) = 38$ percent. The true response rate will be higher than this. Using the AAPOR RR3 formula, and assuming that the cases with unknown eligibility for the study have an eligibility rate matching the cases with known eligibility, the response rate would be 49 percent.

This response rate is the lowest recorded for the ANES Time Series and is far short of our expectations for the study. A low response rate is potentially important because it indicates greater potential for non-response bias to affect the estimates. In the coming months ANES personnel will finalize the response rate computations following a review of the call dispositions and we will complete a nonresponse bias analysis to assess how well the sample represents the U.S. citizen population. We will also complete an analysis of the fieldwork procedures to explain why the response rate was below expectations. Preliminary indications are that the low response rate was a function of releasing too many sampled addresses to the interviewers, insufficient contact efforts with the released addresses, the general downward trend in response rates that has been occurring for many years, and the oversample design's reliance on screening for populations with a low incidence rate. We will elaborate on these issues in a future report.

For the web sample, the response rate is a function of the recruitment of panel members, the retention of panelists from the time of recruitment to the point at which they were invited to take the ANES survey, and the response to those survey invitations. The response rate overall is about 2 percent, in line with expectations for surveys from the KnowledgePanel.

6. Weights (preliminary)

There are three weight variables on the file, intended for different purposes. Each weight is preliminary and improved weights may be released with the next version of the data file if ANES personnel find that practical improvements can be made.

`weight_ftf` is intended for analysis of the face-to-face sample alone. It may be used for pre-election or post-election variables, or a combination of the two. The effects of attrition in the face-to-face sample are negligible for most analyses we have examined, therefore just one weight may be satisfactory for analysis of the face-to-face sample alone.

`weight_web` is intended for analysis of the Internet sample alone. As with the face-to-face sample, attrition effects are small, so this weight may be used for analysis of the pre-election variables alone, post-election variables alone, or the two in combination.

`weight_full` is intended for the analysis of the combined samples. If you want to include all available cases, use this weight.

Each weight accounts for the probability of household selection, the probability of respondent selection within the household, nonresponse, and random sampling error. The weights are post-stratified to produce estimates that match known population proportions for selected characteristics. The internet weight is post-stratified on a cross-classification of race/ethnicity and educational attainment, a cross-classification of age and sex, metropolitan status, household internet access, income, marital status, and home ownership. The face-to-face weight is post-stratified on age, a cross-classification of age and sex, race/ethnicity, a cross-classification of race/ethnicity and sex, educational attainment, a cross-classification of race/ethnicity and educational attainment, marital status, income, census region, home ownership, and nation of birth. The full sample weight simply combines the internet and face-to-face weights into one variable.

Additional information on the construction of the ANES 2012 Time Series weight variables will become available later this year. Because the weights are preliminary, they may be revised with a future data release.

7. Data Analysis

The data file contains data from two independently administered surveys with separate samples. They may be analyzed using the face-to-face data alone, the Internet data alone, or the two modes in combination. The modes may be distinguished using the mode variable.

Analyses should be weighted if they are intended to generalize to the target population of adult U.S. citizens. The unweighted data are not representative of the target population, so **unweighted estimates of population percentages and regression coefficients are wrong**. Also, due to the complex sample design of the ANES, sampling errors and related statistics (including confidence intervals, p-values, *t*-tests, and all other tests of statistical significance) should not be calculated using methods intended for simple random samples. For a discussion of methods appropriate for the ANES data, see *How to Analyze ANES Survey Data*.³ To choose appropriate weights for a given analysis, see the Weights section of this guide, above.

If you use Taylor Series estimates of sampling errors, use the variable strata_full for the full sample, or strata_ftf for the face-to-face sample alone. For the face-to-face sample, the clustering (or primary sampling unit) variable is sample_ftfpsu. The internet sample is not clustered or stratified.

The average design effect for the web sample is 1.7512. The average design effect for the face-to-face sample is 1.9135. The average design effect for the full sample using weight_full is 1.8076.

To use generalized variance estimation for the full sample, divide weight_full by 1.8076.

³ DeBell, Matthew. 2010. *How to Analyze ANES Survey Data*. ANES Technical Report Series, No. nes012492. <http://electionstudies.org/resources/papers/nes012492.pdf>

8. Orientation to the Data File

Cases and data on the file

The file includes cases (i.e., respondents, or individuals) for whom the entire pre-election ANES survey was administered. If all ANES pre-election questions were asked, then the case is on the file; if not, the case is not on the file. For the internet sample, this means that cases are excluded unless both pre-election surveys were completed.

Data on the file are questionnaire data for the pre- and post-election surveys, and selected administrative variables. Variables are detailed in the "Variables on the file" section, below.

The file includes data only for complete interviews. Partial interviews are not included on this file.

File formats

The data are provided in SPSS (.sav), Stata (.dta), and ASCII (.txt) formats. The ASCII data file is pipe-delimited (the | character) to facilitate quick reading into statistical and other software, and also uses a fixed-width format. SPSS, Stata, and SAS syntax files which read in the raw data by their fixed column locations are provided so that users can create datasets in the file formats of these applications. Each set of syntax files is accessed from a central 'run' file, which must be submitted (in SPSS, Stata, or SAS) according to directions provided in (comment) directions at the top of the 'run' file. See the 'run' files for instructions.

Variables on the file

For the first time, the ANES 2012 Time Series uses variable names in a mnemonic format, such as "state," instead of a numeric format, such as "V120025." We hope that users find the new names convenient.

This data file includes pre-election and post-election questionnaire items, selected administrative variables, and selected derived variables. The current version of the data includes the addition of non-survey variables, including variables from the Web mode Core Profile data, and, for face-to-face cases, variables for Pre and Post interviewer observation, interviewer description, household selection, and dwelling unit description. Additional variables, including data from the screener questionnaire, additional study administrative data, and geographic variables will be made available in a future release.

Two face-to-faces cases, 0523 and 1826, have been dropped from the dataset as ineligible, and Post-election survey data for (face-to-face) case 1496 have been deleted due to a problem in the post-election administration.

For a complete list of variables on the file with documentation of each one, see the codebook in section 9 of this report.

Restricted-use data

As with other ANES studies, most variables from the ANES 2012 Time Series Study are included in the public-use data file that is available to the public for free. Access to some variables is restricted to protect respondent privacy. Such data are coded -3, "restricted access" on the public-use file. Restricted data include geographic details about where the respondent lives, the unedited open-ended text responses (though the redacted versions are on the public-use file), detailed occupational data, as well as any responses which (in combination with other available data) are too detailed to ensure anonymity, such as day of birth. Most of these data may be obtained by requesting special access, subject to certain conditions.

More variables have restricted access on the 2012 Time Series study than on previous studies because of developments in the past few years in data matching techniques and the availability of consumer data, and because of increased sensitivity to these privacy concerns in the general public and in the survey research community. The developments in data matching threaten to compromise the promises of effective anonymity that survey organizations like ANES make to respondents. There is now a significant risk that disclosing all of the answers respondents give would make it possible for someone to identify an ANES respondent, which is something we have promised respondents will not happen.

Accordingly, we are limiting the release of data to reduce the probability that a respondent could be identified. In general, the kinds of variables that potentially contribute to disclosure risk are variables that could be matched to public records (such as birth, marriage, property ownership, and voter registration and turnout records) and consumer/marketing databases, or which establish the respondent's connection to an observable institution, such as the respondent's occupation or place of worship. Individual variables rarely create appreciable disclosure risk, but the combination of large numbers of such variables in ANES data sets can amount to an appreciable risk.

As has been the case with past studies, we disclose the respondent's Congressional District and state of residence, but no finer geographic detail about the respondent's location.

Four of the most noticeable new steps to protect respondents from disclosure risk affect the data on age, educational attainment, race/ethnicity, and religious identity.

On the public-use file, age is now recorded in categories (17-20, 21-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, 65-69, 70-74, 75 and over). Exact age and date of birth will be available through our regular restricted data access process for analysts who need this information for research purposes.

Educational attainment is reported in categories of less than a high school credential, high school credential only, some college, a bachelor's degree, and an advanced degree. Greater detail, such as specific years of school or specific advanced degrees, is available through the restricted data access procedure.

Race/ethnicity is reported for white, black, Hispanic, Asian, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaska Native, and "other" categories, and Hispanic background is reported for Mexican-American, Cuban-American, Puerto Rican, and "other" categories. Analysts who need more detailed data on race and ethnicity may obtain them through the restricted access procedure.

Religious identity is reported in the four categories of Catholic, other Christian, other religion, and not religious. For analysts who want it, greater detail in religious identification is available.

Researchers seeking restricted-access variables may follow the special access request procedures described on the ANES website at
http://www.electionstudies.org/rda/anes_rda.htm

Missing data codes

Missing data are assigned numeric codes from -9 to -1 to indicate the reason that valid data are not present for a variable. The missing data coding system applied for this release of the data file is as follows:

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value label</i> | <i>Description</i> |
|-------------|----------------------------|---|
| -1 | Inapplicable. | This is the default code when a question was not asked due to branching/flow/skip patterns that made it inapplicable, such as Protestant denomination for non-Protestants. |
| -2 | Missing, see documentation | Special missing code that may be defined and labeled ad hoc for particular studies or variables. For derived variables, this code means that data were missing due to a combination of missing values codes in source variables, such as -6 for one source and -5 for another source. |
| -3 | Restricted access | Data removed from the public-use files due to disclosure risk receive this code. |
| -4 | Error, see documentation | Due to an error, there are no data for this variable. Study documentation will provide available details. |

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|
| -5 | Not asked, terminated (breakoff) | The interview was terminated before reaching the applicable point in the questionnaire. |
| -6 | Not asked, unit nonresponse | The respondent did not respond to the entire questionnaire. |
| -7 | Deleted due to partial interview | This code indicates that response data from a partial interview were deleted to assure that unweighted frequencies accurately show the number of valid responses available for weighted analysis. |
| -8 | Don't know | Respondent reported not knowing how to answer. Typically a volunteered response in CAPI or CATI. |
| -9 | Refused | Respondent refused to answer in the CAPI interview or clicked 'Next' without answering the question in the web survey. |

Errors and problems in administration

ANES staff will continue to review the data, compile a list of errors or anomalies, and fix or document any problems. If you notice anything wrong with the data, please let us know by sending email to anes@electionstudies.org. Errata will be posted to the ANES website and will be corrected in future releases of the data.

9. Codebook

The codebook begins on the next page with a variable list, followed by entries for each variable on the data file.

Variable entries begin with the variable name in large print at the top of the page. The information provided includes the following, when applicable:

- Label: the variable's label on the data file.
- Position: where the item was located in the questionnaire.
- Description: the topic of the questionnaire module.
- Question: wording of the question as presented to respondents.
- Universe: a verbal description of which respondents were asked the question.
- Logic: a logical expression of the universe.
- Web Logic: noting differences between the web mode and the face-to-face mode, if any.
- Source: whether source of data was the respondent or the interviewer.

Variable entries also include unweighted frequencies and weighted proportions for most variables. Frequencies and proportions are not reported for variables with too many categories to make a compact table.

Note that the weighted proportions include missing data categories. These proportions describe the data set accurately, showing the distribution of the data across all response categories. Data users who wish to make population inferences should account for missing data. For example, the voter turnout summary variable, rvote2012_x, shows 72.4 percent voted in the 2012 elections. This is a report that 72.4 percent of the weighted cases on the data file reported voting. It is not an estimate that 72.4 percent of the voting-eligible population voted in the election; missing data should be excluded from the denominator (or imputed) to make such an estimate.

Variable List

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| version | 79 |
| caseid | 80 |
| weight_ftf | 81 |
| weight_web | 82 |
| weight_full | 83 |
| strata_full | 84 |
| strata_ftf | 85 |
| ftf_oversample | 86 |
| wave_completions | 87 |
| mode | 88 |
| gend_gendobs | 89 |
| gender_respondent | 90 |
| interest_attention | 91 |
| interest_following | 92 |
| interest_wherevote | 93 |
| interest_voted2008 | 94 |
| interest_whovote2008 | 95 |
| interest_whovote2008_othtxt | 96 |
| prmedia_useinet | 97 |
| prmedia_wkinews | 98 |
| prmedia_atinews | 100 |
| prmedia_wktvnws | 101 |
| prmedia_attvnews | 103 |
| prmedia_wkpaprnews | 104 |
| prmedia_atpprnews | 106 |
| prmedia_wkrdnws | 107 |
| prmedia_atrdnews | 109 |
| prevote_regist_addr | 110 |
| prevote_regist_noaddr | 111 |
| prevote_reg | 112 |
| prevote_reg_recordstate | 114 |
| prevote_reg_recordcity | 116 |
| prevote_reg_state | 117 |
| prevote_reg_samestate | 118 |
| prevote_regincnty | 119 |
| prevote_regyrs3cat | 121 |
| prevote_regname | 122 |
| prevote_regpty_state | 123 |
| prevote_regpty | 124 |
| prevote_regpty_oth | 126 |
| prevote_regit | 127 |
| prevote_primv | 128 |
| prevote_primvwho | 129 |
| prevote_primvwho_othtxt | 131 |
| prevote_voted | 132 |
| prevote_vconf | 133 |
| prevote_howvote | 134 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| prevote_ckbcard | 136 |
| prevote_ballot_color | 138 |
| prevote_presvt | 139 |
| prevote_presvtwho | 140 |
| prevote_presvtwho_othtxt | 142 |
| prevote_presstr | 143 |
| prevote_vtpresdec_txt | 144 |
| prevote_vtpresdec_dkrf | 145 |
| prevote_intpres | 146 |
| prevote_intpreswho | 147 |
| prevote_intpreswho_othtxt | 149 |
| prevote_intpresst | 150 |
| prevote_prefpres | 151 |
| prevote_prefprwho | 152 |
| prevote_prefprwho_othtxt | 154 |
| prevote_prefprstr | 155 |
| prevote_votehs | 156 |
| prevote_hsvtbc | 158 |
| prevote_hsvtnobc | 160 |
| prevote_inths | 161 |
| prevote_inthsbc | 163 |
| prevote_inthsnobc | 165 |
| prevote_hspref | 166 |
| prevote_hsprefbc | 168 |
| prevote_hsprefnobc | 169 |
| prevote_senhhstate | 170 |
| prevote_senregstate | 171 |
| prevote_votesen | 172 |
| prevote_vtsenbc | 174 |
| prevote_vtsennobc | 176 |
| prevote_intsen | 177 |
| prevote_intsenbc | 179 |
| prevote_intsennobc | 180 |
| prevote_senpref | 181 |
| prevote_senprefbc | 183 |
| prevote_senprefnоб | 184 |
| prevote_govhhstate | 185 |
| prevote_govregstate | 186 |
| prevote_votegov | 187 |
| prevote_vtgovbc | 189 |
| prevote_vtgovnоб | 190 |
| prevote_intgov | 192 |
| prevote_intgovbc | 194 |
| prevote_intgovnоб | 195 |
| prevote_govpref | 196 |
| prevote_govprefbc | 197 |
| prevote_govprefnоб | 198 |
| candlik_likedpc | 200 |
| candlik_likewhatdpc_txt | 201 |
| candlik_disldpc | 202 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| candlik_dislwhatdpc_txt | 203 |
| candlik_likerpc | 204 |
| candlik_likewhatrpc_txt | 205 |
| candlik_dislrcp | 206 |
| candlik_dislwhatrpc_txt | 207 |
| congapp_job | 208 |
| congapp_jobst | 209 |
| congapp_job_x | 210 |
| presapp_track | 211 |
| presapp_job | 212 |
| presapp_jobstr | 213 |
| presapp_job_x | 214 |
| presapp_econ | 215 |
| presapp_econstr | 216 |
| presapp_econ_x | 217 |
| presapp_foreign | 218 |
| presapp_foreignstr | 219 |
| presapp_foreign_x | 220 |
| presapp_health | 221 |
| presapp_healthstr | 222 |
| presapp_health_x | 223 |
| presapp_war | 224 |
| presapp_warstr | 225 |
| presapp_war_x | 226 |
| ft_dpc | 227 |
| ft_rpc | 228 |
| ft_dvpc | 229 |
| ft_rvpc | 230 |
| ft_hclinton | 231 |
| ft_gwb | 232 |
| ft_dem | 233 |
| ft_rep | 234 |
| ptylik_likdirp | 235 |
| ptylik_lwhatdp_txt | 236 |
| ptylik_disldp | 237 |
| ptylik_dwhatdp_txt | 238 |
| ptylik_likrp | 239 |
| ptylik_lwhatrp_txt | 240 |
| ptylik_dislrp | 241 |
| ptylik_dwhatrp_txt | 242 |
| finance_finfam | 243 |
| finance_finpast | 244 |
| finance_finpastamt | 245 |
| finance_finpast_x | 246 |
| finance_finnext | 247 |
| finance_finnextamt | 248 |
| finance_finnext_x | 249 |
| health_insured | 250 |
| health_2010hcr | 251 |
| health_2010hcrafav | 252 |

| | |
|---------------------|-----|
| health_2010hcropp | 253 |
| health_2010hcr_x | 254 |
| health_self | 255 |
| health_smoke | 256 |
| health_smokeamt | 257 |
| candaff_angdpc | 258 |
| candaff_angdpcoft | 259 |
| candaff_hpdpc | 261 |
| candaff_hpdpcoft | 262 |
| candaff_afrdpc | 264 |
| candaff_afrdpcoft | 265 |
| candaff_prddpc | 267 |
| candaff_prddpcoft | 268 |
| candaff_angrpc | 270 |
| candaff_angrpcoft | 271 |
| candaff_hprpc | 272 |
| candaff_hprpcoft | 273 |
| candaff_afrrpc | 275 |
| candaff_afrrpcoft | 276 |
| candaff_prdrpc | 277 |
| candaff_prdrpcoft | 278 |
| libcpre_self | 280 |
| libcpre_choose | 282 |
| libcpre_dpc | 283 |
| libcpre_rpc | 285 |
| libcpre_ptyd | 287 |
| libcpre_ptyr | 289 |
| divgov_splitgov | 291 |
| likelypct_howlikvt1 | 292 |
| likelypct_whatpct1 | 294 |
| campfin_limcorp | 295 |
| campfin_banads | 296 |
| ineq_incgap | 297 |
| ineq_gapmore | 298 |
| ineq_gapless | 299 |
| ineq_incgap_x | 300 |
| effic_complcstd | 301 |
| effic_undstd | 303 |
| effic_carestd | 305 |
| effic_saystd | 307 |
| effic_complcrev | 309 |
| effic_undrev | 311 |
| effic_carerev | 313 |
| effic_sayrev | 315 |
| econ_ecnow | 317 |
| econ_ecpast | 318 |
| econ_ecpastamt | 319 |
| econ_ecpast_x | 320 |
| econ_ecnext | 321 |
| econ_ecnextamt | 322 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| econ_ecnext_x | 323 |
| econ_unpast | 324 |
| econ_unlast | 325 |
| econ_unpast_x | 326 |
| econ_unnext | 327 |
| ecblame_pres | 328 |
| ecblame_fmpr | 330 |
| ecblame_dem | 332 |
| ecblame_rep | 334 |
| ecblame_bank | 336 |
| ecblame_cons | 338 |
| ecblame_lend | 340 |
| ptyperf_ptyecon | 342 |
| preswin_care | 343 |
| preswin_win | 344 |
| preswin_win_othtxt | 345 |
| preswin_close | 346 |
| preswin_state | 347 |
| preswin_state_othtxt | 348 |
| preswin_closest | 349 |
| preswin_dutychoice | 350 |
| preswin_choiceduty | 352 |
| preswin_dutyst | 353 |
| preswin_choicest | 354 |
| preswin_dutychoice_x | 355 |
| usworld_stronger | 356 |
| usworld_stay | 357 |
| pid_self | 358 |
| pid_self_oth | 360 |
| pid_strong | 361 |
| pid_lean | 362 |
| pid_x | 363 |
| tea_supp | 364 |
| tea_suppstr | 365 |
| tea_suppln | 366 |
| tea_supp_x | 367 |
| ctrait_dpcmoral | 368 |
| ctrait_dpclead | 370 |
| ctrait_dpccare | 372 |
| ctrait_dpcknow | 374 |
| ctrait_dpcint | 376 |
| ctrait_dpchonst | 378 |
| ctrait_rpcmoral | 380 |
| ctrait_rpclead | 382 |
| ctrait_rpccare | 384 |
| ctrait_rpcknow | 386 |
| ctrait_rpcint | 388 |
| ctrait_rpchonst | 390 |
| war_worthit | 392 |
| war_terror | 393 |

| | |
|--------------------|-----|
| spsrvpr_ssself | 394 |
| spsrvpr_ssdpc | 396 |
| spsrvpr_ssrpc | 398 |
| spsrvpr_ssdem | 400 |
| spsrvpr_ssrep | 402 |
| defspqr_self | 403 |
| defspqr_dpc | 405 |
| defspqr_rpc | 407 |
| defspqr_dem | 409 |
| defspqr_rep | 411 |
| inspre_self | 413 |
| inspre_dpc | 415 |
| inspre_rpc | 417 |
| inspre_dem | 419 |
| inspre_rep | 421 |
| gun_control | 423 |
| gun_importance | 424 |
| guarpr_self | 425 |
| guarpr_dpc | 427 |
| guarpr_rpc | 429 |
| guarpr_dem | 430 |
| guarpr_rep | 432 |
| immig_policy | 434 |
| immig_citizen | 436 |
| immig_checks | 437 |
| aidblack_self | 438 |
| aidblack_dpc | 440 |
| aidblack_rpc | 442 |
| envjob_self | 444 |
| envjob_dpc | 446 |
| envjob_rpc | 448 |
| aa_uni | 449 |
| aa_unifav | 450 |
| aa_uniopp | 451 |
| aa_uni_x | 452 |
| aa_work | 453 |
| aa_workfav | 454 |
| aa_workopp | 455 |
| aa_work_x | 456 |
| fedspend_ss | 457 |
| fedspend_schools | 459 |
| fedspend_scitech | 460 |
| fedspend_crime | 461 |
| fedspend_welfare | 462 |
| fedspend_child | 463 |
| fedspend_poor | 464 |
| fedspend_enviro | 465 |
| candrel_dpc | 466 |
| candrel_dpc_othtxt | 468 |
| candrel_rpc | 469 |

| | |
|------------------------|-----|
| candrel_rpc_othtxt | 471 |
| trustgov_trustgrev | 472 |
| trustgov_trustgstd | 474 |
| trustgov_bigintrst | 476 |
| trustgov_waste | 477 |
| trustgov_corrpt | 478 |
| trust_social | 479 |
| respons_elections | 480 |
| econcand_dwin | 481 |
| econcand_rwin | 482 |
| envir_drill | 483 |
| envir_nuke | 484 |
| envir_gwarm | 485 |
| envir_gwgood | 486 |
| envir_gwhow | 487 |
| gayrt_discrev | 489 |
| gayrt_discstrev | 490 |
| gayrt_discrev_x | 491 |
| gayrt_discstd | 492 |
| gayrt_discststd | 493 |
| gayrt_discstd_x | 494 |
| gayrt_milrev | 495 |
| gayrt_milstrev | 496 |
| gayrt_milrev_x | 497 |
| gayrt_milstd | 498 |
| gayrt_milststd | 499 |
| gayrt_milstd_x | 500 |
| gayrt_adopt | 501 |
| gayrt_marry | 502 |
| abortpre_4point | 504 |
| abortpre_4point_othtxt | 506 |
| penalty_favdpen | 507 |
| penalty_dpenstr | 508 |
| penalty_favopp_x | 509 |
| presadm_secure | 510 |
| pctlikely_whatpct2 | 511 |
| pctlikely_howlkv2 | 512 |
| relig_import | 514 |
| relig_guide | 515 |
| relig_pray | 516 |
| relig_pray_othtxt | 518 |
| relig_wordgod | 519 |
| relig_wordgod_othtxt | 521 |
| relig_church | 524 |
| relig_churchoft | 525 |
| relig_churchwk | 526 |
| relig_chmmember | 527 |
| relig_group | 528 |
| relig_groupna | 530 |
| relig_4cat | 532 |

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| relig_denom | 533 |
| relig_bapt | 534 |
| relig_indbapt | 536 |
| relig_luth | 538 |
| relig_meth | 540 |
| relig_presb | 542 |
| relig_refrm | 544 |
| relig_brethr | 546 |
| relig_discp | 548 |
| relig_chchrst | 550 |
| relig_chgod | 552 |
| relig_pent | 554 |
| relig_denother | 555 |
| relig_othxian | 557 |
| relig_jewisha | 559 |
| relig_jewishna | 561 |
| relig_bornagn | 563 |
| relig_ident_1st | 564 |
| relig_ident_2nd | 565 |
| relig_ident_3rd | 566 |
| relig_religident_prog | 567 |
| relig_religident_nontrad | 568 |
| relig_religident_secular | 569 |
| relig_religident_agnostic | 570 |
| relig_religident_atheist | 571 |
| relig_religident_spiritual | 572 |
| relig_religident_none | 573 |
| relig_religident_dkrf | 574 |
| dem_birthmo | 575 |
| dem_birthdy | 576 |
| dem_birthyr | 577 |
| dem_age_r_x | 578 |
| dem_agegrp_iwdate | 579 |
| dem_marital | 580 |
| dem_partner | 581 |
| dem_edu | 582 |
| dem_edu_oth | 583 |
| dem_dipged | 584 |
| dem_edugroup | 585 |
| dem_edusp | 586 |
| dem_edusp_oth | 587 |
| dem_dipgedsp | 588 |
| dem_eduspgroup | 589 |
| dem_veteran | 590 |
| dem_milwhen_1st | 591 |
| dem_milwhen_2nd | 592 |
| dem_milwhen_3rd | 593 |
| dem_milwhen_4th | 594 |
| dem_milnow | 595 |
| dem_emptye_1st | 596 |

| | |
|---------------------------|-----|
| dem_emptytype_2nd | 597 |
| dem_emptytype_3rd | 598 |
| dem_emptytype_4th | 599 |
| dem_emptytype_work | 600 |
| dem_emptytype_layoff | 601 |
| dem_emptytype_unemp | 602 |
| dem_emptytype_retire | 603 |
| dem_emptytype_disabled | 604 |
| dem_emptytype_hmaker | 605 |
| dem_emptytype_student | 606 |
| dem_emptytype_dkrf | 607 |
| dem_empstatus_2digitfinal | 608 |
| dem_empstatus_1digitfinal | 609 |
| dem_empstatus_initial | 611 |
| dem_hsworkg | 612 |
| dem_hsjob6mo | 613 |
| dem_retiremo | 614 |
| dem_retreedy | 615 |
| dem_retreeryr | 616 |
| dem_retireperiod | 617 |
| dem_everwk | 618 |
| dem_occpast | 619 |
| dem_indpast | 620 |
| dem_semplpst | 621 |
| dem_empgovpst | 622 |
| dem_rdjobjmon | 623 |
| dem_hrsrecent | 624 |
| dem_rdworkg | 625 |
| dem_lookwk | 626 |
| dem_findjob | 627 |
| dem_occnow | 628 |
| dem_indnw | 629 |
| dem_semplnow | 630 |
| dem_empgovnow | 631 |
| dem_hrsnow | 632 |
| dem_okhrsnow | 633 |
| dem_losejob | 634 |
| dem_offwork | 636 |
| dem_hrsreduce | 637 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_1st | 638 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_2nd | 639 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_3rd | 640 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_4th | 641 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_work | 642 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_layoff | 643 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_unemp | 644 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_retire | 645 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_disabled | 646 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_hmaker | 647 |
| dem_emptytypepsp_student | 648 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| dem_emptyesp_dkrf | 649 |
| dem_csesjobsp | 650 |
| dem_unionhh | 651 |
| dem_unionwho_1st | 652 |
| dem_unionwho_2nd | 653 |
| dem_unionwho_r | 654 |
| dem_unionwho_spouse | 655 |
| dem_unionwho_personoth | 656 |
| dem_unionwho_dkrf | 657 |
| dem_class | 658 |
| dem_whichclass | 659 |
| dem_whichclass_othtxt | 661 |
| dem_chclass | 662 |
| dem_chclass_othtxt | 664 |
| dem_avgclass | 665 |
| dem_avgclass_othtxt | 667 |
| dem_hisp | 668 |
| dem_hisptyp_1st | 669 |
| dem_hisptyp_2nd | 670 |
| dem_hisptyp_mex | 671 |
| dem_hisptyp_mexam | 672 |
| dem_hisptyp_chicano | 673 |
| dem_hisptyp_puertorican | 674 |
| dem_hisptyp_cuban | 675 |
| dem_hisptyp_cubanam | 676 |
| dem_hisptyp_othhisp | 677 |
| dem_hisptyp_dkrf | 678 |
| dem_hispoth | 679 |
| dem_hispmost | 680 |
| dem_hispmost_oth | 681 |
| dem_racecps_1st | 682 |
| dem_racecps_2nd | 683 |
| dem_racecps_3rd | 684 |
| dem_racecps_white | 685 |
| dem_racecps_black | 686 |
| dem_racecps_native | 687 |
| dem_racecps_asian | 688 |
| dem_racecps_pacif | 689 |
| dem_racecps_othrace | 690 |
| dem_racecps_racedkrf | 691 |
| dem_racecps_oth | 692 |
| dem_raceeth | 693 |
| dem_ethnic | 694 |
| dem_numeth | 695 |
| dem_mosteth | 696 |
| dem_parents | 697 |
| dem_nativity | 698 |
| dem_nativity_oth | 699 |
| dem_hispgpar | 700 |
| dem_hispcntry | 701 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| dem_hispcntry_oth | 702 |
| dem_arr | 703 |
| dem_arrperiod | 704 |
| dem_cit | 705 |
| dem_citperiod | 706 |
| dem_hisplang | 707 |
| dem2_ch10less | 708 |
| dem2_ch11to17 | 709 |
| dem2_numchild | 710 |
| dem2_schenrl_1st | 711 |
| dem2_schenrl_2nd | 712 |
| dem2_schenrl_3rd | 713 |
| dem2_schenrl_public | 714 |
| dem2_schenrl_privrel | 715 |
| dem2_schenrl_privnonrel | 716 |
| dem2_schenrl_home | 717 |
| dem2_schenrl_enrollno | 718 |
| dem2_schenrl_enrolldkrf | 719 |
| dem2_inethome | 720 |
| dem2_inettyp | 721 |
| dem2_landline | 723 |
| dem2_cellnum | 724 |
| dem2_cellpers | 726 |
| dem2_smartph | 727 |
| dem3_grewup | 728 |
| dem3_yearscomm | 729 |
| dem3_moscomm | 730 |
| dem3_prevzip | 731 |
| dem3_prevcty | 732 |
| dem3_ownhome | 733 |
| dem3_ownhome_othtxt | 734 |
| dem3_namech | 735 |
| dem3_namechyr | 736 |
| dem3_altname | 737 |
| dem3_lenaddr | 738 |
| dem3_add5yr_state | 739 |
| dem3_add5yr_samestate | 740 |
| dem3_driver | 741 |
| dem3_passport | 742 |
| dem3_govtid | 743 |
| casistart_iwrset4 | 744 |
| casistart_iwrset6 | 745 |
| selfgend_gender | 746 |
| wealth_stocks | 747 |
| wealth_whoinvest | 748 |
| wealth_vachome | 749 |
| wealth_ownrental | 750 |
| wealth_stockgrnts | 751 |
| wealth_undland | 752 |
| wealth_investbus | 753 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| inc_totinc | 754 |
| inc_totmiss40 | 756 |
| inc_totmiss20 | 758 |
| inc_totl20 | 760 |
| inc_totg20l40 | 762 |
| inc_totmiss70 | 764 |
| inc_totg40l70 | 766 |
| inc_totmiss100 | 768 |
| inc_totg70l100 | 770 |
| inc_totg100 | 772 |
| inc_incgroup_pre | 774 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_1st | 775 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_2nd | 777 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_3rd | 778 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_4th | 779 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_5th | 780 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_tvnews | 781 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_newsp | 782 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_tvtalk | 783 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_inet | 784 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_radio | 785 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_none | 786 |
| medsrc_campsrcs_dkrf | 787 |
| medsrc_tvprog_01_screen | 788 |
| medsrc_tvprog_02_screen | 789 |
| medsrc_tvprog_03_screen | 790 |
| medsrc_tvprog_04_screen | 791 |
| medsrc_tvprog_05_screen | 792 |
| medsrc_tvprog_06_screen | 793 |
| medsrc_tvprog_07_screen | 794 |
| medsrc_tvprog_08_screen | 795 |
| medsrc_tvprog_09_screen | 796 |
| medsrc_tvprog_10_screen | 797 |
| medsrc_tvprog_11_screen | 798 |
| medsrc_tvprog_12_screen | 799 |
| medsrc_tvprog_13_screen | 800 |
| medsrc_tvprog_14_screen | 801 |
| medsrc_tvprog_15_screen | 802 |
| medsrc_tvprog_16_screen | 803 |
| medsrc_tvprog_17_screen | 804 |
| medsrc_tvprog_18_screen | 805 |
| medsrc_tvprog_19_screen | 806 |
| medsrc_tvprog_20_screen | 807 |
| medsrc_tvprog_21_screen | 808 |
| medsrc_tvprog_22_screen | 809 |
| medsrc_tvprog_23_screen | 810 |
| medsrc_tvprog_24_screen | 811 |
| medsrc_tvprog_25_screen | 812 |
| medsrc_tvprog_26_screen | 813 |
| medsrc_tvprog_27_screen | 814 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| medsrc_tvprog_28_screen | 815 |
| medsrc_tvprog_29_screen | 816 |
| medsrc_tvprog_30_screen | 817 |
| medsrc_tvprog_31_screen | 818 |
| medsrc_tvprog_32_screen | 819 |
| medsrc_tvprog_33_screen | 820 |
| medsrc_tvprog_34_screen | 821 |
| medsrc_tvprog_35_screen | 822 |
| medsrc_tvprog_36_screen | 823 |
| medsrc_tvprog_37_screen | 824 |
| medsrc_tvprog_38_screen | 825 |
| medsrc_tvprog_39_screen | 826 |
| medsrc_tvprog_40_screen | 827 |
| medsrc_tvprog_41_screen | 828 |
| medsrc_tvprog_42_screen | 829 |
| medsrc_tvprog_43_screen | 830 |
| medsrc_tvprog_44_screen | 831 |
| medsrc_tvprog_45_screen | 832 |
| medsrc_tvprog_46_screen | 833 |
| medsrc_tvprog_47_screen | 834 |
| medsrc_tvprog_48_screen | 835 |
| medsrc_tvprog_49_screen | 836 |
| medsrc_tvprog_50_screen | 837 |
| medsrc_tvprog_51_screen | 838 |
| medsrc_tvprog_52_screen | 839 |
| medsrc_tvprog_53_screen | 840 |
| medsrc_tvprog_54_screen | 841 |
| medsrc_tvprog_55_screen | 842 |
| medsrc_tvprog_56_screen | 843 |
| medsrc_tvprog_57_screen | 844 |
| medsrc_tvprog_58_screen | 845 |
| medsrc_tvprog_59_screen | 846 |
| medsrc_tvprog_60_screen | 847 |
| medsrc_tvprog_61_screen | 848 |
| medsrc_tvprog_62_screen | 849 |
| medsrc_tvprog_63_screen | 850 |
| medsrc_tvprog_64_screen | 851 |
| medsrc_tvprog_01 | 852 |
| medsrc_tvprog_02 | 853 |
| medsrc_tvprog_03 | 854 |
| medsrc_tvprog_04 | 855 |
| medsrc_tvprog_05 | 856 |
| medsrc_tvprog_06 | 857 |
| medsrc_tvprog_07 | 858 |
| medsrc_tvprog_08 | 859 |
| medsrc_tvprog_09 | 860 |
| medsrc_tvprog_10 | 861 |
| medsrc_tvprog_11 | 862 |
| medsrc_tvprog_12 | 863 |
| medsrc_tvprog_13 | 864 |

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| medsrc_tvprog_14 | 865 |
| medsrc_tvprog_15 | 866 |
| medsrc_tvprog_16 | 867 |
| medsrc_tvprog_17 | 868 |
| medsrc_tvprog_18 | 869 |
| medsrc_tvprog_19 | 870 |
| medsrc_tvprog_20 | 871 |
| medsrc_tvprog_21 | 872 |
| medsrc_tvprog_22 | 873 |
| medsrc_tvprog_23 | 874 |
| medsrc_tvprog_24 | 875 |
| medsrc_tvprog_25 | 876 |
| medsrc_tvprog_26 | 877 |
| medsrc_tvprog_27 | 878 |
| medsrc_tvprog_28 | 879 |
| medsrc_tvprog_29 | 880 |
| medsrc_tvprog_30 | 881 |
| medsrc_tvprog_31 | 882 |
| medsrc_tvprog_32 | 883 |
| medsrc_tvprog_33 | 884 |
| medsrc_tvprog_34 | 885 |
| medsrc_tvprog_35 | 886 |
| medsrc_tvprog_36 | 887 |
| medsrc_tvprog_37 | 888 |
| medsrc_tvprog_38 | 889 |
| medsrc_tvprog_39 | 890 |
| medsrc_tvprog_40 | 891 |
| medsrc_tvprog_41 | 892 |
| medsrc_tvprog_42 | 893 |
| medsrc_tvprog_43 | 894 |
| medsrc_tvprog_44 | 895 |
| medsrc_tvprog_45 | 896 |
| medsrc_tvprog_46 | 897 |
| medsrc_tvprog_47 | 898 |
| medsrc_tvprog_48 | 899 |
| medsrc_tvprog_49 | 900 |
| medsrc_tvprog_50 | 901 |
| medsrc_tvprog_51 | 902 |
| medsrc_tvprog_52 | 903 |
| medsrc_tvprog_53 | 904 |
| medsrc_tvprog_54 | 905 |
| medsrc_tvprog_55 | 906 |
| medsrc_tvprog_56 | 907 |
| medsrc_tvprog_57 | 908 |
| medsrc_tvprog_58 | 909 |
| medsrc_tvprog_59 | 910 |
| medsrc_tvprog_60 | 911 |
| medsrc_tvprog_61 | 912 |
| medsrc_tvprog_62 | 913 |
| medsrc_tvprog_63 | 914 |

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| medsrc_tvprog_64 | 915 |
| medsrc_tvprog_none | 916 |
| medsrc_tvprog_dk | 917 |
| medsrc_tvprog_rf | 918 |
| medsrc_radio_01 | 919 |
| medsrc_radio_02 | 920 |
| medsrc_radio_03 | 921 |
| medsrc_radio_04 | 922 |
| medsrc_radio_05 | 923 |
| medsrc_radio_06 | 924 |
| medsrc_radio_07 | 925 |
| medsrc_radio_08 | 926 |
| medsrc_radio_09 | 927 |
| medsrc_radio_10 | 928 |
| medsrc_radio_11 | 929 |
| medsrc_radio_12 | 930 |
| medsrc_radio_13 | 931 |
| medsrc_radio_14 | 932 |
| medsrc_radio_15 | 933 |
| medsrc_radio_none | 934 |
| medsrc_radio_dkrf | 935 |
| medsrc_websites_01 | 936 |
| medsrc_websites_02 | 937 |
| medsrc_websites_03 | 938 |
| medsrc_websites_04 | 939 |
| medsrc_websites_05 | 940 |
| medsrc_websites_06 | 941 |
| medsrc_websites_07 | 942 |
| medsrc_websites_08 | 943 |
| medsrc_websites_09 | 944 |
| medsrc_websites_10 | 945 |
| medsrc_websites_11 | 946 |
| medsrc_websites_12 | 947 |
| medsrc_websites_13 | 948 |
| medsrc_websites_14 | 949 |
| medsrc_websites_15 | 950 |
| medsrc_websites_othweb | 951 |
| medsrc_websites_othtxt | 952 |
| medsrc_websites_none | 953 |
| medsrc_websites_dkrf | 954 |
| medsrc_printnews_01 | 955 |
| medsrc_printnews_02 | 956 |
| medsrc_printnews_03 | 957 |
| medsrc_printnews_04 | 958 |
| medsrc_printnews_05 | 959 |
| medsrc_printnews_06 | 960 |
| medsrc_printnews_07 | 961 |
| medsrc_printnews_08 | 962 |
| medsrc_printnews_09 | 963 |
| medsrc_printnews_10 | 964 |

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| medsrc_printnews_11 | 965 |
| medsrc_printnews_12 | 966 |
| medsrc_printnews_13 | 967 |
| medsrc_printnews_14 | 968 |
| medsrc_printnews_15 | 969 |
| medsrc_printnews_othnews | 970 |
| medsrc_printnews_none | 971 |
| medsrc_printnews_dkrf | 972 |
| medsrc_inetnews_01 | 973 |
| medsrc_inetnews_02 | 974 |
| medsrc_inetnews_03 | 975 |
| medsrc_inetnews_04 | 976 |
| medsrc_inetnews_05 | 977 |
| medsrc_inetnews_06 | 978 |
| medsrc_inetnews_07 | 979 |
| medsrc_inetnews_08 | 980 |
| medsrc_inetnews_09 | 981 |
| medsrc_inetnews_10 | 982 |
| medsrc_inetnews_11 | 983 |
| medsrc_inetnews_12 | 984 |
| medsrc_inetnews_13 | 985 |
| medsrc_inetnews_14 | 986 |
| medsrc_inetnews_15 | 987 |
| medsrc_inetnews_othnews | 988 |
| medsrc_inetnews_none | 989 |
| medsrc_inetnews_dkrf | 990 |
| medsrc_socmedia | 991 |
| medsrc_blogs | 993 |
| medsrc_specblogs_txt | 995 |
| owngun_owngun | 996 |
| wordsum_setb | 997 |
| wordsum_setd | 998 |
| wordsum_sete | 999 |
| wordsum_setf | 1000 |
| wordsum_setg | 1001 |
| wordsum_seth | 1002 |
| wordsum_setj | 1003 |
| wordsum_setk | 1004 |
| wordsum_setl | 1005 |
| wordsum_seto | 1006 |
| orientn_rgay | 1007 |
| orientn_knowgay | 1008 |
| preknow_prestimes | 1009 |
| preknow_sizedef | 1011 |
| preknow_senterm | 1012 |
| preknow_medicare | 1013 |
| preknow_leastsp | 1015 |
| happ_lifesatisf | 1016 |
| pre_iwrobs_skintone | 1017 |
| mediapo_tv | 1019 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| mediapo_tvamt | 1020 |
| mediapo_radio | 1021 |
| mediapo_radioamt | 1022 |
| mediapo_nwsprev | 1023 |
| mediapo_nwsprevamt | 1024 |
| mediapo_net | 1025 |
| mediapo_netamt | 1026 |
| mediapo_site | 1028 |
| mediapo_siteamt | 1029 |
| mobilpo_party | 1030 |
| mobilpo_wparty | 1031 |
| mobilpo_wparty_othtxt | 1033 |
| mobilpo_contactoth | 1034 |
| mobilpo_latino | 1035 |
| mobilpo_lang | 1037 |
| mobilpo_reg | 1039 |
| mobilpo_rmob | 1040 |
| mobilpo_rally | 1041 |
| mobilpo_sign | 1042 |
| mobilpo_otherwork | 1043 |
| mobilpo_ctbcand | 1044 |
| mobilpo_ctbcndpty | 1045 |
| mobilpo_ctbcndpty_oth | 1047 |
| mobilpo_ctbpty | 1048 |
| mobilpo_ctbwhpty | 1049 |
| mobilpo_ctbwhpty_oth | 1050 |
| mobilpo_ctboth | 1051 |
| dhsinvolv_march | 1052 |
| dhsinvolv_board | 1053 |
| dhsinvolv_netpetition | 1054 |
| dhsinvolv_petition | 1055 |
| dhsinvolv_relig | 1056 |
| dhsinvolv_org | 1057 |
| dhsinvolv_call | 1058 |
| dhsinvolv_message | 1059 |
| dhsinvolv_letter | 1060 |
| dhsinvolv_contact1 | 1061 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_1st | 1062 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_2nd | 1064 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_3rd | 1065 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_4th | 1066 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_stsen | 1067 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_othsen | 1068 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_distrep | 1069 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_othrep | 1070 |
| dhsinvolv_contact2_dkrf | 1071 |
| postvote_regpre | 1072 |
| postvote_votedpre | 1073 |
| postvote_prestatus_nonvtr | 1074 |
| postvote_regist_addr | 1076 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| postvote_regist_noaddr | 1077 |
| postvote_reg | 1078 |
| postvote_reg_state | 1080 |
| postvote_reg_samestate | 1081 |
| postvote_regincnty | 1082 |
| postvote_regyrs3cat | 1084 |
| postvote_regname | 1085 |
| postvote_regpty_state | 1087 |
| postvote_regpty | 1088 |
| postvote_regpty_oth | 1090 |
| postvote_rvote | 1091 |
| rvote2012_x | 1093 |
| postvote_ckbcard | 1094 |
| postvote_ballotcolor | 1096 |
| postvote_presvt | 1097 |
| postvote_presvtwho | 1099 |
| presvote2012_x | 1101 |
| postvote_presvtwho_othtxt | 1102 |
| postvote_presstr | 1103 |
| postvote_vtpresdec_txt | 1105 |
| postvote_vtpresdec_dkrf | 1106 |
| postvote_prefpres | 1107 |
| postvote_prefprwho | 1109 |
| postvote_prefprwho_othtxt | 1111 |
| postvote_prefprstr | 1112 |
| postvote_votehs | 1113 |
| postvote_hsvtbc | 1115 |
| postvote_hsvtnobc | 1117 |
| postvote_hspref | 1120 |
| postvote_hsprofbc | 1122 |
| postvote_hsprofnobc | 1124 |
| postvote_senhhstate | 1126 |
| postvote_senregstate | 1127 |
| postvote_votesen | 1129 |
| postvote_vtsenbc | 1131 |
| postvote_vtsennobc | 1133 |
| postvote_senpref | 1135 |
| postvote_senprefbc | 1137 |
| postvote_senprefnobc | 1139 |
| postvote_govhhstate | 1141 |
| postvote_govregstate | 1142 |
| postvote_votegov | 1144 |
| postvote_vtgovbc | 1146 |
| postvote_vtgovnobc | 1148 |
| postvote_govpref | 1150 |
| postvote_govprefbc | 1152 |
| postvote_govprefnobc | 1154 |
| ptywom_bettrpty | 1156 |
| ofcrec Speaker_txt | 1158 |
| ofcrec_Speakerpr | 1159 |

| | |
|-------------------|------|
| ofcrec_vp_txt | 1160 |
| ofcrec_vppr | 1161 |
| ofcrec_pmuk_txt | 1162 |
| ofcrec_pmukpr | 1163 |
| ofcrec_cj_txt | 1164 |
| ofcrec_cjpr | 1165 |
| ftpo_pres | 1166 |
| ftpo_rpc | 1167 |
| ftpo_rpcsp | 1168 |
| ftpo_dpcsp | 1169 |
| ftpo_hdc | 1170 |
| ftpo_hrc | 1171 |
| ftpo_hoth | 1172 |
| ftpo_sdc | 1173 |
| ftpo_src | 1174 |
| ftpo_soth | 1175 |
| ftpo_sensr | 1176 |
| ftpo_senjr | 1177 |
| ftpo_sennot | 1178 |
| ftpo_dvpc | 1179 |
| ftpo_rvpc | 1180 |
| ftpo_roberts | 1181 |
| ftgr_xfund | 1182 |
| ftgr_catholics | 1183 |
| ftgr_feminists | 1184 |
| ftgr_fedgov | 1185 |
| ftgr_liberals | 1186 |
| ftgr_middle | 1187 |
| ftgr_unions | 1188 |
| ftgr_poor | 1189 |
| ftgr_military | 1190 |
| ftgr_bigbus | 1191 |
| ftgr_welfare | 1192 |
| ftgr_cons | 1193 |
| ftgr_working | 1194 |
| ftgr_ussc | 1195 |
| ftgr_gay | 1196 |
| ftgr_congress | 1197 |
| ftgr_rich | 1198 |
| ftgr_muslims | 1199 |
| ftgr_xian | 1200 |
| ftgr_atheists | 1201 |
| ftgr_mormons | 1202 |
| ftgr_tea | 1203 |
| hseinc_approval | 1204 |
| hseinc_appstr | 1206 |
| hseinc_disstr | 1207 |
| hseinc_approval_x | 1208 |
| hseinc_hinctouch | 1209 |
| mip_prob1_txt | 1211 |

| | |
|-------------------------------|------|
| mip_prob1 | 1212 |
| mip_prob1pty | 1213 |
| mip_prob2_txt | 1215 |
| mip_prob2 | 1216 |
| mip_prob2pty | 1217 |
| mip_prob3_txt | 1219 |
| mip_prob3 | 1220 |
| mip_prob3pty | 1221 |
| mip_mostprob_txt | 1223 |
| mip_mostprob | 1224 |
| securpub_secchg | 1225 |
| knowl_housemaj | 1226 |
| knowl_senmaj | 1227 |
| wpres_gdbd | 1228 |
| wpres_gdstr | 1230 |
| wpres_bdstr | 1232 |
| wpres_gdbd_x | 1234 |
| wpres_importyes | 1236 |
| wpres_importno | 1238 |
| budget_deficit | 1240 |
| budget_deficitfav | 1241 |
| budget_deficitopp | 1243 |
| budget_deficitlean | 1244 |
| budget_deficit_x | 1246 |
| budget_defimp | 1248 |
| budget_rdef250k | 1250 |
| budget_rdefmed | 1251 |
| budget_rdefctax | 1253 |
| budget_rdefmil | 1255 |
| budget_rdefemp | 1257 |
| budget_rdefgov | 1259 |
| patriot_flag | 1261 |
| patriot_love | 1263 |
| patriot_amident | 1265 |
| milln_milltax | 1267 |
| milln_milltaxst | 1268 |
| milln_milltax_x | 1270 |
| fairjob_opin | 1272 |
| fairjob_opin_othtxt | 1274 |
| fairjob_yes | 1275 |
| fairjob_no | 1277 |
| fairjob_opin_x | 1279 |
| imports_limit | 1281 |
| israel_support | 1283 |
| immigpo_level | 1284 |
| immigpo_jobs | 1286 |
| hlthlaw_qual | 1288 |
| hlthlaw_num | 1290 |
| iran_nukdev | 1292 |
| iran_nukdip | 1293 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|------|
| iran_nuksanct | . | 1295 |
| iran_nuksite | . | 1296 |
| iran_nukeinvd | . | 1297 |
| china_econ | . | 1298 |
| china_mil | . | 1299 |
| ecperil_putoffmed | . | 1300 |
| ecperil_payhlthcst | . | 1302 |
| ecperil_worry | . | 1304 |
| ecperil_home | . | 1306 |
| ecperil_payany | . | 1308 |
| ecperil_payhouse | . | 1310 |
| ecperil_lostjobs | . | 1312 |
| libcpo_self | . | 1313 |
| libcpo_selfch | . | 1315 |
| libcpo_hdc | . | 1317 |
| libcpo_hrc | . | 1319 |
| science_use | . | 1321 |
| abort_dpc4 | . | 1323 |
| abort_dpc4_othtxt | . | 1325 |
| abort_rpc4 | . | 1326 |
| abort_rpc4_othtxt | . | 1328 |
| abort_dem4 | . | 1329 |
| abort_dem4_othtxt | . | 1331 |
| abort_rep4 | . | 1332 |
| abort_rep4_othtxt | . | 1334 |
| abort_health | . | 1335 |
| abort_healthfav | . | 1337 |
| abort_healthopp | . | 1339 |
| abort_healthln | . | 1340 |
| abort_health_x | . | 1342 |
| abort_fatal | . | 1344 |
| abort_fatalfav | . | 1346 |
| abort_fatalopp | . | 1348 |
| abort_fatalln | . | 1349 |
| abort_fatal_x | . | 1351 |
| abort_incest | . | 1353 |
| abort_incestfav | . | 1355 |
| abort_incestopp | . | 1356 |
| abort_incestln | . | 1357 |
| abort_incest_x | . | 1359 |
| abort Rape | . | 1361 |
| abort rapefav | . | 1363 |
| abort rapeopp | . | 1365 |
| abort rapelean | . | 1367 |
| abort rape_x | . | 1369 |
| abort bd | . | 1371 |
| abort bdfav | . | 1373 |
| abort bdopp | . | 1374 |
| abort bdln | . | 1376 |
| abort bd_x | . | 1378 |

| | |
|-----------------------------|------|
| abort_fin | 1380 |
| abort_finfav | 1382 |
| abort_finopp | 1383 |
| abort_finln | 1385 |
| abort_fin_x | 1387 |
| abort_sex | 1389 |
| abort_sexfav | 1391 |
| abort_sexopp | 1393 |
| abort_sexln | 1395 |
| abort_sex_x | 1396 |
| abort_choice | 1398 |
| abort_choicefav | 1400 |
| abort_choiceopp | 1402 |
| abort_choiceln | 1403 |
| abort_choice_x | 1405 |
| wiretap_warrant | 1407 |
| outsource_enc | 1409 |
| outsource_encst | 1411 |
| outsource_enc_x | 1413 |
| ssinv_invest | 1414 |
| ssinv_invfav | 1416 |
| ssinv_invopp | 1417 |
| ssinv_invln | 1418 |
| ssinv_invest_x | 1420 |
| neonull_strule | 1422 |
| neonull_strulest | 1424 |
| neonull_strule_x | 1426 |
| pot_legal | 1428 |
| govrole_big | 1429 |
| govrole_market | 1431 |
| govrole_lessmore | 1433 |
| govrole_regbus | 1435 |
| discuss_disc | 1437 |
| discuss_discpstwk | 1438 |
| mormon_xn | 1440 |
| mormon_known | 1441 |
| mormon_beliefs | 1442 |
| factor_rpcrel | 1444 |
| factor_dpcrace | 1446 |
| factor_ahcare | 1448 |
| factor_econ | 1450 |
| factor_fpol | 1452 |
| factor_dpcrel | 1454 |
| factor_rpcwlth | 1456 |
| factor_pcDEM | 1458 |
| factor_pcREP | 1460 |
| ptydiff_diff | 1462 |
| ptycons_cons | 1463 |
| ptycons_ptyconswh | 1464 |
| tarp_favopp | 1465 |

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| tarp_favoppst | 1466 |
| tarp_favopp_x | 1468 |
| scourt_elim | 1469 |
| scourt_elimstr | 1470 |
| scourt_elimln | 1472 |
| scourt_elim_x | 1474 |
| scourt_remove | 1476 |
| scourt_removestr | 1477 |
| scourt_removeln | 1479 |
| scourt_remove_x | 1481 |
| involv_communwk | 1483 |
| involv_govoffic | 1484 |
| involv_commmtg | 1485 |
| involv_numorgs | 1486 |
| involv_voltr | 1487 |
| involv_charity | 1488 |
| link_black | 1489 |
| link_blackamt | 1491 |
| link_hisp | 1493 |
| link_hispatm | 1495 |
| link_white | 1497 |
| link_whitemt | 1499 |
| link_wom | 1501 |
| link_womamt | 1502 |
| link_oth | 1504 |
| link_othamt | 1505 |
| trad_adjust | 1507 |
| trad_lifestyle | 1509 |
| trad_tolerant | 1511 |
| trad_famval | 1513 |
| resent_workway | 1515 |
| resent_slavery | 1517 |
| resent_deserve | 1519 |
| resent_try | 1521 |
| trustgvpo_crook | 1523 |
| efficpo_complicstd | 1525 |
| efficpo_undstd | 1527 |
| efficpo_carestd | 1529 |
| efficpo_saystd | 1531 |
| efficpo_complcrev | 1533 |
| efficpo_undrev | 1535 |
| efficpo_carerev | 1537 |
| efficpo_sayrev | 1539 |
| efficpo_bothside | 1541 |
| electintpo_countfair | 1543 |
| electintpo_jrnlfair | 1545 |
| electintpo_elecofffair | 1547 |
| electintpo_richbuy | 1549 |
| electintpo_votechc | 1551 |
| women_bond | 1553 |

| | |
|------------------------------|------|
| women_bondea | 1555 |
| women_bondha | 1556 |
| women_bond_x | 1558 |
| women_works | 1560 |
| women_worksbetter | 1561 |
| women_worksworse | 1563 |
| women_works_x | 1565 |
| modsex_discamt | 1567 |
| modsex_media | 1569 |
| modsex_mediamore | 1570 |
| modsex_medialess | 1572 |
| modsex_media_x | 1573 |
| modsex_special | 1575 |
| modsex_disc | 1577 |
| modsex_prob | 1579 |
| modsex_oppo | 1581 |
| modsex_menmore | 1582 |
| modsex_wommore | 1584 |
| modsex_oppo_x | 1586 |
| aapost_hire | 1588 |
| aapost_hire_othtxt | 1590 |
| aapost_hirefav | 1591 |
| aapost_hireopp | 1592 |
| aapost_hire_x | 1594 |
| auth_ind | 1596 |
| auth_cur | 1598 |
| auth_obed | 1600 |
| auth_consid | 1602 |
| egal_equal | 1604 |
| egal_toofar | 1606 |
| egal_bigprob | 1608 |
| egal_worryless | 1610 |
| egal_notbigprob | 1612 |
| egal_fewerprobs | 1614 |
| wiretappo_toofar | 1616 |
| cog_opinions | 1617 |
| cog_opin | 1619 |
| cog_opinfew | 1620 |
| cog_opinmore | 1621 |
| cog_opin_x | 1622 |
| nonmain_born | 1624 |
| nonmain_endlife | 1626 |
| nonmain_govt911 | 1628 |
| nonmain_bias | 1630 |
| nonmain_hurric | 1632 |
| cses_exphlth | 1634 |
| cses_expeduc | 1636 |
| cses_expunemp | 1638 |
| cses_expdef | 1640 |
| cses_exps | 1642 |

| | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|------|
| cses_exbusind | . | . | 1644 |
| cses_exppolc | . | . | 1646 |
| cses_expwelf | . | . | 1648 |
| cses_impstdliv | . | . | 1650 |
| cses_econ | . | . | 1652 |
| cses_econb | . | . | 1653 |
| cses_econw | . | . | 1654 |
| cses_govtact | . | . | 1655 |
| cses_diffpower | . | . | 1657 |
| cses_diffvote | . | . | 1659 |
| cses_dptylike | . | . | 1661 |
| cses_rptylike | . | . | 1663 |
| cses_rpclike | . | . | 1665 |
| cses_dpclike | . | . | 1667 |
| cses_dptyleft | . | . | 1669 |
| cses_rpptyleft | . | . | 1671 |
| cses_selfleft | . | . | 1673 |
| cses_satisdem | . | . | 1675 |
| cses_closepty | . | . | 1677 |
| cses_ptymore | . | . | 1678 |
| cses_ptyclost | . | . | 1680 |
| cses_ptyclost_oth | . | . | 1682 |
| cses_degclose | . | . | 1683 |
| cses_contct | . | . | 1685 |
| cses_ftf | . | . | 1686 |
| cses_mail | . | . | 1687 |
| cses_phone | . | . | 1689 |
| cses_txtnsg | . | . | 1691 |
| cses_email | . | . | 1693 |
| cses_socnet | . | . | 1695 |
| cses_ptycont_1st | . | . | 1697 |
| cses_ptycont_2nd | . | . | 1699 |
| cses_ptycont_3rd | . | . | 1700 |
| cses_ptycont_4th | . | . | 1701 |
| cses_ptycont_5th | . | . | 1702 |
| cses_ptycont_dc | . | . | 1703 |
| cses_ptycont_rc | . | . | 1704 |
| cses_ptycont_dp | . | . | 1705 |
| cses_ptycont_rp | . | . | 1706 |
| cses_ptycont_othcont | . | . | 1707 |
| cses_ptycont_othtxt | . | . | 1708 |
| cses_ptycont_dkrf | . | . | 1709 |
| cses_persuade | . | . | 1710 |
| cses_persftf | . | . | 1711 |
| cses_persmail | . | . | 1713 |
| cses_persph | . | . | 1715 |
| cses_perstxt | . | . | 1716 |
| cses_perseml | . | . | 1717 |
| cses_persweb | . | . | 1718 |
| cses_mobph | . | . | 1720 |

| | |
|------------------------|------|
| cses_poliinfone | 1721 |
| cses_polinftwo_rate | 1723 |
| cses_polinftwo_date | 1724 |
| cses_polinftwo | 1725 |
| cses_poliinfthree | 1727 |
| cses_poliinffour | 1729 |
| cses_increduc | 1731 |
| cses_ownresid | 1733 |
| cses_ownnoth | 1734 |
| cses_ownstck | 1735 |
| cses_ownsavg | 1736 |
| cses_diffjob | 1737 |
| cses_spdiffjb | 1739 |
| dhs_threat | 1741 |
| dhs_threatamt | 1742 |
| dhs_apterr | 1744 |
| dhs_apterr_mch | 1746 |
| dhs_disterr_mch | 1748 |
| dhs_attack | 1749 |
| dhs_torture | 1751 |
| dhs_torturefav | 1752 |
| dhs_tortureopp | 1754 |
| pohisp_hispnews | 1756 |
| pohisp_hispnews_othtxt | 1758 |
| pohisp_uselang | 1759 |
| pohisp_renglish | 1761 |
| pohisp_renglish_othtxt | 1763 |
| pohisp_rspanish | 1764 |
| pohisp_rspanish_othtxt | 1766 |
| pohisp_keepsan | 1767 |
| pohisp_readeng | 1769 |
| pohisp_speakeng | 1771 |
| pohisp_impassim | 1773 |
| pohisp_impdistinct | 1775 |
| pohisp_cnctcntry | 1777 |
| pohisp_viscntry | 1779 |
| pohisp_moneycntry | 1781 |
| pohisp_polcntry | 1783 |
| pohisp_votecntry | 1785 |
| casistartpo_iwrset4 | 1787 |
| casistartpo_iwrset6 | 1788 |
| ftcasi_asian | 1789 |
| ftcasi_hisp | 1791 |
| ftcasi_black | 1793 |
| ftcasi_illegal | 1795 |
| ftcasi_white | 1797 |
| racecasi_infwhite | 1799 |
| racecasi_infblacks | 1801 |
| racecasi_infhisp | 1803 |
| racecasi_sympblacks | 1805 |

| | |
|-------------------------------|------|
| racecasi_admblacks | 1807 |
| ident_hispid | 1809 |
| ident_whiteid | 1811 |
| ident_blackid | 1813 |
| ident_nativeid | 1815 |
| ident_asianid | 1817 |
| ident_pacifid | 1819 |
| ident_otherid | 1821 |
| ident_religid_group | 1823 |
| ident_religid | 1824 |
| ident_amerid | 1826 |
| ineqinc_ineqgb | 1828 |
| ineqinc_ineqreduc | 1830 |
| stype_hwkwhite | 1831 |
| stype_hwkblack | 1833 |
| stype_hwkhisp | 1835 |
| stype_hwkasian | 1837 |
| stype_intwhite | 1839 |
| stype_intblack | 1841 |
| stype_inthisp | 1843 |
| stype_intasian | 1845 |
| tipi_extra | 1847 |
| tipi_crit | 1849 |
| tipi_dep | 1851 |
| tipi_anx | 1853 |
| tipi_open | 1855 |
| tipi_resv | 1857 |
| tipi_warm | 1859 |
| tipi_disorg | 1861 |
| tipi_calm | 1863 |
| tipi_conv | 1865 |
| incpo_totinc | 1867 |
| incpo_totmiss40 | 1869 |
| incpo_totmiss20 | 1871 |
| incpo_totl20 | 1873 |
| incpo_totg20l40 | 1875 |
| incpo_totmiss70 | 1877 |
| incpo_totg40l70 | 1879 |
| incpo_totmiss100 | 1881 |
| incpo_totg70l100 | 1883 |
| incpo_totg100 | 1885 |
| incgroup_prepot | 1887 |
| discrim_blacks | 1888 |
| discrim_hispanics | 1890 |
| discrim_whites | 1892 |
| discrim_gays | 1894 |
| discrim_women | 1896 |
| discrim_self | 1898 |
| rstype_violcath | 1900 |
| rstype_violprot | 1902 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|------|
| rstype_violmusl | . | 1904 |
| rstype_violmorm | . | 1906 |
| rstype_violnonrel | . | 1908 |
| rstype_patrcath | . | 1910 |
| rstype_patrmusl | . | 1912 |
| rstype_patrmorm | . | 1914 |
| rstype_patrprot | . | 1916 |
| rstype_patrnonrel | . | 1918 |
| sample_ftfpsu | . | 1920 |
| sample_state | . | 1921 |
| sample_stfips | . | 1922 |
| sample_region | . | 1923 |
| sample_district | . | 1924 |
| sample_district_prev | . | 1925 |
| sample_countyfips | . | 1926 |
| sample_countyname | . | 1927 |
| sample_ftf_replicate | . | 1928 |
| sample_ftf_releasedate | . | 1930 |
| sample_ftf_chumflag | . | 1931 |
| sample_ftf_tract | . | 1932 |
| sample_ftf_timezone | . | 1933 |
| sample_web_statusmsa | . | 1934 |
| sample_web_cbsa | . | 1935 |
| sample_web_mktarea | . | 1936 |
| pre_admin_iwdatebeg | . | 1937 |
| pre_admin_iwbegtime | . | 1938 |
| pre_admin_iwrecorded | . | 1939 |
| pre_admin_lang_start | . | 1940 |
| pre_admin_ltr_notif | . | 1941 |
| pre_admin_ltr_notifdate | . | 1942 |
| pre_admin_ltr_refcon1 | . | 1943 |
| pre_admin_ltr_refcon1date | . | 1944 |
| pre_admin_ltr_refcon2 | . | 1945 |
| pre_admin_ltr_refcon2date | . | 1946 |
| pre_admin_ltr_lockedbldg | . | 1947 |
| pre_admin_ltr_lockedbldgdate | . | 1948 |
| pre_admin_ltr_nocont | . | 1949 |
| pre_admin_ltr_nocontdate | . | 1950 |
| post_admin_iwdatebeg | . | 1951 |
| post_admin_iwbegtime | . | 1952 |
| post_admin_iwrecorded | . | 1953 |
| post_admin_lang_start | . | 1954 |
| post_admin_ltr_notif | . | 1955 |
| post_admin_ltr_notifdate | . | 1956 |
| post_admin_ltr_refcon | . | 1957 |
| post_admin_ltr_refconde | . | 1958 |
| post_admin_ltr_nocont1 | . | 1959 |
| post_admin_ltr_nocont1date | . | 1960 |
| post_admin_ltr_nocontfin | . | 1961 |
| post_admin_ltr_nocontfindate | . | 1962 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|------|
| hhlist_completed | . | 1963 |
| hhlist_attempts | . | 1964 |
| hhlist_datecompl | . | 1965 |
| hhlist_r_number | . | 1966 |
| hhlist_r_agegrp | . | 1967 |
| hhlist_r_hisp | . | 1969 |
| hhlist_r_obshisp | . | 1970 |
| hhlist_r_black | . | 1971 |
| hhlist_r_obsblack | . | 1972 |
| hhlist_listtot | . | 1973 |
| hhlist_hhtot | . | 1974 |
| hhlist_elighhtot | . | 1975 |
| hhlist_hisphhtot | . | 1977 |
| hhlist_blackhhtot | . | 1979 |
| hhlist_person1_eligible | . | 1980 |
| hhlist_person1_whyinelig | . | 1981 |
| hhlist_person1_resid | . | 1982 |
| hhlist_person1_agegrp | . | 1983 |
| hhlist_person1_agemiss | . | 1985 |
| hhlist_person1_obs18 | . | 1986 |
| hhlist_person1_age18 | . | 1987 |
| hhlist_person1_citz | . | 1988 |
| hhlist_person1_hisp | . | 1989 |
| hhlist_person1_obshisp | . | 1990 |
| hhlist_person1_black | . | 1991 |
| hhlist_person1_obsblack | . | 1992 |
| hhlist_person2_eligible | . | 1993 |
| hhlist_person2_whyinelig | . | 1994 |
| hhlist_person2_resid | . | 1995 |
| hhlist_person2_agegrp | . | 1996 |
| hhlist_person2_agemiss | . | 1998 |
| hhlist_person2_obs18 | . | 1999 |
| hhlist_person2_age18 | . | 2000 |
| hhlist_person2_citz | . | 2001 |
| hhlist_person2_hisp | . | 2002 |
| hhlist_person2_obshisp | . | 2003 |
| hhlist_person2_black | . | 2004 |
| hhlist_person2_obsblack | . | 2005 |
| hhlist_person3_eligible | . | 2006 |
| hhlist_person3_whyinelig | . | 2007 |
| hhlist_person3_resid | . | 2008 |
| hhlist_person3_agegrp | . | 2009 |
| hhlist_person3_agemiss | . | 2011 |
| hhlist_person3_obs18 | . | 2012 |
| hhlist_person3_age18 | . | 2013 |
| hhlist_person3_citz | . | 2014 |
| hhlist_person3_hisp | . | 2015 |
| hhlist_person3_obshisp | . | 2016 |
| hhlist_person3_black | . | 2017 |
| hhlist_person3_obsblack | . | 2018 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|------|
| hhlist_person4_eligible | . | 2019 |
| hhlist_person4_whyinelig | . | 2020 |
| hhlist_person4_resid | . | 2021 |
| hhlist_person4_agegrp | . | 2022 |
| hhlist_person4_agemiss | . | 2024 |
| hhlist_person4_obs18 | . | 2025 |
| hhlist_person4_age18 | . | 2026 |
| hhlist_person4_citz | . | 2027 |
| hhlist_person4_hisp | . | 2028 |
| hhlist_person4_obshisp | . | 2029 |
| hhlist_person4_black | . | 2030 |
| hhlist_person4_obsblack | . | 2031 |
| hhlist_person5_eligible | . | 2032 |
| hhlist_person5_whyinelig | . | 2033 |
| hhlist_person5_resid | . | 2034 |
| hhlist_person5_agegrp | . | 2035 |
| hhlist_person5_agemiss | . | 2036 |
| hhlist_person5_obs18 | . | 2037 |
| hhlist_person5_age18 | . | 2038 |
| hhlist_person5_citz | . | 2039 |
| hhlist_person5_hisp | . | 2040 |
| hhlist_person5_obshisp | . | 2041 |
| hhlist_person5_black | . | 2042 |
| hhlist_person5_obsblack | . | 2043 |
| hhlist_person6_eligible | . | 2044 |
| hhlist_person6_whyinelig | . | 2045 |
| hhlist_person6_resid | . | 2046 |
| hhlist_person6_agegrp | . | 2047 |
| hhlist_person6_agemiss | . | 2048 |
| hhlist_person6_obs18 | . | 2049 |
| hhlist_person6_age18 | . | 2050 |
| hhlist_person6_citz | . | 2051 |
| hhlist_person6_hisp | . | 2052 |
| hhlist_person6_obshisp | . | 2053 |
| hhlist_person6_black | . | 2054 |
| hhlist_person6_obsblack | . | 2055 |
| hhlist_person7_eligible | . | 2056 |
| hhlist_person7_whyinelig | . | 2057 |
| hhlist_person7_resid | . | 2058 |
| hhlist_person7_agegrp | . | 2059 |
| hhlist_person7_agemiss | . | 2060 |
| hhlist_person7_obs18 | . | 2061 |
| hhlist_person7_age18 | . | 2062 |
| hhlist_person7_citz | . | 2063 |
| hhlist_person7_hisp | . | 2064 |
| hhlist_person7_obshisp | . | 2065 |
| hhlist_person7_black | . | 2066 |
| hhlist_person7_obsblack | . | 2067 |
| hhlist_iwrid | . | 2068 |
| dwell_completed | . | 2069 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| dwell_datecompl | 2070 |
| dwell_winsign | 2071 |
| dwell_winsign_dsc | 2072 |
| dwell_frontsign | 2073 |
| dwell_frontsign_dsc | 2074 |
| dwell_sign_dpc | 2075 |
| dwell_sign_rpc | 2076 |
| dwell_relig | 2077 |
| dwell_religmsg_spec | 2078 |
| dwell_secur_mention1 | 2079 |
| dwell_secur_mention2 | 2081 |
| dwell_secur_mention3 | 2082 |
| dwell_secur_mention4 | 2083 |
| dwell_secur_mention5 | 2084 |
| dwell_structure | 2085 |
| dwell_structure_oth | 2086 |
| dwell_strctsize | 2087 |
| dwell_strctsize_oth | 2088 |
| dwell_structresid | 2089 |
| dwell_block_urban | 2090 |
| dwell_block_resid | 2091 |
| dwell_block_resid_oth | 2092 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention1 | 2093 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention2 | 2095 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention3 | 2097 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention4 | 2099 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention5 | 2100 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention6 | 2101 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention7 | 2102 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention8 | 2103 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention9 | 2104 |
| dwell_hu_cond_mention10 | 2105 |
| dwell_area_cond_mention1 | 2106 |
| dwell_area_cond_mention2 | 2108 |
| dwell_area_cond_mention3 | 2109 |
| dwell_area_cond_mention4 | 2110 |
| dwell_area_cond_mention5 | 2111 |
| dwell_area_cond_mention6 | 2112 |
| dwell_bldg_relcond | 2113 |
| dwell_iwrid | 2114 |
| recontact_completed | 2115 |
| recontact_rname_given | 2116 |
| recontact_sampaddr_correct | 2117 |
| recontact_newaddr_given | 2118 |
| recontact_addr_err | 2119 |
| recontact_phone_given | 2120 |
| recontact_have2ndphone | 2121 |
| recontact_haveemail | 2122 |
| recontact_setappt | 2123 |
| recontact_setappt_date | 2124 |

| | |
|------------------------------------|------|
| recontact_refreason | 2125 |
| recontact_c1_name_given | 2126 |
| recontact_c1_relshp | 2127 |
| recontact_c1_addr_given | 2128 |
| recontact_c1_phone_given | 2129 |
| recontact_c1_haveothph | 2130 |
| recontact_c1_haveemail | 2131 |
| recontact_c2_name_given | 2132 |
| recontact_c2_relshp | 2133 |
| recontact_c2_addr_given | 2134 |
| recontact_c2_phone_given | 2135 |
| recontact_c2_haveothph | 2136 |
| recontact_c2_haveemail | 2137 |
| recontact_iwrid | 2138 |
| iwrobspre_completed | 2139 |
| iwrobspre_winsigns | 2140 |
| iwrobspre_frontsigns | 2141 |
| iwrobspre_sign_dpc | 2142 |
| iwrobspre_sign_rpc | 2143 |
| iwrobspre_othpresent1 | 2144 |
| iwrobspre_othpresent2 | 2145 |
| iwrobspre_othpresent3 | 2146 |
| iwrobspre_othpresent4 | 2147 |
| iwrobspre_othpresent5 | 2148 |
| iwrobspre_othpresent6 | 2149 |
| iwrobspre_coop | 2150 |
| iwrobspre_levinfo | 2151 |
| iwrobspre_intell | 2152 |
| iwrobspre_suspic | 2153 |
| iwrobspre_interest | 2154 |
| iwrobspre_sincere | 2155 |
| iwrobspre_doubtsnc | 2156 |
| iwrobspre_doubtsnc_dsc | 2157 |
| iwrobspre_estinc | 2158 |
| iwrobspre_estage | 2159 |
| iwrobspre_gender | 2160 |
| iwrobspre_esteduc | 2161 |
| iwrobspre_esteduc_oth | 2162 |
| iwrobspre_react1 | 2163 |
| iwrobspre_react2 | 2165 |
| iwrobspre_react3 | 2167 |
| iwrobspre_react4 | 2169 |
| iwrobspre_react5 | 2171 |
| iwrobspre_react6 | 2172 |
| iwrobspre_react7 | 2173 |
| iwrobspre_react8 | 2174 |
| iwrobspre_react9 | 2175 |
| iwrobspre_iwrentry_casi | 2176 |
| iwrobspre_iwraids_casi1 | 2177 |
| iwrobspre_iwraids_casi2 | 2178 |

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| iwrobspre_iwraid_casi3 | 2179 |
| iwrobspre_datecompl | 2180 |
| iwrobspre_iwrid | 2181 |
| iwrobspost_completed | 2182 |
| iwrobspost_othpresent1 | 2183 |
| iwrobspost_othpresent2 | 2184 |
| iwrobspost_othpresent3 | 2185 |
| iwrobspost_othpresent4 | 2186 |
| iwrobspost_othpresent5 | 2187 |
| iwrobspost_othpresent6 | 2188 |
| iwrobspost_coop | 2189 |
| iwrobspost_levinfo | 2190 |
| iwrobspost_intell | 2191 |
| iwrobspost_suspic | 2192 |
| iwrobspost_interest | 2193 |
| iwrobspost_sincere | 2194 |
| iwrobspost_doubtsnc | 2195 |
| iwrobspost_doubtsnc_dsc | 2196 |
| iwrobspost_react1 | 2197 |
| iwrobspost_react2 | 2199 |
| iwrobspost_react3 | 2201 |
| iwrobspost_react4 | 2203 |
| iwrobspost_react5 | 2205 |
| iwrobspost_react6 | 2206 |
| iwrobspost_react7 | 2207 |
| iwrobspost_react8 | 2208 |
| iwrobspost_iwrentry_casi | 2209 |
| iwrobspost_iwraid_casi | 2210 |
| iwrobspost_datecompl | 2211 |
| iwrobspost_iwrid | 2212 |
| pre_iwrdesc_completed | 2213 |
| pre_iwrdesc_iwrid | 2214 |
| pre_iwrdesc_skintone | 2215 |
| pre_iwrdesc_age | 2216 |
| pre_iwrdesc_agegrp | 2217 |
| pre_iwrdesc_educ | 2218 |
| pre_iwrdesc_educgrp | 2219 |
| pre_iwrdesc_gender | 2220 |
| pre_iwrdesc_lang | 2221 |
| pre_iwrdesc_exper | 2222 |
| pre_iwrdesc_exper_grp | 2223 |
| pre_iwrdesc_hisp | 2224 |
| pre_iwrdesc_race_white | 2225 |
| pre_iwrdesc_race_black | 2226 |
| pre_iwrdesc_race_other | 2227 |
| pre_iwrdesc_pid | 2228 |
| pre_iwrdesc_pid_demstr | 2229 |
| pre_iwrdesc_pid_repstr | 2230 |
| pre_iwrdesc_pid_lean | 2231 |
| post_iwrdesc_completed | 2232 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| post_iwrdesc_iwrid | 2233 |
| post_iwrdesc_skintone | 2234 |
| post_iwrdesc_age | 2235 |
| post_iwrdesc_agegrp | 2236 |
| post_iwrdesc_educ | 2237 |
| post_iwrdesc_educgrp | 2238 |
| post_iwrdesc_gender | 2239 |
| post_iwrdesc_lang | 2240 |
| post_iwrdesc_exper | 2241 |
| post_iwrdesc_exper_grp | 2242 |
| post_iwrdesc_hisp | 2243 |
| post_iwrdesc_race_white | 2244 |
| post_iwrdesc_race_black | 2245 |
| post_iwrdesc_race_other | 2246 |
| post_iwrdesc_pid | 2247 |
| post_iwrdesc_pid_demstr | 2248 |
| post_iwrdesc_pid_repstr | 2249 |
| post_iwrdesc_pid_lean | 2250 |
| profile_completed | 2251 |
| profile_headhh | 2252 |
| profile_hhsize | 2253 |
| profile_housing | 2255 |
| profile_homeown | 2256 |
| profile_educdegree | 2257 |
| profile_educ | 2258 |
| profile_region9 | 2259 |
| profile_hhchild0to1 | 2260 |
| profile_hhchild2to5 | 2261 |
| profile_hhchild6to12 | 2262 |
| profile_hhchild13to17 | 2263 |
| profile_hhchild0to5_x | 2264 |
| profile_hhchild6to17_x | 2265 |
| profile_hhadults | 2266 |
| profile_raceeth | 2267 |
| profile_gender | 2268 |
| profile_marital | 2269 |
| profile_hhincome | 2270 |
| profile_empstatus | 2271 |
| profile_inetaccess | 2272 |
| paprofile_lang | 2273 |
| paprofile_righttrack | 2274 |
| paprofile_mip | 2275 |
| paprofile_mip_oth | 2276 |
| paprofile_regist | 2277 |
| paprofile_everreg | 2278 |
| paprofile_vote2008_pres | 2279 |
| paprofile_howvote2008_pres | 2280 |
| paprofile_pres2008_oth | 2281 |
| paprofile_vote2010_congr | 2282 |
| paprofile_howvote2010_congr | 2283 |

| | |
|--|------|
| paprofile_pid | 2284 |
| paprofile_othpid | 2285 |
| paprofile_pidstrong_rep | 2286 |
| paprofile_pidstrong_dem | 2287 |
| paprofile_pidcloser | 2288 |
| profile_pid7_x | 2289 |
| paprofile_libcon_self | 2290 |
| paprofile_libcon_pres | 2291 |
| paprofile_favrate_pres | 2292 |
| paprofile_presperf | 2293 |
| paprofile_presperf_econ | 2294 |
| paprofile_presperf_deficit | 2295 |
| paprofile_presperf_healthc | 2296 |
| paprofile_presperf_taxes | 2297 |
| paprofile_presperf_educ | 2298 |
| paprofile_presperf_forgnpol | 2299 |
| paprofile_presperf_racerel | 2300 |
| paprofile_presperf_envir | 2301 |
| paprofile_presperf_immig | 2302 |
| paprofile_presperf_terror | 2303 |
| paprofile_presperf_afghan | 2304 |
| paprofile_presperf_jobs | 2305 |
| paprofile_presperf_energy | 2306 |
| paprofile_prestime_forgnpol | 2307 |
| paprofile_prestime_economic | 2308 |
| paprofile_prestime Domestic | 2309 |
| paprofile_prestime_homesec | 2310 |
| paprofile_interestpolit | 2311 |
| paprofile_freqpolit_work | 2312 |
| paprofile_freqpolit_church | 2313 |
| paprofile_freqpolit_friends | 2314 |
| paprofile_freqpolit_family | 2315 |
| paprofile_freqpolit_blog | 2316 |
| paprofile_freqpolit_socmedia | 2317 |
| paprofile_politinfo_radio | 2318 |
| paprofile_politinfo_inetnews | 2319 |
| paprofile_politinfo_prntnwsp | 2320 |
| paprofile_politinfo_tv | 2321 |
| paprofile_politinfo_mags | 2322 |
| paprofile_politinfo_blogs | 2323 |
| paprofile_politinfo_socmedia | 2324 |
| paprofile_ancestry_1 | 2325 |
| paprofile_ancestry_2 | 2326 |
| paprofile_ancestry_3 | 2327 |
| paprofile_ancestry_4 | 2328 |
| paprofile_ancestry_5 | 2329 |
| paprofile_rlang_1 | 2330 |
| paprofile_rlang_2 | 2331 |
| paprofile_rlang_3 | 2332 |
| profile_hhmembers | 2333 |

| | |
|-------------------------|------|
| paprofile_otherslang_1 | 2334 |
| paprofile_otherslang_2 | 2335 |
| paprofile_otherslang_3 | 2336 |
| paprofile_borncit | 2337 |
| paprofile_whencit | 2338 |
| paprofile_priorcit | 2339 |
| paprofile_multcit | 2340 |
| paprofile_2ndcit | 2341 |
| paprofile_orient_r | 2342 |
| paprofile_orient_oth | 2343 |
| paprofile_transgender | 2344 |
| paprofile_unionmem | 2345 |
| paprofile_aarp | 2346 |
| paprofile_milit | 2347 |
| paprofile_milit_family | 2348 |
| paprofile_religion | 2349 |
| paprofile_religion_oth | 2350 |
| paprofile_bornagain | 2351 |
| paprofile_jewish | 2352 |
| paprofile_jewishparent | 2353 |
| paprofile_attend | 2354 |
| paprofile_orgservice | 2355 |
| paprofile_orgveterans | 2356 |
| paprofile_orgrelig | 2357 |
| paprofile_orgsenior | 2358 |
| paprofile_orgwomen | 2359 |
| paprofile_orgpolitiss | 2360 |
| paprofile_orgcivic | 2361 |
| paprofile_orgschool | 2362 |
| paprofile_orgyouth | 2363 |
| paprofile_orgneighb | 2364 |
| paprofile_orgethnic | 2365 |
| paprofile_attendpta | 2366 |
| paprofile_attendcommun | 2367 |
| paprofile_donateblood | 2368 |
| paprofile_givecharity | 2369 |
| paprofile_workcharity | 2370 |
| paprofile_rally | 2371 |
| paprofile_govtoffic | 2372 |
| paprofile_prescamp | 2373 |
| paprofile_othcamp | 2374 |
| paprofile_moneyprescamp | 2375 |
| paprofile_moneyothcamp | 2376 |
| paprofile_workcommuniss | 2377 |
| paprofile_workcommbd | 2378 |
| paprofile_lettertonewsp | 2379 |
| paprofile_messagebd | 2380 |
| paprofile_publicofc | 2381 |
| paprofile_1stcamp | 2382 |
| paprofile_active_teappy | 2383 |

| | |
|--|------|
| paprofile_active_envir | 2384 |
| paprofile_active_womrts | 2385 |
| paprofile_active_equrts | 2386 |
| paprofile_active_righttolife | 2387 |
| paprofile_active_peace | 2388 |
| paprofile_active_lgbt | 2389 |
| paprofile_active_occupy | 2390 |
| paprofile_recent_teapty | 2391 |
| paprofile_recent_envir | 2392 |
| paprofile_recent_womrts | 2393 |
| paprofile_recent_equrts | 2394 |
| paprofile_recent_righttolife | 2395 |
| paprofile_recent_peace | 2396 |
| paprofile_recent_lgbt | 2397 |
| paprofile_recent_occupy | 2398 |
| paprofile_moneymenvir | 2399 |
| paprofile_timeenviron | 2400 |
| paprofile_recyclepaper | 2401 |
| paprofile_recyclecans | 2402 |
| paprofile_recycleglass | 2403 |
| paprofile_recycleplast | 2404 |
| paprofile_prodrrecycled | 2405 |
| paprofile_energycut | 2406 |
| paprofile_replacebulbs | 2407 |
| paprofile_otherenviron | 2408 |
| paprofile_engytaxcredit | 2409 |
| paprofile_selfenvironmentlst | 2410 |
| paprofile_hhguns | 2411 |
| paprofile_pistol | 2412 |
| paprofile_shotgun | 2413 |
| paprofile_rifle | 2414 |
| paprofile_othergun | 2415 |
| paprofile_othergun_type | 2416 |
| paprofile_personalgun | 2417 |
| profile_race_r | 2418 |
| profile_censusrace_r | 2419 |
| profile_hisp | 2420 |
| profile_lang | 2421 |
| profile_preferlang | 2422 |
| profile_primelang | 2423 |
| profile_spanspeak | 2424 |
| profile_spanread | 2425 |
| profile_engspeak | 2426 |
| profile_engread | 2427 |
| profile_race1 | 2428 |
| profile_race2 | 2429 |
| profile_race3 | 2430 |
| profile_racemost | 2431 |
| profile_spanishsurv | 2432 |
| profile_trynewprod | 2433 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| profile_newbrands | 2434 |
| profile_looknewprod | 2435 |
| profile_trynew1st | 2436 |
| profile_tellothersnew | 2437 |
| profile_hhshopamt | 2438 |
| profile_rparentguard | 2439 |
| profile_emptynext | 2440 |
| profile_everparent | 2441 |
| profile_hhlandline | 2442 |
| profile_hhcellphone | 2443 |
| profile_phoneuse | 2444 |
| profile_computers | 2445 |
| profile_inetcomputers | 2446 |
| profile_inet_dialup | 2447 |
| profile_inet_dsl | 2448 |
| profile_inet_cable | 2449 |
| profile_inet_bband | 2450 |
| profile_inet_satell | 2451 |
| profile_inet_fiboptics | 2452 |
| profile_inet_tohbband | 2453 |
| profile_inet_wireless | 2454 |
| profile_inet_other | 2455 |
| profile_birthmonth | 2456 |
| profile_birthday | 2457 |
| profile_birthyear | 2458 |
| profile_rpct_cat | 2459 |
| profile_rpct_dog | 2460 |
| profile_rpct_fish | 2461 |
| profile_rpct_bird | 2462 |
| profile_rpct_gerbil | 2463 |
| profile_rpct_reptile | 2464 |
| profile_rpct_horse | 2465 |
| profile_rpct_other | 2466 |
| profile_oth_hhpet | 2467 |
| profile_rstudent | 2468 |
| profile_dualincome | 2469 |
| profile_numjobs | 2470 |
| profile_fulltimejob | 2471 |
| profile_ind_foodmfg | 2472 |
| profile_ind_bevtob | 2473 |
| profile_ind_pharm | 2474 |
| profile_ind_healthprod | 2475 |
| profile_ind_hhprod | 2476 |
| profile_ind_advert | 2477 |
| profile_ind_healthcare | 2478 |
| profile_ind_mktresearch | 2479 |
| profile_ind_marketing | 2480 |
| profile_ind_pubrel | 2481 |
| profile_ind_broadcast | 2482 |
| profile_ind_finance | 2483 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|------|
| profile_ind_retail | 2484 |
| profile_ind_foodbev | 2485 |
| profile_occ1current | 2486 |
| profile_occ2current | 2487 |
| profile_occ3current | 2488 |
| profile_employersize | 2489 |
| profile_occ1recent | 2490 |
| profile_occ2recent | 2491 |
| profile_occ3recent | 2492 |
| profile_hhpurchdec | 2493 |
| paprofile_comments | 2494 |

version

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Release Version

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| ANES2012TimeSeries_version20130828 | <u>5914</u> |

caseid

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Case ID

Unique to each respondent.

From: 1

To: 5914

weight_ftf

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Post-stratified weight for face-to-face cases, preliminary

Unweighted Summary

| | F2F | Web | Full |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Min. | 0.0391 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1st Qu. | 0.2746 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Median | 0.6679 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Mean | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3473 |
| 3rd Qu. | 1.4650 | 0.0000 | 0.3065 |
| Max. | 4.9910 | 0.0000 | 4.9910 |

weight_web

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Post-stratified weight for web cases, preliminary release

Unweighted Summary

| | F2F | Web | Full |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Min. | 0.0000 | 0.0212 | 0.0000 |
| 1st Qu. | 0.0000 | 0.3820 | 0.0000 |
| Median | 0.0000 | 0.7256 | 0.3664 |
| Mean | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6527 |
| 3rd Qu. | 0.0000 | 1.3320 | 0.9554 |
| Max. | 0.0000 | 4.5790 | 4.5790 |

weight_full

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Post-stratified weight for full sample, preliminary releas

Unweighted Summary

| | F2F | Web | Full |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Min. | 0.0391 | 0.0212 | 0.0212 |
| 1st Qu. | 0.2746 | 0.3820 | 0.3504 |
| Median | 0.6679 | 0.7256 | 0.7133 |
| Mean | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 3rd Qu. | 1.4650 | 1.3320 | 1.3790 |
| Max. | 4.9910 | 4.5790 | 4.9910 |

strata_full

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series sample strata for full sample

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 78 | 0 | 78 |
| 2 | 246 | 0 | 246 |
| 3 | 276 | 0 | 276 |
| 4 | 104 | 0 | 104 |
| 5 | 445 | 0 | 445 |
| 6 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| 7 | 320 | 0 | 320 |
| 8 | 137 | 0 | 137 |
| 9 | 358 | 0 | 358 |
| 10 | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| 2 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| 3 | 13.4 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| 4 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.8 |
| 5 | 21.7 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.4 |
| 6 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| 7 | 15.6 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 3.5 |
| 8 | 6.7 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| 9 | 17.4 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 4.8 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

strata_ftf

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series sample strata for FTF sample component

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 78 | 0 | 78 |
| 2 | 246 | 0 | 246 |
| 3 | 276 | 0 | 276 |
| 4 | 104 | 0 | 104 |
| 5 | 445 | 0 | 445 |
| 6 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| 7 | 320 | 0 | 320 |
| 8 | 137 | 0 | 137 |
| 9 | 358 | 0 | 358 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-----|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 3.8 | 6.3 | | | 3.8 | 6.3 |
| 2 | 12.0 | 11.8 | | | 12.0 | 11.8 |
| 3 | 13.4 | 14.4 | | | 13.4 | 14.4 |
| 4 | 5.1 | 8.2 | | | 5.1 | 8.2 |
| 5 | 21.7 | 21.4 | | | 21.7 | 21.4 |
| 6 | 4.4 | 5.7 | | | 4.4 | 5.7 |
| 7 | 15.6 | 10.1 | | | 15.6 | 10.1 |
| 8 | 6.7 | 8.2 | | | 6.7 | 8.2 |
| 9 | 17.4 | 13.9 | | | 17.4 | 13.9 |

ftf_oversample

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series FTF Oversample Case

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 0. No Oversample | 1413 |
| 1. Hispanic Oversample | 254 |
| 2. AA Oversample | 324 |
| 3. Both Hispanic and AA Oversample | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

wave_completions

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series number of waves completed

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| 1. Yes (Pre-election and Post-election interviews completed) | 5510 |
| 2. No, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| 3. No, post survey data deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

mode

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series interview mode

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. FTF (face-to-face) mode | 2054 |
| 2. Internet mode | <u>3860</u> |

gend_gendobs

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: INTERVIEWER: Is R male or female (Observation)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Male | 886 | 0 | 886 |
| 2. Female | 1168 | 0 | 1168 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Male | 43.1 | 48.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 16.7 |
| 2. Female | 56.9 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 18.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

gender_respondent

Label: SUMMARY: Gender of Respondent for both FTF and Web modes

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Male | 2845 |
| 2. Female | 3069 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>0</u> |

interest_attention

Label: How often does R pay attn to politics and elections
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-1
Description: Campaign interest and engagement
Question: How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 358 | 667 | 1025 |
| 2. Most of the time | 583 | 1377 | 1960 |
| 3. About half the time | 386 | 861 | 1247 |
| 4. Some of the time | 660 | 867 | 1527 |
| 5. Never | 65 | 87 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 17.4 | 19.7 | 17.3 | 14.8 | 17.3 | 16.5 |
| 2. Most of the time | 28.4 | 29.7 | 35.7 | 32.2 | 33.1 | 31.3 |
| 3. About half the time | 18.8 | 18.4 | 22.3 | 23.2 | 21.1 | 21.6 |
| 4. Some of the time | 32.1 | 29.1 | 22.5 | 27.2 | 25.8 | 27.8 |
| 5. Never | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

interest_following

Label: Interested in following campaigns standard
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-2
Description: Campaign interest and engagement
Question: Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been [VERY MUCH interested, SOMEWHAT interested or NOT MUCH interested/ NOT MUCH interested, SOMEWHAT interested or VERY MUCH interested] in the political campaigns so far this year?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very much interested | 805 | 1749 | 2554 |
| 2. Somewhat interested | 866 | 1594 | 2460 |
| 3. Not much interested | 382 | 513 | 895 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very much interested | 39.2 | 41.1 | 45.3 | 40.8 | 43.2 | 40.9 |
| 2. Somewhat interested | 42.2 | 39.6 | 41.3 | 43.9 | 41.6 | 42.4 |
| 3. Not much interested | 18.6 | 19.2 | 13.3 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 16.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

interest_wherevote

Label: Does R know where to go to vote in neighborhood
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-4
Description: Campaign interest and engagement
Question: Do you happen to know where people who live in your neighborhood go to vote?
Web Logic: Online, do not display response option 3.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1258 | 3332 | 4590 |
| 2. No | 716 | 525 | 1241 |
| 3. Vote by mail only (VOL) | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| -8. Don't know | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 61.2 | 66.1 | 86.3 | 81.3 | 77.6 | 76.1 |
| 2. No | 34.9 | 29.6 | 13.6 | 18.6 | 21.0 | 22.4 |
| 3. Vote by mail only (VOL) | 2.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

interest_voted2008

Label: Did R vote for President in 2008
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-8
Description: Campaign interest and engagement
Question: In 2008 Barack Obama ran on the Democratic ticket against John McCain for the Republicans. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted | 1371 | 3214 | 4585 |
| 2. No, didn't vote | 663 | 644 | 1307 |
| -8. Don't know | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted | 66.7 | 69.4 | 83.3 | 78.2 | 77.5 | 75.1 |
| 2. No, didn't vote | 32.3 | 29.5 | 16.7 | 21.8 | 22.1 | 24.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

interest_whovote2008

Label: Recall of last (2008) Presidential vote choice
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-9
Description: Campaign interest and engagement
Question: Which one did you vote for?
Universe: IF R SAYS VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 2008:
Logic: Ask if CAMPINT PRESVTLAST=1, else skip.
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box for option 5 in place of ♦SPECIFY.♦
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Barack Obama | 923 | 1781 | 2704 |
| 2. John McCain | 380 | 1322 | 1702 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 17 | 97 | 114 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 683 | 646 | 1329 |
| -8. Don't know | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -9. Refused | 42 | 14 | 56 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Barack Obama | 44.9 | 36.0 | 46.1 | 42.4 | 45.7 | 40.2 |
| 2. John McCain | 18.5 | 30.0 | 34.2 | 33.1 | 28.8 | 32.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 33.3 | 30.6 | 16.7 | 21.8 | 22.5 | 24.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

interest_whovote2008_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other Specify- Recall of last (2008) Presidential vote choice

49 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5832 |
| Ron Paul | 14 |
| Bob Barr | 7 |
| Ralph Nader | 6 |
| ron paul | 4 |
| Hillary Clinton | 3 |
| bob barr | 2 |
| green party | 2 |
| independent | 2 |
| Independent | 2 |

prmedia_useinnet

Label: HH Internet use
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-5
Description: Pre media exposure and attention
Question: Do you or anyone in this household use the Internet at any location?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1760 | 0 | 1760 |
| 2. No | 291 | 0 | 291 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 85.7 | 89.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.8 | 30.9 |
| 2. No | 14.2 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 3.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

prmedia_wkinews

Label: Days in typical week review news on internet
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-8
Description: Pre media exposure and attention
Question: During a typical week, how many days do you watch, read, or listen to news on the Internet, not including sports?
Universe: IF ANYONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD USES THE INTERNET AT ANY LOCATION.
Logic: Ask if PRMEDIA USEINET=1, else skip
Web Logic: Online, disregard the question logic/universe condition and ask this of everyone.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 0. None | 497 | 738 | 1235 |
| 1. One day | 170 | 292 | 462 |
| 2. Two days | 176 | 267 | 443 |
| 3. Three days | 154 | 352 | 506 |
| 4. Four days | 95 | 243 | 338 |
| 5. Five days | 174 | 497 | 671 |
| 6. Six days | 53 | 301 | 354 |
| 7. Seven days | 438 | 1167 | 1605 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 294 | 0 | 294 |
| -4. Error | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 24.2 | 26.2 | 19.1 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 23.0 |
| 1. One day | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 8.3 |
| 2. Two days | 8.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.7 |
| 3. Three days | 7.5 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 8.9 |
| 4. Four days | 4.6 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| 5. Five days | 8.5 | 8.6 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 11.3 | 11.1 |
| 6. Six days | 2.6 | 3.0 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 6.1 |
| 7. Seven days | 21.3 | 24.7 | 30.2 | 25.6 | 27.1 | 25.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 14.3 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 3.8 |
| -4. Error | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prmedia_atinews

Label: Attention to internet news
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-15
 Description: Pre media exposure and attention
 Question: How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the Internet? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL /NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Universe: IF NUMBER OF DAYS ATTENDING NEWS ON INTERNET IS MORE THAN 0:
 Logic: Ask if PRMEDIA WKINEWS > 0, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 146 | 349 | 495 |
| 2. A lot | 177 | 559 | 736 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 388 | 1038 | 1426 |
| 4. A little | 427 | 890 | 1317 |
| 5. None at all | 122 | 283 | 405 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 794 | 741 | 1535 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 7.1 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 7.8 |
| 2. A lot | 8.6 | 8.8 | 14.5 | 12.8 | 12.4 | 11.4 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 18.9 | 18.6 | 26.9 | 25.7 | 24.1 | 23.2 |
| 4. A little | 20.8 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 23.8 | 22.3 | 23.1 |
| 5. None at all | 5.9 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 7.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 38.7 | 37.2 | 19.2 | 21.5 | 26.0 | 26.9 |

prmedia_wktvnws

Label: Days in typical week watch news on TV
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-16
Description: Pre media exposure and attention
Question: During a typical week, how many days do you watch national news on TV, not including sports?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 0. None | 308 | 583 | 891 |
| 1. One day | 164 | 321 | 485 |
| 2. Two days | 212 | 334 | 546 |
| 3. Three days | 195 | 342 | 537 |
| 4. Four days | 132 | 295 | 427 |
| 5. Five days | 289 | 566 | 855 |
| 6. Six days | 107 | 339 | 446 |
| 7. Seven days | 644 | 1077 | 1721 |
| -4. Error | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 15.0 | 16.6 | 15.1 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 17.2 |
| 1. One day | 8.0 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| 2. Two days | 10.3 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.8 |
| 3. Three days | 9.5 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 8.8 |
| 4. Four days | 6.4 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| 5. Five days | 14.1 | 12.5 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 13.3 |
| 6. Six days | 5.2 | 5.2 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| 7. Seven days | 31.4 | 33.6 | 27.9 | 24.2 | 29.1 | 27.5 |
| -4. Error | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prmedia_attvnews

Label: Attention to TV news
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-18
 Description: Pre media exposure and attention
 Question: How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on TV? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Universe: IF NUMBER OF DAYS R WATCHES NEWS ON TV IS MORE THAN 0:
 Logic: Ask if PRMEDIA WKTVNEWS > 0, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 260 | 591 | 851 |
| 2. A lot | 361 | 752 | 1113 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 582 | 1154 | 1736 |
| 4. A little | 467 | 704 | 1171 |
| 5. None at all | 70 | 71 | 141 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 311 | 586 | 897 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 12.7 | 14.1 | 15.3 | 13.0 | 14.4 | 13.4 |
| 2. A lot | 17.6 | 18.3 | 19.5 | 17.4 | 18.8 | 17.7 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 28.3 | 26.9 | 29.9 | 30.0 | 29.4 | 28.9 |
| 4. A little | 22.7 | 21.3 | 18.2 | 19.9 | 19.8 | 20.3 |
| 5. None at all | 3.4 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 15.1 | 16.7 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 15.2 | 17.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prmedia_wkprnw

Label: Days in typical wk read news in print newspaper
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-19
Description: Pre media exposure and attention
Question: During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed newspaper, not including sports?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 0. None | 960 | 1473 | 2433 |
| 1. One day | 325 | 586 | 911 |
| 2. Two days | 189 | 359 | 548 |
| 3. Three days | 144 | 258 | 402 |
| 4. Four days | 68 | 143 | 211 |
| 5. Five days | 100 | 203 | 303 |
| 6. Six days | 32 | 156 | 188 |
| 7. Seven days | 231 | 679 | 910 |
| -4. Error | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 46.7 | 42.7 | 38.2 | 42.2 | 41.1 | 42.4 |
| 1. One day | 15.8 | 16.5 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| 2. Two days | 9.2 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 9.2 |
| 3. Three days | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.6 |
| 4. Four days | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| 5. Five days | 4.9 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| 6. Six days | 1.6 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
| 7. Seven days | 11.2 | 16.5 | 17.6 | 14.3 | 15.4 | 15.1 |
| -4. Error | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prmedia_atpprnews

Label: Attention to printed newspaper news
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-21
 Description: Pre media exposure and attention
 Question: How much attention do you pay to news about national politics in printed newspapers? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Universe: IF NUMBER OF DAYS R READS PRINT NEWSPAPER >0:
 Logic: Ask if PRMEDIA WKPRNEWS > 0, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 98 | 269 | 367 |
| 2. A lot | 161 | 450 | 611 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 344 | 812 | 1156 |
| 4. A little | 368 | 729 | 1097 |
| 5. None at all | 118 | 124 | 242 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 965 | 1476 | 2441 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 4.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| 2. A lot | 7.8 | 8.3 | 11.7 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 9.4 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 16.7 | 18.5 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 19.5 | 19.3 |
| 4. A little | 17.9 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 18.8 |
| 5. None at all | 5.7 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.0 | 42.9 | 38.2 | 42.3 | 41.3 | 42.6 |

prmedia_wkrdnws

Label: Days in typical week listen news on radio
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-22
Description: Pre media exposure and attention
Question: During a typical week, how many days do you listen to news on the radio, not including sports?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 0. None | 874 | 1410 | 2284 |
| 1. One day | 157 | 318 | 475 |
| 2. Two days | 170 | 366 | 536 |
| 3. Three days | 128 | 313 | 441 |
| 4. Four days | 86 | 226 | 312 |
| 5. Five days | 281 | 674 | 955 |
| 6. Six days | 48 | 131 | 179 |
| 7. Seven days | 303 | 419 | 722 |
| -4. Error | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 42.6 | 41.0 | 36.5 | 38.8 | 38.6 | 39.6 |
| 1. One day | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 8.5 |
| 2. Two days | 8.3 | 7.8 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 9.0 |
| 3. Three days | 6.2 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.6 |
| 4. Four days | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| 5. Five days | 13.7 | 16.0 | 17.5 | 17.1 | 16.1 | 16.7 |
| 6. Six days | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| 7. Seven days | 14.8 | 14.1 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 12.2 | 10.9 |
| -4. Error | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prmedia_atrdnews

Label: Attention to radio news
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-24
 Description: Pre media exposure and attention
 Question: How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the radio? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Universe: IF NUMBER OF DAYS R LISTENS TO NEWS ON RADIO IS MORE THAN 0:
 Logic: Ask if PRMEDIA WKRDNEWS > 0, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 146 | 308 | 454 |
| 2. A lot | 206 | 477 | 683 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 345 | 813 | 1158 |
| 4. A little | 371 | 712 | 1083 |
| 5. None at all | 103 | 136 | 239 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 881 | 1413 | 2294 |
| -4. Error | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 7.1 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.2 |
| 2. A lot | 10.0 | 11.7 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 11.3 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 16.8 | 16.1 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 19.6 | 19.1 |
| 4. A little | 18.1 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 18.6 |
| 5. None at all | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 42.9 | 41.3 | 36.6 | 39.0 | 38.8 | 39.8 |

prevote_regist_addr

Label: PRE: R registered to vote (pre-election)- sample address

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Registered at this address | 1351 | 3143 | 4494 |
| 2. Registered at a different address | 327 | 251 | 578 |
| 3. Not currently registered | 355 | 315 | 670 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 145 | 145 |
| -8. Don't know | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 6 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Registered at this address | 65.8 | 72.2 | 81.4 | 77.7 | 76.0 | 75.8 |
| 2. Registered at a different address | 15.9 | 11.9 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 9.4 |
| 3. Not currently registered | 17.3 | 15.1 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 11.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

prevote_regist_noaddr

Label: PRE: WEB ONLY: R registered to vote (pre-election)- no sample address

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Registered to vote | 0 | 125 | 125 |
| 2. Not currently registered | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3715 | 5769 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Registered to vote | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 2. Not currently registered | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 97.5 | 97.5 |

prevote_reg

Label: RESTRICTED: Other Registration location - add1 etc
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-2
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: What is the address where you are registered to vote now?
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGIST=2 else skip. On a single screen there should be fields to capture address1, address2, apt number, city, state, zip code For state only, a drop-down list should be present. Fields: PREVOTE REGADD1 PREVOTE REGADD2 PREVOTE REGAPT PREVOTE REGCITY PREVOTE REGST (dropdown) PREVOTE ZIP It must be necessary to include PREVOTE REGSTATE at minimum.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 1. City and state identified in correct fields | 289 | 0 | 289 |
| 2. City and state identifiable; some recording of address information in incorrect fields | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1727 | 3860 | 5587 |
| -2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address | 19 | 0 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1. City and state identified in correct fields | 14.1 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 3.6 |
| 2. City and state identifiable; some recording of address information in incorrect fields | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.1 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 95.9 |
| -2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

prevote_reg_recordstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Oth Registr. location - state recorded in correct field

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 1. State of registration recorded in correct (state) field | 289 | 0 | 289 |
| 2. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as same state as state of residence | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 3. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as different from state of residence | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1727 | 3860 | 5587 |
| -2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address | 19 | 0 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1. State of registration recorded in correct (state) field | 14.1 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 3.6 |
| 2. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as same state as state of residence | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 3. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as different from state of residence | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.1 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 95.9 |
| -2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

prevote_reg_recordcity

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Oth Registr. location - city recorded in correct field

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 1. City of registration recorded in correct (city) field | 297 | 0 | 297 |
| 2. City of registration not recorded in correct field | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1727 | 3860 | 5587 |
| -2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address | 19 | 0 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1. City of registration recorded in correct (city) field | 14.5 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 3.7 |
| 2. City of registration not recorded in correct field | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.1 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 95.9 |
| -2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

prevote_reg_state

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Other Registr. location - state of registr. (FIPS CODE)

44 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 5606 |
| 06. California | 36 |
| 48. Texas | 30 |
| 22. Louisiana | 26 |
| 12. Florida | 25 |
| 36. New York | 18 |
| 17. Illinois | 14 |
| 37. North Carolina | 13 |
| 45. South Carolina | 13 |
| 13. Georgia | 12 |

prevote_reg_samestate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Oth Reg. location - state same as hsehold's state
(Y/N)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not same state as state of residence | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 1. Same state as state of residence | 274 | 0 | 274 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1751 | 3860 | 5611 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not same state as state of residence | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 1. Same state as state of residence | 13.3 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 3.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.2 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 96.2 |

prevote_regincnty

Label: Is R registered to vote in preload county (resid)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-2.5
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Is that address in [preload: hhcounty]?
Universe: IF R REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS: IF R REGISTERED IN SAME STATE AS CURRENT ADDRESS OR REGISTRATION STATE MISSING:
Logic: R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HH CITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if PREVOTE REGST=HHSTATE or PREVOTE REGST=2 and address of registration PREVOTE REGST not given, else skip. Note that preload for county should be 'Washington D.C.' if REGST is DC.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty> | 201 | 287 | 488 |
| 2. No, registered in other county | 91 | 14 | 105 |
| 5. <preload:hhcounty> is incorrect (VOL) (SPECIFY) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 6. Answered yes, reg in county; other state for PRE- | | | |
| VOTE_REG_RECORDSTATE=3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1755 | 3559 | 5314 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty> | 9.8 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| 2. No, registered in other county | 4.4 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 5. <preload:hhcounty> is incorrect (VOL) (SPECIFY) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 6. Answered yes, reg in county; other state for PRE-VOTE_REG_RECORDSTATE=3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.4 | 89.2 | 92.2 | 91.2 | 89.9 | 90.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_regyrs3cat

Label: PRE: How long has R been registered at location

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. 0-1 years | 346 | 501 | 847 |
| 2. 2-5 years | 473 | 729 | 1202 |
| 3. More than 5 years | 823 | 2157 | 2980 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 406 | 466 | 872 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 7 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 0-1 years | 16.8 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 16.6 | 14.3 | 15.7 |
| 2. 2-5 years | 23.0 | 20.0 | 18.9 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 20.9 |
| 3. More than 5 years | 40.1 | 48.6 | 55.9 | 47.6 | 50.4 | 47.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 19.8 | 17.1 | 12.1 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 15.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

prevote_regnname

Label: RESTRICTED: name under which R registered to vote
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-3
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Some people register to vote under their full name and others use different versions of their name. Others might have changed their name since they registered. What about you? Under what name are you registered to vote?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGIST in(1,2)
 Web Logic: Online, add the following text: '(Your name remains confidential and will never be shared outside of the KnowledgePanel.)'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. FTF: no text in name field; WEB: name not provided or not asked | 66 | 435 | 501 |
| 1. Text in name field | 1612 | 3425 | 5037 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 376 | 0 | 376 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. FTF: no text in name field; WEB: name not provided or not asked | 3.2 | 2.9 | 11.3 | 13.8 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| 1. Text in name field | 78.5 | 81.1 | 88.7 | 86.2 | 85.2 | 84.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 18.3 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 5.5 |

prevote_regpty_state

Label: PRE: Does recorded state of registration have party registration

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -2. Missing; available in forthcoming release | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0. State of registration does not have party registration | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. State of registration has party registration | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -2. Missing; available in forthcoming release | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0. State of registration does not have party registration | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. State of registration has party registration | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_regpty

Label: Party of registration
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-4
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: What political party are you registered with, if any?
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE IN STATE WHERE PARTY MAY BE REGISTERED:
Logic: R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if (PREVOTE REGIST =1 and HHSTATE in(AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY or missing)) or if (PREVOTE REGIST=2 and PREVOTE REGST in(AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY or empty)), else skip
Web Logic: Online, include small text box for response 5 in place of ♦SPECIFY♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Democratic party | 560 | 883 | 1443 |
| 2. Republican party | 196 | 608 | 804 |
| 4. None or 'independent' | 194 | 20 | 214 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 7 | 449 | 456 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1063 | 1895 | 2958 |
| -8. Don't know | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 5 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democratic party | 27.3 | 18.9 | 22.9 | 20.6 | 24.4 | 20.0 |
| 2. Republican party | 9.5 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 13.6 | 15.3 |
| 4. None or 'independent' | 9.4 | 12.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 7.7 | 8.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.8 | 53.0 | 49.1 | 50.7 | 50.0 | 51.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

prevote_Regpty_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Party of registration

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

prevote_regint

Label: Does R intend to register to vote
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-5
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Do you intend to register to vote before the November 6 elections, or do you not intend to register?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR DK IF REGISTERED TO VOTE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGIST in(3,DK) else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes, intend to register | 117 | 86 | 203 |
| 2. | No, do not intend to register | 239 | 251 | 490 |
| | | | | |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1683 | 3519 | 5202 |
| -8. | Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. | Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, intend to register | 5.7 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| 2. No, do not intend to register | 11.6 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 9.0 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 81.9 | 84.2 | 91.2 | 88.8 | 88.0 | 87.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_primv

Label: Did R vote in the Presidential primary or caucus
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-6
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Did you vote in a Presidential primary election or caucus this year?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted in primary or caucus | 480 | 1681 | 2161 |
| 2. No, didn't vote in primary or caucus | 1539 | 2171 | 3710 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 8 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted in primary or caucus | 23.4 | 25.7 | 43.5 | 38.0 | 36.5 | 33.8 |
| 2. No, didn't vote in primary or caucus | 74.9 | 73.0 | 56.2 | 61.7 | 62.7 | 65.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

prevote_primvwho

Label: For which candidate did R vote in Presidential prim
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-7
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Looking at page [preload: prepg q] in the booklet In the Presidential primary or caucus, who did you vote for?
Universe: IF R VOTED IN A PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY OR CAUCUS:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE PRIMV=1 else skip
Web Logic: Online, omit the text 'Looking at page [preload: prepg q] in the booklet'. Include a small text box for option 95 in place of 'SPECIFY'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 01. Mitt Romney | 112 | 534 | 646 |
| 02. Barack Obama | 280 | 759 | 1039 |
| 03. Rick Santorum | 17 | 129 | 146 |
| 04. Newt Gingrich | 15 | 58 | 73 |
| 05. Ron Paul | 16 | 94 | 110 |
| 06. Rick Perry | 3 | 20 | 23 |
| 07. Michele Bachmann | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 08. Jon Huntsman | 2 | 10 | 12 |
| 09. Herman Cain | 9 | 17 | 26 |
| 95. Someone else (SPECIFY) | 6 | 44 | 50 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1574 | 2179 | 3753 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 12 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Mitt Romney | 5.5 | 9.3 | 13.8 | 12.5 | 10.9 | 11.4 |
| 02. Barack Obama | 13.6 | 10.8 | 19.7 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 14.7 |
| 03. Rick Santorum | 0.8 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 04. Newt Gingrich | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 05. Ron Paul | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 06. Rick Perry | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 07. Michele Bachmann | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 08. Jon Huntsman | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 09. Herman Cain | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 95. Someone else (SPECIFY) | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 76.6 | 74.3 | 56.5 | 62.0 | 63.5 | 66.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

prevote_primvwho_othtxt

Label: PRE: Oth specify- For which candidate did R vote in Presidential prim

33 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------|
| <NULL> | 5879 |
| no one | 3 |
| None | 2 |
| Andrew Roraback and Linda McMahon | 1 |
| barack obama | 1 |
| Buddy Rohmer | 1 |
| Can't remember candidate's no; he was from Green party | 1 |
| Checked the Other box and wrote in None of the Above | 1 |
| could not vote rep | 1 |
| didn't vote for anyone | 1 |

prevote_voted

Label: Already voted in General Election
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-8
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: This question is not about the primary elections and caucuses that were held a few months ago. Instead, we'd like to ask you about the election for President to be held on November 6, in which [preload: rep pcname] is running against [preload: dem pcname]. Have you already voted in that election, or have you not voted?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGIST in(1,2) else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have voted | 118 | 332 | 450 |
| 2. Have not voted | 1557 | 3185 | 4742 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 376 | 341 | 717 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 2 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have voted | 5.7 | 5.7 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
| 2. Have not voted | 75.8 | 78.3 | 82.5 | 80.5 | 80.2 | 79.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 18.3 | 16.0 | 8.8 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 12.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_vconf

Label: Confirmation voted (early) in November 6 election
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-9
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Just to be clear, I'm recording that you already voted in the election that is scheduled to take place on November 6. Is that right?
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VOTED=1 else skip
Web Logic: Online, replace 'I'm' with 'we are' in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted | 112 | 294 | 406 |
| 2. No, have not voted | 6 | 38 | 44 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1936 | 3528 | 5464 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted | 5.5 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| 2. No, have not voted | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.3 | 94.3 | 91.4 | 91.7 | 92.4 | 92.6 |

prevote_howvote

Label: In what manner did R vote
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-10
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Which one of the following best describes how you voted? - Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day - Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before election day - Definitely voted in some other way - Not completely sure whether you voted or not
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING FOR THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VCONF=1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day | 50 | 61 | 111 |
| 2. Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before election day | 59 | 222 | 281 |
| 3. Definitely voted in some other way | 3 | 9 | 12 |
| 4. Not completely sure whether you voted or not | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1942 | 3566 | 5508 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 2. Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before election day | 2.9 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| 3. Definitely voted in some other way | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.5 | 94.4 | 92.4 | 93.1 | 93.1 | 93.5 |

prevote_ckbcard

Label: FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: have correct Pre ballot card
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-10.5
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS: [preload: hhstate] [preload: hhdist]. ASSIGNED BALLOT COLOR IS: [var: ballotcolor]. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHETHER YOU HAVE THE CORRECT BALLOT CARD FOR THE RESPONDENT:
Universe: IF HAS NOT REFUSED TO INDICATE REGISTRATION STATUS: IF R IS REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF CURRENT ADDRESS OR IF R IS NOT REGISTERED:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGIST in(1,3) or PREVOTE REGINCNTY=1, else skip. In text of question, value of preload variable 'hhdist' which identifies the 113th congressional district of R's current address (e.g. '02'), is loaded together with value of preload variable hh-state.
Source: IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for R's district | 1851 | 3458 | 5309 |
| 2. Have ballot card in wrong color for R's district | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards | 53 | 396 | 449 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 146 | 6 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for R's district | 90.1 | 92.4 | 89.6 | 87.8 | 89.8 | 89.4 |
| 2. Have ballot card in wrong color for R's district | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards | 2.6 | 2.0 | 10.3 | 11.9 | 7.6 | 8.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 7.1 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 2.0 |

prevote_ballot_color

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Assigned color for Ballot Card (if displayed)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Blue | 1003 | 0 | 1003 |
| 2. Pink | 1051 | 0 | 1051 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Blue | 48.8 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 16.6 |
| 2. Pink | 51.2 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 18.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

prevote_presvt

Label: Did R vote for President
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-12
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) else skip. The ballot card is not shown
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for President | 111 | 284 | 395 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for President | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1942 | 3567 | 5509 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for President | 5.4 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.3 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for President | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.5 | 94.4 | 92.4 | 93.1 | 93.2 | 93.5 |

prevote_presvtwho

Label: For whom did R vote for President
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-13
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Who did you vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Randomization: Order of names in question text
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R ASSIGNED TO DEMOCRATIC NAMES FIRST / IF IF R ASSIGNED TO REPUBLICAN NAMES FIRST:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE PRESVT=1 else skip. The ballot card is not shown. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who did you vote for?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of ♦SPECIFY♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 68 | 154 | 222 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 39 | 114 | 153 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1943 | 3576 | 5519 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 5 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 3.3 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 1.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.6 | 94.4 | 92.6 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

prevote_presvtwho_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- For whom did R vote for President

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5907 |
| Gary Johnson | 2 |
| kurt warner | 1 |
| none of your business | 1 |
| Ron Paul Wrote him in | 1 |
| voting is private | 1 |
| what happened to privacy/ | 1 |

prevote_presstr

Label: Preference strong for Pres cand for whom R voted
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-14
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Would you say your preference for this candidate was strong or not stong?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE PRESVTWHO in(1,2,5) else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong | 95 | 238 | 333 |
| 2. Not strong | 11 | 41 | 52 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1947 | 3581 | 5528 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong | 4.6 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| 2. Not strong | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.8 | 94.5 | 92.8 | 93.3 | 93.5 | 93.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_vtpresdec_txt

Label: PRE: How long before election R made decision Pres vote

310 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| -1 Inapplicable | 3581 |
| <NULL> | 1952 |
| 6 months | 13 |
| 4 years | 10 |
| 4 years ago | 10 |
| 3 months | 6 |
| 1 week | 4 |
| 2 months | 4 |
| 2 weeks | 4 |
| Months | 4 |

prevote_vtpresdec_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Hw Ing bef elct R made decsn Pres vt: DK/RF selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 2. FTF: code RF selected in place of open-end response | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2048 | 3860 | 5908 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

prevote_intpres

Label: Does R intend to vote for President
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-16
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: How about the election for President? Do you intend to vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGINT=1 /R is not registered but intends to / or (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4) /R is registered but did not vote/, else skip. The ballot card is not shown
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 1553 | 3178 | 4731 |
| 2. | No | 69 | 132 | 201 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 371 | 548 | 919 |
| -8. | Don't know | 61 | 0 | 61 |
| -9. | Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 75.6 | 76.3 | 82.3 | 80.5 | 80.0 | 79.0 |
| 2. No | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 18.1 | 17.2 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 15.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_intpreswho

Label: For whom does R intend to vote for President
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-16.5
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Who do you think you will vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE_INTPRES=1, else skip. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who do you think you will vote for?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 970 | 1654 | 2624 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 390 | 1337 | 1727 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 14 | 167 | 181 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 501 | 682 | 1183 |
| -8. Don't know | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| -9. Refused | 29 | 20 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 47.2 | 36.4 | 42.8 | 40.6 | 44.4 | 39.1 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 19.0 | 29.7 | 34.6 | 34.7 | 29.2 | 32.9 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.7 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 24.4 | 23.7 | 17.7 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 21.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.3 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

prevote_intpreswho_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- For whom does R intend to vote for President

61 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5775 |
| Gary Johnson | 25 |
| undecided | 17 |
| not sure | 10 |
| Ron Paul | 9 |
| Jill Stein | 6 |
| Undecided | 6 |
| Don't know | 3 |
| Don't know yet | 3 |
| gary johnson | 3 |

prevote_intpresst

Label: Pref strng for Pres cand for whom R intends to vote
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-17
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Would you say your preference for this candidate is STRONG or NOT STRONG?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT: IF R's INTENDED VOTE FOR PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE IS NOT DK/NA:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE_INTPRESWHO in(1,2,5), else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong | 1178 | 2524 | 3702 |
| 2. Not strong | 198 | 632 | 830 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 668 | 702 | 1370 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong | 57.4 | 53.6 | 65.4 | 62.7 | 62.6 | 59.5 |
| 2. Not strong | 9.6 | 13.5 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 14.0 | 15.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 32.5 | 32.4 | 18.2 | 20.1 | 23.2 | 24.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_prefpres

Label: Does R prefer Pres cand (no intent to register)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-18
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: How about the election for President? Do you prefer one of the candidates for PRESIDENT?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGINT in(2,DK,RF) /R is not registered and does not intend to register , else skip. The ballot card is not shown
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 156 | 115 | 271 |
| 2. | No | 86 | 138 | 224 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1800 | 3605 | 5405 |
| -8. | Don't know | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 7.6 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| 2. No | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 87.6 | 88.6 | 93.4 | 91.8 | 91.4 | 90.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

prevote_prefprwho

Label: Preference for Pres cand (no intent to register)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-19
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Who do you prefer? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE PREFPRES=1 else skip. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who do you prefer?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 114 | 71 | 185 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 36 | 39 | 75 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1898 | 3745 | 5643 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 1 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 5.6 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.4 | 93.0 | 97.0 | 96.5 | 95.4 | 95.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

prevote_prefprwho_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Preference for Pres cand (no intent to register)

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5910 |
| Gary Johnson | 1 |
| McCain | 1 |
| N/A | 1 |
| Ron Paul | 1 |

prevote_prefprstr

Label: Preference strong for Pres cand (no intent to reg)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-20
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Would you say your preference for this candidate is STRONG or NOT STRONG?
Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
IF PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/NA:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE PREFPRWHO in(1,2,5) else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong | 109 | 82 | 191 |
| 2. Not strong | 42 | 32 | 74 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1902 | 3746 | 5648 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong | 5.3 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| 2. Not strong | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.6 | 93.2 | 97.0 | 96.5 | 95.5 | 95.4 |

prevote_votehs

Label: Did R vote for U.S. House of Representatives
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-21
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: [Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES? / How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) else skip. If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives | 101 | 246 | 347 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives | 8 | 47 | 55 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1942 | 3567 | 5509 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.4 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.5 | 94.4 | 92.4 | 93.1 | 93.2 | 93.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_hsvtbc

Label: For whom did R vote for U.S. House (ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-22
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VOTEHS=1 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5. Omit response option 4.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 52 | 107 | 159 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 30 | 104 | 134 |
| 3. <preload: ind_hsename> | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1964 | 3637 | 5601 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 5 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 3. <preload: ind_hsename> | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. R_VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 95.6 | 95.2 | 94.2 | 95.0 | 94.7 | 95.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_hsvtnobc

Label: For whom did R vote for U.S. House (no ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-23
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VOTEHS=1 and (PREVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE HSVTBC=4), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------------------|------|------|-------|
| | 81. Democrat | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| | 82. Republican | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 2043 | 3836 | 5879 |
| | -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 81. Democrat | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 82. Republican | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 |
| | -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

prevote_inths

Label: Does R intend to vote for U.S. House
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-24
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: [Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Do you intend to vote in the election for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?/ How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Do you intend to vote in the election for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGINT=1 or (PREVOTE REGIST in(1,2) and (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4)), else skip. If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1234 | 2858 | 4092 |
| 2. No | 329 | 453 | 782 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 371 | 548 | 919 |
| -8. Don't know | 119 | 0 | 119 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 60.1 | 63.3 | 74.0 | 68.8 | 69.2 | 66.9 |
| 2. No | 16.0 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 16.1 | 13.2 | 15.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 18.1 | 17.2 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 15.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.8 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

prevote_inthsbc

Label: For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. House (ballot card)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-24.5
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you think you will vote for?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER,
 OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO
 VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR THE U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT
 CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE INTHS=1 and (PREVOTE REGIST=1 or PREVOTE
 REGINT=1 or (PREVOTE REGIST=2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY=1))
 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response
 option 5. Omit response option 4.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 614 | 1221 | 1835 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 341 | 1098 | 1439 |
| 3. <preload: ind_hsename> | 7 | 106 | 113 |
| 4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 12 | 104 | 116 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 904 | 1317 | 2221 |
| -8. Don't know | 130 | 0 | 130 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 14 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 29.9 | 24.0 | 31.6 | 27.4 | 31.0 | 26.2 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 16.6 | 25.2 | 28.4 | 27.0 | 24.3 | 26.4 |
| 3. <preload: ind_hsename> | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 4. R_VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 44.0 | 39.9 | 34.1 | 39.9 | 37.6 | 39.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

prevote_inthsnobc

Label: For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. House (no ballot cd)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-24.8
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER,
 OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO
 VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR THE U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR R DOES
 NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R IN-
 DICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE INTHS=1 and ((PREVOTE REGIST=2 and PRE-
 VOTE REGINCNTY < > 1) or PREVOTE INTHSBC = 4 or PREVOTE
 CKBCARD not in(1,2)), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 81. Democrat | 43 | 142 | 185 |
| 82. Republican | 16 | 112 | 128 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 8 | 101 | 109 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1958 | 3485 | 5443 |
| -8. Don't know | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 20 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 81. Democrat | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.1 | |
| 82. Republican | 0.8 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.2 | |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 95.3 | 96.4 | 90.3 | 89.8 | 92.0 | |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | |

prevote_hspref

Label: Does R prefer U.S. House candidate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-26
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: [Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?/ How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGINT in(2,DK,RF) else skip. If PREVOTE CKB-CARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 71 | 38 | 109 |
| 2. No | 140 | 216 | 356 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1800 | 3605 | 5405 |
| -8. Don't know | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 3.5 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 2. No | 6.8 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 6.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 87.6 | 88.6 | 93.4 | 91.8 | 91.4 | 90.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_hsprefbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-27
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you prefer?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE HSPREF=1 and PREVOTE CBKCARD in(1,2), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5. Omit response option 4.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 41 | 19 | 60 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 17 | 16 | 33 |
| 4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1986 | 3824 | 5810 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 96.7 | 96.9 | 99.1 | 99.0 | 98.2 | 98.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_hsprefnobj

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (no ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-27.3
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you prefer? Which party is that?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE OR IF R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
 Logic: Ask if (PREVOTE HSPREF=1 and PREVOTE CBKCARD not in(1,2)) or PREVOTE HSPREFWHO=4, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 82. Republican | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2050 | 3859 | 5909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 82. Republican | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.8 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

prevote_senhhstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Senate election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Senate race in state of residence | 561 | 0 | 561 |
| 1. Senate race in state of residence | 1493 | 0 | 1493 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Senate race in state of residence | 27.3 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 7.3 |
| 1. Senate race in state of residence | 72.7 | 79.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 27.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

prevote_senregstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Sen election in state of regist (R not regist at resid)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 101 | 0 | 101 |
| 1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 188 | 0 | 188 |
| 3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1727 | 3860 | 5587 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 24 | 0 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 4.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 9.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
| 3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.1 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 95.9 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

prevote_votesen

Label: Did R vote for U.S. Senate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-28
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: How about the election for the United States Senate ? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if (PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and (PREVOTE REGIST=1 or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT, ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI, TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)) else skip. If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE HSVOTEBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for Senate | 75 | 212 | 287 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for Senate | 8 | 34 | 42 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1969 | 3614 | 5583 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for Senate | 3.7 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for Senate | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 95.9 | 95.6 | 93.6 | 94.1 | 94.4 | 94.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_vtsenbc

Label: R's vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-29
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Who did you vote for?
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VOTESEN=1 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip
Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 38 | 113 | 151 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 27 | 90 | 117 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1985 | 3650 | 5635 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 3 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 96.6 | 96.3 | 94.6 | 95.0 | 95.3 | 95.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_vtseennobc

Label: R's vote for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-30
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VOTESEN=1 and (PREVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE HSVTBC=4), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 81. Democrat | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 82. Republican | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2048 | 3860 | 5908 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 81. Democrat | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 82. Republican | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_intsen

Label: Does R intend to vote for U.S. Senate
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-31
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: How about the election for the U.S. Senate. Do you intend to vote in the election for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if (PREVOTE REGINT=1 and HH-STATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (PREVOTE REGIST =1 and (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4) and PREVOTE REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY=1 and (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4) and HHVOTE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY), else skip. If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 909 | 2172 | 3081 |
| 2. No | 199 | 288 | 487 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 870 | 1399 | 2269 |
| -8. Don't know | 76 | 0 | 76 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 44.3 | 52.4 | 56.3 | 52.3 | 52.1 | 52.3 |
| 2. No | 9.7 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 9.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 42.4 | 35.1 | 36.2 | 37.8 | 38.4 | 36.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |

prevote_intsenbc

Label: For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-31.5
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you think you will vote for?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER,
 OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS
 BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE
 FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION
 DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE INTSEN=1 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and
 PREVOTE INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 484 | 1161 | 1645 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 272 | 853 | 1125 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0 | 60 | 60 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 5 | 77 | 82 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1198 | 1702 | 2900 |
| -8. Don't know | 85 | 0 | 85 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 7 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 23.6 | 23.0 | 30.1 | 26.3 | 27.8 | 25.2 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 13.2 | 21.2 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 19.0 | 21.5 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.3 | 49.9 | 44.1 | 48.1 | 49.0 | 48.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

prevote_intsennobc

Label: For whom does R intend to vote for US. Senate (no ballot cd)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-31.8
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER,
 OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE
 IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R INTENDS TO
 VOTE FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD
 FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE INTSEN=1 and (PREVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or
 PREVOTE INTHSBC = 4), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 81. Democrat | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| 82. Republican | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2001 | 3572 | 5573 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 288 | 288 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 81. Democrat | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 82. Republican | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 97.4 | 97.7 | 92.5 | 90.1 | 94.2 | 92.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 6.5 |

prevote_senpref

Label: Does R prefer U.S. Senate candidate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-33
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: How about the election for the United States Senate? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGINT in(2,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH, PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY), else skip If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 72 | 30 | 102 |
| 2. | No | 100 | 170 | 270 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1851 | 3659 | 5510 |
| -8. | Don't know | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 2. No | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 90.1 | 91.1 | 94.8 | 93.8 | 93.2 | 92.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

prevote_senprefbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate (ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-34
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you prefer?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE SENPREF=1 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 46 | 12 | 58 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 21 | 12 | 33 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1986 | 3831 | 5817 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 96.7 | 96.9 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 98.4 | 98.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_senprefnobc

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-34.3
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you prefer? Which party is that?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE SENPREF=1 and (PREVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE HSPREFWHO = 4), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 81. Democrat | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 82. Republican | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2050 | 3860 | 5910 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 81. Democrat | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 82. Republican | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.8 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

prevote_govhhstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Gubernatorial election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Gubernatorial race in state of residence | 1801 | 0 | 1801 |
| 1. Gubernatorial race in state of residence | 253 | 0 | 253 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Gubernatorial race in state of residence | 87.7 | 86.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.5 | 30.1 |
| 1. Gubernatorial race in state of residence | 12.3 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 4.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

prevote_govregstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Gov election in state of regist (R not regist at resid)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 252 | 0 | 252 |
| 1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| 3. No Governor race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1727 | 3860 | 5587 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 24 | 0 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 12.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 3.2 |
| 1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 3. No Governor race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.1 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 95.9 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

prevote_votegov

Label: Did R vote for governor
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-35
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: How about the election for governor ? Did you vote for a candidate for GOVERNOR?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if (PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE REGIST=1 and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC, ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF)) or (PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE REGIST =1 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY = 1 and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV)) , else skip. If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) or PREVOTE HSVOTEBC=4 then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for governor | 14 | 44 | 58 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for governor | 2 | 28 | 30 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2038 | 3788 | 5826 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for governor | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for governor | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.2 | 99.1 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 98.5 | 98.4 |

prevote_vtgovbc

Label: R's vote for governor(ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-36
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for?
 Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VOTEGOV=1 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 8 | 19 | 27 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 6 | 21 | 27 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2040 | 3816 | 5856 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.3 | 99.2 | 98.9 | 98.7 | 99.0 | 98.9 |

prevote_vtgovnbc

Label: R's vote for governor (no ballot card)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-37
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE VOTEGOV=1 and (PREVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE HSVTBC=4), else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| 81. Democrat | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 82. Republican | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 81. Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 82. Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_intgov

Label: Does R intend to vote for governor
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-38
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: How about the election for governor. Do you intend to vote for a candidate for GOVERNOR?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if (PREVOTE REGINT=1 and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE REGIST =1 and (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4) and PREVOTE REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY=1 and (PREVOTE VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE HOWVOTE=4) and HHVOTE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV) , else skip. If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 180 | 664 | 844 |
| 2. | No | 21 | 114 | 135 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1842 | 3081 | 4923 |
| -8. | Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 8.8 | 10.8 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 14.3 | 14.7 |
| 2. No | 1.0 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.7 | 88.2 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 83.2 | 82.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

prevote_intgovbc

Label: For whom does R intend to vote for governor (ballot card)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-38.5
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you think you will vote for?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER,
 OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL
 RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R
 INTENDS TO VOTE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD
 FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE INTGOV=1 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and
 PREVOTE INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 85 | 302 | 387 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 43 | 306 | 349 |
| 3. <preload: ind_govname> | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1896 | 3202 | 5098 |
| -8. Don't know | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 4 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 4.1 | 4.4 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 2.1 | 3.3 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| 3. <preload: ind_govname> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.3 | 90.1 | 83.0 | 83.3 | 86.2 | 85.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_intgovnbc

Label: For whom does R intend to vote for governor (no ballot cd)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-38.8
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER,
 OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL
 RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R INTENDS
 TO VOTE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD
 FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE INTGOV=1 and (PREVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2)
 or PREVOTE INTHSBC = 4), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 81. Democrat | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 82. Republican | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2032 | 3860 | 5892 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 81. Democrat | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 82. Republican | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.9 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_govpref

Label: Does R prefer gubernatorial candidate
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-40
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: How about the election for governor? Do you prefer one of the candidates for GOVERNOR?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE REGINT in(2,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV), else skip If PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 6 | 18 | 24 |
| 2. No | 14 | 40 | 54 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2032 | 3802 | 5834 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 2. No | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.9 | 99.2 | 98.5 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

prevote_govprefbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for governor (ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-41
 Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
 Question: Who do you prefer?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
 Logic: Ask if PREVOTE GOVPREF=1 and PREVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 3 | 16 | 19 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 3 | 11 | 14 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2048 | 3821 | 5869 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.0 | 98.6 | 99.2 | 99.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

prevote_govprefnbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for governor (no ballot card)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-41.3
Description: Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question: Who do you prefer? Which party is that?
Universe: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE GOVPREF=1 and (PREVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE HSPREFVT = 4), else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| 81. Democrat | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 82. Republican | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 81. Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 82. Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 87. Other- candidate not identified by major party | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

candlik_likedpc

Label: Is there anything R likes about Democratic Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-2
Description: Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question: Is there anything in particular about [preload: DEM PCNAME] that might make you want to vote for him?
Randomization: Order of Presidential candidates
Logic: Randomize order of Presidential candidates in Likes-Dislikes series
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1307 | 1962 | 3269 |
| 2. No | 702 | 1893 | 2595 |
| -8. Don't know | 39 | 0 | 39 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 5 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 63.6 | 54.6 | 50.8 | 48.0 | 55.3 | 50.3 |
| 2. No | 34.2 | 43.3 | 49.0 | 51.8 | 43.9 | 48.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

candlik_likewhatdpc_txt

Label: PRE: What is it that R likes about Democratic Pres cand

3199 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| -1 Inapplicable | 1898 |
| <NULL> | 747 |
| -7 Refused | 27 |
| democrat | 7 |
| Health care | 7 |
| everything | 6 |
| Democrat | 4 |
| health care | 4 |
| Everything | 3 |
| honest | 3 |

candlik_disldpc

Label: Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-4
Description: Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question: Is there anything in particular about [preload: DEM PCNAME] that might make you want to vote AGAINST him?
Randomization: Order of Presidential candidates
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 823 | 1914 | 2737 |
| 2. No | 1194 | 1941 | 3135 |
| -8. Don't know | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 5 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 40.1 | 53.1 | 49.6 | 50.3 | 46.3 | 51.3 |
| 2. No | 58.1 | 45.3 | 50.3 | 49.5 | 53.0 | 48.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

candlik_dislwhatdpc_txt

Label: PRE: What is it that R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand

709 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 1946 |
| | 1187 |
| He | 388 |
| I | 291 |
| His | 208 |
| he | 139 |
| The | 101 |
| his | 76 |
| the | 59 |
| -8 | 38 |

candlik_likerpc

Label: Is there anything R likes about Republican Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-6
Description: Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question: Is there anything in particular about [preload: REP PCNAME] that might make you want to vote for him?
Randomization: Order of Presidential candidates
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 670 | 1675 | 2345 |
| 2. No | 1315 | 2179 | 3494 |
| -8. Don't know | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 6 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 32.6 | 44.3 | 43.4 | 42.7 | 39.7 | 43.2 |
| 2. No | 64.0 | 52.5 | 56.5 | 57.1 | 59.1 | 55.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

candlik_likewhatrpc_txt

Label: PRE: What is it that R likes about Republican Pres cand

581 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------|-------|
| -1 | 2185 |
| | 1320 |
| He | 419 |
| I | 225 |
| His | 204 |
| he | 136 |
| He's | 74 |
| his | 64 |
| -8 | 54 |
| i | 30 |

candlik_dislrc

Label: Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-8
Description: Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question: Is there anything in particular about [preload: REP PCNAME] that might make you want to vote AGAINST him?
Randomization: Order of Presidential candidates
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1252 | 2162 | 3414 |
| 2. No | 723 | 1692 | 2415 |
| -8. Don't know | 67 | 0 | 67 |
| -9. Refused | 12 | 6 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 61.0 | 55.5 | 56.0 | 53.8 | 57.7 | 54.4 |
| 2. No | 35.2 | 40.9 | 43.8 | 46.1 | 40.8 | 44.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

candlik_dislwhatrpc_txt

Label: PRE: What is it that R dislikes about Republican Pres cand

3317 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------|-------|
| -1 Inapplicable | 1698 |
| <NULL> | 802 |
| -7 Refused | 26 |
| health care | 8 |
| everything | 6 |
| he is a republican | 6 |
| republican | 5 |
| taxes | 5 |
| abortion | 4 |
| He's a Republican. | 4 |

congapp_job

Label: Approval of Congress handling its job
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22-1
Description: Congressional approval
Question: Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 509 | 748 | 1257 |
| 2. Disapprove | 1200 | 3071 | 4271 |
| -8. Don't know | 322 | 0 | 322 |
| -9. Refused | 23 | 41 | 64 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 24.8 | 20.9 | 19.4 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.2 |
| 2. Disapprove | 58.4 | 63.9 | 79.6 | 77.6 | 72.2 | 72.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 15.7 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 4.9 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

congapp_jobst

Label: Strength appr/disapprove Congress handling its job
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22-2
Description: Congressional approval
Question: Do you [approve/disapprove] STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe: IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB / IF R DIS-
APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:
Logic: Ask if CONGAPP CONGJOB in(1,2) else skip. Load 'approve' if CON-
GAPP CONGJOB=1, load 'disapprove' if CONGAPP CONGJOB=2.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 1098 | 2340 | 3438 |
| 2. Not strongly | 599 | 1472 | 2071 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 345 | 41 | 386 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 7 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 53.5 | 56.0 | 60.6 | 55.6 | 58.1 | 55.8 |
| 2. Not strongly | 29.2 | 28.3 | 38.1 | 43.0 | 35.0 | 37.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 16.8 | 15.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

congapp_job_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of Congress

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 207 | 172 | 379 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 299 | 570 | 869 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 300 | 902 | 1202 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 891 | 2168 | 3059 |
| -8. Don't know | 334 | 0 | 334 |
| -9. Refused | 23 | 48 | 71 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 10.1 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 5.8 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 14.6 | 13.0 | 14.8 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 15.2 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 14.6 | 15.4 | 23.4 | 26.6 | 20.3 | 22.7 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 43.4 | 48.1 | 56.2 | 51.0 | 51.7 | 50.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 16.3 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 5.1 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

presapp_track

Label: Are things in the country on right track
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-1
Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question: Do you feel things in this country are generally going in the RIGHT DIRECTION, or do you feel things have pretty seriously gotten off on the WRONG TRACK?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Right direction | 827 | 1364 | 2191 |
| 2. Wrong track | 1123 | 2484 | 3607 |
| -8. Don't know | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| -9. Refused | 14 | 12 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Right direction | 40.3 | 31.8 | 35.3 | 33.3 | 37.0 | 32.8 |
| 2. Wrong track | 54.7 | 63.5 | 64.4 | 66.3 | 61.0 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

presapp_job

Label: Approve or disapprove President handling job as Pres
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-2
Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question: Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 1302 | 1983 | 3285 |
| 2. Disapprove | 649 | 1848 | 2497 |
| -8. Don't know | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 29 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 63.4 | 50.7 | 51.4 | 48.8 | 55.5 | 49.5 |
| 2. Disapprove | 31.6 | 43.3 | 47.9 | 50.4 | 42.2 | 47.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.4 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

presapp_jobstr

Label: How much approve or disapp Pres handling job
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-3
 Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
 Question: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:
 Logic: Ask if PRESAPP APPPRES in(1,2), else skip. Load 'approve' if PRESAPP APPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP APPRES=2.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | | 1464 | 2604 | 4068 |
| 2. Not strongly | | 484 | 1225 | 1709 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 103 | 29 | 132 |
| -8. Don't know | | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 71.3 | 68.7 | 67.5 | 65.9 | 68.8 | 66.9 |
| 2. Not strongly | 23.6 | 25.1 | 31.7 | 33.3 | 28.9 | 30.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5.0 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

presapp_job_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling of job

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 986 | 1193 | 2179 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 313 | 790 | 1103 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 171 | 435 | 606 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 478 | 1411 | 1889 |
| -8. Don't know | 93 | 0 | 93 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 31 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 48.0 | 35.1 | 30.9 | 28.6 | 36.8 | 30.8 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 15.2 | 15.5 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 18.7 | 18.6 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 8.3 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 11.8 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 23.3 | 33.6 | 36.6 | 37.3 | 31.9 | 36.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.5 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

presapp_econ

Label: Approve or disapprove President handling economy
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-4
Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question: Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 1142 | 1857 | 2999 |
| 2. Disapprove | 792 | 1981 | 2773 |
| -8. Don't know | 103 | 0 | 103 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 22 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 55.6 | 43.3 | 48.1 | 45.3 | 50.7 | 44.6 |
| 2. Disapprove | 38.6 | 50.3 | 51.3 | 54.1 | 46.9 | 52.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

presapp_econstr

Label: How much approve or disapprove Pres handling economy
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-5
 Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
 Question: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING ECONOMY / IF R DIS-APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING ECONOMY:
 Logic: Ask if PRESAPP ECONPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP ECONPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP ECONPRES=2.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 1396 | 2630 | 4026 |
| 2. Not strongly | 528 | 1205 | 1733 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 120 | 22 | 142 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 68.0 | 67.1 | 68.1 | 67.4 | 68.1 | 67.3 |
| 2. Not strongly | 25.7 | 26.0 | 31.2 | 31.9 | 29.3 | 29.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5.8 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

presapp_econ_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling economy

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 810 | 1054 | 1864 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 325 | 801 | 1126 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 203 | 404 | 607 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 586 | 1576 | 2162 |
| -8. Don't know | 113 | 0 | 113 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 25 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 39.4 | 28.4 | 27.3 | 24.8 | 31.5 | 26.1 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 15.8 | 14.5 | 20.8 | 20.4 | 19.0 | 18.4 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 9.9 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 11.5 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 28.5 | 38.7 | 40.8 | 42.6 | 36.6 | 41.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.5 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

presapp_foreign

Label: Approve or disapprove President handling foreign rel
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-6
Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question: Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling relations with foreign countries?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 1185 | 2079 | 3264 |
| 2. Disapprove | 647 | 1753 | 2400 |
| -8. Don't know | 211 | 0 | 211 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 28 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 57.7 | 48.7 | 53.9 | 52.1 | 55.2 | 50.9 |
| 2. Disapprove | 31.5 | 40.7 | 45.4 | 47.0 | 40.6 | 44.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 10.3 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

presapp_foreignstr

Label: How much approve/disapprove Pres handling foreign rrel
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-7
 Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
 Question: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:
 Logic: Ask if PRESAPP FRNPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP FRNPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP FRNPRES=2.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | | 1383 | 2640 | 4023 |
| 2. Not strongly | | 443 | 1189 | 1632 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 222 | 28 | 250 |
| -8. Don't know | | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 67.3 | 64.3 | 68.4 | 65.5 | 68.0 | 65.1 |
| 2. Not strongly | 21.6 | 24.8 | 30.8 | 33.5 | 27.6 | 30.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 10.8 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

presapp_foreign_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling foreign relations

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 903 | 1319 | 2222 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 277 | 759 | 1036 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 166 | 430 | 596 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 480 | 1321 | 1801 |
| -8. Don't know | 217 | 0 | 217 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 31 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 44.0 | 33.5 | 34.2 | 30.8 | 37.6 | 31.7 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 13.5 | 14.9 | 19.7 | 21.3 | 17.5 | 19.1 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 8.1 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 10.1 | 11.4 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 23.4 | 30.8 | 34.2 | 34.7 | 30.5 | 33.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 10.6 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

presapp_health

Label: Approve or disapprove President handling health care
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-10
Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question: Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling health care?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 1184 | 1942 | 3126 |
| 2. Disapprove | 739 | 1902 | 2641 |
| -8. Don't know | 118 | 0 | 118 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 16 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 57.6 | 45.3 | 50.3 | 47.5 | 52.9 | 46.7 |
| 2. Disapprove | 36.0 | 47.8 | 49.3 | 52.0 | 44.7 | 50.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.7 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

presapp_healthstr

Label: How much approve/disapprove Pres handling health care
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-11
 Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
 Question: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING HEALTH CARE / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING HEALTH CARE:
 Logic: Ask if PRESAPP HTHPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP HTHPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP HTHPRES=2.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with the previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | | 1532 | 2881 | 4413 |
| 2. Not strongly | | 383 | 957 | 1340 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 131 | 16 | 147 |
| -8. Don't know | | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | | 1 | 6 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 74.6 | 71.8 | 74.6 | 73.5 | 74.6 | 72.9 |
| 2. Not strongly | 18.6 | 21.0 | 24.8 | 26.0 | 22.7 | 24.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 6.4 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

presapp_health_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling health care

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 951 | 1355 | 2306 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 227 | 585 | 812 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 156 | 372 | 528 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 581 | 1526 | 2107 |
| -8. Don't know | 125 | 0 | 125 |
| -9. Refused | 14 | 22 | 36 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 46.3 | 32.3 | 35.1 | 32.2 | 39.0 | 32.2 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 11.1 | 12.8 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 13.7 | 14.4 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 7.6 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 9.8 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 28.3 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 41.2 | 35.6 | 40.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.1 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

presapp_war

Label: Approve or disapprove President handling war in Afghanistan
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-12
Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question: Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling the war in Afghanistan?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 1230 | 2154 | 3384 |
| 2. Disapprove | 623 | 1676 | 2299 |
| -8. Don't know | 177 | 0 | 177 |
| -9. Refused | 24 | 30 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 59.9 | 52.5 | 55.8 | 53.9 | 57.2 | 53.4 |
| 2. Disapprove | 30.3 | 36.7 | 43.4 | 45.2 | 38.9 | 42.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 8.6 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

presapp_warstr

Label: How much approve/disapprove Pres handling war in Afghanistan
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-13
 Description: Presidential and govt/status quo approval
 Question: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING WAR IN AFGHANISTAN / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING WAR IN AFGHANISTAN:
 Logic: Ask if PRESAPP WARPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP WARPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP WARPRES=2.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with the previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 1330 | 2281 | 3611 |
| 2. Not strongly | 518 | 1545 | 2063 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 201 | 30 | 231 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 64.8 | 58.4 | 59.1 | 57.8 | 61.1 | 58.0 |
| 2. Not strongly | 25.2 | 30.5 | 40.0 | 41.2 | 34.9 | 37.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 9.8 | 10.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

presapp_war_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling war in Afghanistan

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 901 | 1174 | 2075 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 328 | 976 | 1304 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 190 | 569 | 759 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 429 | 1107 | 1536 |
| -8. Don't know | 182 | 0 | 182 |
| -9. Refused | 24 | 34 | 58 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 43.9 | 33.4 | 30.4 | 28.7 | 35.1 | 30.3 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 16.0 | 19.1 | 25.3 | 25.1 | 22.0 | 23.0 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 9.3 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 12.8 | 14.5 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 20.9 | 25.0 | 28.7 | 29.1 | 26.0 | 27.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 8.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

ft_dpc

Label: Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Presidential cand
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 27-2
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepq c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM PCNAME]
Randomization: Order of Presidential cands
Logic: Randomize the order of the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate thermometers (as 1st and 2nd thermometers). Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Presidential candidate.
Source: Respondent

76 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 100 | 1058 |
| 85 | 918 |
| 0 | 773 |
| 70 | 679 |
| 60 | 438 |
| 15 | 408 |
| 30 | 351 |
| 40 | 317 |
| 50 | 293 |
| 90 | 119 |

ft_rpc

Label: Feeling Thermometer: Republican Presidential cand
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 27-4
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepg c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP PCNAME]
Randomization: Order of Presidential cans
Logic: Load the preloaded name of the Republican Presidential candidate.
Source: Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 0 | 1001 |
| 50 | 679 |
| 70 | 583 |
| 85 | 579 |
| 30 | 565 |
| 15 | 539 |
| 40 | 537 |
| 60 | 522 |
| 100 | 283 |
| 90 | 74 |

ft_dvpc

Label: Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Vice-Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-5
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepq c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM VPCNAME]
Randomization: Order of political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Logic: Randomize the order of the individual political figure thermometers after the Presidential candidates. Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Vice-Presidential candidate.
Source: Respondent

70 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 956 |
| 0 | 822 |
| 85 | 665 |
| 70 | 587 |
| 60 | 493 |
| 100 | 445 |
| 15 | 407 |
| 40 | 328 |
| 30 | 308 |
| -2 | 208 |

ft_rvpc

Label: Feeling Thermometer: Republican Vice-Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-6
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepq c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP VPCNAME]
Randomization: Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Logic: Load the preloaded name of the Republican Vice-Presidential candidate.
Source: Respondent

61 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1093 |
| 0 | 933 |
| 85 | 499 |
| 70 | 473 |
| 15 | 448 |
| 60 | 420 |
| -2 | 377 |
| 30 | 363 |
| 40 | 352 |
| 100 | 263 |

ft_hclinton

Label: Feeling Thermometer: Hillary Clinton
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-7
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepg c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) HILLARY CLINTON
Randomization: Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Source: Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 85 | 1032 |
| 70 | 801 |
| 100 | 759 |
| 60 | 692 |
| 50 | 619 |
| 0 | 410 |
| 40 | 348 |
| 15 | 281 |
| 30 | 278 |
| 90 | 127 |

ft_gwb

Label: Feeling Thermometer: GW Bush
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-8
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepq c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) GEORGE W. BUSH
Randomization: Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Source: Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 0 | 1213 |
| 50 | 720 |
| 60 | 579 |
| 70 | 571 |
| 85 | 492 |
| 30 | 479 |
| 15 | 475 |
| 40 | 460 |
| 100 | 273 |
| 80 | 69 |

ft_dem

Label: Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-11
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepg c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) the DEMOCRATIC PARTY
Randomization: Order of major parties
Logic: Randomize the order of the Democratic party and Republican party thermometers (after the individual figure thermometers)
Source: Respondent

70 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 805 |
| 85 | 717 |
| 70 | 713 |
| 60 | 698 |
| 100 | 583 |
| 0 | 487 |
| 40 | 458 |
| 30 | 383 |
| 15 | 363 |
| 80 | 90 |

ft_rep

Label: Feeling Thermometer: Republican Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-12
Description: Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question: (Looking at [preload: prepg c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) the REPUBLICAN PARTY
Randomization: Order of major parties
Source: Respondent

71 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 971 |
| 0 | 927 |
| 60 | 667 |
| 70 | 562 |
| 15 | 548 |
| 40 | 542 |
| 30 | 534 |
| 85 | 344 |
| 100 | 145 |
| 10 | 78 |

ptylik_likdp

Label: Is there anything R likes about Democratic Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-2
Description: Major party likes-dislikes
Question: Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the Democratic party?
Randomization: Order of major parties
Logic: Randomize the order of the parties for the party likes-dislikes set
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1213 | 1867 | 3080 |
| 2. No | 724 | 1985 | 2709 |
| -8. Don't know | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 8 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 59.1 | 54.1 | 48.4 | 43.5 | 52.1 | 47.2 |
| 2. No | 35.2 | 40.9 | 51.4 | 56.2 | 45.8 | 50.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

ptylik_lwhatdp_txt

Label: PRE: What does R like about Democratic party

2886 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| -1 Inapplicable | 1993 | |
| <NULL> | 842 | |
| -7 Refused | 30 | |
| everything | 6 | |
| They are for the middle | 6 | |
| They are for the people | 6 | |
| liberal | 5 | |
| social issues | 5 | |
| they are for the people | 5 | |
| I like that the Democra | 4 | |

ptylik_disldp

Label: Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-4
Description: Major party likes-dislikes
Question: Is there anything in particular that you DON'T LIKE about the Democratic party?
Randomization: Order of major parties
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 782 | 1938 | 2720 |
| 2. No | 1155 | 1911 | 3066 |
| -8. Don't know | 99 | 0 | 99 |
| -9. Refused | 18 | 11 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 38.1 | 48.7 | 50.2 | 47.4 | 46.0 | 47.8 |
| 2. No | 56.2 | 46.4 | 49.5 | 52.2 | 51.8 | 50.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

ptylik_dwhatdp_txt

Label: PRE: What does R dislike about the Democratic party

766 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------|-------|
| -1 | 1922 |
| | 1169 |
| They | 369 |
| I | 181 |
| they | 172 |
| The | 111 |
| -8 | 94 |
| Too | 87 |
| Their | 74 |
| too | 66 |

ptylik_likrp

Label: Is there anything R likes about Republican Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-6
Description: Major party likes-dislikes
Question: Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the Republican party?
Randomization: Order of major parties
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 719 | 1613 | 2332 |
| 2. No | 1211 | 2238 | 3449 |
| -8. Don't know | 111 | 0 | 111 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 9 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 35.0 | 45.4 | 41.8 | 39.9 | 39.4 | 41.8 |
| 2. No | 59.0 | 49.3 | 58.0 | 59.8 | 58.3 | 56.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

ptylik_lwhatrp_txt

Label: PRE: What does R like about Republican party

2235 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|-------|
| -1 Inapplicable | 2247 |
| <NULL> | 1335 |
| -7 Refused | 23 |
| conservative | 15 |
| Conservative | 8 |
| more conservative | 8 |
| everything | 5 |
| less government | 5 |
| values | 5 |
| conservatism | 4 |

ptylik_dislrp

Label: Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-8
Description: Major party likes-dislikes
Question: Is there anything in particular that you DON'T LIKE about the Republican party?
Randomization: Order of major parties
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1136 | 2195 | 3331 |
| 2. No | 780 | 1652 | 2432 |
| -8. Don't know | 119 | 0 | 119 |
| -9. Refused | 19 | 13 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 55.3 | 57.3 | 56.9 | 51.6 | 56.3 | 53.6 |
| 2. No | 38.0 | 37.5 | 42.8 | 47.9 | 41.1 | 44.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

ptylik_dwhatrp_txt

Label: PRE: What does R dislike about the Republican party

3274 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------|
| -1 Inapplicable | | 1665 |
| <NULL> | | 918 |
| -7 Refused | | 28 |
| everything | | 11 |
| everything else | | 3 |
| taxes | | 3 |
| THEY ARE FOR THE RICH | | 3 |
| Too conservative. | | 3 |
| Elitist | | 2 |
| Everything. | | 2 |

finance_finfam

Label: R living with how many family members
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-1
 Description: Personal financial situation
 Question: Now on another topic. How many family members are living with you?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 0. No family members | 563 | 838 | 1401 |
| 1. One family member | 503 | 1291 | 1794 |
| 2. Two family members | 342 | 663 | 1005 |
| 3. Three family members | 292 | 524 | 816 |
| 4. Four family members | 202 | 292 | 494 |
| 5. Five or more family members | 149 | 240 | 389 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 12 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No family members | 27.4 | 19.4 | 21.7 | 20.6 | 23.7 | 20.2 |
| 1. One family member | 24.5 | 28.4 | 33.4 | 30.5 | 30.3 | 29.8 |
| 2. Two family members | 16.7 | 18.8 | 17.2 | 17.7 | 17.0 | 18.1 |
| 3. Three family members | 14.2 | 15.7 | 13.6 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 15.3 |
| 4. Four family members | 9.8 | 11.9 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 9.8 |
| 5. Five or more family members | 7.3 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

finance_finpast

Label: R better/worse off than 1 year ago
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-2
 Description: Personal financial situation
 Question: We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that [you/you and your family living here] are BETTER off or WORSE off than you were a year ago?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS / IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
 Logic: If FINANCE FINFAM = 0 then load 'you' If FINANCE FINFAM > 0 or in(DK,RF) or missing load 'you and your family living here'
 Web Logic: Online, omit response option 3.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Better | 937 | 1875 | 2812 |
| 2. Worse | 637 | 1941 | 2578 |
| 3. The same (VOL) | 465 | 0 | 465 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 44 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Better | 45.6 | 41.6 | 48.6 | 47.7 | 47.5 | 45.6 |
| 2. Worse | 31.0 | 34.3 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 43.6 | 45.3 |
| 3. The same (VOL) | 22.6 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 8.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

finance_finpastamt

Label: R how much better/worse off than 1 year ago
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-3
 Description: Personal financial situation
 Question: [MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better / MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
 Universe: IF R HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR / IF R HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:
 Logic: Ask if FINANCE FINPAST in(1,2), else skip. If FINANCE FINPAST = 1 load 'better'; if FINANCE FINPAST = 2 load 'worse' else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 478 | 782 | 1260 | |
| 2. Somewhat | 1092 | 3030 | 4122 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 480 | 44 | 524 | |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 | |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 | |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 23.3 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 21.5 | 21.3 | 21.0 |
| 2. Somewhat | 53.2 | 55.8 | 78.5 | 77.2 | 69.7 | 69.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 23.4 | 24.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 8.9 | 9.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

finance_finpast_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Better or worse off than 1 year ago

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much better | 287 | 283 | 570 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 649 | 1591 | 2240 |
| 3. The same (VOL) | 465 | 0 | 465 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 443 | 1439 | 1882 |
| 5. Much worse | 191 | 499 | 690 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 48 | 53 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much better | 14.0 | 10.7 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 8.7 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 31.6 | 30.9 | 41.2 | 40.1 | 37.9 | 36.9 |
| 3. The same (VOL) | 22.6 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 8.1 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 21.6 | 24.9 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 31.8 | 32.9 |
| 5. Much worse | 9.3 | 9.2 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 12.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 |

finance_finnext

Label: Will R be financially better/worse off one year from now
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-4
 Description: Personal financial situation
 Question: Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now [you / you and your family living here] will be BETTER OFF financially, WORSE OFF, or JUST ABOUT THE SAME as now?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS / IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
 Logic: If FINANCE FINFAM = 0 then load 'you'; if FINANCE FINFAM > 0 or in(DK,RF) or missing load 'you and your family living here'; else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Better | 936 | 1327 | 2263 |
| 2. Worse | 121 | 549 | 670 |
| 3. The same | 897 | 1966 | 2863 |
| -8. Don't know | 96 | 0 | 96 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 18 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Better | 45.6 | 39.0 | 34.4 | 34.8 | 38.3 | 36.2 |
| 2. Worse | 5.9 | 6.7 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 11.4 |
| 3. The same | 43.7 | 48.7 | 50.9 | 50.8 | 48.4 | 50.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

finance_finnextamt

Label: R how much better/worse off 1 year from now
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-5
 Description: Personal financial situation
 Question: [MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better/ MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
 Universe: IF R THINKS WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW / IF R THINKS WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:
 Logic: Ask if FINANCE FINNEXT in(1,2), else skip. If FINANCE FINNEXT = 1 load 'better'; If FINANCE FINNEXT = 2 load 'worse'.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 507 | 625 | 1132 |
| 2. Somewhat | 544 | 1250 | 1794 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 997 | 1984 | 2981 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 24.7 | 17.4 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 19.1 | 17.0 |
| 2. Somewhat | 26.5 | 28.0 | 32.4 | 31.9 | 30.3 | 30.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 48.5 | 54.3 | 51.4 | 51.3 | 50.4 | 52.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

finance_finnext_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Better or worse off 1 year from now

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much better | 475 | 446 | 921 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 457 | 880 | 1337 |
| 3. The same | 897 | 1966 | 2863 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 87 | 370 | 457 |
| 5. Much worse | 32 | 179 | 211 |
| -8. Don't know | 102 | 0 | 102 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 19 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much better | 23.1 | 15.8 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 15.6 | 13.3 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 22.2 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.6 | 22.8 |
| 3. The same | 43.7 | 48.7 | 50.9 | 50.8 | 48.4 | 50.0 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 4.2 | 5.0 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| 5. Much worse | 1.6 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

health_insured

Label: Does R have health insurance
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-0.5
Description: Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question: Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1639 | 3320 | 4959 |
| 2. No | 410 | 533 | 943 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 7 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 79.8 | 84.9 | 86.0 | 85.0 | 83.9 | 85.0 |
| 2. No | 20.0 | 14.9 | 13.8 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 14.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

health_2010hcr

Label: Favor or oppose 2010 health care law
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-1
Description: Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the health care reform law passed in 2010? This law requires all Americans to buy health insurance and requires health insurance companies to accept everyone.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1090 | 1454 | 2544 |
| 2. Oppose | 567 | 1433 | 2000 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 337 | 965 | 1302 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 8 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 53.1 | 43.7 | 37.7 | 34.3 | 43.0 | 37.5 |
| 2. Oppose | 27.6 | 36.6 | 37.1 | 38.4 | 33.8 | 37.8 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 16.4 | 17.2 | 25.0 | 27.0 | 22.0 | 23.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

health_2010hcrfav

Label: Strength of support or oppose 2010 law
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-1.1
 Description: Personal health situation and Health Care Law
 Question: Do you favor that [a great deal, moderately, or a little / a little, moderately, or a great deal]?
 Universe: IF R FAVORS THE 2010 HEALTH CARE LAW:
 Logic: Ask if HLTH LAW =1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 565 | 674 | 1239 |
| 2. Moderately | 409 | 658 | 1067 |
| 3. A little | 114 | 121 | 235 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 964 | 2406 | 3370 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 27.5 | 21.5 | 17.5 | 14.7 | 21.0 | 17.1 |
| 2. Moderately | 19.9 | 18.1 | 17.0 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 16.9 |
| 3. A little | 5.6 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 46.9 | 56.3 | 62.3 | 65.7 | 57.0 | 62.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

health_2010hcropp

Label: Strength of support or oppose 2010 law
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-1.2
Description: Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question: Do you oppose that (a great deal, moderately, or a little / a little, moderately, or a great deal)?
Universe: IF R OPPOSES THE 2010 HEALTH CARE LAW:
Logic: Ask if HLTH LAW =2, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 350 | 961 | 1311 |
| 2. Moderately | 168 | 384 | 552 |
| 3. A little | 48 | 88 | 136 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1487 | 2426 | 3913 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 17.0 | 22.9 | 24.9 | 24.7 | 22.2 | 24.1 |
| 2. Moderately | 8.2 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 11.0 |
| 3. A little | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.4 | 63.4 | 62.8 | 61.5 | 66.2 | 62.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

health_2010hcr_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Support 2010 health care law

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 565 | 674 | 1239 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 409 | 658 | 1067 |
| 3. Favor a little | 114 | 121 | 235 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 337 | 965 | 1302 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 48 | 88 | 136 |
| 6. Oppose moderately | 168 | 384 | 552 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 350 | 960 | 1310 |
| -8. Don't know | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 10 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 27.5 | 21.5 | 17.5 | 14.7 | 21.0 | 17.1 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 19.9 | 18.1 | 17.0 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 16.9 |
| 3. Favor a little | 5.6 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 16.4 | 17.2 | 25.0 | 27.0 | 22.0 | 23.6 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| 6. Oppose moderately | 8.2 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 11.0 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 17.0 | 22.9 | 24.9 | 24.7 | 22.2 | 24.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

health_self

Label: Health of R
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-3
 Description: Personal health situation and Health Care Law
 Question: Would you say that in general your health is [excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor / poor, fair, good, very good, or excellent]?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. | Excellent | 343 | 393 | 736 |
| 2. | Very Good | 581 | 1305 | 1886 |
| 3. | Good | 672 | 1392 | 2064 |
| 4. | Fair | 351 | 629 | 980 |
| 5. | Poor | 102 | 134 | 236 |
| -8. | Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. | Refused | 4 | 7 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Excellent | 16.7 | 18.1 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 13.3 |
| 2. Very Good | 28.3 | 30.5 | 33.8 | 34.3 | 31.9 | 33.0 |
| 3. Good | 32.7 | 31.7 | 36.1 | 35.3 | 34.9 | 34.0 |
| 4. Fair | 17.1 | 14.9 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 16.6 | 15.5 |
| 5. Poor | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

health_smoke

Label: Smoked Cigarettes
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-5
Description: Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question: Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your ENTIRE LIFE?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 907 | 1740 | 2647 |
| 2. No | 1140 | 2113 | 3253 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 7 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 44.2 | 46.5 | 45.1 | 43.5 | 44.8 | 44.6 |
| 2. No | 55.5 | 53.2 | 54.7 | 56.2 | 55.0 | 55.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

health_smokeamt

Label: If smoked, how many now
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-6
 Description: Personal health situation and Health Care Law
 Question: Do you NOW smoke cigarettes [every day, some days, or not at all / not at all, or some days or every day]?
 Universe: IF R HAS SMOKED AT LEAST 100 CIGARETTES IN R'S LIFE:
 Logic: Ask if HLTH CIG=1, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Every day | 322 | 422 | 744 |
| 2. Some days | 141 | 169 | 310 |
| 3. Not at all | 443 | 1149 | 1592 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1147 | 2120 | 3267 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 15.7 | 14.8 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| 2. Some days | 6.9 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| 3. Not at all | 21.6 | 26.4 | 29.8 | 27.3 | 26.9 | 27.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 55.8 | 53.5 | 54.9 | 56.5 | 55.2 | 55.4 |

candaff_angdpc

Label: Affect for Democratic Pres cand: angry
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-2
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY / Has [preload: dem pcname] ever made you feel: ANGRY]
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
 Logic: Randomize the order of the Presidential candidates; randomize the order of the affects within the affect set (same order for both cands). For the 1st affect, include the sentence 'Think about...' at the start of the question.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 741 | 1974 | 2715 |
| 2. No | 1290 | 1876 | 3166 |
| -8. Don't know | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 10 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 36.1 | 46.5 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 45.9 | 49.5 |
| 2. No | 62.8 | 52.5 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 53.5 | 49.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

candaff_angdpcoft

Label: How often affect angry about Democratic Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-3
Description: Presidential candidate affects
Question: How often would you say you've felt angry -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe: IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:
Logic: Ask if CANDAFF ANGDP=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 51 | 209 | 260 |
| 2. Most of the time | 158 | 549 | 707 |
| 3. About half the time | 130 | 339 | 469 |
| 4. Some of the time | 391 | 852 | 1243 |
| 5. Never | 9 | 24 | 33 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1313 | 1885 | 3198 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 2.5 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 4.7 |
| 2. Most of the time | 7.7 | 12.5 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 13.6 |
| 3. About half the time | 6.3 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 9.2 |
| 4. Some of the time | 19.0 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 21.4 | 21.0 | 21.5 |
| 5. Never | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 63.9 | 53.5 | 48.8 | 48.9 | 54.1 | 50.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

candaff_hpdpc

Label: Affect for Democratic Pres cand: hopeful
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-4
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: HOPEFUL / Has [preload: dem pcname] ever made you feel: HOPEFUL]
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1476 | 2164 | 3640 |
| 2. No | 561 | 1686 | 2247 |
| -8. Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 10 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 71.9 | 65.4 | 56.1 | 54.4 | 61.5 | 58.3 |
| 2. No | 27.3 | 33.5 | 43.7 | 45.2 | 38.0 | 41.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

candaff_hpdpc0ft

Label: How often affect hopeful about Democratic Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-5
Description: Presidential candidate affects
Question: How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe: IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
Logic: Ask if CANDAFF HPDPC=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 283 | 221 | 504 |
| 2. Most of the time | 471 | 734 | 1205 |
| 3. About half the time | 261 | 481 | 742 |
| 4. Some of the time | 455 | 711 | 1166 |
| 5. Never | 2 | 15 | 17 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 578 | 1696 | 2274 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 13.8 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 8.5 | 6.0 |
| 2. Most of the time | 22.9 | 20.1 | 19.0 | 16.8 | 20.4 | 18.0 |
| 3. About half the time | 12.7 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.2 |
| 4. Some of the time | 22.2 | 25.4 | 18.4 | 19.5 | 19.7 | 21.5 |
| 5. Never | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 28.1 | 34.6 | 43.9 | 45.6 | 38.5 | 41.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

candaff_afrdpc

Label: Affect for Democratic Pres cand: afraid
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-6
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: AFRAID / Has [preload: dem pcname] ever made you feel: AFRAID]
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 441 | 1401 | 1842 |
| 2. No | 1596 | 2446 | 4042 |
| -8. Don't know | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 13 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 21.5 | 29.3 | 36.3 | 36.8 | 31.1 | 34.2 |
| 2. No | 77.7 | 69.6 | 63.4 | 62.6 | 68.3 | 65.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

candaff_afrdpcoft

Label: How often affect afraid about Democratic Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-7
Description: Presidential candidate affects
Question: How often would you say you've felt afraid -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe: IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:
Logic: Ask if CANDAFF AFRDPC=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 44 | 202 | 246 |
| 2. Most of the time | 92 | 439 | 531 |
| 3. About half the time | 74 | 254 | 328 |
| 4. Some of the time | 228 | 489 | 717 |
| 5. Never | 2 | 16 | 18 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1613 | 2459 | 4072 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 2.1 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| 2. Most of the time | 4.5 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 9.0 | 9.2 |
| 3. About half the time | 3.6 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 6.5 |
| 4. Some of the time | 11.1 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 12.1 | 13.8 |
| 5. Never | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.5 | 70.7 | 63.7 | 63.2 | 68.9 | 65.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

candaff_prddpc

Label: Affect for Democratic Pres cand: proud
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-8
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: PROUD / Has [preload: dem pcname] ever made you feel: PROUD]
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1410 | 2013 | 3423 |
| 2. No | 615 | 1835 | 2450 |
| -8. Don't know | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 12 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 68.6 | 60.2 | 52.2 | 50.0 | 57.9 | 53.6 |
| 2. No | 29.9 | 38.2 | 47.5 | 49.5 | 41.4 | 45.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

candaff_prddpc0ft

Label: How often affect proud about Democratic Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-9
Description: Presidential candidate affects
Question: How often would you say you've felt proud -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe: IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:
Logic: Ask if CANDAFF PRDDPC=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 336 | 274 | 610 |
| 2. Most of the time | 471 | 765 | 1236 |
| 3. About half the time | 180 | 323 | 503 |
| 4. Some of the time | 417 | 641 | 1058 |
| 5. Never | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 644 | 1847 | 2491 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 3 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 16.4 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 10.3 | 7.3 |
| 2. Most of the time | 22.9 | 19.4 | 19.8 | 17.5 | 20.9 | 18.2 |
| 3. About half the time | 8.8 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.2 |
| 4. Some of the time | 20.3 | 23.6 | 16.6 | 17.5 | 17.9 | 19.6 |
| 5. Never | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 31.4 | 39.8 | 47.8 | 50.0 | 42.1 | 46.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

candaff_angrpc

Label: Affect for Republican Pres cand: angry
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-10
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep pcname]
 -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something
 he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY / Has [preload: rep
 pcname] ever made you feel: ANGRY]
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
 Logic: For the 1st affect, include the sentence 'Think about...' at the start
 of the question.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 897 | 1668 | 2565 |
| 2. No | 1108 | 2183 | 3291 |
| -8. Don't know | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 9 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 43.7 | 37.4 | 43.2 | 42.3 | 43.4 | 40.6 |
| 2. No | 53.9 | 60.3 | 56.6 | 57.4 | 55.6 | 58.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

candaff_angrcoft

Label: How often affect angry about Republican Pres cand
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-11
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: How often would you say you've felt angry -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:
 Logic: Ask if CANDAFF ANGRPC=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 173 | 213 | 386 |
| 2. Most of the time | 235 | 545 | 780 |
| 3. About half the time | 132 | 265 | 397 |
| 4. Some of the time | 351 | 630 | 981 |
| 5. Never | 5 | 14 | 19 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1157 | 2192 | 3349 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 8.4 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| 2. Most of the time | 11.4 | 8.8 | 14.1 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 11.3 |
| 3. About half the time | 6.4 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.4 |
| 4. Some of the time | 17.1 | 17.0 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 16.5 |
| 5. Never | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 56.3 | 62.6 | 56.8 | 57.7 | 56.6 | 59.4 |

candaff_hprpc

Label: Affect for Republican Pres cand: hopeful
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-12
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep pcname]
 -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something
 he has done, ever made you feel: HOPEFUL / Has [preload: rep
 pcname] ever made you feel: HOPEFUL]
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 615 | 1505 | 2120 |
| 2. No | 1384 | 2343 | 3727 |
| -8. Don't know | 49 | 0 | 49 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 12 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 29.9 | 40.3 | 39.0 | 39.1 | 35.8 | 39.5 |
| 2. No | 67.4 | 57.3 | 60.7 | 60.5 | 63.0 | 59.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

candaff_hprpc0ft

Label: How often affect hopeful about Republican Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-13
Description: Presidential candidate affects
Question: How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe: IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
Logic: Ask if CANDAFF HPRPC=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 61 | 113 | 174 |
| 2. Most of the time | 183 | 602 | 785 |
| 3. About half the time | 123 | 316 | 439 |
| 4. Some of the time | 237 | 466 | 703 |
| 5. Never | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1439 | 2355 | 3794 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 3.0 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| 2. Most of the time | 8.9 | 13.8 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 14.3 |
| 3. About half the time | 6.0 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 8.7 |
| 4. Some of the time | 11.5 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 11.9 | 12.9 |
| 5. Never | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 70.1 | 59.7 | 61.0 | 60.9 | 64.2 | 60.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

candaff_afrrpc

Label: Affect for Republican Pres cand: afraid
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-14
Description: Presidential candidate affects
Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep pcname]
-- because of the kind of person he is or because of something
he has done, ever made you feel: AFRAID / Has [preload: rep
pcname] ever made you feel: AFRAID]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe: IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 629 | 1339 | 1968 |
| 2. No | 1386 | 2510 | 3896 |
| -8. Don't know | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 11 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 30.6 | 25.9 | 34.7 | 33.2 | 33.3 | 30.7 |
| 2. No | 67.5 | 72.3 | 65.0 | 66.4 | 65.9 | 68.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

candaff_afrrpc0ft

Label: How often affect afraid about Republican Pres cand
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-15
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: How often would you say you've felt afraid -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:
 Logic: Ask if CANDAFF AFRRPC=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 146 | 190 | 336 |
| 2. Most of the time | 159 | 397 | 556 |
| 3. About half the time | 83 | 247 | 330 |
| 4. Some of the time | 231 | 496 | 727 |
| 5. Never | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1425 | 2520 | 3945 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 7.1 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 5.1 |
| 2. Most of the time | 7.7 | 6.4 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 8.1 |
| 3. About half the time | 4.0 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| 4. Some of the time | 11.2 | 10.5 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 11.8 |
| 5. Never | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 69.4 | 74.1 | 65.3 | 66.8 | 66.7 | 69.3 |

candaff_prdrpc

Label: Affect for Republican Pres cand: proud
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-16
 Description: Presidential candidate affects
 Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep pcname]
 -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something
 he has done, ever made you feel: PROUD / Has [preload: rep
 pcname] ever made you feel: PROUD]
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
 Universe: IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 369 | 1100 | 1469 |
| 2. No | 1614 | 2746 | 4360 |
| -8. Don't know | 63 | 0 | 63 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 14 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 18.0 | 25.2 | 28.5 | 28.4 | 24.8 | 27.3 |
| 2. No | 78.6 | 70.8 | 71.1 | 71.2 | 73.7 | 71.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

candaff_prdrpc0ft

Label: How often affect proud about Republican Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-17
Description: Presidential candidate affects
Question: How often would you say you've felt proud -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe: IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:
Logic: Ask if CANDAFF PRDRPC=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 35 | 100 | 135 |
| 2. Most of the time | 132 | 456 | 588 |
| 3. About half the time | 55 | 207 | 262 |
| 4. Some of the time | 142 | 332 | 474 |
| 5. Never | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1685 | 2760 | 4445 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 2. Most of the time | 6.4 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 10.7 |
| 3. About half the time | 2.7 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| 4. Some of the time | 6.9 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 8.8 |
| 5. Never | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.0 | 74.8 | 71.5 | 71.6 | 75.2 | 72.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

libcpree_self

Label: 7pt scale Liberal/conservative self-placement
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 32-2
Description: Liberal-conservative placement
Question: Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely liberal | 71 | 124 | 195 |
| 2. Liberal | 206 | 432 | 638 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 191 | 450 | 641 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 477 | 1351 | 1828 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 227 | 562 | 789 |
| 6. Conservative | 235 | 766 | 1001 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 57 | 151 | 208 |
| -2. Haven't thought much about this | 556 | 0 | 556 |
| -8. Don't know | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 24 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| 2. Liberal | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 10.2 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 9.3 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 10.8 | 10.7 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 23.2 | 23.5 | 35.0 | 35.6 | 30.9 | 31.4 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 11.1 | 13.1 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 13.3 | 14.2 |
| 6. Conservative | 11.4 | 15.4 | 19.8 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 17.7 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.2 |
| -2. Haven't thought much about this | 27.1 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 7.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

libcpree_choose

Label: If R had to choose liberal or conservative self-placemt
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 32-3
 Description: Liberal-conservative placement
 Question: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?
 Universe: IF LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK,
OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:
 Logic: Ask if LIBCPRE LCSELF in(4,DK,RF,99) else skip
 Web Logic: Online, do not display ♦VOL♦ with response option 3; display
♦Moderate♦.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Liberal | 351 | 142 | 493 |
| 2. Conservative | 393 | 254 | 647 |
| 3. Moderate (VOL) | 157 | 956 | 1113 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 987 | 2485 | 3472 |
| -8. Don't know | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| -9. Refused | 16 | 23 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Liberal | 17.1 | 15.7 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| 2. Conservative | 19.1 | 17.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 10.9 | 10.8 |
| 3. Moderate (VOL) | 7.6 | 6.7 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 18.8 | 18.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 48.1 | 53.2 | 64.4 | 63.4 | 58.7 | 59.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

libcpree_dpc

Label: 7pt scale liberal/conservative - Dem Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-4
Description: Liberal-conservative placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq d]) Where would you place [preload: DEM PCNAME] on this scale?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Logic: Randomize the order of the liberal-conservative placements for the Presidential candidates.
Web Logic: Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepq d])'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. | Extremely liberal | 359 | 1130 | 1489 |
| 2. | Liberal | 502 | 1029 | 1531 |
| 3. | Slightly liberal | 277 | 666 | 943 |
| 4. | Moderate; middle of the road | 352 | 656 | 1008 |
| 5. | Slightly conservative | 107 | 147 | 254 |
| 6. | Conservative | 146 | 125 | 271 |
| 7. | Extremely conservative | 122 | 62 | 184 |
| -8. | Don't know | 178 | 0 | 178 |
| -9. | Refused | 11 | 45 | 56 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 17.5 | 21.7 | 29.3 | 28.8 | 25.2 | 26.3 |
| 2. Liberal | 24.4 | 28.5 | 26.7 | 27.0 | 25.9 | 27.5 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 13.5 | 14.4 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 15.1 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 17.1 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 17.0 | 16.8 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 5.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| 6. Conservative | 7.1 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 4.0 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 5.9 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 8.7 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 |

libcpree_rpc

Label: 7pt scale liberal/conservative - Rep Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-5
Description: Liberal-conservative placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq d]) Where would you place [preload: REP PCNAME] on this scale?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Web Logic: Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepq d])'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely liberal | 106 | 176 | 282 |
| 2. Liberal | 125 | 174 | 299 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 140 | 177 | 317 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 229 | 513 | 742 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 229 | 611 | 840 |
| 6. Conservative | 590 | 1418 | 2008 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 376 | 736 | 1112 |
| -8. Don't know | 243 | 0 | 243 |
| -9. Refused | 16 | 55 | 71 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 5.2 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| 2. Liberal | 6.1 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 6.8 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.2 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 11.1 | 10.5 | 13.3 | 14.7 | 12.5 | 13.3 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 11.1 | 13.4 | 15.8 | 14.7 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| 6. Conservative | 28.7 | 35.8 | 36.7 | 36.2 | 34.0 | 36.1 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 18.3 | 15.4 | 19.1 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 17.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 11.8 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 |

libcpree_ptyd

Label: 7pt scale liberal/conservative Dem party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-6
Description: Liberal-conservative placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepg d]) Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY on this scale?
Randomization: Order of major parties
Logic: Randomize the order of the liberal-conservative placements for the major parties.
Web Logic: Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepg d])'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. | Extremely liberal | 277 | 946 | 1223 |
| 2. | Liberal | 616 | 1305 | 1921 |
| 3. | Slightly liberal | 293 | 633 | 926 |
| 4. | Moderate; middle of the road | 306 | 597 | 903 |
| 5. | Slightly conservative | 145 | 153 | 298 |
| 6. | Conservative | 144 | 125 | 269 |
| 7. | Extremely conservative | 79 | 55 | 134 |
| -8. | Don't know | 184 | 0 | 184 |
| -9. | Refused | 10 | 46 | 56 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 13.5 | 16.1 | 24.5 | 25.3 | 20.7 | 22.1 |
| 2. Liberal | 30.0 | 34.6 | 33.8 | 31.9 | 32.5 | 32.8 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 14.3 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 15.7 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 14.9 | 13.3 | 15.5 | 15.8 | 15.3 | 14.9 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 7.1 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| 6. Conservative | 7.0 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.1 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 9.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 |

libcpree_ptyr

Label: 7pt scale liberal/conservative Rep party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-7
Description: Liberal-conservative placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq d]) Where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY on this scale?
Randomization: Order of major parties
Web Logic: Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepq d])'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely liberal | 91 | 149 | 240 |
| 2. Liberal | 118 | 169 | 287 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 129 | 173 | 302 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 235 | 495 | 730 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 222 | 511 | 733 |
| 6. Conservative | 583 | 1201 | 1784 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 467 | 1110 | 1577 |
| -8. Don't know | 196 | 0 | 196 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 52 | 65 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 4.4 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.7 |
| 2. Liberal | 5.7 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 6.3 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 11.4 | 11.0 | 12.8 | 14.5 | 12.3 | 13.3 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 10.8 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 12.8 |
| 6. Conservative | 28.4 | 33.1 | 31.1 | 30.8 | 30.2 | 31.6 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 22.7 | 21.7 | 28.8 | 26.0 | 26.7 | 24.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 9.5 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 |

divgov_splitgov

Label: Party Control or split government
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32.3-1
Description: Divided Government
Question: Do you think it is better when ONE PARTY CONTROLS both the presidency and Congress, better when CONTROL IS SPLIT between the Democrats and Republicans, or DOESN'T IT MATTER?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Better when one party controls both | 515 | 1065 | 1580 |
| 2. Better when control is split | 1027 | 1733 | 2760 |
| 3. It doesn't matter | 451 | 1040 | 1491 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 22 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Better when one party controls both | 25.1 | 23.9 | 27.6 | 26.1 | 26.7 | 25.4 |
| 2. Better when control is split | 50.0 | 52.6 | 44.9 | 45.4 | 46.7 | 47.9 |
| 3. It doesn't matter | 22.0 | 20.9 | 26.9 | 27.6 | 25.2 | 25.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

likelypct_howlkv1

Label: [1/2 SAMPLE 2A] How likely is it that R will vote in Nov
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33-1
Description: Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote
Question: How likely is it that you will vote in the general election this November? [extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely]?
Universe: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A HERE AND VOTE PERCENT 2B LATER IN IW:
Logic: Module LIKEYPCT and later module PCTLIKELY both include the same 2 questions, however by 1/2 samples Respondents are randomly assigned to be administered 1 of the questions in module LIKEYPCT and the remaining question in module PCTLIKELY. If in module LIKEYPCT R is administered LIKEYPCT HOWLIKVT1 then R is later administered PCTLIKELY WHATPCT2; if R is administered LIKEYPCT WHATPCT1 then R is later administered PCTLIKELY HOWLIKVT2. Variable likvt determines whether the likelihood question is asked first (1=yes, 0=no). Ask if PREVOTE HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely likely | 597 | 1453 | 2050 |
| 2. Very likely | 136 | 189 | 325 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 72 | 94 | 166 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 56 | 74 | 130 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 136 | 126 | 262 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1053 | 1921 | 2974 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 3 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely likely | 29.1 | 30.5 | 37.6 | 34.1 | 34.7 | 32.9 |
| 2. Very likely | 6.6 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 6.3 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 6.6 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.3 | 50.7 | 49.8 | 49.9 | 50.3 | 50.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

likelypct_whatpct1

Label: [1/2 SAMPLE 2B] What is percent chance R will vote in Nov
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33-2
Description: Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote
Question: What is the percent chance that you will vote in the general election this November? You can answer with any number between 0 and 100 where 0 means no chance, 45-55 means a fairly even chance, and 100 means you are absolutely certain.
Universe: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE PERCENT 2B HERE AND VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A LATER IN IW:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=0, else skip.
Source: Respondent

44 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 3053 |
| 100 | 2076 |
| 0 | 220 |
| 50 | 93 |
| 90 | 73 |
| 99 | 48 |
| 45 | 45 |
| 80 | 42 |
| 95 | 35 |
| 55 | 33 |

campfin_limcorp

Label: Should gov be able to limit corporate contributions
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.1-1
Description: Campaign finance regulation
Question: Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the government being able to place limits on how much money corporations and unions can give to a political candidate?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1119 | 2404 | 3523 |
| 2. Oppose | 432 | 393 | 825 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 391 | 1053 | 1444 |
| -8. Don't know | 94 | 0 | 94 |
| -9. Refused | 18 | 10 | 28 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 54.5 | 60.0 | 62.3 | 59.6 | 59.6 | 59.7 |
| 2. Oppose | 21.0 | 19.7 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 13.9 | 13.8 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 19.0 | 15.6 | 27.3 | 29.4 | 24.4 | 24.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

campfin_banads

Label: Ban corporate/union ads for candidates
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.1-3
Description: Campaign finance regulation
Question: Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal government banning political ads sponsored by corporations or unions that directly support or oppose political candidates?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 740 | 1701 | 2441 |
| 2. Oppose | 647 | 636 | 1283 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 541 | 1511 | 2052 |
| -8. Don't know | 109 | 0 | 109 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 12 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 36.0 | 40.9 | 44.1 | 42.4 | 41.3 | 41.8 |
| 2. Oppose | 31.5 | 31.6 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 21.7 | 21.5 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 26.3 | 23.1 | 39.1 | 40.9 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

ineq_incgap

Label: Income gap today more or less than 20 years ago
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.7-1
Description: Income inequality in the U.S.
Question: Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is LARGER, SMALLER, or ABOUT THE SAME as it was 20 years ago?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Larger | 1629 | 2973 | 4602 |
| 2. Smaller | 127 | 198 | 325 |
| 3. About the same | 216 | 673 | 889 |
| -8. Don't know | 79 | 0 | 79 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 16 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Larger | 79.3 | 80.1 | 77.0 | 74.9 | 77.8 | 76.7 |
| 2. Smaller | 6.2 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| 3. About the same | 10.5 | 10.4 | 17.4 | 18.6 | 15.0 | 15.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

ineq_gapmore

Label: How much larger is income gap today
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.7-2
 Description: Income inequality in the U.S.
 Question: (Would you say the difference in incomes is) MUCH larger or SOMEWHAT larger?
 Universe: IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS LARGER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:
 Logic: Ask if INEQ INCgap =1, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 1094 | 2232 | 3326 | |
| 2. Somewhat | 520 | 740 | 1260 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 425 | 887 | 1312 | |
| -8. Don't know | 15 | 0 | 15 | |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 | |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 53.3 | 52.4 | 57.8 | 54.6 | 56.2 | 53.8 |
| 2. Somewhat | 25.3 | 26.7 | 19.2 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 22.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 20.7 | 19.9 | 23.0 | 25.1 | 22.2 | 23.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

ineq_gapless

Label: How much smaller is income gap today
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.7-3
 Description: Income inequality in the U.S.
 Question: (Would you say the difference in incomes is) MUCH smaller or SOMEWHAT smaller?
 Universe: IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS SMALLER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:
 Logic: Ask if INEQ INCGAP =2, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 47 | 65 | 112 |
| 2. Somewhat | 79 | 132 | 211 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1927 | 3662 | 5589 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 2. Somewhat | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.8 | 94.7 | 94.9 | 94.1 | 94.5 | 94.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

ineq_incgap_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Income gap size compared to 20 years ago

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much larger | 1094 | 2232 | 3326 |
| 2. Somewhat larger | 520 | 740 | 1260 |
| 3. About the same | 216 | 673 | 889 |
| 4. Somewhat smaller | 79 | 132 | 211 |
| 5. Much smaller | 47 | 65 | 112 |
| -8. Don't know | 95 | 0 | 95 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 18 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much larger | 53.3 | 52.4 | 57.8 | 54.6 | 56.2 | 53.8 |
| 2. Somewhat larger | 25.3 | 26.7 | 19.2 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 22.5 |
| 3. About the same | 10.5 | 10.4 | 17.4 | 18.6 | 15.0 | 15.7 |
| 4. Somewhat smaller | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| 5. Much smaller | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.6 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

effic_complcstd

Label: [STD] Politics/govt too complicated to understand
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-1
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: 'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.' Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip. This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 279 | 222 | 501 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 417 | 719 | 1136 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 98 | 390 | 488 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 154 | 362 | 516 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 99 | 274 | 373 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1005 | 1885 | 2890 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 8 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 13.6 | 12.6 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 8.3 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 20.3 | 20.4 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 19.6 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 4.8 | 4.0 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 7.9 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.4 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 4.8 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 5.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 48.9 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

effic_undstd

Label: [STD] Good understanding of political issues
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-2
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepg e] in the booklet) 'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country.' (Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic: Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 307 | 447 | 754 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 506 | 931 | 1437 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 97 | 368 | 465 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 95 | 178 | 273 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 40 | 43 | 83 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1005 | 1885 | 2890 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 8 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 14.9 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 12.1 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 24.6 | 24.0 | 24.1 | 22.7 | 24.3 | 23.2 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 4.7 | 4.6 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 8.1 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 48.9 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

effic_carestd

Label: [STD] Publ officials don't care what people think
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-3
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepg e] in the booklet) 'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.' (Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic: Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 267 | 413 | 680 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 338 | 813 | 1151 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 183 | 416 | 599 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 190 | 292 | 482 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 62 | 33 | 95 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1005 | 1885 | 2890 |
| -8. Don't know | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 8 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 13.0 | 12.1 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.4 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 16.5 | 15.8 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 19.5 | 19.3 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 8.9 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.1 | 10.4 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 9.3 | 9.5 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 7.5 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 48.9 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

effic_saystd

Label: [STD] Have no say about what govt does
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-4
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: (Looking at page [preload: prepg e] in the booklet) 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.' (Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic: Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 205 | 308 | 513 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 271 | 663 | 934 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 116 | 356 | 472 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 292 | 495 | 787 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 160 | 144 | 304 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1005 | 1885 | 2890 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 9 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 10.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 8.4 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 13.2 | 12.6 | 17.2 | 17.5 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 5.6 | 6.1 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 14.2 | 15.0 | 12.8 | 11.6 | 13.3 | 12.8 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 7.8 | 7.7 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 4.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 48.9 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

effic_complcrev

Label: [REV] Politics/govt too complicated to understand
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-5
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 95 | 68 | 163 |
| 2. Most of the time | 238 | 329 | 567 |
| 3. About half the time | 255 | 523 | 778 |
| 4. Some of the time | 343 | 746 | 1089 |
| 5. Never | 67 | 214 | 281 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 5 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 4.6 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| 2. Most of the time | 11.6 | 12.6 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 10.4 |
| 3. About half the time | 12.4 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 13.2 | 14.5 |
| 4. Some of the time | 16.7 | 16.0 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 17.4 |
| 5. Never | 3.3 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

effic_undrev

Label: [REV] Good understanding of political issues
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-6
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL / NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 84 | 168 | 252 |
| 2. Very well | 206 | 483 | 689 |
| 3. Moderately well | 464 | 818 | 1282 |
| 4. Slightly well | 177 | 323 | 500 |
| 5. Not well at all | 70 | 88 | 158 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 5 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 4.1 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.6 |
| 2. Very well | 10.0 | 10.3 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 10.6 |
| 3. Moderately well | 22.6 | 25.7 | 21.2 | 22.4 | 21.7 | 23.5 |
| 4. Slightly well | 8.6 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 8.9 |
| 5. Not well at all | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

effic_carerev

Label: [REV] Publ officials don't care what people think
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-7
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: How much do public officials care what people like you think? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL/ NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 53 | 36 | 89 |
| 2. A lot | 69 | 122 | 191 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 317 | 601 | 918 |
| 4. A little | 361 | 766 | 1127 |
| 5. Not at all | 189 | 354 | 543 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 6 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 2.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| 2. A lot | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 15.4 | 17.6 | 15.6 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 15.9 |
| 4. A little | 17.6 | 17.7 | 19.8 | 20.8 | 19.1 | 19.7 |
| 5. Not at all | 9.2 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 9.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

effic_sayrev

Label: [REV] Have no say about what govt does
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-8
Description: Efficacy - Pre
Question: How much can people like you affect what the government does?
[A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 125 | 103 | 228 |
| 2. A lot | 131 | 246 | 377 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 268 | 550 | 818 |
| 4. A little | 326 | 714 | 1040 |
| 5. Not at all | 147 | 268 | 415 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 4 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 6.1 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 2.8 |
| 2. A lot | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 13.0 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 13.8 | 14.2 |
| 4. A little | 15.9 | 18.2 | 18.5 | 19.4 | 17.6 | 19.0 |
| 5. Not at all | 7.2 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

econ_ecnow

Label: Current economy good or bad
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-1
 Description: State of the economy
 Question: What do you think about the state of the economy these days in the United States? Would you say the state of the economy is [very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad, or very bad / very bad, bad, neither good nor bad, good, or very good]?
 Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very good | 10 | 13 | 23 |
| 2. Good | 210 | 283 | 493 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad | 542 | 1109 | 1651 |
| 4. Bad | 869 | 1614 | 2483 |
| 5. Very bad | 403 | 827 | 1230 |
| -8. Don't know | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 14 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very good | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 2. Good | 10.2 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 6.9 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad | 26.4 | 22.3 | 28.7 | 28.0 | 27.9 | 26.0 |
| 4. Bad | 42.3 | 46.2 | 41.8 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 43.8 |
| 5. Very bad | 19.6 | 22.7 | 21.4 | 22.0 | 20.8 | 22.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

econ_ecpast

Label: National economy better/worse in last year
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-2
Description: State of the economy
Question: Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Gotten better | 671 | 1241 | 1912 |
| 2. Stayed about the same | 756 | 1280 | 2036 |
| 3. Gotten worse | 607 | 1321 | 1928 |
| -8. Don't know | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 18 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Gotten better | 32.7 | 29.6 | 32.2 | 28.9 | 32.3 | 29.2 |
| 2. Stayed about the same | 36.8 | 36.3 | 33.2 | 34.1 | 34.4 | 34.9 |
| 3. Gotten worse | 29.6 | 33.3 | 34.2 | 36.2 | 32.6 | 35.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

econ_ecpastamt

Label: How much national economy better/worse in last year
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-3
 Description: State of the economy
 Question: [MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? / MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?]
 Universe: IF R THINKS THE ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER IN THE PAST YEAR / IF R THINKS THE ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE IN THE PAST YEAR:
 Logic: Ask if ECON ECPAST in(1,3), else skip. IF ECON ECPAST=1 FILL 'Much better or somewhat better?' IF ECON ECPAST=3 FILL 'Much worse or somewhat worse?'
 Web Logic: Online, bank with the previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 357 | 856 | 1213 |
| 2. Somewhat | 917 | 1705 | 2622 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 776 | 1298 | 2074 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 17.4 | 18.5 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 20.5 | 21.3 |
| 2. Somewhat | 44.6 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 42.4 | 44.3 | 43.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 37.8 | 37.1 | 33.6 | 34.8 | 35.1 | 35.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

econ_ecpast_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- U.S. economy better or worse than 1 year ago

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much better | 96 | 126 | 222 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 574 | 1114 | 1688 |
| 3. Stayed about the same | 756 | 1280 | 2036 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 343 | 591 | 934 |
| 5. Much worse | 261 | 730 | 991 |
| -8. Don't know | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 19 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much better | 4.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 27.9 | 26.5 | 28.9 | 25.9 | 28.5 | 26.1 |
| 3. Stayed about the same | 36.8 | 36.3 | 33.2 | 34.1 | 34.4 | 34.9 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 16.7 | 17.7 | 15.3 | 16.4 | 15.8 | 16.9 |
| 5. Much worse | 12.7 | 15.4 | 18.9 | 19.8 | 16.8 | 18.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

econ_ecnext

Label: Economy better or worse in next 12 months
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-4
Description: State of the economy
Question: What about the next 12 months? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to GET BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Get better | 975 | 1683 | 2658 |
| 2. Stay about the same | 756 | 1571 | 2327 |
| 3. Get worse | 214 | 579 | 793 |
| -8. Don't know | 102 | 0 | 102 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 27 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Get better | 47.5 | 40.0 | 43.6 | 41.0 | 44.9 | 40.7 |
| 2. Stay about the same | 36.8 | 41.1 | 40.7 | 42.1 | 39.3 | 41.8 |
| 3. Get worse | 10.4 | 12.2 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 13.4 | 14.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

econ_ecnextamt

Label: How much econ better/worse next 12 months
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-5
Description: State of the economy
Question: [MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better / MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
Universe: IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT 12 MONTHS /
IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT 12 MONTHS:
Logic: Ask if ECON ECNEXT in(1,3), else skip. Fill 'better' if ECON ECNEXT
= 1. Fill 'worse' if ECON ECNEXT = 3.
Web Logic: Online, bank with the previous item.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. | Much | 386 | 648 | 1034 |
| 2. | Somewhat | 801 | 1614 | 2415 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 865 | 1598 | 2463 |
| -8. | Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 18.8 | 14.3 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 17.5 | 15.3 |
| 2. Somewhat | 39.0 | 37.7 | 41.8 | 41.1 | 40.8 | 39.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 42.1 | 47.8 | 41.4 | 43.0 | 41.6 | 44.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

econ_ecnext_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- U.S. economy better or worse 1 year from now

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much better | 306 | 401 | 707 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 668 | 1282 | 1950 |
| 3. Stay about the same | 756 | 1571 | 2327 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 133 | 332 | 465 |
| 5. Much worse | 80 | 247 | 327 |
| -8. Don't know | 104 | 0 | 104 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 27 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much better | 14.9 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 9.4 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 32.5 | 29.9 | 33.2 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 31.2 |
| 3. Stay about the same | 36.8 | 41.1 | 40.7 | 42.1 | 39.3 | 41.8 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 6.5 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 8.7 |
| 5. Much worse | 3.9 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.1 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

econ_unpast

Label: Unemployment better or worse in last year
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-9
Description: State of the economy
Question: Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten BETTER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME, or gotten WORSE?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Better | 618 | 1170 | 1788 |
| 2. About the same | 677 | 1373 | 2050 |
| 3. Worse | 723 | 1304 | 2027 |
| -8. Don't know | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 13 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Better | 30.1 | 27.0 | 30.3 | 27.6 | 30.2 | 27.4 |
| 2. About the same | 33.0 | 33.6 | 35.6 | 36.1 | 34.7 | 35.2 |
| 3. Worse | 35.2 | 37.9 | 33.8 | 35.7 | 34.3 | 36.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

econ_unlast

Label: How much unemployment better or worse in last year
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-10
 Description: State of the economy
 Question: [Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better / Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
 Universe: IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN BETTER / IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN WORSE:
 Logic: Ask if ECON UNPAST in(1,3), else skip. Fill 'better' if ECON UNPAST = 1. Fill 'worse' if ECON UNPAST = 3.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with the previous item.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 384 | 750 | 1134 |
| 2. Somewhat | 956 | 1722 | 2678 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 713 | 1386 | 2099 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 18.7 | 17.8 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 19.2 | 19.4 |
| 2. Somewhat | 46.5 | 47.0 | 44.6 | 43.0 | 45.3 | 44.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 34.7 | 35.1 | 35.9 | 36.7 | 35.5 | 36.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

econ_unpast_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Unemployment better or worse than 1 year ago

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much better | 87 | 116 | 203 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 531 | 1054 | 1585 |
| 3. About the same | 677 | 1373 | 2050 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 425 | 668 | 1093 |
| 5. Much worse | 297 | 634 | 931 |
| -8. Don't know | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 15 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much better | 4.2 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.6 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 25.9 | 24.7 | 27.3 | 24.8 | 26.8 | 24.8 |
| 3. About the same | 33.0 | 33.6 | 35.6 | 36.1 | 34.7 | 35.2 |
| 4. Somewhat worse | 20.7 | 22.3 | 17.3 | 18.2 | 18.5 | 19.6 |
| 5. Much worse | 14.5 | 15.5 | 16.4 | 17.5 | 15.7 | 16.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

econ_unnext

Label: More or less unemployment in next year
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-11
Description: State of the economy
Question: How about people out of work during THE COMING 12 MONTHS--
do you think that there will be MORE unemployment than now,
ABOUT THE SAME, or LESS?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. More | 381 | 685 | 1066 |
| 2. About the same | 976 | 2020 | 2996 |
| 3. Less | 617 | 1129 | 1746 |
| -8. Don't know | 74 | 0 | 74 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 26 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More | 18.5 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.5 |
| 2. About the same | 47.5 | 50.9 | 52.3 | 53.5 | 50.7 | 52.6 |
| 3. Less | 30.0 | 27.7 | 29.2 | 26.7 | 29.5 | 27.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

ecblame_pres

Label: How much is President to blame for poor econ conditions
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-2
Description: Blame for poor economic conditions
Question: How much is President Obama to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much is President Obama to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization: Order of economic blame items
Universe: IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic: Randomize the order of items in the ECBLAME module. If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 163 | 635 | 798 |
| 2. A lot | 228 | 588 | 816 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 509 | 813 | 1322 |
| 4. A little | 602 | 1055 | 1657 |
| 5. Not at all | 491 | 748 | 1239 |
| -8. Don't know | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 21 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 7.9 | 10.9 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 13.5 | 14.3 |
| 2. A lot | 11.1 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 15.1 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 24.8 | 28.4 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 22.4 | 24.5 |
| 4. A little | 29.3 | 27.8 | 27.3 | 27.4 | 28.0 | 27.5 |
| 5. Not at all | 23.9 | 16.3 | 19.4 | 17.9 | 21.0 | 17.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

ecblame_fmpr

Label: How much former President to blame for poor econ conds
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-3
Description: Blame for poor economic conditions
Question: How much is former President Bush to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much is former President Bush to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization: Order of economic blame items
Universe: IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic: If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 644 | 1107 | 1751 |
| 2. A lot | 526 | 756 | 1282 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 511 | 974 | 1485 |
| 4. A little | 256 | 823 | 1079 |
| 5. Not at all | 55 | 182 | 237 |
| -8. Don't know | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 18 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 31.4 | 22.8 | 28.7 | 27.0 | 29.6 | 25.6 |
| 2. A lot | 25.6 | 23.8 | 19.6 | 18.8 | 21.7 | 20.5 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 24.9 | 31.6 | 25.2 | 26.0 | 25.1 | 28.0 |
| 4. A little | 12.5 | 16.5 | 21.3 | 22.4 | 18.2 | 20.3 |
| 5. Not at all | 2.7 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

ecblame_dem

Label: How much Dems in Congress to blame for poor econ conds
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-4
Description: Blame for poor economic conditions
Question: How much are Democrats in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are Democrats in Congress to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization: Order of economic blame items
Universe: IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic: If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 184 | 583 | 767 |
| 2. A lot | 331 | 762 | 1093 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 759 | 1325 | 2084 |
| 4. A little | 487 | 918 | 1405 |
| 5. Not at all | 154 | 247 | 401 |
| -8. Don't know | 126 | 0 | 126 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 25 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 9.0 | 10.0 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 2. A lot | 16.1 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 18.5 | 19.8 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 37.0 | 39.3 | 34.3 | 34.9 | 35.2 | 36.4 |
| 4. A little | 23.7 | 21.0 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 22.8 |
| 5. Not at all | 7.5 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

ecblame_rep

Label: How much Reps in Congress to blame for poor econ conds
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-5
Description: Blame for poor economic conditions
Question: How much are Republicans in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are Republicans in Congress to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization: Order of economic blame items
Universe: IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic: If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 410 | 758 | 1168 |
| 2. A lot | 488 | 876 | 1364 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 680 | 1303 | 1983 |
| 4. A little | 297 | 749 | 1046 |
| 5. Not at all | 43 | 145 | 188 |
| -8. Don't know | 124 | 0 | 124 |
| -9. Refused | 12 | 29 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 20.0 | 15.5 | 19.6 | 17.4 | 19.7 | 16.7 |
| 2. A lot | 23.8 | 21.4 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 23.1 | 21.7 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 33.1 | 38.9 | 33.8 | 34.6 | 33.5 | 36.1 |
| 4. A little | 14.5 | 16.3 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 17.7 | 19.2 |
| 5. Not at all | 2.1 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

ecblame_bank

Label: How much Wall St. bankers to blame for poor econ conds
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-6
Description: Blame for poor economic conditions
Question: How much are Wall Street bankers to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are Wall Street bankers to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])

Randomization: Order of economic blame items

Universe: IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:

Logic: If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.

Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 576 | 1272 | 1848 |
| 2. A lot | 597 | 1099 | 1696 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 457 | 960 | 1417 |
| 4. A little | 184 | 412 | 596 |
| 5. Not at all | 52 | 97 | 149 |
| -8. Don't know | 178 | 0 | 178 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 20 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 28.0 | 27.1 | 33.0 | 29.6 | 31.2 | 28.7 |
| 2. A lot | 29.1 | 32.7 | 28.5 | 27.0 | 28.7 | 29.0 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 22.2 | 22.5 | 24.9 | 27.9 | 24.0 | 26.0 |
| 4. A little | 9.0 | 8.1 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 10.1 | 10.5 |
| 5. Not at all | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 8.7 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

ecblame_cons

Label: How much consumers to blame for poor economic cond
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-7
Description: Blame for poor economic conditions
Question: How much are consumers who borrowed too much money to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are consumers who borrowed too much money to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])

Randomization: Order of economic blame items

Universe: IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:

Logic: If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.

Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 404 | 667 | 1071 |
| 2. A lot | 645 | 1188 | 1833 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 583 | 1270 | 1853 |
| 4. A little | 277 | 587 | 864 |
| 5. Not at all | 75 | 128 | 203 |
| -8. Don't know | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 20 | 28 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 19.7 | 20.3 | 17.3 | 16.4 | 18.1 | 17.7 |
| 2. A lot | 31.4 | 33.8 | 30.8 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 31.3 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 28.4 | 29.0 | 32.9 | 33.9 | 31.3 | 32.2 |
| 4. A little | 13.5 | 11.6 | 15.2 | 15.4 | 14.6 | 14.1 |
| 5. Not at all | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

ecblame_lend

Label: How much lenders to blame for poor economic conditions
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-8
Description: Blame for poor economic conditions
Question: How much are mortgage lenders to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are mortgage lenders to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization: Order of economic blame items
Universe: IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic: If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 634 | 1340 | 1974 |
| 2. A lot | 645 | 1225 | 1870 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 389 | 855 | 1244 |
| 4. A little | 220 | 344 | 564 |
| 5. Not at all | 71 | 78 | 149 |
| -8. Don't know | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 18 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 30.9 | 30.0 | 34.7 | 32.3 | 33.4 | 31.5 |
| 2. A lot | 31.4 | 35.4 | 31.7 | 30.0 | 31.6 | 31.9 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 18.9 | 18.3 | 22.2 | 24.3 | 21.0 | 22.2 |
| 4. A little | 10.7 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 10.0 |
| 5. Not at all | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

ptyperf_ptyecon

Label: Which party better: handling nations economy
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37-1
 Description: Party performance
 Question: Which party do you think would do a better job of HANDLING THE NATION'S ECONOMY... [the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS / the REPUBLICANS, the DEMOCRATS], or WOULDN'T THERE BE MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?
 Randomization: Order of parties in question text
 Logic: Randomize the order of 'DEMOCRATS' and 'REPUBLICANS' in question text and retain the value in a variable, 1=Dem 1st, 2=Rep 1st.
 Web Logic: Online, omit response option 4.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats | 817 | 1326 | 2143 |
| 2. Republicans | 407 | 1216 | 1623 |
| 3. Not much difference between them | 726 | 1304 | 2030 |
| 4. Neither party (VOL) | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -8. Don't know | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 14 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats | 39.8 | 30.5 | 34.4 | 32.0 | 36.2 | 31.4 |
| 2. Republicans | 19.8 | 29.3 | 31.5 | 32.1 | 27.4 | 31.1 |
| 3. Not much difference between them | 35.3 | 36.2 | 33.8 | 35.3 | 34.3 | 35.6 |
| 4. Neither party (VOL) | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

preswin_care

Label: Care who wins Presidential Election
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-1
Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question: Generally speaking, would you say that you personally CARE A GOOD DEAL who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you DON'T CARE VERY MUCH who wins?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Care a good deal | 1664 | 3228 | 4892 |
| 2. Don't care very much | 357 | 614 | 971 |
| -8. Don't know | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 18 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Care a good deal | 81.0 | 81.4 | 83.6 | 80.7 | 82.7 | 80.9 |
| 2. Don't care very much | 17.4 | 17.0 | 15.9 | 18.6 | 16.4 | 18.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

preswin_win

Label: Who does R think will be elected President
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-2
Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question: Who do you think will be elected President in November?
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ in option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 1464 | 2284 | 3748 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 384 | 1403 | 1787 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 1 | 61 | 62 |
| -8. Don't know | 193 | 60 | 253 |
| -9. Refused | 12 | 52 | 64 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 71.3 | 63.8 | 59.2 | 57.4 | 63.4 | 59.6 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 18.7 | 25.2 | 36.3 | 37.8 | 30.2 | 33.4 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 9.4 | 10.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 |

preswin_win_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Who does R think will be elected President

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5824 |
| ? | 4 |
| dont know | 4 |
| Do not know | 3 |
| Don't Know | 3 |
| not sure | 3 |
| unsure | 3 |
| don't know | 2 |
| have no idea | 2 |
| I'm not sure | 2 |

preswin_close

Label: Will Pres race be a close or will (winner) win by a lot
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-3
 Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
 Question: Do you think the Presidential race will be CLOSE or will [preload: dem pcname / preload:rep pcname /preswin prespred other name / one candidate] WIN BY QUITE A BIT ?
 Universe: IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
 Logic: Ask if PRESWIN PRESPPRED in(1,2,5,DK), else skip. Load name of Dem Pres cand if PRESWIN PRESPPRED=1; load name of Rep Pres cand if PRESWIN PRESPPRED=2; load text of response for code 5 in PRESWIN PRESPPRED if PRESWIN PRESPPRED=5; load 'one candidate' if PRESWIN PRESPPRED=DK.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Will be close | 1585 | 3179 | 4764 |
| 2. Win by quite a bit | 420 | 663 | 1083 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -8. Don't know | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 18 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Will be close | 77.2 | 80.4 | 82.4 | 80.7 | 80.6 | 80.6 |
| 2. Win by quite a bit | 20.4 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 18.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

preswin_state

Label: Which Pres cand will carry state
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-4
 Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
 Question: What about here in [preload: hhstate]? Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state?
 Logic: R's sample address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Load preloaded name of state (hhstate).
 Web Logic: Online, include a small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ in option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 1222 | 2229 | 3451 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 624 | 1533 | 2157 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 1 | 32 | 33 |
| -8. Don't know | 200 | 29 | 229 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 37 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 59.5 | 55.4 | 57.7 | 55.7 | 58.4 | 55.6 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 30.4 | 34.7 | 39.7 | 41.2 | 36.5 | 39.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 9.7 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

preswin_state_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Which Pres cand will carry state

32 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5873 |
| ? | 3 |
| not sure | 3 |
| Not sure | 3 |
| don't know | 2 |
| dont know | 2 |
| I don't know | 2 |
| no clue | 2 |
| 50/50 | 1 |
| afraid to know | 1 |

preswin_closest

Label: Will Pres race be close in state
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-5
 Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
 Question: Do you think the Presidential race will be CLOSE here in [preload: hhstate] or will [preload: dem pcname / preswin prwinst other name / preload:rep pcname /one candidate] WIN BY QUITE A BIT ?
 Universe: IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
 Logic: Ask if PRESWIN PRWINST in(1,2,5,DK), else skip. Load name of Dem Pres cand if PRESWIN PRWINST=1; load name of Rep Pres cand if PRESWIN PRWINST=2; load text of response for code 5 in PRESWIN PRWINST if PRESWIN PRWINST=5; load 'one candidate' if PRESWIN PRWINST=DK.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Will be close | 946 | 2080 | 3026 |
| 2. Win by quite a bit | 1023 | 1759 | 2782 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -8. Don't know | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 21 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Will be close | 46.1 | 47.7 | 53.9 | 52.3 | 51.2 | 50.7 |
| 2. Win by quite a bit | 49.8 | 48.2 | 45.6 | 46.9 | 47.0 | 47.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

preswin_dutychoice

Label: [VERSION 1A] Does R consider voting a duty or choice
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-9
Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question: Different people feel differently about voting. For some, voting is a duty - they feel they should vote in every election no matter how they feel about the candidates and parties. For others voting is a choice - they feel free to vote or not to vote, depending on how they feel about the candidates and parties. For you personally, is voting mainly a duty, mainly a choice, or neither a duty nor a choice?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OF VERSION 1A / VERSION 1B SPLICE:
Logic: Create variable dutychc with cases randomly assigned to 1 or 2; Respondents assigned dutychc=1 are asked PRESWIN DUTY-CHOICE and respondents assigned dutychc=2 are asked PRESWIN CHOICEDUTY. Ask if dutychc=1.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Mainly a duty | 430 | 978 | 1408 |
| 2. Mainly a choice | 453 | 728 | 1181 |
| 3. Neither a duty nor a choice | 131 | 225 | 356 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1032 | 1922 | 2954 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 7 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Mainly a duty | 20.9 | 21.1 | 25.3 | 22.7 | 23.8 | 22.1 |
| 2. Mainly a choice | 22.1 | 21.9 | 18.9 | 20.8 | 20.0 | 21.2 |
| 3. Neither a duty nor a choice | 6.4 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 50.2 | 51.0 | 49.8 | 50.2 | 49.9 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

preswin_choiceduty

Label: [VERSION 1B] Does R consider voting a choice or duty
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-10
 Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
 Question: Different people feel differently about voting. For some, voting is a choice - they feel free to vote or not to vote, depending on how they feel about the candidates and parties. For others voting is a duty - they feel they should vote in every election no matter how they feel about the candidates and parties. For you personally, is voting mainly a choice, mainly a duty, or neither a choice nor a duty?
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1B OF VERSION 1A / VERSION 1B
 SPLICE:
 Logic: Ask if dutychc=2.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Mainly a choice | 448 | 648 | 1096 |
| 2. Mainly a duty | 455 | 991 | 1446 |
| 3. Neither a duty nor a choice | 119 | 279 | 398 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1022 | 1938 | 2960 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Mainly a choice | 21.8 | 22.5 | 16.8 | 18.2 | 18.5 | 19.7 |
| 2. Mainly a duty | 22.2 | 23.3 | 25.7 | 23.8 | 24.5 | 23.6 |
| 3. Neither a duty nor a choice | 5.8 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.8 | 49.0 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 50.1 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

preswin_dutyst

Label: How strongly does R feel that voting is a duty
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-11
 Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
 Question: How strongly do you feel that voting is a duty? [very strongly, moderately strongly, or a little strongly / a little strongly, moderately strongly, or very strongly]?
 Universe: IF R CONSIDERS VOTING A DUTY:
 Logic: Ask if PRESWIN DUTYCHOICE=1 or PRESWIN CHOICEDUTY=2,
 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very strongly | 718 | 1251 | 1969 |
| 2. Moderately strongly | 147 | 563 | 710 |
| 3. A little strongly | 20 | 154 | 174 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1169 | 1891 | 3060 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very strongly | 35.0 | 36.0 | 32.4 | 26.7 | 33.3 | 29.9 |
| 2. Moderately strongly | 7.2 | 7.1 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 12.0 | 12.5 |
| 3. A little strongly | 1.0 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 56.9 | 55.6 | 49.0 | 53.5 | 51.7 | 54.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

preswin_choicest

Label: How strongly does R feel that voting is a choice
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-12
Description: Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question: How strongly do you feel that voting is a choice? [very strongly, moderately strongly, or a little strongly / a little strongly, moderately strongly, or very strongly]?
Universe: IF R CONSIDERS VOTING A CHOICE:
Logic: Ask if PRESWIN DUTYCHOICE=2 or PRESWIN CHOICEDUTY=1,
else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very strongly | 588 | 690 | 1278 |
| 2. Moderately strongly | 251 | 549 | 800 |
| 3. A little strongly | 62 | 137 | 199 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1153 | 2484 | 3637 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very strongly | 28.6 | 28.9 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 21.6 | 22.2 |
| 2. Moderately strongly | 12.2 | 12.7 | 14.2 | 16.4 | 13.5 | 15.1 |
| 3. A little strongly | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 56.1 | 55.5 | 64.4 | 61.0 | 61.5 | 59.1 |

preswin_dutychoice_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Does R consider voting as duty/choice

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very strongly feel voting is a duty | 718 | 1251 | 1969 |
| 2. Moderately strongly feel voting is a duty | 147 | 563 | 710 |
| 3. A little strongly feel voting is a duty | 20 | 154 | 174 |
| 4. Feel voting is neither duty nor choice | 250 | 504 | 754 |
| 5. A little strongly feel voting is a choice | 62 | 137 | 199 |
| 6. Moderately strongly feel voting is a choice | 251 | 549 | 800 |
| 7. Very strongly feel voting is a choice | 588 | 690 | 1278 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 12 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very strongly feel voting is a duty | 35.0 | 36.0 | 32.4 | 26.7 | 33.3 | 29.9 |
| 2. Moderately strongly feel voting is a duty | 7.2 | 7.1 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 12.0 | 12.5 |
| 3. A little strongly feel voting is a duty | 1.0 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| 4. Feel voting is neither duty nor choice | 12.2 | 10.6 | 13.1 | 13.9 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| 5. A little strongly feel voting is a choice | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| 6. Moderately strongly feel voting is a choice | 12.2 | 12.7 | 14.2 | 16.4 | 13.5 | 15.1 |
| 7. Very strongly feel voting is a choice | 28.6 | 28.9 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 21.6 | 22.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

usworld_stronger

Label: During last year, U.S. position in world weaker/str
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 38-1
Description: U.S. position in the world
Question: Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown WEAKER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME, or has it grown STRONGER?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Weaker | 928 | 1872 | 2800 |
| 2. Stayed about the same | 696 | 1574 | 2270 |
| 3. Stronger | 385 | 401 | 786 |
| -8. Don't know | 41 | 0 | 41 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 13 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Weaker | 45.2 | 52.0 | 48.5 | 49.1 | 47.3 | 50.1 |
| 2. Stayed about the same | 33.9 | 31.8 | 40.8 | 41.4 | 38.4 | 38.1 |
| 3. Stronger | 18.7 | 14.2 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 13.3 | 10.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

usworld_stay

Label: Country would be better off if we just stayed home
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 38-2
Description: U.S. position in the world
Question: Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with this statement: 'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Agree | 646 | 1504 | 2150 |
| 2. Disagree | 1329 | 2329 | 3658 |
| -8. Don't know | 67 | 0 | 67 |
| -9. Refused | 12 | 27 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree | 31.5 | 28.5 | 39.0 | 42.3 | 36.4 | 37.5 |
| 2. Disagree | 64.7 | 68.0 | 60.3 | 56.8 | 61.9 | 60.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

pid_self

Label: Party ID: Does R think of self as Dem, Rep, Ind or what
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39-1
Description: R party ID
Question: Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a [DEMOCRAT, a REPUBLICAN / a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT], an INDEPENDENT, or what?
Randomization: Order of parties in question text
Logic: Respondents should be randomly assigned to have either 'a DEMOCRAT, a REPUBLICAN' or 'a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT' ; (a variable should record at case level which order was assigned to R, 1=Dem 1st, 2=Rep 1st).
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ in option 5. Omit response option 0.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 0. No preference (VOL) | 66 | 0 | 66 |
| 1. Democrat | 903 | 1458 | 2361 |
| 2. Republican | 333 | 1056 | 1389 |
| 3. Independent | 651 | 1194 | 1845 |
| 5. Other party (SPECIFY) | 42 | 119 | 161 |
| -8. Don't know | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| -9. Refused | 15 | 33 | 48 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No preference (VOL) | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 1. Democrat | 44.0 | 32.6 | 37.8 | 35.7 | 39.9 | 34.6 |
| 2. Republican | 16.2 | 23.5 | 27.4 | 29.0 | 23.5 | 27.1 |
| 3. Independent | 31.7 | 35.1 | 30.9 | 30.7 | 31.2 | 32.2 |
| 5. Other party (SPECIFY) | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

pid_self_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Party ID

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | <u>0</u> |

pid_strong

Label: Party Identification strong - Democrat/Republican
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39-2
 Description: R party ID
 Question: Would you call yourself a STRONG [Democrat / Republican] or a NOT VERY STRONG Democrat / Republican?
 Universe: IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT: / IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN :
 Logic: Ask if PTYID RPTYID in(1,2), else skip. Load 'Democrat' if PTYID RPTYID=1; load 'Republican' if PTYID RPTYID=2.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| | 1. Strong | 770 | 1477 | 2247 |
| | 2. Not very strong | 460 | 1034 | 1494 |
| | | | | |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 818 | 1346 | 2164 |
| | -4. Error | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong | 37.5 | 32.9 | 38.3 | 34.9 | 38.0 | 34.2 |
| 2. Not very strong | 22.4 | 23.1 | 26.8 | 29.7 | 25.3 | 27.4 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 39.8 | 43.9 | 34.9 | 35.3 | 36.6 | 38.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

pid_lean

Label: No Party Identification - closer to Dems or Reps
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39-3
 Description: R party ID
 Question: Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?
 Universe: IF R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:
 Logic: Ask if PTYID RPTYID in(0,3,5,DK), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include response option 2 but omit the ♦VOL♦ text.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Closer to Republican | 235 | 375 | 610 |
| 2. Neither (VOL) | 160 | 558 | 718 |
| 3. Closer to Democratic | 351 | 396 | 747 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1251 | 2514 | 3765 |
| -8. Don't know | 53 | 0 | 53 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 17 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Closer to Republican | 11.4 | 17.5 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 12.2 |
| 2. Neither (VOL) | 7.8 | 7.1 | 14.5 | 15.6 | 12.1 | 12.7 |
| 3. Closer to Democratic | 17.1 | 15.6 | 10.3 | 9.6 | 12.6 | 11.7 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.9 | 56.7 | 65.1 | 64.7 | 63.7 | 62.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

pid_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Party ID

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. | Strong Democrat | 593 | 892 | 1485 |
| 2. | Not very strong Democrat | 305 | 566 | 871 |
| 3. | Independent-Democrat | 351 | 396 | 747 |
| 4. | Independent | 217 | 575 | 792 |
| 5. | Independent-Republican | 235 | 375 | 610 |
| 6. | Not very strong Republican | 155 | 468 | 623 |
| 7. | Strong Republican | 177 | 585 | 762 |
| -2. | Missing | 21 | 3 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | | |
|-----|----------------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | |
| 1. | Strong Democrat | 28.9 | 19.9 | 23.1 | 19.3 | 25.1 | 19.5 |
| 2. | Not very strong Democrat | 14.8 | 12.6 | 14.7 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 15.0 |
| 3. | Independent-Democrat | 17.1 | 15.6 | 10.3 | 9.6 | 12.6 | 11.7 |
| 4. | Independent | 10.6 | 10.1 | 14.9 | 16.4 | 13.4 | 14.2 |
| 5. | Independent-Republican | 11.4 | 17.5 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 12.2 |
| 6. | Not very strong Republican | 7.5 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 12.4 |
| 7. | Strong Republican | 8.6 | 13.0 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 12.9 | 14.7 |
| -2. | Missing | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

tea_supp

Label: Tea Party Support
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39.5-1
Description: Tea Party
Question: Do you support, oppose, or neither support nor oppose the Tea Party movement?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Support | 308 | 654 | 962 |
| 2. Oppose | 612 | 1324 | 1936 |
| 3. Neither Support nor oppose | 804 | 1866 | 2670 |
| -8. Don't know | 308 | 0 | 308 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 16 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Support | 15.0 | 18.8 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 17.5 |
| 2. Oppose | 29.8 | 28.2 | 34.3 | 31.4 | 32.7 | 30.3 |
| 3. Neither Support nor oppose | 39.1 | 40.0 | 48.3 | 50.9 | 45.1 | 47.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 15.0 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 4.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

tea_suppstr

Label: Strength support or oppose tea party
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39.5-1.2
 Description: Tea Party
 Question: Would you say your [support/opposition] is strong or not very strong?
 Universe: IF R SUPPORTS THE TEA PARTY / IF R OPPOSES THE TEA PARTY:
 Logic: Ask if TEAPTY SUPP in(1,2), else skip. Load 'support' if TEAPTY SUPP=1; load 'opposition' if TEAPTY SUPP=2.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item, TEAPTY SUPP.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong | 638 | 1540 | 2178 |
| 2. Not very strong | 278 | 390 | 668 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1134 | 1882 | 3016 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 48 | 48 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong | 31.1 | 33.1 | 39.9 | 36.9 | 36.8 | 35.6 |
| 2. Not very strong | 13.5 | 13.7 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 11.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 55.2 | 53.0 | 48.8 | 51.7 | 51.0 | 52.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

tea_suppln

Label: Neither - closer to support or oppose
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39.5-1.3
 Description: Tea Party
 Question: Do you lean toward supporting, lean toward opposing, or do you not lean either way?
 Universe: IF R DOES NOT SUPPORT NOR OPPOSE THE TEA PARTY:
 Logic: Ask if TEAPTY SUPP =3, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with TEAPTY SUPP.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward supporting | 70 | 346 | 416 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 48 | 190 | 238 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 680 | 1326 | 2006 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1250 | 1994 | 3244 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 4 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward supporting | 3.4 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 33.1 | 33.0 | 34.4 | 37.9 | 33.9 | 36.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.9 | 60.0 | 51.7 | 49.1 | 54.9 | 52.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

tea_supp_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Tea Party support

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Strong support | 173 | 415 | 588 |
| 2. Not very strong support | 133 | 228 | 361 |
| 3. Lean toward supporting | 70 | 346 | 416 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 680 | 1326 | 2006 |
| 5. Lean toward opposing | 48 | 190 | 238 |
| 6. Not very strong opposition | 145 | 162 | 307 |
| 7. Strong opposition | 465 | 1125 | 1590 |
| -8. Don't know | 317 | 0 | 317 |
| -9. Refused | 23 | 68 | 91 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong support | 8.4 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 11.1 |
| 2. Not very strong support | 6.5 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| 3. Lean toward supporting | 3.4 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 33.1 | 33.0 | 34.4 | 37.9 | 33.9 | 36.2 |
| 5. Lean toward opposing | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| 6. Not very strong opposition | 7.1 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| 7. Strong opposition | 22.6 | 21.6 | 29.1 | 26.1 | 26.9 | 24.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 15.4 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 4.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 |

ctrain_dpcmoral

Label: Pres Dem cand trait moral
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-2
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is MORAL' describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'MORAL'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Logic: Randomize the order of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates, for each of whom 7 trait questions are administered. Within the set of traits for each, randomize the order of traits (same order for both candidates). If a trait is the 1st trait administered, the question wording begins 'Thank about....' else wording begins '(What about)...'
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 545 | 765 | 1310 |
| 2. Very well | 589 | 962 | 1551 |
| 3. Moderately well | 426 | 749 | 1175 |
| 4. Slightly well | 219 | 564 | 783 |
| 5. Not well at all | 201 | 790 | 991 |
| -8. Don't know | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 30 | 36 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 26.5 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 17.4 | 22.2 | 18.2 |
| 2. Very well | 28.7 | 25.8 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 26.2 | 24.1 |
| 3. Moderately well | 20.7 | 24.1 | 19.4 | 21.4 | 19.9 | 22.4 |
| 4. Slightly well | 10.7 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 15.4 |
| 5. Not well at all | 9.8 | 12.7 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 16.8 | 18.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

ctract_dpclead

Label: Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-3
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 506 | 568 | 1074 |
| 2. Very well | 578 | 838 | 1416 |
| 3. Moderately well | 429 | 840 | 1269 |
| 4. Slightly well | 244 | 565 | 809 |
| 5. Not well at all | 265 | 1026 | 1291 |
| -8. Don't know | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 23 | 28 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 24.6 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 13.5 | 18.2 | 14.4 |
| 2. Very well | 28.1 | 25.1 | 21.7 | 20.3 | 23.9 | 22.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 20.9 | 24.8 | 21.8 | 22.6 | 21.5 | 23.3 |
| 4. Slightly well | 11.9 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 13.7 | 15.3 |
| 5. Not well at all | 12.9 | 17.6 | 26.6 | 27.2 | 21.8 | 23.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

ctract_dpccare

Label: Pres Dem cand trait really cares
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-4
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU' describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'REALY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 587 | 788 | 1375 |
| 2. Very well | 496 | 796 | 1292 |
| 3. Moderately well | 381 | 694 | 1075 |
| 4. Slightly well | 252 | 530 | 782 |
| 5. Not well at all | 307 | 1025 | 1332 |
| -8. Don't know | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 27 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 28.6 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 18.2 | 23.2 | 18.8 |
| 2. Very well | 24.1 | 21.4 | 20.6 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 20.6 |
| 3. Moderately well | 18.5 | 20.3 | 18.0 | 19.4 | 18.2 | 19.8 |
| 4. Slightly well | 12.3 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 13.2 | 14.6 |
| 5. Not well at all | 14.9 | 22.2 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 22.5 | 25.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

ctrain_dpcknow

Label: Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-5
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE' describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'KNOWLEDGEABLE'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 643 | 876 | 1519 |
| 2. Very well | 692 | 1026 | 1718 |
| 3. Moderately well | 410 | 885 | 1295 |
| 4. Slightly well | 177 | 563 | 740 |
| 5. Not well at all | 97 | 486 | 583 |
| -8. Don't know | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 24 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 31.3 | 25.0 | 22.7 | 20.6 | 25.7 | 22.2 |
| 2. Very well | 33.7 | 33.1 | 26.6 | 26.1 | 29.0 | 28.5 |
| 3. Moderately well | 20.0 | 23.8 | 22.9 | 23.8 | 21.9 | 23.8 |
| 4. Slightly well | 8.6 | 11.1 | 14.6 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 14.2 |
| 5. Not well at all | 4.7 | 5.8 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 10.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

ctrain_dpcint

Label: Pres Dem cand trait intelligent
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-6
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT' describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'INTELLIGENT'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 781 | 1190 | 1971 |
| 2. Very well | 756 | 1163 | 1919 |
| 3. Moderately well | 307 | 802 | 1109 |
| 4. Slightly well | 131 | 382 | 513 |
| 5. Not well at all | 55 | 299 | 354 |
| -8. Don't know | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 24 | 31 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 38.0 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 27.5 | 33.3 | 28.7 |
| 2. Very well | 36.8 | 39.2 | 30.1 | 29.8 | 32.4 | 33.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 14.9 | 18.6 | 20.8 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 21.1 |
| 4. Slightly well | 6.4 | 7.3 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 9.8 |
| 5. Not well at all | 2.7 | 3.1 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

ctrain_dpchonst

Label: Pres Dem cand trait honest
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-7
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: DEM PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is HONEST' describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'HONEST'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 494 | 699 | 1193 |
| 2. Very well | 565 | 872 | 1437 |
| 3. Moderately well | 444 | 756 | 1200 |
| 4. Slightly well | 229 | 511 | 740 |
| 5. Not well at all | 285 | 996 | 1281 |
| -8. Don't know | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 26 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 24.1 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 16.1 | 20.2 | 16.3 |
| 2. Very well | 27.5 | 24.2 | 22.6 | 21.4 | 24.3 | 22.4 |
| 3. Moderately well | 21.6 | 23.9 | 19.6 | 21.1 | 20.3 | 22.0 |
| 4. Slightly well | 11.1 | 13.6 | 13.2 | 14.2 | 12.5 | 14.0 |
| 5. Not well at all | 13.9 | 20.0 | 25.8 | 26.3 | 21.7 | 24.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

ctrain_rpcmoral

Label: Pres Rep cand trait moral
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-9
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is MORAL' describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'MORAL'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 221 | 719 | 940 |
| 2. Very well | 330 | 782 | 1112 |
| 3. Moderately well | 494 | 843 | 1337 |
| 4. Slightly well | 393 | 734 | 1127 |
| 5. Not well at all | 478 | 743 | 1221 |
| -8. Don't know | 128 | 0 | 128 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 39 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 10.8 | 15.9 | 18.6 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 16.3 |
| 2. Very well | 16.1 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 18.8 | 20.6 |
| 3. Moderately well | 24.1 | 25.3 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 22.6 | 23.0 |
| 4. Slightly well | 19.1 | 16.2 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 18.5 |
| 5. Not well at all | 23.3 | 15.6 | 19.2 | 20.3 | 20.6 | 18.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 |

ctract_rpclead

Label: Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-10
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 151 | 502 | 653 |
| 2. Very well | 347 | 761 | 1108 |
| 3. Moderately well | 509 | 974 | 1483 |
| 4. Slightly well | 350 | 769 | 1119 |
| 5. Not well at all | 577 | 819 | 1396 |
| -8. Don't know | 109 | 0 | 109 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 35 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 7.4 | 10.3 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 11.0 | 11.9 |
| 2. Very well | 16.9 | 22.0 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 18.7 | 20.2 |
| 3. Moderately well | 24.8 | 27.3 | 25.2 | 25.9 | 25.1 | 26.4 |
| 4. Slightly well | 17.0 | 15.0 | 19.9 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 17.6 |
| 5. Not well at all | 28.1 | 20.3 | 21.2 | 21.9 | 23.6 | 21.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

ctract_rpccare

Label: Pres Rep cand trait really cares
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-11
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU' describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 76 | 266 | 342 |
| 2. Very well | 180 | 504 | 684 |
| 3. Moderately well | 336 | 770 | 1106 |
| 4. Slightly well | 372 | 652 | 1024 |
| 5. Not well at all | 991 | 1634 | 2625 |
| -8. Don't know | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 34 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 3.7 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 |
| 2. Very well | 8.8 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 12.9 |
| 3. Moderately well | 16.4 | 21.1 | 19.9 | 21.6 | 18.7 | 21.4 |
| 4. Slightly well | 18.1 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 17.1 |
| 5. Not well at all | 48.2 | 37.9 | 42.3 | 41.3 | 44.4 | 40.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 |

ctrain_rpcknow

Label: Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-12
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE' describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'KNOWLEDGEABLE'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 189 | 515 | 704 |
| 2. Very well | 484 | 989 | 1473 |
| 3. Moderately well | 563 | 1079 | 1642 |
| 4. Slightly well | 374 | 693 | 1067 |
| 5. Not well at all | 352 | 552 | 904 |
| -8. Don't know | 86 | 0 | 86 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 32 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 9.2 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 12.8 |
| 2. Very well | 23.6 | 29.9 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 24.9 | 26.8 |
| 3. Moderately well | 27.4 | 28.9 | 28.0 | 27.6 | 27.8 | 28.0 |
| 4. Slightly well | 18.2 | 14.7 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 17.1 |
| 5. Not well at all | 17.1 | 10.6 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 15.3 | 13.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 |

ctract_rpcint

Label: Pres Rep cand trait intelligent
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-13
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT' describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'INTELLIGENT'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 278 | 676 | 954 |
| 2. Very well | 621 | 1256 | 1877 |
| 3. Moderately well | 510 | 971 | 1481 |
| 4. Slightly well | 283 | 566 | 849 |
| 5. Not well at all | 268 | 359 | 627 |
| -8. Don't know | 88 | 0 | 88 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 32 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 13.5 | 16.8 | 17.5 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 16.5 |
| 2. Very well | 30.2 | 35.0 | 32.5 | 31.8 | 31.7 | 33.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 24.8 | 25.5 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.0 | 25.3 |
| 4. Slightly well | 13.8 | 10.7 | 14.7 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 13.8 |
| 5. Not well at all | 13.0 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 9.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

ctrain_rpchonst

Label: Pres Rep cand trait honest
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-14
Description: Presidential candidate traits
Question: [Think about [preload: REP PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is HONEST' describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'HONEST'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL])]
Randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe: IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic: Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 121 | 428 | 549 |
| 2. Very well | 233 | 666 | 899 |
| 3. Moderately well | 438 | 789 | 1227 |
| 4. Slightly well | 389 | 751 | 1140 |
| 5. Not well at all | 751 | 1190 | 1941 |
| -8. Don't know | 113 | 0 | 113 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 36 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 5.9 | 8.0 | 11.1 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 9.1 |
| 2. Very well | 11.3 | 16.6 | 17.3 | 17.2 | 15.2 | 17.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 21.3 | 24.9 | 20.4 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 22.6 |
| 4. Slightly well | 18.9 | 17.7 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 18.8 |
| 5. Not well at all | 36.6 | 27.4 | 30.8 | 31.0 | 32.8 | 29.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.5 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

war_worthit

Label: Was war worth the cost
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 42-2
Description: Cost and benefit of the war
Question: Now we want to ask you about the current war in Afghanistan. Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Afghanistan has been WORTH THE COST or NOT?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Worth it | 525 | 1037 | 1562 |
| 2. Not worth it | 1394 | 2776 | 4170 |
| -8. Don't know | 115 | 0 | 115 |
| -9. Refused | 20 | 47 | 67 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Worth it | 25.6 | 25.8 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 26.4 | 25.9 |
| 2. Not worth it | 67.9 | 68.0 | 71.9 | 72.5 | 70.5 | 71.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.6 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 |

war_terror

Label: War increased or decreased threat of terrorism
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 42-3
Description: Cost and benefit of the war
Question: As a result of the United States' war in Afghanistan, do you think the threat of terrorism against the United States has INCREASED, DECREASED, or stayed ABOUT THE SAME?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | 612 | 867 | 1479 |
| 2. Decreased | 487 | 953 | 1440 |
| 3. Stayed about the same | 919 | 2017 | 2936 |
| -8. Don't know | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 23 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 29.8 | 30.0 | 22.5 | 22.8 | 25.0 | 25.3 |
| 2. Decreased | 23.7 | 23.4 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 24.3 |
| 3. Stayed about the same | 44.7 | 45.2 | 52.3 | 51.5 | 49.6 | 49.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

spsrvpr_ssself

Label: 7pt scale spending and Services self-placement
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-2
Description: Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question: Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item, SSINTRO. Display response options in the same format as ANES cross-section survey 2 (fielded May 2011) item ZR1 group 3 (i.e. a horizontal scale with points labeled 1 through 7), but with text as follows. Title 'Government Services/Government Spending' Left label: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES: REDUCE SPENDING A LOT' Right label: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES: INCREASE SPENDING A LOT' Also include 'Haven't thought much about this' as a separate response option.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 104 | 345 | 449 |
| 2 | 147 | 582 | 729 |
| 3 | 264 | 610 | 874 |
| 4 | 453 | 909 | 1362 |
| 5 | 361 | 615 | 976 |
| 6 | 172 | 289 | 461 |
| 7 | 214 | 176 | 390 |
| -2 | 323 | 332 | 655 |
| -8 | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9 | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 8.2 |
| 2 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 13.5 |
| 3 | 12.9 | 16.9 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 14.8 | 16.2 |
| 4 | 22.1 | 23.9 | 23.5 | 23.3 | 23.0 | 23.5 |
| 5 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 |
| 6 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 6.3 |
| 7 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 5.0 |
| -2 | 15.7 | 13.2 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 11.2 |
| -8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

spsrvpr_ssdpc

Label: 7pt scale spending and Services Dem Presidential cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-4
Description: Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq h] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: DEM PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Logic: Randomize the order of the spending-services placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic: Online, use same response scale as SSSELF. Omit the parenthetical reference to the booklet.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 54 | 78 | 132 |
| 2 | 56 | 98 | 154 |
| 3 | 119 | 156 | 275 |
| 4 | 289 | 656 | 945 |
| 5 | 448 | 919 | 1367 |
| 6 | 491 | 842 | 1333 |
| 7 | 507 | 1096 | 1603 |
| -8 | 83 | 0 | 83 |
| -9 | 7 | 15 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| 2 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| 3 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| 4 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 16.4 |
| 5 | 21.8 | 22.1 | 23.8 | 22.6 | 23.1 | 22.4 |
| 6 | 23.9 | 24.9 | 21.8 | 22.4 | 22.5 | 23.3 |
| 7 | 24.7 | 25.1 | 28.4 | 27.5 | 27.1 | 26.7 |
| -8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

spsrvpr_ssrpc

Label: 7pt scale spending and Services Rep Presidential cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-5
Description: Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq h] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: REP PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands
Web Logic: Online, use same response scale as SSSELF. Omit the parenthetical reference to the booklet.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 515 | 970 | 1485 |
| 2 | 418 | 1076 | 1494 |
| 3 | 358 | 823 | 1181 |
| 4 | 300 | 592 | 892 |
| 5 | 146 | 200 | 346 |
| 6 | 54 | 107 | 161 |
| 7 | 78 | 80 | 158 |
| -8 | 174 | 0 | 174 |
| -9 | 11 | 12 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 25.1 | 19.5 | 25.1 | 23.5 | 25.1 | 22.1 |
| 2 | 20.4 | 23.7 | 27.9 | 26.9 | 25.3 | 25.8 |
| 3 | 17.4 | 18.7 | 21.3 | 21.9 | 20.0 | 20.8 |
| 4 | 14.6 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 15.1 | 16.4 |
| 5 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.4 |
| 6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| 7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| -8 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

spsrvpr_ssdem

Label: 7pt scale spending and Services Dem Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-6
Description: Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq h] of the booklet) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Logic: Randomize the order of the spending-services placements of the Democratic and Republican parties.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 41 | 68 | 109 |
| 2 | 48 | 76 | 124 |
| 3 | 123 | 149 | 272 |
| 4 | 372 | 642 | 1014 |
| 5 | 472 | 897 | 1369 |
| 6 | 478 | 1063 | 1541 |
| 7 | 379 | 953 | 1332 |
| -8 | 135 | 0 | 135 |
| -9 | 6 | 12 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| 2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| 3 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| 4 | 18.1 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 17.7 |
| 5 | 23.0 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.1 | 22.5 |
| 6 | 23.3 | 26.7 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 26.1 | 26.4 |
| 7 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 24.7 | 23.6 | 22.5 | 22.0 |
| -8 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

spsrvpr_ssrep

Label: 7pt scale spending and Services Rep Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-7
Description: Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq h] of the booklet) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 509 | 1045 | 1554 |
| 2 | 430 | 953 | 1383 |
| 3 | 348 | 799 | 1147 |
| 4 | 338 | 632 | 970 |
| 5 | 130 | 220 | 350 |
| 6 | 73 | 110 | 183 |
| 7 | 57 | 87 | 144 |
| -8 | 159 | 0 | 159 |
| -9 | 10 | 14 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 24.8 | 21.2 | 27.1 | 23.5 | 26.3 | 22.7 |
| 2 | 20.9 | 22.7 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 23.4 | 24.4 |
| 3 | 16.9 | 18.6 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 19.4 | 20.3 |
| 4 | 16.5 | 18.4 | 16.4 | 17.7 | 16.4 | 17.9 |
| 5 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| 6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| 7 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| -8 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| -9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

defsprr_self

Label: 7pt scale defense spending self-placement
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-2
Description: Defense spending level placement
Question: Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item, DEFSPINT. Display response options horizontally as follows. Title: 'Defense Spending' Left: 'GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING' Right: 'GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 112 | 208 | 320 |
| 2 | 130 | 366 | 496 |
| 3 | 222 | 585 | 807 |
| 4 | 512 | 1126 | 1638 |
| 5 | 336 | 648 | 984 |
| 6 | 179 | 399 | 578 |
| 7 | 157 | 207 | 364 |
| -2 | 376 | 321 | 697 |
| -8 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| -9 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| 2 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 8.0 |
| 3 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 15.2 | 14.4 | 13.6 | 13.6 |
| 4 | 24.9 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 28.6 | 27.7 | 28.2 |
| 5 | 16.4 | 19.3 | 16.8 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 17.5 |
| 6 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.7 |
| 7 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
| -2 | 18.3 | 14.3 | 8.3 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 11.8 |
| -8 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

defsppr_dpc

Label: 7pt scale defense spending Dem Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-4
Description: Defense spending level placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepq i]) Where would you place[preload: DEM PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Logic: Randomize the order of the defense spending placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR DEFSPSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 164 | 498 | 662 |
| 2 | 207 | 671 | 878 |
| 3 | 362 | 806 | 1168 |
| 4 | 476 | 1014 | 1490 |
| 5 | 331 | 523 | 854 |
| 6 | 171 | 224 | 395 |
| 7 | 171 | 112 | 283 |
| -8 | 167 | 0 | 167 |
| -9 | 5 | 12 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 11.2 | 11.5 |
| 2 | 10.1 | 12.8 | 17.4 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 15.0 |
| 3 | 17.6 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 20.5 |
| 4 | 23.2 | 23.9 | 26.3 | 26.7 | 25.2 | 25.7 |
| 5 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 14.4 | 14.2 |
| 6 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 6.2 |
| 7 | 8.3 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| -8 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| -9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

defsppr_rpc

Label: 7pt scale defense spending Rep Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-5
Description: Defense spending level placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepg i]) Where would you place[preload: REP PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR DEFSPSELF.
Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 113 | 136 | 249 |
| 2 | 128 | 216 | 344 |
| 3 | 157 | 291 | 448 |
| 4 | 341 | 733 | 1074 |
| 5 | 387 | 857 | 1244 |
| 6 | 322 | 935 | 1257 |
| 7 | 307 | 678 | 985 |
| -8 | 290 | 0 | 290 |
| -9 | 9 | 14 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| 2 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| 3 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 4 | 16.6 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 21.5 | 18.2 | 20.7 |
| 5 | 18.8 | 21.4 | 22.2 | 21.9 | 21.0 | 21.7 |
| 6 | 15.7 | 18.2 | 24.2 | 21.8 | 21.3 | 20.6 |
| 7 | 14.9 | 12.3 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 15.0 |
| -8 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 5.1 |
| -9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

defsppr_dem

Label: 7pt scale defense spending Dem Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-6
Description: Defense spending level placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepq i]) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Logic: Randomize the order of defense spending placements for the Democratic Party and Republican Party.
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR DEFSPSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 133 | 423 | 556 |
| 2 | 251 | 730 | 981 |
| 3 | 372 | 856 | 1228 |
| 4 | 526 | 1023 | 1549 |
| 5 | 282 | 481 | 763 |
| 6 | 161 | 228 | 389 |
| 7 | 109 | 105 | 214 |
| -8 | 214 | 0 | 214 |
| -9 | 6 | 14 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 9.1 |
| 2 | 12.2 | 15.8 | 18.9 | 18.3 | 16.6 | 17.4 |
| 3 | 18.1 | 20.4 | 22.2 | 21.9 | 20.8 | 21.4 |
| 4 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 26.2 | 26.4 |
| 5 | 13.7 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 12.9 | 12.5 |
| 6 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
| 7 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.2 |
| -8 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

defsppr_rep

Label: 7pt scale defense spending Rep Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-7
Description: Defense spending level placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepq i]) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR DEFSPSELF.
Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 111 | 120 | 231 |
| 2 | 143 | 207 | 350 |
| 3 | 154 | 303 | 457 |
| 4 | 322 | 724 | 1046 |
| 5 | 400 | 847 | 1247 |
| 6 | 373 | 966 | 1339 |
| 7 | 313 | 678 | 991 |
| -8 | 233 | 0 | 233 |
| -9 | 5 | 15 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 2.9 |
| 2 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.1 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| 4 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 17.7 | 19.4 |
| 5 | 19.5 | 23.2 | 21.9 | 22.8 | 21.1 | 22.9 |
| 6 | 18.2 | 21.9 | 25.0 | 22.8 | 22.6 | 22.5 |
| 7 | 15.2 | 13.3 | 17.6 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 15.3 |
| -8 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| -9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

inspre_self

Label: 7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: self-plmt
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-2
Description: Govt or private health insurance placement
Question: Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item, INSINTRO. Display response options as follows. Title: 'Health Insurance' Left: 'GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN' Right: 'PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 380 | 488 | 868 |
| 2 | 220 | 392 | 612 |
| 3 | 242 | 417 | 659 |
| 4 | 367 | 720 | 1087 |
| 5 | 248 | 493 | 741 |
| 6 | 204 | 571 | 775 |
| 7 | 193 | 536 | 729 |
| -2 | 172 | 242 | 414 |
| -8 | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -9 | 2 | 1 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 18.5 | 13.2 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 14.7 | 12.4 |
| 2 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 9.6 |
| 3 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 10.8 |
| 4 | 17.9 | 16.8 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 18.4 | 17.5 |
| 5 | 12.1 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 13.3 |
| 6 | 9.9 | 13.5 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 14.7 |
| 7 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 13.7 |
| -2 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 7.4 |
| -8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

inspre_dpc

Label: 7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Dem Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-4
Description: Govt or private health insurance placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepg j]) Where would you place[preload: DEM PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Logic: Randomize the order of the govt-private health insurance placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE INSEL.
Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 769 | 1666 | 2435 |
| 2 | 375 | 805 | 1180 |
| 3 | 256 | 487 | 743 |
| 4 | 255 | 437 | 692 |
| 5 | 100 | 190 | 290 |
| 6 | 92 | 149 | 241 |
| 7 | 109 | 116 | 225 |
| -8 | 93 | 0 | 93 |
| -9 | 5 | 10 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 37.4 | 41.0 | 43.2 | 42.8 | 41.2 | 42.2 |
| 2 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 20.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 19.6 |
| 3 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| 4 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| 5 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 6 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| 7 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| -8 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| -9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

inspre_rpc

Label: 7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Rep Pres cxand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-5
Description: Govt or private health insurance placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepg j]) Where would you place[preload: REP PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE INSSELF.
Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at
Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 63 | 114 | 177 |
| 2 | 57 | 120 | 177 |
| 3 | 103 | 194 | 297 |
| 4 | 234 | 570 | 804 |
| 5 | 301 | 606 | 907 |
| 6 | 383 | 962 | 1345 |
| 7 | 711 | 1281 | 1992 |
| -8 | 195 | 0 | 195 |
| -9 | 7 | 13 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| 2 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| 3 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.4 |
| 4 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 14.8 | 16.7 | 13.6 | 15.3 |
| 5 | 14.7 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.3 | 16.6 |
| 6 | 18.6 | 21.1 | 24.9 | 23.6 | 22.7 | 22.7 |
| 7 | 34.6 | 29.0 | 33.2 | 32.1 | 33.7 | 31.0 |
| -8 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

inspre_dem

Label: 7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Dem Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-6
Description: Govt or private health insurance placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepg j]) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Logic: Randomize the order of health insurance placements of Democratic Party and Republican Party
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE INSEL.
Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 452 | 1075 | 1527 |
| 2 | 433 | 1063 | 1496 |
| 3 | 365 | 683 | 1048 |
| 4 | 348 | 635 | 983 |
| 5 | 123 | 195 | 318 |
| 6 | 80 | 134 | 214 |
| 7 | 73 | 60 | 133 |
| -8 | 173 | 0 | 173 |
| -9 | 7 | 15 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 22.0 | 24.6 | 27.8 | 26.4 | 25.8 | 25.8 |
| 2 | 21.1 | 23.9 | 27.5 | 26.7 | 25.3 | 25.7 |
| 3 | 17.8 | 18.9 | 17.7 | 18.1 | 17.7 | 18.4 |
| 4 | 16.9 | 14.5 | 16.5 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 16.6 |
| 5 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| 6 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| 7 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| -8 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

inspre_rep

Label: 7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Rep Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-7
Description: Govt or private health insurance placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepq j]) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE INSSELF.
Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 54 | 100 | 154 |
| 2 | 54 | 114 | 168 |
| 3 | 101 | 147 | 248 |
| 4 | 245 | 557 | 802 |
| 5 | 328 | 649 | 977 |
| 6 | 441 | 1002 | 1443 |
| 7 | 613 | 1277 | 1890 |
| -8 | 210 | 0 | 210 |
| -9 | 8 | 14 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| 2 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 3 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| 4 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 14.4 | 16.5 | 13.6 | 15.2 |
| 5 | 16.0 | 18.1 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 17.3 |
| 6 | 21.5 | 23.8 | 26.0 | 26.3 | 24.4 | 25.4 |
| 7 | 29.8 | 28.1 | 33.1 | 30.7 | 32.0 | 29.8 |
| -8 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| -9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

gun_control

Label: Should fed govt make it more difficult to buy a gun
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45.1-1
 Description: Position on ease of gun access
 Question: Do you think the federal government should make it MORE DIFFICULT for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it EASIER for people to buy a gun, or KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME as they are now?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. More difficult | 1019 | 1878 | 2897 |
| 2. Easier | 82 | 240 | 322 |
| 3. Keep these rules about the same | 935 | 1735 | 2670 |
| -8. Don't know | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 7 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More difficult | 49.6 | 44.1 | 48.7 | 47.1 | 49.0 | 46.1 |
| 2. Easier | 4.0 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.8 |
| 3. Keep these rules about the same | 45.5 | 50.8 | 44.9 | 46.3 | 45.1 | 47.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

gun_importance

Label: Importance of gun access issue to R
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45.1-3
 Description: Position on ease of gun access
 Question: How important is this issue to you personally? [EXTREMELY important, VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT TOO important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL / NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important/ EXTREMELY important, VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT TOO important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL]?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 634 | 161 | 795 |
| 2. Very important | 610 | 598 | 1208 |
| 3. Somewhat important | 525 | 1297 | 1822 |
| 4. Not too important | 187 | 1079 | 1266 |
| 5. Not important at all | 89 | 722 | 811 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 30.9 | 28.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 13.4 | 12.7 |
| 2. Very important | 29.7 | 28.0 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 20.4 | 20.8 |
| 3. Somewhat important | 25.6 | 27.9 | 33.6 | 34.0 | 30.8 | 31.9 |
| 4. Not too important | 9.1 | 11.6 | 28.0 | 26.0 | 21.4 | 21.0 |
| 5. Not important at all | 4.3 | 3.9 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 13.7 | 13.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

guarpr_self

Label: 7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: self-placement
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-2
Description: Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepg k]) Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic: Online, use the following response options. Title: 'Jobs and Good Standard of Living' Left: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING' Right: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 308 | 301 | 609 |
| 2 | 180 | 356 | 536 |
| 3 | 211 | 497 | 708 |
| 4 | 397 | 859 | 1256 |
| 5 | 291 | 552 | 843 |
| 6 | 226 | 613 | 839 |
| 7 | 210 | 475 | 685 |
| -2 | 213 | 206 | 419 |
| -8 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9 | 6 | 1 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 15.0 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 10.3 | 8.1 |
| 2 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 8.5 |
| 3 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 11.7 |
| 4 | 19.3 | 20.5 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 21.2 | 21.6 |
| 5 | 14.2 | 16.3 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 14.3 | 14.6 |
| 6 | 11.0 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 15.6 |
| 7 | 10.2 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 12.3 |
| -2 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 |
| -8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

guarpr_dpc

Label: 7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Dem Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-4
Description: Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepq k]) Where would you place [preload: DEM PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Logic: Randomize the order of the guaranteed jobs and living placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR GUARSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 490 | 954 | 1444 |
| 2 | 396 | 867 | 1263 |
| 3 | 379 | 786 | 1165 |
| 4 | 361 | 744 | 1105 |
| 5 | 131 | 279 | 410 |
| 6 | 72 | 121 | 193 |
| 7 | 81 | 97 | 178 |
| -8 | 137 | 0 | 137 |
| -9 | 7 | 12 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 23.9 | 21.3 | 24.7 | 24.2 | 24.4 | 23.2 |
| 2 | 19.3 | 20.9 | 22.5 | 22.2 | 21.4 | 21.7 |
| 3 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 19.5 |
| 4 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 19.3 | 20.2 | 18.7 | 19.4 |
| 5 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| 6 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 7 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| -8 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

guarpr_rpc

Label: 7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Rep Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-5
Description: Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepq k]) Where would you place [preload: REP PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 63 | 100 | 163 |
| 2 | 37 | 144 | 181 |
| 3 | 91 | 193 | 284 |
| 4 | 206 | 557 | 763 |
| 5 | 301 | 591 | 892 |
| 6 | 460 | 1012 | 1472 |
| 7 | 695 | 1251 | 1946 |
| -8 | 194 | 0 | 194 |
| -9 | 7 | 12 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 3 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 5.3 |
| 4 | 10.0 | 10.7 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 12.9 | 13.9 |
| 5 | 14.7 | 16.4 | 15.3 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 15.6 |
| 6 | 22.4 | 26.7 | 26.2 | 25.6 | 24.9 | 26.0 |
| 7 | 33.8 | 28.6 | 32.4 | 30.5 | 32.9 | 29.8 |
| -8 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

guarpr_dem

Label: 7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Dem Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-6
Description: Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepg k]) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Logic: Randomize the order of guaranteed jobs placements of Democratic Party and Republican Party
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR GUARSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 361 | 809 | 1170 |
| 2 | 424 | 979 | 1403 |
| 3 | 405 | 801 | 1206 |
| 4 | 402 | 801 | 1203 |
| 5 | 134 | 254 | 388 |
| 6 | 70 | 126 | 196 |
| 7 | 58 | 75 | 133 |
| -8 | 193 | 0 | 193 |
| -9 | 7 | 15 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 17.6 | 15.3 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 19.8 | 18.4 |
| 2 | 20.6 | 23.8 | 25.4 | 24.4 | 23.7 | 24.2 |
| 3 | 19.7 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 20.5 |
| 4 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 22.1 | 20.3 | 21.0 |
| 5 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| 6 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| -8 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

guarpr_rep

Label: 7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Rep Party
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-7
Description: Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question: (Still looking at Page [preload: prepq k]) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization: Order of parties
Web Logic: Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR GUARSELF.
Omit the parenthetical question text referring to ♦ Still looking at Page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 53 | 78 | 131 |
| 2 | 60 | 145 | 205 |
| 3 | 74 | 166 | 240 |
| 4 | 252 | 622 | 874 |
| 5 | 326 | 641 | 967 |
| 6 | 474 | 998 | 1472 |
| 7 | 607 | 1196 | 1803 |
| -8 | 201 | 0 | 201 |
| -9 | 7 | 14 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| 2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| 3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 4 | 12.3 | 12.9 | 16.1 | 17.8 | 14.8 | 16.1 |
| 5 | 15.9 | 18.8 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 16.4 | 17.5 |
| 6 | 23.1 | 25.1 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 24.9 | 25.4 |
| 7 | 29.6 | 25.4 | 31.0 | 28.6 | 30.5 | 27.5 |
| -8 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

immig_policy

Label: U.S. government policy toward unauthorized immigrants
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.15-2
Description: Government policy on illegal immigrants
Question: Please look at page [preload: prepq v] of the booklet. Which comes closest to your view about what government policy should be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the United States? You can just tell me the number of your choice.
Web Logic: Online, use this text as the stem: ♦Which comes closest to your view about what government policy should be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the United States?♦
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and send them back to their home country. | 298 | 711 | 1009 |
| 2. Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain . | 307 | 662 | 969 |
| 3. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States ...certain requirements | 1195 | 2199 | 3394 |
| 4. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States ...without penalties | 223 | 272 | 495 |
| -8. Don't know | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 16 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and send them back to their home country. | 14.5 | 16.4 | 18.4 | 21.4 | 17.1 | 19.7 |
| 2. Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain . | 14.9 | 18.1 | 17.2 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 16.7 |
| 3. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States ...certain requirements | 58.2 | 55.8 | 57.0 | 55.1 | 57.4 | 55.4 |
| 4. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States ...without penalties | 10.9 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 7.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

immig_citizen

Label: Opinion on proposal to allow citizenship to some illegal aliens
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.15-3
 Description: Government policy on illegal immigrants
 Question: There is a proposal to allow people who were illegally brought into the U.S. as children to become permanent U.S. residents under some circumstances. Specifically, citizens of other countries who illegally entered the U.S. before age 16, who have lived in the U.S. 5 years or longer, and who graduated high school would be allowed to stay in the U.S. as permanent residents if they attend college or serve in the military. From what you have heard, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose this proposal?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1312 | 2019 | 3331 | |
| 2. Oppose | 280 | 741 | 1021 | |
| 3. Neither favor or oppose | 434 | 1098 | 1532 | |
| -8. Don't know | 20 | 0 | 20 | |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 2 | 10 | |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 63.9 | 63.0 | 52.3 | 48.7 | 56.3 | 53.7 |
| 2. Oppose | 13.6 | 16.1 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 17.3 | 19.0 |
| 3. Neither favor or oppose | 21.1 | 19.8 | 28.4 | 30.7 | 25.9 | 26.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

immig_checks

Label: Opinion on laws to allow immigr status checks on suspects
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.15-4
Description: Government policy on illegal immigrants
Question: Some states have passed a law that will require state and local police to determine the immigration status of a person if they find that there is a reasonable suspicion he or she is an undocumented immigrant. Those found to be in the U.S. without permission will have broken state law. From what you have heard, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose these immigration laws?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 906 | 1964 | 2870 |
| 2. Oppose | 692 | 1060 | 1752 |
| 3. Neither Favor or oppose | 401 | 830 | 1231 |
| -8. Don't know | 49 | 0 | 49 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 6 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 44.1 | 52.9 | 50.9 | 52.2 | 48.5 | 52.4 |
| 2. Oppose | 33.7 | 25.3 | 27.5 | 25.0 | 29.6 | 25.1 |
| 3. Neither Favor or oppose | 19.5 | 18.8 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 20.8 | 21.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

aidblack_self

Label: 7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: self-placemt
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.2-2
Description: Aid to blacks placements
Question: Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic: Online, bank with the previous item and use the following response option labels. Title: 'Government Help to Blacks' Left: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS' Right: 'BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 215 | 145 | 360 |
| 2 | 95 | 174 | 269 |
| 3 | 164 | 294 | 458 |
| 4 | 436 | 885 | 1321 |
| 5 | 223 | 447 | 670 |
| 6 | 215 | 642 | 857 |
| 7 | 383 | 870 | 1253 |
| -2 | 275 | 400 | 675 |
| -8 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| -9 | 28 | 3 | 31 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 10.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 4.0 |
| 2 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
| 3 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 6.9 |
| 4 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 22.9 | 20.8 | 22.3 | 21.0 |
| 5 | 10.9 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 11.8 |
| 6 | 10.5 | 13.5 | 16.6 | 17.2 | 14.5 | 15.9 |
| 7 | 18.6 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 24.6 | 21.2 | 23.5 |
| -2 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 12.2 |
| -8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

aidblack_dpc

Label: 7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: Dem Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.2-5
Description: Aid to blacks placements
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq m] of the booklet.) Where would you place [preload: DEM PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands
Logic: Randomize the order of Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate in 7pt scale for aid to blacks
Web Logic: Online, omit the parenthetical text about the booklet page and use the response options from AIDBSELF.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 463 | 1031 | 1494 |
| 2 | 303 | 645 | 948 |
| 3 | 326 | 653 | 979 |
| 4 | 430 | 990 | 1420 |
| 5 | 145 | 254 | 399 |
| 6 | 68 | 137 | 205 |
| 7 | 96 | 130 | 226 |
| -8 | 205 | 0 | 205 |
| -9 | 18 | 20 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 22.5 | 20.3 | 26.7 | 26.5 | 25.3 | 24.4 |
| 2 | 14.8 | 17.1 | 16.7 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 17.3 |
| 3 | 15.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 16.5 |
| 4 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 24.0 | 23.4 |
| 5 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 6 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| 7 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| -8 | 10.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| -9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

aidblack_rpc

Label: 7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: Rep Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.2-6
Description: Aid to blacks placements
Question: (Still looking at page [preload: prepq m] of the booklet.) Where would you place [preload: REP PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization: Order of Pres cans
Web Logic: Online, omit the parenthetical text about the booklet page and use the response options from AIDBSELF.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 46 | 61 | 107 |
| 2 | 27 | 58 | 85 |
| 3 | 72 | 130 | 202 |
| 4 | 306 | 903 | 1209 |
| 5 | 253 | 622 | 875 |
| 6 | 325 | 875 | 1200 |
| 7 | 670 | 1185 | 1855 |
| -8 | 336 | 0 | 336 |
| -9 | 19 | 26 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| 4 | 14.9 | 16.7 | 23.4 | 25.0 | 20.4 | 22.1 |
| 5 | 12.3 | 14.9 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 14.8 | 16.1 |
| 6 | 15.8 | 17.5 | 22.7 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 20.2 |
| 7 | 32.6 | 25.6 | 30.7 | 29.2 | 31.4 | 27.9 |
| -8 | 16.4 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| -9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

envjob_self

Label: 7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff self-placement
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.7-2
Description: Environment-jobs tradeoff
Question: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item, INSINTRO. Display response option labels as follows. Title: 'Environmental Regulation/Jobs' Left: 'Regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' Right: 'No regulation because it will not work and will cost jobs' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 498 | 663 | 1161 |
| 2 | 330 | 632 | 962 |
| 3 | 285 | 520 | 805 |
| 4 | 250 | 679 | 929 |
| 5 | 132 | 391 | 523 |
| 6 | 90 | 293 | 383 |
| 7 | 65 | 177 | 242 |
| -2 | 376 | 504 | 880 |
| -8 | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -9 | 2 | 1 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 24.2 | 18.5 | 17.2 | 16.6 | 19.6 | 17.3 |
| 2 | 16.1 | 16.8 | 16.4 | 14.9 | 16.3 | 15.5 |
| 3 | 13.9 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 13.9 |
| 4 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 15.7 | 16.3 |
| 5 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 9.6 |
| 6 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| 7 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 4.6 |
| -2 | 18.3 | 15.7 | 13.1 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 15.6 |
| -8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

envjob_dpc

Label: 7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff Dem Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.7-3
Description: Environment-jobs tradeoff
Question: Where would you place [preload: dem pcname] on this scale?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands
Logic: Randomize the order of the Presidential candidate placements after self-placement.
Web Logic: Online, use the response scale from ENVJOBSELF.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 551 | 1022 | 1573 |
| 2 | 473 | 966 | 1439 |
| 3 | 337 | 786 | 1123 |
| 4 | 271 | 743 | 1014 |
| 5 | 77 | 162 | 239 |
| 6 | 50 | 102 | 152 |
| 7 | 40 | 61 | 101 |
| -8 | 247 | 0 | 247 |
| -9 | 8 | 18 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 26.8 | 22.5 | 26.5 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 24.8 |
| 2 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 24.0 | 24.3 | 24.3 |
| 3 | 16.4 | 17.4 | 20.4 | 19.1 | 19.0 | 18.5 |
| 4 | 13.2 | 13.7 | 19.2 | 21.0 | 17.1 | 18.5 |
| 5 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.4 |
| 6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 7 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| -8 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| -9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

envjob_rpc

Label: 7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff Rep Pres cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.7-4
Description: Environment-jobs tradeoff
Question: Where would you place [preload: rep pcname] on this scale?
Randomization: Order of Pres cands
Web Logic: Online, use the response scale from ENVJOBSELF.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 81 | 120 | 201 |
| 2 | 86 | 150 | 236 |
| 3 | 153 | 256 | 409 |
| 4 | 306 | 891 | 1197 |
| 5 | 303 | 692 | 995 |
| 6 | 350 | 840 | 1190 |
| 7 | 414 | 893 | 1307 |
| -8 | 349 | 0 | 349 |
| -9 | 12 | 18 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 3 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.4 |
| 4 | 14.9 | 17.2 | 23.1 | 25.3 | 20.2 | 22.5 |
| 5 | 14.8 | 16.2 | 17.9 | 17.8 | 16.8 | 17.3 |
| 6 | 17.0 | 18.2 | 21.8 | 20.3 | 20.1 | 19.6 |
| 7 | 20.2 | 15.8 | 23.1 | 21.1 | 22.1 | 19.3 |
| -8 | 17.0 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| -9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

aa_uni

Label: Does R favor or oppose affirmative action in universities
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-7
 Description: Affirmative action at universities and at work
 Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to increase the number of black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 447 | 536 | 983 |
| 2. Oppose | 1021 | 1968 | 2989 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 503 | 1353 | 1856 |
| -8. Don't know | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 3 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 21.8 | 16.8 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 16.6 | 13.8 |
| 2. Oppose | 49.7 | 56.2 | 51.0 | 52.1 | 50.5 | 53.5 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 24.5 | 23.4 | 35.1 | 35.5 | 31.4 | 31.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

aa_unifav

Label: How much does R favor affirmative action in universities
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-8
 Description: Affirmative action at universities and at work
 Question: Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
 Universe: IF R FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT UNIVERSITIES:
 Logic: Ask if AFFPRE AAUNI =1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 210 | 177 | 387 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 172 | 266 | 438 |
| 3. A little | 64 | 93 | 157 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1607 | 3324 | 4931 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 10.2 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.6 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 8.4 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| 3. A little | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.2 | 83.2 | 86.1 | 87.8 | 83.4 | 86.2 |

aa_uniopp

Label: How much does R oppose affirmative action in universities
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-8.2
 Description: Affirmative action at universities and at work
 Question: Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT UNIVERSITIES:
 Logic: Ask if AFFPRE AAUNI =2, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 595 | 1092 | 1687 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 323 | 676 | 999 |
| 3. A little | 100 | 200 | 300 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1033 | 1892 | 2925 |
| -4. Error | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 29.0 | 33.9 | 28.3 | 29.1 | 28.5 | 30.8 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 15.7 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 17.3 |
| 3. A little | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 50.3 | 43.8 | 49.0 | 47.9 | 49.5 | 46.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

aa_uni_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Favor/oppose affirmative action in universities

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 210 | 177 | 387 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 172 | 266 | 438 |
| 3. Favor a little | 64 | 93 | 157 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 503 | 1353 | 1856 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 100 | 200 | 300 |
| 6. Oppose moderately | 323 | 676 | 999 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 595 | 1092 | 1687 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -8. Don't know | 65 | 0 | 65 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 3 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 10.2 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.6 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 8.4 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| 3. Favor a little | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 24.5 | 23.4 | 35.1 | 35.5 | 31.4 | 31.3 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| 6. Oppose moderately | 15.7 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 17.3 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 29.0 | 33.9 | 28.3 | 29.1 | 28.5 | 30.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

aa_work

Label: Does R favor or oppose affirmative action at work
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-9
 Description: Affirmative action at universities and at work
 Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing companies to increase the number of black workers by considering race along with other factors when choosing employees?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 419 | 462 | 881 |
| 2. Oppose | 1060 | 2046 | 3106 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 504 | 1350 | 1854 |
| -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 2 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 20.4 | 15.2 | 12.0 | 10.6 | 14.9 | 12.2 |
| 2. Oppose | 51.6 | 59.5 | 53.0 | 54.8 | 52.5 | 56.5 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 24.5 | 22.5 | 35.0 | 34.4 | 31.3 | 30.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

aa_workfav

Label: How much does R favor affirmative action at work
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-10
 Description: Affirmative action at universities and at work
 Question: Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
 Universe: IF R FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT WORK:
 Logic: Ask if AFFPRE AAWORK =1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 189 | 159 | 348 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 176 | 220 | 396 |
| 3. A little | 53 | 83 | 136 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1635 | 3398 | 5033 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 9.2 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 8.6 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 5.6 |
| 3. A little | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 79.6 | 84.8 | 88.0 | 89.4 | 85.1 | 87.8 |

aa_workopp

Label: How much does R oppose affirmative action at work
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-10.2
 Description: Affirmative action at universities and at work
 Question: Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT WORK:
 Logic: Ask if AFFPRE AAWORK =2, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 635 | 1233 | 1868 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 334 | 662 | 996 |
| 3. A little | 90 | 151 | 241 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 994 | 1814 | 2808 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 30.9 | 36.6 | 31.9 | 33.0 | 31.6 | 34.3 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 16.3 | 17.6 | 17.2 | 17.8 | 16.8 | 17.7 |
| 3. A little | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 48.4 | 40.5 | 47.0 | 45.2 | 47.5 | 43.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

aa_work_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Favor/oppose affirmative action at work

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 189 | 159 | 348 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 176 | 220 | 396 |
| 3. Favor a little | 53 | 83 | 136 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 504 | 1350 | 1854 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 90 | 151 | 241 |
| 6. Oppose moderately | 334 | 662 | 996 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 635 | 1233 | 1868 |
| -8. Don't know | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 2 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 9.2 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 8.6 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 5.6 |
| 3. Favor a little | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 24.5 | 22.5 | 35.0 | 34.4 | 31.3 | 30.3 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| 6. Oppose moderately | 16.3 | 17.6 | 17.2 | 17.8 | 16.8 | 17.7 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 30.9 | 36.6 | 31.9 | 33.0 | 31.6 | 34.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

fedspend_ss

Label: Federal Budget Spending: Social Security
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-4
Description: Federal spending levels on programs
Question: (What about) SOCIAL SECURITY (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Logic: Randomly assign R to be administered the question with either 'THE SAME' or 'ABOUT THE SAME' in the question text and in the last response option; record the value of the assignment in variable FEDSPENDABT: 1='ABOUT THE SAME', 2='THE SAME'. All FEDSPEND items assigned for same value of FEDSPENDABT.
Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|--------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| | 1. Increased | 1267 | 1700 | 2967 |
| | 2. Decreased | 95 | 268 | 363 |
| 3. | <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 637 | 1883 | 2520 |
| | -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| | -9. Refused | 5 | 9 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 61.7 | 53.6 | 44.0 | 44.8 | 50.2 | 47.8 |
| 2. Decreased | 4.6 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 31.0 | 38.3 | 48.8 | 47.4 | 42.6 | 44.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

fedspend_schools

Label: Federal Budget Spending: public schools
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-6
 Description: Federal spending levels on programs
 Question: (What about) PUBLIC SCHOOLS (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
 Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
 Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME' / IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
 Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | 1603 | 2182 | 3785 |
| 2. Decreased | 93 | 496 | 589 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 336 | 1171 | 1507 |
| -8. Don't know | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 11 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 78.0 | 71.3 | 56.5 | 58.0 | 64.0 | 62.6 |
| 2. Decreased | 4.5 | 6.0 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 16.4 | 21.4 | 30.3 | 29.5 | 25.5 | 26.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

fedspend_scitech

Label: Federal Budget Spending: science and technology
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-8
 Description: Federal spending levels on programs
 Question: (What about) SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
 Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
 Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME' / IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
 Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|--------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Increased | 1193 | 1788 | 2981 |
| 2. | Decreased | 162 | 485 | 647 |
| 3. | <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 646 | 1578 | 2224 |
| | -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| | -9. Refused | 3 | 9 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 58.1 | 55.6 | 46.3 | 41.5 | 50.4 | 46.4 |
| 2. Decreased | 7.9 | 7.9 | 12.6 | 15.2 | 10.9 | 12.7 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 31.5 | 33.8 | 40.9 | 42.9 | 37.6 | 39.7 |
| | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

fedspend_crime

Label: Federal Budget Spending: dealing with crime
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-10
 Description: Federal spending levels on programs
 Question: (What about) DEALING WITH CRIME (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
 Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
 Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME' / IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
 Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | | 1364 | 1781 | 3145 |
| 2. Decreased | | 141 | 349 | 490 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | | 508 | 1718 | 2226 |
| -8. Don't know | | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| -9. Refused | | 3 | 12 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 66.4 | 61.2 | 46.1 | 45.2 | 53.2 | 50.8 |
| 2. Decreased | 6.9 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 8.4 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 24.7 | 29.9 | 44.5 | 44.8 | 37.6 | 39.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

fedspend_welfare

Label: Federal Budget Spending: welfare programs
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-12
 Description: Federal spending levels on programs
 Question: (What about) WELFARE PROGRAMS (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
 Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
 Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME' / IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
 Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | 443 | 473 | 916 |
| 2. Decreased | 732 | 1799 | 2531 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 820 | 1581 | 2401 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 7 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 21.6 | 15.6 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 13.6 |
| 2. Decreased | 35.6 | 41.5 | 46.6 | 47.8 | 42.8 | 45.6 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 39.9 | 39.8 | 41.0 | 39.4 | 40.6 | 39.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

fedspend_child

Label: Federal Budget Spending: child care
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-14
 Description: Federal spending levels on programs
 Question: (What about) CHILD CARE (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
 Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
 Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME' / IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
 Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | 1204 | 1003 | 2207 |
| 2. Decreased | 187 | 946 | 1133 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 609 | 1902 | 2511 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 9 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 58.6 | 49.9 | 26.0 | 25.0 | 37.3 | 33.7 |
| 2. Decreased | 9.1 | 12.7 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 19.2 | 20.4 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 29.6 | 34.5 | 49.3 | 50.1 | 42.5 | 44.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

fedspend_poor

Label: Federal Budget Spending: aid to the poor
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-18
 Description: Federal spending levels on programs
 Question: (What about) AID TO THE POOR (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
 Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
 Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME' / IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
 Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | 1118 | 1218 | 2336 |
| 2. Decreased | 198 | 846 | 1044 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 692 | 1786 | 2478 |
| -8. Don't know | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 10 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 54.4 | 43.2 | 31.6 | 32.1 | 39.5 | 35.9 |
| 2. Decreased | 9.6 | 13.6 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 17.7 | 19.7 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 33.7 | 40.4 | 46.3 | 44.7 | 41.9 | 43.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

fedspend_enviro

Label: Federal Budget Spending: protecting the environment
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-24
 Description: Federal spending levels on programs
 Question: (What about) PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
 Randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
 Universe: IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME' / IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
 Web Logic: Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | | 1203 | 1374 | 2577 |
| 2. Decreased | | 185 | 767 | 952 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | | 644 | 1709 | 2353 |
| -8. Don't know | | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| -9. Refused | | 2 | 10 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 58.6 | 51.7 | 35.6 | 34.8 | 43.6 | 40.7 |
| 2. Decreased | 9.0 | 12.6 | 19.9 | 19.7 | 16.1 | 17.2 |
| 3. <Kept about the same/ Kept the same> | 31.4 | 34.3 | 44.3 | 45.2 | 39.8 | 41.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

candrel_dpc

Label: What is the religion of the Democratic Presidential cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.3-1
Description: Political knowledge: religion of Presidential candidates
Question: Now we would like to ask you some questions about the religion of the presidential candidates. Would you say that [preload: dem pcname] is Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, Mormon, some other religion, or is he not religious?
Logic: Load name of Democratic Presidential candidate.
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box for option 95 in place of ♦SPECIFY.♦
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 01. Protestant | 423 | 1355 | 1778 |
| 02. Catholic | 146 | 342 | 488 |
| 03. Jewish | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| 04. Muslim | 334 | 898 | 1232 |
| 05. Mormon | 4 | 21 | 25 |
| 06. Not religious | 189 | 595 | 784 |
| 10. Christian (VOL) | 148 | 185 | 333 |
| 11. Baptist (VOL) | 57 | 35 | 92 |
| 12. Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL) | 12 | 4 | 16 |
| 95. Something else (SPECIFY) | 25 | 281 | 306 |
| -8. Don't know | 676 | 109 | 785 |
| -9. Refused | 39 | 27 | 66 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Protestant | 20.6 | 25.4 | 35.1 | 31.7 | 30.1 | 29.0 |
| 02. Catholic | 7.1 | 6.5 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 8.0 |
| 03. Jewish | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 04. Muslim | 16.3 | 19.9 | 23.3 | 24.7 | 20.8 | 23.0 |
| 05. Mormon | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 06. Not religious | 9.2 | 9.2 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 14.0 |
| 10. Christian (VOL) | 7.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 4.4 |
| 11. Baptist (VOL) | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| 12. Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL) | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 95. Something else (SPECIFY) | 1.2 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 4.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 32.9 | 29.5 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 13.3 | 12.0 |
| -9. Refused | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 |

candrel_dpc_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- What is the religion of the Dem Presidential cand

234 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5250 |
| Christian | 139 |
| christian | 107 |
| Baptist | 37 |
| baptist | 27 |
| CHRISTIAN | 23 |
| not sure | 18 |
| BAPTIST | 12 |
| dont know | 11 |
| don't know | 9 |

candrel_rpc

Label: What is the religion of the Republican Presidential cand
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.3-2
Description: Political knowledge: religion of Presidential candidates
Question: Would you say that [preload: rep pcname] is Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, Mormon, some other religion, or is he not religious?
Logic: Load name of Republican Presidential candidate.
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box for option 95 in place of ♦SPECIFY.♦
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--|------|------|-------|
| | 01. Protestant | 93 | 220 | 313 |
| | 02. Catholic | 198 | 311 | 509 |
| | 03. Jewish | 18 | 34 | 52 |
| | 04. Muslim | 3 | 8 | 11 |
| | 05. Mormon | 1041 | 2923 | 3964 |
| | 06. Not religious | 112 | 182 | 294 |
| | 10. Christian (VOL) | 27 | 15 | 42 |
| 12. | Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL) | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| | 95. Something else (SPECIFY) | 13 | 84 | 97 |
| | -8. Don't know | 514 | 56 | 570 |
| | -9. Refused | 33 | 26 | 59 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Protestant | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| 02. Catholic | 9.6 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 9.3 |
| 03. Jewish | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 04. Muslim | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 05. Mormon | 50.7 | 60.1 | 75.7 | 72.7 | 67.0 | 68.0 |
| 06. Not religious | 5.5 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 10. Christian (VOL) | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 12. Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 95. Something else (SPECIFY) | 0.6 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 25.0 | 19.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 9.6 | 7.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

candrel_rpc_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- What is the religion of the DemoPresidential cand

79 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5768 |
| christian | 18 |
| Christian | 16 |
| not sure | 9 |
| dont know | 8 |
| dk | 4 |
| don't know | 4 |
| Don't know | 4 |
| CHRISTIAN | 3 |
| do not know | 3 |

trustgov_trustgrev

Label: [REV] How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-2
Description: Trust in government
Question: How often can you trust the federal government in Washington to do what is right? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 11 | 17 | 28 |
| 2. Most of the time | 160 | 179 | 339 |
| 3. About half the time | 294 | 474 | 768 |
| 4. Some of the time | 446 | 995 | 1441 |
| 5. Never | 78 | 218 | 296 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Most of the time | 7.8 | 7.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 4.9 |
| 3. About half the time | 14.3 | 14.5 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 13.6 |
| 4. Some of the time | 21.7 | 23.9 | 25.8 | 26.4 | 24.4 | 25.5 |
| 5. Never | 3.8 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

trustgov_trustgstd

Label: [STD] How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-3
Description: Trust in government
Question: How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- [JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or ONLY SOME OF THE TIME / ONLY SOME OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or JUST ABOUT ALWAYS]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip Assignment to forward/reverse ordering applies only to the options read aloud in the question text;
Web Logic: Online, omit response option 4.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Just about always | 46 | 44 | 90 |
| 2. Most of the time | 234 | 393 | 627 |
| 3. Only some of the time | 694 | 1534 | 2228 |
| 4. Never (VOL) | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1006 | 1885 | 2891 |
| -8. Don't know | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Just about always | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| 2. Most of the time | 11.4 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 9.9 |
| 3. Only some of the time | 33.8 | 35.8 | 39.7 | 39.4 | 37.7 | 38.1 |
| 4. Never (VOL) | 2.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.0 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

trustgov_bigintrst

Label: Govt run by a few big interests or for benefit of all
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-4
Description: Trust in government
Question: Would you say the government is pretty much RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS looking out for themselves or that it is run FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Run by a few big interests | 1405 | 3197 | 4602 |
| 2. For the benefit of all the people | 523 | 645 | 1168 |
| -8. Don't know | 109 | 0 | 109 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 18 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Run by a few big interests | 68.4 | 71.0 | 82.8 | 82.6 | 77.8 | 78.6 |
| 2. For the benefit of all the people | 25.5 | 23.3 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 19.7 | 19.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

trustgov_waste

Label: Does government waste much tax money
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-5
Description: Trust in government
Question: Do you think that people in government [WASTE A LOT of the money we pay in taxes, WASTE SOME of it, or DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of it / DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of the money we pay in taxes, WASTE SOME of it, or WASTE A LOT of it]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Waste a lot | 1353 | 2730 | 4083 |
| 2. Waste some | 600 | 994 | 1594 |
| 3. Don't waste very much | 59 | 129 | 188 |
| -8. Don't know | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 7 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Waste a lot | 65.9 | 69.8 | 70.7 | 70.8 | 69.0 | 70.5 |
| 2. Waste some | 29.2 | 26.9 | 25.8 | 25.4 | 27.0 | 25.9 |
| 3. Don't waste very much | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

trustgov_corrpt

Label: How many in government are corrupt
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-6
Description: Trust in government
Question: How many of the people running the government are corrupt? [All, most, about half, a few, or none? / None, a few, about half, most, or all?]
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. All | 94 | 124 | 218 |
| 2. Most | 447 | 980 | 1427 |
| 3. About half | 600 | 1231 | 1831 |
| 4. A few | 782 | 1479 | 2261 |
| 5. None | 27 | 35 | 62 |
| -8. Don't know | 97 | 0 | 97 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 11 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. All | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| 2. Most | 21.8 | 23.0 | 25.4 | 26.9 | 24.1 | 25.6 |
| 3. About half | 29.2 | 29.7 | 31.9 | 32.3 | 31.0 | 31.4 |
| 4. A few | 38.1 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 35.5 | 38.2 | 36.4 |
| 5. None | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

trust_social

Label: How often can people be trusted
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.65-2
 Description: Social trust
 Question: Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 18 | 21 | 39 |
| 2. Most of the time | 691 | 1537 | 2228 |
| 3. About half the time | 503 | 1073 | 1576 |
| 4. Some of the time | 688 | 1083 | 1771 |
| 5. Never | 141 | 140 | 281 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 6 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 2. Most of the time | 33.6 | 43.7 | 39.8 | 36.3 | 37.7 | 38.8 |
| 3. About half the time | 24.5 | 24.2 | 27.8 | 29.3 | 26.6 | 27.5 |
| 4. Some of the time | 33.5 | 26.9 | 28.1 | 29.5 | 29.9 | 28.6 |
| 5. Never | 6.9 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

respons_elections

Label: Elections make govt pay attention
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.7-12
Description: Government responsiveness
Question: How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think? [A GOOD DEAL, SOME, or NOT MUCH / NOT MUCH, SOME, or A GOOD DEAL]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A good deal | 867 | 857 | 1724 |
| 2. Some | 840 | 2054 | 2894 |
| 3. Not much | 330 | 942 | 1272 |
| -8. Don't know | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A good deal | 42.2 | 41.3 | 22.2 | 20.6 | 29.2 | 27.8 |
| 2. Some | 40.9 | 42.5 | 53.2 | 53.8 | 48.9 | 49.9 |
| 3. Not much | 16.1 | 15.7 | 24.4 | 25.3 | 21.5 | 22.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

econcand_dwin

Label: Economy better or worse if Dem Pres cand wins
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.1-1
Description: Effect of Pres cand win on economy
Question: If [preload: dem pcname] wins the election, do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to GET BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Get better | 1120 | 1667 | 2787 |
| 2. Stay about the same | 492 | 833 | 1325 |
| 3. Get worse | 403 | 1350 | 1753 |
| -8. Don't know | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 10 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Get better | 54.5 | 43.1 | 43.2 | 40.7 | 47.1 | 41.5 |
| 2. Stay about the same | 24.0 | 26.6 | 21.6 | 23.6 | 22.4 | 24.7 |
| 3. Get worse | 19.6 | 28.6 | 35.0 | 35.2 | 29.6 | 32.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

econcand_rwin

Label: Economy better or worse if Rep Pres cand wins
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.1-3
Description: Effect of Pres cand win on economy
Question: If [preload: rep pcname] wins the election, do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to GET BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Get better | 584 | 1485 | 2069 |
| 2. Stay about the same | 562 | 920 | 1482 |
| 3. Get worse | 800 | 1440 | 2240 |
| -8. Don't know | 101 | 0 | 101 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 15 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Get better | 28.4 | 39.4 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 35.0 | 38.7 |
| 2. Stay about the same | 27.4 | 27.8 | 23.8 | 23.9 | 25.1 | 25.2 |
| 3. Get worse | 38.9 | 28.1 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 37.9 | 34.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

envir_drill

Label: Favor or oppose increased U.S. offshore drilling
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-1
Description: Environmental policy and conditions
Question: Now on another topic. Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE increased offshore drilling for oil and natural gas in U.S. waters?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | | 1029 | 2049 | 3078 |
| 2. Oppose | | 439 | 624 | 1063 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | | 472 | 1180 | 1652 |
| -8. Don't know | | 108 | 0 | 108 |
| -9. Refused | | 6 | 7 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 50.1 | 57.6 | 53.1 | 51.3 | 52.0 | 53.5 |
| 2. Oppose | 21.4 | 19.4 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 18.0 | 17.3 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 23.0 | 19.0 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 27.9 | 27.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

envir_nuke

Label: Should US have more or fewer nuclear power plants
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-3
Description: Environmental policy and conditions
Question: Do you think the United States should have MORE nuclear power plants, FEWER nuclear power plants, or the same number it has now?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. More | 383 | 1294 | 1677 |
| 2. Fewer | 493 | 833 | 1326 |
| 3. The same number | 1032 | 1713 | 2745 |
| -8. Don't know | 139 | 0 | 139 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 20 | 27 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More | 18.6 | 24.3 | 33.5 | 31.6 | 28.4 | 29.1 |
| 2. Fewer | 24.0 | 23.1 | 21.6 | 23.0 | 22.4 | 23.0 |
| 3. The same number | 50.2 | 46.1 | 44.4 | 44.7 | 46.4 | 45.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.8 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

envir_gwarm

Label: Is global warming happening or not
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-6
Description: Environmental policy and conditions
Question: You may have heard about the idea that the world's temperature may have been going up slowly over the past 100 years. What is your personal opinion on this? Do you think this has probably been happening, or do you think it probably hasn't been happening?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Has probably been happening | 1655 | 3050 | 4705 |
| 2. Probably hasn't been happening | 277 | 794 | 1071 |
| -8. Don't know | 118 | 0 | 118 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 16 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Has probably been happening | 80.6 | 79.2 | 79.0 | 77.6 | 79.6 | 78.1 |
| 2. Probably hasn't been happening | 13.5 | 16.1 | 20.6 | 21.8 | 18.1 | 19.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.7 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

envir_gwgood

Label: Rising temperatures good or bad
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-7
 Description: Environmental policy and conditions
 Question: [If / Assuming it's happening, if] temperatures continue to go up in the future, would this be good, bad, or neither good nor bad?
 Universe: IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY BEEN OCCURRING/ IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY NOT BEEN OCCURRING OR DK/RF:
 Logic: If ENVIR_GWARM=1, fill question text 'If' else fill question text 'Assuming it's happening, if'.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Good | 41 | 82 | 123 |
| 2. Bad | 1599 | 2693 | 4292 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad | 357 | 1076 | 1433 |
| -8. Don't know | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 9 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Good | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 2. Bad | 77.8 | 76.0 | 69.8 | 68.9 | 72.6 | 71.4 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad | 17.4 | 19.6 | 27.9 | 28.3 | 24.2 | 25.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

envir_gwhow

Label: Anthropogenic climate change
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-9
Description: Environmental policy and conditions
Question: [Do / Assuming it's happening, do] you think a rise in the world's temperatures would be caused mostly by human activity, mostly by natural causes, or about equally by human activity and by natural causes?
Universe: IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY BEEN OCCURRING/ IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY NOT BEEN OCCURRING OR DK/RF:
Logic: If ENVIR_GWARM=1, fill question text 'Do' else fill question text 'Assuming it's happening, do'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Mostly by human activity | 642 | 1342 | 1984 |
| 2. Mostly by natural causes | 320 | 808 | 1128 |
| 3. About equally by human activity and natural causes | 1036 | 1701 | 2737 |
| -8. Don't know | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 9 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Mostly by human activity | 31.3 | 30.6 | 34.8 | 33.8 | 33.5 | 32.7 |
| 2. Mostly by natural causes | 15.6 | 16.8 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 19.1 | 20.2 |
| 3. About equally by human activity and natural causes | 50.4 | 50.6 | 44.1 | 43.7 | 46.3 | 46.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

gayrt_discrev

Label: [REV] Should laws protect gays/lesbians against job discrim
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-3
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE laws to protect gays and lesbians against job discrimination?
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
 Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 754 | 1361 | 2115 |
| 2. Oppose | 175 | 502 | 677 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 22 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 36.7 | 38.1 | 35.3 | 35.5 | 35.8 | 36.4 |
| 2. Oppose | 8.5 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 11.4 | 11.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

gayrt_discstrev

Label: [REV] How mch fav/opp laws protect gays/lesbians job discr
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-4
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: [Do you favor such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you oppose such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?]
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE: IF R FAVORS PROTECTING GAYS AND LESBIANS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION/ IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING GAYS AND LESBIANS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
 Logic: Ask if GAYRT GDISCREV in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT GDISCREV = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT GDISCREV = 2
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 695 | 1174 | 1869 |
| 2. Not strongly | 231 | 688 | 919 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1125 | 1997 | 3122 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 33.8 | 33.2 | 30.4 | 30.8 | 31.6 | 31.6 |
| 2. Not strongly | 11.2 | 13.4 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 15.5 | 16.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 54.8 | 53.3 | 51.7 | 51.2 | 52.8 | 52.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

gayrt_discrev_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY REV version- favor laws against gays/lesbians job discrim

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 583 | 870 | 1453 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 169 | 491 | 660 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 62 | 197 | 259 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 112 | 304 | 416 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 23 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 28.4 | 27.7 | 22.5 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 24.7 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 8.2 | 10.3 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 11.7 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 3.0 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 4.7 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 5.5 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 6.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

gayrt_discstd

Label: [STD] Should laws protect homosexuals against job discrim
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-5
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
 Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 795 | 1425 | 2220 |
| 2. Oppose | 168 | 530 | 698 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1006 | 1885 | 2891 |
| -8. Don't know | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 20 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 38.7 | 39.4 | 36.9 | 36.2 | 37.5 | 37.3 |
| 2. Oppose | 8.2 | 7.0 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 11.8 | 11.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.0 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

gayrt_discstd

Label: [STD] How mch fav/opp laws protect homosexuals job discrim
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-6
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: [Do you favor such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you oppose such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?]
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICES: IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION/ IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
 Logic: Ask if GAYRT GDISCSTD in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT GDISCSTD = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT GDISCSTD = 2
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 727 | 1215 | 1942 |
| 2. Not strongly | 231 | 739 | 970 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1091 | 1905 | 2996 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 35.4 | 34.1 | 31.5 | 30.6 | 32.8 | 31.8 |
| 2. Not strongly | 11.2 | 12.1 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 16.4 | 16.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 53.1 | 53.5 | 49.4 | 50.2 | 50.7 | 51.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

gayrt_discstd_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY STD version- favor laws against gays/lesbians job discrim

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 621 | 923 | 1544 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 171 | 502 | 673 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 60 | 237 | 297 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 106 | 292 | 398 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1006 | 1885 | 2891 |
| -8. Don't know | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 21 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 30.2 | 29.8 | 23.9 | 23.3 | 26.1 | 25.6 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 8.3 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 11.4 | 11.7 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 2.9 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 5.2 | 4.3 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.0 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

gayrt_milrev

Label: [REV] Should gays and lesbians serve in U.S. armed forces
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-7
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: Do you think gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
 Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve | 863 | 1595 | 2458 |
| 2. Gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve | 111 | 276 | 387 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| -9. Refused | 12 | 14 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve | 42.0 | 42.9 | 41.3 | 41.5 | 41.6 | 42.0 |
| 2. Gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve | 5.4 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

gayrt_milstrev

Label: [REV] Strength favor/opp allow gays serve in armed forces
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-8
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: [Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve? / Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve?]
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE: IF R SAYS ALLOW GAYS AND LESBIANS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES/ IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW GAYS AND LESBIANS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:
 Logic: Ask if GAYRT GMILREV in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT GMILREV = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT GMILREV = 2
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 769 | 1305 | 2074 |
| 2. Not strongly | 192 | 564 | 756 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1080 | 1989 | 3069 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 37.4 | 36.2 | 33.8 | 33.9 | 35.1 | 34.7 |
| 2. Not strongly | 9.3 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 15.1 | 12.8 | 13.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 52.6 | 51.8 | 51.5 | 50.9 | 51.9 | 51.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

gayrt_milrev_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY REV version- allow gays/lesbians serve in US armed forces

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve | 691 | 1103 | 1794 |
| 2. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve | 161 | 490 | 651 |
| 4. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve | 31 | 74 | 105 |
| 5. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve | 78 | 202 | 280 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1048 | 1975 | 3023 |
| -8. Don't know | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 16 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve | 33.6 | 32.4 | 28.6 | 28.5 | 30.3 | 29.8 |
| 2. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve | 7.8 | 9.9 | 12.7 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 11.9 |
| 4. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 5. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve | 3.8 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.2 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 50.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

gayrt_milstd

Label: [STD] Should homosexuals serve in U.S. armed forces
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-9
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
 Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Homosexuals should be allowed to serve | 872 | 1678 | 2550 |
| 2. Homosexuals should not be allowed to serve | 118 | 282 | 400 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1006 | 1885 | 2891 |
| -8. Don't know | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| -9. Refused | 14 | 15 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Homosexuals should be allowed to serve | 42.5 | 42.1 | 43.5 | 42.5 | 43.1 | 42.3 |
| 2. Homosexuals should not be allowed to serve | 5.7 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.0 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |

gayrt_milststd

Label: [STD] Strength fav/opp allow homosexuals serve armed forces
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-10
 Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
 Question: [Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that homosexuals should be allowed to serve? / Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?]
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE: IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES/ IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:
 Logic: Ask if GAYRT GMILSTD in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT GMILSTD = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT GMILSTD = 2
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 776 | 1342 | 2118 |
| 2. Not strongly | 207 | 617 | 824 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1064 | 1900 | 2964 |
| -8. Don't know | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 37.8 | 37.4 | 34.8 | 33.8 | 35.8 | 35.1 |
| 2. Not strongly | 10.1 | 9.6 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 13.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.8 | 52.3 | 49.2 | 50.0 | 50.1 | 50.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

gayrt_milstd_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY STD version- allow gays/lesbians serve in US armed forces

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Feel strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve | 695 | 1136 | 1831 |
| 2. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve | 172 | 541 | 713 |
| 4. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve | 35 | 76 | 111 |
| 5. Feel strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve | 81 | 206 | 287 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1006 | 1885 | 2891 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 14 | 16 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Feel strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve | 33.8 | 33.4 | 29.4 | 28.5 | 31.0 | 30.2 |
| 2. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve | 8.4 | 8.2 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 12.1 | 11.9 |
| 4. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 5. Feel strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve | 3.9 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.0 | 49.7 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 49.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

gayrt_adopt

Label: Should gay and lesbian couples be allowed to adopt
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-11
Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question: Do you think gay or lesbian couples should be legally permitted to adopt children?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1206 | 2325 | 3531 |
| 2. No | 695 | 1502 | 2197 |
| -8. Don't know | 119 | 0 | 119 |
| -9. Refused | 34 | 33 | 67 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 58.7 | 61.5 | 60.2 | 60.9 | 59.7 | 61.1 |
| 2. No | 33.8 | 31.4 | 38.9 | 38.2 | 37.1 | 35.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

gayrt_marry

Label: R position on gay marriage
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-12
Description: Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question: Looking at page [preload: prepz] of the booklet. Which comes closest to your view? You can just tell me the number of your choice.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to legally marry. | 855 | 1502 | 2357 |
| 2. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to form civil unions but not legally marry. | 635 | 1327 | 1962 |
| 3. There should be no legal recognition of a gay or lesbian couple's relationship. | 505 | 1010 | 1515 |
| -8. Don't know | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 21 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to legally marry. | 41.6 | 42.0 | 38.9 | 39.8 | 39.9 | 40.6 |
| 2. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to form civil unions but not legally marry. | 30.9 | 32.6 | 34.4 | 33.4 | 33.2 | 33.1 |
| 3. There should be no legal recognition of a gay or lesbian couple's relationship. | 24.6 | 23.4 | 26.2 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 25.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

abortpre_4point

Label: STD Abortion: self-placement
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.5-1
Description: Abortion std self-placement
Question: Please look at page [preload: prepq f] of the booklet. There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.
Web Logic: Online, omit the first and last sentences of the question stem so the stem reads as follows: ♦There has been some discussion of abortion in recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view?♦. Also, omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 244 | 428 | 672 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger | 561 | 1046 | 1607 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 319 | 579 | 898 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice. | 889 | 1789 | 2678 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -8. Don't know | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 15 | 18 | 33 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 11.9 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 12.2 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger | 27.3 | 27.2 | 27.1 | 27.5 | 27.2 | 27.4 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 15.5 | 17.3 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 15.2 | 15.5 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice. | 43.3 | 42.4 | 46.3 | 44.9 | 45.3 | 44.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

abortpre_4point_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- STD Abortion: self-placement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| <NULL> | 2047 | 3860 | 5907 |
| Both 2 and 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| By law should never be permitted except if the woman's life is in danger. In case of rape the child could be adopted. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| can't pick a side | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| don't think the govt should be telling us what we should or should not do; i don't believe in abortion | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| I don't agree with #1. but I personally, for me, abortion is not right. Its not the child's fault. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| it should only be permitted if a woman's life is in danger, not rape or incest | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| never | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| <NULL> | 99.7 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| can't pick a side | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I don't agree with #1. but I personally, for me, abortion is not right. Its not the child's fault. | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| it should only be permitted if a woman's life is in danger, not rape or incest | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

penalty_favdpen

Label: R favor/oppose death penalty
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 51-1
Description: Position on the death penalty
Question: (Not looking at the booklet now.) Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?
Web Logic: Online, omit the parenthetical reference to the booklet.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1272 | 2808 | 4080 |
| 2. Oppose | 649 | 1023 | 1672 |
| -8. Don't know | 111 | 0 | 111 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 29 | 51 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 61.9 | 66.7 | 72.7 | 73.9 | 69.0 | 71.4 |
| 2. Oppose | 31.6 | 27.5 | 26.5 | 25.0 | 28.3 | 25.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.4 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

penalty_dpenstr

Label: Strength R favors/opposes death penalty
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 51-2
 Description: Position on the death penalty
 Question: Do you [favor / oppose] the death penalty for persons convicted of murder STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER / IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:
 Logic: Ask if PENALTY FAVDPEN in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if PENALTY FAVDPEN= 1 Load 'oppose' if PENALTY FAVDPEN = 2.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 1368 | 2609 | 3977 |
| 2. Not strongly | 545 | 1220 | 1765 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 133 | 29 | 162 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 66.6 | 66.0 | 67.6 | 65.9 | 67.2 | 65.9 |
| 2. Not strongly | 26.5 | 28.0 | 31.6 | 33.0 | 29.8 | 31.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 6.5 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

penalty_favopp_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Favor death penalty

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 973 | 2042 | 3015 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 292 | 764 | 1056 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 253 | 456 | 709 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 395 | 567 | 962 |
| -8. Don't know | 119 | 0 | 119 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 31 | 53 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 47.4 | 49.9 | 52.9 | 53.2 | 51.0 | 52.0 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 14.2 | 16.6 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 12.3 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 19.2 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 12.7 | 16.3 | 13.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

presadm_secure

Label: U.S. more or less secure than when Pres took office
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 58-1
Description: Current presidential administration
Question: Would you say that compared to 2008, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. More secure | 753 | 1247 | 2000 |
| 2. Less secure | 404 | 1160 | 1564 |
| 3. No change | 838 | 1444 | 2282 |
| -8. Don't know | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 9 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More secure | 36.7 | 31.5 | 32.3 | 31.2 | 33.8 | 31.3 |
| 2. Less secure | 19.7 | 25.4 | 30.1 | 29.8 | 26.4 | 28.2 |
| 3. No change | 40.8 | 40.8 | 37.4 | 38.7 | 38.6 | 39.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

pctlikely_whatpct2

Label: [1/2 SAMPLE 2B] What is percent chance R will vote in Nov
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 58.2-1
Description: What is the percent chance/likelihood R will vote
Question: What is the percent chance that you will vote in the general election this November? You can answer with any number between 0 and 100 where 0 means no chance, 45-55 means a fairly even chance, and 100 means you are absolutely certain.
Universe: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE PERCENT 2B HERE AND VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A EARLIER IN IW:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

44 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 2974 |
| 100 | 2057 |
| 0 | 226 |
| 50 | 113 |
| 90 | 70 |
| 99 | 64 |
| 80 | 45 |
| 45 | 38 |
| 95 | 38 |
| 75 | 37 |

pctlikely_howlkv2

Label: [1/2 SAMPLE 2A] How likely is it that R will vote in Nov
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 58.2-2
Description: What is the percent chance/likelihood R will vote
Question: How likely is it that you will vote in the general election this November? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / Not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely]?
Universe: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2B HERE AND VOTE PERCENT 2A EARLIER IN IW:
Logic: Ask if PREVOTE HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=0, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely likely | 599 | 1463 | 2062 |
| 2. Very likely | 132 | 158 | 290 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 63 | 94 | 157 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 36 | 71 | 107 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 106 | 129 | 235 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1113 | 1939 | 3052 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 6 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely likely | 29.2 | 29.4 | 37.9 | 35.7 | 34.9 | 33.5 |
| 2. Very likely | 6.4 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 5.2 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 54.2 | 54.9 | 50.2 | 50.1 | 51.6 | 51.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

relig_import

Label: Is religion important part of R life
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-1
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Now on another topic.... Do you consider religion to be an IMPORTANT part of your life, or NOT?
Web Logic: Online, omit the ellipsis and render the item as follows: 'Now on another topic: Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?'
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|---------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Important | 1498 | 2605 | 4103 |
| 2. | Not important | 532 | 1247 | 1779 |
| -8. | Don't know | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -9. | Refused | 13 | 8 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Important | 72.9 | 67.3 | 67.5 | 66.4 | 69.4 | 66.7 |
| 2. Not important | 25.9 | 31.5 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 30.1 | 32.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

relig_guide

Label: Religion provides guidance in day-to-day living
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-2
 Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
 Question: Would you say your religion provides [SOME guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or a GREAT DEAL of guidance / a GREAT DEAL of guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or SOME guidance] in your day-to-day life?
 Universe: IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:
 Logic: Ask if RELIG RELIMP =1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Some | 296 | 542 | 838 |
| 2. Quite a bit | 434 | 791 | 1225 |
| 3. A great deal | 762 | 1272 | 2034 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 556 | 1255 | 1811 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Some | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 14.2 | 14.8 |
| 2. Quite a bit | 21.1 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 19.3 | 20.7 | 19.6 |
| 3. A great deal | 37.1 | 32.5 | 33.0 | 32.1 | 34.4 | 32.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 27.1 | 32.7 | 32.5 | 33.6 | 30.6 | 33.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_pray

Label: How often does R pray (forward/reverse images)
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-3
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Please look at page [[preload: prepg r]/[preload: prepg b]] of the booklet. People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray [SEVERAL TIMES A DAY, ONCE A DAY, A FEW TIMES A WEEK, ONCE A WEEK OR LESS, or NEVER/ NEVER, ONCE A WEEK OR LESS, A FEW TIMES A WEEK, ONCE A DAY, or SEVERAL TIMES A DAY]?
Universe: IF RESPONSE OPTION ORDER IS FORWARD / IF RESPONSE OPTION ORDER IS REVERSE:
Logic: Please use reference to [preload: prepg r] if the response option order is forward and use reference to [preload: prepg s] if the response option order is reverse.
Web Logic: Online, omit the first sentence of the question, ♦Please look at page♦♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 01. Several times a day | 712 | 1049 | 1761 |
| 02. Once a day | 418 | 674 | 1092 |
| 03. A few times a week | 383 | 696 | 1079 |
| 04. Once a week or less | 270 | 717 | 987 |
| 05. Never | 251 | 704 | 955 |
| 95. Other (VOL) (SPECIFY) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 20 | 33 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Several times a day | 34.7 | 31.5 | 27.2 | 25.8 | 29.8 | 27.8 |
| 02. Once a day | 20.4 | 19.3 | 17.5 | 16.7 | 18.5 | 17.6 |
| 03. A few times a week | 18.6 | 18.1 | 18.0 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 18.5 |
| 04. Once a week or less | 13.1 | 13.6 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 16.7 | 16.8 |
| 05. Never | 12.2 | 16.7 | 18.2 | 19.5 | 16.1 | 18.6 |
| 95. Other (VOL) (SPECIFY) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

relig_pray_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- How often does R pray

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------|
| <NULL> | 5908 |
| /A lot | 1 |
| <REDACTED DETAILS>, I am <RELIGION REDACTED>. | 1 |
| continuously | 1 |
| Couple of times a year | 1 |
| I don't consider what I do in those categories | 1 |
| I pray but not in a specific way. | 1 |

relig_wordgod

Label: Is Bible word of God or men
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-4
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Please look at page [preload: prepg s] of the booklet. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.
Web Logic: Online, omit the first sentence of the question, ♦Please look at page♦♦. Also, omit the last sentence, ♦You can just give me the number of your choice.♦ Also, omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word. | 816 | 1088 | 1904 |
| 2. The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word | 835 | 1825 | 2660 |
| 3. The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God. | 339 | 921 | 1260 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -8. Don't know | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| -9. Refused | 23 | 26 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word. | 39.7 | 32.9 | 28.2 | 29.4 | 32.2 | 30.6 |
| 2. The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word | 40.7 | 45.2 | 47.3 | 47.6 | 45.0 | 46.8 |
| 3. The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God. | 16.5 | 19.4 | 23.9 | 22.1 | 21.3 | 21.2 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

relig_wordgod_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Is Bible word of God or men

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| <NULL> | 2042 | 3860 | 5902 |
| I've never really thought about it. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| I am <RELIGION REDACTED> so I do not read the Bible. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| I think the book is written by men adn we have the choice to believe it or not, each one of us will have our own interpretation. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Its an interesting novel | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| The Bible has been rewritten so many times, it came from a spiritual place, but man has used it in order to profit | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| The Bible is a book written by man to control man | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| The Bible is part of the Koran and Jews, Chrisitians, and Muslims are people of the book. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| The Bible is the actual word of God and should be taken by the spirit of God. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| The Bible is the actual word of God but not misinterpreted by non-spiritual people. You really have to get guidance from God to understand to get it's true meaning. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| the bible is the translation and the series of events translation and teaching of god word | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| the bible is the word of God and written by man. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| The Bible is the word of God written by men; therefore shuld not be taken literally , word for word | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| <NULL> | 99.4 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
| Its an interesting novel | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| The Bible is a book written by man to control man | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| The Bible is part of the Koran and Jews, Chrisitians, and Muslims are people of the book. | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| the bible is the translation and the series of events translation and teaching of god word | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| the bible is the word of God and written by man. | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_church

Label: Ever attend church or religious services
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-5
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1362 | 2184 | 3546 |
| 2. No | 675 | 1667 | 2342 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 14 | 9 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 66.3 | 62.9 | 56.6 | 54.7 | 60.0 | 57.5 |
| 2. No | 32.9 | 36.5 | 43.2 | 44.9 | 39.6 | 42.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

relig_churchoft

Label: Attend religious services how often
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-6
 Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
 Question: Do you go to religious services [EVERY WEEK, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER/ NEVER, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, or EVERY WEEK]?
 Universe: IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
 Logic: Ask if RELIG CHURCH =1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Every week | 434 | 882 | 1316 |
| 2. Almost every week | 251 | 442 | 693 |
| 3. Once or twice a month | 319 | 296 | 615 |
| 4. A few times a year | 341 | 539 | 880 |
| 5. Never | 12 | 22 | 34 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 692 | 1676 | 2368 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 3 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every week | 21.1 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 21.2 |
| 2. Almost every week | 12.2 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 11.1 |
| 3. Once or twice a month | 15.5 | 12.3 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 9.6 |
| 4. A few times a year | 16.6 | 17.1 | 14.0 | 13.6 | 14.9 | 14.9 |
| 5. Never | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 33.7 | 37.1 | 43.4 | 45.3 | 40.0 | 42.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

relig_churchwk

Label: Attend church more often than once a week
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-7
 Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
 Question: Would you say you go to religious services ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN than once a week?
 Universe: IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES: IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':
 Logic: Ask if RELIG CHURCHOFT =1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Once a week | 229 | 522 | 751 |
| 2. More often than once a week | 204 | 360 | 564 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1620 | 2978 | 4598 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Once a week | 11.1 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 13.1 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| 2. More often than once a week | 9.9 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 8.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.9 | 78.5 | 77.2 | 78.9 | 77.7 | 78.8 |

relig_chmember

Label: Ever think of self as part of church or denomination
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-8
 Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
 Question: Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?
 Universe: IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
 Logic: Ask if (RELIG CHURCH in(2,DK,RF) or (RELIG CHURCH=1 and RELIG CHURCHOFT=5) else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 318 | 809 | 1127 |
| 2. No | 371 | 883 | 1254 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1350 | 2162 | 3512 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 6 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 15.5 | 16.3 | 21.0 | 20.6 | 19.1 | 19.1 |
| 2. No | 18.1 | 20.8 | 22.9 | 25.2 | 21.2 | 23.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 65.7 | 62.5 | 56.0 | 53.9 | 59.4 | 56.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

relig_group

Label: (Attends church) R major religious group
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-9
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or SOMETHING ELSE?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Logic: Ask if RELIG CHURCH=1 and RELIG CHURCHOFT <> 5 else skip
Web Logic: Online, change response option 4 to ◆Something else◆.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Protestant | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Catholic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Jewish | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Protestant | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Catholic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. Jewish | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_groupna

Label: (Nonattendance) R major religious group
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-10
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Do you consider yourself PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or SOMETHING ELSE?
Universe: IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:
Logic: Ask if RELIG CHMEMBER=1 else skip
Web Logic: Online, change response option 4 to ◊Something else◊.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Protestant | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Catholic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Jewish | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Protestant | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Catholic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. Jewish | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_4cat

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- full RELIG section: R Catholic, oth Christian, other, not relig

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Catholic | 457 | 866 | 1323 |
| 2. Other Christian | 1090 | 1328 | 2418 |
| 3. Other religion | 42 | 84 | 126 |
| 4. Not religious | 436 | 1145 | 1581 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 427 | 427 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 19 | 10 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Catholic | 22.2 | 21.5 | 22.4 | 20.6 | 22.4 | 20.9 |
| 2. Other Christian | 53.1 | 50.6 | 34.4 | 32.2 | 40.9 | 38.6 |
| 3. Other religion | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 4. Not religious | 21.2 | 24.3 | 29.7 | 31.8 | 26.7 | 29.2 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 12.9 | 7.2 | 8.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

relig_denom

Label: Major relig denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-11
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: What church or denomination is that?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELGRPA in(1,4) or RELIG RELGRPNA in(1,4) else skip
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------|
| 01. Baptist | 0 |
| 02. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England | 0 |
| 03. Lutheran | 0 |
| 04. Methodist | 0 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| | |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| | |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

relig_bapt

Label: Specific Baptist denomination
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-12
 Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
 Question: With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, the National Baptist Convention, an independent Baptist church, or some other Baptist group?
 Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST:
 Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 01 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Southern Baptist Convention | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. American Baptist Churches in USA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. American Baptist Association | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. National Baptist Convention | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Independent Baptist | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44. Methodist mention made in Baptist Other Specify | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Southern Baptist Convention | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. American Baptist Churches in USA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. American Baptist Association | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. National Baptist Convention | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Independent Baptist | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 44. Methodist mention made in Baptist Other Specify | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 95. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_indbapt

Label: Specific indep. Baptist denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-13
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST: IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:
Logic: Ask if RELIG BAPT = 5 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Larger Baptist group (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Local | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Larger Baptist group (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Local | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_luth

Label: Specific Lutheran denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-14
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 03 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Evangelical Lutheran Church | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Missouri Synod | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Evangelical Lutheran Church | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Missouri Synod | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_meth

Label: Specific Methodist denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-15
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal Church, African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church, or some other Methodist group?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 04 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. United Methodist Church | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. African Methodist Episcopal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. African Methodist Episcopal Zion | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. United Methodist Church | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. African Methodist Episcopal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. African Methodist Episcopal Zion | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_presb

Label: Specific Presbyterian denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-16
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is this the Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. or some other Presbyterian group?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 06 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|---|------|------|-------|
| | -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. | Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Raw |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 1. Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |

relig_refrm

Label: Specific Reformed denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-17
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some other Reformed group?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 07 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Christian Reformed Church | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. The Reformed Church in America | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Christian Reformed Church | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. The Reformed Church in America | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_brethr

Label: Specific Brethren denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-18
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 08 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Church of the Brethren | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. The Plymouth Brethren | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Church of the Brethren | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. The Plymouth Brethren | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_discp

Label: Specific Disciples denomination
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-19
 Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
 Question: When you say 'Christian' does that mean the denomination called the 'Christian Church Disciples of Christ,' or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say 'I am just a Christian'?
 Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN':
 Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 10 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Disciples of Christ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. I am just a Christian | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44. Methodist mention made in Christian Other Specify | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59. Pentacostal mention made in Christian Other Specify | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Disciples of Christ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. I am just a Christian | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 44. Methodist mention made in Christian Other Specify | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 59. Pentacostal mention made in Christian Other Specify | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_chchrst

Label: Specific Church of Christ denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-20
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 12 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Church of Christ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. United Church of Christ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Church of Christ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. United Church of Christ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_chgod

Label: Specific Church of God denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-21
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 15 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Anderson, Indiana | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Cleveland, Tennessee | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Church of God in Christ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Anderson, Indiana | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Cleveland, Tennessee | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. Church of God in Christ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_pent

Label: Specific Holiness/Pentecostal denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-22
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is the church called?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTECOSTAL:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM in(18,19) else skip
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

relig_denother

Label: Specific other denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-23
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R SPECIFIC DENOMINATION 'OTHER':
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 95 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41. Baptist mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44. Methodist mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45. Just Protestant mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50. Just Christian mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55. Church of God mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56. Assembly of God mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 58. Holiness mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59. Pentecostal mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61. Orthodox mentioned in Other description | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95. Other not codeable to Major Denomination 01-30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 41. Baptist mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 44. Methodist mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 45. Just Protestant mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50. Just Christian mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55. Church of God mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 56. Assembly of God mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 58. Holiness mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 59. Pentecostal mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 61. Orthodox mentioned in Other description | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 95. Other not codeable to Major Denomination 01-30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_othxian

Label: Specific other denomination Christian
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-24
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Is that group Christian?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' : IF R SPECIFIC DENOMINATION 'OTHER':
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELDENOM = 95 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_jewisha

Label: (Attends) Specific Jewish denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-25
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is ORTHODOX, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM or what?
Universe: IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH :
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELGRPA=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Orthodox | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Conservative | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Reform | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Orthodox | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. Reform | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_jewishna

Label: (Nonattendance) Specific Jewish denomination
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-26
Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question: Do you consider yourself ORTHODOX, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM or what?
Universe: IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH:
Logic: Ask if RELIG RELGRPNA=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Orthodox | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Conservative | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Reform | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Orthodox | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. Reform | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

relig_bornagn

Label: Does Christian R consider self born again
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-27
 Description: Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
 Question: Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?
 Universe: IF R IS CHRISTIAN:
 Logic: Ask if RELIG RELGRP in(1,2) or RELIG RELGRPNA in(1,2) or RELIG OTHXIAN=1 or RELIG RELDENOM in(1-26) else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 774 | 1224 | 1998 |
| 2. No | 775 | 1598 | 2373 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 477 | 1025 | 1502 |
| -8. Don't know | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 13 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 37.7 | 33.1 | 31.7 | 31.1 | 33.8 | 31.8 |
| 2. No | 37.7 | 39.3 | 41.4 | 39.6 | 40.1 | 39.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 23.2 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 28.9 | 25.4 | 27.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

relig_ident_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered self-descript R religious identity- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. | Progressive | 485 | 0 | 485 |
| 2. | Non-traditional believer | 316 | 0 | 316 |
| 3. | Secular | 65 | 0 | 65 |
| 4. | Agnostic | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| 5. | Atheist | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| 6. | Spiritual but not religious | 502 | 0 | 502 |
| 7. | None of the above(1st mention only) | 489 | 0 | 489 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| -8. | Don't know | 75 | 0 | 75 |
| -9. | Refused | 20 | 0 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | |
| 1. | Progressive | 23.6 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 7.0 |
| 2. | Non-traditional believer | 15.4 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.1 |
| 3. | Secular | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 4. | Agnostic | 3.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| 5. | Atheist | 1.9 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 6. | Spiritual but not religious | 24.4 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 8.9 |
| 7. | None of the above(1st mention only) | 23.8 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 8.6 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. | Don't know | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| -9. | Refused | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

relig_ident_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered self-descript R religious identity- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 2. Non-traditional believer | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 3. Secular | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 4. Agnostic | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5. Atheist | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 6. Spiritual but not religious | 71 | 0 | 71 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1950 | 3860 | 5810 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Non-traditional believer | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 3. Secular | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Agnostic | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Atheist | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 6. Spiritual but not religious | 3.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.9 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 98.1 |

relig_ident_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered self-descript R religious identity- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 3. Secular | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5. Atheist | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6. Spiritual but not religious | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2049 | 3860 | 5909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Atheist | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6. Spiritual but not religious | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.8 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

relig_religident_prog

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention Progressive

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1569 | 3195 | 4764 |
| 1. Selected by R | 485 | 665 | 1150 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 76.4 | 79.7 | 82.8 | 84.0 | 80.6 | 82.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 23.6 | 20.3 | 17.2 | 16.0 | 19.4 | 17.5 |

relig_religident_nontrad

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention nontraditional

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1717 | 3385 | 5102 |
| 1. Selected by R | 337 | 475 | 812 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 83.6 | 84.1 | 87.7 | 88.2 | 86.3 | 86.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 16.4 | 15.9 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 13.7 | 13.3 |

relig_religident_secular

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention secular

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1984 | 3666 | 5650 |
| 1. Selected by R | 70 | 194 | 264 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 96.6 | 96.5 | 95.0 | 95.3 | 95.5 | 95.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.4 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.3 |

relig_religident_agnostic

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention agnostic

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1990 | 3629 | 5619 |
| 1. Selected by R | 64 | 231 | 295 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 96.9 | 96.0 | 94.0 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 95.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.1 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 |

relig_religident_atheist

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention atheist

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 2007 | 3709 | 5716 |
| 1. Selected by R | 47 | 151 | 198 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 97.7 | 96.9 | 96.1 | 95.9 | 96.7 | 96.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.8 |

relig_religident_spiritual

Label: PRE: R self-descript relig identity: mention spiritual, not religious

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1478 | 2780 | 4258 |
| 1. Selected by R | 576 | 1080 | 1656 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 72.0 | 70.7 | 72.0 | 71.8 | 72.0 | 71.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 28.0 | 29.3 | 28.0 | 28.2 | 28.0 | 28.6 |

relig_religident_none

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention none

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1565 | 2549 | 4114 |
| 1. Selected by R | 489 | 1311 | 1800 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 76.2 | 75.2 | 66.0 | 64.2 | 69.6 | 68.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 23.8 | 24.8 | 34.0 | 35.8 | 30.4 | 32.0 |

relig_religident_dkrf

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention DKRF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1959 | 3825 | 5784 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 75 | 0 | 75 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 20 | 35 | 55 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 95.4 | 95.3 | 99.1 | 98.7 | 97.8 | 97.5 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 |

dem_birthmo

Label: Birthdate: month of birth
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-1
Description: Demographics
Question: What is the month, day and year of your birth? MONTH:
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| 01. January | 0 |
| 02. February | 0 |
| 03. March | 0 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing; field left blank | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| | |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_birthday

Label: Birthdate: day of birth
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-1.2
Description: Demographics
Question: DAY:
Logic: If (DEM BIRTHMO in(4,6,9,11) and DEM BIRTHDY = 31) or (DEM BIRTHMO =2 and DEM BIRTHDY in(30,31) then error message ('Day invalid for month. Please correct month or day').
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing; field left blank | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_birthyr

Label: Birthdate: year of birth
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-1.3
Description: Demographics
Question: YEAR:
Logic: If (DEM BIRTHMO =2 and DEM BIRTHDY=29 and DEM BIRTHYR
not in(1912,1916,1920,1924,1928,1932,1936,1940,1944,1948,
1952,1956,1960,1964,1968,1972,1976,1980,1984,1988,1992))
then error message('Feb 29 date in year that is not leap year.
Please correct month, day, or year')
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing; field left blank | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_age_r_x

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: SUMMARY- Age of R on interview date

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing, birthdate fields left blank | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_agegrp_iwdate

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- R age on interview date (age group)

14 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| 09. Age group 55-59 | | 671 |
| 08. Age group 50-54 | | 641 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | | 585 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | | 520 |
| 06. Age group 40-44 | | 482 |
| 07. Age group 45-49 | | 466 |
| 04. Age group 30-34 | | 456 |
| 03. Age group 25-29 | | 425 |
| 05. Age group 35-39 | | 405 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | | 361 |

dem_marital

Label: Marital status
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-6
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married?
 Web Logic: Online, omit response option 2 and make response option 1 ♦Married♦.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Married: spouse present | 715 | 2117 | 2832 |
| 2. FTF ONLY: Married: spouse absent (VOL) | 109 | 0 | 109 |
| 3. Widowed | 139 | 251 | 390 |
| 4. Divorced | 334 | 551 | 885 |
| 5. Separated | 97 | 98 | 195 |
| 6. Never married | 656 | 837 | 1493 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 6 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Married: spouse present | 34.8 | 48.2 | 54.8 | 53.2 | 47.9 | 51.4 |
| 2. FTF ONLY: Married: spouse absent (VOL) | 5.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 3. Widowed | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 5.8 |
| 4. Divorced | 16.3 | 12.6 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 15.0 | 12.9 |
| 5. Separated | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 |
| 6. Never married | 31.9 | 25.5 | 21.7 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 25.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

dem_partner

Label: Domestic partnership status
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-6.3
Description: Demographics
Question: Are you currently living with a partner, or not?
Universe: IF R MARITAL STATUS IS NEVER MARRIED, WIDOWED, DIVORCED
OR SEPARATED: IF ONE OR MORE ADDITIONAL PERSONS AGE 17
OR OLDER WERE LISTED IN THE ROSTER:
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 222 | 278 | 500 |
| 2. No | 1002 | 1457 | 2459 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 828 | 2123 | 2951 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 10.8 | 10.1 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| 2. No | 48.8 | 36.5 | 37.7 | 38.0 | 41.6 | 37.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 40.3 | 53.3 | 55.0 | 53.3 | 49.9 | 53.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_edu

Label: Highest level of Education
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.1
Description: Demographics
Question: What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| 01. Less than 1st grade | 0 |
| 02. 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th grade | 0 |
| 03. 5th or 6th grade | 0 |
| 04. 7th or 8th grade | 0 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| | |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| | |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| | |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| | |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_edu_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- R highest level of education

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_dipged

Label: R: Diploma or GED
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.2
 Description: Demographics
 Question: People can get a high school diploma in a variety of ways, such as graduating from high school or by getting a GED or other equivalent. How did you get your high school diploma?
 Universe: IF R'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT:
 Logic: Ask if DEM EDUCR=09, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Graduation from High School | 431 | 723 | 1154 |
| 2. GED or other equivalent | 102 | 186 | 288 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1521 | 2951 | 4472 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Graduation from High School | 21.0 | 24.9 | 18.7 | 24.1 | 19.5 | 24.4 |
| 2. GED or other equivalent | 5.0 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.1 | 69.8 | 76.5 | 70.4 | 75.6 | 70.2 |

dem_edugroup

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- R level of highest education

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Less than high school credential | 322 | 300 | 622 |
| 2. High school credential | 533 | 909 | 1442 |
| 3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree | 695 | 1277 | 1972 |
| 4. Bachelor's degree | 318 | 802 | 1120 |
| 5. Graduate degree | 170 | 538 | 708 |
| -2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5 | 13 | 29 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 5 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Less than high school credential | 15.7 | 10.1 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 10.1 |
| 2. High school credential | 25.9 | 30.2 | 23.5 | 29.6 | 24.4 | 29.0 |
| 3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree | 33.8 | 29.9 | 33.1 | 30.1 | 33.3 | 30.0 |
| 4. Bachelor's degree | 15.5 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 18.1 |
| 5. Graduate degree | 8.3 | 10.0 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 10.1 |
| -2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_edusp

Label: Spouse/partner: Highest Level of Education
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.3
Description: Demographics
Question: What is the highest level of school your [husband or wife / partner] has completed or the highest degree your [husband or wife / partner] has received?
Universe: IF R IS MARRIED/ IF R IS PARTNERED:
Logic: Ask if DEM MARITAL in(1,2) or DEM PARTN=1, else skip. Load 'husband or wife' if DEM MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM PARTN=1.
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| 01. Less than 1st grade | 0 |
| 02. 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th grade | 0 |
| 03. 5th or 6th grade | 0 |
| 04. 7th or 8th grade | 0 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| | |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| | |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| | |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_edusp_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Spouse highest level of education

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_dipgedsp

Label: Spouse: Diploma or GED
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.4
 Description: Demographics
 Question: How did your [husband or wife / partner] get their high school diploma?
 Universe: IF R IS MARRIED AND SPOUSE'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT / IF R IS PARTNERED AND PARTNER'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT:
 Logic: Ask if (DEM MARITAL in(1,2) or DEM PARTN=1) and DEM EDUCSP=09, else skip. Load 'husband or wife' if DEM MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM PARTN=1.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Graduation from High School | 263 | 433 | 696 |
| 2. GED or other equivalent | 43 | 81 | 124 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1746 | 3346 | 5092 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Graduation from High School | 12.8 | 16.8 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 13.9 |
| 2. GED or other equivalent | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.0 | 80.7 | 86.7 | 85.4 | 86.1 | 83.8 |

dem_eduspgroup

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Spouse level of highest education

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Less than high school credential | 136 | 228 | 364 |
| 2. High school credential | 308 | 515 | 823 |
| 3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree | 290 | 763 | 1053 |
| 4. Bachelor's degree | 162 | 492 | 654 |
| 5. Graduate degree | 122 | 381 | 503 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |
| -2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5 | 9 | 12 | 21 |
| -8. Don't know | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Less than high school credential | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| 2. High school credential | 15.0 | 19.3 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 13.9 | 16.1 |
| 3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree | 14.1 | 16.7 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 17.8 | 18.1 |
| 4. Bachelor's degree | 7.9 | 11.6 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 11.0 |
| 5. Graduate degree | 5.9 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.1 |
| -2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_veteran

Label: R ever served on active duty in Armed Forces
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-13.1
Description: Demographics
Question: Did you ever serve on active duty in the U. S. Armed Forces?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 198 | 577 | 775 |
| 2. No | 1854 | 3281 | 5135 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 9.6 | 12.2 | 14.9 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 12.4 |
| 2. No | 90.3 | 87.6 | 85.0 | 87.4 | 86.8 | 87.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_milwhen_1st

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. September 2001 or later | 41 | 66 | 107 |
| 2. August 1990 to August 2001 | 25 | 66 | 91 |
| 3. May 1975 to July 1990 | 37 | 111 | 148 |
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 57 | 207 | 264 |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 19 | 70 | 89 |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 9 | 40 | 49 |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 8. World War II (December 1941 to December 1946) | 6 | 15 | 21 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1856 | 3283 | 5139 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 1 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | W |
| 1. September 2001 or later | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | |
| 2. August 1990 to August 2001 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | |
| 3. May 1975 to July 1990 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.5 | |
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 2.8 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 4.5 | |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | |
| 8. World War II (December 1941 to December 1946) | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 90.4 | 87.8 | 85.1 | 87.5 | 86.9 | |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |

dem_milwhen_2nd

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 2. August 1990 to August 2001 | 15 | 34 | 49 |
| 3. May 1975 to July 1990 | 11 | 36 | 47 |
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 9 | 26 | 35 |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 6 | 20 | 26 |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 8. World War II (December 1941 to December 1946) | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2010 | 3732 | 5742 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. August 1990 to August 2001 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 3. May 1975 to July 1990 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8. World War II (December 1941 to December 1946) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 97.9 | 97.4 | 96.7 | 97.6 | 97.1 | 97.1 |

dem_milwhen_3rd

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 3. May 1975 to July 1990 | 4 | 14 | 18 |
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 2 | 14 | 16 |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2046 | 3812 | 5858 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. May 1975 to July 1990 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.6 | 99.3 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 99.2 |

dem_milwhen_4th

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 8. World War II (December 1941 to December 1946) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2053 | 3851 | 5904 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. February 1955 to July 1964 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. January 1947 to June 1950 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.9 |

dem_milnow

Label: Still in Armed Forces
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-13.3
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Are you [now/still] in the Armed Forces?
 Universe: IF R HAS NEVER SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES/ IF R HAS SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES:
 Logic: Ask if DEM MILIT in(1,2), else skip. Load 'now' if DEM MILIT =2; load 'still' if DEM MILIT=1.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem_emptye_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Working now | 1220 | 0 | 1220 |
| 2. Temporarily laid off | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 4. Unemployed | 172 | 0 | 172 |
| 5. Retired | 281 | 0 | 281 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 153 | 0 | 153 |
| 7. Homemaker | 105 | 0 | 105 |
| 8. Student | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Working now | 59.4 | 60.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 20.9 |
| 2. Temporarily laid off | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 4. Unemployed | 8.4 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| 5. Retired | 13.7 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 5.9 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 7.4 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 7. Homemaker | 5.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 8. Student | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_emptye_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 5. Retired | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| 7. Homemaker | 142 | 0 | 142 |
| 8. Student | 145 | 0 | 145 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1695 | 3860 | 5555 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Retired | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 7. Homemaker | 6.9 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 8. Student | 7.1 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.5 | 84.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 94.5 |

dem_emptye_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 6. Permanently disabled | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 7. Homemaker | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 8. Student | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2016</u> | <u>3860</u> | <u>5876</u> |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 7. Homemaker | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 8. Student | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.1 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.6 |

dem_emptye_4th

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 7. Homemaker | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8. Student | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2052</u> | <u>3860</u> | <u>5912</u> |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.9 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1. Working now | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Temporarily laid off | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. Unemployed | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Retired | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. Homemaker | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8. Student | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem_emptye_work

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention working now

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 834 | 1863 | 2697 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1220 | 1997 | 3217 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.6 | 39.8 | 48.3 | 46.7 | 45.6 | 44.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 59.4 | 60.2 | 51.7 | 53.3 | 54.4 | 55.7 |

dem_emptye_layoff

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention temporarily laid off

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 2009 | 3822 | 5831 |
| 1. Selected by R | 45 | 38 | 83 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 97.8 | 98.4 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 98.6 | 98.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 |

dem_emptye_unemp

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention unemployed

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1882 | 3588 | 5470 |
| 1. Selected by R | 172 | 272 | 444 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 91.6 | 93.3 | 93.0 | 92.2 | 92.5 | 92.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.4 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 7.4 |

dem_emptye_retire

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention retired

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1743 | 2834 | 4577 |
| 1. Selected by R | 311 | 1026 | 1337 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 84.9 | 81.2 | 73.4 | 78.7 | 77.4 | 79.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 15.1 | 18.8 | 26.6 | 21.3 | 22.6 | 20.4 |

dem_emptye_disabled

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention permanently disabled

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. | Not selected by R | 1856 | 3599 | 5455 |
| 1. | Selected by R | 198 | 261 | 459 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|-------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. | Not selected by R | 90.4 | 92.9 | 93.2 | 93.8 | 92.2 |
| 1. | Selected by R | 9.6 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.8 |

dem_emptye_hmaker

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention homemaker

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1795 | 3551 | 5346 |
| 1. Selected by R | 259 | 309 | 568 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 87.4 | 86.7 | 92.0 | 90.9 | 90.4 | 89.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 12.6 | 13.3 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 10.5 |

dem_emptye_student

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention student

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1814 | 3670 | 5484 |
| 1. Selected by R | 240 | 190 | 430 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 88.3 | 91.1 | 95.1 | 93.6 | 92.7 | 92.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 11.7 | 8.9 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |

dem_emptye_dkrf

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 2046 | 3855 | 5901 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 4 | 5 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 99.6 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

dem_empstatus_2digitfinal

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Final 2-digit empl status based on full emplymt/occ section

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------|
| 10. WORKING NOW only | 2886 |
| 50. RETIRED, no other occupation | 1303 |
| 60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working | 385 |
| 40. UNEMPLOYED, no mention of retired, disabled, homemaker or student | 342 |
| 70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation | 320 |
| 80. STUDENT, no other occupation | 162 |
| 81. STUDENT and working now, working <20 hours per wk or DK/RF hours | 106 |
| 18. WORKING NOW and student, working >=20 hours per wk | 103 |
| 20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF | 82 |
| 17. WORKING NOW and homemaker, working >=20 hours per wk | 80 |

dem_empstatus_1digitfinal

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Final 1-digit empl status based on full emplymt/occ section

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. R WORKING NOW (if also retired, disabled, homemaker or student, working 20 or more hrs/wk) | 1197 | 1898 | 3095 |
| 2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF | 45 | 37 | 82 |
| 4. R UNEMPLOYED | 114 | 228 | 342 |
| 5. R RETIRED (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk) | 290 | 1025 | 1315 |
| 6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk) | 164 | 230 | 394 |
| 7. R HOMEMAKER (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk; incl nonworkg Rs both homemaker and student) | 135 | 270 | 405 |
| 8. R STUDENT (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk) | 101 | 167 | 268 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 5 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. R WORKING NOW (if also retired, disabled, homemaker or student, working 20 or more hrs/wk) | 58.3 | 58.6 | 49.2 | 51.2 | 52.3 | 53.8 |
| 2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 4. R UNEMPLOYED | 5.6 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| 5. R RETIRED (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk) | 14.1 | 17.7 | 26.6 | 21.3 | 22.2 | 20.1 |
| 6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk) | 8.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 5.9 |
| 7. R HOMEMAKER (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk; incl nonworkg Rs both homemaker and student) | 6.6 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 7.9 |
| 8. R STUDENT (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk) | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

dem_empstatus_initial

Label: PRE: Initial employment status for R occupation section

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Working now | 1193 | 0 | 1193 |
| 2. Temporarily laid off | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 4. Unemployed | 114 | 0 | 114 |
| 5. Retired | 311 | 0 | 311 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 147 | 0 | 147 |
| 7. Homemaker | 143 | 0 | 143 |
| 8. Student | 93 | 0 | 93 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Working now | 58.1 | 58.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 20.3 |
| 2. Temporarily laid off | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 4. Unemployed | 5.6 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| 5. Retired | 15.1 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 6.5 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 7.2 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| 7. Homemaker | 7.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 8. Student | 4.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_hsworkg

Label: Initial status Homemaker/student: working now
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-15
Description: Demographics
Question: Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT:
Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYTYPE includes 7 and/or 8 either with no other choices or else with other choices that exclude 1,2,5; else skip.
If 1 coded, go to -->DEM OCCNOW
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 33 | 64 | 97 |
| 2. No | 203 | 324 | 527 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1818 | 3472 | 5290 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| 2. No | 9.9 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 9.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.5 | 88.9 | 89.9 | 87.6 | 89.4 | 88.0 |

dem_hsjob6mo

Label: Initial status Homemaker/student: job in last 6 mon
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-16
Description: Demographics
Question: Have you had a job in the LAST SIX MONTHS?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: IF R IS NOT WORKING FOR PAY NOW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HSWORKG in(2,DK,RF), else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 37 | 51 | 88 |
| 2. No | 166 | 273 | 439 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1851 | 3536 | 5387 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 2. No | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 90.1 | 90.8 | 91.6 | 90.1 | 91.1 | 90.3 |

dem_retiremo

Label: Initial status retiree - when retired
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-17
Description: Demographics
Question: When did you retire? MONTH:
Universe: IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED:
Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYPE includes 5 either with no other choices or else
with any other choices, else skip
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| 01. January | 0 |
| 02. February | 0 |
| 03. March | 0 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing; field left blank | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| | |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_retiredy

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Initial status retiree - when retired DAY

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing; field left blank | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_retireyr

Label: Initial status retiree - when retired
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-17.2
Description: Demographics
Question: YEAR:
Universe: IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED:
Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYTYPE includes 5 either with no other choices or else with any other choices, else skip. If (DEM RETIREMO =2 and DEM RETIREDY=29 and DEM RETIREYR not in(1912,1916,1920,1924,1928,1932,1936,1940,1944,1948, 1952,1956,1960,1964,1968,1972,1976,1980,1984,1988,1992)) then error message('Feb 29 date in year that is not leap year. Please correct month, day, or year'). If DEM RETIREYR not in(DK,RF) and DEM BIRTHYR not in(DK,RF) and DEM BIRTHYR > DEM RETIREYR then error message ('year of retirement before year of birth. Please correct one or both')
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing; field left blank | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_retireperiod

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Retiree date of retirement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Retired 1900-1992 | 53 | 147 | 200 |
| 2. Retired 1993-2000 | 53 | 213 | 266 |
| 3. Retired 2001-2004 | 42 | 175 | 217 |
| 4. Retired 2005-2008 | 66 | 218 | 284 |
| 5. Retired 2009-2012 | 72 | 250 | 322 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1743 | 2834 | 4577 |
| -2. Missing, field left blank | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 23 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Retired 1900-1992 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 2. Retired 1993-2000 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.1 |
| 3. Retired 2001-2004 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
| 4. Retired 2005-2008 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.3 |
| 5. Retired 2009-2012 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 4.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.9 | 81.2 | 73.4 | 78.7 | 77.4 | 79.6 |
| -2. Missing, field left blank | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

dem_everwk

Label: Initial status unemployed/disabled: R ever work for pay
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-20
Description: Demographics
Question: Have you ever done any work for pay?
Universe: IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED :
Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYTYPE includes 4 and/or 6 either with no other choices or else with other choices that exclude 1,2,5,7,8
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 235 | 399 | 634 |
| 2. | No | 24 | 44 | 68 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1793 | 3416 | 5209 |
| -8. | Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----|--------------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. | Yes | 11.4 | 8.6 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.7 |
| 2. | No | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 87.3 | 90.4 | 88.5 | 87.7 | 88.1 |
| | | | | | | 88.7 |

dem_occpast

Label: Past occupation (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-21
Description: Demographics
Question: What kind of work did you do on your last regular job? (What was your occupation)? What were your most important activities or duties?
Universe: IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM HSJOB6MO =1 or DEM EVERWK=1 else skip
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem_indpast

Label: Past industry (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-22
Description: Demographics
Question: What kind of business or industry was that?
Universe: IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM HSJOB6MO =1 or DEM EV-ERWK=1 else skip
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem_semplpst

Label: Past self-empl status (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-23
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed, or what?
 Universe: IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
 Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM HSJOB6MO =1 or DEM EVERWK=1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Someone else | 520 | 1231 | 1751 |
| 2. Both self and someone else | 16 | 140 | 156 |
| 3. Self-employed | 43 | 103 | 146 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1471 | 2384 | 3855 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Someone else | 25.3 | 24.2 | 31.9 | 28.3 | 29.6 | 26.9 |
| 2. Both self and someone else | 0.8 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| 3. Self-employed | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 71.6 | 71.5 | 61.8 | 66.3 | 65.2 | 68.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_empgovpst

Label: Past occupation: govt work (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-24
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?
 Universe: IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS : IF R DID NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF :
 Logic: Ask if DEM SEMPLPST in(1,2) else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 160 | 395 | 555 |
| 2. | No | 364 | 974 | 1338 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1518 | 2489 | 4007 |
| -8. | Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. | Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 7.8 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 7.7 |
| 2. No | 17.7 | 17.6 | 25.2 | 23.5 | 22.6 | 21.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 73.9 | 74.6 | 64.5 | 68.6 | 67.8 | 70.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

dem_rdjob6mon

Label: Initial status unempl/ret/disabled: job in last 6 mo
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-25
Description: Demographics
Question: Have you had a job in the LAST SIX MONTHS?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED/ IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY :
Logic: Ask if DEM EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM EVERWK=1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 95 | 191 | 286 |
| 2. No | 451 | 1234 | 1685 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1508 | 2435 | 3943 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 |
| 2. No | 22.0 | 23.0 | 32.0 | 27.7 | 28.5 | 26.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 73.4 | 72.6 | 63.1 | 68.3 | 66.7 | 69.8 |

dem_hrsrecent

Label: Recent occupation: how many hours worked avg week
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-26
Description: Demographics
Question: About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY,
HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS / IF INITIAL STATUS IS RETIRED AND
HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS / IF INITIAL STATUS IS DISABLED, HAS
EVER WORKED FOR PAY, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS / IF INITIAL
STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED
PAST 6 MOS :
Logic: Ask if DEM RDJOB6MON = 1 or DEM HSJOB6MO=1
Source: Respondent

45 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 5540 |
| 40 | 91 |
| 20 | 42 |
| 30 | 28 |
| 35 | 24 |
| 10 | 20 |
| 50 | 18 |
| 25 | 14 |
| 8 | 14 |
| 24 | 13 |

dem_rdworkg

Label: Initial status retired/disabled: working now
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-27
Description: Demographics
Question: Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
Logic: Ask if (DEM EVERWK=1 and DEM EMPTYTYPE does not include 4) or (DEM EMPTYP includes 5), else skip. If 1 coded, go to --> DEM OCCNOW
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 33 | 3 | 36 |
| 2. No | 402 | 28 | 430 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1619 | 3829 | 5448 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 2. No | 19.6 | 21.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.8 | 76.8 | 99.2 | 99.5 | 92.1 | 91.6 |

dem_lookwk

Label: Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: look for work
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-28
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Are you looking for work at the present time?
 Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED AND NOT ALSO WORKING / IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY AND NOT ALSO WORKING / IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT AND NOT ALSO WORKING / IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY :
 Logic: Ask if (DEM RDWORKG=2) or (DEM HSWORKG=2) or (DEM EV-ERWK=1 and DEM EMPTYP includes 4 with no other choices or with choices excluding 1,2,5,7,8); else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 176 | 90 | 266 |
| 2. No | 538 | 468 | 1006 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1338 | 3301 | 4639 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 8.6 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
| 2. No | 26.2 | 28.1 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 17.0 | 18.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 65.1 | 65.4 | 85.5 | 84.4 | 78.4 | 77.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem_findjob

Label: Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: worry find job
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-29
 Description: Demographics
 Question: How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future: [Not at all, a little, moderately, very, or extremely worried / extremely, very, moderately, a little or not at all worried]?
 Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED, NOT ALSO WORKING, AND LOOKING FOR WORK / IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, AND LOOKING FOR WORK / IF INIT STATUS HMKR/STUD, NOT ALSO WORKG, WKD PAST 6 MO, AND LOOKG FOR WORK / IF INITIAL STATUS IS UNEMPLOYED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY AND LOOKG FOR WORK :
 Logic: Ask if DEM LOOKWK=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Not at all | 29 | 4 | 33 |
| 2. A little | 26 | 10 | 36 |
| 3. Moderately | 41 | 24 | 65 |
| 4. Very | 38 | 22 | 60 |
| 5. Extremely | 42 | 30 | 72 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1878 | 3770 | 5648 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Not at all | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 2. A little | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 3. Moderately | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 4. Very | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 5. Extremely | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.4 | 93.5 | 97.7 | 97.6 | 95.5 | 96.2 |

dem_occnow

Label: Working/TLO now - occupation
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-30
Description: Demographics
Question: What is your main occupation? (What kind of work do you do?
What are your most important activities or duties?)
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
/ IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DE-
TERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM
HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem_indnow

Label: Working/TLO - industry
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-31
Description: Demographics
Question: What kind of business or industry is that?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem_semplnow

Label: Working/TLO now - work for self
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-32
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed, or what?
 Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
 Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Someone else | 1092 | 1590 | 2682 |
| 2. | Both self and someone else | 49 | 145 | 194 |
| 3. | Self-employed | 159 | 210 | 369 |
| | | | | |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 750 | 1910 | 2660 |
| -8. | Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. | Refused | 2 | 5 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----|----------------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. | Someone else | 53.2 | 51.9 | 41.2 | 44.2 | 45.4 |
| 2. | Both self and someone else | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| 3. | Self-employed | 7.7 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 36.5 | 36.0 | 49.5 | 47.0 | 45.0 |
| -8. | Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. | Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_empgovnow

Label: Working/TLO now - work for govt
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-33
Description: Demographics
Question: Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG: IF R DOES NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF:
Logic: Ask if DEM SEMPLNOW in(1,2), else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 273 | 382 | 655 |
| 2. No | 849 | 1353 | 2202 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 913 | 2125 | 3038 |
| -8. Don't know | 19 | 0 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 13.3 | 11.1 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 10.3 |
| 2. No | 41.3 | 42.7 | 35.1 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 39.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 44.4 | 45.2 | 55.1 | 52.6 | 51.4 | 50.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

dem_hrsnow

Label: Working/TLO now - how many hours R works
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-34
Description: Demographics
Question: About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source: Respondent

77 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 2660 |
| 40 | 1203 |
| 50 | 300 |
| 45 | 236 |
| 30 | 150 |
| 35 | 149 |
| 60 | 134 |
| 20 | 121 |
| 25 | 83 |
| 55 | 63 |

dem_okhrsnow

Label: Working/TLO now - hours works OK
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-35
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Is that MORE hours than you want to work, FEWER hours than you want to work, or generally ABOUT RIGHT?
 Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG: IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/RF:
 Logic: Ask if DEM HRSNOW >=1 and DEM HRSNOW<=168, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. More | 246 | 419 | 665 |
| 2. Fewer | 208 | 306 | 514 |
| 3. About right | 834 | 1380 | 2214 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 764 | 1754 | 2518 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More | 12.0 | 14.0 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.8 |
| 2. Fewer | 10.1 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| 3. About right | 40.6 | 40.6 | 35.8 | 36.1 | 37.4 | 37.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 37.2 | 36.6 | 45.4 | 44.2 | 42.6 | 41.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem_losejob

Label: Working/TLO now: worry about losing job in near future
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-36
Description: Demographics
Question: How worried are you about losing your job in the near future? [Not at all, a little, moderately, very, or extremely worried / extremely, very, moderately, a little, or not at all worried]?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Not at all | 667 | 887 | 1554 |
| 2. A little | 284 | 564 | 848 |
| 3. Moderately | 184 | 310 | 494 |
| 4. Very | 69 | 99 | 168 |
| 5. Extremely | 95 | 87 | 182 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 750 | 1910 | 2660 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Not at all | 32.5 | 34.0 | 23.0 | 25.3 | 26.3 | 28.3 |
| 2. A little | 13.8 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 14.3 | 15.0 |
| 3. Moderately | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.1 |
| 4. Very | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
| 5. Extremely | 4.6 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 36.5 | 36.0 | 49.5 | 47.0 | 45.0 | 43.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_offwork

Label: Working now: out of work or laid off in last 6 mos
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-37
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?
 Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
 Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYPE includes 1 but not 5) or (DEM HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 154 | 188 | 342 |
| 2. | No | 1103 | 1760 | 2863 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 795 | 1910 | 2705 |
| -8. | Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 7.5 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 |
| 2. No | 53.7 | 55.1 | 45.6 | 47.2 | 48.4 | 49.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 38.7 | 37.6 | 49.5 | 47.0 | 45.7 | 43.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_hrsreduce

Label: Working now: had reduction in work hrs or pay cut
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-38
Description: Demographics
Question: During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?
Universe: IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYPE includes 1 but not 5) or (DEM HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 210 | 311 | 521 |
| 2. | No | 1046 | 1637 | 2683 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 795 | 1910 | 2705 |
| -8. | Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 10.2 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 9.0 |
| 2. No | 50.9 | 52.1 | 42.4 | 44.5 | 45.4 | 47.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 38.7 | 37.6 | 49.5 | 47.0 | 45.7 | 43.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_emptyesp_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Working now | 673 | 0 | 673 |
| 2. Temporarily laid off | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| 4. Unemployed | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| 5. Retired | 127 | 0 | 127 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| 7. Homemaker | 76 | 0 | 76 |
| 8. Student | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 3860 | 4868 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 0 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Working now | 32.8 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 13.8 |
| 2. Temporarily laid off | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Unemployed | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 5. Retired | 6.2 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 7. Homemaker | 3.7 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 8. Student | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82.3 | 78.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

dem_emptyesp_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 5. Retired | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| 7. Homemaker | 49 | 0 | 49 |
| 8. Student | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1935 | 3860 | 5795 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Retired | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6. Permanently disabled | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 7. Homemaker | 2.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 8. Student | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.2 | 93.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 97.7 |

dem_emptyesp_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 7. Homemaker | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 8. Student | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2046 | 3860 | 5906 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 7. Homemaker | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8. Student | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.6 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

dem_emptyesp_4th

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | 8. Student | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------------------|------|------|-------|
| | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 2053 | 3860 | 5913 |

Weighted Percentages

| | | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| | -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. | Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | -3. Restricted access | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | 1. Working now | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. | Temporarily laid off | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 4. Unemployed | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 5. Retired | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6. | Permanently disabled | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 7. Homemaker | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 8. Student | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem_emptyesp_work

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention working now

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 373 | 1000 | 1373 |
| 1. Selected by R | 673 | 1395 | 2068 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 18.2 | 23.6 | 25.9 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 24.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 32.8 | 39.6 | 36.1 | 36.8 | 35.0 | 37.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_emptyesp_layoff

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention temporarily laid off

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1020 | 2357 | 3377 |
| 1. Selected by R | 26 | 38 | 64 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 49.7 | 62.2 | 61.1 | 60.5 | 57.1 | 61.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_emptyesp_unemp

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention unemployed

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 969 | 2271 | 3240 |
| 1. Selected by R | 77 | 124 | 201 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 47.2 | 60.0 | 58.8 | 58.2 | 54.8 | 58.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_emptyesp_retire

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention retired

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 906 | 1873 | 2779 |
| 1. Selected by R | 140 | 522 | 662 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 44.1 | 51.7 | 48.5 | 50.5 | 47.0 | 50.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6.8 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_emptyesp_disabled

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention permanently disabled

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 985 | 2287 | 3272 |
| 1. Selected by R | 61 | 108 | 169 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 48.0 | 59.5 | 59.2 | 58.9 | 55.3 | 59.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_emptyesp_hmaker

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention homemaker

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 918 | 2153 | 3071 |
| 1. Selected by R | 128 | 242 | 370 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 44.7 | 55.6 | 55.8 | 55.4 | 51.9 | 55.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6.2 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_emptyesp_student

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention student

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 990 | 2345 | 3335 |
| 1. Selected by R | 56 | 50 | 106 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 48.2 | 60.5 | 60.8 | 59.9 | 56.4 | 60.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_emptyesp_dkrf

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1033 | 2385 | 3418 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1465 | 2473 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 50.3 | 62.7 | 61.8 | 61.3 | 57.8 | 61.8 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 38.3 | 41.8 | 37.7 |

dem_csesjobsp

Label: Spouse/partner job
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-40.5
Description: Demographics
Question: What is your [spouse's/partner's] main occupation? (What kind of work does your [spouse/partner] do? What are the most important activities or duties?)
Universe: IF R IS MARRIED/PARTNERED AND HAS MENTIONED SPOUSE/PARTNER IS WORKING:
Logic: Ask if EMPTYPESP has 1 coded for any mention, else skip. Load 'spouse' if DEM MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM PARTN=1.
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem_unionhh

Label: Anyone in HH belong to labor union
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-41.1
Description: Demographics
Question: Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union or to an employee association similar to a union?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 286 | 642 | 928 |
| 2. No | 1749 | 3208 | 4957 |
| -8. Don't know | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 10 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 13.9 | 16.2 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 15.7 | 16.4 |
| 2. No | 85.2 | 82.7 | 83.1 | 83.1 | 83.8 | 83.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

dem_unionwho_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered who belongs to labor union- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Respondent | 180 | 0 | 180 |
| 2. Spouse/partner | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| 3. Someone else | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1768 | 3860 | 5628 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Respondent | 8.8 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| 2. Spouse/partner | 3.7 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| 3. Someone else | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.1 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 94.4 |

dem_unionwho_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered who belongs to labor union- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 2. Spouse/partner | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| 3. Someone else | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2023 | 3860 | 5883 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Spouse/partner | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 3. Someone else | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.5 | 98.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 99.4 |

dem_unionwho_r

Label: PRE: Who belongs to labor union: mention Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 106 | 254 | 360 |
| 1. Selected by R | 180 | 388 | 568 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1768 | 3218 | 4986 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 5.2 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 7.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.8 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.1 | 83.8 | 83.4 | 83.5 | 84.3 | 83.6 |

dem_unionwho_spouse

Label: PRE: Who belongs to labor union: mention spouse

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 185 | 403 | 588 |
| 1. Selected by R | 101 | 239 | 340 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1768 | 3218 | 4986 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 9.0 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.9 | 10.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.9 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.1 | 83.8 | 83.4 | 83.5 | 84.3 | 83.6 |

dem_unionwho_personoth

Label: PRE: Who belongs to labor union: mention another person

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 250 | 575 | 825 |
| 1. Selected by R | 36 | 67 | 103 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1768 | 3218 | 4986 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 12.2 | 13.6 | 14.9 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 14.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.8 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.1 | 83.8 | 83.4 | 83.5 | 84.3 | 83.6 |

dem_unionwho_dkrf

Label: PRE: Who belongs to labor union: mention DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 286 | 640 | 926 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1768 | 3218 | 4986 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 13.9 | 16.2 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 16.4 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.1 | 83.8 | 83.4 | 83.5 | 84.3 | 83.6 |

dem_class

Label: Think of self as belonging to class
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-46
Description: Demographics
Question: There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1347 | 2697 | 4044 |
| 2. No | 665 | 1149 | 1814 |
| -8. Don't know | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 14 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 65.6 | 70.0 | 69.9 | 68.9 | 68.4 | 69.3 |
| 2. No | 32.4 | 27.8 | 29.8 | 30.5 | 30.7 | 29.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

dem_whichclass

Label: Social Class: working or middle
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-47
Description: Demographics
Question: Which one?
Universe: IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MEMBER OF EITHER MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:
Logic: Ask if DEM CLASS=1, else skip.
Web Logic: Online, display only response options 1 and 2. Bank with previous question, CLASS.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 0. Lower class or poor (VOL) | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| 1. Middle class | 620 | 1721 | 2341 |
| 2. Working class | 655 | 974 | 1629 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 4. Upper class (VOL) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 707 | 1163 | 1870 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Lower class or poor (VOL) | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 1. Middle class | 30.2 | 39.3 | 44.6 | 42.3 | 39.6 | 41.3 |
| 2. Working class | 31.9 | 27.4 | 25.2 | 26.5 | 27.5 | 26.9 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 4. Upper class (VOL) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 34.4 | 30.0 | 30.1 | 31.1 | 31.6 | 30.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

dem_whichclass_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Social Class: working or middle

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------|----------|
| <NULL> | 5912 |
| both | 1 |
| lower middle | <u>1</u> |

dem_chclass

Label: Social Class: had to choose working/middle
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-48
Description: Demographics
Question: Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself MIDDLE CLASS or WORKING CLASS?
Universe: IF R DOESN'T THINK/ DK IF THINKS OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:
Logic: Ask if DEM CLASS in(2,DK), else skip.
Web Logic: Online, display only response options 1 and 2.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Upper class (VOL) | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 1. Middle class | 196 | 492 | 688 |
| 2. Working class | 378 | 646 | 1024 |
| 3. Neither (VOL) | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| 4. Lower class or poor (VOL) | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1358 | 2697 | 4055 |
| -8. Don't know | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 25 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Upper class (VOL) | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 1. Middle class | 9.5 | 10.4 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 11.8 |
| 2. Working class | 18.4 | 13.9 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 16.3 |
| 3. Neither (VOL) | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 4. Lower class or poor (VOL) | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 66.1 | 70.6 | 69.9 | 68.9 | 68.6 | 69.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

dem_chclass_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Social Class: had to choose working/middle

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------|
| <NULL> | 5911 |
| first class | 1 |
| I don't believe in classes. | 1 |
| I thought the middle class was the working class | 1 |

dem_avgclass

Label: Social class: average or upper working/middle class
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-49
Description: Demographics
Question: Would you say that you are about AVERAGE [middle/working] class
or that you are in the UPPER PART of the [middle/working] class?
]
Universe: IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MIDDLE CLASS / IF R THINKS OF SELF
AS WORKING CLASS:
Logic: Ask if DEM WHICHCLASS in(1,2) or DEM CHCLASS in(1,2), else
skip. Load 'middle' if DEM WHICHCLASS=1 or DEM CHCLASS=1;
load 'working' if DEM WHICHCLASS=2 or DEM CHCLASS=2.
Web Logic: Online, display only response options 1 and 2.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Lower class or poor (VOL) | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| 1. Average <middle/working> class | 1408 | 2996 | 4404 |
| 2. Upper <middle/working> class | 292 | 825 | 1117 |
| 3. Lower <middle/working class> (VOL) | 84 | 0 | 84 |
| 4. Upper class (VOL) | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 205 | 27 | 232 |
| -8. Don't know | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 12 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Lower class or poor (VOL) | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 1. Average <middle/working> class | 68.5 | 66.0 | 77.6 | 79.3 | 74.5 | 74.7 |
| 2. Upper <middle/working> class | 14.2 | 17.9 | 21.4 | 19.4 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| 3. Lower <middle/working class> (VOL) | 4.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 4. Upper class (VOL) | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 10.0 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

dem_avgclass_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Social class: avg or upper working/middle class

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------|----------|
| <NULL> | 5910 |
| Lower middle class | 1 |
| middle | 1 |
| neither | 1 |
| None | <u>1</u> |

dem_hisp

Label: R: Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-50.5
Description: Demographics
Question: Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 472 | 533 | 1005 |
| 2. No | 1577 | 3314 | 4891 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 13 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 23.0 | 10.8 | 13.8 | 11.2 | 17.0 | 11.1 |
| 2. No | 76.8 | 89.0 | 85.9 | 88.4 | 82.7 | 88.6 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

dem_hisptyp_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered mentions Hispanic type - 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Mexican | 91 | 0 | 91 |
| 2. Mexican-American | 199 | 0 | 199 |
| 3. Chicano | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| 4. Puerto Rican | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 5. Cuban | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 6. Cuban-American | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 7. Other | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3860 | 5442 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Mexican | 4.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| 2. Mexican-American | 9.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| 3. Chicano | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 4. Puerto Rican | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 5. Cuban | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6. Cuban-American | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 7. Other | 3.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 96.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem_hisptyp_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered mentions Hispanic type - 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Mexican | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2. Mexican-American | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 3. Chicano | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 4. Puerto Rican | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5. Cuban | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 7. Other | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2032 | 3860 | 5892 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Mexican-American | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. Chicano | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5. Cuban | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. Other | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.9 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.8 |

dem_hisptyp_mex

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Mexican

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 381 | 409 | 790 |
| 1. Selected by R | 91 | 124 | 215 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 18.5 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 13.4 | 8.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.4 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hisptyp_mexam

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Mexican-American

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 266 | 340 | 606 |
| 1. Selected by R | 206 | 193 | 399 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 13.0 | 7.2 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 10.2 | 7.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 10.0 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 6.7 | 4.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hisptyp_chicano

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Chicano

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 424 | 502 | 926 |
| 1. Selected by R | 48 | 31 | 79 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 20.6 | 10.1 | 13.0 | 10.5 | 15.7 | 10.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hisptyp_puertorican

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Puerto Rican

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 426 | 469 | 895 |
| 1. Selected by R | 46 | 64 | 110 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 20.7 | 9.5 | 12.2 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 9.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hisptyp_cuban

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Cuban

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 459 | 495 | 954 |
| 1. Selected by R | 13 | 38 | 51 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 22.3 | 10.3 | 12.8 | 10.9 | 16.1 | 10.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hisptyp_cubanam

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Cuban-American

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 468 | 513 | 981 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4 | 20 | 24 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 22.8 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 10.9 | 16.6 | 10.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hisptyp_othhisp

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention other Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 392 | 431 | 823 |
| 1. Selected by R | 80 | 102 | 182 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 19.1 | 8.2 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 13.9 | 8.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hisptyp_dkrf

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 465 | 533 | 998 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 22.6 | 10.6 | 13.8 | 11.2 | 16.9 | 11.0 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem_hispoth

Label: R: Other Hispanic group
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-51.6
Description: Demographics
Question: What is the name of your other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino group?
Universe: IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO: IF SPANISH/HISPANIC/LATINO GROUP IS 'OTHER' FOR ANY MENTION:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISPTYP mentions include code 7, else skip.
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_hispmost

Label: R: Hispanic group R most identifies with
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-51.7
 Description: Demographics
 Question: Which group do you most closely identify with?
 Universe: IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO: IF R GIVES MORE THAN 1 SPANISH/HISPANIC/LATINO MENTION:
 Logic: Ask if count of mentions in DEM HISPTYP having values in(1,2,3,4,5,6,7) totals more than 1; else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Mexican | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 2. Mexican-American | 7 | 22 | 29 |
| 3. Chicano | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 4. Puerto Rican | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5. Cuban | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 6. Cuban-American | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 7. Other (SPECIFY) | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2032 | 3827 | 5859 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Mexican | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 2. Mexican-American | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 3. Chicano | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5. Cuban | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 6. Cuban-American | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.9 | 99.6 | 99.1 | 99.4 | 99.1 | 99.4 |

dem_hispmost_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Hispanic group R most identifies with

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_racecps_1st

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: FTF ONLY: Ordered race self-identification: 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| | 01. White | 1286 | 0 | 1286 |
| | 02. Black or African-American | 554 | 0 | 554 |
| | 03. American Indian or Alaska Native | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| | 04. Asian | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| | 05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| | 90. Other mention is 'none' or 'neither' (VOL) | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | 95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90> | 74 | 0 | 74 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| | -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| | -9. Refused | 15 | 0 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. White | 62.6 | 81.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 28.2 |
| 02. Black or African-American | 27.0 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 4.4 |
| 03. American Indian or Alaska Native | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 04. Asian | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 90. Other mention is 'none' or 'neither' (VOL) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90> | 3.6 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

dem_racecps_2nd

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: FTF ONLY: Ordered race self-identification:
2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 01. White | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 02. Black or African-American | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| 03. American Indian or Alaska Native | 71 | 0 | 71 |
| 04. Asian | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 90. Other mention is 'none' or 'neither' (VOL) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90> | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 3860 | 5789 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 02. Black or African-American | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 03. American Indian or Alaska Native | 3.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 04. Asian | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90> | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 95.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 98.4 |

dem_racecps_3rd

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: FTF ONLY: Ordered race self-identification: 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 01. White | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 03. American Indian or Alaska Native | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 04. Asian | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90> | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2038 | 3860 | 5898 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 03. American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.2 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.8 |

dem_racecps_white

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention White

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 768 | 807 | 1575 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1286 | 3053 | 4339 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.4 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 20.0 | 26.6 | 19.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 62.6 | 81.2 | 79.1 | 80.0 | 73.4 | 80.4 |

dem_racecps_black

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention Black

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1476 | 3306 | 4782 |
| 1. Selected by R | 578 | 554 | 1132 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 71.9 | 86.3 | 85.6 | 87.4 | 80.9 | 87.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 28.1 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 12.6 | 19.1 | 12.9 |

dem_racecps_native

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Race self-identification: mention Native American

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1937 | 3771 | 5708 |
| 1. Selected by R | 117 | 89 | 206 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 94.3 | 96.3 | 97.7 | 98.1 | 96.5 | 97.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.7 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.5 |

dem_racecps_asian

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Race self-identification: mention Asian

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 2023 | 3784 | 5807 |
| 1. Selected by R | 31 | 76 | 107 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 98.5 | 98.4 | 98.0 | 97.7 | 98.2 | 97.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 |

dem_racecps_pacif

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Race self-identification: mention Pacific Islander

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 2034 | 3849 | 5883 |
| 1. Selected by R | 20 | 11 | 31 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 99.0 | 99.4 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

dem_racecps_othrace

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention other race

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1959 | 3674 | 5633 |
| 1. Selected by R | 95 | 186 | 281 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 95.4 | 97.1 | 95.2 | 96.3 | 95.2 | 96.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.6 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.4 |

dem_racecps_racedkrf

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1989 | 3833 | 5822 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 15 | 27 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 96.8 | 98.7 | 99.3 | 99.1 | 98.4 | 99.0 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

dem_racecps_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Race self-identification

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_raceeth

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- R race and ethnicity group

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. White non-Hispanic | 918 | 2577 | 3495 |
| 2. Black non-Hispanic | 511 | 505 | 1016 |
| 3. Hispanic | 472 | 533 | 1005 |
| 4. Other non-Hispanic | 144 | 225 | 369 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 20 | 27 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. White non-Hispanic | 44.7 | 70.6 | 66.8 | 70.5 | 59.1 | 70.5 |
| 2. Black non-Hispanic | 24.9 | 11.9 | 13.1 | 11.8 | 17.2 | 11.9 |
| 3. Hispanic | 23.0 | 10.8 | 13.8 | 11.2 | 17.0 | 11.1 |
| 4. Other non-Hispanic | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

dem_ethnic

Label: Ethnic or nationality group
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-52.51
Description: Demographics
Question: In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem_numeth

Label: FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: number of ethnic mentions
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-52.6
 Description: Demographics
 Question: INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R:
 Logic: Onscreen, please display the text of the response to DEM ETHNIC for the IWR to view when coding this variable.
 Source: IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. None in addition to American (incl DK) and R did not refuse or indicate no knowledge | 412 | 0 | 412 |
| 1. One | 1300 | 0 | 1300 |
| 2. More than one (two or more) | 316 | 0 | 316 |
| 3. Respondent refused to answer or R said no knowledge of own ethnicity (e.g. adopted) | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None in addition to American (incl DK) and R did not refuse or indicate no knowledge | 20.1 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 7.7 |
| 1. One | 63.3 | 56.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 19.6 |
| 2. More than one (two or more) | 15.4 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 7.0 |
| 3. Respondent refused to answer or R said no knowledge of own ethnicity (e.g. adopted) | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

dem_mosteth

Label: Ethnic group most close
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-53
Description: Demographics
Question: With which of these groups do you most closely identify?
Universe: IF R MENTIONED MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP:
Logic: Ask if DEM NUMETH=2, else skip.
Web Logic: Online, the UNIVERSE for this question is all Rs who type more than 9 characters in response to ETHNIC. The QUESTION text to display is ♦With which single ethnic or nationality group do you most closely identify?♦
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem_parents

Label: Native status of parents
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-57
Description: Demographics
Question: Where were your parents born? Were they both born in the U.S., was only one born in the U.S., or were both born in another country?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Both parents born in the U.S. | 1582 | 3097 | 4679 |
| 2. One parent born in the U.S. | 140 | 264 | 404 |
| 3. Neither parent born in the U.S. | 325 | 489 | 814 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 10 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Both parents born in the U.S. | 77.0 | 83.3 | 80.2 | 82.2 | 79.1 | 82.6 |
| 2. One parent born in the U.S. | 6.8 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 |
| 3. Neither parent born in the U.S. | 15.8 | 10.7 | 12.7 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 10.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

dem_nativity

Label: Rs: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or some other count
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-58
 Description: Demographics
 Question: In what state, country, or territory were you born?
 Logic: All respondents asked.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A U.S. state or D.C. | 1815 | 3412 | 5227 |
| 2. Puerto Rico | 16 | 34 | 50 |
| 3. Another U.S. territory (Guam, Amer. Samoa, US Virgin Islands) | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| 4. Another country (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 1,2,3> | 215 | 347 | 562 |
| 11. U.S. mention for Other Specify | 5 | 30 | 35 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 18 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A U.S. state or D.C. | 88.4 | 91.6 | 88.4 | 90.1 | 88.4 | 90.6 |
| 2. Puerto Rico | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 3. Another U.S. territory (Guam, Amer. Samoa, US Virgin Islands) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 4. Another country (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 1,2,3> | 10.5 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 9.5 | 7.4 |
| 11. U.S. mention for Other Specify | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

dem_nativity_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Oth spec- R: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or other country

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_hispgpar

Label: LATINO Rs: how many grandparents born outside the U.S.
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-59
 Description: Demographics
 Question: How many of your grandparents, if any, were born outside the U.S.A.?
 Universe: IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
 Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. None | 104 | 131 | 235 |
| 1. One | 33 | 33 | 66 |
| 2. Two | 87 | 77 | 164 |
| 3. Three | 14 | 15 | 29 |
| 4. All | 210 | 276 | 486 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |
| -8. Don't know | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 5.1 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| 1. One | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 2. Two | 4.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| 3. Three | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 4. All | 10.2 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 5.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

dem_hispcntry

Label: LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-60
Description: Demographics
Question: Families of Hispanic or Latino background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?
Universe: IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| 1. Argentina | 0 |
| 2. Bolivia | 0 |
| 3. Chile | 0 |
| 4. Colombia | 0 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| | |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| | |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| | |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_hispcntry_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_arr

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: NON-US BORN Rs: When did R arrive in U.S.

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_arrperiod

Label: PRE: NON-US BORN Rs: Time period when R arrived in U.S.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Arrived before 1970 | | 41 | 0 | 41 |
| 2. Arrived 1970-1989 | | 92 | 0 | 92 |
| 3. Arrived 1990-1999 | | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| 4. Arrived 2000-2012 | | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 1818 | 3860 | 5678 |
| -8. Don't know | | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Arrived before 1970 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 2. Arrived 1970-1989 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| 3. Arrived 1990-1999 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 4. Arrived 2000-2012 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.5 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 97.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_cit

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: NON-US BORN Rs: In what year did R become a U.S. citizen

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------|
| 7777. Born outside the U.S. but born a U.S. citizen (VOL) | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem_citperiod

Label: PRE: NON-US BORN Rs: Time period when R became citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Became citizen before 1970 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 2. Became citizen 1970-1989 | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| 3. Became citizen 1990-1999 | 47 | 0 | 47 |
| 4. Became citizen 2000-2012 | 99 | 0 | 99 |
| 7. Born outside the U.S. but born a U.S. citizen (VOL) | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1818 | 3860 | 5678 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 0 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Became citizen before 1970 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 2. Became citizen 1970-1989 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 3. Became citizen 1990-1999 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 4. Became citizen 2000-2012 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 7. Born outside the U.S. but born a U.S. citizen (VOL) | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.5 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 97.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem_hisplang

Label: LATINO Rs: language at home
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-63
 Description: Demographics
 Question: What language do you primarily speak at home with your family?
 Is it only English, mostly English, only Spanish, mostly Spanish,
 or both languages equally?
 Universe: IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
 Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Only English | 148 | 145 | 293 |
| 2. Mostly English | 85 | 90 | 175 |
| 3. Both languages equally | 141 | 166 | 307 |
| 4. Mostly Spanish | 64 | 98 | 162 |
| 5. Only Spanish | 34 | 33 | 67 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1582 | 3327 | 4909 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Only English | 7.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 3.6 |
| 2. Mostly English | 4.1 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.9 |
| 3. Both languages equally | 6.9 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| 4. Mostly Spanish | 3.1 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| 5. Only Spanish | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.0 | 89.2 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 83.0 | 88.9 |

dem2_ch10less

Label: How many children in HH age 10 and younger
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-1
Description: Demographics2
Question: How many children age 10 or younger live in this household?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. No children age 10 or younger | 1451 | 3107 | 4558 |
| 1. One child age 10 or younger | 289 | 739 | 1028 |
| 2. Two or more children age 10 or younger | 312 | 0 | 312 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 14 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No children age 10 or younger | 70.6 | 72.7 | 80.5 | 76.8 | 77.1 | 75.4 |
| 1. One child age 10 or younger | 14.1 | 13.8 | 19.1 | 22.5 | 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 2. Two or more children age 10 or younger | 15.2 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

dem2_ch11to17

Label: How many children in HH age 11-17
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-2
 Description: Demographics2
 Question: How many children ages 11 to 17 live in this household?/ How many children ages 11 to 17 live in this household including you?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT 17 YEARS OLD/ IF R IS 17 YEARS OLD:
 Logic: "Logic should be simpler as: If DEM BIRTHYR=1994 and DEM BIRTHMO <> current month, use ""including you"" else omit phrase"
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No children age 11-17 | 1638 | 3249 | 4887 |
| 1. One child age 11-17 | 271 | 595 | 866 |
| 2. Two or more children age 11-17 | 142 | 0 | 142 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 16 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No children age 11-17 | 79.7 | 81.7 | 84.2 | 83.2 | 82.6 | 82.7 |
| 1. One child age 11-17 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 15.4 | 16.1 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 2. Two or more children age 11-17 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

dem2_numchild

Label: PRE: Total Number of children in HH

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No children in household | 1235 | 2765 | 4000 |
| 1. One child in household | 319 | 464 | 783 |
| 2. Two children in household | 281 | 414 | 695 |
| 3. Three or more children in household | 216 | 217 | 433 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No children in household | 60.1 | 62.0 | 71.6 | 68.0 | 67.6 | 65.9 |
| 1. One child in household | 15.5 | 15.8 | 12.0 | 13.9 | 13.2 | 14.5 |
| 2. Two children in household | 13.7 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 12.6 |
| 3. Three or more children in household | 10.5 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

dem2_schenrl_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered school enrollment of child(ren)- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Public school | 627 | 0 | 627 |
| 2. | Private religious school | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| 3. | Private non-religious school | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 4. | Home school | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 5. | Not enrolled in school | 114 | 0 | 114 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1238 | 3860 | 5098 |
| -8. | Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Public school | 30.5 | 27.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 2. Private religious school | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| 3. Private non-religious school | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 4. Home school | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 5. Not enrolled in school | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.3 | 62.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 86.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem2_schenrl_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered school enrollment of child(ren)- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 2. Private religious school | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 3. Private non-religious school | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 4. Home school | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5. Not enrolled in school | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2017 | 3860 | 5877 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Private religious school | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 3. Private non-religious school | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Home school | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 5. Not enrolled in school | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.2 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.3 |

dem2_schenrl_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered school enrollment of child(ren)- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 3. Private non-religious school | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. Home school | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2052 | 3860 | 5912 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.9 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1. Public school | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Private religious school | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. Private non-religious school | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. Home school | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Not enrolled in school | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dem2_schenrl_public

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention public

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 189 | 310 | 499 |
| 1. Selected by R | 627 | 785 | 1412 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1238 | 2765 | 4003 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 9.2 | 10.3 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 9.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 30.5 | 27.6 | 20.3 | 22.5 | 23.9 | 24.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.3 | 62.1 | 71.6 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 66.0 |

dem2_schenrl_privrel

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention private religious

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 773 | 1001 | 1774 |
| 1. Selected by R | 43 | 94 | 137 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1238 | 2765 | 4003 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.6 | 35.0 | 25.9 | 29.8 | 30.0 | 31.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.3 | 62.1 | 71.6 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 66.0 |

dem2_schenrl_privnonrel

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention private nonreligious

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 791 | 1060 | 1851 |
| 1. Selected by R | 25 | 35 | 60 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1238 | 2765 | 4003 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.5 | 36.6 | 27.5 | 31.1 | 31.3 | 33.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.3 | 62.1 | 71.6 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 66.0 |

dem2_schenrl_home

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention home school

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 798 | 1046 | 1844 |
| 1. Selected by R | 18 | 49 | 67 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1238 | 2765 | 4003 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.9 | 36.5 | 27.1 | 30.8 | 31.2 | 32.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.3 | 62.1 | 71.6 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 66.0 |

dem2_schenrl_enrollno

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention no enrollment

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 678 | 924 | 1602 |
| 1. Selected by R | 138 | 171 | 309 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1238 | 2765 | 4003 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 33.0 | 31.3 | 23.9 | 25.7 | 27.1 | 27.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6.7 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 6.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.3 | 62.1 | 71.6 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 66.0 |

dem2_schenrl_enrolldkrf

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 812 | 1094 | 1906 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1238 | 2765 | 4003 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.5 | 37.7 | 28.3 | 31.9 | 32.2 | 33.9 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.3 | 62.1 | 71.6 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 66.0 |

dem2_inethome

Label: Internet use at home
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-6
Description: Demographics2
Question: Do you or does anyone in this household connect to the Internet from home?
Web Logic: Online, change 'this' to 'your' and set 'from home' in bold type.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1607 | 3533 | 5140 |
| 2. No | 447 | 317 | 764 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 10 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 78.2 | 84.4 | 91.5 | 89.6 | 86.9 | 87.8 |
| 2. No | 21.8 | 15.6 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 12.9 | 11.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

dem2_inettyp

Label: Broadband or dial-up home Internet connection
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-7
Description: Demographics2
Question: Do you currently access the Internet at home using a regular 'dial-up' telephone, DSL, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi), mobile phone or PDA, or some other broadband internet connection, or something else?
Universe: IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD CONNECTS TO THE INTERNET FROM HOME:
Logic: Ask if DEM2 INETHOME=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. A regular 'dial-up' telephone | 29 | 334 | 363 |
| 2. DSL, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi), mobile phone or | 1555 | 3153 | 4708 |
| 3. Something else | 8 | 45 | 53 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 447 | 327 | 774 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A regular 'dial-up' telephone | 1.4 | 1.3 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| 2. DSL, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi), mobile phone or | 75.7 | 82.3 | 81.7 | 78.6 | 79.6 | 79.9 |
| 3. Something else | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 21.8 | 15.6 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 13.1 | 12.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

dem2_landline

Label: Number of landline telephone numbers at home
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-8
Description: Demographics2
Question: How many residential landline telephone numbers are there in your household? A residential landline number is a phone number that can be used to call your home, that is not only for a business, and that is not a cell phone.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|------|------|-------|
| 0 | 917 | 891 | 1808 |
| 1 | 1065 | 2695 | 3760 |
| 2 | 59 | 165 | 224 |
| 3 | 5 | 56 | 61 |
| 4 | 5 | 26 | 31 |
| 5 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| -8 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9 | 1 | 21 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 44.6 | 35.3 | 23.1 | 29.5 | 30.6 | 31.5 |
| 1 | 51.9 | 59.7 | 69.8 | 63.7 | 63.6 | 62.3 |
| 2 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| 3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

dem2_cellnum

Label: Number of cell phone numbers in household
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-9
Description: Demographics2
Question: How many cell phone numbers are there in your household that are ever answered for personal calls?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 0 | 104 | 301 | 405 |
| 1 | 668 | 1107 | 1775 |
| 2 | 763 | 1580 | 2343 |
| 3 | 299 | 484 | 783 |
| 4 | 156 | 265 | 421 |
| 5 | 53 | 75 | 128 |
| 6 | 8 | 19 | 27 |
| 7 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| -8 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9 | 1 | 20 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| 1 | 32.5 | 22.7 | 28.7 | 27.2 | 30.0 | 25.6 |
| 2 | 37.1 | 42.1 | 40.9 | 41.4 | 39.6 | 41.6 |
| 3 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 13.7 |
| 4 | 7.6 | 10.4 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| 5 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| 6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

dem2_cellpers

Label: Does R personally have a cell phone
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-10
Description: Demographics2
Question: Do you personally have a cell phone, or not?
Universe: IF AT LEAST 1 CELL PHONE NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD:
Logic: Ask if DEM2 CELLCNUM > 0 or DK, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1859 | 3347 | 5206 |
| 2. No | 89 | 192 | 281 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 105 | 321 | 426 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 90.5 | 90.8 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 88.0 | 87.5 |
| 2. No | 4.3 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 5.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5.1 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 7.4 |

dem2_smartph

Label: Is R's cell phone a smartphone
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-11
 Description: Demographics2
 Question: Do you have a 'smartphone' or not? By 'smartphone,' we mean a cell phone that will run apps and connect to the Internet, like an iPhone, Blackberry, or Android phone.
 Universe: IF R HAS A CELL PHONE:
 Logic: Ask if DEM2 CELLPERS=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have a smartphone | 1022 | 1566 | 2588 |
| 2. No smartphone | 832 | 1780 | 2612 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 195 | 513 | 708 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have a smartphone | 49.8 | 49.8 | 40.6 | 41.9 | 43.8 | 44.7 |
| 2. No smartphone | 40.5 | 40.6 | 46.1 | 43.8 | 44.2 | 42.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 9.5 | 9.2 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 12.0 | 12.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dem3_grewup

Label: Where R grew up
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-1
Description: Demographics3
Question: Where was it that you grew up?
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -2. Missing; coded in forthcoming release | 5914 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem3_yearscomm

Label: How long lived in this community YRS
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-2
Description: Demographics3
Question: How long have you lived in your present community? YEARS:
Universe: IF R LIVES IN CITY/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWN/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWNSHIP/ IF R LIVES IN RURAL AREA OF COUNTY:
Logic: Message should be: Time period greater than age. If birthyear not in(DK,RF) and CITYYR not in(DK,RF) and ((2012-birthyear)+1) < CITYYR then the message should display 'Time period grater than age'
Source: Respondent

23 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|-------|
| 40. 40 or more years | 811 |
| 01. 0-1 years | 576 |
| 03. 2-1 years | 518 |
| 05. 4-1 years | 449 |
| 07. 6-1 years | 397 |
| 11. 10-11 years | 370 |
| 09. 8-1 years | 311 |
| 13. 12-13 years | 290 |
| 21. 20-21 years | 284 |
| 15. 14-15 years | 273 |

dem3_moscomm

Label: How long lived in this community MONTHS
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-2.2
Description: Demographics3
Question: MONTHS:
Universe: IF R LIVES IN CITY/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWN/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWNSHIP/ IF R LIVES IN RURAL AREA OF COUNTY:
Logic: If DEM2 CITYMON > 11 and DEM2 CITYYR > 0 then error message('more than 11 months cannot be entered if number of years is 1 or greater').
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem3_prevzip

Label: Zip Code of previous residence
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-4
Description: Demographics3
Question: What was the zip code where you previously lived?
Universe: IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS:
Logic: Calculate total months in community as variable commtot: if DEM3 CITYYYR ge 0 and DEM3 CITYYYR le 100 and DEM3 CITYMON not in(DK,RF) then commtot = (DEM3 CITYYYR * 12) + DEM3 CITYMON elseif DEM3 CITYYYR ge 0 and DEM3 CITYYYR le 100 and DEM3 CITYMON in(DK,RF) then commtot=12*DEM3 CITYYYR else if DEM3 CITYYYR=777 and agecalc R is not missing then commtot= agecalc R * 12 else commtot = missing. Ask if (commtot ge 0 and commtot lt 24) or (commtot =missing). Length of response field limited to 5 chars. Entry should be checked as follows: a) if length of entry < 5 then error message ('enter zip code 5- digit value'); b) if char string does not convert to numeric value then error message ('nonnumeric value, please correct'); c) if converted value of char string does not evaluate to a numeric value between 500 and 99999 then error message ('not a valid zip code for the U.S. or Puerto Rico (00500-99999)').
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem3_prevcty

Label: City/state of previous residence
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-4.5
Description: Demographics3
Question: What was the city and state where you previously lived?
Universe: IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS: IF R DOES NOT KNOW THE ZIP CODE OF WHERE PREVIOUSLY LIVED:
Logic: Ask if DEM3 PREVZIP = DK, else skip
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem3_ownhome

Label: Does R family own/rent home
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-6
 Description: Demographics3
 Question: [Do you/Does your family] own your home, pay rent, or what?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS / IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
 Logic: If FINANCE FINFAM = 0 then use 'you' If FINANCE FINFAM > 0 or in(DK,RF) or missing use 'your family living here'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|-----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Own home | 1110 | 2797 | 3907 |
| 2. | Pay rent | 908 | 962 | 1870 |
| 5. | Other (SPECIFY) | 26 | 86 | 112 |
| -8. | Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. | Refused | 4 | 15 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | | |
|-----|-----------------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | |
| 1. | Own home | 54.0 | 70.2 | 72.5 | 71.6 | 66.1 | 71.1 |
| 2. | Pay rent | 44.2 | 28.0 | 24.9 | 25.4 | 31.6 | 26.3 |
| 5. | Other (SPECIFY) | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| -8. | Don't know | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. | Refused | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 |

dem3_ownhome_othtxt

Label: PRE: Other specify- Does R family own/rent home

89 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| <NULL> | | 5819 |
| <REDACTED EMPLOYER-PROVIDED HOUSING> | | 4 |
| buying | | 2 |
| mortgage | | 2 |
| Mortgage | | 2 |
| pay mortgage | | 2 |
| <REDACTED NAME OF NURSING HOME> | | 1 |
| 10 yr leaase | | 1 |
| 5th wheel rv | | 1 |
| both own and rent (2 homes) | | 1 |

dem3_namech

Label: Name ever changed
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-7
 Description: Demographics3
 Question: Has your name ever changed or have you never changed your first or last name?/ Has your name ever changed (for example, because you got married), or have you never changed your first or last name?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT FEMALE/ IF R IS FEMALE:
 Logic: If GEND GENDOBS = 2 load '(for example, because you got married)', otherwise not.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have changed name | 698 | 1216 | 1914 |
| 2. Never changed name | 1347 | 2624 | 3971 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 20 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have changed name | 34.0 | 36.5 | 31.5 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 33.8 |
| 2. Never changed name | 65.6 | 63.1 | 68.0 | 66.9 | 67.1 | 65.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

dem3_namechyr

Label: Year of most recent change
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-8
Description: Demographics3
Question: What year did you most recently change your name?
Universe: IF R HAS CHANGED NAME:
Logic: Ask if DEM3 NAME3=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem3_altname

Label: Use of alternate names
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-9
Description: Demographics3
Question: Have you ever gone by an alternative or different name?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 320 | 590 | 910 |
| 2 . No | 1723 | 3253 | 4976 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 17 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 15.6 | 17.2 | 15.3 | 15.6 | 15.4 | 16.1 |
| 2 . No | 83.9 | 82.3 | 84.3 | 83.7 | 84.1 | 83.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

dem3_lenaddr

Label: Years R lived at address
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-10
Description: Demographics3
Question: How many years have you lived at this address?
Logic: Message should be: Time period greater than age. If birthyear not in(DK,RF) and LENADDRE not in(DK,RF) and ((2012-birthyear)) > LENADDR then the message should display
Source: Respondent

11 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| 1. Number of years: 0-1 years | 938 | |
| 8. Number of years: 20-29 years | 872 | |
| 6. Number of years: 10-14 years | 840 | |
| 2. Number of years: 2-3 years | 771 | |
| 9. Number of years: 30 or more years | 697 | |
| 3. Number of years: 4-5 years | 568 | |
| 7. Number of years: 15-19 years | 557 | |
| 5. Number of years: 8-9 years | 440 | |
| 4. Number of years: 6-7 years | 177 | |
| -9. Refused | 48 | |

dem3_add5yr_state

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: If R has lived in current state <5yrs, R state 5 yrs ago

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

dem3_add5yr_samestate

Label: PRE: If R has lived in current state <5yrs, R state 5 yrs ago same

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

dem3_driver

Label: Have Driver's License
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-12
Description: Demographics3
Question: Do you have a non-expired Driver's License?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have a non-expired driver's license | 1686 | 3271 | 4957 |
| 2. Do not have one | 365 | 566 | 931 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 23 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have a non-expired driver's license | 82.1 | 86.1 | 84.7 | 82.7 | 83.8 | 83.9 |
| 2. Do not have one | 17.8 | 13.7 | 14.7 | 16.3 | 15.7 | 15.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

dem3_passport

Label: Have passport
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-13
Description: Demographics3
Question: Do you now have a non-expired U.S. passport, or do you not have one?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have a valid U.S. passport | 696 | 1779 | 2475 |
| 2. Do not have one | 1347 | 2063 | 3410 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 18 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have a valid U.S. passport | 33.9 | 39.3 | 46.1 | 41.6 | 41.8 | 40.8 |
| 2. Do not have one | 65.6 | 60.1 | 53.4 | 57.5 | 57.7 | 58.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 |

dem3_govtid

Label: Have government issued ID
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-14
 Description: Demographics3
 Question: Do you have another form of non-expired, government issued photo ID, such as a state-issued ID or military ID?
 Universe: IF R DOES NOT HAVE A NON-EXPIRED DRIVER'S LICENSE: IF R DOES NOT HAVE A NON-EXPIRED U.S. PASSPORT:
 Logic: Ask if DEM3 DRIVLIC <> 1 and DEM3 PASSPORT <> 1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have another form of ID | 166 | 205 | 371 |
| 2. Do not have | 146 | 213 | 359 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1740 | 3427 | 5167 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 15 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have another form of ID | 8.1 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 5.9 |
| 2. Do not have | 7.1 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 6.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.7 | 88.1 | 88.8 | 86.9 | 87.4 | 87.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

casistart_iwrset4

Label: FTF CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 4
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 62.9-4
Description: CASI intro and practice screens Pre
Question: You answer questions by touching the response option with the pen or your finger. When a response is selected, it will turn orange. To answer a question, touch the response you want and then press next [>>]. Do you have a dog?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 898 | 0 | 898 |
| 2. No | 1153 | 0 | 1153 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3 | 3860 | 3863 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 43.7 | 47.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 16.5 |
| 2. No | 56.1 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 18.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.4 |

casistart_iwrset6

Label: FTF CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 6
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 62.9-6
Description: CASI intro and practice screens Pre
Question: Other questions will ask you to type an answer in words instead of selecting a response from a list. This morning what did you eat for breakfast? Type in what you ate for breakfast and press next [>>].
Source: Respondent

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------------|
| 0. No text entered | 0 |
| 1. Text entered | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -2. Missing; available in forthcoming release | <u>2054</u> |

selfgend_gender

Label: FTF CASI ONLY: are you (R) male or female
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.1-1
 Description: CASI: Self-identification of gender
 Question: Are you male or female?
 Universe: IF IWR HAS NOT RECORDED OBSERVATION OF GENDER AT START OF INTERVIEW:
 Logic: Ask if GEND GENDOBS = DK, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| -9. Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3860 | 5914 |
| 1. Male | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Female | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1. Male | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Female | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

wealth_stocks

Label: CASI Money invested in Stock Market
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-1
Description: CASI: Assets
Question: Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 539 | 1836 | 2375 |
| 2. No | 1408 | 1983 | 3391 |
| -8. Don't know | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| -9. Refused | 71 | 41 | 112 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 26.2 | 37.4 | 47.6 | 41.7 | 40.2 | 40.2 |
| 2. No | 68.5 | 56.4 | 51.4 | 56.8 | 57.3 | 56.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 3.5 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.4 |

wealth_whoinvest

Label: CASI Work or own investments
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-1.1
 Description: CASI: Assets
 Question: Is that from investments through work, investments made on your own, or both?
 Universe: IF R HAS MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET:
 Logic: Ask if WEALTH STOCKS =1, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with previous question, STOCKS.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Investments through work | 189 | 443 | 632 |
| 2. Investments made on your own | 140 | 587 | 727 |
| 3. Both | 198 | 803 | 1001 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1515 | 2024 | 3539 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 3 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Investments through work | 9.2 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 10.7 | 11.2 |
| 2. Investments made on your own | 6.8 | 10.3 | 15.2 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 12.0 |
| 3. Both | 9.6 | 15.6 | 20.8 | 17.3 | 16.9 | 16.7 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 73.8 | 62.6 | 52.4 | 58.3 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

wealth_vachome

Label: CASI Owned vacation home
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-2
Description: CASI: Assets
Question: Which, if any, of the following have you done? Have you ever owned a vacation home that was not your main residence, or not?
Web Logic: Online, display items 63.25-2 through 63.25-6 on the same screen in a grid. The stem should ask ♦Which, if any, of the following have you ever done?♦ The response columns should be labeled ♦Have done this♦ and ♦Have not done this♦. The stems should all consist only of the verb phrases: owned a vacation home that was not your main residence? owned property that you rented to someone else? been awarded stock grants or options from your employer? etc.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have done this | 124 | 380 | 504 |
| 2. No, have not | 1883 | 3458 | 5341 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 37 | 22 | 59 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have done this | 6.0 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.7 |
| 2. No, have not | 91.7 | 88.4 | 89.6 | 90.9 | 90.3 | 90.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

wealth_ownrental

Label: CASI Owned property that you rented
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-3
Description: CASI: Assets
Question: Have you ever owned property that you rented to someone else,
or have you never done this?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have done this | 264 | 739 | 1003 |
| 2. No, have not | 1745 | 3099 | 4844 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 37 | 22 | 59 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have done this | 12.9 | 16.3 | 19.1 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.1 |
| 2. No, have not | 85.0 | 81.6 | 80.3 | 83.3 | 81.9 | 82.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

wealth_stockgrnts

Label: CASI Awarded stock grants or options
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-4
Description: CASI: Assets
Question: (Have you ever) Been awarded stock grants or options from your employer?
Logic: 1. Yes 2. No
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have done this | 191 | 490 | 681 |
| 2. No, have not | 1778 | 3347 | 5125 |
| -8. Don't know | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 43 | 23 | 66 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have done this | 9.3 | 11.6 | 12.7 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 10.7 |
| 2. No, have not | 86.6 | 83.1 | 86.7 | 89.1 | 86.7 | 87.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 2.1 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 |

wealth_undland

Label: CASI Owned underdeveloped land
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-5
Description: CASI: Assets
Question: (Have you ever) Owned undeveloped land as an investment or for future development?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have done this | 110 | 337 | 447 |
| 2. No, have not | 1895 | 3499 | 5394 |
| -8. Don't know | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 34 | 24 | 58 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have done this | 5.4 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.3 |
| 2. No, have not | 92.3 | 90.2 | 90.6 | 92.1 | 91.2 | 91.4 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

wealth_investbus

Label: CASI Invested in someone's business
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-6
Description: CASI: Assets
Question: (Have you ever) Invested in someone starting a business?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have done this | 144 | 300 | 444 |
| 2. No, have not | 1870 | 3539 | 5409 |
| -8. Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. Refused | 27 | 21 | 48 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have done this | 7.0 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 6.8 |
| 2. No, have not | 91.0 | 90.1 | 91.7 | 93.0 | 91.5 | 92.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

inc_totinc

Label: CASI Total income amount
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-1
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] The next question is about [the total income of all the members of your family living here / your total income] in 2011, before taxes. This figure should include income from all sources, including salaries, wages, pensions, Social Security, dividends, interest, and all other income. What was [the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here / your total income in 2011]?
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD / IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN HOUSEHOLD:
Logic: In preceding module FINANCE, item FINANCE FINFAM asks the number of family members residing with R. If FINANCE FINFAM > 0 or FINANCE FINFAM in(DK,RF) then FILL 'the total income of all the members of your family living here' and 'the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here,' else FILL 'your total income' and 'your total income in 2011'. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.) Conversion: if R uses commas when entering the dollar amount, it should be converted into numeric value.
Source: Respondent

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5914 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

inc_totmiss40

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 40K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-3
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 40,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTINC twice in(DK,RF), else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. 40,000 or more | 66 | 91 | 157 |
| 2. Less than 40,000 | 178 | 80 | 258 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1518 | 3631 | 5149 |
| -2. Missing; IWR mistakenly entered '2' in place of DK code for total income | 161 | 0 | 161 |
| -8. Don't know | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| -9. Refused | 61 | 58 | 119 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 40,000 or more | 3.2 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.3 |
| 2. Less than 40,000 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 73.9 | 76.5 | 94.1 | 93.3 | 87.1 | 87.5 |
| -2. Missing; IWR mistakenly entered '2' in place of DK code for total income | 7.8 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.4 |

inc_totmiss20

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 20K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-4
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 20,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS40 =2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. 20,000 or more | 66 | 38 | 104 |
| 2. Less than 20,000 | 88 | 29 | 117 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1876 | 3780 | 5656 |
| -8. Don't know | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 13 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 20,000 or more | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 2. Less than 20,000 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.3 | 93.1 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 95.6 | 96.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

inc_totl20

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories lt 20K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-5
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 20,000:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS20 =2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Under 5,000 | 13 | 6 | 19 |
| 2. 5,000-9,999 | 15 | 4 | 19 |
| 3. 10,000-12,499 | 13 | 2 | 15 |
| 4. 12,500-14,999 | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| 5. 15,000-17,499 | 10 | 6 | 16 |
| 6. 17,500-19,999 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1966 | 3831 | 5797 |
| -8. Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 5 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Under 5,000 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 2. 5,000-9,999 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. 10,000-12,499 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 4. 12,500-14,999 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 5. 15,000-17,499 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. 17,500-19,999 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 95.7 | 97.0 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 98.0 | 98.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

inc_totg20I40

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 20-40K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-6
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME 20,000 OR MORE:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS20 =1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 07. 20,000-22,499 | 11 | 5 | 16 |
| 08. 22,500-24,999 | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| 09. 25,000-27,499 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 10. 27,500-29,999 | 8 | 5 | 13 |
| 11. 30,000-34,999 | 15 | 5 | 20 |
| 12. 35,000-39,999 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1988 | 3822 | 5810 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 11 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 07. 20,000-22,499 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 08. 22,500-24,999 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 09. 25,000-27,499 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 10. 27,500-29,999 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 11. 30,000-34,999 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 12. 35,000-39,999 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 96.8 | 97.0 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 98.2 | 98.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

inc_totmiss70

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 70K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-7
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 70,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS40=1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. 70,000 or more | 17 | 47 | 64 |
| 2. Less than 70,000 | 28 | 24 | 52 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1988 | 3769 | 5757 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 20 | 31 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 70,000 or more | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 2. Less than 70,000 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 96.8 | 95.4 | 97.6 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 96.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

inc_totg40I70

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 40-70K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-8
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 70,000:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS70=2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 13. 40,000-44,999 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| 14. 45,000-49,999 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 15. 50,000-54,999 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 16. 55,000-59,999 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 17. 60,000-64,999 | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 18. 65,000-69,999 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2026 | 3836 | 5862 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 10 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 13. 40,000-44,999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 14. 45,000-49,999 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 15. 50,000-54,999 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 16. 55,000-59,999 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 17. 60,000-64,999 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. 65,000-69,999 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.6 | 98.2 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.1 | 99.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

inc_totmiss100

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 100K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-9
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 100,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS70=1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. 100,000 or more | 6 | 27 | 33 |
| 2. Less than 100,000 | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2037 | 3813 | 5850 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 10 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 100,000 or more | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 2. Less than 100,000 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.2 | 98.5 | 98.8 | 98.4 | 98.9 | 98.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

inc_totg70l100

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 70-100K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-10
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 100,000:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS100=2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 19. 70,000-74,999 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 20. 75,000-79,999 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 21. 80,000-89,999 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 22. 90,000-99,999 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2045 | 3850 | 5895 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 19. 70,000-74,999 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 20. 75,000-79,999 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 21. 80,000-89,999 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 22. 90,000-99,999 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.6 | 99.3 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 99.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

inc_totg100

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 100+K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-11
Description: CASI family and R income - Pre
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe: IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 100,000 OR MORE:
Logic: Ask if INC TOTMISS100=1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 23. 100,000-109,999 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 24. 110,000-124,999 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 25. 125,000-149,999 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 26. 150,000-174,999 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 27. 175,000-249,999 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 28. 250,000 or more | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2048 | 3833 | 5881 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 12 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 23. 100,000-109,999 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 24. 110,000-124,999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 25. 125,000-149,999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26. 150,000-174,999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 27. 175,000-249,999 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 99.4 | 99.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

inc_incgrouppre

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB: SUMMARY- Pre family income (see also: inc-group_prepost)

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------|
| 01. Under 5,000 | 567 | |
| 11. 30,000-34,999 | 305 | |
| 12. 35,000-39,999 | 271 | |
| 15. 50,000-54,999 | 263 | |
| 21. 80,000-89,999 | 253 | |
| 13. 40,000-44,999 | 246 | |
| -9. Refused | 235 | |
| 17. 60,000-64,999 | 218 | |
| 23. 100,000-109,999 | 213 | |
| 09. 25,000-27,499 | 204 | |

medsrc_campsrcts_1st

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 1st
mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Television news programs (morning or evening) | 1625 | 0 | 1625 |
| 2. Newspapers | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| 3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs | 120 | 0 | 120 |
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 82 | 0 | 82 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 46 | 0 | 46 |
| 6. Have not heard anything about the presidential campaign from any of these sources | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| -8. Don't know | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| -9. Refused | 27 | 0 | 27 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Television news programs (morning or evening) | 79.1 | 81.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 28.3 |
| 2. Newspapers | 3.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs | 5.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 6. Have not heard anything about the presidential campaign from any of these sources | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |

medsrc_campsrcts_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 2nd
mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 2. Newspapers | 752 | 0 | 752 |
| 3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs | 435 | 0 | 435 |
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 94 | 0 | 94 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 716 | 3860 | 4576 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Newspapers | 36.6 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 14.9 |
| 3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs | 21.2 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 4.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 34.9 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 75.0 |

medsrc_campsrcts_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 3rd
mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs | 599 | 0 | 599 |
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 278 | 0 | 278 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 170 | 0 | 170 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1007 | 3860 | 4867 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs | 29.2 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 11.9 |
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 13.5 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 5.1 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 8.3 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.0 | 42.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82.3 | 80.1 |

medsrc_campsrcts_4th

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 4th
mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------------|
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 373 | 0 | 373 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 291 | 0 | 291 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1390 | 3860 | <u>5250</u> |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs | 18.2 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 7.5 |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 14.2 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 67.7 | 61.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.8 | 86.7 |

medsrc_campsrcts_5th

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 5th
mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 289 | 0 | 289 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1765 | 3860 | 5625 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Radio news or talk shows | 14.1 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 5.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.9 | 83.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.1 | 94.2 |

medsrc_campsrcts_tvnews

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media srces R followed about the Pres: mention
TV news

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 429 | 429 | 858 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1625 | 3431 | 5056 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 20.9 | 18.6 | 11.1 | 12.8 | 14.5 | 14.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 79.1 | 81.4 | 88.9 | 87.2 | 85.5 | 85.2 |

medsrc_campsrcts_newsp

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media srces R foll'd about the Pres: mention news-papers

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |
| 1. Selected by R | 822 | 2126 | 2948 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55.1 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 48.6 |

medsrc_campsrcts_tvTalk

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media srces R foll'd abt the Pres: mention TV talk shows

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 900 | 1445 | 2345 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1154 | 2415 | 3569 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 43.8 | 39.3 | 37.4 | 42.1 | 39.7 | 41.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 56.2 | 60.7 | 62.6 | 57.9 | 60.3 | 58.9 |

medsrc_campsrcts_inet

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media sources R followed about the Pres: mention
Intnet

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |
| 1. Selected by R | 827 | 1947 | 2774 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 40.3 | 44.3 | 50.4 | 47.9 | 46.9 | 46.6 |

medsrc_campsrccs_radio

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media sources R followed about the Pres: mention
radio

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |
| 1. Selected by R | 853 | 2067 | 2920 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 41.5 | 47.2 | 53.5 | 50.0 | 49.4 | 49.0 |

medsrc_campsrcts_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media sources R followed about the Pres: none

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 2028 | 3773 | 5801 |
| 1. Selected by R | 26 | 87 | 113 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 98.7 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 96.7 | 98.1 | 97.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 |

medsrc_campsrcts_dkrf

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media sources R followed about the Pres: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1969 | 3847 | 5816 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 27 | 13 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 95.9 | 96.9 | 99.7 | 99.4 | 98.3 | 98.5 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

medsrc_tvprog_01_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog 20/20

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 526 | 1207 | 1733 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 624 | 1239 | 1863 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 538 | 1247 | 1785 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 83 | 154 | 237 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 25.6 | 27.0 | 31.3 | 32.5 | 29.3 | 30.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 30.4 | 32.7 | 32.1 | 31.3 | 31.5 | 31.8 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 30.2 | 30.2 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_02_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog 60 Minutes

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 528 | 1281 | 1809 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 548 | 1207 | 1755 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 594 | 1229 | 1823 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 101 | 130 | 231 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 25.7 | 25.5 | 33.2 | 32.5 | 30.6 | 30.1 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.7 | 28.9 | 31.3 | 32.1 | 29.7 | 31.0 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 28.9 | 31.3 | 31.8 | 32.1 | 30.8 | 31.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_03_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog ABC News Nightline

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 593 | 1255 | 1848 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 536 | 1206 | 1742 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 535 | 1246 | 1781 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 107 | 140 | 247 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.9 | 30.3 | 32.5 | 32.1 | 31.2 | 31.5 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.1 | 27.7 | 31.2 | 31.7 | 29.5 | 30.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.0 | 27.0 | 32.3 | 32.6 | 30.1 | 30.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_04_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog ABC World News Tonight

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 536 | 1274 | 1810 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 627 | 1198 | 1825 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 520 | 1232 | 1752 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 88 | 143 | 231 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 33.0 | 34.0 | 30.6 | 31.4 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 30.5 | 32.5 | 31.0 | 31.4 | 30.9 | 31.8 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 31.9 | 31.4 | 29.6 | 29.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_05_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog America Live

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 571 | 1244 | 1815 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 561 | 1214 | 1775 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 541 | 1233 | 1774 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 98 | 156 | 254 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.8 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 32.6 | 30.7 | 31.9 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 27.3 | 28.2 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 30.0 | 30.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.3 | 26.5 | 31.9 | 32.3 | 30.0 | 30.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_06_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog America This Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 577 | 1234 | 1811 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 613 | 1251 | 1864 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 498 | 1231 | 1729 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 83 | 131 | 214 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.1 | 29.4 | 32.0 | 32.7 | 30.6 | 31.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 29.8 | 32.6 | 32.4 | 31.8 | 31.5 | 32.1 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 24.2 | 24.0 | 31.9 | 32.5 | 29.2 | 29.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_07_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog America's Newsroom

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 498 | 1245 | 1743 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 591 | 1229 | 1820 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 581 | 1258 | 1839 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 101 | 115 | 216 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 32.3 | 32.6 | 29.5 | 30.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 28.8 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 32.8 | 30.8 | 32.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 28.3 | 26.3 | 32.6 | 32.4 | 31.1 | 30.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_08_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog American Idol

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 625 | 1226 | 1851 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 593 | 1221 | 1814 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 469 | 1289 | 1758 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 84 | 111 | 195 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 30.4 | 34.2 | 31.8 | 30.4 | 31.3 | 31.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 28.9 | 31.0 | 31.6 | 31.2 | 30.7 | 31.1 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 22.8 | 20.4 | 33.4 | 35.7 | 29.7 | 30.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_09_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Anderson Cooper

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 555 | 1225 | 1780 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 576 | 1260 | 1836 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 535 | 1230 | 1765 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 105 | 132 | 237 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.0 | 28.7 | 31.7 | 30.7 | 30.1 | 30.0 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 32.6 | 33.6 | 31.0 | 31.9 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.0 | 27.8 | 31.9 | 32.3 | 29.8 | 30.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_10_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog The Big Bang Theory

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 605 | 1214 | 1819 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 494 | 1257 | 1751 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 568 | 1260 | 1828 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 104 | 116 | 220 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 29.5 | 32.0 | 31.5 | 31.1 | 30.8 | 31.4 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 24.1 | 23.9 | 32.6 | 33.7 | 29.6 | 30.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.7 | 29.3 | 32.6 | 32.4 | 30.9 | 31.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_11_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog CBS Evening News

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 593 | 1262 | 1855 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 598 | 1270 | 1868 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 501 | 1188 | 1689 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 79 | 127 | 206 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.9 | 31.3 | 32.7 | 32.9 | 31.4 | 32.3 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 29.1 | 30.2 | 32.9 | 33.3 | 31.6 | 32.2 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 24.4 | 24.6 | 30.8 | 30.9 | 28.6 | 28.7 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_12_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog CBS This Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 562 | 1213 | 1775 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 534 | 1222 | 1756 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 573 | 1274 | 1847 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 102 | 138 | 240 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.4 | 29.9 | 31.4 | 32.0 | 30.0 | 31.2 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.0 | 25.8 | 31.7 | 31.2 | 29.7 | 29.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.9 | 30.0 | 33.0 | 33.6 | 31.2 | 32.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_13_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Chris Matthews Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 459 | 1239 | 1698 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 599 | 1241 | 1840 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 603 | 1251 | 1854 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 110 | 116 | 226 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 22.3 | 24.1 | 32.1 | 32.9 | 28.7 | 29.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 29.2 | 30.5 | 32.2 | 32.6 | 31.1 | 31.8 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 29.4 | 30.6 | 32.4 | 31.8 | 31.3 | 31.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_14_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Colbert Report

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 601 | 1258 | 1859 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 615 | 1232 | 1847 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 468 | 1217 | 1685 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 87 | 140 | 227 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 29.3 | 29.8 | 32.6 | 31.2 | 31.4 | 30.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 29.9 | 31.5 | 31.9 | 32.7 | 31.2 | 32.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 22.8 | 24.8 | 31.5 | 32.6 | 28.5 | 29.9 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_15_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Daily Show with Jon Stewart

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 436 | 1260 | 1696 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 628 | 1235 | 1863 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 602 | 1221 | 1823 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 105 | 131 | 236 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 21.2 | 22.2 | 32.6 | 33.7 | 28.7 | 29.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 30.6 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 31.7 | 31.5 | 32.1 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 29.3 | 30.4 | 31.6 | 31.0 | 30.8 | 30.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_16_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Dancing with the Stars

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 518 | 1225 | 1743 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 576 | 1217 | 1793 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 570 | 1266 | 1836 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 107 | 139 | 246 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 25.2 | 26.5 | 31.7 | 33.4 | 29.5 | 31.0 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 31.5 | 30.1 | 30.3 | 29.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.8 | 29.8 | 32.8 | 33.1 | 31.0 | 32.0 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_17_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Dateline NBC

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 512 | 1230 | 1742 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 660 | 1263 | 1923 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 507 | 1219 | 1726 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 92 | 135 | 227 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 24.9 | 26.4 | 31.9 | 32.3 | 29.5 | 30.2 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 32.1 | 35.3 | 32.7 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 33.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 24.7 | 23.8 | 31.6 | 32.0 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_18_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Doctors

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 477 | 1229 | 1706 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 643 | 1247 | 1890 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 551 | 1250 | 1801 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 100 | 121 | 221 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 23.2 | 23.9 | 31.8 | 31.6 | 28.8 | 28.9 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 31.3 | 34.7 | 32.3 | 33.1 | 32.0 | 33.7 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 32.4 | 32.2 | 30.5 | 30.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_19_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog The Ellen DeGeneres Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 403 | 1245 | 1648 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 613 | 1243 | 1856 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 658 | 1232 | 1890 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 97 | 127 | 224 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 19.6 | 18.5 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 27.9 | 27.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 29.8 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 32.4 | 31.4 | 32.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 32.0 | 34.4 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 32.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_20_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Face the Nation

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 530 | 1211 | 1741 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 568 | 1266 | 1834 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 586 | 1221 | 1807 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 87 | 149 | 236 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 25.8 | 27.2 | 31.4 | 31.1 | 29.4 | 29.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 27.7 | 28.1 | 32.8 | 33.3 | 31.0 | 31.5 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 28.5 | 30.7 | 31.6 | 31.9 | 30.6 | 31.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_21_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog The Five

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 556 | 1243 | 1799 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 552 | 1261 | 1813 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 567 | 1214 | 1781 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 96 | 129 | 225 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.1 | 29.3 | 32.2 | 32.5 | 30.4 | 31.4 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.9 | 26.4 | 32.7 | 33.4 | 30.7 | 31.0 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.6 | 29.5 | 31.5 | 30.8 | 30.1 | 30.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_22_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Fox Report

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 617 | 1210 | 1827 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 449 | 1258 | 1707 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 601 | 1256 | 1857 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 104 | 123 | 227 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 30.0 | 30.2 | 31.3 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 30.5 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 21.9 | 24.0 | 32.6 | 33.7 | 28.9 | 30.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 29.3 | 31.2 | 32.5 | 32.8 | 31.4 | 32.2 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_23_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Frontline

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 594 | 1251 | 1845 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 537 | 1256 | 1793 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 545 | 1202 | 1747 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 95 | 138 | 233 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.9 | 27.9 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 31.2 | 30.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.1 | 28.5 | 32.5 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 31.2 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.5 | 29.2 | 31.1 | 31.7 | 29.5 | 30.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_24_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Good Morning America

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 595 | 1227 | 1822 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 534 | 1196 | 1730 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 558 | 1280 | 1838 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 84 | 144 | 228 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 29.0 | 29.9 | 31.8 | 32.7 | 30.8 | 31.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.0 | 27.5 | 31.0 | 31.5 | 29.3 | 30.1 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.2 | 28.5 | 33.2 | 32.7 | 31.1 | 31.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_25_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Hannity

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 552 | 1269 | 1821 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 486 | 1240 | 1726 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 630 | 1209 | 1839 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 103 | 129 | 232 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 26.9 | 28.2 | 32.9 | 33.5 | 30.8 | 31.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 23.7 | 23.5 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 30.7 | 33.6 | 31.3 | 31.2 | 31.1 | 32.0 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_26_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Huckabee

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 597 | 1202 | 1799 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 550 | 1291 | 1841 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 542 | 1219 | 1761 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 82 | 135 | 217 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 29.1 | 32.2 | 31.1 | 31.7 | 30.4 | 31.9 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.8 | 25.9 | 33.4 | 31.6 | 31.1 | 29.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.4 | 27.7 | 31.6 | 33.3 | 29.8 | 31.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_27_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Insider

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 642 | 1217 | 1859 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 515 | 1277 | 1792 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 520 | 1217 | 1737 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 94 | 136 | 230 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 31.3 | 32.6 | 31.5 | 32.1 | 31.4 | 32.3 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 25.1 | 25.9 | 33.1 | 32.4 | 30.3 | 30.1 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 25.3 | 27.0 | 31.5 | 32.2 | 29.4 | 30.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_28_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Jimmy Kimmel Live

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 612 | 1220 | 1832 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 514 | 1255 | 1769 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 538 | 1246 | 1784 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 107 | 126 | 233 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 29.8 | 30.8 | 31.6 | 32.1 | 31.0 | 31.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 25.0 | 26.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 29.9 | 30.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.2 | 28.1 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 30.2 | 30.9 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_29_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Key and Peele

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 630 | 1245 | 1875 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 533 | 1197 | 1730 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 512 | 1262 | 1774 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 96 | 143 | 239 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 30.7 | 31.6 | 32.3 | 33.5 | 31.7 | 32.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 25.9 | 26.1 | 31.0 | 31.1 | 29.3 | 29.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 24.9 | 28.0 | 32.7 | 32.1 | 30.0 | 30.7 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_30_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Late Late Show w/Craig Ferguson

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 510 | 1246 | 1756 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 599 | 1256 | 1855 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 575 | 1211 | 1786 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 87 | 134 | 221 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 24.8 | 25.9 | 32.3 | 32.1 | 29.7 | 30.0 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 29.2 | 29.9 | 32.5 | 32.3 | 31.4 | 31.5 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 28.0 | 30.1 | 31.4 | 32.4 | 30.2 | 31.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_31_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Late Show with David Letterman

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 546 | 1270 | 1816 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 532 | 1231 | 1763 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 582 | 1206 | 1788 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 111 | 140 | 251 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 26.6 | 27.2 | 32.9 | 34.1 | 30.7 | 31.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 25.9 | 28.0 | 31.9 | 31.6 | 29.8 | 30.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 28.3 | 29.8 | 31.2 | 30.6 | 30.2 | 30.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 3.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_32_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Meet the Press

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 588 | 1204 | 1792 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 560 | 1262 | 1822 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 526 | 1236 | 1762 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 97 | 145 | 242 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.6 | 30.4 | 31.2 | 31.5 | 30.3 | 31.1 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 32.7 | 31.8 | 30.8 | 30.9 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 25.6 | 26.2 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 29.8 | 30.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_33_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog The Mentalist

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 538 | 1248 | 1786 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 573 | 1187 | 1760 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 534 | 1276 | 1810 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 126 | 136 | 262 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 32.3 | 32.6 | 30.2 | 30.4 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 27.9 | 31.3 | 30.8 | 30.2 | 29.8 | 30.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.0 | 27.3 | 33.1 | 33.8 | 30.6 | 31.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_34_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog NBC Nightly News

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 584 | 1283 | 1867 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 512 | 1208 | 1720 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 553 | 1238 | 1791 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 122 | 118 | 240 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.4 | 31.5 | 33.2 | 32.5 | 31.6 | 32.2 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 24.9 | 25.5 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 29.1 | 29.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.9 | 28.0 | 32.1 | 32.8 | 30.3 | 31.2 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_35_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog NCIS

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 574 | 1247 | 1821 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 550 | 1219 | 1769 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 549 | 1239 | 1788 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 98 | 142 | 240 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.9 | 29.8 | 32.3 | 30.9 | 30.8 | 30.5 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.8 | 26.2 | 31.6 | 32.7 | 29.9 | 30.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.7 | 29.5 | 32.1 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 31.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_36_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog O'Reilly Factor

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 523 | 1246 | 1769 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 565 | 1221 | 1786 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 561 | 1238 | 1799 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 122 | 142 | 264 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 25.5 | 26.7 | 32.3 | 30.9 | 29.9 | 29.4 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 27.5 | 27.3 | 31.6 | 32.4 | 30.2 | 30.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.3 | 30.7 | 32.1 | 33.2 | 30.4 | 32.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_37_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen num of TV prog On the Record w/Greta Van Susteren

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 558 | 1268 | 1826 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 579 | 1221 | 1800 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 539 | 1237 | 1776 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 95 | 121 | 216 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.2 | 28.4 | 32.8 | 33.3 | 30.9 | 31.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 28.2 | 29.3 | 31.6 | 31.7 | 30.4 | 30.9 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.2 | 27.5 | 32.0 | 32.6 | 30.0 | 30.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_38_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Person of Interest

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 557 | 1215 | 1772 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 542 | 1257 | 1799 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 565 | 1226 | 1791 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 107 | 149 | 256 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.1 | 29.6 | 31.5 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 30.9 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.4 | 26.9 | 32.6 | 34.1 | 30.4 | 31.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.5 | 28.5 | 31.8 | 30.8 | 30.3 | 30.0 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_39_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Rock Center with Brian Williams

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 529 | 1272 | 1801 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 546 | 1240 | 1786 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 594 | 1209 | 1803 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 102 | 126 | 228 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 25.8 | 26.5 | 33.0 | 32.8 | 30.5 | 30.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.6 | 28.1 | 32.1 | 31.7 | 30.2 | 30.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 28.9 | 30.7 | 31.3 | 32.5 | 30.5 | 31.9 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_40_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Saturday Night Live

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 589 | 1214 | 1803 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 525 | 1246 | 1771 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 557 | 1249 | 1806 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 100 | 138 | 238 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.7 | 29.2 | 31.5 | 31.4 | 30.5 | 30.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 25.6 | 27.0 | 32.3 | 33.0 | 29.9 | 30.9 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 27.1 | 29.3 | 32.4 | 31.7 | 30.5 | 30.9 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_41_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Special Report with Bret Baier

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 543 | 1235 | 1778 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 529 | 1256 | 1785 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 591 | 1223 | 1814 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 108 | 133 | 241 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 26.4 | 29.1 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 30.1 | 31.0 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 25.8 | 27.2 | 32.5 | 33.1 | 30.2 | 31.1 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 28.8 | 28.9 | 31.7 | 31.5 | 30.7 | 30.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_42_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Tavis Smiley

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 558 | 1165 | 1723 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 480 | 1289 | 1769 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 607 | 1263 | 1870 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 126 | 130 | 256 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.2 | 28.8 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 29.1 | 29.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 23.4 | 24.4 | 33.4 | 33.2 | 29.9 | 30.1 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 29.6 | 31.5 | 32.7 | 33.0 | 31.6 | 32.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_43_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Sunday Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 570 | 1250 | 1820 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 545 | 1296 | 1841 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 531 | 1181 | 1712 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 125 | 120 | 245 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 27.8 | 28.9 | 32.4 | 33.1 | 30.8 | 31.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 26.5 | 27.1 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 31.1 | 31.7 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 25.9 | 29.0 | 30.6 | 30.3 | 28.9 | 29.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_44_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog The View

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 579 | 1253 | 1832 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 610 | 1178 | 1788 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 491 | 1283 | 1774 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 91 | 133 | 224 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.2 | 29.1 | 32.5 | 33.9 | 31.0 | 32.2 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 29.7 | 31.0 | 30.5 | 29.8 | 30.2 | 30.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 23.9 | 25.4 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 30.0 | 30.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_45_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog This Week

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 531 | 1264 | 1795 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 480 | 1202 | 1682 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 655 | 1241 | 1896 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 105 | 140 | 245 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 25.9 | 27.8 | 32.7 | 33.1 | 30.4 | 31.3 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 23.4 | 24.2 | 31.1 | 30.8 | 28.4 | 28.5 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 31.9 | 33.3 | 32.2 | 32.5 | 32.1 | 32.8 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_46_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Today Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 536 | 1208 | 1744 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 480 | 1192 | 1672 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 636 | 1308 | 1944 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 119 | 139 | 258 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 26.1 | 27.5 | 31.3 | 30.8 | 29.5 | 29.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 23.4 | 26.0 | 30.9 | 32.0 | 28.3 | 29.9 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 31.0 | 31.6 | 33.9 | 33.7 | 32.9 | 33.0 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_47_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog The Voice

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 582 | 1217 | 1799 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 582 | 1299 | 1881 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 529 | 1194 | 1723 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 78 | 137 | 215 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 28.3 | 28.2 | 31.5 | 31.7 | 30.4 | 30.5 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 28.3 | 31.0 | 33.7 | 34.6 | 31.8 | 33.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 25.8 | 26.6 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 29.1 | 29.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_48_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog The Talk

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 615 | 1207 | 1822 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 506 | 1249 | 1755 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 543 | 1251 | 1794 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 107 | 140 | 247 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 13 | 296 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 31.9 | 30.8 | 31.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 24.6 | 26.1 | 32.4 | 33.4 | 29.7 | 30.9 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 26.4 | 28.3 | 32.4 | 31.7 | 30.3 | 30.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

medsrc_tvprog_49_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Al Punto

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 105 | 136 | 241 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 101 | 129 | 230 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 104 | 133 | 237 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 87 | 136 | 223 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_50_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Al Rojo Vivo

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 101 | 139 | 240 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 107 | 140 | 247 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 97 | 134 | 231 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 92 | 121 | 213 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.0 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 2.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_51_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Aqui y Ahora

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 113 | 132 | 245 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 101 | 146 | 247 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 90 | 124 | 214 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 93 | 132 | 225 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 3.0 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_52_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog CNN en Espanol

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 97 | 143 | 240 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 92 | 116 | 208 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 95 | 140 | 235 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 113 | 135 | 248 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_53_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Despierta America

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 102 | 134 | 236 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 103 | 121 | 224 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 128 | 142 | 270 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 64 | 137 | 201 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 2.9 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_54_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog ElGordo y La Flaca

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 116 | 132 | 248 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 102 | 134 | 236 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 86 | 135 | 221 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 93 | 133 | 226 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_55_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Enfoque

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 94 | 154 | 248 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 90 | 120 | 210 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 111 | 137 | 248 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 102 | 123 | 225 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 2.7 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 2.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_56_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Hoy

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 94 | 131 | 225 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 84 | 138 | 222 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 107 | 132 | 239 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 112 | 133 | 245 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 2.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 2.2 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_57_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Lo Mejor de Caso Cer-
rado

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 107 | 139 | 246 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 102 | 123 | 225 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 100 | 134 | 234 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 88 | 138 | 226 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_58_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Noticiero Enrique Gratas

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 101 | 105 | 206 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 106 | 158 | 264 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 90 | 138 | 228 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 100 | 133 | 233 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 3.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 2.6 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_59_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Noticiero Telemundo

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 96 | 146 | 242 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 119 | 130 | 249 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 89 | 130 | 219 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 93 | 128 | 221 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.7 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_60_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Noticiero Univision

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 105 | 152 | 257 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 84 | 120 | 204 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 101 | 125 | 226 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 107 | 137 | 244 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.1 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 2.2 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_61_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Pa'lante con Cristiana

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 79 | 139 | 218 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 118 | 117 | 235 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 91 | 146 | 237 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 109 | 132 | 241 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.9 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_62_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Pequenos Gigantes

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 107 | 129 | 236 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 79 | 133 | 212 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 112 | 124 | 236 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 99 | 148 | 247 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 2.3 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 2.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 3.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_63_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Primer Impacto

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 105 | 132 | 237 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 95 | 132 | 227 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 111 | 149 | 260 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 86 | 121 | 207 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.4 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_64_screen

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB screen number of TV prog Sabado Gigante

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Screen 1 | 104 | 136 | 240 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 91 | 137 | 228 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 95 | 121 | 216 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 107 | 140 | 247 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3326 | 4983 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Screen 1 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 2.9 |
| 2. Screen 2 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 2.2 |
| 3. Screen 3 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| 4. Screen 4 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 86.2 | 88.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention
20/20

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1231 | 2722 | 3953 |
| 1. Selected by R | 540 | 788 | 1328 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 59.9 | 63.2 | 70.5 | 70.8 | 66.8 | 68.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 26.3 | 24.5 | 20.4 | 18.6 | 22.5 | 20.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention 60 Minutes

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1154 | 2409 | 3563 |
| 1. Selected by R | 617 | 1101 | 1718 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 56.2 | 59.2 | 62.4 | 64.8 | 60.2 | 62.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 30.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 24.5 | 29.0 | 25.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watches reg'ly: mention ABC News Nightline

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1323 | 2843 | 4166 |
| 1. Selected by R | 448 | 667 | 1115 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 64.4 | 68.8 | 73.7 | 74.9 | 70.4 | 72.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 21.8 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 14.4 | 18.9 | 16.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watch reg'ly: mentn ABC World News Tonight

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1353 | 2795 | 4148 |
| 1. Selected by R | 418 | 715 | 1133 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 65.9 | 69.7 | 72.4 | 73.9 | 70.1 | 72.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 20.4 | 18.0 | 18.5 | 15.4 | 19.2 | 16.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention America Live

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1721 | 3436 | 5157 |
| 1. Selected by R | 50 | 74 | 124 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 83.8 | 85.9 | 89.0 | 87.6 | 87.2 | 87.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watches reg'ly: mentn America
This Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1580 | 3371 | 4951 |
| 1. Selected by R | 191 | 139 | 330 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 76.9 | 80.2 | 87.3 | 86.3 | 83.7 | 84.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 9.3 | 7.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 4.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn America's Newsroom

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1688 | 3394 | 5082 |
| 1. Selected by R | 83 | 116 | 199 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 82.2 | 84.3 | 87.9 | 86.8 | 85.9 | 85.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mention American Idol

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1480 | 3036 | 4516 |
| 1. Selected by R | 291 | 474 | 765 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 72.1 | 73.4 | 78.7 | 77.4 | 76.4 | 76.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 14.2 | 14.3 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 12.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mentn Anderson Cooper

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1495 | 3128 | 4623 |
| 1. Selected by R | 276 | 382 | 658 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 72.8 | 74.9 | 81.0 | 80.9 | 78.2 | 78.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 13.4 | 12.8 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 11.1 | 10.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn The Big Bang Theory

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1266 | 2664 | 3930 |
| 1. Selected by R | 505 | 846 | 1351 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 61.6 | 62.9 | 69.0 | 67.3 | 66.5 | 65.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 24.6 | 24.8 | 21.9 | 22.0 | 22.8 | 23.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mentn CBS Evening News

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1161 | 2616 | 3777 |
| 1. Selected by R | 610 | 894 | 1504 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 56.5 | 59.5 | 67.8 | 68.8 | 63.9 | 65.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 29.7 | 28.2 | 23.2 | 20.5 | 25.4 | 23.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mentn CBS
This Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1379 | 3029 | 4408 |
| 1. Selected by R | 392 | 481 | 873 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 67.1 | 71.5 | 78.5 | 78.6 | 74.5 | 76.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 19.1 | 16.2 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 14.8 | 12.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Chris Matthews Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1655 | 3286 | 4941 |
| 1. Selected by R | 116 | 224 | 340 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 80.6 | 82.1 | 85.1 | 85.1 | 83.5 | 84.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 4.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mention Colbert Report

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1615 | 3201 | 4816 |
| 1. Selected by R | 156 | 309 | 465 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 78.6 | 78.7 | 82.9 | 81.4 | 81.4 | 80.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 7.6 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R wtch reg'ly: mentn Daily Show with Jon Stewart

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1594 | 3138 | 4732 |
| 1. Selected by R | 177 | 372 | 549 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 77.6 | 76.6 | 81.3 | 80.4 | 80.0 | 79.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.6 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 9.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_16

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watch regly: mentn Dancing with the Stars

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1485 | 2893 | 4378 |
| 1. Selected by R | 286 | 617 | 903 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 72.3 | 74.2 | 74.9 | 74.8 | 74.0 | 74.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 13.9 | 13.5 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 15.3 | 14.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_17

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention
Dateline NBC

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1253 | 2702 | 3955 |
| 1. Selected by R | 518 | 808 | 1326 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 61.0 | 63.4 | 70.0 | 69.6 | 66.9 | 67.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 25.2 | 24.3 | 20.9 | 19.7 | 22.4 | 21.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_18

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Doctors

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1582 | 3279 | 4861 |
| 1. Selected by R | 189 | 231 | 420 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 77.0 | 81.2 | 84.9 | 83.5 | 82.2 | 82.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 9.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 6.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_19

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watches reg'ly: mentn The Ellen De-
Generes Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1504 | 3106 | 4610 |
| 1. Selected by R | 267 | 404 | 671 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 73.2 | 75.3 | 80.5 | 79.8 | 78.0 | 78.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 13.0 | 12.4 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 10.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_20

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Face the Nation

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1560 | 3170 | 4730 |
| 1. Selected by R | 211 | 340 | 551 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 75.9 | 78.3 | 82.1 | 82.2 | 80.0 | 80.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 10.3 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 7.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_21

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention
The Five

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1707 | 3314 | 5021 |
| 1. Selected by R | 64 | 196 | 260 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 83.1 | 83.4 | 85.9 | 85.1 | 84.9 | 84.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.1 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_22

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Fox Report

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1383 | 2867 | 4250 |
| 1. Selected by R | 388 | 643 | 1031 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 67.3 | 66.7 | 74.3 | 74.4 | 71.9 | 71.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 18.9 | 21.0 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 17.4 | 17.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_23

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Frontline

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1639 | 3278 | 4917 |
| 1. Selected by R | 132 | 232 | 364 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 79.8 | 82.1 | 84.9 | 83.9 | 83.1 | 83.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6.4 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_24

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regly: mentn Good Morning America

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1235 | 2734 | 3969 |
| 1. Selected by R | 536 | 776 | 1312 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 60.1 | 64.9 | 70.8 | 71.3 | 67.1 | 69.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 26.1 | 22.8 | 20.1 | 18.1 | 22.2 | 19.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_25

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Hannity

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1665 | 3192 | 4857 |
| 1. Selected by R | 106 | 318 | 424 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 81.1 | 79.4 | 82.7 | 82.0 | 82.1 | 81.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.2 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_26

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Huckabee

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1687 | 3280 | 4967 |
| 1. Selected by R | 84 | 230 | 314 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 82.1 | 80.9 | 85.0 | 83.6 | 84.0 | 82.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.1 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_27

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Insider

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1629 | 3379 | 5008 |
| 1. Selected by R | 142 | 131 | 273 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 79.3 | 82.1 | 87.5 | 86.0 | 84.7 | 84.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6.9 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_28

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Jimmy Kimmel Live

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1657 | 3314 | 4971 |
| 1. Selected by R | 114 | 196 | 310 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 80.7 | 82.2 | 85.9 | 85.2 | 84.1 | 84.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_29

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention Key and Peele

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1729 | 3454 | 5183 |
| 1. Selected by R | 42 | 56 | 98 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 84.2 | 85.7 | 89.5 | 87.5 | 87.6 | 86.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_30

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watch: The Late Late Show with Craig Ferguson

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1712 | 3356 | 5068 |
| 1. Selected by R | 59 | 154 | 213 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 83.3 | 84.3 | 86.9 | 85.5 | 85.7 | 85.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_31

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watch: mention Late Show with David Letterman

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1543 | 3111 | 4654 |
| 1. Selected by R | 228 | 399 | 627 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 75.1 | 77.6 | 80.6 | 81.1 | 78.7 | 79.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 11.1 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 8.2 | 10.6 | 8.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_32

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention Meet the Press

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1512 | 3089 | 4601 |
| 1. Selected by R | 259 | 421 | 680 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 73.6 | 75.4 | 80.0 | 80.8 | 77.8 | 78.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 12.6 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 8.5 | 11.5 | 9.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_33

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention The Mentalist

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1534 | 2808 | 4342 |
| 1. Selected by R | 237 | 702 | 939 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 74.7 | 74.0 | 72.7 | 73.3 | 73.4 | 73.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 11.5 | 13.7 | 18.2 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 15.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_34

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv programs R watches reg'ly: mentn NBC
Nightly News

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1198 | 2528 | 3726 |
| 1. Selected by R | 573 | 982 | 1555 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 58.3 | 58.7 | 65.5 | 66.9 | 63.0 | 64.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 27.9 | 29.0 | 25.4 | 22.4 | 26.3 | 24.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_35

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention NCIS

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1347 | 2585 | 3932 |
| 1. Selected by R | 424 | 925 | 1349 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 65.6 | 64.6 | 67.0 | 69.3 | 66.5 | 67.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 20.6 | 23.1 | 24.0 | 20.1 | 22.8 | 21.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_36

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention O'Reilly Factor

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1590 | 3039 | 4629 |
| 1. Selected by R | 181 | 471 | 652 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 77.4 | 75.9 | 78.7 | 78.6 | 78.3 | 77.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.8 | 11.8 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 11.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_37

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watches: On the Record with Greta Van Susteren

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1672 | 3241 | 4913 |
| 1. Selected by R | 99 | 269 | 368 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 81.4 | 80.3 | 84.0 | 83.0 | 83.1 | 82.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.8 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_38

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Person of Interest

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1614 | 2987 | 4601 |
| 1. Selected by R | 157 | 523 | 680 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 78.6 | 79.1 | 77.4 | 77.9 | 77.8 | 78.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 7.6 | 8.6 | 13.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_39

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watch reg'ly: Rock Center with Brian Williams

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1650 | 3262 | 4912 |
| 1. Selected by R | 121 | 248 | 369 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 80.3 | 80.1 | 84.5 | 83.7 | 83.1 | 82.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.9 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_40

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Saturday Night Live

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1511 | 3036 | 4547 |
| 1. Selected by R | 260 | 474 | 734 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 73.6 | 73.6 | 78.7 | 77.5 | 76.9 | 76.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 12.7 | 14.1 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 12.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_41

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watches reg'ly: Special Report with Bret Baier

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1694 | 3266 | 4960 |
| 1. Selected by R | 77 | 244 | 321 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 82.5 | 82.4 | 84.6 | 83.8 | 83.9 | 83.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.7 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_42

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention Tavis Smiley

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1683 | 3061 | 4744 |
| 1. Selected by R | 88 | 449 | 537 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 81.9 | 85.6 | 79.3 | 79.4 | 80.2 | 81.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.3 | 2.1 | 11.6 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 7.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_43

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Sunday Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1550 | 3296 | 4846 |
| 1. Selected by R | 221 | 214 | 435 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 75.5 | 77.1 | 85.4 | 84.1 | 81.9 | 81.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 10.8 | 10.6 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 7.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_44

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention
The View

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1503 | 3427 | 4930 |
| 1. Selected by R | 268 | 83 | 351 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 73.2 | 77.7 | 88.8 | 88.1 | 83.4 | 84.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 13.0 | 10.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 4.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_45

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention This Week

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1712 | 2866 | 4578 |
| 1. Selected by R | 59 | 644 | 703 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 83.3 | 85.2 | 74.2 | 73.2 | 77.4 | 77.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.9 | 2.5 | 16.7 | 16.1 | 11.9 | 11.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_46

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Today Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1397 | 3131 | 4528 |
| 1. Selected by R | 374 | 379 | 753 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 68.0 | 67.4 | 81.1 | 80.9 | 76.6 | 76.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 18.2 | 20.3 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 12.7 | 12.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_47

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention The Voice

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1470 | 3410 | 4880 |
| 1. Selected by R | 301 | 100 | 401 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 71.6 | 72.3 | 88.3 | 87.0 | 82.5 | 81.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 14.7 | 15.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_48

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention
The Talk

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 1595 | 3050 | 4645 |
| 1. Selected by R | 176 | 460 | 636 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 77.7 | 81.1 | 79.0 | 77.0 | 78.5 | 78.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.6 | 6.6 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 10.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_49

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Al Punto

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 359 | 410 | 769 |
| 1. Selected by R | 38 | 71 | 109 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 17.5 | 8.3 | 10.6 | 8.0 | 13.0 | 8.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_50

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Al Rojo Vivo

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 397 | 384 | 781 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0 | 97 | 97 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 19.3 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 13.2 | 8.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_51

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Aqui y Ahora

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 335 | 393 | 728 |
| 1. Selected by R | 62 | 88 | 150 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 16.3 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 12.3 | 7.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_52

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention CNN en Espanol

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 363 | 392 | 755 |
| 1. Selected by R | 34 | 89 | 123 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 17.7 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 12.8 | 8.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_53

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Despierta America

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 351 | 423 | 774 |
| 1. Selected by R | 46 | 58 | 104 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 17.1 | 8.1 | 11.0 | 8.4 | 13.1 | 8.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_54

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn ElGordo y La Flaca

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 349 | 398 | 747 |
| 1. Selected by R | 48 | 83 | 131 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 17.0 | 7.8 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 12.6 | 7.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_55

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Enfoque

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 391 | 417 | 808 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6 | 64 | 70 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 19.0 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 8.3 | 13.7 | 8.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_56

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Hoy

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 372 | 459 | 831 |
| 1. Selected by R | 25 | 22 | 47 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 18.1 | 8.6 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 14.1 | 8.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_57

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watches reg'ly: Lo Mejor de Caso Cerrado

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 349 | 441 | 790 |
| 1. Selected by R | 48 | 40 | 88 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 17.0 | 7.9 | 11.4 | 8.7 | 13.4 | 8.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_58

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: Noticiero Enrique Gratas

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 368 | 432 | 800 |
| 1. Selected by R | 29 | 49 | 78 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 17.9 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 8.5 | 13.5 | 8.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_59

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: Noticiero Telemundo

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 328 | 376 | 704 |
| 1. Selected by R | 69 | 105 | 174 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 16.0 | 7.4 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 11.9 | 7.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.4 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_60

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Noticiero Univision

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 397 | 338 | 735 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0 | 143 | 143 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 19.3 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 12.4 | 7.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_61

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: Pa'lante con Cristiana

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 385 | 466 | 851 |
| 1. Selected by R | 12 | 15 | 27 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 18.7 | 8.9 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 14.4 | 9.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_62

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Pequenos Gigantes

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 373 | 432 | 805 |
| 1. Selected by R | 24 | 49 | 73 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 18.2 | 8.5 | 11.2 | 8.5 | 13.6 | 8.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_63

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Primer Impacto

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 316 | 369 | 685 |
| 1. Selected by R | 81 | 112 | 193 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 15.4 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 11.6 | 7.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.9 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_64

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Sabado Gigante

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 351 | 407 | 758 |
| 1. Selected by R | 46 | 74 | 120 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 3379 | 5036 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 17.1 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 8.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 90.8 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 90.7 |

medsrc_tvprog_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: none on screen

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected on any screen | 1164 | 1844 | 3008 |
| 1. Selected on screen 1 | 91 | 1666 | 1757 |
| 2. Selected on screen 2 | 147 | 0 | 147 |
| 3. Selected on screen 3 | 278 | 0 | 278 |
| 4. Selected on screen 4 | 91 | 0 | 91 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected on any screen | 56.7 | 54.1 | 47.8 | 42.9 | 50.9 | 46.8 |
| 1. Selected on screen 1 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 43.2 | 46.5 | 29.7 | 32.1 |
| 2. Selected on screen 2 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| 3. Selected on screen 3 | 13.5 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 |
| 4. Selected on screen 4 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_tvprog_dk

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which television programs R watches regularly:
screen DK

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. DK not on any screen | 1701 | 0 | 1701 |
| 1. DK screen 1 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 2. DK screen 2 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| 3. DK screen 3 | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| 4. DK screen 4 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 3860 | 4143 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. DK not on any screen | 82.8 | 85.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 29.7 |
| 1. DK screen 1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 2. DK screen 2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 3. DK screen 3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. DK screen 4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 70.1 | 69.5 |

medsrc_tvprog_rf

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which television programs R watches regularly:
screen RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. RF not on any screen | 1739 | 3498 | 5237 |
| 1. RF screen 1 | 5 | 12 | 17 |
| 2. RF screen 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 3. RF screen 3 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 4. RF screen 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 283 | 350 | 633 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. RF not on any screen | 84.7 | 85.9 | 90.6 | 89.1 | 88.6 | 88.0 |
| 1. RF screen 1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 2. RF screen 2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 3. RF screen 3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 4. RF screen 4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 13.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.2 |

medsrc_radio_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R lstdns reg'ly: All Things Considered
(NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 728 | 1719 | 2447 |
| 1. Selected by R | 125 | 348 | 473 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 35.4 | 38.7 | 44.5 | 42.3 | 41.4 | 41.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6.1 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 7.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstdns reg'ly: mention The Dave Ramsey Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 807 | 1939 | 2746 |
| 1. Selected by R | 46 | 128 | 174 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.3 | 44.4 | 50.2 | 47.0 | 46.4 | 46.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstdns reg'ly: mention The Ed Shultz Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 832 | 2018 | 2850 |
| 1. Selected by R | 21 | 49 | 70 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.5 | 46.3 | 52.3 | 49.1 | 48.2 | 48.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens regularly: mention Fresh Air (NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 763 | 1832 | 2595 |
| 1. Selected by R | 90 | 235 | 325 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.1 | 41.1 | 47.5 | 44.9 | 43.9 | 43.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R Istns to reg'ly: mentn Glenn Beck
Program

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 794 | 1916 | 2710 |
| 1. Selected by R | 59 | 151 | 210 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.7 | 43.0 | 49.6 | 46.1 | 45.8 | 45.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.9 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R lstdns reg'ly: mentn The Laura Ingraham Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 827 | 1985 | 2812 |
| 1. Selected by R | 26 | 82 | 108 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.3 | 46.0 | 51.4 | 47.9 | 47.5 | 47.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: mentn The Mark Levin Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 828 | 1964 | 2792 |
| 1. Selected by R | 25 | 103 | 128 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.3 | 45.7 | 50.9 | 47.8 | 47.2 | 47.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstdns reg'ly: mentn Morning Edition
(NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 738 | 1800 | 2538 |
| 1. Selected by R | 115 | 267 | 382 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 35.9 | 39.9 | 46.6 | 43.9 | 42.9 | 42.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.6 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: mentn The Neal Boortz Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 833 | 2001 | 2834 |
| 1. Selected by R | 20 | 66 | 86 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.6 | 46.0 | 51.8 | 48.2 | 47.9 | 47.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R lstdns reg'ly: mentn The Power (Joe Madison)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 840 | 2055 | 2895 |
| 1. Selected by R | 13 | 12 | 25 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.9 | 46.7 | 53.2 | 49.8 | 49.0 | 48.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstdns reg'ly: mentn The Rush Limbaugh Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 748 | 1741 | 2489 |
| 1. Selected by R | 105 | 326 | 431 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 36.4 | 40.2 | 45.1 | 42.2 | 42.1 | 41.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.1 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R Istns: The Savage Nation (Michael Savage)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 820 | 1988 | 2808 |
| 1. Selected by R | 33 | 79 | 112 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.9 | 45.4 | 51.5 | 48.1 | 47.5 | 47.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstdns reg'ly: mentn The Sean Hannity Show

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 778 | 1829 | 2607 |
| 1. Selected by R | 75 | 238 | 313 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.9 | 41.9 | 47.4 | 44.4 | 44.1 | 43.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.7 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: Talk of the Nation
(NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 734 | 1854 | 2588 |
| 1. Selected by R | 119 | 213 | 332 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 35.7 | 41.0 | 48.0 | 45.3 | 43.8 | 43.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: The Thom Hartmann Program

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 847 | 2057 | 2904 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 41.2 | 46.9 | 53.3 | 49.6 | 49.1 | 48.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: none of the above selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 418 | 883 | 1301 |
| 1. Selected by R | 435 | 1184 | 1619 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 20.4 | 24.0 | 22.9 | 20.6 | 22.0 | 21.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 21.2 | 23.2 | 30.7 | 29.4 | 27.4 | 27.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_radio_dkrf

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which radio programs R listens to regularly:
DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 791 | 2064 | 2855 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 47 | 0 | 47 |
| 3. RF selected by R | 15 | 3 | 18 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1201 | 1793 | 2994 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.5 | 44.8 | 53.5 | 49.9 | 48.3 | 48.1 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 3. RF selected by R | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.5 | 52.8 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 51.0 |

medsrc_websites_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch websites R visits reg'ly: ABC News (abc-news.go.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 707 | 1792 | 2499 |
| 1. Selected by R | 120 | 155 | 275 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 34.4 | 39.1 | 46.4 | 44.4 | 42.3 | 42.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits regularly: CNN (cnn.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 579 | 1516 | 2095 |
| 1. Selected by R | 248 | 431 | 679 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 28.2 | 32.8 | 39.3 | 37.6 | 35.4 | 35.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 12.1 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 10.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wbsites R visits regly: Drudge Report (drudgereport.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 795 | 1804 | 2599 |
| 1. Selected by R | 32 | 143 | 175 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.7 | 41.8 | 46.7 | 44.5 | 43.9 | 43.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits regularly: Forbes (forbes.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 798 | 1880 | 2678 |
| 1. Selected by R | 29 | 67 | 96 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.9 | 42.9 | 48.7 | 46.3 | 45.3 | 45.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits reg'ly: Fox News (foxnews.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 650 | 1637 | 2287 |
| 1. Selected by R | 177 | 310 | 487 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 31.6 | 34.6 | 42.4 | 40.8 | 38.7 | 38.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.6 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 8.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Websites R visits: Good Morning America
(gma.yahoo.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 768 | 1893 | 2661 |
| 1. Selected by R | 59 | 54 | 113 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.4 | 41.8 | 49.0 | 46.6 | 45.0 | 44.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch sites R visits reg'ly: Google News
(news.google.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 537 | 1528 | 2065 |
| 1. Selected by R | 290 | 419 | 709 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 26.1 | 31.2 | 39.6 | 38.0 | 34.9 | 35.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 14.1 | 13.1 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 12.0 | 11.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Websites R visits: Huffington Post (huffington-post.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 699 | 1645 | 2344 |
| 1. Selected by R | 128 | 302 | 430 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 34.0 | 37.5 | 42.6 | 41.0 | 39.6 | 39.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 6.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Sites R visits reg'ly: Los Angeles Times (la-times.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 793 | 1875 | 2668 |
| 1. Selected by R | 34 | 72 | 106 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.6 | 43.0 | 48.6 | 46.6 | 45.1 | 45.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits reg'ly: MSNBC
(msnbc.msn.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 612 | 1595 | 2207 |
| 1. Selected by R | 215 | 352 | 567 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 29.8 | 33.3 | 41.3 | 39.8 | 37.3 | 37.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 10.5 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 9.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch sites R visits reg'ly: New York Times (ny-times.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 729 | 1743 | 2472 |
| 1. Selected by R | 98 | 204 | 302 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 35.5 | 39.2 | 45.2 | 43.7 | 41.8 | 42.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Sites R visits reg'ly: Today Show (today.msnbc.msn.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 753 | 1881 | 2634 |
| 1. Selected by R | 74 | 66 | 140 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 36.7 | 41.2 | 48.7 | 46.5 | 44.5 | 44.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch websites R visits reg'ly: USA Today (usatoday.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 709 | 1790 | 2499 |
| 1. Selected by R | 118 | 157 | 275 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 34.5 | 38.9 | 46.4 | 44.0 | 42.3 | 42.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.7 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Websites R visits: Washington Post (washington-post.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 769 | 1814 | 2583 |
| 1. Selected by R | 58 | 133 | 191 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.4 | 41.5 | 47.0 | 45.0 | 43.7 | 43.8 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch wbsites R visits reg'ly: Yahoo News
(news.yahoo.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 480 | 1301 | 1781 |
| 1. Selected by R | 347 | 646 | 993 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 23.4 | 27.3 | 33.7 | 31.9 | 30.1 | 30.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 16.9 | 17.0 | 16.7 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 16.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_othweb

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which websites R visits regularly: mention other website

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 800 | 1774 | 2574 |
| 1. Selected by R | 27 | 173 | 200 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 1913 | 3140 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.9 | 42.8 | 46.0 | 44.0 | 43.5 | 43.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.3 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_websites_othtxt

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Other specify- Which web sites R visits regularly

166 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5718 |
| BBC | 5 |
| facebook | 4 |
| msn | 4 |
| Reuters | 4 |
| aol.com | 3 |
| bbc | 3 |
| Daily Beast | 3 |
| Facebook | 3 |
| MSN | 3 |

medsrc_websites_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which sites R visits reg'ly: none of the above selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 731 | 0 | 731 |
| 1. Selected by R | 96 | 0 | 96 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1227 | 3860 | 5087 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 35.6 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 13.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.7 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86.0 | 84.6 |

medsrc_websites_dkrf

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits regularly: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 813 | 1494 | 2307 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 9 | 453 | 462 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>1227</u> | <u>1913</u> | <u>3140</u> |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.6 | 43.7 | 38.7 | 35.6 | 39.0 | 38.4 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 0.4 | 0.5 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 7.8 | 8.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 59.7 | 55.7 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 |

medsrc_printnews_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print papers R reads: New York Times/
www.nytimes.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 767 | 2015 | 2782 |
| 1. Selected by R | 55 | 111 | 166 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.3 | 42.9 | 52.2 | 47.8 | 47.0 | 46.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print papers R reads reg'ly: USA Today/
www.usatoday.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 703 | 1975 | 2678 |
| 1. Selected by R | 119 | 151 | 270 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 34.2 | 40.5 | 51.2 | 46.6 | 45.3 | 44.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.8 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 4.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print paprs R reads: Wall Street Journal/ on-line.wsj.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 778 | 2019 | 2797 |
| 1. Selected by R | 44 | 107 | 151 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.9 | 43.2 | 52.3 | 47.7 | 47.3 | 46.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Pnt papr R read: Washington Post/
www.washingtonpost.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 802 | 2088 | 2890 |
| 1. Selected by R | 20 | 38 | 58 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.0 | 45.4 | 54.1 | 49.0 | 48.9 | 47.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 05

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 479 | 1296 | 1775 |
| 1. Selected by R | 343 | 830 | 1173 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 23.3 | 28.6 | 33.6 | 31.9 | 30.0 | 30.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 16.7 | 17.9 | 21.5 | 17.9 | 19.8 | 17.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 06

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 617 | 1706 | 2323 |
| 1. Selected by R | 205 | 420 | 625 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 30.0 | 35.0 | 44.2 | 40.1 | 39.3 | 38.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 10.0 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 10.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 07

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 769 | 1894 | 2663 |
| 1. Selected by R | 53 | 232 | 285 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.4 | 42.9 | 49.1 | 44.1 | 45.0 | 43.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.6 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 08

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 767 | 1983 | 2750 |
| 1. Selected by R | 55 | 143 | 198 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.3 | 43.3 | 51.4 | 46.8 | 46.5 | 45.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 09

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 806 | 2064 | 2870 |
| 1. Selected by R | 16 | 62 | 78 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.2 | 45.1 | 53.5 | 48.6 | 48.5 | 47.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 10

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 814 | 2103 | 2917 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8 | 23 | 31 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.6 | 45.6 | 54.5 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 48.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 11

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 812 | 2111 | 2923 |
| 1. Selected by R | 10 | 15 | 25 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.5 | 45.7 | 54.7 | 49.5 | 49.4 | 48.2 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 12

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 820 | 2121 | 2941 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.9 | 46.3 | 54.9 | 49.6 | 49.7 | 48.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 13

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 821 | 2123 | 2944 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55.0 | 49.7 | 49.8 | 48.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 14

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 822 | 2126 | 2948 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55.1 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 48.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention news-paper 15

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 822 | 2126 | 2948 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55.1 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 48.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_othnews

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention other newsp

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 777 | 1791 | 2568 |
| 1. Selected by R | 45 | 335 | 380 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.8 | 44.2 | 46.4 | 41.8 | 43.4 | 42.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.2 | 2.3 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print papers R reads reg'ly: none of the above selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 674 | 1912 | 2586 |
| 1. Selected by R | 148 | 214 | 362 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 32.8 | 37.3 | 49.5 | 43.0 | 43.7 | 41.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 7.2 | 9.2 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 7.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_printnews_dkrf

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print newspapers R reads regularly: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 798 | 1976 | 2774 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 10 | 150 | 160 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.9 | 44.8 | 51.2 | 46.5 | 46.9 | 45.9 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 0.5 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB OnIn paprs R read regly: New York Times/
www.nytimes.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 732 | 1970 | 2702 |
| 1. Selected by R | 90 | 156 | 246 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 35.6 | 41.1 | 51.0 | 46.6 | 45.7 | 44.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Online paprs R reads reg'ly: USA Today/
www.usatoday.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 728 | 2000 | 2728 |
| 1. Selected by R | 94 | 126 | 220 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 35.4 | 42.3 | 51.8 | 46.9 | 46.1 | 45.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 3.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Onlin paprs R reads: Wall Street Journal/ on-line.wsj.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 784 | 2048 | 2832 |
| 1. Selected by R | 38 | 78 | 116 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.2 | 44.0 | 53.1 | 48.1 | 47.9 | 46.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Onl pprs R read: Washington Post/
www.washingtonpost.com

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 782 | 2027 | 2809 |
| 1. Selected by R | 40 | 99 | 139 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.1 | 44.9 | 52.5 | 47.7 | 47.5 | 46.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 05

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 650 | 1809 | 2459 |
| 1. Selected by R | 172 | 317 | 489 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 31.6 | 36.5 | 46.9 | 42.6 | 41.6 | 40.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.4 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 06

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 715 | 1970 | 2685 |
| 1. Selected by R | 107 | 156 | 263 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 34.8 | 39.7 | 51.0 | 46.5 | 45.4 | 44.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 5.2 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 07

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 783 | 2038 | 2821 |
| 1. Selected by R | 39 | 88 | 127 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.1 | 44.1 | 52.8 | 47.8 | 47.7 | 46.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 08

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 793 | 2072 | 2865 |
| 1. Selected by R | 29 | 54 | 83 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 38.6 | 44.3 | 53.7 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 47.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 09

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 815 | 2105 | 2920 |
| 1. Selected by R | 7 | 21 | 28 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.7 | 46.0 | 54.5 | 49.2 | 49.4 | 48.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 10

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 818 | 2115 | 2933 |
| 1. Selected by R | 4 | 11 | 15 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.8 | 46.1 | 54.8 | 49.5 | 49.6 | 48.4 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 11

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 819 | 2122 | 2941 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.9 | 46.3 | 55.0 | 49.6 | 49.7 | 48.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 12

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 819 | 2125 | 2944 |
| 1. Selected by R | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.9 | 46.2 | 55.1 | 49.7 | 49.8 | 48.5 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 13

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 822 | 2124 | 2946 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55.0 | 49.7 | 49.8 | 48.6 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 14

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 822 | 2126 | 2948 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55.1 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 48.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 15

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 822 | 2126 | 2948 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55.1 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 48.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_othnews

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention other newsp

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 810 | 1974 | 2784 |
| 1. Selected by R | 12 | 152 | 164 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 39.4 | 45.8 | 51.1 | 46.0 | 47.1 | 46.0 |
| 1. Selected by R | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch onlin paprs R reads reg'ly: none of the above
selctd

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 457 | 1432 | 1889 |
| 1. Selected by R | 365 | 694 | 1059 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 22.2 | 25.7 | 37.1 | 32.2 | 31.9 | 29.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 17.8 | 20.8 | 18.0 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 18.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_inetnews_dkrf

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online newspapers R reads regularly:
DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 777 | 1478 | 2255 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 20 | 648 | 668 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1232 | 1734 | 2966 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 37.8 | 43.8 | 38.3 | 34.9 | 38.1 | 38.0 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 2. RF selected by R | 1.0 | 1.3 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 11.3 | 10.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.0 | 53.6 | 44.9 | 50.2 | 50.2 | 51.4 |

medsrc_socmedia

Label: CASI No.days in wk R uses soc media to learn Pres
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.4-14
Description: CASI sources of media information Pre
Question: During a typical week, how many days do you use social media such as Twitter or Facebook to learn about the election for President?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. None | 1134 | 2666 | 3800 |
| 1. One day | 132 | 261 | 393 |
| 2. Two days | 88 | 146 | 234 |
| 3. Three days | 102 | 114 | 216 |
| 4. Four days | 46 | 74 | 120 |
| 5. Five days | 69 | 101 | 170 |
| 6. Six days | 36 | 55 | 91 |
| 7. Seven days | 310 | 433 | 743 |
| -4. Error | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -8. Don't know | 83 | 0 | 83 |
| -9. Refused | 51 | 10 | 61 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 55.2 | 60.0 | 69.1 | 67.1 | 64.3 | 64.7 |
| 1. One day | 6.4 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.9 |
| 2. Two days | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| 3. Three days | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| 4. Four days | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 5. Five days | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| 6. Six days | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 7. Seven days | 15.1 | 15.1 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| -4. Error | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| -9. Refused | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

medsrc_blogs

Label: CASI No.days in wk R uses blogs to learn abt Pres
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.4-20
Description: CASI sources of media information Pre
Question: During a typical week, how many days do you use blogs to learn about the election for President?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. None | 1668 | 3473 | 5141 |
| 1. One day | 49 | 122 | 171 |
| 2. Two days | 24 | 71 | 95 |
| 3. Three days | 27 | 56 | 83 |
| 4. Four days | 16 | 28 | 44 |
| 5. Five days | 22 | 30 | 52 |
| 6. Six days | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| 7. Seven days | 31 | 60 | 91 |
| -4. Error | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -8. Don't know | 144 | 0 | 144 |
| -9. Refused | 63 | 9 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 81.2 | 84.7 | 90.0 | 90.1 | 86.9 | 88.2 |
| 1. One day | 2.4 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 2. Two days | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 3. Three days | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 4. Four days | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 5. Five days | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 6. Six days | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 7. Seven days | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| -4. Error | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| -9. Refused | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 |

medsrc_specblogs_txt

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which blogs R uses to learn abt Pres election

416 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5401 |
| facebook | 29 |
| none | 13 |
| -1 Inapplicable | 9 |
| Facebook | 8 |
| FACEBOOK | 5 |
| Huffington Post | 4 |
| n/a | 4 |
| na | 4 |
| no | 4 |

owngun_owngun

Label: CASI Does R own a gun
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.5-4
Description: CASI gun ownership
Question: Do you or anyone else living here own a gun, or not?
Logic: Self-administered by R
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 480 | 1340 | 1820 |
| 2. No | 1442 | 2464 | 3906 |
| -8. Don't know | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -9. Refused | 108 | 56 | 164 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 23.4 | 30.8 | 34.7 | 34.4 | 30.8 | 33.2 |
| 2. No | 70.2 | 61.9 | 63.8 | 63.8 | 66.0 | 63.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 5.3 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 |

wordsum_setb

Label: CASI WORDSUM set B
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-3
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Incorrect | 58 | 44 | 102 |
| 2. Incorrect | 157 | 119 | 276 |
| 3. Incorrect | 78 | 50 | 128 |
| 4. Incorrect | 86 | 50 | 136 |
| 5. Correct | 1650 | 3580 | 5230 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 17 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Incorrect | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 2. Incorrect | 7.6 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| 3. Incorrect | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 4. Incorrect | 4.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| 5. Correct | 80.3 | 84.5 | 92.7 | 91.9 | 88.4 | 89.3 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

wordsum_setd

Label: CASI WORDSUM set D
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-5
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Incorrect | 21 | 26 | 47 |
| 2. Incorrect | 125 | 95 | 220 |
| 3. Correct | 1786 | 3654 | 5440 |
| 4. Incorrect | 19 | 17 | 36 |
| 5. Incorrect | 91 | 55 | 146 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 13 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Incorrect | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 2. Incorrect | 6.1 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| 3. Correct | 87.0 | 89.9 | 94.7 | 93.5 | 92.0 | 92.2 |
| 4. Incorrect | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 5. Incorrect | 4.4 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

wordsum_sete

Label: CASI WORDSUM set E
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-6
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Correct | 1504 | 3303 | 4807 |
| 2. Incorrect | 162 | 163 | 325 |
| 3. Incorrect | 121 | 129 | 250 |
| 4. Incorrect | 154 | 160 | 314 |
| 5. Incorrect | 98 | 89 | 187 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 16 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Correct | 73.2 | 77.2 | 85.6 | 84.5 | 81.3 | 82.0 |
| 2. Incorrect | 7.9 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| 3. Incorrect | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
| 4. Incorrect | 7.5 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.8 |
| 5. Incorrect | 4.8 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

wordsum_setf

Label: CASI WORDSUM set F
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-7
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Incorrect | 39 | 22 | 61 |
| 2. Incorrect | 56 | 42 | 98 |
| 3. Correct | 1710 | 3622 | 5332 |
| 4. Incorrect | 45 | 18 | 63 |
| 5. Incorrect | 193 | 144 | 337 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 12 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Incorrect | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 2. Incorrect | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 3. Correct | 83.3 | 88.1 | 93.8 | 92.6 | 90.2 | 91.0 |
| 4. Incorrect | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 5. Incorrect | 9.4 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

wordsum_setg

Label: CASI WORDSUM set G
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-8
Description: CASI Literacy
Question: <br clear=all>
Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Incorrect | 87 | 44 | 131 |
| 2. Incorrect | 1175 | 1706 | 2881 |
| 3. Incorrect | 90 | 88 | 178 |
| 4. Inorrect | 82 | 48 | 130 |
| 5. Correct | 605 | 1952 | 2557 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 22 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Incorrect | 4.2 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 2. Incorrect | 57.2 | 54.4 | 44.2 | 47.2 | 48.7 | 49.7 |
| 3. Incorrect | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| 4. Inorrect | 4.0 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| 5. Correct | 29.5 | 35.3 | 50.6 | 46.5 | 43.2 | 42.6 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

wordsum_seth

Label: CASI WORDSUM set H
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-9
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Incorrect | 725 | 845 | 1570 |
| 2. Incorrect | 329 | 522 | 851 |
| 3. Incorrect | 171 | 219 | 390 |
| 4. Correct | 548 | 1806 | 2354 |
| 5. Incorrect | 264 | 423 | 687 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 45 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Incorrect | 35.3 | 32.9 | 21.9 | 23.2 | 26.5 | 26.6 |
| 2. Incorrect | 16.0 | 15.6 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 14.9 |
| 3. Incorrect | 8.3 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| 4. Correct | 26.7 | 31.0 | 46.8 | 42.9 | 39.8 | 38.8 |
| 5. Incorrect | 12.9 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 11.8 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

wordsum_setj

Label: CASI WORDSUM set J
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-11
Description: CASI Literacy
Question: <br clear=all>
Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|-----------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. | Correct | 593 | 1913 | 2506 |
| 2. | Incorrect | 945 | 1330 | 2275 |
| 3. | Incorrect | 69 | 54 | 123 |
| 4. | Incorrect | 389 | 486 | 875 |
| 5. | Incorrect | 43 | 52 | 95 |
| -2. | Missing: soft refusal | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. | Refused | 0 | 25 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Correct | 28.9 | 35.1 | 49.6 | 47.4 | 42.4 | 43.1 |
| 2. Incorrect | 46.0 | 42.7 | 34.5 | 35.2 | 38.5 | 37.8 |
| 3. Incorrect | 3.4 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 4. Incorrect | 18.9 | 16.5 | 12.6 | 13.2 | 14.8 | 14.3 |
| 5. Incorrect | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 |

wordsum_setk

Label: CASI WORDSUM set K
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-12
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Correct | 1148 | 2877 | 4025 |
| 2. Incorrect | 161 | 193 | 354 |
| 3. Incorrect | 385 | 374 | 759 |
| 4. Incorrect | 218 | 290 | 508 |
| 5. Incorrect | 128 | 96 | 224 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 30 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Correct | 55.9 | 62.0 | 74.5 | 72.2 | 68.1 | 68.7 |
| 2. Incorrect | 7.8 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 3. Incorrect | 18.7 | 14.8 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 12.8 | 12.0 |
| 4. Incorrect | 10.6 | 10.8 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.9 |
| 5. Incorrect | 6.2 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

wordsum_set1

Label: CASI WORDSUM set L
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-13
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Incorrect | 338 | 309 | 647 |
| 2. Incorrect | 225 | 142 | 367 |
| 3. Incorrect | 414 | 427 | 841 |
| 4. Correct | 1007 | 2918 | 3925 |
| 5. Incorrect | 56 | 42 | 98 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 22 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Incorrect | 16.5 | 13.6 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 11.0 |
| 2. Incorrect | 11.0 | 8.9 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| 3. Incorrect | 20.2 | 18.4 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 14.3 |
| 4. Correct | 49.0 | 56.3 | 75.6 | 71.9 | 66.4 | 66.5 |
| 5. Incorrect | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

wordsum_seto

Label: CASI WORDSUM set O
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-15
 Description: CASI Literacy
 Question: <br clear=all>
 Logic: The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Incorrect | 65 | 86 | 151 |
| 2. Correct | 1030 | 2636 | 3666 |
| 3. Incorrect | 725 | 907 | 1632 |
| 4. Incorrect | 83 | 62 | 145 |
| 5. Incorrect | 136 | 141 | 277 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 28 | 28 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Incorrect | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| 2. Correct | 50.1 | 57.2 | 68.3 | 64.5 | 62.0 | 62.0 |
| 3. Incorrect | 35.3 | 30.0 | 23.5 | 25.4 | 27.6 | 27.0 |
| 4. Incorrect | 4.0 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 5. Incorrect | 6.6 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

orientn_rgay

Label: CASI Sexual orientation of R
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.7-1
 Description: CASI sexual orientation
 Question: Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay, or bisexual?/ Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay or lesbian, or bisexual?
 Universe: IF R IS NOT FEMALE / IF R IS FEMALE:
 Logic: Load text 'or lesbian' if GEND GENDOBS=2 or SELFGEND RGEND-SELF=2, else do not include.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Heterosexual or straight | 1846 | 3653 | 5499 |
| 2. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian) | 28 | 96 | 124 |
| 3. Bisexual | 41 | 75 | 116 |
| -8. Don't know | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| -9. Refused | 99 | 36 | 135 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Heterosexual or straight | 89.9 | 92.5 | 94.6 | 94.5 | 93.0 | 93.8 |
| 2. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian) | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 3. Bisexual | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 4.8 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 |

orientn_knowgay

Label: CASI Sexual orientation of family and friends
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.7-2
Description: CASI sexual orientation
Question: Among your immediate family members, relatives, neighbors, co-workers, or close friends, are any of them gay, lesbian, or bisexual as far as you know?
Logic: Self-administered by R
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 874 | 1626 | 2500 |
| 2. No | 871 | 2204 | 3075 |
| -8. Don't know | 227 | 0 | 227 |
| -9. Refused | 82 | 30 | 112 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 42.6 | 45.1 | 42.1 | 40.3 | 42.3 | 42.0 |
| 2. No | 42.4 | 42.8 | 57.1 | 58.7 | 52.0 | 53.2 |
| -8. Don't know | 11.1 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 2.9 |
| -9. Refused | 4.0 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 |

preknow_prestimes

Label: CASI Political knowledge: number times can be ele
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-1
Description: CASI political knowledge
Question: Do you happen to know how many times an individual can be elected President of the United States under current laws?
Logic: If R attempts to enter a value outside the hard range, if possible a 'neutral' message should appear such as: 'number outside maximum allowed'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|------|------|-------|
| 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| 1 | 81 | 58 | 139 |
| 2 | 1714 | 3570 | 5284 |
| 3 | 58 | 77 | 135 |
| 4 | 101 | 79 | 180 |
| 5 | 13 | 6 | 19 |
| 6 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 8 | 28 | 23 | 51 |
| 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 12 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 15 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| -2 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| -9 | 0 | 37 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 83.4 | 86.4 | 92.5 | 91.3 | 89.3 | 89.6 |
| 3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 4 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| 5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 12 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 15 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

preknow_sizedef

Label: CASI Political knowledge: size of federal deficit
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-2
Description: CASI political knowledge
Question: Is the U.S. federal budget deficit ♦ the amount by which the government♦s spending exceeds the amount of money it collects ♦ now bigger, about the same, or smaller than it was during most of the 1990s?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Bigger | 1504 | 3389 | 4893 |
| 2. About the same | 456 | 349 | 805 |
| 3. Smaller | 84 | 101 | 185 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 21 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Bigger | 73.2 | 81.3 | 87.8 | 85.8 | 82.7 | 84.3 |
| 2. About the same | 22.2 | 15.4 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 13.6 | 12.2 |
| 3. Smaller | 4.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

preknow_senterm

Label: CASI Years Senator Elected
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-3
Description: CASI political knowledge
Question: For how many years is a United States Senator elected ♦ that is, how many years are there in one full term of office for a U.S. Senator?
Logic: If R attempts to enter a value outside the hard range, if possible a 'neutral' message should appear such as: 'number outside maximum allowed'.
Source: Respondent

27 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 6 | 2052 |
| 4 | 1928 |
| 2 | 1038 |
| 8 | 302 |
| 3 | 141 |
| 1 | 96 |
| -9 | 89 |
| 12 | 49 |
| 5 | 46 |
| 10 | 41 |

preknow_medicare

Label: CASI Political knowledge: What is Medicare
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-4
Description: CASI political knowledge
Question: What is Medicare?
Randomization: Order of responses
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. A program run by the U.S. federal government to pay for old people's health care | 1330 | 3274 | 4604 |
| 2. A program run by state governments to provide health care to poor people | 531 | 480 | 1011 |
| 3. A private health insurance plan sold to individuals in all 50 states | 126 | 69 | 195 |
| 4. A private, non-profit organization that runs free health clinics | 56 | 16 | 72 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 21 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A program run by the U.S. federal government to pay for old people's health care | 64.8 | 70.5 | 84.8 | 82.2 | 77.8 | 78.1 |
| 2. A program run by state governments to provide health care to poor people | 25.9 | 21.3 | 12.4 | 14.6 | 17.1 | 16.9 |
| 3. A private health insurance plan sold to individuals in all 50 states | 6.1 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 4. A private, non-profit organization that runs free health clinics | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

preknow_leastsp

Label: CASI Political knowledge: program Fed govt spends
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-5
Description: CASI political knowledge
Question: On which of the following does the U.S. federal government currently spend the least?
Randomization: Order of responses
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Foreign aid | 609 | 1342 | 1951 |
| 2. Medicare | 474 | 881 | 1355 |
| 3. National defense | 236 | 401 | 637 |
| 4. Social Security | 728 | 1196 | 1924 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 40 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Foreign aid | 29.6 | 31.6 | 34.8 | 32.5 | 33.0 | 32.2 |
| 2. Medicare | 23.1 | 22.3 | 22.8 | 23.2 | 22.9 | 22.9 |
| 3. National defense | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 10.5 |
| 4. Social Security | 35.4 | 34.6 | 31.0 | 32.7 | 32.5 | 33.3 |
| -2. Missing: soft refusal | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

happ_lifesatisf

Label: How satisfied is R with life
 Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.9-1
 Description: R happiness with life
 Question: All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days? Would you say that you are [EXTREMELY SATISFIED, VERY SATISFIED, MODERATELY SATISFIED, SLIGHTLY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL / NOT SATISFIED AT ALL, SLIGHTLY SATISFIED, MODERATELY SATISFIED, VERY SATISFIED, or EXTREMELY SATISFIED]?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely satisfied | 380 | 265 | 645 |
| 2. Very satisfied | 798 | 1231 | 2029 |
| 3. Moderately satisfied | 624 | 1551 | 2175 |
| 4. Slightly satisfied | 183 | 561 | 744 |
| 5. Not satisfied at all satisfied | 51 | 234 | 285 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 18 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely satisfied | 18.5 | 17.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 10.9 | 10.7 |
| 2. Very satisfied | 38.9 | 43.5 | 31.9 | 31.6 | 34.3 | 35.8 |
| 3. Moderately satisfied | 30.4 | 27.7 | 40.2 | 40.7 | 36.8 | 36.2 |
| 4. Slightly satisfied | 8.9 | 7.9 | 14.5 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 11.8 |
| 5. Not satisfied at all satisfied | 2.5 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

pre_iwrobs_skintone

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: IWR OBS: Skin tone category of respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 0 | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| 1 | 439 | 0 | 439 |
| 2 | 471 | 0 | 471 |
| 3 | 293 | 0 | 293 |
| 4 | 223 | 0 | 223 |
| 5 | 154 | 0 | 154 |
| 6 | 130 | 0 | 130 |
| 7 | 129 | 0 | 129 |
| 8 | 103 | 0 | 103 |
| 9 | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 10 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -1 | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| -2 | 24 | 0 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 1 | 21.4 | 32.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 11.4 |
| 2 | 22.9 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 9.9 |
| 3 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 4 | 10.9 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 2.4 |
| 5 | 7.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| 6 | 6.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| 7 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| 8 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 9 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 10 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| -1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

mediapo_tv

Label: Watch campaign programs on TV
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-3
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | | 1556 | 2754 | 4310 |
| 2. No | | 371 | 827 | 1198 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 75.8 | 76.3 | 71.3 | 67.6 | 72.9 | 70.6 |
| 2. No | 18.1 | 17.9 | 21.4 | 24.9 | 20.3 | 22.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

mediapo_tvamt

Label: How many campaign programs on TV
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-4
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Would you say you watched A GOOD MANY, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
 Universe: IF WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION:
 Logic: Ask if MEDIAPO TVSTD=1, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A good many | 421 | 744 | 1165 |
| 2. Several | 535 | 1098 | 1633 |
| 3. Just one or two | 599 | 912 | 1511 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 373 | 827 | 1200 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A good many | 20.5 | 22.4 | 19.3 | 17.1 | 19.7 | 19.0 |
| 2. Several | 26.0 | 25.9 | 28.4 | 26.1 | 27.6 | 26.0 |
| 3. Just one or two | 29.2 | 27.9 | 23.6 | 24.3 | 25.5 | 25.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 18.2 | 18.0 | 21.4 | 24.9 | 20.3 | 22.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mediapo_radio

Label: Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-9
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign for President on the radio?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 635 | 1440 | 2075 |
| 2. No | 1294 | 2141 | 3435 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 30.9 | 31.4 | 37.3 | 35.2 | 35.1 | 33.9 |
| 2. No | 63.0 | 62.9 | 55.5 | 57.3 | 58.1 | 59.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mediapo_radioamt

Label: How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-10
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Would you say you listened to A GOOD MANY, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
 Universe: IF HEARD ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON RADIO:
 Logic: Ask if MEDIAPO RADSTD=1, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A good many | 167 | 392 | 559 |
| 2. Several | 212 | 576 | 788 |
| 3. Just one or two | 255 | 472 | 727 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1294 | 2141 | 3435 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A good many | 8.1 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 |
| 2. Several | 10.3 | 10.8 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 12.8 |
| 3. Just one or two | 12.4 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 12.1 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 63.0 | 62.9 | 55.5 | 57.3 | 58.1 | 59.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mediapo_nwsprev

Label: Read about Presidential campaign in newspaper
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-28
Description: Post media exposure and attention
Question: Did you read about the campaign for President in any newspaper?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 783 | 1982 | 2765 |
| 2. No | 1146 | 1599 | 2745 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 38.1 | 43.0 | 51.3 | 46.8 | 46.8 | 45.5 |
| 2. No | 55.8 | 51.2 | 41.4 | 45.6 | 46.4 | 47.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mediapo_nwsprevamt

Label: How many stories abt Pres campaign in newspaper
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-29
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Would you say you read A GOOD MANY stories, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
 Universe: IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:
 Logic: Ask if MEDIAPO_NWSPREV=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A good many | 202 | 572 | 774 |
| 2. Several | 302 | 858 | 1160 |
| 3. Just one or two | 279 | 552 | 831 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1146 | 1599 | 2745 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A good many | 9.8 | 12.8 | 14.8 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 12.7 |
| 2. Several | 14.7 | 16.1 | 22.2 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 18.5 |
| 3. Just one or two | 13.6 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 14.4 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 55.8 | 51.2 | 41.4 | 45.6 | 46.4 | 47.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mediapo_net

Label: View/hear internet information abt Pres campaign
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-31
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Did you read, watch, or listen to any information about the campaign for President on the Internet?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 955 | 2135 | 3090 |
| 2. No | 973 | 1445 | 2418 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 46.5 | 48.4 | 55.3 | 52.7 | 52.2 | 51.2 |
| 2. No | 47.4 | 45.7 | 37.4 | 39.7 | 40.9 | 41.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

mediapo_netamt

Label: How often internet info about Pres campaign
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-32
Description: Post media exposure and attention
Question: Would you say you read, watched, or listened to information on the Internet about the campaign for President A GOOD MANY times, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
Universe: IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRES-
IDENT ON THE INTERNET:
Logic: Ask if MEDIAPO INETREV=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A good many | 275 | 718 | 993 |
| 2. Several | 388 | 906 | 1294 |
| 3. Just one or two | 289 | 510 | 799 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 974 | 1446 | 2420 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A good many | 13.4 | 15.1 | 18.6 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 15.8 |
| 2. Several | 18.9 | 20.7 | 23.5 | 22.2 | 21.9 | 21.7 |
| 3. Just one or two | 14.1 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 13.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.4 | 45.8 | 37.5 | 39.7 | 40.9 | 41.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

mediapo_site

Label: Did R visit website of candidate
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-35
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Did you visit any Presidential candidates' websites, or did you never do that?
 Universe: IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:
 Logic: Ask if MEDIAPO INETREV=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Visited web site(s) | 193 | 483 | 676 |
| 2. Did not visit | 762 | 3097 | 3859 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 974 | 0 | 974 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Visited web site(s) | 9.4 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 11.2 |
| 2. Did not visit | 37.1 | 38.9 | 80.2 | 80.3 | 65.3 | 66.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.4 | 45.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 15.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mediapo_siteamt

Label: How often visited website of candidate or party
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 121-37
 Description: Post media exposure and attention
 Question: Would you say you visited a candidate website A GOOD MANY times, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
 Universe: IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET: IF R VISITED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE WEBSITE:
 Logic: Ask if MEDIAPO WSITE=1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A good many | 23 | 56 | 79 |
| 2. Several | 64 | 168 | 232 |
| 3. Just one or two | 106 | 259 | 365 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1736 | 3098 | 4834 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A good many | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 2. Several | 3.1 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| 3. Just one or two | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.5 | 84.8 | 80.3 | 80.3 | 81.7 | 81.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mobilpo_party

Label: Did party contact R about 2012 campaign
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-1
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the POLITICAL PARTIES call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 623 | 1813 | 2436 |
| 2. No | 1304 | 1768 | 3072 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 30.3 | 36.6 | 47.0 | 40.7 | 41.2 | 39.3 |
| 2. No | 63.5 | 57.5 | 45.8 | 51.8 | 51.9 | 53.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

mobilpo_wparty

Label: Which party contacted R about 2012 campaign
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-2
Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question: Which party was that?
Universe: IF SOMEONE FROM POLITICAL PARTY CONTACTED R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:
Logic: Ask if MOBILPO PTYMOB=1, else skip.
Web Logic: Online, include option 3 but omit VOL text. In option 5, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats | 215 | 500 | 715 |
| 2. Republicans | 152 | 447 | 599 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 210 | 853 | 1063 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 3 | 13 | 16 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1306 | 1768 | 3074 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats | 10.5 | 9.7 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 10.9 |
| 2. Republicans | 7.4 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.7 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 10.2 | 13.8 | 22.1 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 16.7 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 63.6 | 57.7 | 45.8 | 51.8 | 52.0 | 53.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

mobilpo_wparty_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- Did party contact R about 2012 campaign

19 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---|------------------------|-------|
| <NULL> | | 5896 |
| Both and Another Party I didn't recognize | | 1 |
| dem, rep, green, liberatarian | | 1 |
| Democrat and Republican | | 1 |
| didnt identify | | 1 |
| Don't know, hung up on them. | | 1 |
| Gary johnson | | 1 |
| I'm not sure about a few callers. | | 1 |
| I don't remember | | 1 |
| independant | | 1 |

mobilpo_contactoth

Label: Did anyone other than parties contact R about cands
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-3
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 275 | 803 | 1078 |
| 2. No | 1647 | 2777 | 4424 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 13.4 | 15.1 | 20.8 | 19.2 | 18.2 | 17.8 |
| 2. No | 80.2 | 78.7 | 71.9 | 73.3 | 74.8 | 75.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

mobilpo_latino

Label: LATINO Rs: Was contact by Latino person(s)
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-4
Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question: Were the people who contacted you Latinos, non-Latinos, or both?
Universe: IF R INDICATED HISPANIC IN PRE: IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN PARTIES CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S) :
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1 and MOBILPO OTHMOB=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Latinos | 15 | 13 | 28 |
| 2. Non-Latinos | 14 | 24 | 38 |
| 3. Both | 10 | 44 | 54 |
| 4. Don't remember | 5 | 16 | 21 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1884 | 3484 | 5368 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Latinos | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Non-Latinos | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 3. Both | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| 4. Don't remember | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.7 | 93.4 | 90.3 | 90.7 | 90.8 | 91.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mobilpo_lang

Label: LATINO Rs: Was contact in Spanish or English
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-5
Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question: When you were contacted, was it mostly in Spanish, mostly in English, or in both?
Universe: IF R INDICATED HISPANIC IN PRE: IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN PARTIES CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S) :
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1 and MOBILPO OTHMOB=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Mostly in Spanish | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| 2. Mostly in English | 33 | 60 | 93 |
| 3. Both | 12 | 27 | 39 |
| 4. Don't remember | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1884 | 3484 | 5368 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Mostly in Spanish | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. Mostly in English | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| 3. Both | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 4. Don't remember | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.7 | 93.4 | 90.3 | 90.7 | 90.8 | 91.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mobilpo_reg

Label: Anyone talk to R abt registering or getting out to vote
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-6
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, someone did | 900 | 1779 | 2679 |
| 2. No, no one did | 1026 | 1801 | 2827 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, someone did | 43.8 | 40.4 | 46.1 | 43.5 | 45.3 | 42.4 |
| 2. No, no one did | 50.0 | 53.7 | 46.7 | 49.0 | 47.8 | 50.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

mobilpo_rmob

Label: R talk to anyone about voting for or against cand or pty
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-7
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 735 | 1559 | 2294 |
| 2. No | 1193 | 2020 | 3213 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 35.8 | 37.8 | 40.4 | 36.7 | 38.8 | 37.1 |
| 2. No | 58.1 | 56.3 | 52.3 | 55.6 | 54.3 | 55.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

mobilpo_rally

Label: R go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-8
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 101 | 246 | 347 |
| 2. No | 1827 | 3334 | 5161 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
| 2. No | 88.9 | 89.0 | 86.4 | 87.2 | 87.3 | 87.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mobilpo_sign

Label: R wear campaign button or post sign or bumper sticker
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-9
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 277 | 611 | 888 |
| 2. No | 1652 | 2968 | 4620 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 13.5 | 12.3 | 15.8 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 13.8 |
| 2. No | 80.4 | 82.0 | 76.9 | 77.8 | 78.1 | 79.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

mobilpo_otherwork

Label: R do any (other) work for party or candidate
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-10
Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question: Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 70 | 163 | 233 |
| 2. No | 1859 | 3416 | 5275 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 3.4 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 |
| 2. No | 90.5 | 91.3 | 88.5 | 89.0 | 89.2 | 89.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

mobilpo_ctbcand

Label: R contribute money to specific candidate campaign
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-11
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE running for public office?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 169 | 524 | 693 |
| 2. No | 1758 | 3055 | 4813 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 8.2 | 9.1 | 13.6 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 10.3 |
| 2. No | 85.6 | 85.1 | 79.1 | 81.5 | 81.4 | 82.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

mobilpo_ctbcndpty

Label: Party of candidate for whom R contributed money
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-12
Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question: Which party did that candidate belong to?
Universe: IF R CONTRIBUTED MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:
Logic: Ask if MOBILPO CTBCAND=1, else skip
Web Logic: Online, in option 5, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'.
Include option 3 but omit 'VOL' text.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Democratic | 104 | 325 | 429 |
| 2. Republican | 53 | 178 | 231 |
| 3. Both Democratic and Republican (VOL) | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 6 | 11 | 17 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1760 | 3057 | 4817 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democratic | 5.1 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 5.5 |
| 2. Republican | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| 3. Both Democratic and Republican (VOL) | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.7 | 85.1 | 79.2 | 81.6 | 81.5 | 82.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mobilpo_ctbcndpty_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: Other specify - party of candidate for whom R contributed mone

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| -3. Restricted access | 5510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

mobilpo_ctbpty

Label: R contribute money to political party
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-13
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: Did you give money to a POLITICAL PARTY during this election year?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 118 | 395 | 513 |
| 2. No | 1810 | 3185 | 4995 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 5.7 | 5.4 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 7.0 |
| 2. No | 88.1 | 88.9 | 82.5 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 86.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

mobilpo_ctbwhpty

Label: Party to which R contributed
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-14
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: To which party did you give money?
 Universe: IF R CONTRIBUTED MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:
 Logic: Ask if MOBILPO CTBPTY
 Web Logic: Online, in option 5, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'.
 Include option 3 but omit 'VOL' text.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| -3. Restricted access | 1929 | 3581 | 5510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -3. Restricted access | 93.9 | 94.3 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 93.2 | 93.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

mobilpo_ctbwhpty_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: Other specify -party to which R contributed

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|--|--------------|
| -3. Restricted access | 5510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

mobilpo_ctboth

Label: R contribute to any other group for/against a cand
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122-15
 Description: Mobilization and engagement in the political process
 Question: Did you give any money to ANY OTHER GROUP that supported or opposed candidates?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 48 | 180 | 228 |
| 2. No | 1878 | 3399 | 5277 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 2.3 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 2. No | 91.4 | 91.2 | 88.1 | 88.2 | 89.2 | 89.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

dhsinvolv_march

Label: DHS: Has R in past 4 years: joined a protest march
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-1
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you joined in a protest march, rally, or demonstration, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 126 | 222 | 348 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1800 | 3357 | 5157 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 87.6 | 88.3 | 87.0 | 87.4 | 87.2 | 87.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_board

Label: DHS: Has R in past 4 years: attended city/school brd
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-2
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you attended a meeting of a town or city government or school board, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Have done this in past 4 years | 387 | 698 | 1085 |
| 2. | Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1540 | 2881 | 4421 |
| -6. | Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. | Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. | Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 18.8 | 18.9 | 18.1 | 16.1 | 18.3 | 17.1 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 75.0 | 75.2 | 74.6 | 76.3 | 74.8 | 75.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_netpetition

Label: DHS: Has R in past 4 years: sign internet petition
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-3
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you signed a petition on the Internet about a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Web Logic: Online, set 'on the Internet' in boldface.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 330 | 1044 | 1374 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1590 | 2535 | 4125 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 16.1 | 18.2 | 27.0 | 24.6 | 23.2 | 22.3 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 77.4 | 75.6 | 65.7 | 67.8 | 69.7 | 70.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_petition

Label: DHS: Has Rin past 4 years: signed paper petition online
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-4
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you signed a petition on paper about a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Web Logic: Online, set 'on paper' in boldface.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 392 | 959 | 1351 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1528 | 2621 | 4149 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 19.1 | 21.5 | 24.8 | 21.6 | 22.8 | 21.6 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 74.4 | 72.1 | 67.9 | 70.8 | 70.2 | 71.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dhsinvolv_relig

Label: DHS: Has R in past 4 years: given money to relig. org
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-5
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you ever given money to a religious organization, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 994 | 1802 | 2796 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 929 | 1775 | 2704 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 48.4 | 51.2 | 46.7 | 43.2 | 47.3 | 46.0 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 45.2 | 42.9 | 46.0 | 49.1 | 45.7 | 46.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_org

Label: DHS: Has R in past 4 years: gave money to soc/pol org
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-6
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: Not counting a religious organization, during the past 4 years, have you given money to any other organization concerned with a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 432 | 952 | 1384 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1489 | 2627 | 4116 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 21.0 | 26.4 | 24.7 | 20.8 | 23.4 | 22.8 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 72.5 | 67.7 | 68.1 | 71.5 | 69.6 | 70.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_call

Label: DHS: Has R in past 4 yrs: called radio/TV about polit iss
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-7
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you called a radio or TV show about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 68 | 113 | 181 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1859 | 3465 | 5324 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 90.5 | 90.4 | 89.8 | 89.5 | 90.0 | 89.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_message

Label: DHS: sent a message on Facebook/Twitter about polit iss
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-9
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you ever sent a message on Face-
 book or Twitter about a political issue, or have you not done this
 in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 393 | 744 | 1137 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1533 | 2833 | 4366 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 4 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 19.1 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 19.2 | 19.3 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 74.6 | 74.0 | 73.4 | 73.3 | 73.8 | 73.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_letter

Label: DHS: written a letter to newspaper/mag about polit iss
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-10
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you written a letter to a newspaper or magazine about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 66 | 182 | 248 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1862 | 3396 | 5258 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 90.7 | 90.5 | 88.0 | 88.3 | 88.9 | 89.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_contact1

Label: Contact Congressman or Senator
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-11
 Description: DHS-based civic engagement
 Question: During the past 4 years, have you contacted or tried to contact a member of the U.S. Senate or U.S. House of Representatives, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Have done this in past 4 years | 243 | 897 | 1140 |
| 2. | Have not done this in the past 4 years | 1683 | 2681 | 4364 |
| -6. | Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. | Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. | Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. | Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have done this in past 4 years | 11.8 | 14.6 | 23.2 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 18.1 |
| 2. Have not done this in the past 4 years | 81.9 | 79.5 | 69.5 | 72.4 | 73.8 | 74.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_1st

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. U.S. Senator from Respondent's state | 167 | 0 | 167 |
| 2. U.S. Senator from another state | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 3. U.S. House Representative from Respondent's district | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1686 | 3581 | 5267 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. U.S. Senator from Respondent's state | 8.1 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| 2. U.S. Senator from another state | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 3. U.S. House Representative from Respondent's district | 2.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.1 | 79.6 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 89.1 | 88.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_2nd

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 2. U.S. Senator from another state | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| 3. U.S. House Representative from Respondent's district | 65 | 0 | 65 |
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1832 | 3581 | 5413 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. U.S. Senator from another state | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 3. U.S. House Representative from Respondent's district | 3.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.2 | 88.4 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 91.5 | 91.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_3rd

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 3. U.S. House Representative from Respondent's district | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1899 | 3581 | 5480 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. U.S. House Representative from Respondent's district | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.5 | 92.2 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 92.7 | 92.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_4th

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1903 | 3581 | 5484 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.6 | 92.5 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 92.7 | 92.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_stsen

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it U.S. Senator from R's state

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 76 | 179 | 255 |
| 1. Selected by R | 167 | 718 | 885 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1686 | 2684 | 4370 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 1. Selected by R | 8.1 | 9.9 | 18.6 | 15.9 | 15.0 | 13.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.1 | 79.6 | 69.5 | 72.5 | 73.9 | 75.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_othsen

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it U.S. Senator from another state

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 200 | 805 | 1005 |
| 1. Selected by R | 43 | 92 | 135 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1686 | 2684 | 4370 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 9.7 | 11.7 | 20.9 | 17.9 | 17.0 | 15.7 |
| 1. Selected by R | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.1 | 79.6 | 69.5 | 72.5 | 73.9 | 75.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_distrep

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it R's district U.S. Representative

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 99 | 255 | 354 |
| 1. Selected by R | 144 | 642 | 786 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1686 | 2684 | 4370 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 4.8 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 6.1 |
| 1. Selected by R | 7.0 | 8.7 | 16.6 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 12.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.1 | 79.6 | 69.5 | 72.5 | 73.9 | 75.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_othrep

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it other U.S. Representative

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 206 | 793 | 999 |
| 1. Selected by R | 37 | 104 | 141 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1686 | 2684 | 4370 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 10.0 | 12.1 | 20.5 | 17.9 | 16.9 | 15.9 |
| 1. Selected by R | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.1 | 79.6 | 69.5 | 72.5 | 73.9 | 75.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhsinvolv_contact2_dkrf

Label: POST: Who did R contact: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not selected by R | 238 | 897 | 1135 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1686 | 2684 | 4370 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not selected by R | 11.6 | 14.4 | 23.2 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 18.0 |
| 1. DK selected by R | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.1 | 79.6 | 69.5 | 72.5 | 73.9 | 75.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_repre

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Was R registered Pre-election

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. R not registered Pre-election or DK/RF if registered | 351 | 0 | 351 |
| 1. R registered Pre-election- at address of residence | 1276 | 0 | 1276 |
| 2. R registered Pre-election- at other address | 302 | 0 | 302 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3581 | 3581 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. R not registered Pre-election or DK/RF if registered | 17.1 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
| 1. R registered Pre-election- at address of residence | 62.1 | 68.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 23.7 |
| 2. R registered Pre-election- at other address | 14.7 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 3.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 60.6 | 60.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_votedpre

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Did registered R report voting Pre-election

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. R reported not voting yet in Pre-election | 1466 | 0 | 1466 |
| 1. DK/RF whether voted already in Pre-election | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 2. R reported voting already in Pre-election | 109 | 0 | 109 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 351 | 3581 | 3932 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. R reported not voting yet in Pre-election | 71.4 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 25.6 |
| 1. DK/RF whether voted already in Pre-election | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 2. R reported voting already in Pre-election | 5.3 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 17.1 | 15.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 66.5 | 65.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_prestatus_nonvtr

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Status of pre-election nonvoters

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0.Not registered | 334 | 0 | 334 |
| 1.Registered at residence | 1181 | 0 | 1181 |
| 2. DK/RF if registered at residence | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 3.Not registered at residence - same state and county | 178 | 0 | 178 |
| 4.Not registered at residence - same state, different county or wrong county preloaded | 72 | 0 | 72 |
| 5.Not registered at residence - same state, DK/RF if same county | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 6.Not registered at residence - different state | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| 7.Not registered at residence- state not identified; not determined if registered in county | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 8.Not registered at residence- different state identified but R indicated registered in county of residence | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 109 | 3581 | 3690 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not registered | 16.3 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 5.0 |
| 1. Registered at residence | 57.5 | 63.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 22.1 |
| 2. DK/RF if registered at residence | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Not registered at residence - same state and county | 8.7 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| 4. Not registered at residence - same state, different county or wrong county preloaded | 3.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| 5. Not registered at residence - same state, DK/RF if same county | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6. Not registered at residence - different state | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Not registered at residence- state not identified; not determined if registered in county | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 8. Not registered at residence- different state identified but R indicated registered in county of residence | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5.3 | 5.4 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 62.4 | 62.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_regist_addr

Label: POST: Is R registered at address of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Registered at this address | 72 | 45 | 117 |
| 2. Registered at a different address | 24 | 11 | 35 |
| 3. Not currently registered | 243 | 225 | 468 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1578 | 3296 | 4874 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Registered at this address | 3.5 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2. Registered at a different address | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 3. Not currently registered | 11.8 | 10.9 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 8.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 76.8 | 79.2 | 85.4 | 83.3 | 82.4 | 81.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

postvote_regist_noaddr

Label: POST: WEB ONLY: Is R registered (address not determined)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Registered to vote | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2. Not currently registered | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 3564 | 5493 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Not currently registered | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 92.3 | 91.9 | 92.9 | 92.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_reg

Label: RESTRICTED: Other Registration location - add1 (etc)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-2
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: What is the address where you are registered to vote now?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
 IF R IS REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT AD-
 DRESS:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE REGIST=2 else skip. On a single screen there
 should be fields to capture address1, address2, apt number, city,
 state, zip code For state only, a drop-down list should be present.
 Fields: PREVOTE REGADD1 PREVOTE REGADD2 PREVOTE REGAPT
 PREVOTE REGCITY PREVOTE REGST (dropdown) PREVOTE ZIP It
 must be necessary to include PREVOTE REGSTATE at minimum.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank or DK/RF) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 1. City and state identified in correct registration address fields | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 2. State in correct field; city not identified (blank) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3. City in correct field; state identifiable but not entered in correct field | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1905 | 3581 | 5486 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank or DK/RF) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 1. City and state identified in correct registration address fields | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 2. State in correct field; city not identified (blank) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. City in correct field; state identifiable but not entered in correct field | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.7 | 93.3 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 92.8 | 92.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_reg_state

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Other Registr. location - state of registr. (FIPS CODE)

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 5486 | |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 | |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 152 | |
| 00. Blank (all fields for location of other registration left blank) | 4 | |
| 36. New York | 3 | |
| 48. Texas | 3 | |
| 01. Alabama | 2 | |
| 12. Florida | 2 | |
| 06. California | 1 | |
| 20. Kansas | 1 | |

postvote_reg_samestate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Oth Registr. location - state same as household's (Y/N)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not same state as state of residence | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1. State of registration not determined | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 2. Same state as state of residence | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1905 | 3581 | 5486 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not same state as state of residence | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1. State of registration not determined | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 2. Same state as state of residence | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.7 | 93.3 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 92.8 | 92.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_reginccnty

Label: Is R registered to vote in preload county (residence)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-2.5
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: Is that address in [preload: hhcounty]?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY: IF R REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS: IF R REGISTERED IN SAME STATE AS CURRENT ADDRESS OR REGISTRATION STATE MISSING:
Logic: R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HH CITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if POSTVOTE REGST=HHSTATE or POSTVOTE REGIST=2 and address of registration POSTVOTE REGST not given, else skip. Note that preload for county should be 'Washington D.C.' if REGST is DC.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty> | 17 | 7 | 24 |
| 2. No, registered in other county | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1906 | 3569 | 5475 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty> | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 2. No, registered in other county | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.8 | 93.4 | 92.5 | 92.1 | 92.6 | 92.6 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_regyrs3cat

Label: POST: How long has R been registered at location

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. 0-1 years | 53 | 28 | 81 |
| 2. 2-5 years | 25 | 8 | 33 |
| 3. More than 5 years | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1838 | 3535 | 5373 |
| -4. Error | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 0-1 years | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 2. 2-5 years | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 3. More than 5 years | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.5 | 90.7 | 91.6 | 90.9 | 90.9 | 90.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

postvote_regnname

Label: RESTRICTED: name under which R is registered to vote
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-3
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: Some people register to vote under their full name and others use different versions of their name. Others might have changed their name since they registered. What about you? Under what name are you registered to vote?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
IF R INDICATED REGISTERED TO VOTE IN THE POST SURVEY:
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE REGIST in(1,2) else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. FTF: no text in name field | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 1. FTF: Text in name field | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1833 | 0 | 1833 |
| -2. Missing WEB mode; available in the forthcoming release | 0 | 3581 | 3581 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. FTF: no text in name field | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1. FTF: Text in name field | 4.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.2 | 90.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 31.5 |
| -2. Missing WEB mode; available in the forthcoming release | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 60.6 | 60.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_regpty_state

Label: POST: Does recorded state of registration have party registration

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| -2. Missing; available in forthcoming release | 1929 | 3581 | 5510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -2. Missing; available in forthcoming release | 93.9 | 94.3 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 93.2 | 93.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_regpty

Label: Party of registration
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-4
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: What political party are you registered with, if any?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
 IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE IN
 STATE WHERE PARTY MAY BE REGISTERED:
 Logic: R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if (POSTVOTE REGIST =1 and HHSTATE in(AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY, or missing)) or if (POSTVOTE REGIST=2 and POSTVOTE REGST in(AK, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY, or empty)), else skip
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box for response 5 in place of ♦SPECIFY♦.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Democratic party | 26 | 11 | 37 |
| 2. Republican party | 8 | 5 | 13 |
| 4. None or 'independent' | 18 | 7 | 25 |
| 5. Other party (SPECIFY) | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1872 | 3556 | 5428 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democratic party | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 2. Republican party | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 4. None or 'independent' | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Other party (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.1 | 92.2 | 92.1 | 91.8 | 91.8 | 92.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

postvote_regpty_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Party of registration

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| -3. Restricted access | 5510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

postvote_rvote

Label: Did R vote
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-10
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you: One, I did not vote (in the election this November); Two, I thought about voting this time, but didn't; Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or Four, I am sure I voted?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
Logic: Variable vote pre will be created to indicate whether R said in the Pre that he/she already voted, 1=Voted in the Pre, 0=Did not vote in the Pre (codes defined: 1 if PREVOTE HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF), else 0). Ask if (POSTVOTE REGIST in(1,2)) or (variables reg pre=1 and vote pre=0); else skip.
Web Logic: Online, omit the response options in the question display, ending that with 'Which of the following statements best describes you?'
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. I did not vote (in the election this November) | 100 | 169 | 269 |
| 2. I thought about voting this time, but didn't | 90 | 69 | 159 |
| 3. I usually vote, but didn't this time | 86 | 109 | 195 |
| 4. I am sure I voted | 1287 | 2713 | 4000 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 364 | 518 | 882 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 3 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. I did not vote (in the election this November) | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 5.2 |
| 2. I thought about voting this time, but didn't | 4.4 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| 3. I usually vote, but didn't this time | 4.2 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| 4. I am sure I voted | 62.7 | 65.4 | 70.3 | 66.3 | 67.6 | 66.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 17.7 | 16.8 | 13.4 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 15.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

rvote2012_x

Label: PREPOST SUMMARY: Did R vote in November general election

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. R voted in the 2012 elections | 1399 | 3005 | 4404 |
| 2. R did not vote in the 2012 elections | 519 | 587 | 1106 |
| -2. Missing, 2012 voting status not determined | 135 | 265 | 400 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. R voted in the 2012 elections | 68.1 | 71.0 | 77.8 | 73.2 | 74.5 | 72.4 |
| 2. R did not vote in the 2012 elections | 25.3 | 22.9 | 15.2 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 20.6 |
| -2. Missing, 2012 voting status not determined | 6.6 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

postvote_ckbcard

Label: FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: have correct Post ballot card
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-10.5
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS: [var: REG ST] [var: REG DIST].
 ASSIGNED BALLOT COLOR IS: [var: BALLOTCOLOR]. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHETHER YOU HAVE THE CORRECT BALLOT CARD FOR THE RESPONDENT:
 Universe: IF R DID NOT REPORT IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF HAS NOT REFUSED TO INDICATE REGISTRATION STATUS: IF R IS REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF CURRENT ADDRESS OR IF R IS NOT REGISTERED:
 Logic: Ask if (POSTVOTE REGIST in(1,3) or POSTVOTE REGINCNTY=1) or (vote pre=0 and (PREVOTE REGIST in(1,3) or PREVOTE REGINCNTY=1)) In text of question, value of preload variable 'hhdist' which identifies the 113th congressional district of R's current address (e.g. '02'), is loaded together with value of preload variable hhstate.
 Source: IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for R's district | 1350 | 3204 | 4554 |
| 2. Have ballot card in wrong color for R's district | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards. | 151 | 373 | 524 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 237 | 0 | 237 |
| -4. Error; FTF: Pre reg in same county as residence but no ballot card use | 179 | 4 | 183 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for R's district | 65.7 | 70.8 | 83.0 | 81.3 | 77.0 | 77.7 |
| 2. Have ballot card in wrong color for R's district | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards. | 7.4 | 6.2 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 9.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 11.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| -4. Error; FTF: Pre reg in same county as residence but no ballot card use | 8.7 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_ballotcolor

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Assigned color for Ballot Card (if displayed)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Blue | 950 | 0 | 950 |
| 2. Pink | 979 | 0 | 979 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3581 | 3581 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Blue | 46.3 | 45.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 15.8 |
| 2. Pink | 47.7 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 17.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 60.6 | 60.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_presvt

Label: Did R vote for President
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-12
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT?
Randomization: Order of Presidential candidate names in question text
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE RVOTE=4, else skip. The ballot card is not shown
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for President | 1278 | 2685 | 3963 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for President | 8 | 27 | 35 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 642 | 868 | 1510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for President | 62.2 | 65.1 | 69.6 | 65.6 | 67.0 | 65.4 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for President | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 31.3 | 28.9 | 22.5 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 27.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_presvtwho

Label: For whom did R vote for President
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-13
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: Who did you vote for? [[preload: dem pcname], [preload:rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname], [preload:dem pcname]], or someone else?
Randomization: Order of Presidential candidate names in question
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R ASSIGNED TO DEMOCRATIC NAMES FIRST / IF R ASSIGNED TO REPUBLICAN NAMES FIRST:
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE PRESVT=1, else skip. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text, following 'Who did you vote for?' the Presidential candidate names are in order according to the value of variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of ♦SPECIFY♦.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 854 | 1420 | 2274 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname | 375 | 1164 | 1539 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 25 | 82 | 107 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 651 | 896 | 1547 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 24 | 19 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 41.6 | 33.4 | 36.8 | 33.2 | 38.5 | 33.3 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname | 18.3 | 29.2 | 30.2 | 29.3 | 26.0 | 29.2 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 31.7 | 29.2 | 23.2 | 26.9 | 26.2 | 27.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

presvote2012_x

Label: PREPOST SUMMARY: For whom did R vote for President in 2012

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Barack Obama | 922 | 1574 | 2496 |
| 2. Mitt Romney | 414 | 1278 | 1692 |
| 5. Other | 25 | 93 | 118 |
| -2. R did not vote for Pres or did not report voting for Pres in Pre and no Post-election data | 664 | 891 | 1555 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 28 | 24 | 52 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Barack Obama | 44.9 | 36.1 | 40.8 | 37.1 | 42.2 | 36.8 |
| 2. Mitt Romney | 20.2 | 32.0 | 33.1 | 31.7 | 28.6 | 31.8 |
| 5. Other | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| -2. R did not vote for Pres or did not report voting for Pres in Pre and no Post-election data | 32.3 | 29.3 | 23.1 | 27.7 | 26.3 | 28.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 |

postvote_presvtwho_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- For whom did R vote for President

46 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5825 |
| Gary Johnson | 29 |
| Jill Stein | 7 |
| Johnson | 3 |
| libertarian | 3 |
| Ron Paul | 3 |
| Virgil Goode | 3 |
| GARY JOHNSON | 2 |
| Rosanne Barr | 2 |
| <NAME REDACTED> | 1 |

postvote_presstr

Label: Preference strong for Pres candidate for whom R vote
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-14
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: Would you say your preference for this candidate was STRONG or NOT STRONG?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE PRESVTWHO in(1,2,5), else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong | 1083 | 2160 | 3243 |
| 2. Not strong | 170 | 504 | 674 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 675 | 915 | 1590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong | 52.7 | 53.9 | 56.0 | 52.3 | 54.8 | 52.9 |
| 2. Not strong | 8.3 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 12.7 | 11.4 | 11.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 32.9 | 30.6 | 23.7 | 27.4 | 26.9 | 28.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

postvote_vtpresdec_txt

Label: POST: How long before election R made decision Pres vote

2328 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 1092 |
| -1 Inapplicable | 915 |
| 6 months | 131 |
| 4 years | 130 |
| 4 years ago | 90 |
| 1 year | 66 |
| 3 months | 64 |
| 2 months | 56 |
| months | 44 |
| 2 years | 41 |

postvote_vtpresdec_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: How Ing bef electn made decisn Pres vt: DK/RF selectd

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 2. FTF: code RF selected in place of open-end response | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1916 | 3581 | 5497 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.3 | 93.8 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 92.9 | 92.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_prefpres

Label: Does R prefer Pres candidate (did not vote)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-18
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: How about the election for President? Did you prefer one of the candidates for PRESIDENT?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE:
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE REGIST in(3,DK,RF); or POSTVOTE RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF), else skip. The ballot card is not shown
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 395 | 248 | 643 |
| 2. No | 132 | 117 | 249 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1396 | 3215 | 4611 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 19.2 | 16.7 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 10.9 | 11.2 |
| 2. No | 6.4 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 68.0 | 70.8 | 83.3 | 80.1 | 78.0 | 76.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

postvote_prefprwho

Label: Preference for Pres candidate (did not vote)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-19
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you prefer? [[preload: dem pname], [preload:rep pname] / [preload: rep pname], [preload:dem pname]], or someone else?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE: IF R PREFERRED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE PREFPRES=1, else skip Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who did you prefer?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
 Web Logic: Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of ♦SPECIFY♦.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 289 | 155 | 444 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 98 | 87 | 185 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1534 | 3332 | 4866 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 1 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 14.1 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 7.1 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 4.8 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.7 | 77.6 | 86.3 | 84.2 | 82.3 | 81.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

postvote_prefprwho_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- Preference for Pres cand

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|
| <NULL> | 2051 | 3855 | 5906 |
| Anyone but those two. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Gary Johnson | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Herman Cain | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Hillary Clinton | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| jill stein | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| personal | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ron Paul | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| <NULL> | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| personal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

postvote_prefprstr

Label: Preference strong for Pres candidate (did not vote)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-20
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Would you say your preference for this candidate was STRONG or NOT STRONG?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
 IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND
 DID NOT VOTE: IF R PREFERRED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
 IF PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE PREFPRWHO in(1,2,5) else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong | 288 | 187 | 475 |
| 2. Not strong | 101 | 60 | 161 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1539 | 3333 | 4872 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong | 14.0 | 12.2 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 8.2 |
| 2. Not strong | 4.9 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.9 | 77.7 | 86.3 | 84.2 | 82.4 | 82.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_votehs

Label: Did R vote for U.S. House of Representatives
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-21
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: [Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES? / How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE RVOTE=4, else skip. If POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives | 1110 | 2405 | 3515 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives | 146 | 306 | 452 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 642 | 868 | 1510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 2 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives | 54.0 | 57.8 | 62.3 | 57.5 | 59.4 | 57.6 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives | 7.1 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 7.6 | 7.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 31.3 | 28.9 | 22.5 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 27.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

postvote_hsvtbc

Label: For whom did R vote for U.S. House (ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-22
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE VOTEHS=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, omit response option 4 and include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 506 | 1087 | 1593 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 304 | 1000 | 1304 |
| 3. <preload: ind_hsename> | 9 | 41 | 50 |
| 4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 7 | 52 | 59 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1049 | 1387 | 2436 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 14 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 24.6 | 21.3 | 28.2 | 24.2 | 26.9 | 23.2 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 14.8 | 24.2 | 25.9 | 25.2 | 22.0 | 24.9 |
| 3. <preload: ind_hsename> | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.1 | 45.3 | 35.9 | 40.1 | 41.2 | 41.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

postvote_hsvtnobc

Label: For whom did R vote for U.S. House (no ballot card)
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-23
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
Logic: Ask if (POSTVOTE VOTEHS=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2)) or POSTVOTE HSVTBC=4, else skip.
Web Logic: Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: dem_hsename> | 65 | 0 | 65 |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: rep_hsename> | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 05. Ballot card not shown in error: other name | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 72 | 121 | 193 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 35 | 92 | 127 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 13 | 25 | 38 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1692 | 3329 | 5021 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 23 | 2 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 12 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: dem_hsenname> | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: rep_hsenname> | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 05. Ballot card not shown in error: other name | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 3.5 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.4 | 85.2 | 86.2 | 86.3 | 84.9 | 85.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

postvote_hspref

Label: Does R prefer U.S. House candidate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-26
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: [Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?/ How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND
DID NOTVOTE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION
DISTRICT / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGIS-
TRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE REGIST in(3,DK,RF); or POSTVOTE RVOTE
in(1,2,3,DK,RF), else skip. If POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) then
the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence
'Here is a list...' appears at the start, else the IWR instruction
is to not show the ballot card and the sentence 'Here is a list...' does
not appear at the start. The text of the onscreen interviewer
instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK'
according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK. Do not
show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot
card.
Web Logic: Online, after the first sentence, display the names and parties of
the candidates for House of Representatives in the R's district.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 117 | 111 | 228 |
| 2. No | 394 | 476 | 870 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1396 | 2991 | 4387 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 5.7 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| 2. No | 19.2 | 17.1 | 12.3 | 16.0 | 14.7 | 16.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 68.0 | 70.8 | 77.5 | 72.9 | 74.2 | 72.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

postvote_hsprefbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-27
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you prefer?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
 IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO
 VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R
 PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT
 CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE HSPREF=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2),
 else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, omit response option 4 and include a small text box in
 place of 'SPECIFY' with option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 52 | 47 | 99 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 37 | 47 | 84 |
| 3. <preload: ind_hsename> | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1825 | 3479 | 5304 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_hsename> | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 2. <preload: rep_hsename> | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.9 | 89.3 | 90.1 | 89.4 | 89.7 | 89.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

postvote_hsprefnobc

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (no ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-27.3
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you prefer? Which party was that?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR IF R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
 Logic: Ask if (POSTVOTE HSPREF=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2)) or POSTVOTE HSPREFNOBC=4, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_hsename> | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1913 | 3572 | 5485 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | | |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_hsename> | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.1 | 93.7 | 92.5 | 92.1 | 92.7 | 92.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

postvote_senhhstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Senate election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Senate race in state of residence | 498 | 0 | 498 |
| 1. Senate race in state of residence | 1322 | 0 | 1322 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 109 | 3581 | 3690 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Senate race in state of residence | 24.2 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 6.4 |
| 1. Senate race in state of residence | 64.4 | 70.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 24.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5.3 | 5.4 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 62.4 | 62.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_senregstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Sen elctn reg state (R not reg at resid Pre or Post)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 97 | 0 | 97 |
| 1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 176 | 0 | 176 |
| 3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1617 | 3581 | 5198 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 4.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 8.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| 3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.7 | 83.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 87.9 | 89.2 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_votesen

Label: Did R vote for U.S. Senate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-28
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: How about the election for the United States Senate ? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if (POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 and (POSTVOTE REGIST=1 or PREVOTE REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 and ((POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)))), else skip. If POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE HSVOTEBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for Senate | 836 | 1893 | 2729 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for Senate | 66 | 167 | 233 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1008 | 1520 | 2528 |
| -4. Error- R said voted for Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for Senate | 40.7 | 48.7 | 49.0 | 45.1 | 46.1 | 46.4 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for Senate | 3.2 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 49.1 | 42.2 | 39.4 | 42.4 | 42.7 | 42.3 |
| -4. Error- R said voted for Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

postvote_vtsenbc

Label: R's vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-29
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE VOTESEN=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and (PREVOTE HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or PREVOTE VOTEHS in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 431 | 975 | 1406 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 225 | 728 | 953 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 3 | 25 | 28 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1255 | 1837 | 3092 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 7 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 21.0 | 21.9 | 25.3 | 22.1 | 23.8 | 22.0 |
| 2. <preload: rep_sehname> | 11.0 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 18.1 | 16.1 | 18.4 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 61.1 | 52.7 | 47.6 | 51.1 | 52.3 | 51.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

postvote_vtsennobc

Label: R's vote for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-30
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE VOTESEN=1 and (POSTVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or POSTVOTE HSVTBC=4), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname> | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_senname> | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 42 | 76 | 118 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 21 | 65 | 86 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1767 | 3432 | 5199 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 17 | 4 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname> | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_senname> | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.0 | 87.0 | 88.9 | 88.7 | 87.9 | 88.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

postvote_senpref

Label: Does R prefer U.S. Senate candidate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-33
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R NOT REGISTERED OR R REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if (POSTVOTE RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and (POSTVOTE REGIST=1 or PREVOTE REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (POSTVOTE RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and (POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF))) or (POSTVOTE REGIST in(3,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)), else skip. If POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 113 | 35 | 148 |
| 2. No | 276 | 158 | 434 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1524 | 3332 | 4856 |
| -4. Error; FTF: R said preferred Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 0 | 54 | 54 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 5.5 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| 2. No | 13.4 | 11.9 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.2 | 75.8 | 86.3 | 84.5 | 82.1 | 81.4 |
| -4. Error; FTF: R said preferred Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

postvote_senprefbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-34
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you prefer?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
 IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO
 VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A
 SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERRED
 A CANDIDATE FOR THE U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD
 FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE SENPREF=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2)
 and (POSTVOTE HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or POSTVOTE
 HSPREF in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response
 option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 67 | 14 | 81 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 30 | 14 | 44 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1831 | 3500 | 5331 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 49 | 49 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_senname> | 3.3 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 2. <preload: rep_senname> | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 3. <preload: ind_senname> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.1 | 88.8 | 90.7 | 89.8 | 90.1 | 89.5 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_senprefnbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-34.3
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you prefer? Which party was that?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
 IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO
 VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A
 SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERRED
 A CANDIDATE FOR THE U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE
 BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE SENPREF=1 and (POSTVOTE CKBCARD not
 in(1,2) or PREVOTE HSPREFNOBC=4), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or
 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate
 you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then
 click Next to continue.'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname> | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_senname> | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1914 | 3573 | 5487 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 6 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname> | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.2 | 93.6 | 92.6 | 92.2 | 92.8 | 92.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

postvote_govhhstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Gubernatorial election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Governor race in state of residence | 1597 | 0 | 1597 |
| 1. Governor race in state of residence | 223 | 0 | 223 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 109 | 3581 | 3690 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Governor race in state of residence | 77.8 | 77.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 26.7 |
| 1. Governor race in state of residence | 10.9 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5.3 | 5.4 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 62.4 | 62.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_govregstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Gov elctn reg state (R not reg at resid Pre or Post)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. No Governor race-state of registration recorded in correct field | 237 | 0 | 237 |
| 1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| 3. No Governor race-state of registration not recorded in correct field | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1617 | 3581 | 5198 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No Governor race-state of registration recorded in correct field | 11.5 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.9 |
| 1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 3. No Governor race-state of registration not recorded in correct field | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.7 | 83.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 87.9 | 89.2 |
| -2. State of registration asked, not recorded | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_votegov

Label: Did R vote for governor
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-35
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: How about the election for governor ? Did you vote for a candidate for GOVERNOR?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if (POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 and (POSTVOTE REGIST=1 or PREVOTE REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY = 1)) and HH-STATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 and ((POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF)) or (PRE-VOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF))))), else skip. If POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE HSVOTEBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, voted for governor | 157 | 348 | 505 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for governor | 13 | 22 | 35 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1754 | 3211 | 4965 |
| -4. Error- R said voted for Governor but no Gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, voted for governor | 7.6 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| 2. No, didn't vote for governor | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.4 | 84.5 | 83.2 | 82.8 | 84.0 | 83.4 |
| -4. Error- R said voted for Governor but no Gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_vtgovbc

Label: R's vote for governor(ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-36
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE VOTESGOV=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and (POSTVOTE HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or POSTVOTE VOTEHS in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 73 | 159 | 232 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 37 | 150 | 187 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1816 | 3265 | 5081 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 1.8 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| 5. Other candidate (SPECIFY) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.4 | 87.3 | 84.6 | 84.6 | 85.9 | 85.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

postvote_vtgovnbc

Label: R's vote for governor (no ballot card)
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-37
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE VOTESGOV=1 and (POSTVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or POSTVOTE HSVTBC=4), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_govname> | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname> | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 05. Ballot card not shown in error: other name | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 16 | 19 | 35 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 3 | 12 | 15 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1885 | 3549 | 5434 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_govname> | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname> | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.8 | 91.9 | 91.9 | 91.5 | 91.9 | 91.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

postvote_govpref

Label: Does R prefer gubernatorial candidate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-40
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: How about the election for governor? Did you prefer one of the candidates for GOVERNOR?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if (POSTVOTE RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and (POSTVOTE REGIST=1 or PREVOTE REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (POSTVOTE RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and ((POSTVOTE REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE REGIST =2 and PREVOTE REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV))) or (POSTVOTE REGIST in(3,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)), else skip. If POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 2. No | 43 | 0 | 43 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1859 | 3420 | 5279 |
| -4. Error- R said preferred Governor but no Gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 0 | 161 | 161 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 2. No | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 90.5 | 91.2 | 88.6 | 87.5 | 89.3 | 88.8 |
| -4. Error- R said preferred Governor but no Gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no Ballot Card) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 3.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

postvote_govprefbc

Label: Whom does R prefer for governor
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-41
 Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
 Question: Who did you prefer?
 Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R PREFERRED A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
 Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE GOVPREF=1 and POSTVOTE CKBCARD in(1,2) and (POSTVOTE HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or POSTVOTE HSPREF in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, include small text box in place of ♦SPECIFY♦ at response option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1911 | 3437 | 5348 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 144 | 144 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_govname> | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. <preload: rep_govname> | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.0 | 93.4 | 89.0 | 88.0 | 90.4 | 89.9 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

postvote_govprefnobl

Label: Whom does R prefer for governor
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-41.3
Description: Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question: Who did you prefer? Which party was that?
Universe: IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R PREFERRED A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
Logic: Ask if POSTVOTE GOVPREF=1 and (POSTVOTE CKBCARD not in(1,2) or POSTVOTE HSPREFBC=4), else skip.
Web Logic: Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname> | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1922 | 3581 | 5503 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname> | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 81. Democrat (no ballot card) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 82. Republican (no ballot card) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.6 | 94.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 93.1 | 93.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ptywom_betrpty

Label: Party does better job for the interests of women
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 124.8-1
Description: Better Party for women
Question: Which party do you think does a better job looking out for the interests of women? [The Democratic Party, the Republican Party / The Republican party, the Democratic Party], or do both parties do an equally good or bad job?
Randomization: Order of parties in question text
Logic: Respondents should be randomly assigned to have either 'The Democratic Party, the Republican Party' or 'The Republican Party, the Democratic Party' loaded. The order of response options should match the order in the text (code 3 always last).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Democratic Party | 1069 | 1660 | 2729 |
| 2. Republican Party | 127 | 537 | 664 |
| 3. Both parties do an equally good or bad job | 630 | 1366 | 1996 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 99 | 0 | 99 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 18 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democratic Party | 52.0 | 44.5 | 43.0 | 41.0 | 46.1 | 42.2 |
| 2. Republican Party | 6.2 | 8.4 | 13.9 | 14.8 | 11.2 | 12.6 |
| 3. Both parties do an equally good or bad job | 30.7 | 35.9 | 35.4 | 36.0 | 33.8 | 36.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

ofcrec_speaker_txt

Label: POST: Office recognition: Speaker of the House Boehner

1718 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|-------|
| Speaker of the House | 576 |
| speaker of the house | 410 |
| <NULL> | 404 |
| DK | 353 |
| dk | 260 |
| Speaker of the house | 171 |
| don't know | 136 |
| -7 Refused | 129 |
| dont know | 124 |
| Don't know | 77 |

ofcrec_speakerpr

Label: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Speaker of the House Boehner
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-3
Description: Political knowledge: office recognition
Question: INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS 'BEST GUESS' PROBE USED?
Source: IWR

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| 1. Yes | 1185 |
| 5. No | 744 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3581 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

ofcrec_vp_txt

Label: POST: Office recognition: Vice-President Biden

876 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------|-------|
| Vice President | 1377 |
| vice president | 1117 |
| <NULL> | 404 |
| VP | 329 |
| Vice president | 260 |
| vp | 214 |
| VICE PRESIDENT | 117 |
| DK | 111 |
| dk | 105 |
| vice pres | 79 |

ofcrec_vppr

Label: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Vice-President Biden
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-5
Description: Political knowledge: office recognition
Question: INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS 'BEST GUESS' PROBE USED?
Source: IWR

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| 1. Yes | 521 |
| 5. No | 1408 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3581 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

ofcrec_pmuk_txt

Label: POST: Office recognition: Prime Minister of UK Cameron

1403 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| DK | | 545 |
| <NULL> | | 404 |
| dk | | 378 |
| don't know | | 345 |
| dont know | | 224 |
| Don't know | | 219 |
| ? | | 203 |
| not sure | | 163 |
| -7 Refused | | 160 |
| British Prime Minister | | 90 |

ofcrec_pmukpr

Label: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Prime Minister of UK Cameron
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-7
Description: Political knowledge: office recognition
Question: INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS 'BEST GUESS' PROBE USED?
Source: IWR

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| 1. Yes | 1440 |
| 5. No | 489 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3581 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

ofcrec_cj_txt

Label: POST: Office recognition: US Supreme Ct Chief Justice Roberts

1403 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| DK | 473 |
| <NULL> | 404 |
| dk | 346 |
| don't know | 279 |
| ? | 185 |
| dont know | 183 |
| Chief Justice | 160 |
| -7 Refused | 157 |
| Don't know | 149 |
| Chief Justice of the Supreme Court | 127 |

ofcrec_cjpr

Label: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: US Supreme Ct Chief Justice
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-9
 Description: Political knowledge: office recognition
 Question: INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS 'BEST GUESS' PROBE USED?
 Source: IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | | 1296 | 0 | 1296 |
| 5. No | | 633 | 0 | 633 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 0 | 3581 | 3581 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 63.1 | 61.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 21.3 |
| 5. No | 30.8 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 11.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 60.6 | 60.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ftpo_pres

Label: Feeling thermometer: Democratic Presidential candidate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 125-2
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet. How would you rate: [preload: DEM PCNAME]
Randomization: Order of Presidential cards
Logic: Randomize the order of the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate thermometers (as 1st and 2nd thermometers). Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Presidential candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

81 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|------------|
| 100 | 1156 |
| 85 | 837 |
| 0 | 664 |
| 70 | 485 |
| 60 | 384 |
| 15 | 321 |
| 40 | 310 |
| 30 | 295 |
| 50 | 290 |
| -6 | <u>252</u> |

ftpo_rpc

Label: Feeling thermometer: Republican Presidential candidate
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 125-4
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet. How would you rate: [preload: REP PCNAME]
Randomization: Order of Presidential cards
Logic: Load the preloaded name of the Republican Presidential candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 0 | 804 |
| 50 | 718 |
| 15 | 522 |
| 85 | 520 |
| 40 | 519 |
| 30 | 507 |
| 70 | 504 |
| 60 | 489 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 100 | 251 |

ftpo_rpcsp

Label: Feeling thermometer: spouse of Republican Presidential cand
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-5
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: RPC SPNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic: Load the preloaded name of the spouse of the Republican Presidential candidate. Randomize the order of names after the Presidential candidates.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

62 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1750 |
| 0 | 470 |
| 70 | 460 |
| 85 | 452 |
| 60 | 446 |
| 100 | 342 |
| 30 | 315 |
| 40 | 287 |
| 15 | 280 |
| -6 | 252 |

ftpo_dpcsp

Label: Feeling thermometer: spouse of Democratic Presidential cand
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-6
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: DPC SPNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic: Load the preloaded name of the spouse of the Democratic Presidential candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 100 | 1388 |
| 85 | 805 |
| 50 | 589 |
| 70 | 479 |
| 0 | 476 |
| 60 | 410 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 30 | 240 |
| 15 | 217 |
| 40 | 192 |

ftpo_hdc

Label: Feeling thermometer: HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-7
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM HSENAM]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if preloaded Democratic House Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Democratic House candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1508 |
| -1 | 623 |
| -2 | 494 |
| 70 | 468 |
| 60 | 420 |
| 85 | 388 |
| 0 | 259 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 100 | 207 |
| -9 | 204 |

ftpo_hrc

Label: Feeling thermometer: HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-8
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP HSENAM]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if preloaded Republican House Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Republican House candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

58 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 1600 |
| -1 | 601 |
| -2 | 514 |
| 60 | 425 |
| 70 | 398 |
| 0 | 289 |
| 85 | 285 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 40 | 239 |
| -9 | 207 |

ftpo_hoth

Label: Feeling thermometer: HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-9
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: IND HSENAM]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF INDEPENDENT/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
Logic: Ask if preloaded independent/3rd party House Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the independent/ 3rd party House candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

26 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 5114 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 50 | 166 |
| -7 | 152 |
| -2 | 61 |
| 60 | 24 |
| -9 | 23 |
| 40 | 20 |
| 0 | 19 |
| 70 | 16 |

ftpo_sdc

Label: Feeling thermometer: SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-10
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM SENNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Logic: Ask if preloaded Democratic Senate Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Senate candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 1615 |
| 50 | 793 |
| 70 | 457 |
| 60 | 430 |
| 85 | 409 |
| 0 | 275 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 40 | 233 |
| 100 | 221 |
| -2 | 189 |

ftpo_src

Label: Feeling thermometer: SENATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-11
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP SENNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Logic: Ask if preloaded Republican Senate Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Republican Senate candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

51 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 1609 |
| 50 | 1094 |
| 60 | 411 |
| -2 | 333 |
| 0 | 333 |
| 70 | 326 |
| 40 | 266 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 30 | 208 |
| 85 | 180 |

ftpo_soth

Label: Feeling thermometer: SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-12
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: IND SENNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Logic: Ask if preloaded Independent Senate Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Independent Senate candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 5427 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 50 | 46 |
| -9 | 7 |
| 70 | 6 |
| 85 | 5 |
| 40 | 4 |
| 15 | 3 |
| 0 | 2 |

ftpo_sensr

Label: Feeling thermometer: SR. SENATOR IN STATE WITHOUT RACE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-13
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: NORACE SRSENNNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF NO SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:
Logic: Ask if preloaded name of the senior Senator is not null or blank, else skip. (Note: field will always be blank if there is a race in the state.) Load the preloaded name of the senior Senator.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

39 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 4265 |
| 50 | 344 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 70 | 129 |
| 60 | 117 |
| 85 | 96 |
| -2 | 95 |
| 40 | 90 |
| 0 | 75 |

ftpo_senjr

Label: Feeling thermometer: JR. SENATOR IN STATE WITHOUT RACE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-14
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: NORACE JRSENNNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF NO SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:
Logic: Ask if preloaded name of the junior Senator is not null or blank, else skip. (Note: field will always be blank if there is a race in the state.) Load the preloaded name of the junior Senator.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

43 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 4265 |
| 50 | 420 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 70 | 118 |
| 60 | 117 |
| -2 | 102 |
| 0 | 88 |
| 85 | 62 |
| 40 | 60 |

ftpo_sennot

Label: Feeling thermometer: NONRUNNING SENATOR IN STATE W/RACE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-15
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: RACE SENNOTUP]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe: IF SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:
Logic: Ask if preloaded name of the Senator not up for re-election not null or a blank, else skip. (Note: field will always be empty if there is no race in the state). Load the preloaded name of the Senator not up for re-election
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 1598 |
| 50 | 968 |
| 60 | 407 |
| 70 | 381 |
| 85 | 335 |
| 0 | 304 |
| -2 | 252 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 40 | 211 |
| 100 | 189 |

ftpo_dvpc

Label: Feeling thermometer: Democratic Vice Presidential cand
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-18
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet) ((How would you rate:)) [preload: DEM VPCNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic: Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Vice-Presidential candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

77 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 761 |
| 85 | 655 |
| 0 | 636 |
| 70 | 618 |
| 100 | 535 |
| 60 | 482 |
| 40 | 317 |
| 15 | 315 |
| 30 | 272 |
| -6 | 252 |

ftpo_rvpc

Label: Feeling thermometer: Republican Vice Presidential cand
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-19
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet) ((How would you rate:)) [preload: REP VPCNAME]
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic: Load the preloaded name of the Republican Vice-Presidential candidate.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 1145 |
| 0 | 723 |
| 60 | 474 |
| 85 | 412 |
| 70 | 408 |
| 40 | 384 |
| 30 | 354 |
| 15 | 342 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -2 | 236 |

ftpo_roberts

Label: Feeling thermometer: John Roberts
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 125-21
Description: Post individual political figure thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet) ((How would you rate:)) Supreme Court Chief Justice JOHN ROBERTS
Randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

58 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 2340 |
| 60 | 593 |
| 70 | 508 |
| -2 | 319 |
| 40 | 296 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 85 | 244 |
| 0 | 185 |
| -9 | 159 |
| -7 | 152 |

ftgr_xfund

Label: Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-3
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Logic: Randomize the order of group thermometers.
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

58 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|------------|
| 50 | 1527 |
| 0 | 577 |
| 60 | 515 |
| 70 | 468 |
| 40 | 409 |
| 85 | 375 |
| 100 | 327 |
| 15 | 324 |
| 30 | 273 |
| -6 | <u>252</u> |

ftgr_catholics

Label: Feeling thermometer: CATHOLICS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-4
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) CATHOLICS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1365 |
| 70 | 748 |
| 85 | 694 |
| 60 | 686 |
| 100 | 526 |
| 40 | 329 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 0 | 189 |
| 30 | 182 |
| -7 | 152 |

ftgr_feminists

Label: Feeling thermometer: FEMINISTS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-5
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) FEMINISTS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

69 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1562 |
| 60 | 665 |
| 70 | 551 |
| 40 | 519 |
| 85 | 364 |
| 0 | 362 |
| 30 | 318 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 15 | 225 |
| 100 | 205 |

ftgr_fedgov

Label: Feeling thermometer: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-6
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 40 | 879 |
| 50 | 778 |
| 60 | 712 |
| 30 | 560 |
| 70 | 500 |
| 0 | 492 |
| 15 | 452 |
| 85 | 268 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |

ftgr_liberals

Label: Feeling thermometer: LIBERALS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-8
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) LIBERALS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

69 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 1343 |
| 60 | 661 |
| 0 | 540 |
| 70 | 525 |
| 40 | 469 |
| 85 | 358 |
| 30 | 320 |
| 15 | 306 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |

ftgr_middle

Label: Feeling thermometer: MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-9
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

61 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 85 | 1308 |
| 100 | 1066 |
| 70 | 947 |
| 50 | 690 |
| 60 | 532 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 80 | 246 |
| 90 | 195 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 75 | 140 |

ftgr_unions

Label: Feeling thermometer: LABOR UNIONS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-10
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) LABOR UNIONS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1061 |
| 60 | 688 |
| 70 | 605 |
| 40 | 491 |
| 0 | 453 |
| 85 | 440 |
| 30 | 395 |
| 15 | 308 |
| 100 | 279 |
| -6 | 252 |

ftgr_poor

Label: Feeling thermometer: POOR PEOPLE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-11
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) POOR PEOPLE
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1097 |
| 100 | 916 |
| 70 | 895 |
| 85 | 842 |
| 60 | 783 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 40 | 155 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 80 | 142 |
| 75 | 118 |

ftgr_military

Label: Feeling thermometer: THE MILITARY
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-12
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE MILITARY
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

62 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 100 | 1860 |
| 85 | 1079 |
| 70 | 672 |
| 50 | 389 |
| 60 | 362 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 90 | 244 |
| 80 | 194 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 75 | 122 |

ftgr_bigbus

Label: Feeling thermometer: BIG BUSINESS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-13
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) BIG BUSINESS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

80 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1130 |
| 60 | 735 |
| 40 | 711 |
| 70 | 577 |
| 30 | 489 |
| 85 | 376 |
| 0 | 317 |
| 15 | 310 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 100 | 169 |

ftgr_welfare

Label: Feeling thermometer: PEOPLE ON WELFARE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-14
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) PEOPLE ON WELFARE
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1443 |
| 40 | 736 |
| 60 | 714 |
| 70 | 549 |
| 30 | 395 |
| 85 | 286 |
| 15 | 254 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 100 | 229 |
| 0 | 226 |

ftgr_cons

Label: Feeling thermometer: CONSERVATIVES
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-15
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) CONSERVATIVES
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1329 |
| 60 | 718 |
| 70 | 595 |
| 40 | 537 |
| 85 | 471 |
| 30 | 334 |
| 0 | 274 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 100 | 245 |
| 15 | 217 |

ftgr_working

Label: Feeling thermometer: WORKING CLASS PEOPLE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-16
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) WORKING CLASS PEOPLE
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 100 | 1848 |
| 85 | 1308 |
| 70 | 727 |
| 60 | 347 |
| 50 | 346 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 90 | 247 |
| 80 | 222 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 75 | 139 |

ftgr_ussc

Label: Feeling thermometer: THE U.S. SUPREME COURT
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-18
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE U.S. SUPREME COURT
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

70 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1446 |
| 60 | 894 |
| 70 | 826 |
| 40 | 502 |
| 85 | 479 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 30 | 206 |
| 100 | 181 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 80 | 124 |

ftgr_gay

Label: Feeling thermometer: GAY MEN AND LESBIANS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-19
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) GAY MEN AND LESBIANS
Randomization:Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

57 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1854 |
| 0 | 608 |
| 70 | 494 |
| 85 | 476 |
| 60 | 420 |
| 100 | 393 |
| 40 | 291 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 15 | 213 |
| 30 | 191 |

ftgr_congress

Label: Feeling thermometer: CONGRESS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-21
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) CONGRESS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 1066 |
| 40 | 819 |
| 60 | 669 |
| 30 | 592 |
| 15 | 482 |
| 0 | 472 |
| 70 | 427 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 85 | 194 |
| -7 | 152 |

ftgr_rich

Label: Feeling thermometer: RICH PEOPLE
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-25
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) RICH PEOPLE
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 1693 |
| 60 | 641 |
| 70 | 582 |
| 40 | 537 |
| 30 | 339 |
| 85 | 332 |
| 0 | 311 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 15 | 234 |
| 100 | 184 |

ftgr_muslims

Label: Feeling thermometer: MUSLIMS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-28
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) MUSLIMS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 2116 |
| 0 | 560 |
| 40 | 462 |
| 60 | 412 |
| 70 | 402 |
| 30 | 351 |
| 15 | 278 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 85 | 196 |
| -7 | 152 |

ftgr_xian

Label: Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIANS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-30
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) CHRISTIANS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 100 | 1253 |
| 50 | 977 |
| 85 | 928 |
| 70 | 687 |
| 60 | 554 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 80 | 164 |
| 40 | 158 |
| 90 | 154 |
| -7 | 152 |

ftgr_atheists

Label: Feeling thermometer: ATHEISTS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-31
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) ATHEISTS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 2075 |
| 0 | 1159 |
| 40 | 371 |
| 15 | 321 |
| 30 | 278 |
| 60 | 254 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 70 | 231 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 85 | 127 |

ftgr_mormons

Label: Feeling thermometer: MORMONS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-32
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) MORMONS
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 50 | 2166 |
| 60 | 542 |
| 70 | 470 |
| 40 | 428 |
| 0 | 343 |
| 85 | 292 |
| 30 | 260 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 15 | 208 |
| 100 | 168 |

ftgr_tea

Label: Feeling thermometer: TEA PARTY
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126-33
Description: Post group thermometers
Question: Looking at page [preload: postpg c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE TEA PARTY
Randomization: Order of group thermometers
Web Logic: Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source: Respondent

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 50 | 1566 |
| 0 | 930 |
| 60 | 449 |
| 40 | 406 |
| 15 | 346 |
| 30 | 331 |
| 70 | 324 |
| 85 | 258 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |

hseinc_approval

Label: Approve or disapprove of House incumbent
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-3
Description: Performance of House incumbent
Question: Not looking at the booklet now. In general, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way [preload: inc hsenname] has been handling [preload: inc hsegen2] job?
Logic: Ask if preload variable inc hsenname is not blank, else skip Name and possessive pronoun ('his' or 'her') for House incumbent are values in preload variable inc hsegen2.
Web Logic: Online, omit 'Not looking at the booklet now.'
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 820 | 2049 | 2869 |
| 2. Disapprove | 355 | 1210 | 1565 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 180 | 180 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 738 | 0 | 738 |
| -9. Refused | 16 | 142 | 158 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 39.9 | 43.5 | 53.1 | 51.4 | 48.5 | 48.7 |
| 2. Disapprove | 17.3 | 18.3 | 31.3 | 31.6 | 26.5 | 27.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 35.9 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 11.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 3.5 |

hseinc_appstr

Label: How much approve House incumbent
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-4
 Description: Performance of House incumbent
 Question: Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R APPROVES HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB:
 Logic: Ask if HSEINC HINCAPP=1, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with HSEINC HINCAPP.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 427 | 748 | 1175 |
| 2. Not strongly | 388 | 1298 | 1686 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1109 | 1532 | 2641 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 20.8 | 21.8 | 19.4 | 17.4 | 19.9 | 18.9 |
| 2. Not strongly | 18.9 | 21.5 | 33.6 | 34.0 | 28.5 | 29.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 54.0 | 50.8 | 39.7 | 41.0 | 44.7 | 44.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

hseinc_disstr

Label: How much disapprove House incumbent
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-5
 Description: Performance of House incumbent
 Question: Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R DISAPPROVES HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB:
 Logic: Ask if HSEINC HINCAPP=2, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with HSEINC HINCAPP.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 179 | 503 | 682 |
| 2. Not strongly | 175 | 706 | 881 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1574 | 2371 | 3945 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 8.7 | 8.7 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| 2. Not strongly | 8.5 | 9.5 | 18.3 | 19.9 | 14.9 | 16.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 76.6 | 76.0 | 61.4 | 60.8 | 66.7 | 66.1 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

hseinc_approval_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- House incumbent approval

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Approve strongly | 427 | 748 | 1175 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 388 | 1298 | 1686 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 175 | 706 | 881 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 179 | 503 | 682 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 181 | 181 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 743 | 0 | 743 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 145 | 162 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve strongly | 20.8 | 21.8 | 19.4 | 17.4 | 19.9 | 18.9 |
| 2. Approve not strongly | 18.9 | 21.5 | 33.6 | 34.0 | 28.5 | 29.6 |
| 4. Disapprove not strongly | 8.5 | 9.5 | 18.3 | 19.9 | 14.9 | 16.3 |
| 5. Disapprove strongly | 8.7 | 8.7 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 36.2 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 11.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 3.6 |

hseinc_hinctouch

Label: How good a job does House incumbent do in district
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-6
Description: Performance of House incumbent
Question: How good a job would you say U.S. Representative [preload: inc hsenname] does of keeping in touch with the people in your district - - does [preload: inc hsegen1] do a VERY GOOD job, FAIRLY GOOD, FAIRLY POOR, or a VERY POOR job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?
Logic: Ask if preload variable inc hsenname is not blank, else skip. Name and pronoun ('he' or 'she') for House incumbent are values in preload variable inc hsegen1.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very good | 212 | 472 | 684 |
| 2. Fairly good | 632 | 1606 | 2238 |
| 3. Fairly poor | 399 | 898 | 1297 |
| 4. Very poor | 255 | 325 | 580 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 180 | 180 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 422 | 0 | 422 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 100 | 109 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very good | 10.3 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 10.6 |
| 2. Fairly good | 30.8 | 32.7 | 41.6 | 41.4 | 37.8 | 38.4 |
| 3. Fairly poor | 19.4 | 22.5 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 21.9 | 23.5 |
| 4. Very poor | 12.4 | 10.7 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 9.8 | 9.3 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 20.5 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 5.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 |

mip_prob1_txt

Label: POST: Mention 1 most important problems facing the country

4578 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 431 |
| economy | 126 |
| -7 Refused | 108 |
| jobs | 57 |
| Economy | 55 |
| unemployment | 49 |
| the economy | 29 |
| The economy | 26 |
| Unemployment | 26 |
| national debt | 23 |

mip_prob1

Label: Mention 1 most important problems facing the country
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-1
 Description: Most important problems in the country
 Question: What do you think are the most important problems facing this country? If you think there are more than one, please briefly tell me ONE problem now.
 Web Logic: Online, change 'tell me ONE' to 'name one'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 1902 | 0 | 1902 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3581 | 3581 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 0 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 92.6 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 32.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 60.6 | 60.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

mip_prob1pty

Label: Party to deal with mention 1 MIP
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-2
Description: Most important problems in the country
Question: Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Randomization: Order of party names in question text
Universe: IF FIRST MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:
Logic: Ask if MIP PROB1 not in(DK,RF), else skip. R should be randomly assigned to have either 'the Democrats, the Republicans' or 'the Republicans, the Democrtcs' loaded into the question text (same order used for all mentions if R mentions more than 1 problem).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats | 773 | 1278 | 2051 |
| 2. Republicans | 409 | 1057 | 1466 |
| 3. Wouldn't be much difference | 682 | 1136 | 1818 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 27 | 108 | 135 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats | 37.6 | 28.7 | 33.1 | 30.2 | 34.7 | 29.7 |
| 2. Republicans | 19.9 | 28.6 | 27.4 | 28.1 | 24.8 | 28.2 |
| 3. Wouldn't be much difference | 33.2 | 34.5 | 29.4 | 30.6 | 30.7 | 31.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1.3 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

mip_prob2_txt

Label: POST: Mention 2 most important problems facing the country

3680 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 599 |
| -7 Refused | 225 |
| no | 116 |
| -1 Inapplicable | 108 |
| unemployment | 63 |
| economy | 59 |
| jobs | 58 |
| Economy | 56 |
| health care | 49 |
| healthcare | 45 |

mip_prob2

Label: Mention 2 most important problems facing the country
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-3
 Description: Most important problems in the country
 Question: Can you think of any other important problems facing this country?
 If so, please briefly mention ONE of them now.
 Universe: IF FIRST MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR
 REFUSED:
 Logic: Ask if MIP PROB1 not in(DK,RF), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 1734 | 0 | 1734 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 27 | 3581 | 3608 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 147 | 0 | 147 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 0 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 84.4 | 85.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 29.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1.3 | 0.9 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 61.0 | 60.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

mip_prob2pty

Label: Party to deal with mention 2 MIP
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-4
Description: Most important problems in the country
Question: Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Randomization: Order of party names in question text
Universe: IF SECOND MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:
Logic: Ask if MIP PROB2 not in(DK,RF), else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats | 702 | 1143 | 1845 |
| 2. Republicans | 381 | 968 | 1349 |
| 3. Wouldn't be much difference | 584 | 1133 | 1717 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 195 | 333 | 528 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 4 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats | 34.2 | 27.2 | 29.6 | 27.3 | 31.2 | 27.3 |
| 2. Republicans | 18.5 | 26.6 | 25.1 | 24.8 | 22.8 | 25.4 |
| 3. Wouldn't be much difference | 28.4 | 28.8 | 29.4 | 29.8 | 29.0 | 29.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 9.5 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 9.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

mip_prob3_txt

Label: POST: Mention 3 most important problems facing the country

2901 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 945 |
| -7 Refused | 413 |
| -1 Inapplicable | 333 |
| no | 273 |
| none | 86 |
| No | 71 |
| education | 51 |
| health care | 36 |
| Education | 34 |
| jobs | 32 |

mip_prob3

Label: Mention 3 most important problems facing the country
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-5
 Description: Most important problems in the country
 Question: Can you think of any other important problems facing this country?
 If so, please briefly mention ONE of them now.
 Universe: IF SECOND MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK
 OR REFUSED:
 Logic: Ask if MIP PROB2 not in(DK,RF), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 1388 | 0 | 1388 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 195 | 3581 | 3776 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 311 | 0 | 311 |
| -9. Refused | 35 | 0 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 67.6 | 67.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 23.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 9.5 | 8.8 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 63.8 | 63.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 15.1 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.6 |
| -9. Refused | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

mip_prob3pty

Label: Party to deal with mention 3 MIP
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-6
Description: Most important problems in the country
Question: Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Randomization: Order of party names in question text
Universe: IF THIRD MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:
Logic: Ask if MIP PROB3 not in(DK,RF), else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats | 531 | 944 | 1475 |
| 2. Republicans | 297 | 766 | 1063 |
| 3. Wouldn't be much difference | 440 | 1122 | 1562 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 541 | 746 | 1287 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 103 | 0 | 103 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 3 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats | 25.9 | 21.7 | 24.5 | 21.8 | 24.9 | 21.8 |
| 2. Republicans | 14.5 | 19.9 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 18.0 | 20.2 |
| 3. Wouldn't be much difference | 21.4 | 21.0 | 29.1 | 28.7 | 26.4 | 26.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 26.3 | 27.1 | 19.3 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 23.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

mip_mostprob_txt

Label: POST: Which among mentions is the most important problem

2543 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 963 |
| economy | 396 |
| Economy | 244 |
| jobs | 166 |
| unemployment | 105 |
| the economy | 100 |
| The economy | 98 |
| Unemployment | 64 |
| -7 Refused | 59 |
| Jobs | 58 |

mip_mostprob

Label: Which among mentions is the most important problem
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-10
 Description: Most important problems in the country
 Question: Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?
 Universe: IF R HAS MENTIONED MORE THAN 1 IMPORTANT PROBLEM IN THE COUNTRY:
 Logic: Ask if more than 1 problem mentioned; define more than 1 problem as MIP PROB2 not in (DK,RF or missing), else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 1703 | 0 | 1703 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 195 | 3581 | 3776 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't now | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. DK or RF not selected (text recorded) | 82.9 | 83.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 29.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 9.5 | 8.8 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 63.8 | 63.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't now | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

securpub_secchg

Label: Have changes in security at public places gone too far
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.4-1
Description: Security and Civil Liberties, public security measures
Question: Since the September 11, 2001 attacks there have been changes in security at public places such as airports, stadiums and government buildings. Have these changes in security gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Have gone too far | 337 | 897 | 1234 |
| 2. Are just about right | 1179 | 2115 | 3294 |
| 3. Do not go far enough | 369 | 546 | 915 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 43 | 0 | 43 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 23 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have gone too far | 16.4 | 16.8 | 23.2 | 22.3 | 20.9 | 20.4 |
| 2. Are just about right | 57.4 | 58.6 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 55.7 | 56.3 |
| 3. Do not go far enough | 18.0 | 16.8 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 15.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

knowl_housemaj

Label: Know party with most members in House before election
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.6-1
 Description: Political knowledge
 Question: Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election [this/last] month?
 Universe: IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS NOVEMBER/ IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS DECEMBER:
 Logic: Load 'this' if current date is in November; load 'last' if current date is in December. (Assumes no Post interviews in January)
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats | 302 | 900 | 1202 |
| 2. Republicans (correct) | 929 | 2574 | 3503 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 698 | 0 | 698 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 107 | 107 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats | 14.7 | 15.4 | 23.3 | 26.1 | 20.3 | 22.4 |
| 2. Republicans (correct) | 45.2 | 48.2 | 66.7 | 62.9 | 59.2 | 57.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 34.0 | 30.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 10.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 |

knowl_senmaj

Label: Know party with most members in Senate before electn
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 127.6-2
 Description: Political knowledge
 Question: Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election [this/last] month?
 Universe: IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS NOVEMBER/ IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS DECEMBER:
 Logic: Load 'this' if current date is in November; load 'last' if current date is in December. (Assumes no Post interviews in January)
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats (correct) | 679 | 2345 | 3024 |
| 2. Republicans | 401 | 1099 | 1500 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 847 | 0 | 847 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 137 | 139 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats (correct) | 33.1 | 40.1 | 60.8 | 57.4 | 51.1 | 51.4 |
| 2. Republicans | 19.5 | 16.5 | 28.5 | 30.6 | 25.4 | 25.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 41.2 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 13.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 |

wpres_gdbd

Label: Women President in next 20 years
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-1
Description: Woman President
Question: Would it be good, bad, or neither good nor bad if the United States has a woman President in the next 20 years?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Good if the United States has a woman President | 1073 | 1195 | 2268 |
| 2. Bad if the United States has a woman President | 92 | 207 | 299 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad if the United States has a woman President | 724 | 2161 | 2885 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 18 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Good if the United States has a woman President | 52.2 | 47.7 | 31.0 | 30.5 | 38.3 | 36.5 |
| 2. Bad if the United States has a woman President | 4.5 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad if the United States has a woman President | 35.2 | 41.1 | 56.0 | 55.4 | 48.8 | 50.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

wpres_gdstr

Label: How good would it be if we had a woman President
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-1.1
Description: Woman President
Question: How good? [Extremely good, moderately good, or a little good / a little good, moderately good or extremely good]?
Universe: IF R SAYS IT WOULD BE GOOD IF U.S. HAS WOMAN PRESIDENT IN NEXT 20 YEARS:
Logic: Ask if WPRES_GCBD =1 else skip.
Web Logic: Online, bank with WPRES_GCBD.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely | 588 | 631 | 1219 |
| 2. Moderately | 423 | 510 | 933 |
| 3. A little | 50 | 51 | 101 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 856 | 2386 | 3242 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely | 28.6 | 24.1 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 20.6 | 18.0 |
| 2. Moderately | 20.6 | 20.9 | 13.2 | 14.2 | 15.8 | 16.5 |
| 3. A little | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 41.7 | 46.6 | 61.8 | 62.0 | 54.8 | 56.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

wpres_bdstr

Label: How bad would it be if we had a woman President
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-1.2
Description: Woman President
Question: How bad? [Extremely bad, moderately bad, or a little bad/ a little bad, moderately bad, or extremely bad]?
Universe: IF R SAYS IT WOULD BE BAD IF U.S. HAS WOMAN PRESIDENT IN NEXT 20 YEARS:
Logic: Ask if WPRES GDBD =2, else skip.
Web Logic: Online, bank with WPRES GCBD.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely | 33 | 107 | 140 |
| 2. Moderately | 34 | 83 | 117 |
| 3. A little | 23 | 17 | 40 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1837 | 3374 | 5211 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 2. Moderately | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 3. A little | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.4 | 90.2 | 87.4 | 86.5 | 88.1 | 87.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

wpres_gdbd_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- How good/bad woman Pres in next 20yrs

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely good | 588 | 631 | 1219 |
| 2. Moderately good | 423 | 510 | 933 |
| 3. A little good | 50 | 51 | 101 |
| 4. Neither good nor bad | 724 | 2161 | 2885 |
| 5. A little bad | 23 | 17 | 40 |
| 6. Moderately bad | 34 | 83 | 117 |
| 7. Extremely bad | 33 | 107 | 140 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 21 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely good | 28.6 | 24.1 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 20.6 | 18.0 |
| 2. Moderately good | 20.6 | 20.9 | 13.2 | 14.2 | 15.8 | 16.5 |
| 3. A little good | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 4. Neither good nor bad | 35.2 | 41.1 | 56.0 | 55.4 | 48.8 | 50.4 |
| 5. A little bad | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 6. Moderately bad | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 7. Extremely bad | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

wpres_importyes

Label: Important that woman elected president - Good
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-2
Description: Woman President
Question: How important is it to you that a woman is elected President of the United States? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important /Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important]?
Universe: IF GOOD OR ELSE NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD THAT A WOMAN PRES IS ELECTED IN NEXT 20 YRS:
Logic: Ask if WPRES GDBD in(1,3), else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 191 | 217 | 408 |
| 2. Very important | 284 | 356 | 640 |
| 3. Moderately important | 374 | 871 | 1245 |
| 4. A little important | 125 | 406 | 531 |
| 5. Not at all important | 95 | 1504 | 1599 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 856 | 225 | 1081 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 9.3 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 5.9 |
| 2. Very important | 13.8 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 9.3 |
| 3. Moderately important | 18.2 | 17.4 | 22.6 | 24.2 | 21.1 | 21.8 |
| 4. A little important | 6.1 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 9.5 |
| 5. Not at all important | 4.6 | 5.0 | 39.0 | 37.2 | 27.0 | 26.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 41.7 | 46.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 18.3 | 20.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

wpres_importno

Label: Important that woman elected president --Bad
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-2.1
Description: Woman President
Question: How important is it to you that a woman is not elected President of the United States? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, slightly important, or not at all important /Not at all important, slightly important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important]?
Universe: IF BAD THAT A WOMAN PRES IS ELECTED IN NEXT 20 YRS:
Logic: Ask if WPRES_GDBD =2, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 13 | 49 | 62 |
| 2. Very important | 17 | 62 | 79 |
| 3. Moderately important | 30 | 51 | 81 |
| 4. A little important | 18 | 22 | 40 |
| 5. Not at all important | 14 | 22 | 36 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1837 | 3374 | 5211 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2. Very important | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 3. Moderately important | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 4. A little important | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 5. Not at all important | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.4 | 90.2 | 87.4 | 86.5 | 88.1 | 87.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

budget_deficit

Label: Favor or oppose reducing federal deficit
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-2
 Description: Federal deficit reduction
 Question: Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal government doing things now to reduce this budget deficit?
 Web Logic: Online, bank with BUDGINTRO.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | | 1465 | 2583 | 4048 |
| 2. Oppose | | 129 | 300 | 429 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | | 289 | 683 | 972 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | | 41 | 0 | 41 |
| -9. Refused | | 5 | 15 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 71.3 | 76.0 | 66.9 | 63.3 | 68.4 | 67.7 |
| 2. Oppose | 6.3 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 14.1 | 11.2 | 17.7 | 19.7 | 16.4 | 16.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

budget_deficitfav

Label: How strongly favor reducing deficit
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-3
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: Do you favor that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe: IF R FAVORS GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:
Logic: Ask if BUDGET BUDGRED = 1 else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with BUDGRED.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 1266 | 2158 | 3424 |
| 2. Not strongly | 195 | 421 | 616 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 464 | 998 | 1462 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 61.6 | 66.4 | 55.9 | 52.0 | 57.9 | 57.0 |
| 2. Not strongly | 9.5 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 10.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 22.6 | 18.2 | 25.9 | 29.1 | 24.7 | 25.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

budget_deficitopp

Label: How strongly oppose reducing deficit
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-4
 Description: Federal deficit reduction
 Question: Do you oppose that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:
 Logic: Ask if BUDGET BUDGRED = 2 else skip
 Web Logic: Online, bank with BUDGRED.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 87 | 210 | 297 |
| 2. Not strongly | 41 | 88 | 129 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1800 | 3281 | 5081 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 4.2 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| 2. Not strongly | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 87.6 | 88.7 | 85.0 | 83.6 | 85.9 | 85.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

budget_deficitlean

Label: Lean to favor or oppose deficit reduction
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-5
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:
Logic: Ask if BUDGET BUDGRED = 3 else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with BUDGRED.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 43 | 174 | 217 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 18 | 94 | 112 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 224 | 413 | 637 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1640 | 2898 | 4538 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 2.1 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 10.9 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 79.8 | 83.1 | 75.1 | 72.7 | 76.7 | 76.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

budget_deficit_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor reducing the Federal budget deficit

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly favor | 1266 | 2158 | 3424 |
| 2. Not very strongly favor | 195 | 421 | 616 |
| 3. Lean toward favoring | 43 | 174 | 217 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 224 | 413 | 637 |
| 5. Lean toward opposing | 18 | 94 | 112 |
| 6. Not very strongly oppose | 41 | 88 | 129 |
| 7. Strongly oppose | 87 | 210 | 297 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 23 | 28 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly favor | 61.6 | 66.4 | 55.9 | 52.0 | 57.9 | 57.0 |
| 2. Not very strongly favor | 9.5 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 10.5 |
| 3. Lean toward favoring | 2.1 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 10.9 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| 5. Lean toward opposing | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 6. Not very strongly oppose | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| 7. Strongly oppose | 4.2 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

budget_defimp

Label: Importance of reducing deficit
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-6
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: How important is it to reduce the deficit? [EXTREMELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, A LITTLE IMPORTANT, or NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT? / NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT, A LITTLE IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?]
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 874 | 1580 | 2454 |
| 2. Very important | 631 | 1154 | 1785 |
| 3. Moderately important | 293 | 612 | 905 |
| 4. A little important | 69 | 168 | 237 |
| 5. Not at all important | 34 | 50 | 84 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 17 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 42.6 | 45.2 | 40.9 | 39.7 | 41.5 | 41.6 |
| 2. Very important | 30.7 | 29.4 | 29.9 | 29.3 | 30.2 | 29.3 |
| 3. Moderately important | 14.3 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 17.1 | 15.3 | 16.4 |
| 4. A little important | 3.4 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| 5. Not at all important | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

budget_rdef250k

Label: POST: Reduce deficit by raising personal inc tax for over 250K inc

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1417 | 2446 | 3863 |
| 2. Oppose | 310 | 587 | 897 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 179 | 531 | 710 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 17 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 69.0 | 66.3 | 63.4 | 61.3 | 65.3 | 63.0 |
| 2. Oppose | 15.1 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.9 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 8.7 | 9.7 | 13.8 | 15.4 | 12.0 | 13.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

budget_rdefmed

Label: Reduce deficit by replacing medicare with voucher system
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-8
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: [Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]
Replace Medicare with a voucher program
Randomization: Order of deficit reduction items
Universe: IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 232 | 472 | 704 |
| 2. Oppose | 1219 | 2052 | 3271 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 310 | 1038 | 1348 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 161 | 0 | 161 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 19 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 11.3 | 10.6 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 11.7 |
| 2. Oppose | 59.3 | 58.5 | 53.2 | 50.3 | 55.3 | 53.2 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 15.1 | 17.9 | 26.9 | 29.2 | 22.8 | 25.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.8 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

budget_rdefctax

Label: Reduce deficit by increasing corporate taxes
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-9
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: [Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]
Increase corporate taxes
Randomization: Order of deficit reduction items
Universe: IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1257 | 1994 | 3251 |
| 2. Oppose | 382 | 705 | 1087 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 232 | 863 | 1095 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 19 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 61.2 | 57.1 | 51.7 | 51.0 | 55.0 | 53.1 |
| 2. Oppose | 18.6 | 23.1 | 18.3 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 19.3 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 11.3 | 11.6 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 18.5 | 19.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

budget_rdefmil

Label: Reduce deficit by cutting military spending
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-10
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: [Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)] Cut military spending
Randomization: Order of deficit reduction items
Universe: IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 665 | 1321 | 1986 |
| 2. Oppose | 1005 | 1442 | 2447 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 225 | 802 | 1027 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 16 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 32.4 | 31.2 | 34.2 | 32.3 | 33.6 | 32.0 |
| 2. Oppose | 48.9 | 51.8 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 41.4 | 42.4 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 11.0 | 10.1 | 20.8 | 22.1 | 17.4 | 18.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

budget_rdefemp

Label: Reduce deficit by cutting fed employees by 10 percent
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-11
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: [Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)] Reduce the number of federal government employees by 10 percent
Randomization: Order of deficit reduction items
Universe: IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 982 | 2123 | 3105 |
| 2. Oppose | 592 | 488 | 1080 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 305 | 955 | 1260 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 15 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 47.8 | 53.6 | 55.0 | 53.2 | 52.5 | 53.3 |
| 2. Oppose | 28.8 | 24.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 18.3 | 16.7 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 14.8 | 14.4 | 24.7 | 26.1 | 21.3 | 22.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

budget_rdefgov

Label: Reduce deficit by cutting govt activities excluding military
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129-12
Description: Federal deficit reduction
Question: [Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]
Spend less on all U.S. government activities besides the military
Randomization: Order of deficit reduction items
Universe: IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Favor | 1221 | 2235 | 3456 |
| 2. | Oppose | 425 | 545 | 970 |
| 3. | Neither favor nor oppose | 234 | 786 | 1020 |
| -6. | Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. | Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. | Don't know | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| -9. | Refused | 4 | 15 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 59.4 | 62.7 | 57.9 | 56.8 | 58.4 | 58.9 |
| 2. Oppose | 20.7 | 18.3 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 16.4 | 15.7 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 11.4 | 11.2 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 17.2 | 17.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

patriot_flag

Label: Emotion seeing flag fly
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129.5-1
Description: Patriotism
Question: When you see the American flag flying does it make you feel [EXTREMELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, MODERATELY GOOD, SLIGHTLY GOOD, or NOT GOOD AT ALL / NOT GOOD AT ALL, SLIGHTLY GOOD, MODERATELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, or EXTREMELY GOOD]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely good | 836 | 1520 | 2356 |
| 2. Very good | 612 | 1055 | 1667 |
| 3. Moderately good | 295 | 627 | 922 |
| 4. Slightly good | 124 | 244 | 368 |
| 5. Not good at all | 44 | 109 | 153 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 26 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely good | 40.7 | 43.5 | 39.4 | 36.7 | 39.8 | 39.1 |
| 2. Very good | 29.8 | 30.2 | 27.3 | 27.1 | 28.2 | 28.2 |
| 3. Moderately good | 14.4 | 12.9 | 16.2 | 18.0 | 15.6 | 16.2 |
| 4. Slightly good | 6.0 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
| 5. Not good at all | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

patriot_love

Label: Love of country
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129.5-2
Description: Patriotism
Question: How do you feel about this country? Do you [hate it, dislike it, neither like nor dislike it, like it, or love it / love it, like it, neither like nor dislike it, dislike it, or hate it]?
Logic: Please note that this is forward/reverse although it is not a unipolar item.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Hate it | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| 2. Dislike it | 18 | 50 | 68 |
| 3. Neither like nor dislike it | 96 | 244 | 340 |
| 4. Like it | 545 | 882 | 1427 |
| 5. Love it | 1262 | 2382 | 3644 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 13 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Hate it | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 2. Dislike it | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 3. Neither like nor dislike it | 4.7 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 7.1 |
| 4. Like it | 26.5 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 23.8 | 24.1 | 23.5 |
| 5. Love it | 61.4 | 66.6 | 61.7 | 57.3 | 61.6 | 60.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

patriot_amident

Label: Important being American
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 129.5-4
Description: Patriotism
Question: How important is being an American to you personally? [EXTREMELY important, VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, A LITTLE important, or NOT AT ALL important /NOT AT ALL important, A LITTLE important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 1088 | 2054 | 3142 |
| 2. Very important | 596 | 911 | 1507 |
| 3. Somewhat important | 157 | 387 | 544 |
| 4. A little important | 54 | 146 | 200 |
| 5. Not at all important | 29 | 70 | 99 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 13 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 53.0 | 57.2 | 53.2 | 49.0 | 53.1 | 51.8 |
| 2. Very important | 29.0 | 26.5 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 25.5 | 25.3 |
| 3. Somewhat important | 7.6 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 11.4 | 9.2 | 9.8 |
| 4. A little important | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 3.9 |
| 5. Not at all important | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

milln_milltax

Label: Favor or oppose tax on millionaires
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 130-1
 Description: Millionaire tax
 Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing income taxes on people making over one million dollars per year?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1570 | 2748 | 4318 | |
| 2. Oppose | 197 | 364 | 561 | |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 145 | 453 | 598 | |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 | |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 | |
| -8. Don't know | 15 | 0 | 15 | |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 16 | 18 | |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 76.4 | 74.2 | 71.2 | 68.4 | 73.0 | 70.4 |
| 2. Oppose | 9.6 | 10.8 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 10.3 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 7.1 | 8.5 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 10.1 | 11.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

milln_milltaxst

Label: How strongly favor/oppose
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 130-2
 Description: Millionaire tax
 Question: Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal] / Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal] ?
 Universe: IF R FAVORS INCREASING THE INCOME TAX PAID BY MILLIONAIRES / IF R OPPOSES INCREASING THE INCOME TAX PAID BY MILLIONAIRES:
 Logic: Ask if MILL MILLTAX in(1,2), esle skip.
 Web Logic: Online, bank with MILL MILLTAX.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 1206 | 2177 | 3383 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 447 | 755 | 1202 |
| 3. A little | 110 | 177 | 287 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 162 | 469 | 631 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 58.7 | 55.5 | 56.4 | 55.1 | 57.2 | 55.2 |
| 2. A moderate amount | 21.8 | 24.2 | 19.6 | 18.5 | 20.3 | 20.5 |
| 3. A little | 5.4 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 7.9 | 9.2 | 12.2 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 12.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

milln_milltax_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor tax on millionaires

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 1105 | 1959 | 3064 |
| 2. Favor a moderate amount | 376 | 641 | 1017 |
| 3. Favor a little | 86 | 146 | 232 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 145 | 453 | 598 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 24 | 31 | 55 |
| 6. Oppose a moderate amount | 71 | 114 | 185 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 101 | 218 | 319 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 19 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 53.8 | 50.3 | 50.8 | 49.1 | 51.8 | 49.5 |
| 2. Favor a moderate amount | 18.3 | 19.6 | 16.6 | 15.5 | 17.2 | 16.9 |
| 3. Favor a little | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| 4. Neither favor nor oppose | 7.1 | 8.5 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 10.1 | 11.7 |
| 5. Oppose a little | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 6. Oppose a moderate amount | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| 7. Oppose a great deal | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

fairjob_opin

Label: Opinion about govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 131-2
Description: Govt ensure fair jobs for blacks
Question: Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?
Web Logic: Online, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs | 1066 | 1293 | 2359 |
| 2. This is not the federal government's business | 740 | 1816 | 2556 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 39 | 440 | 479 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 64 | 0 | 64 |
| -9. Refused | 20 | 32 | 52 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs | 51.9 | 46.0 | 33.5 | 30.0 | 39.9 | 35.5 |
| 2. This is not the federal government's business | 36.0 | 41.8 | 47.0 | 50.2 | 43.2 | 47.3 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 1.9 | 2.4 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

fairjob_opin_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- opinion about fair jobs

353 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5483 |
| all people shou | 12 |
| Everyone should | 11 |
| everyone should | 7 |
| all people get | 5 |
| All people shou | 5 |
| Government shou | 5 |
| Government in W | 4 |
| The government | 4 |
| all races shoul | 3 |

fairjob_yes

Label: How much feel govt should ensure fair jobs for blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 131-3
Description: Govt ensure fair jobs for blacks
Question: Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that the government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs?
Universe: IF R POSITION IS THAT GOVT SHOULD SEE TO FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS:
Logic: Ask if FAIRJOB FJOBOPIN =1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 915 | 925 | 1840 |
| 2. Not strongly | 148 | 367 | 515 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 863 | 2288 | 3151 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 44.5 | 37.6 | 24.0 | 20.7 | 31.1 | 26.5 |
| 2. Not strongly | 7.2 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 42.0 | 48.3 | 59.3 | 62.5 | 53.3 | 57.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

fairjob_no

Label: How much feel govt not ensure fair jobs for blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 131-4
Description: Govt ensure fair jobs for blacks
Question: Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that this is not the federal government's business?
Universe: IF R POSITION IS THAT FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS:
Logic: Ask if FAIRJOB FJOBOPIN =2 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 545 | 1282 | 1827 |
| 2. Not strongly | 189 | 531 | 720 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1189 | 1765 | 2954 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 26.5 | 31.8 | 33.2 | 34.3 | 30.9 | 33.4 |
| 2. Not strongly | 9.2 | 9.8 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 12.2 | 13.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 57.9 | 52.4 | 45.7 | 42.2 | 49.9 | 45.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

fairjob_opin_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Opinion about govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Feel strongly that govt should see to fair treatment | 915 | 925 | 1840 |
| 2. Feel not strongly that govt should see to fair treatment | 148 | 367 | 515 |
| 4. Feel not strongly that fair treatment is not govt business | 189 | 531 | 720 |
| 5. Feel strongly that fair treatment is not govt business | 545 | 1282 | 1827 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 39 | 440 | 479 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| -9. Refused | 20 | 36 | 56 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Feel strongly that govt should see to fair treatment | 44.5 | 37.6 | 24.0 | 20.7 | 31.1 | 26.5 |
| 2. Feel not strongly that govt should see to fair treatment | 7.2 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| 4. Feel not strongly that fair treatment is not govt business | 9.2 | 9.8 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 12.2 | 13.8 |
| 5. Feel strongly that fair treatment is not govt business | 26.5 | 31.8 | 33.2 | 34.3 | 30.9 | 33.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1.9 | 2.4 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 |

imports_limit

Label: Favor or oppose limits on foreign imports
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 133-1
Description: Limits on foreign imports
Question: Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 712 | 1229 | 1941 |
| 2. Oppose | 296 | 680 | 976 |
| -2. Haven't thought much about this | 894 | 1653 | 2547 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 19 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 34.7 | 36.0 | 31.8 | 31.4 | 32.8 | 33.0 |
| 2. Oppose | 14.4 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 15.9 | 16.5 | 16.2 |
| -2. Haven't thought much about this | 43.5 | 40.3 | 42.8 | 44.4 | 43.1 | 43.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

israel_support

Label: Is U.S. too supportive of Israel or not supportive enough
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 133.3-1
 Description: U.S. Support for Israel
 Question: Thinking about the relationship between the United States and Israel, is the U.S. too supportive of Israel, not supportive enough of Israel, or is U.S. support of Israel about right?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Too supportive | 435 | 912 | 1347 |
| 2. Not supportive enough | 286 | 838 | 1124 |
| 3. About right | 1006 | 1787 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 189 | 0 | 189 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 44 | 57 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too supportive | 21.2 | 20.5 | 23.6 | 23.8 | 22.8 | 22.6 |
| 2. Not supportive enough | 13.9 | 17.1 | 21.7 | 21.4 | 19.0 | 19.9 |
| 3. About right | 49.0 | 48.4 | 46.3 | 45.5 | 47.2 | 46.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 9.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

immigpo_level

Label: What should immigration levels be
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134-1
Description: Immigration levels and consequences
Question: Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be [INCREASED A LOT, INCREASED A LITTLE, LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW, DECREASED A LITTLE, or DECREASED A LOT / DECREASED A LOT, DECREASED A LITTLE, LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW, INCREASED A LITTLE, or INCREASED A LOT]?
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Increased a lot | 99 | 142 | 241 |
| 2. Increased a little | 168 | 363 | 531 |
| 3. Left the same as it is now | 857 | 1461 | 2318 |
| 4. Decreased a little | 383 | 720 | 1103 |
| 5. Decreased a lot | 335 | 862 | 1197 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 78 | 0 | 78 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 33 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased a lot | 4.8 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.7 |
| 2. Increased a little | 8.2 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.9 |
| 3. Left the same as it is now | 41.7 | 40.1 | 37.8 | 36.8 | 39.2 | 37.9 |
| 4. Decreased a little | 18.6 | 19.7 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 18.7 | 18.3 |
| 5. Decreased a lot | 16.3 | 17.2 | 22.3 | 24.7 | 20.2 | 22.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

immigpo_jobs

Label: How likely immigration will take away jobs
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134-2
Description: Immigration levels and consequences
Question: Now I'd like to ask you about immigration in recent years. How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here -- [EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, SOMEWHAT likely, or NOT AT ALL likely / NOT AT ALL likely, SOMEWHAT likely, VERY likely, or EXTREMELY likely]?
Web Logic: Online, change 'I'd' to 'we'd'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely | 402 | 623 | 1025 |
| 2. Very | 365 | 695 | 1060 |
| 3. Somewhat | 744 | 1566 | 2310 |
| 4. Not at all | 387 | 669 | 1056 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 28 | 31 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely | 19.6 | 18.2 | 16.1 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 17.7 |
| 2. Very | 17.8 | 19.4 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 18.8 |
| 3. Somewhat | 36.2 | 39.1 | 40.6 | 39.9 | 39.1 | 39.6 |
| 4. Not at all | 18.8 | 16.6 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 17.9 | 15.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

hlthlaw_qual

Label: Health Care Law effect on health care services
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.2-1
Description: Effect of health care law
Question: [After/If] the health care law is fully implemented, will it have improved, worsened, or had no effect on the quality of health care services in the United States?
Universe: IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE OBAMA / IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ROMNEY:
Logic: Preload variable winner will contain the value for the winner of the 2012 Presidential election. Its initial value will be a dummy value. It will be necessary immediately after the election results and prior to any Post-election interviewing for the interviewer to sync in order to capture the name of the winning candidate (Romney or Obama) which will be made available to the interviewer ASAP after the election through syncing. Load 'After' if preload variable winner=Obama; load 'If' if preload variable winner=Romney.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Improved | 815 | 1324 | 2139 |
| 2. Worsened | 550 | 1574 | 2124 |
| 3. Had no effect | 301 | 648 | 949 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 258 | 0 | 258 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 35 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Improved | 39.7 | 31.4 | 34.3 | 30.2 | 36.2 | 30.6 |
| 2. Worsened | 26.8 | 36.8 | 40.8 | 43.3 | 35.9 | 41.0 |
| 3. Had no effect | 14.7 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 17.7 | 16.0 | 16.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 12.6 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

hlthlaw_num

Label: Health Care Law effect on number insured
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.2-2
Description: Effect of health care law
Question: [After/If] the health care law is fully implemented, will it have increased, decreased, or had no effect on the number of Americans with health insurance?
Universe: IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE OBAMA / IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ROMNEY:
Logic: Load 'After' if preload variable winner=Obama; load 'If' if preload variable winner=Romney.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Increased | 1227 | 2211 | 3438 |
| 2. Decreased | 217 | 507 | 724 |
| 3. Had no effect | 257 | 830 | 1087 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 224 | 0 | 224 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 33 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Increased | 59.7 | 58.7 | 57.3 | 54.8 | 58.1 | 56.1 |
| 2. Decreased | 10.6 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 13.5 |
| 3. Had no effect | 12.5 | 13.1 | 21.5 | 22.4 | 18.4 | 19.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 10.9 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

iran_nukdev

Label: Iran trying to develop nuclear weapons
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-1
 Description: Policy and attitudes toward Iran
 Question: Do you think Iran is or is not trying to develop nuclear weapons?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Trying to develop nuclear weapons | 1536 | 3206 | 4742 |
| 2. Not trying to develop nuclear weapons | 112 | 305 | 417 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 275 | 0 | 275 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 70 | 76 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Trying to develop nuclear weapons | 74.8 | 78.4 | 83.1 | 80.6 | 80.2 | 79.9 |
| 2. Not trying to develop nuclear weapons | 5.5 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 13.4 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 |

iran_nukdip

Label: Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: direct diplomatic talks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-2
Description: Policy and attitudes toward Iran
Question: To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose..... Direct diplomatic talks between the United States and Iran to try to resolve the situation
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1551 | 2426 | 3977 |
| 2. Oppose | 146 | 298 | 444 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 171 | 830 | 1001 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 56 | 0 | 56 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 27 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 75.5 | 76.7 | 62.8 | 59.5 | 67.2 | 65.4 |
| 2. Oppose | 7.1 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.6 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 8.3 | 9.1 | 21.5 | 23.7 | 16.9 | 18.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

iran_nuksanct

Label: Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: increase sanctions
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-4
 Description: Policy and attitudes toward Iran
 Question: To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose... Increasing international economic sanctions against Iran
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1208 | 2464 | 3672 |
| 2. Oppose | 224 | 243 | 467 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 344 | 841 | 1185 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 148 | 0 | 148 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 33 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 58.8 | 62.9 | 63.8 | 59.7 | 62.1 | 60.8 |
| 2. Oppose | 10.9 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 16.7 | 15.8 | 21.8 | 24.4 | 20.0 | 21.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.2 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

iran_nuksite

Label: Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: bomb development sites
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-6
 Description: Policy and attitudes toward Iran
 Question: (To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose...) The United States bombing Iran's nuclear development sites
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 579 | 1096 | 1675 |
| 2. Oppose | 899 | 1271 | 2170 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 361 | 1187 | 1548 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 82 | 0 | 82 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 27 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 28.2 | 27.3 | 28.4 | 28.0 | 28.3 | 27.7 |
| 2. Oppose | 43.8 | 44.4 | 32.9 | 31.7 | 36.7 | 36.1 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 17.6 | 18.4 | 30.8 | 31.8 | 26.2 | 27.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

iran_nukeinvd

Label: Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: invade with U.S. troops
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-8
 Description: Policy and attitudes toward Iran
 Question: (To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose...) Invading with U.S. forces to remove the Iranian government from power
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 455 | 643 | 1098 |
| 2. Oppose | 1038 | 1758 | 2796 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 336 | 1150 | 1486 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 93 | 0 | 93 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 30 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 22.2 | 18.5 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 18.6 | 17.3 |
| 2. Oppose | 50.5 | 55.1 | 45.5 | 42.6 | 47.3 | 47.0 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 16.4 | 17.0 | 29.8 | 32.2 | 25.1 | 26.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

china_econ

Label: Effect of China's economic expansion on U.S.
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.7-1
 Description: Policy and attitudes toward China
 Question: Do you think the recent economic expansion of China has been generally good for the U.S., bad for the U.S., or had no effect on the U.S. economy?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Good | 377 | 719 | 1096 |
| 2. Bad | 1010 | 2007 | 3017 |
| 3. No Effect | 291 | 812 | 1103 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 249 | 0 | 249 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 43 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Good | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 16.1 | 18.5 | 16.9 |
| 2. Bad | 49.2 | 53.1 | 52.0 | 51.8 | 51.0 | 52.3 |
| 3. No Effect | 14.2 | 12.1 | 21.0 | 22.7 | 18.7 | 19.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 12.1 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 |

china_mil

Label: China military threat
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.7-2
 Description: Policy and attitudes toward China
 Question: Do you think China's military is [a major threat to the security of the United States, a minor threat, or not a threat / not a threat, a minor threat, or a major threat to the security of the United States]?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Major threat | 571 | 973 | 1544 |
| 2. Minor threat | 820 | 1805 | 2625 |
| 3. Not a threat | 388 | 755 | 1143 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 48 | 52 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Major threat | 27.8 | 26.3 | 25.2 | 24.0 | 26.1 | 24.8 |
| 2. Minor threat | 39.9 | 43.3 | 46.8 | 47.2 | 44.4 | 45.8 |
| 3. Not a threat | 18.9 | 18.7 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 19.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 |

ecperil_putoffmed

Label: Put off checkup and vaccines
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-1
Description: Economic Peril
Question: We are interested in finding out whether or not people are getting regular check ups and vaccinations as well as treatment for illness and injury. During the past 12 months, have you or anyone living here put off getting this kind of health care because of the cost, or has no one living here put off getting this kind of health care because of the cost?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Someone has put off health care | 496 | 876 | 1372 |
| 2. No one has put off health care | 1420 | 2689 | 4109 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 16 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Someone has put off health care | 24.1 | 21.4 | 22.7 | 23.8 | 23.2 | 23.0 |
| 2. No one has put off health care | 69.1 | 72.6 | 69.7 | 68.0 | 69.5 | 69.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

ecperil_payhlthcst

Label: Will you pay all costs
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-2
Description: Economic Peril
Question: During the next 12 months, how likely is it that you will be able to pay for all of your health care costs? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely?]
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely likely | 490 | 1085 | 1575 |
| 2. Very likely | 440 | 933 | 1373 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 355 | 701 | 1056 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 254 | 352 | 606 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 366 | 490 | 856 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 20 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely likely | 23.9 | 28.9 | 28.1 | 25.6 | 26.6 | 26.8 |
| 2. Very likely | 21.4 | 23.4 | 24.2 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 22.9 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 17.3 | 16.3 | 18.2 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 18.4 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 12.4 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 9.9 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 17.8 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

ecperil_worry

Label: Worry about financial situation
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-3
Description: Economic Peril
Question: So far as you and your family are concerned, how worried are you about your current financial situation? [Extremely worried, very worried, moderately worried, a little worried, or not at all worried / not at all worried, a little worried, moderately worried, very worried, or extremely worried?]
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely worried | 263 | 425 | 688 |
| 2. Very worried | 277 | 486 | 763 |
| 3. Moderately worried | 563 | 1073 | 1636 |
| 4. A little worried | 480 | 1027 | 1507 |
| 5. Not at all worried | 343 | 556 | 899 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 14 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely worried | 12.8 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 10.9 |
| 2. Very worried | 13.5 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 12.2 |
| 3. Moderately worried | 27.4 | 29.3 | 27.8 | 28.8 | 27.7 | 29.0 |
| 4. A little worried | 23.4 | 24.7 | 26.6 | 25.4 | 25.5 | 25.2 |
| 5. Not at all worried | 16.7 | 18.2 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 15.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

ecperil_home

Label: Home tenure
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-4
Description: Economic Peril
Question: Do you pay rent for your home, make monthly mortgage payments for your home, own your home outright with no payments due, or have some other living arrangement?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Pay rent | 820 | 881 | 1701 |
| 2. Pay mortgage | 692 | 1588 | 2280 |
| 3. Own home with no payments due | 256 | 853 | 1109 |
| 4. Some other arrangement | 154 | 245 | 399 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 14 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Pay rent | 39.9 | 26.8 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 28.8 | 24.6 |
| 2. Pay mortgage | 33.7 | 42.1 | 41.1 | 40.9 | 38.6 | 41.3 |
| 3. Own home with no payments due | 12.5 | 17.1 | 22.1 | 20.4 | 18.8 | 19.3 |
| 4. Some other arrangement | 7.5 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

ecperil_payany

Label: Any payments for home for non-owners non-renters
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-5
Description: Economic Peril
Question: Do you pay any money for your housing, or do you not pay any money for your housing?
Universe: IF R HAS A LIVING ARRANGEMENT OTHER THAN HOME OWNERSHIP OR RENTAL:
Logic: Ask if ECPERIL HOME=4, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Pay money for housing | 57 | 90 | 147 |
| 2. Do not pay money for housing | 94 | 151 | 245 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1775 | 3336 | 5111 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Pay money for housing | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| 2. Do not pay money for housing | 4.6 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.4 | 86.4 | 86.4 | 85.3 | 86.4 | 85.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

ecperil_payhouse

Label: Able to make housing payments
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-6
Description: Economic Peril
Question: During the next 12 months, how likely is it that you will be able to make all of your [rent/mortgage/housing] payments on time? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely?]
Universe: IF R PAYS RENT/ IF R OWNS HIS OR HER HOME AND PAYS A MORTGAGE/ IF R DOES NOT PAY RENT OR A MORTGAGE BUT STILL PAYS MONEY FOR HIS OR HER HOUSING:
Logic: Ask if ECPERIL HOME in(1,2) or if ECPERIL PAYANY=1, else skip.
If ECPERIL HOME=1 load 'rent'; if ECPERIL HOME=2 load 'mortgage'; if ECPERIL PAYANY=1 load 'housing'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely likely | 680 | 0 | 680 |
| 2. Very likely | 480 | 0 | 480 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 263 | 0 | 263 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 85 | 0 | 85 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 360 | 3581 | 3941 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely likely | 33.1 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 12.5 |
| 2. Very likely | 23.4 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 7.2 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 12.8 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 3.4 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 5. Not likely at all | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 17.5 | 22.3 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 66.6 | 68.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

ecperil_lostjobs

Label: Anyone lost jobs
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-7
 Description: Economic Peril
 Question: During the past 12 months, has anyone in your family or a close personal friend lost a job, or has no one in your family and no close personal friend lost a job in the past 12 months?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Someone lost a job | 1117 | 1455 | 2572 |
| 2. No one lost a job | 798 | 2113 | 2911 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 13 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Someone lost a job | 54.4 | 52.0 | 37.7 | 35.6 | 43.5 | 41.3 |
| 2. No one lost a job | 38.9 | 41.5 | 54.7 | 56.3 | 49.2 | 51.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

libcpo_self

Label: 7pt scale liberal-Conservate: self placement
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 135-2
Description: Liberal-conservative placement
Question: Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely liberal | 58 | 108 | 166 |
| 2. Liberal | 216 | 430 | 646 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 189 | 450 | 639 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 519 | 1237 | 1756 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 211 | 460 | 671 |
| 6. Conservative | 247 | 728 | 975 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 54 | 134 | 188 |
| -2. Haven't thought much (DO NOT PROBE) | 410 | 0 | 410 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 34 | 36 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 2. Liberal | 10.5 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 10.1 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 9.2 | 10.0 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 11.0 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 25.3 | 23.5 | 32.0 | 32.7 | 29.7 | 29.5 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 10.3 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 12.7 |
| 6. Conservative | 12.0 | 16.7 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 17.3 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| -2. Haven't thought much (DO NOT PROBE) | 20.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 5.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

libcpo_selfch

Label: If had to choose, liberal or conservative
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 135-3
Description: Liberal-conservative placement
Question: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?
Universe: IF MODERATE, DK, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE:
Logic: Ask if LIBCPO LIBCPOSELF in(4,DK,99) else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Liberal | 295 | 0 | 295 |
| 2. Conservative | 389 | 0 | 389 |
| 3. Moderate (VOL) | 151 | 0 | 151 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 977 | 3581 | 4558 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 106 | 0 | 106 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 0 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Liberal | 14.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 2. Conservative | 18.9 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 6.2 |
| 3. Moderate (VOL) | 7.4 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.6 | 54.6 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 77.1 | 79.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

libcpo_hdc

Label: 7pt scale liberal-conservative: Democratic House cand
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 135-4
 Description: Liberal-conservative placement
 Question: (Looking at page [preload: postpg e] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: dem hsename on this scale?
 Randomization: Order of House candidates
 Universe: IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE: IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:
 Logic: Ask if preloaded name of Democratic House candidate in var dem hsename is not null or blank and if thermometer rating in THERMPO THPOHDC (thermometer rating for House Democratic candidate) is not 999. 'Don't know who this is'; else skip. Randomize the order in which placements for the Democratic and Republican House candidates are asked.
 Web Logic: Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg e] of the booklet)'.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely liberal | 68 | 242 | 310 |
| 2. Liberal | 267 | 658 | 925 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 212 | 584 | 796 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 281 | 908 | 1189 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 95 | 153 | 248 |
| 6. Conservative | 91 | 128 | 219 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 21 | 32 | 53 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 573 | 748 | 1321 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 316 | 0 | 316 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 125 | 130 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 3.3 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.6 |
| 2. Liberal | 13.0 | 15.3 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 15.6 | 15.2 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 10.3 | 11.8 | 15.1 | 14.0 | 13.5 | 13.2 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 13.7 | 12.6 | 23.5 | 24.5 | 20.1 | 20.4 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 4.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 6. Conservative | 4.4 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.7 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 27.9 | 27.9 | 19.4 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 23.4 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 15.4 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 2.8 |

libcpo_hrc

Label: 7pt scale liberal-conservative: Republican House cand
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 135-5
 Description: Liberal-conservative placement
 Question: (Looking at page [preload: postpg e] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: rep hsename] on this scale?
 Randomization: Order of House candidates
 Universe: IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE: IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:
 Logic: Ask if preloaded name of Republican House candidate in var rep hsename is not null or blank and if thermometer rating in THERMPO THPOHRC (thermometer rating for House Republican candidate) is not 999. 'Don't know who this is'; else skip
 Web Logic: Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg e] of the booklet)'.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely liberal | 17 | 39 | 56 |
| 2. Liberal | 36 | 131 | 167 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 75 | 193 | 268 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 225 | 801 | 1026 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 205 | 481 | 686 |
| 6. Conservative | 330 | 861 | 1191 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 84 | 237 | 321 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 606 | 716 | 1322 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 347 | 0 | 347 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 118 | 122 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 2. Liberal | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 3.7 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| 4. Moderate; middle of the road | 11.0 | 11.0 | 20.8 | 21.7 | 17.3 | 18.0 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 10.0 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 12.5 |
| 6. Conservative | 16.1 | 21.3 | 22.3 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 20.7 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 4.1 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 29.5 | 23.8 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 22.4 | 20.7 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 16.9 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 5.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 2.8 |

science_use

Label: How oft shd govt use scientific methods to solve imp probs
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 135.7-1
Description: Scientific literacy
Question: When trying to solve important problems, how often should the government rely on scientific approaches? [always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, never / never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always]
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 256 | 311 | 567 |
| 2. Most of the time | 484 | 1011 | 1495 |
| 3. About half the time | 328 | 954 | 1282 |
| 4. Some of the time | 733 | 1087 | 1820 |
| 5. Never | 64 | 165 | 229 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 59 | 0 | 59 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 53 | 58 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 12.5 | 11.5 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
| 2. Most of the time | 23.6 | 25.7 | 26.2 | 24.4 | 25.3 | 24.9 |
| 3. About half the time | 16.0 | 16.4 | 24.7 | 26.3 | 21.7 | 22.8 |
| 4. Some of the time | 35.7 | 35.5 | 28.2 | 27.9 | 30.8 | 30.6 |
| 5. Never | 3.1 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

abort_dpc4

Label: STD Abortion: Democratic Presidential cand placemt
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-3
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Now on another topic. (Looking at page [preload:postpg f] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: DEM PCNAME]? (on abortion)?
 Randomization: Order of Pres cands
 Logic: Randomize the order of questions asking for placement of the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates on abortion (ABORTPO ABDPCSTD and ABORTPO ABRPCSTD).
 Web Logic: Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg e] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place [preload: DEM PCNAME] on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 110 | 162 | 272 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 283 | 463 | 746 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 316 | 530 | 846 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 1097 | 2363 | 3460 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 120 | 0 | 120 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 63 | 64 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 5.4 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.2 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 13.8 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 11.9 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 15.4 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 14.5 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 53.4 | 57.3 | 61.2 | 59.8 | 58.5 | 58.9 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.8 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 |

abort_dpc4_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Dem Pres cand

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

This is poorly worded. There should be a 5th option I believe Obama would support a women's right to

abort_rpc4

Label: STD Abortion: Republican Presidential cand placemt
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-5
Description: Abortion
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg f] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: REP PCNAME] (on abortion)?
Randomization:Order of Pres cands
Web Logic: Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg f] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place [preload: REP PCNAME] on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 732 | 1249 | 1981 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 685 | 1586 | 2271 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 205 | 396 | 601 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 134 | 275 | 409 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 169 | 0 | 169 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 75 | 76 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 35.6 | 31.8 | 32.4 | 32.1 | 33.5 | 32.0 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 33.3 | 41.6 | 41.1 | 39.2 | 38.4 | 40.1 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 10.0 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 10.0 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 6.5 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 6.6 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 8.2 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 |

abort_rpc4_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Rep Pres cand

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5911 |
| Depends on the day, but maybe three | 1 |
| goverment should not ve envolved | 1 |
| nobody knows | 1 |

abort_dem4

Label: STD Abortion: Democratic party placement
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-8
Description: Abortion
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg f] of the booklet) Where would you place the Democratic Party? (on abortion)?
Randomization: Order of major parties
Logic: Randomize the order of questions asking for placement of the Democratic and Republican parties on abortion (ABORTPO ABDP-TYSTD and ABORTPO ABRPTYSTD).
Web Logic: Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg f] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place the Democratic Party on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 91 | 138 | 229 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 292 | 434 | 726 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 400 | 605 | 1005 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 979 | 2315 | 3294 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 162 | 0 | 162 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 89 | 93 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 14.2 | 12.3 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 11.6 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 19.5 | 19.3 | 15.7 | 15.4 | 17.0 | 16.8 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 47.7 | 51.8 | 60.0 | 58.6 | 55.7 | 56.2 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.9 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 |

abort_dem4_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Dem party

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| <NULL> | <u>5913</u> |
| Not a political issue personal choice | <u>1</u> |

abort_rep4

Label: STD Abortion: Republican party placement
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-9
Description: Abortion
Question: (Looking at page [preload:postpg f] of the booklet) Where would you place the Republican Party? (on abortion)?
Randomization:Order of major parties
Web Logic: Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg f] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place the Republican Party on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 623 | 1384 | 2007 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 731 | 1430 | 2161 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 260 | 434 | 694 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 130 | 242 | 372 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 180 | 0 | 180 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 91 | 94 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted. | 30.3 | 28.4 | 35.9 | 35.3 | 33.9 | 32.9 |
| 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger. | 35.6 | 40.8 | 37.0 | 35.8 | 36.5 | 37.5 |
| 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman | 12.7 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice | 6.3 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 8.8 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 |

abort_rep4_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Rep party

3 unique outcomes.

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| <NULL> | | 5912 |
| Abortion is a personal issue not a political issue | | 1 |
| Between 1 and 2 probably a 1.5 | | 1 |

abort_health

Label: REV Abortion: R favor/oppose when nonfatal health risk
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-11
Description: Abortion
Question: [First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: staying pregnant would hurt the woman's health but is very unlikely to cause her to die
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic: Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'. Randomize the order of the abortion scenarios which precede the question on abortion choice (ABORTPO ABNCHOICE)
Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 823 | 1699 | 2522 |
| 2. Oppose | 741 | 971 | 1712 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 311 | 885 | 1196 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -9. Refused | 24 | 26 | 50 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 40.1 | 41.9 | 44.0 | 43.4 | 42.6 | 42.8 |
| 2. Oppose | 36.1 | 36.0 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 28.9 | 29.1 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 15.1 | 14.5 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 20.2 | 19.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

abort_healthfav

Label: REV How much R favor abortion for nonfatal health risk
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-12
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?

Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABNFAT=1 else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous question.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 417 | 1050 | 1467 |
| 2. Moderately | 301 | 490 | 791 |
| 3. A little | 104 | 158 | 262 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1106 | 1882 | 2988 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 20.3 | 19.8 | 27.2 | 27.0 | 24.8 | 24.5 |
| 2. Moderately | 14.7 | 16.3 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 13.5 |
| 3. A little | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 53.8 | 52.4 | 48.8 | 49.1 | 50.5 | 50.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_healthopp

Label: REV How much R oppose abortn for nonfatal health risk
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-13
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE /
 A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
 Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABNFAT=2 else skip
 Web Logic: Online, bank with previous question.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 380 | 533 | 913 |
| 2. Moderately | 277 | 347 | 624 |
| 3. A little | 84 | 91 | 175 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1188 | 2610 | 3798 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 18.5 | 18.7 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 16.0 |
| 2. Moderately | 13.5 | 13.8 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 10.5 |
| 3. A little | 4.1 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 57.8 | 58.3 | 67.6 | 67.1 | 64.2 | 64.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_healthln

Label: REV R lean favor/opp abortion for nonfatal health risk
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-14
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABNFAT=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 45 | 181 | 226 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 59 | 142 | 201 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 202 | 560 | 762 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1618 | 2696 | 4314 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 2.2 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 9.8 | 9.1 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 12.9 | 12.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.8 | 79.8 | 69.8 | 69.9 | 72.9 | 73.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

abort_health_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for nonfatal health risk of mother

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 417 | 1050 | 1467 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 301 | 490 | 791 |
| 3. Favor a little | 104 | 158 | 262 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 45 | 181 | 226 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 202 | 560 | 762 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 59 | 142 | 201 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 84 | 91 | 175 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 277 | 347 | 624 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 380 | 533 | 913 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| -9. Refused | 27 | 29 | 56 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 20.3 | 19.8 | 27.2 | 27.0 | 24.8 | 24.5 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 14.7 | 16.3 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 13.5 |
| 3. Favor a little | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 2.2 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 9.8 | 9.1 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 12.9 | 12.5 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 4.1 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 13.5 | 13.8 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 10.5 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 18.5 | 18.7 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 16.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 |

abort_fatal

Label: REV Abortion: R favor/oppose when fatal health risk
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-15
Description: Abortion
Question: [First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: staying pregnant could cause the woman to die
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic: Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1483 | 2624 | 4107 |
| 2. Oppose | 203 | 354 | 557 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 177 | 577 | 754 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 39 | 0 | 39 |
| -9. Refused | 27 | 26 | 53 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 72.2 | 73.5 | 68.0 | 65.6 | 69.4 | 68.3 |
| 2. Oppose | 9.9 | 9.7 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 9.8 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 8.6 | 8.2 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 12.7 | 13.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

abort_fatalfav

Label: REV How much R favor abortion for fatal health risk
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-16
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABFAT=1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 1151 | 2092 | 3243 |
| 2. Moderately | 251 | 441 | 692 |
| 3. A little | 77 | 89 | 166 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 446 | 957 | 1403 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 2 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 56.0 | 57.0 | 54.2 | 52.0 | 54.8 | 53.8 |
| 2. Moderately | 12.2 | 12.9 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 11.8 |
| 3. A little | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 21.7 | 20.8 | 24.8 | 26.9 | 23.7 | 24.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

abort_fatalopp

Label: REV How much R oppose abortion for fatal health risk
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-17
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE /
 A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
 Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABFAT=2 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 131 | 223 | 354 |
| 2. Moderately | 38 | 96 | 134 |
| 3. A little | 34 | 35 | 69 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1726 | 3227 | 4953 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| 2. Moderately | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| 3. A little | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.0 | 84.6 | 83.6 | 82.7 | 83.8 | 83.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_fatalIn

Label: REV R lean favor/oppose abortion for fatal health risk
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-18
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABFAT=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 28 | 114 | 142 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 18 | 84 | 102 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 127 | 377 | 504 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1752 | 3004 | 4756 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 1.4 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 6.2 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 8.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.3 | 86.0 | 77.8 | 76.5 | 80.4 | 79.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

abort_fatal_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for fatal risk of mother

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 1151 | 2092 | 3243 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 251 | 441 | 692 |
| 3. Favor a little | 77 | 89 | 166 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 28 | 114 | 142 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 127 | 377 | 504 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 18 | 84 | 102 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 34 | 35 | 69 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 38 | 96 | 134 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 131 | 223 | 354 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| -9. Refused | 29 | 30 | 59 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 56.0 | 57.0 | 54.2 | 52.0 | 54.8 | 53.8 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 12.2 | 12.9 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 11.8 |
| 3. Favor a little | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 1.4 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 6.2 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 8.6 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

abort_incest

Label: REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in incest cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-19
Description: Abortion
Question: [First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the pregnancy was caused by the woman having sex with a blood relative
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic: Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 988 | 1792 | 2780 |
| 2. Oppose | 586 | 838 | 1424 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 263 | 923 | 1186 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 59 | 0 | 59 |
| -9. Refused | 33 | 28 | 61 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 48.1 | 50.8 | 46.4 | 46.5 | 47.0 | 48.0 |
| 2. Oppose | 28.5 | 27.3 | 21.7 | 22.4 | 24.1 | 24.1 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 12.8 | 12.7 | 23.9 | 22.3 | 20.1 | 19.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

abort_incestfav

Label: REV How much R favor abortion in incest cases
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-20
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
 Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:
 Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABINC=1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 667 | 1252 | 1919 |
| 2. Moderately | 246 | 424 | 670 |
| 3. A little | 74 | 115 | 189 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 941 | 1789 | 2730 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 32.5 | 32.7 | 32.4 | 32.9 | 32.4 | 32.9 |
| 2. Moderately | 12.0 | 14.1 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 11.5 |
| 3. A little | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 45.8 | 43.4 | 46.3 | 46.0 | 46.2 | 45.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_incestopp

Label: REV How much R oppose abortion in incest cases
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-21
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE /
 A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:
 Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABINC=2 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 359 | 536 | 895 |
| 2. Moderately | 169 | 233 | 402 |
| 3. A little | 57 | 69 | 126 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1343 | 2743 | 4086 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 17.5 | 16.2 | 13.9 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| 2. Moderately | 8.2 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.1 |
| 3. A little | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 65.4 | 67.0 | 71.1 | 70.0 | 69.1 | 69.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_incestIn

Label: REV R lean favor/oppose abortion in incest cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-22
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABINC=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 35 | 155 | 190 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 35 | 157 | 192 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 188 | 611 | 799 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1666 | 2658 | 4324 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 9.2 | 8.8 | 15.8 | 15.0 | 13.5 | 12.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 81.1 | 81.6 | 68.9 | 70.1 | 73.1 | 74.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

abort_incest_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of incest

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 667 | 1252 | 1919 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 246 | 424 | 670 |
| 3. Favor a little | 74 | 115 | 189 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 35 | 155 | 190 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 188 | 611 | 799 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 35 | 157 | 192 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 57 | 69 | 126 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 169 | 233 | 402 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 359 | 536 | 895 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 64 | 0 | 64 |
| -9. Refused | 35 | 29 | 64 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 32.5 | 32.7 | 32.4 | 32.9 | 32.4 | 32.9 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 12.0 | 14.1 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 11.5 |
| 3. Favor a little | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 9.2 | 8.8 | 15.8 | 15.0 | 13.5 | 12.8 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 8.2 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.1 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 17.5 | 16.2 | 13.9 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 |

abort_rape

Label: REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in rape cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-23
Description: Abortion
Question: [First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the pregnancy was caused by the woman being raped
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic: Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1441 | 2476 | 3917 |
| 2. Oppose | 288 | 524 | 812 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 156 | 552 | 708 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 19 | 29 | 48 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 70.2 | 70.7 | 64.1 | 62.9 | 66.2 | 65.6 |
| 2. Oppose | 14.0 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 14.3 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 7.6 | 7.7 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 11.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

abort_rapefav

Label: REV How much R favor abortion in rape cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-24
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABRAPE=1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 1131 | 1985 | 3116 |
| 2. Moderately | 220 | 383 | 603 |
| 3. A little | 86 | 107 | 193 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 488 | 1105 | 1593 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 55.1 | 55.9 | 51.4 | 50.2 | 52.7 | 52.2 |
| 2. Moderately | 10.7 | 11.1 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 10.3 |
| 3. A little | 4.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 23.8 | 23.5 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 26.9 | 27.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

abort_rapeopp

Label: REV How much R oppose abortion in rape cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-25
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABRAPE=2 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 166 | 324 | 490 |
| 2. Moderately | 76 | 147 | 223 |
| 3. A little | 45 | 52 | 97 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1641 | 3057 | 4698 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 8.1 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.6 |
| 2. Moderately | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| 3. A little | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 79.9 | 79.9 | 79.2 | 78.2 | 79.4 | 78.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_rapelean

Label: REV R lean favor/oppose abortion in rape cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-26
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABRAPE=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 18 | 104 | 122 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 27 | 87 | 114 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 110 | 360 | 470 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1773 | 3029 | 4802 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 5.4 | 5.3 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.3 | 86.6 | 78.5 | 78.4 | 81.2 | 81.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_rape_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of rape

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 1131 | 1985 | 3116 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 220 | 383 | 603 |
| 3. Favor a little | 86 | 107 | 193 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 18 | 104 | 122 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 110 | 360 | 470 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 27 | 87 | 114 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 45 | 52 | 97 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 76 | 147 | 223 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 166 | 324 | 490 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -9. Refused | 20 | 32 | 52 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 55.1 | 55.9 | 51.4 | 50.2 | 52.7 | 52.2 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 10.7 | 11.1 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 10.3 |
| 3. Favor a little | 4.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 5.4 | 5.3 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 8.1 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

abort_bd

Label: REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in birth defect cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-27
Description: Abortion
Question: [First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the fetus will be born with a serious birth defect
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic: Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1025 | 1821 | 2846 |
| 2. Oppose | 542 | 799 | 1341 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 286 | 931 | 1217 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 25 | 30 | 55 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 49.9 | 50.7 | 47.2 | 46.2 | 48.1 | 47.7 |
| 2. Oppose | 26.4 | 26.6 | 20.7 | 21.7 | 22.7 | 23.4 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 13.9 | 14.0 | 24.1 | 23.3 | 20.6 | 20.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

abort_bdfav

Label: REV How much R favor abortion in birth defect cases
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-28
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
 Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:
 Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABDEF=1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 576 | 1121 | 1697 |
| 2. Moderately | 307 | 537 | 844 |
| 3. A little | 142 | 163 | 305 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 904 | 1760 | 2664 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 28.0 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 27.8 | 28.7 | 28.1 |
| 2. Moderately | 14.9 | 15.5 | 13.9 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 14.5 |
| 3. A little | 6.9 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 44.0 | 43.5 | 45.6 | 46.3 | 45.0 | 45.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_bdopp

Label: REV How much R oppose abortion in birth defect cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-29
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABDEF=2 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 310 | 490 | 800 |
| 2. Moderately | 166 | 231 | 397 |
| 3. A little | 62 | 77 | 139 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1387 | 2782 | 4169 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 15.1 | 15.3 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 13.9 |
| 2. Moderately | 8.1 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| 3. A little | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 67.5 | 67.7 | 72.1 | 70.7 | 70.5 | 69.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

abort_bdl

Label: REV R lean favor/oppose abortion in birth defect case
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-30
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABDEF=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 37 | 178 | 215 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 46 | 149 | 195 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 201 | 602 | 803 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1643 | 2650 | 4293 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 1.8 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 9.8 | 9.7 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 13.6 | 13.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.0 | 80.2 | 68.7 | 69.2 | 72.6 | 73.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

abort_bd_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of birth defect

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 576 | 1121 | 1697 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 307 | 537 | 844 |
| 3. Favor a little | 142 | 163 | 305 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 37 | 178 | 215 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 201 | 602 | 803 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 46 | 149 | 195 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 62 | 77 | 139 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 166 | 231 | 397 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 310 | 490 | 800 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| -9. Refused | 25 | 33 | 58 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 28.0 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 27.8 | 28.7 | 28.1 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 14.9 | 15.5 | 13.9 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 14.5 |
| 3. Favor a little | 6.9 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 1.8 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 9.8 | 9.7 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 13.6 | 13.5 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 8.1 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 15.1 | 15.3 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 13.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |

abort_fin

Label: REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in financial hardshp cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-31
Description: Abortion
Question: [First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: having the child would be extremely difficult for the woman financially
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic: Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 517 | 903 | 1420 |
| 2. Oppose | 1148 | 1828 | 2976 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 219 | 825 | 1044 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 20 | 25 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 25.2 | 25.3 | 23.4 | 23.1 | 24.0 | 23.8 |
| 2. Oppose | 55.9 | 56.7 | 47.4 | 46.9 | 50.3 | 50.3 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 10.7 | 10.4 | 21.4 | 21.3 | 17.7 | 17.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

abort_finfav

Label: REV How much R favor abortion in finan hardship cases
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-32
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
 Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:
 Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABFIN=1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 259 | 493 | 752 |
| 2. Moderately | 162 | 286 | 448 |
| 3. A little | 95 | 124 | 219 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1412 | 2678 | 4090 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 12.6 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.4 |
| 2. Moderately | 7.9 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 3. A little | 4.6 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 68.7 | 69.0 | 69.4 | 69.4 | 69.2 | 69.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_finopp

Label: REV How much R oppose abortion in finan hardship cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-33
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABFIN=2 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 841 | 1378 | 2219 |
| 2. Moderately | 239 | 381 | 620 |
| 3. A little | 64 | 66 | 130 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 781 | 1753 | 2534 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 3 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 40.9 | 42.1 | 35.7 | 35.8 | 37.5 | 38.0 |
| 2. Moderately | 11.6 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 9.8 |
| 3. A little | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 38.0 | 37.5 | 45.4 | 45.6 | 42.8 | 42.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

abort_finln

Label: REV Lean fav/oppose abortion in finan hardship cases
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-34
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABFIN=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 31 | 131 | 162 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 41 | 157 | 198 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 145 | 537 | 682 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1710 | 2756 | 4466 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 1.5 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 2.0 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 7.1 | 7.2 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 11.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 83.3 | 83.8 | 71.4 | 71.1 | 75.5 | 75.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

abort_fin_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of financial hardship

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 259 | 493 | 752 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 162 | 286 | 448 |
| 3. Favor a little | 95 | 124 | 219 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 31 | 131 | 162 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 145 | 537 | 682 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 41 | 157 | 198 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 64 | 66 | 130 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 239 | 381 | 620 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 841 | 1378 | 2219 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| -9. Refused | 23 | 28 | 51 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 12.6 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.4 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 7.9 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 3. Favor a little | 4.6 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 1.5 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 7.1 | 7.2 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 11.7 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 2.0 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 11.6 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 9.8 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 40.9 | 42.1 | 35.7 | 35.8 | 37.5 | 38.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 |

abort_sex

Label: REV Abortion: R favor/oppose when child gender 'wrong'

Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-35

Description: Abortion

Question: [First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the child will not be the sex the woman wants it to be

Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

Universe: IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

Logic: Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next.'

Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 152 | 276 | 428 |
| 2. Oppose | 1616 | 2766 | 4382 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 117 | 513 | 630 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| -9. Refused | 23 | 26 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 7.4 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| 2. Oppose | 78.7 | 78.2 | 71.7 | 71.4 | 74.1 | 73.7 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 5.7 | 5.4 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 10.7 | 10.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

abort_sexfav

Label: REV How much R favor abortn when child gender 'wrong'

Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-36

Description: Abortion

Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?

Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:

Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABGEN=1 else skip

Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 74 | 133 | 207 |
| 2. Moderately | 28 | 67 | 95 |
| 3. A little | 49 | 75 | 124 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1777 | 3305 | 5082 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| 2. Moderately | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 3. A little | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.5 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 85.6 | 85.9 | 85.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

abort_sexopp

Label: REV How much R oppose abortn when child gender 'wrong'
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-37
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization: Order of abortion scenarios
Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABGEN=2 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 1431 | 2455 | 3886 |
| 2. Moderately | 135 | 261 | 396 |
| 3. A little | 45 | 49 | 94 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 313 | 815 | 1128 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 1 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 69.7 | 69.4 | 63.6 | 62.7 | 65.7 | 65.1 |
| 2. Moderately | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 7.1 |
| 3. A little | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 15.2 | 16.1 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 19.1 | 19.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

abort_sexln

Label: POST: REV R lean favor/opp abortn legal to select child gender

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 8 | 50 | 58 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 28 | 107 | 135 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 81 | 356 | 437 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1812 | 3068 | 4880 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 3.9 | 3.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 7.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.2 | 88.8 | 79.5 | 79.3 | 82.5 | 82.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

abort_sex_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal to select child gender

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 74 | 133 | 207 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 28 | 67 | 95 |
| 3. Favor a little | 49 | 75 | 124 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 8 | 50 | 58 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 81 | 356 | 437 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 28 | 107 | 135 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 45 | 49 | 94 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 135 | 261 | 396 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 1431 | 2455 | 3886 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -9. Refused | 26 | 28 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 3. Favor a little | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 3.9 | 3.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 7.2 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 7.1 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 69.7 | 69.4 | 63.6 | 62.7 | 65.7 | 65.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

abort_choice

Label: Abortion: favor/oppose woman's choice
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-39
Description: Abortion
Question: Next, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose abortion being legal if the woman chooses to have one?
Logic: This question follows the randomized sequence of abortion scenarios.
Web Logic: Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 852 | 1390 | 2242 |
| 2. Oppose | 786 | 1415 | 2201 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 241 | 750 | 991 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| -9. Refused | 17 | 26 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 41.5 | 42.1 | 36.0 | 34.9 | 37.9 | 37.4 |
| 2. Oppose | 38.3 | 38.5 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 37.2 | 38.2 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 11.7 | 11.9 | 19.4 | 18.4 | 16.8 | 16.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

abort_choicefav

Label: How much R favor abortion for woman's choice
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-40
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe: IF R FAVORS ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABCHOICE=1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 473 | 884 | 1357 |
| 2. Moderately | 258 | 361 | 619 |
| 3. A little | 119 | 143 | 262 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1077 | 2191 | 3268 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 23.0 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 22.4 |
| 2. Moderately | 12.6 | 12.3 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| 3. A little | 5.8 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 52.4 | 52.2 | 56.8 | 57.6 | 55.3 | 55.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

abort_choiceopp

Label: How much R oppose abortn for woman's choice
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-41
 Description: Abortion
 Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE /
 A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:
 Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABCHOICE=1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 602 | 1136 | 1738 |
| 2. Moderately | 146 | 228 | 374 |
| 3. A little | 38 | 50 | 88 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1143 | 2166 | 3309 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 29.3 | 29.9 | 29.4 | 30.1 | 29.4 | 30.0 |
| 2. Moderately | 7.1 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 |
| 3. A little | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 55.6 | 55.8 | 56.1 | 54.4 | 56.0 | 54.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

abort_choiceln

Label: R lean favor/opp abortion for woman's choice
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136-42
Description: Abortion
Question: Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:
Logic: Ask if ABORTPO ABCHOICE=3 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 29 | 100 | 129 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 40 | 109 | 149 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 172 | 539 | 711 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1688 | 2831 | 4519 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 8.4 | 8.3 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 11.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.2 | 82.4 | 73.3 | 74.0 | 76.4 | 76.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

abort_choice_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal as woman's choice

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor a great deal | 473 | 884 | 1357 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 258 | 361 | 619 |
| 3. Favor a little | 119 | 143 | 262 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 29 | 100 | 129 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 172 | 539 | 711 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 40 | 109 | 149 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 38 | 50 | 88 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 146 | 228 | 374 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 602 | 1136 | 1738 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| -9. Refused | 18 | 31 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor a great deal | 23.0 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 22.4 |
| 2. Favor moderately | 12.6 | 12.3 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| 3. Favor a little | 5.8 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| 4. Lean toward favoring | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 5. Do not lean either way | 8.4 | 8.3 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 11.6 |
| 6. Lean toward opposing | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| 7. Oppose a little | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 8. Oppose moderately | 7.1 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 |
| 9. Oppose a great deal | 29.3 | 29.9 | 29.4 | 30.1 | 29.4 | 30.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

wiretap_warrant

Label: Favor or oppose court auth for terr suspect wiretaps
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 136.4-3
Description: Courts authorize wiretapping
Question: Now for some other topics. Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. government being required to present evidence to get a court's permission before it can listen in on phone calls made by American citizens who are suspected of being terrorists?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1388 | 2242 | 3630 |
| 2. Oppose | 388 | 627 | 1015 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 111 | 682 | 793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 30 | 36 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 67.6 | 68.5 | 58.1 | 55.7 | 61.4 | 60.2 |
| 2. Oppose | 18.9 | 19.3 | 16.2 | 16.1 | 17.2 | 17.2 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 5.4 | 5.0 | 17.7 | 19.4 | 13.4 | 14.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

outsource_enc

Label: Should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 137-1
Description: Outsourcing of U.S. jobs
Question: Recently, some big American companies have been hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the U.S. Do you think the federal government should DISCOURAGE companies from doing this, ENCOURAGE companies to do this, or STAY OUT of this matter?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Discourage | 1299 | 2461 | 3760 |
| 2. Encourage | 71 | 104 | 175 |
| 3. Should stay out of this matter | 514 | 987 | 1501 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 39 | 0 | 39 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 29 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Discourage | 63.2 | 63.3 | 63.8 | 62.8 | 63.6 | 62.9 |
| 2. Encourage | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 3. Should stay out of this matter | 25.0 | 26.4 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 25.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

outsource_encst

Label: How much should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 137-2
Description: Outsourcing of U.S. jobs
Question: Do you think the government should do this a GREAT DEAL or ONLY A LITTLE?
Universe: IF GOVERNMENT SHOULD DISCOURAGE COMPANIES FROM OUTSOURCING / IF GOVERNMENT SHOULD ENCOURAGE COMPANIES FROM OUTSOURCING:
Logic: Ask if OUTS OUTSGOVT in(1,2) else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 1114 | 1895 | 3009 |
| 2. Only a little | 251 | 664 | 915 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 559 | 1016 | 1575 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 6 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 54.2 | 51.8 | 49.1 | 46.9 | 50.9 | 48.6 |
| 2. Only a little | 12.2 | 14.0 | 17.2 | 18.6 | 15.5 | 17.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 27.2 | 28.1 | 26.3 | 26.7 | 26.6 | 27.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

outsource_enc_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Encourage outsourcing of jobs

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Discourage a great deal | 1073 | 1839 | 2912 |
| 2. Discourage a little | 221 | 616 | 837 |
| 3. Should stay out of this matter | 514 | 987 | 1501 |
| 4. Encourage a little | 30 | 48 | 78 |
| 5. Encourage a great deal | 41 | 56 | 97 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 35 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Discourage a great deal | 52.2 | 50.1 | 47.6 | 45.4 | 49.2 | 47.0 |
| 2. Discourage a little | 10.8 | 12.8 | 16.0 | 17.1 | 14.2 | 15.6 |
| 3. Should stay out of this matter | 25.0 | 26.4 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 25.8 |
| 4. Encourage a little | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 5. Encourage a great deal | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

ssinv_invest

Label: Favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 138-1
Description: Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
Question: A proposal has been made that would allow people to put a portion of their Social Security payroll taxes into personal retirement accounts that would be invested in stocks and bonds. Do you FAVOR this idea, OPPOSE it, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE it?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 726 | 1281 | 2007 |
| 2. Oppose | 470 | 963 | 1433 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 692 | 1309 | 2001 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 28 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 35.3 | 38.4 | 33.2 | 34.7 | 33.9 | 36.0 |
| 2. Oppose | 22.9 | 22.4 | 24.9 | 21.4 | 24.2 | 21.8 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 33.7 | 32.4 | 33.9 | 35.3 | 33.8 | 34.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

ssinv_invfav

Label: How much favor Social Security in stocks and bonds
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 138-2
 Description: Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
 Question: Do you favor it STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R FAVORS SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:
 Logic: Ask if SSINV SSINVEST = 1 else skip
 Web Logic: Online, bank with SSINV SSINVEST.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 517 | 898 | 1415 |
| 2. Not strongly | 204 | 383 | 587 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1203 | 2300 | 3503 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 25.2 | 25.9 | 23.3 | 23.8 | 23.9 | 24.5 |
| 2. Not strongly | 9.9 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 11.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.6 | 55.9 | 59.6 | 57.8 | 59.2 | 57.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

ssinv_invopp

Label: How much oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 138-3
 Description: Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
 Question: Do you oppose it STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:
 Logic: Ask if SSINV SSINVEST = 2 else skip
 Web Logic: Online, bank with SSINV SSINVEST.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | | 394 | 779 | 1173 |
| 2. Not strongly | | 76 | 181 | 257 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 1459 | 2618 | 4077 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 19.2 | 18.7 | 20.2 | 16.9 | 19.8 | 17.5 |
| 2. Not strongly | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 71.0 | 71.8 | 67.8 | 71.0 | 68.9 | 71.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

ssinv_invln

Label: R lean favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 138-4
Description: Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
Question: Do you lean toward favoring it, lean toward opposing it, or don't you lean either way?
Universe: IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:
Logic: Ask if SSINV SSINVEST = 3 else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with SSINV SSINVEST.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 96 | 291 | 387 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 74 | 157 | 231 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 516 | 861 | 1377 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1237 | 2272 | 3509 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward favoring | 4.7 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.8 |
| 2. Lean toward opposing | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 25.1 | 22.9 | 22.3 | 23.6 | 23.3 | 23.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.2 | 61.9 | 58.9 | 57.2 | 59.3 | 58.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

ssinv_invest_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor Social Security investment in stocks and bonds

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Favor strongly | 517 | 898 | 1415 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 204 | 383 | 587 |
| 3. Lean toward favoring | 96 | 291 | 387 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 516 | 861 | 1377 |
| 5. Lean toward opposing | 74 | 157 | 231 |
| 6. Oppose not strongly | 76 | 181 | 257 |
| 7. Oppose strongly | 394 | 779 | 1173 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 48 | 0 | 48 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 31 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor strongly | 25.2 | 25.9 | 23.3 | 23.8 | 23.9 | 24.5 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 9.9 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 11.4 |
| 3. Lean toward favoring | 4.7 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.8 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 25.1 | 22.9 | 22.3 | 23.6 | 23.3 | 23.4 |
| 5. Lean toward opposing | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| 6. Oppose not strongly | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| 7. Oppose strongly | 19.2 | 18.7 | 20.2 | 16.9 | 19.8 | 17.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

neonull_strule

Label: Favor or oppose state decision whether federal law applies
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 138.4-1
Description: Neo-Nullification
Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose states being able to decide whether federal laws do or do not apply within the state?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor states being able to decide | 781 | 1223 | 2004 |
| 2. Oppose states being able to decide | 765 | 1357 | 2122 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 279 | 973 | 1252 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 98 | 0 | 98 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 28 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor states being able to decide | 38.0 | 37.7 | 31.7 | 32.4 | 33.9 | 34.2 |
| 2. Oppose states being able to decide | 37.2 | 39.6 | 35.2 | 31.6 | 35.9 | 34.4 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 13.6 | 13.1 | 25.2 | 27.3 | 21.2 | 22.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

neonull_strulest

Label: How much favor/opp state decision whether fed law applies
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 138.4-1.5
Description: Neo-Nullification
Question: Do you [favor/ oppose] that strongly or not strongly?
Universe: IF R FAVORS STATES BEING ABLE TO DECIDE WHETHER FEDERAL LAW APPLIES IN THE STATE / IF R OPPOSES STATES BEING ABLE TO DECIDE WHETHER FEDERAL LAW APPLIES IN THE STATE:
Logic: Ask if NEONULL in(1,2) else skip. Load 'favor' if NEONULL STRULE = 1; load 'oppose' if NEONULL STRULE=2.
Web Logic: Online, bank with NEONULL STRULE.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 1088 | 2044 | 3132 |
| 2. Not strongly | 453 | 534 | 987 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 383 | 1001 | 1384 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 53.0 | 53.1 | 53.0 | 49.6 | 53.0 | 50.8 |
| 2. Not strongly | 22.1 | 24.0 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 16.7 | 17.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 18.6 | 17.0 | 25.9 | 28.4 | 23.4 | 24.5 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

neonull_strule_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor state decision when federal law applies to state

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor strongly | 521 | 940 | 1461 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 257 | 282 | 539 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 279 | 973 | 1252 |
| 4. Oppose not strongly | 196 | 252 | 448 |
| 5. Oppose strongly | 567 | 1104 | 1671 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 103 | 0 | 103 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 29 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor strongly | 25.4 | 25.1 | 24.4 | 24.5 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 12.5 | 12.5 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 9.4 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 13.6 | 13.1 | 25.2 | 27.3 | 21.2 | 22.4 |
| 4. Oppose not strongly | 9.5 | 11.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 8.2 |
| 5. Oppose strongly | 27.6 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 25.1 | 28.3 | 26.1 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

pot_legal

Label: Should marijuana be legal
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 138.7-1
 Description: Legalization of marijuana use
 Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the use of marijuana being legal?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 778 | 1342 | 2120 |
| 2. Oppose | 729 | 1255 | 1984 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 398 | 964 | 1362 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 20 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 37.9 | 38.1 | 34.8 | 34.7 | 35.8 | 35.9 |
| 2. Oppose | 35.5 | 35.2 | 32.5 | 32.0 | 33.5 | 33.1 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 19.4 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 24.9 | 23.0 | 23.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

govrole_big

Label: Govt bigger because too involved OR bigger problems
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 139-2
Description: Size of government role
Question: ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR: TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.
Web Logic: Online, display question text as follows: Which of the two statements comes closer to your view? 1. The main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves. 2. Government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger. In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Gov't bigger because it's involved in things people should handle themselves | 724 | 2049 | 2773 |
| 2. Gov't bigger because problems bigger | 1170 | 1497 | 2667 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 35 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Gov't bigger because it's involved in things people should handle themselves | 35.2 | 44.7 | 53.1 | 54.3 | 46.9 | 50.9 |
| 2. Gov't bigger because problems bigger | 57.0 | 48.4 | 38.8 | 37.0 | 45.1 | 41.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

govrole_market

Label: Need strong govt for complex problems OR free market
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 139-4
Description: Size of government role
Question: ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.
Web Logic: Online, display question text as follows: Which of the two statements comes closer to your view? 1. We need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems. 2. The free market can handle these problems without government being involved. In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Need a strong gov't to handle complex economic problems | 1344 | 2094 | 3438 |
| 2. Free market can handle without gov't involvement | 527 | 1449 | 1976 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 38 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Need a strong gov't to handle complex economic problems | 65.4 | 60.2 | 54.2 | 52.5 | 58.1 | 55.2 |
| 2. Free market can handle without gov't involvement | 25.7 | 31.2 | 37.5 | 38.4 | 33.4 | 35.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 |

govrole_lessmore

Label: Less govt better OR more that govt should be doing
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 139-6
Description: Size of government role
Question: ONE, the less government, the better; OR TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?
Web Logic: Online, display question text as follows: Which of the two statements comes closer to your view? 1. The less government, the better. 2. There are more things that government should be doing. In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. The less government the better | 693 | 2003 | 2696 |
| 2. More things government should be doing | 1160 | 1535 | 2695 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 43 | 51 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. The less government the better | 33.7 | 44.4 | 51.9 | 51.5 | 45.6 | 49.0 |
| 2. More things government should be doing | 56.5 | 46.6 | 39.8 | 39.2 | 45.6 | 41.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 |

govrole_regbus

Label: Regulation of Business
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 139-7
Description: Size of government role
Question: How much government regulation of business is good for society?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all /
None at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 241 | 202 | 443 |
| 2. A lot | 251 | 419 | 670 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 1006 | 2089 | 3095 |
| 4. A little | 340 | 715 | 1055 |
| 5. None at all | 44 | 125 | 169 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 31 | 33 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 11.7 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 5.7 |
| 2. A lot | 12.2 | 10.2 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 10.1 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 49.0 | 52.3 | 54.1 | 53.4 | 52.3 | 53.0 |
| 4. A little | 16.6 | 20.6 | 18.5 | 19.4 | 17.8 | 19.8 |
| 5. None at all | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

discuss_disc

Label: Ever discuss politics with family or friends
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 140-1
 Description: Discuss politics with family or friends
 Question: Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | | 1420 | 2515 | 3935 |
| 2. No | | 507 | 1051 | 1558 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | | 0 | 15 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 69.1 | 71.9 | 65.2 | 60.5 | 66.5 | 64.4 |
| 2. No | 24.7 | 22.3 | 27.2 | 31.3 | 26.3 | 28.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

discuss_discpstwk

Label: Days in past week discussed politics
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 140-2
Description: Discuss politics with family or friends
Question: How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you talk about politics with family or friends?
Universe: IF EVER DISCUSSES POLITICS WITH FAMILY AND FRIENDS:
Logic: Ask if DISCUSS DISC=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 0. Zero days | 216 | 420 | 636 |
| 1. One day | 280 | 684 | 964 |
| 2. Two days | 312 | 575 | 887 |
| 3. Three days | 187 | 330 | 517 |
| 4. Four days | 112 | 176 | 288 |
| 5. Five days | 100 | 107 | 207 |
| 6. Six days | 26 | 44 | 70 |
| 7. Seven days | 182 | 175 | 357 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 509 | 1066 | 1575 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Zero days | 10.5 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 10.7 |
| 1. One day | 13.6 | 13.7 | 17.7 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 15.8 |
| 2. Two days | 15.2 | 15.6 | 14.9 | 14.4 | 15.0 | 14.8 |
| 3. Three days | 9.1 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 8.0 |
| 4. Four days | 5.5 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| 5. Five days | 4.9 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| 6. Six days | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 7. Seven days | 8.9 | 10.7 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 24.8 | 22.3 | 27.6 | 32.0 | 26.6 | 28.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

mormon_xn

Label: Mormon a Christian religion
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141-1
 Description: Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism
 Question: Do you think the Mormon religion is Christian, or is it not Christian?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Is Christian | 700 | 1862 | 2562 |
| 2. Not Christian | 831 | 1645 | 2476 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 387 | 0 | 387 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 74 | 85 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Is Christian | 34.1 | 39.0 | 48.2 | 46.7 | 43.3 | 44.0 |
| 2. Not Christian | 40.5 | 38.2 | 42.6 | 43.3 | 41.9 | 41.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 18.8 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 5.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 |

mormon_known

Label: Mormon people you know
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141-2
Description: Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism
Question: How many people do you know personally who are Mormon?
Source: Respondent

40 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 0 | 2876 |
| 1 | 680 |
| 2 | 489 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 3 | 226 |
| 5 | 224 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 4 | 148 |
| -9 | 145 |
| 10 | 145 |

mormon_beliefs

Label: Mormon common beliefs with self
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141-3
Description: Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism
Question: How much does the Mormon religion have in common with your own beliefs? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or nothing at all / nothing at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 38 | 85 | 123 |
| 2. A lot | 67 | 136 | 203 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 279 | 753 | 1032 |
| 4. A little | 489 | 1205 | 1694 |
| 5. Nothing at all | 704 | 1323 | 2027 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 349 | 0 | 349 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 79 | 82 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| 2. A lot | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 13.6 | 17.2 | 19.5 | 18.5 | 17.5 | 18.1 |
| 4. A little | 23.8 | 25.1 | 31.2 | 30.2 | 28.6 | 28.4 |
| 5. Nothing at all | 34.3 | 30.0 | 34.3 | 35.6 | 34.3 | 33.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 17.0 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 5.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 |

factor_rpcrel

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Label: | Pres cand aversion-pref factor: Mitt Romney's Mormon relig |
| Position: | Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-1 |
| Description: | Presidential candidate preference and aversion factors |
| Question: | What about [preload: presfactor1]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference? |
| Randomization: | Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions: 1 = Mitt Romney's Mormon faith 2 = Barack Obama's race 3 = the Affordable Health Care Act, or 'Obamacare' 4 = the economy 5 = foreign policy 6 = Barack Obama's religion 7 = Mitt Romney's wealth 8 = the fact that Obama is a Democrat 9 = the fact that Romney is Republican |
| Universe: | IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: |
| Logic: | Preload variables used in this module are as follows: presfactor1 = Mitt Romney's Mormon faith presfactor2 = Barack Obama's race presfactor3 = the health care reform law of 2010 presfactor4 = the economy presfactor5 = foreign policy presfactor6 = Barack Obama's religion presfactor7 = Mitt Romney's wealth presfactor8 = the fact that Obama is a Democrat presfactor9 = the fact that Romney is a Republican Load presfactor1 in question text. The order in which the Presidential candidate names are read in the question text should be randomized, with value stored in a variable randpfactor; the same ordering of names applies for all preference factor questions in this module. Also: randomize the order of the 9 preference-aversion factors in this module. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'. |
| Source: | Respondent |

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 258 | 549 | 807 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 22 | 203 | 225 |
| 3. Made no difference | 1621 | 2799 | 4420 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 30 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 12.6 | 10.1 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 13.6 | 13.0 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 1.1 | 1.4 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| 3. Made no difference | 78.9 | 81.4 | 72.5 | 70.4 | 74.7 | 74.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

factor_dpcrace

Label: Pres cand aversion-pref factor: Barack Obama's race
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-2
Description: Presidential candidate preference and aversion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor2]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor2 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 192 | 338 | 530 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 29 | 207 | 236 |
| 3. Made no difference | 1700 | 3014 | 4714 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 22 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 9.3 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 7.4 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 1.4 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 4.8 |
| 3. Made no difference | 82.8 | 86.5 | 78.1 | 76.9 | 79.7 | 80.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

factor_ahcare

Label: Pcad factor: the Affordable Health Care Act or 'Obamacare'
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-3
Description: Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor3]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor3 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 746 | 1273 | 2019 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 457 | 1352 | 1809 |
| 3. Made no difference | 689 | 928 | 1617 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 28 | 31 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 36.3 | 26.9 | 33.0 | 29.9 | 34.1 | 28.9 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 22.2 | 34.3 | 35.0 | 36.0 | 30.6 | 35.4 |
| 3. Made no difference | 33.5 | 31.4 | 24.0 | 25.6 | 27.3 | 27.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

factor_econ

Label: Pres cand aversion-pref factor: the economy
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-4
Description: Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor4]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor4 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 923 | 1438 | 2361 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 510 | 1375 | 1885 |
| 3. Made no difference | 481 | 742 | 1223 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 26 | 28 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 44.9 | 36.0 | 37.3 | 34.4 | 39.9 | 35.0 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 24.8 | 36.7 | 35.6 | 36.0 | 31.9 | 36.3 |
| 3. Made no difference | 23.4 | 20.9 | 19.2 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 21.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

factor_fpol

Label: Pres cand aversion-pref factor: foreign policy
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-5
Description: Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor5]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor5 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 689 | 1361 | 2050 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 312 | 1022 | 1334 |
| 3. Made no difference | 886 | 1172 | 2058 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 26 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 33.5 | 28.5 | 35.3 | 32.3 | 34.7 | 31.0 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 15.2 | 23.3 | 26.5 | 26.7 | 22.6 | 25.5 |
| 3. Made no difference | 43.1 | 40.8 | 30.4 | 32.5 | 34.8 | 35.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

factor_dpcrel

Label: Pres cand aversion-preference factor: Dem Pres cand relig
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-6
Description: Presidential candidate preference and aversion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor6]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor6 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 109 | 335 | 444 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 140 | 561 | 701 |
| 3. Made no difference | 1656 | 2659 | 4315 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 26 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 5.3 | 3.8 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 6.8 | 10.4 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 11.9 | 13.8 |
| 3. Made no difference | 80.6 | 79.1 | 68.9 | 67.3 | 73.0 | 71.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

factor_rpcwlth

Label: Pres cand aversion-preference factor: Mitt Romney's wealth
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-7
Description: Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor7]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor7 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 403 | 926 | 1329 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 56 | 198 | 254 |
| 3. Made no difference | 1455 | 2428 | 3883 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 29 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 19.6 | 16.9 | 24.0 | 23.2 | 22.5 | 21.0 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 2.7 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| 3. Made no difference | 70.8 | 73.7 | 62.9 | 62.7 | 65.7 | 66.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

factor_pcdem

Label: Pres cand aver-pref factor: the fact that Obama is Democrat
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-8
Description: Presidential candidate preference and aversion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor8]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor8 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 719 | 1170 | 1889 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 246 | 831 | 1077 |
| 3. Made no difference | 952 | 1555 | 2507 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 25 | 28 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 35.0 | 26.4 | 30.3 | 28.6 | 31.9 | 27.8 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 12.0 | 18.4 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 18.2 | 21.3 |
| 3. Made no difference | 46.3 | 49.0 | 40.3 | 40.2 | 42.4 | 43.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

factor_pcrep

Label: Pres cand aver-pref factor:the fact that Romney is Republican
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-9
Description: Presidential candidate preference and aversion factors
Question: What about [preload: presfactor9]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem pcname] or [preload: rep pcname] / [preload: rep pcname] or [preload: dem pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe: IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic: Load presfactor9 in question text. If POSTVOTE RVOTE=4 or vote pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 622 | 1107 | 1729 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 289 | 907 | 1196 |
| 3. Made no difference | 1001 | 1543 | 2544 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 24 | 27 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <preload: dem_pcname> | 30.3 | 22.5 | 28.7 | 26.5 | 29.2 | 25.1 |
| 2. <preload: rep_pcname> | 14.1 | 21.3 | 23.5 | 24.4 | 20.2 | 23.4 |
| 3. Made no difference | 48.7 | 49.7 | 40.0 | 40.7 | 43.0 | 43.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

ptydiff_diff

Label: Important differences in what major parties stand for
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 142-1
 Description: Comparisons of major parties
 Question: Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, differences | 1495 | 3029 | 4524 |
| 2. No, no differences | 378 | 525 | 903 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 27 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, differences | 72.8 | 72.2 | 78.5 | 75.7 | 76.5 | 74.5 |
| 2. No, no differences | 18.4 | 19.5 | 13.6 | 15.8 | 15.3 | 17.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

ptycons_cons

Label: Is one of the parties more conservative than the other
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 142.7-1
 Description: Comparisons of major parties
 Question: Would you say that one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, one party more conservative | 1622 | 2952 | 4574 |
| 2. No, one party not more conservative | 195 | 579 | 774 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 111 | 0 | 111 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 50 | 51 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, one party more conservative | 79.0 | 80.9 | 76.5 | 73.8 | 77.3 | 76.2 |
| 2. No, one party not more conservative | 9.5 | 9.4 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 13.1 | 14.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

ptycons_ptyconswh

Label: Which is the party that is more conservative
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 142.7-2
 Description: Comparisons of major parties
 Question: Which party is more conservative?
 Universe: IF R SAYS THAT ONE OF THE MAJOR PARTIES IS MORE CONSERVATIVE:
 Logic: Ask if PTYCONS PTYCONS =1 , else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Democrats | 348 | 282 | 630 |
| 2. Republicans | 1236 | 2666 | 3902 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 307 | 629 | 936 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 4 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democrats | 16.9 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 10.7 | 8.8 |
| 2. Republicans | 60.2 | 66.8 | 69.1 | 66.6 | 66.0 | 66.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 14.9 | 13.4 | 16.3 | 18.7 | 15.8 | 16.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

tarp_favopp

Label: Does R favor or oppose the TARP program
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 143-1
 Description: TARP
 Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the Troubled Asset Relief Program of 2008, or TARP, also known as the Wall Street bailout?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 339 | 562 | 901 |
| 2. Oppose | 721 | 1496 | 2217 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 584 | 1484 | 2068 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 278 | 0 | 278 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 39 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 16.5 | 17.5 | 14.6 | 12.0 | 15.2 | 13.9 |
| 2. Oppose | 35.1 | 40.2 | 38.8 | 39.0 | 37.5 | 39.4 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 28.4 | 25.2 | 38.4 | 40.0 | 35.0 | 34.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 13.5 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 3.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

tarp_favoppst

Label: How much does R favor or oppose the TARP program
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 143-2
Description: TARP
Question: Do you [favor/ oppose] the TARP program strongly or not strongly?
Universe: IF R FAVORS THE 2008 TARP PROGRAM / IF R OPPOSES THE 2008 TARP PROGRAM:
Logic: Ask if TARP FAVTARP in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if TARP FAV-TARP=1; load 'oppose' if TARP FAVTARP=2.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 685 | 1268 | 1953 |
| 2. Not strongly | 370 | 787 | 1157 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 869 | 1523 | 2392 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 33.3 | 37.6 | 32.8 | 30.8 | 33.0 | 33.2 |
| 2. Not strongly | 18.0 | 19.9 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 19.6 | 20.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 42.3 | 36.6 | 39.5 | 41.5 | 40.4 | 39.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

tarp_favopp_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor the TARP program

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor strongly | 169 | 242 | 411 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 167 | 320 | 487 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 584 | 1484 | 2068 |
| 4. Oppose not strongly | 203 | 467 | 670 |
| 5. Oppose strongly | 516 | 1026 | 1542 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 283 | 0 | 283 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 42 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor strongly | 8.2 | 8.6 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 6.1 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 8.1 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 7.8 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 28.4 | 25.2 | 38.4 | 40.0 | 35.0 | 34.9 |
| 4. Oppose not strongly | 9.9 | 11.1 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 12.2 |
| 5. Oppose strongly | 25.1 | 29.0 | 26.6 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 27.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 13.8 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 3.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

scourt_elim

Label: Do away with the Supreme Court
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 144-1
 Description: Supreme Court
 Question: If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose doing away with the Supreme Court altogether?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | | 356 | 457 | 813 |
| 2. Oppose | | 915 | 1688 | 2603 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | | 560 | 1401 | 1961 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | | 94 | 0 | 94 |
| -9. Refused | | 4 | 35 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 17.3 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 13.7 | 14.1 |
| 2. Oppose | 44.5 | 48.3 | 43.7 | 40.6 | 44.0 | 43.3 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 27.3 | 25.1 | 36.3 | 38.5 | 33.2 | 33.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

scourt_elimstr

Label: Do away with court - strength
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 144-1.1
Description: Supreme Court
Question: Do you [favor/oppose] that strongly or not strongly?
Universe: IF R FAVORS REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE/ IF R OPPOSES REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE:
Logic: Ask if SCOURT ELIM in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if SCOURT ELIM=1; load 'oppose' if SCOURT ELIM=2.
Web Logic: Online, bank with SCOURT ELIM.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 935 | 1656 | 2591 |
| 2. Not strongly | 332 | 488 | 820 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 658 | 1436 | 2094 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 45.5 | 49.3 | 42.9 | 39.2 | 43.8 | 42.7 |
| 2. Not strongly | 16.2 | 16.8 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 14.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 32.0 | 28.1 | 37.2 | 39.8 | 35.4 | 35.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

scourt_elimIn

Label: Do away with court - lean
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 144-1.2
Description: Supreme Court
Question: If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, would you lean toward doing away with the Supreme Court altogether, lean against doing away with it, or not lean either way?
Universe: IF R DOES NOT FAVOR NOR OPPOSE REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE:
Logic: Ask if SCOURT ELIM =3, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward | 60 | 147 | 207 |
| 2. Lean against | 69 | 194 | 263 |
| 3. Not lean either way | 422 | 1058 | 1480 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1369 | 2180 | 3549 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| 2. Lean against | 3.4 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| 3. Not lean either way | 20.5 | 18.0 | 27.4 | 29.4 | 25.0 | 25.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 66.7 | 69.1 | 56.5 | 53.9 | 60.0 | 59.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

scourt_elim_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Should the Supreme Court be done away with

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor strongly | 226 | 304 | 530 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 129 | 153 | 282 |
| 3. Lean toward | 60 | 147 | 207 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 422 | 1058 | 1480 |
| 5. Lean against | 69 | 194 | 263 |
| 6. Oppose not strongly | 203 | 335 | 538 |
| 7. Oppose strongly | 709 | 1352 | 2061 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 107 | 0 | 107 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 37 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor strongly | 11.0 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 8.7 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 6.3 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| 3. Lean toward | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 20.5 | 18.0 | 27.4 | 29.4 | 25.0 | 25.4 |
| 5. Lean against | 3.4 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| 6. Oppose not strongly | 9.9 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.2 |
| 7. Oppose strongly | 34.5 | 38.5 | 35.0 | 31.5 | 34.8 | 34.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

scourt_remove

Label: Remove Supreme Court Judges
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 144-2
 Description: Supreme Court
 Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose removing judges from the U.S. Supreme Court if those judges consistently make decisions that a majority of Americans oppose?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 1105 | 1347 | 2452 |
| 2. Oppose | 478 | 995 | 1473 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 281 | 1197 | 1478 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 42 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 53.8 | 53.6 | 34.9 | 36.3 | 41.5 | 42.3 |
| 2. Oppose | 23.3 | 26.4 | 25.8 | 22.3 | 24.9 | 23.7 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 13.7 | 12.2 | 31.0 | 32.3 | 25.0 | 25.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

scourt_removestr

Label: Remove Supreme Court Judges -- strength
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 144-2.1
Description: Supreme Court
Question: Do you [favor/oppose] that strongly or not strongly?
Universe: IF R FAVORS REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE/ IF R OPPOSES REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE:
Logic: Ask if SCOURT ELIMJDG in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if SCOURT ELIMJDG=1; load 'oppose' if SCOURT ELIMJDG=2.
Web Logic: Online, bank with SCOURT ELIMJDG.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 1197 | 1686 | 2883 |
| 2. Not strongly | 381 | 620 | 1001 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 346 | 1234 | 1580 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 26 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 58.3 | 60.2 | 43.7 | 40.8 | 48.7 | 47.5 |
| 2. Not strongly | 18.5 | 19.4 | 16.1 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 17.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 16.8 | 14.3 | 32.0 | 33.7 | 26.7 | 27.0 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

scourt_removeIn

Label: Remove Supreme Court Judges - lean
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 144-2.2
Description: Supreme Court
Question: If U.S. Supreme Court judges consistently make decisions that a majority of Americans oppose, would you lean toward removing those judges from office, lean against removing those judges, not lean either way?
Universe: IF R DOES NOT FAVOR NOR OPPOSE REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE:
Logic: Ask if SCOURT ELIMJDG =3, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Lean toward removing judges | 49 | 171 | 220 |
| 2. Lean against removing judges | 22 | 133 | 155 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 205 | 889 | 1094 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1648 | 2384 | 4032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Lean toward removing judges | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 2. Lean against removing judges | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 3. Do not lean either way | 10.0 | 8.9 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 18.5 | 18.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.2 | 82.1 | 61.8 | 60.1 | 68.2 | 67.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

scourt_remove_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Should it be possible to remove Supreme Ct judges

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Favor strongly | 841 | 915 | 1756 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 260 | 406 | 666 |
| 3. Lean toward | 49 | 171 | 220 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 205 | 889 | 1094 |
| 5. Lean against | 22 | 133 | 155 |
| 6. Oppose not strongly | 121 | 212 | 333 |
| 7. Oppose strongly | 356 | 768 | 1124 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 72 | 79 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor strongly | 40.9 | 40.3 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 29.7 | 29.6 |
| 2. Favor not strongly | 12.7 | 13.0 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 12.0 |
| 3. Lean toward | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 4. Do not lean either way | 10.0 | 8.9 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 18.5 | 18.7 |
| 5. Lean against | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 6. Oppose not strongly | 5.9 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| 7. Oppose strongly | 17.3 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 16.7 | 19.0 | 17.9 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 |

involv_communwk

Label: Has R done community work in past 12 months
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 145-1
 Description: Community involvement
 Question: During the PAST 12 MONTHS, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 564 | 1144 | 1708 |
| 2. No | 1356 | 2437 | 3793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 27.5 | 30.9 | 29.6 | 28.2 | 28.9 | 29.2 |
| 2. No | 66.0 | 63.0 | 63.1 | 64.2 | 64.1 | 63.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

involv_govoffic

Label: Has R contacted official to express view in past 12 mo
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 145-2
 Description: Community involvement
 Question: During the PAST 12 MONTHS, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 260 | 914 | 1174 |
| 2. No | 1666 | 2667 | 4333 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 12.7 | 15.2 | 23.7 | 21.1 | 19.9 | 19.1 |
| 2. No | 81.1 | 78.9 | 69.1 | 71.3 | 73.3 | 74.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

involv_commtg

Label: Did R attend meeting on school/community issue past 12 mo
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 145-3
 Description: Community involvement
 Question: During the PAST 12 MONTHS, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 444 | 945 | 1389 |
| 2. No | 1484 | 2635 | 4119 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 21.6 | 22.1 | 24.5 | 23.2 | 23.5 | 22.8 |
| 2. No | 72.2 | 72.1 | 68.3 | 69.1 | 69.6 | 70.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

involv_numorgs

Label: Number of organizations in which R is a member
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 145-5
Description: Community involvement
Question: How many organizations are you currently a member of?
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item, INVOLV INVLVINT.
Source: Respondent

22 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 0 | 2788 |
| 1 | 1296 |
| 2 | 779 |
| 3 | 334 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 4 | 148 |
| 5 | 86 |
| 6 | 29 |
| 10 | 13 |

involv_votr

Label: Has R done any volunteer work in past 12 months
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 145-6
 Description: Community involvement
 Question: Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?
 Web Logic: Online, display response option 1 as 'Did volunteer work in past 12 months' and display option 2 as 'Did not do so'
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 828 | 1620 | 2448 |
| 2. No | 1097 | 1959 | 3056 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 40.3 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 39.2 | 41.4 | 40.3 |
| 2. No | 53.4 | 51.7 | 50.8 | 53.2 | 51.7 | 52.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

involv_charity

Label: Has R contributed to church or charity in past 12 mo
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 145-7
 Description: Community involvement
 Question: Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1336 | 2609 | 3945 |
| 2. No | 590 | 969 | 1559 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 65.0 | 69.4 | 67.6 | 63.5 | 66.7 | 65.5 |
| 2. No | 28.7 | 24.8 | 25.1 | 28.8 | 26.4 | 27.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

link_black

Label: Black R: life be affected by what happens to blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-1
Description: Group links
Question: Do you think that what happens generally to BLACK PEOPLE in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Universe: IF R MENTIONS BLACK AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION
Logic: Ask if 2 (black or African-American) is any MENTION in DEM CP-SRACE , else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 321 | 356 | 677 |
| 2. No | 197 | 163 | 360 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1385 | 3062 | 4447 |
| -2. Missing; R gave black identification in text of Other Specify for race | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 15.6 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 11.4 | 7.6 |
| 2. No | 9.6 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 4.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 67.4 | 81.2 | 79.3 | 80.9 | 75.2 | 81.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

link_blackamt

Label: Black R: how much life affected by what happens to blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-2
Description: Group links
Question: Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe: IF R MENTIONS BLACK AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICAITON: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO BLACK PEOPLE WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic: Ask if LINK LNKBLACK =1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 130 | 123 | 253 |
| 2. Some | 155 | 203 | 358 |
| 3. Not very much | 34 | 30 | 64 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1608 | 3225 | 4833 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 6.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 2.7 |
| 2. Some | 7.5 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 4.3 |
| 3. Not very much | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 78.3 | 86.7 | 83.5 | 84.9 | 81.7 | 85.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

link_hisp

Label: Hisp R: life be affected by what happens to Hispanics
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-3
Description: Group links
Question: Do you think that what happens generally to HISPANIC PEOPLE in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 230 | 228 | 458 |
| 2. No | 180 | 254 | 434 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 11.2 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 7.7 | 4.9 |
| 2. No | 8.8 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 4.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

link_hispamt

Label: Hisp R: how much life affected by what happens to Hisps
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-4
Description: Group links
Question: Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO HISPANICS WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic: Ask if LINK LNKHISP =1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 90 | 37 | 127 |
| 2. Some | 117 | 148 | 265 |
| 3. Not very much | 22 | 43 | 65 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1699 | 3353 | 5052 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 4.4 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| 2. Some | 5.7 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 2.9 |
| 3. Not very much | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 82.7 | 88.9 | 86.9 | 87.7 | 85.4 | 88.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

link_white

Label: White R: life be affected by what happens to whites
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-7
Description: Group links
Question: Do you think what happens generally to WHITE people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Universe: IF R MENTIONS WHITE AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic: Ask if 1 (white) is any MENTION in DEM CPSRACE , else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 605 | 1804 | 2409 |
| 2. | No | 456 | 1015 | 1471 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 717 | 749 | 1466 |
| -2. | Missing; R gave white identification in text of Other Specify for race | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -6. | Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. | Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. | Don't know | 133 | 0 | 133 |
| -9. | Refused | 16 | 13 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 29.5 | 39.9 | 46.7 | 46.8 | 40.7 | 44.4 |
| 2. No | 22.2 | 27.3 | 26.3 | 26.8 | 24.9 | 26.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 34.9 | 17.6 | 19.4 | 18.5 | 24.8 | 18.2 |
| -2. Missing; R gave white identification in text of Other Specify for race | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

link_whitemt

Label: White R: how much life affected by what happens to whites
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-8
Description: Group links
Question: Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe: IF R MENTIONS WHITE AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO WHITES WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic: Ask if LINK LNKWHITE =1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 178 | 409 | 587 |
| 2. Some | 322 | 1141 | 1463 |
| 3. Not very much | 88 | 252 | 340 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1324 | 1777 | 3101 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 8.7 | 11.6 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 10.7 |
| 2. Some | 15.7 | 21.6 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 24.7 | 26.9 |
| 3. Not very much | 4.3 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.5 | 54.3 | 46.0 | 45.7 | 52.4 | 48.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

link_wom

Label: Female R: life be affected by what happens to women
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-8.3
 Description: Group links
 Question: Do you think that what happens generally to WOMEN in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
 Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS FEMALE IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
 Logic: Ask if GEND GENDOBS=2 or SELFGEND RGENDSELF=2, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 683 | 1178 | 1861 |
| 2. No | 353 | 549 | 902 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 828 | 1847 | 2675 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 7 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 33.3 | 29.5 | 30.5 | 32.0 | 31.5 | 31.2 |
| 2. No | 17.2 | 16.6 | 14.2 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 15.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 40.3 | 45.4 | 47.8 | 44.8 | 45.2 | 45.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

link_womamt

Label: Female R: how much life affected by what happens to women
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-8.7
Description: Group links
Question: Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS FEMALE IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO WOMEN WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic: Ask if LINK LNKWOM =1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 285 | 364 | 649 |
| 2. Some | 338 | 666 | 1004 |
| 3. Not very much | 54 | 147 | 201 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1246 | 2403 | 3649 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 13.9 | 12.2 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 10.8 |
| 2. Some | 16.5 | 15.7 | 17.3 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.2 |
| 3. Not very much | 2.6 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 60.7 | 64.7 | 62.3 | 60.4 | 61.7 | 61.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

link_oth

Label: Life affected by what happens to other people
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-9
 Description: Group links
 Question: Do you think what happens generally to OTHER people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1147 | 2634 | 3781 | |
| 2. No | 656 | 940 | 1596 | |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 | |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 | |
| -8. Don't know | 116 | 0 | 116 | |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 7 | 17 | |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 55.8 | 60.8 | 68.2 | 66.0 | 63.9 | 64.2 |
| 2. No | 31.9 | 27.5 | 24.4 | 26.3 | 27.0 | 26.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

link_othamt

Label: How much life affected by what happens to others
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 146-10
Description: Group links
Question: Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO OTHERS WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic: Ask if LINKLINKOTH =1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 281 | 544 | 825 |
| 2. Some | 704 | 1804 | 2508 |
| 3. Not very much | 140 | 284 | 424 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 782 | 947 | 1729 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 13.7 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 13.4 |
| 2. Some | 34.3 | 38.1 | 46.7 | 45.5 | 42.4 | 43.0 |
| 3. Not very much | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 38.1 | 33.5 | 24.5 | 26.5 | 29.2 | 28.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

trad_adjust

Label: Agree/disagree: world is changing and we should adjust
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 148-2
Description: Moral traditionalism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 406 | 269 | 675 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 667 | 992 | 1659 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 195 | 542 | 737 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 284 | 820 | 1104 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 368 | 954 | 1322 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 19.8 | 15.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 11.4 | 9.8 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 32.5 | 30.9 | 25.7 | 26.1 | 28.1 | 27.8 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 9.5 | 9.2 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 12.5 | 12.9 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 13.8 | 15.2 | 21.2 | 20.7 | 18.7 | 18.8 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 17.9 | 23.5 | 24.7 | 23.5 | 22.4 | 23.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

trad_lifestyle

Label: Agree/disagree: newer lifestyles breaking down society
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 148-3
Description: Moral traditionalism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 494 | 884 | 1378 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 664 | 1246 | 1910 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 345 | 608 | 953 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 257 | 535 | 792 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 154 | 305 | 459 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 24.1 | 24.2 | 22.9 | 22.2 | 23.3 | 22.9 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 32.3 | 31.6 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 32.3 | 31.8 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 16.8 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 12.5 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 13.7 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 7.5 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 8.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

trad_tolerant

Label: Agree/disagree: be more tolerant of other moral stds
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 148-4
Description: Moral traditionalism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 546 | 584 | 1130 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 752 | 1284 | 2036 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 283 | 805 | 1088 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 234 | 625 | 859 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 103 | 279 | 382 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 4 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 26.6 | 22.7 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 19.1 | 17.5 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 36.6 | 37.5 | 33.3 | 32.3 | 34.4 | 34.1 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 13.8 | 13.2 | 20.9 | 22.0 | 18.4 | 19.0 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 11.4 | 14.4 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 14.5 | 15.7 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 5.0 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

trad_famval

Label: Agree/disagree: more emphasis on traditional family values
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 148-5
Description: Moral traditionalism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 762 | 1228 | 1990 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 609 | 1079 | 1688 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 299 | 653 | 952 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 152 | 391 | 543 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 92 | 225 | 317 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 5 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 37.1 | 39.1 | 31.8 | 30.6 | 33.6 | 33.5 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 29.6 | 29.2 | 28.0 | 28.3 | 28.5 | 28.6 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 14.6 | 13.2 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 7.4 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 8.7 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 4.5 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

resent_workway

Label: Agree/disagree: blacks shd work way up w/o special favors
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 149-2
Description: Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 729 | 1107 | 1836 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 504 | 1108 | 1612 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 275 | 698 | 973 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 225 | 464 | 689 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 176 | 199 | 375 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -9. Refused | 11 | 5 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 35.5 | 38.2 | 28.7 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 32.9 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 24.5 | 24.9 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 27.3 | 27.6 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 13.4 | 12.9 | 18.1 | 18.9 | 16.5 | 16.9 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 11.0 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 11.7 | 10.0 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 8.6 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 5.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

resent_slavery

Label: Agree/disagree: past slavery make more diff for blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 149-3
Description: Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 328 | 301 | 629 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 581 | 910 | 1491 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 223 | 568 | 791 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 375 | 948 | 1323 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 401 | 850 | 1251 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 4 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 16.0 | 11.9 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 8.5 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 28.3 | 30.4 | 23.6 | 20.6 | 25.2 | 24.0 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 10.9 | 9.8 | 14.7 | 16.0 | 13.4 | 13.9 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 18.3 | 18.9 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 22.4 | 23.0 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 19.5 | 22.6 | 22.0 | 23.7 | 21.2 | 23.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

resent_deserve

Label: Agree/disagree: blacks have gotten less than deserve
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 149-4
Description: Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 225 | 169 | 394 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 314 | 467 | 781 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 401 | 950 | 1351 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 469 | 990 | 1459 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 485 | 999 | 1484 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 5 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 11.0 | 6.5 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 4.9 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 15.3 | 13.9 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 11.9 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 19.5 | 20.0 | 24.6 | 25.1 | 22.8 | 23.3 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 22.8 | 25.1 | 25.6 | 25.9 | 24.7 | 25.6 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 23.6 | 27.6 | 25.9 | 26.4 | 25.1 | 26.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

resent_try

Label: Agree/disagree: blacks must try harder to get ahead
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 149-5
Description: Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 502 | 649 | 1151 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 494 | 1082 | 1576 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 335 | 866 | 1201 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 307 | 671 | 978 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 270 | 308 | 578 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 5 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 24.4 | 23.4 | 16.8 | 18.2 | 19.5 | 20.0 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 24.1 | 24.6 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 26.6 | 27.2 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 16.3 | 19.3 | 22.4 | 23.6 | 20.3 | 22.1 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 14.9 | 14.7 | 17.4 | 15.3 | 16.5 | 15.1 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 13.1 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 8.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

trustgvpocrook

Label: How many in government are crooked
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 150-1
Description: Trust in government
Question: Do you think that [QUITE A FEW of the people running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think HARDLY ANY of them are crooked / HARDLY ANY of the people running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think QUITE A FEW of them are crooked]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Quite a few | 1217 | 2254 | 3471 |
| 2. Not very many | 518 | 1179 | 1697 |
| 3. Hardly any | 126 | 137 | 263 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 67 | 0 | 67 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 11 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Quite a few | 59.3 | 60.6 | 58.4 | 59.4 | 58.7 | 59.8 |
| 2. Not very many | 25.2 | 26.1 | 30.5 | 29.7 | 28.7 | 28.4 |
| 3. Hardly any | 6.1 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

efficpo_complcstd

Label: [STD] Politics/govt too complicated to understand
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-1
Description: Efficacy - Post
Question: Please look at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] of the booklet. I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them. 'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h. (Note: the standard version is asked in EFFICPO if R was asked the revised version in the Pre, thus the setting of splice revstd is 'backward' for module EFFICPO.)
Web Logic: Online, display question text as follows: Now we will show you a few statements about public life. We'll show them one at a time. Please tell us how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them. 'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 295 | 233 | 528 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 376 | 713 | 1089 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 80 | 267 | 347 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 127 | 354 | 481 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 64 | 263 | 327 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 984 | 1748 | 2732 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 14.4 | 13.5 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 8.9 | 8.8 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 18.3 | 19.3 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 18.4 | 19.3 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 3.9 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 6.2 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 7.9 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 3.1 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.0 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.9 | 47.5 | 45.3 | 45.5 | 46.2 | 46.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_undstd

Label: [STD] Good understanding of political issues
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-2
 Description: Efficacy - Post
 Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
 Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
 Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 192 | 394 | 586 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 513 | 906 | 1419 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 90 | 330 | 420 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 112 | 163 | 275 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 32 | 37 | 69 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 984 | 1748 | 2732 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 9.3 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 9.5 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 25.0 | 25.6 | 23.5 | 22.6 | 24.0 | 23.6 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 4.4 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 7.7 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 5.5 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.9 | 47.5 | 45.3 | 45.5 | 46.2 | 46.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_carestd

Label: [STD] Publ officials don't care what people think
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-3
Description: Efficacy - Post
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 226 | 417 | 643 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 365 | 779 | 1144 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 138 | 330 | 468 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 176 | 273 | 449 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 37 | 30 | 67 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 984 | 1748 | 2732 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 11.0 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.6 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 17.8 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 19.3 | 20.3 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 6.7 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 8.6 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 7.1 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.9 | 47.5 | 45.3 | 45.5 | 46.2 | 46.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_saystd

Label: [STD] Have no say about what govt does
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-4
 Description: Efficacy - Post
 Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
 Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
 Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
 Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 175 | 285 | 460 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 256 | 657 | 913 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 107 | 295 | 402 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 286 | 488 | 774 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 117 | 105 | 222 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 984 | 1748 | 2732 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 8.5 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 8.1 |
| 2. Agree somewhat | 12.5 | 14.5 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 15.4 | 16.2 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 5.2 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| 4. Disagree somewhat | 13.9 | 14.9 | 12.6 | 11.4 | 13.1 | 12.6 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 5.7 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 47.9 | 47.5 | 45.3 | 45.5 | 46.2 | 46.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_complcrev

Label: [REV] Politics/govt too complicatd to understand
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-5
Description: Efficacy - Post
Question: How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip. (Note: the revised version is asked in EFFICPO if R was asked the standard version in the Pre, thus the setting of splice revstd is 'backward' for module EFFICPO.)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 87 | 81 | 168 |
| 2. Most of the time | 273 | 408 | 681 |
| 3. About half the time | 231 | 471 | 702 |
| 4. Some of the time | 323 | 643 | 966 |
| 5. Never | 63 | 142 | 205 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 945 | 1833 | 2778 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 4.2 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 2. Most of the time | 13.3 | 12.7 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.7 |
| 3. About half the time | 11.2 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 12.1 |
| 4. Some of the time | 15.7 | 15.1 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 15.5 |
| 5. Never | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 46.0 | 46.8 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 46.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_undrev

Label: [REV] Good understanding of political issues
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-6
Description: Efficacy - Post
Question: How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL / NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 47 | 146 | 193 |
| 2. Very well | 166 | 406 | 572 |
| 3. Moderately well | 448 | 812 | 1260 |
| 4. Slightly well | 244 | 299 | 543 |
| 5. Not well at all | 75 | 83 | 158 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 945 | 1833 | 2778 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 2.3 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 2. Very well | 8.1 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 8.6 |
| 3. Moderately well | 21.8 | 22.4 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.3 | 21.9 |
| 4. Slightly well | 11.9 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| 5. Not well at all | 3.7 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 46.0 | 46.8 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 46.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_carerev

Label: [REV] Publ officials don't care what peopl think
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-7
Description: Efficacy - Post
Question: How much do public officials care what people like you think? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL/ NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 43 | 28 | 71 |
| 2. A lot | 53 | 96 | 149 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 369 | 544 | 913 |
| 4. A little | 372 | 770 | 1142 |
| 5. Not at all | 138 | 308 | 446 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 945 | 1833 | 2778 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 2. A lot | 2.6 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 18.0 | 18.3 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 15.4 | 15.3 |
| 4. A little | 18.1 | 17.3 | 19.9 | 20.7 | 19.3 | 19.5 |
| 5. Not at all | 6.7 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 46.0 | 46.8 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 46.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_sayrev

Label: [REV] Have no say about what govt does
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-8
Description: Efficacy - Post
Question: How much can people like you affect what the government does?
[A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe: IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic: Ask if variable splice revstd=2, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 97 | 81 | 178 |
| 2. A lot | 128 | 176 | 304 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 261 | 530 | 791 |
| 4. A little | 356 | 718 | 1074 |
| 5. Not at all | 129 | 242 | 371 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 945 | 1833 | 2778 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| | | | |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 1 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 4.7 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| 2. A lot | 6.2 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 4.7 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 12.7 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 13.6 |
| 4. A little | 17.3 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 18.7 | 18.2 | 18.6 |
| 5. Not at all | 6.3 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 46.0 | 46.8 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 46.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

efficpo_bothside

Label: How often see both disagreeing parties as right
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 150.7-10
Description: Efficacy - Post
Question: Of the situations when you see two people disagreeing with one another, in how many of them can you see how both people could be right? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 67 | 34 | 101 |
| 2. Most of the time | 417 | 678 | 1095 |
| 3. About half the time | 545 | 1293 | 1838 |
| 4. Some of the time | 801 | 1479 | 2280 |
| 5. Never | 73 | 91 | 164 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 6 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 3.3 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 2. Most of the time | 20.3 | 21.9 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 19.0 |
| 3. About half the time | 26.5 | 26.0 | 33.5 | 34.1 | 31.1 | 31.2 |
| 4. Some of the time | 39.0 | 39.9 | 38.3 | 36.8 | 38.6 | 37.9 |
| 5. Never | 3.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

electintpo_countfair

Label: Electoral integrity Post: are votes counted fairly
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-1
Description: Electoral Integrity WVS Post
Question: In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections? [Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?
Votes are counted fairly
Web Logic: Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very often | 675 | 1112 | 1787 |
| 2. Fairly often | 878 | 1793 | 2671 |
| 3. Not often | 219 | 504 | 723 |
| 4. Not at all often | 108 | 160 | 268 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 46 | 0 | 46 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 12 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very often | 32.9 | 36.7 | 28.8 | 26.4 | 30.2 | 30.0 |
| 2. Fairly often | 42.7 | 40.5 | 46.5 | 46.9 | 45.2 | 44.7 |
| 3. Not often | 10.7 | 9.4 | 13.1 | 14.3 | 12.2 | 12.6 |
| 4. Not at all often | 5.3 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

electintpo_jrnlfair

Label: Electoral integrity Post: is journalist coverage fair
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-2
Description: Electoral Integrity WVS Post
Question: (In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)
Journalists provide fair coverage of elections
Web Logic: Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very often | 307 | 277 | 584 |
| 2. Fairly often | 828 | 1392 | 2220 |
| 3. Not often | 493 | 1260 | 1753 |
| 4. Not at all often | 245 | 645 | 890 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 7 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very often | 14.9 | 13.0 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 9.9 | 8.7 |
| 2. Fairly often | 40.3 | 37.1 | 36.1 | 36.0 | 37.5 | 36.4 |
| 3. Not often | 24.0 | 27.1 | 32.6 | 33.3 | 29.6 | 31.1 |
| 4. Not at all often | 11.9 | 14.8 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 15.0 | 15.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

electintpo_elecoffair

Label: Electoral integrity Post: are election officials fair
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-3
Description: Electoral Integrity WVS Post
Question: (In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)
Election officials are fair
Web Logic: Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very often | 402 | 697 | 1099 |
| 2. Fairly often | 992 | 1906 | 2898 |
| 3. Not often | 316 | 788 | 1104 |
| 4. Not at all often | 129 | 179 | 308 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 88 | 0 | 88 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 11 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very often | 19.6 | 23.1 | 18.1 | 16.7 | 18.6 | 18.9 |
| 2. Fairly often | 48.3 | 47.1 | 49.4 | 49.1 | 49.0 | 48.4 |
| 3. Not often | 15.4 | 14.7 | 20.4 | 21.2 | 18.7 | 18.9 |
| 4. Not at all often | 6.3 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

electintpo_richbuy

Label: Electoral integrity Post: do the rich buy elections
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-4
Description: Electoral Integrity WVS Post
Question: (In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)
Rich people buy elections
Web Logic: Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very often | 544 | 832 | 1376 |
| 2. Fairly often | 704 | 1504 | 2208 |
| 3. Not often | 361 | 991 | 1352 |
| 4. Not at all often | 178 | 242 | 420 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 136 | 0 | 136 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 12 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very often | 26.5 | 23.7 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 23.3 | 22.4 |
| 2. Fairly often | 34.3 | 36.2 | 39.0 | 39.6 | 37.3 | 38.4 |
| 3. Not often | 17.6 | 19.7 | 25.7 | 25.0 | 22.9 | 23.2 |
| 4. Not at all often | 8.7 | 9.0 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 6.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 6.6 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

electintpo_votechc

Label: Electoral integrity Post: do voters have a genuine choice
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-5
Description: Electoral Integrity WVS Post
Question: (In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)
Voters are offered a genuine choice at the ballot box
Web Logic: Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very often | 691 | 718 | 1409 |
| 2. Fairly often | 818 | 1550 | 2368 |
| 3. Not often | 273 | 1050 | 1323 |
| 4. Not at all often | 83 | 250 | 333 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 61 | 0 | 61 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 13 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very often | 33.6 | 32.5 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 23.8 | 24.0 |
| 2. Fairly often | 39.8 | 41.4 | 40.2 | 39.0 | 40.0 | 39.9 |
| 3. Not often | 13.3 | 14.6 | 27.2 | 26.9 | 22.4 | 22.6 |
| 4. Not at all often | 4.0 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

women_bond

Label: Easier or harder for working mother to bond with child
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152-2
Description: Working women
Question: Now for some other questions. Do you think it is easier, harder, or neither easier nor harder for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children than it is for mothers who stay at home?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Easier | 81 | 78 | 159 |
| 2. Harder | 1302 | 2047 | 3349 |
| 3. Neither easier nor harder | 522 | 1452 | 1974 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 4 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Easier | 3.9 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
| 2. Harder | 63.4 | 64.9 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 56.6 | 57.1 |
| 3. Neither easier nor harder | 25.4 | 25.6 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 33.4 | 33.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

women_bondea

Label: How much easier for working mother to bond with child
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152-2.2
 Description: Working women
 Question: Is it [a great deal easier, somewhat easier, or slightly easier / slightly easier, somewhat easier, or a great deal easier] for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children ?
 Universe: IF R SAYS IT IS EASIER FOR WORKING MOTHERS TO ESTABLISH RELATIONSHIP WITH CHILDREN:
 Logic: Ask if WOMEN WORKMOTH =1, else skip.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 33 | 28 | 61 |
| 2. Somewhat | 27 | 41 | 68 |
| 3. Slightly | 21 | 9 | 30 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1848 | 3503 | 5351 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 2. Somewhat | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 3. Slightly | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 90.0 | 91.5 | 90.8 | 90.4 | 90.5 | 90.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

women_bondha

Label: How much harder for working mother to bond with child
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152-2.3
Description: Working women
Question: Is it [a great deal harder, somewhat harder, or slightly harder / slightly harder, somewhat harder, or a great deal harder] for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children?
Universe: IF R SAYS IT IS HARDER FOR WORKING MOTHERS TO ESTABLISH RELATIONSHIP WITH CHILDREN:
Logic: Ask if WOMEN WORKMOTH =2, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 542 | 559 | 1101 |
| 2. Somewhat | 529 | 1229 | 1758 |
| 3. Slightly | 227 | 258 | 485 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 627 | 1534 | 2161 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 26.4 | 26.1 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 18.6 | 18.5 |
| 2. Somewhat | 25.8 | 27.4 | 31.8 | 31.1 | 29.7 | 29.8 |
| 3. Slightly | 11.1 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 30.5 | 29.4 | 39.7 | 39.5 | 36.5 | 36.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

women_bond_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Working mother's bond with child

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal easier | 33 | 28 | 61 |
| 2. Somewhat easier | 27 | 41 | 68 |
| 3. Slightly easier | 21 | 9 | 30 |
| 4. Neither easier nor harder | 522 | 1452 | 1974 |
| 5. Slightly harder | 227 | 258 | 485 |
| 6. Somewhat harder | 529 | 1229 | 1758 |
| 7. A great deal harder | 542 | 559 | 1101 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 5 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal easier | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 2. Somewhat easier | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 3. Slightly easier | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 4. Neither easier nor harder | 25.4 | 25.6 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 33.4 | 33.2 |
| 5. Slightly harder | 11.1 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.7 |
| 6. Somewhat harder | 25.8 | 27.4 | 31.8 | 31.1 | 29.7 | 29.8 |
| 7. A great deal harder | 26.4 | 26.1 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 18.6 | 18.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

women_works

Label: Better if man works and woman takes care of home
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152-3
 Description: Working women
 Question: Do you think it is better, worse, or makes no difference for the family as a whole if the man works outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Better | 864 | 1679 | 2543 |
| 2. Worse | 66 | 156 | 222 |
| 3. Makes no Difference | 960 | 1735 | 2695 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 11 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Better | 42.1 | 42.4 | 43.5 | 42.6 | 43.0 | 42.5 |
| 2. Worse | 3.2 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 3. Makes no Difference | 46.7 | 47.1 | 44.9 | 45.3 | 45.6 | 45.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

women_worksbetter

Label: How much better if man works and woman at home
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152-3.2
Description: Working women
Question: Is it [much better, somewhat better, or slightly better / slightly better, somewhat better or much better]?
Universe: IF R SAYS IT IS BETTER FOR THE MAN TO WORK AND THE WOMAN TO STAY AT HOME:
Logic: Ask if WOMEN WKWIFE=1, else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with WOMEN WKWIFE.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 491 | 893 | 1384 |
| 2. Somewhat | 261 | 641 | 902 |
| 3. Slightly | 108 | 145 | 253 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1065 | 1902 | 2967 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 23.9 | 23.2 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 23.4 | 22.6 |
| 2. Somewhat | 12.7 | 13.7 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 15.3 | 15.3 |
| 3. Slightly | 5.3 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 51.9 | 51.8 | 49.3 | 49.9 | 50.2 | 50.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

women_worksworse

Label: How much worse if man works and woman at home
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152-3.3
Description: Working women
Question: Is it [much worse, somewhat worse, or slightly worse / slightly worse, somewhat worse or much worse]?
Universe: IF R SAYS IT IS WORSE FOR THE MAN TO WORK AND THE WOMAN TO STAY AT HOME:
Logic: Ask if WOMEN WKWIFE=2, else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with WOMEN WKWIFE.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much | 19 | 32 | 51 |
| 2. Somewhat | 28 | 92 | 120 |
| 3. Slightly | 19 | 31 | 50 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1863 | 3425 | 5288 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 2. Somewhat | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 3. Slightly | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 90.7 | 91.8 | 88.7 | 88.3 | 89.4 | 89.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

women_works_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Better if man works and woman takes care of home

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much better | 491 | 893 | 1384 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 261 | 641 | 902 |
| 3. Slightly better | 108 | 145 | 253 |
| 4. Makes no difference | 960 | 1735 | 2695 |
| 5. Slightly worse | 19 | 31 | 50 |
| 6. Somewhat worse | 28 | 92 | 120 |
| 7. Much worse | 19 | 32 | 51 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 12 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much better | 23.9 | 23.2 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 23.4 | 22.6 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 12.7 | 13.7 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 15.3 | 15.3 |
| 3. Slightly better | 5.3 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| 4. Makes no difference | 46.7 | 47.1 | 44.9 | 45.3 | 45.6 | 45.9 |
| 5. Slightly worse | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 6. Somewhat worse | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 7. Much worse | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

modsex_discamt

Label: Discrimination against women
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-1
Description: Modern Sexism
Question: How serious a problem is discrimination against women in the United States? [Not a problem at all, a minor problem, a moderately serious problem, a very serious problem, or an extremely serious problem / An extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a moderately serious problem, a minor problem, or not a problem at all]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Not a problem at all | 122 | 295 | 417 |
| 2. A minor problem | 508 | 1287 | 1795 |
| 3. A moderately serious problem | 820 | 1392 | 2212 |
| 4. A very serious problem | 311 | 470 | 781 |
| 5. An extremely serious problem | 133 | 128 | 261 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 9 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Not a problem at all | 5.9 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| 2. A minor problem | 24.7 | 27.4 | 33.3 | 34.9 | 30.4 | 32.3 |
| 3. A moderately serious problem | 39.9 | 42.1 | 36.1 | 35.1 | 37.4 | 37.5 |
| 4. A very serious problem | 15.1 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 13.2 | 11.1 |
| 5. An extremely serious problem | 6.5 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

modsex_media

Label: Media pay more attention to discrimination
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-2
 Description: Modern Sexism
 Question: Should the news media pay more attention to discrimination against women, less attention, or the same amount of attention they have been paying lately?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. More attention | 787 | 1238 | 2025 |
| 2. Less attention | 146 | 588 | 734 |
| 3. Same amount of attention | 945 | 1746 | 2691 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 9 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More attention | 38.3 | 32.8 | 32.1 | 29.4 | 34.2 | 30.6 |
| 2. Less attention | 7.1 | 9.6 | 15.2 | 16.5 | 12.4 | 14.1 |
| 3. Same amount of attention | 46.0 | 49.2 | 45.2 | 46.2 | 45.5 | 47.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

modsex_mediomore

Label: How much more attn should media pay to discrim agst women
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-2.1
Description: Modern Sexism
Question: Should the media pay [a great deal more attention, somewhat more attention, or a little more attention / a little more attention, somewhat more attention, or a great deal more attention]?
Universe: IF THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD PAY MORE ATTENTION TO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN:
Logic: Ask if MODSEXNM MEDIAATT= 1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 367 | 495 | 862 |
| 2. Somewhat | 321 | 635 | 956 |
| 3. A little | 99 | 106 | 205 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1142 | 2343 | 3485 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 17.9 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 14.6 | 12.0 |
| 2. Somewhat | 15.6 | 14.6 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 16.2 | 14.9 |
| 3. A little | 4.8 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 55.6 | 61.5 | 60.7 | 63.1 | 58.9 | 62.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

modsex_medialess

Label: How much less attn should media pay to discrim agst women
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-2.2
 Description: Modern Sexism
 Question: Should the media pay [a great deal less attention, somewhat less attention, or a little less attention / a little less attention, somewhat less attention, or a great deal less attention]?
 Universe: IF THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD PAY LESS ATTENTION TO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN:
 Logic: Ask if MODSEXNM MEDIAATT= 2 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 31 | 188 | 219 |
| 2. Somewhat | 58 | 260 | 318 |
| 3. A little | 57 | 140 | 197 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1783 | 2993 | 4776 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 1.5 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| 2. Somewhat | 2.8 | 3.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| 3. A little | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.8 | 84.7 | 77.5 | 75.9 | 80.8 | 79.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

modsex_media_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- How much attn media should pay to discrim against women

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal more | 367 | 495 | 862 |
| 2. Somewhat more | 321 | 635 | 956 |
| 3. A little more | 99 | 106 | 205 |
| 4. Same amount of attention | 945 | 1746 | 2691 |
| 5. A little less | 57 | 140 | 197 |
| 6. Somewhat less | 58 | 260 | 318 |
| 7. A great deal less | 31 | 188 | 219 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 11 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal more | 17.9 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 14.6 | 12.0 |
| 2. Somewhat more | 15.6 | 14.6 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 16.2 | 14.9 |
| 3. A little more | 4.8 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 4. Same amount of attention | 46.0 | 49.2 | 45.2 | 46.2 | 45.5 | 47.3 |
| 5. A little less | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| 6. Somewhat less | 2.8 | 3.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| 7. A great deal less | 1.5 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

modsex_special

Label: Do women demanding equality seek special favors
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-3
Description: Modern Sexism
Question: When women demand equality these days, how often are they actually seeking special favors? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never] ?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Never | 419 | 685 | 1104 |
| 2. Some of the time | 885 | 1831 | 2716 |
| 3. About half the time | 274 | 600 | 874 |
| 4. Most of the time | 205 | 365 | 570 |
| 5. Always | 37 | 88 | 125 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 104 | 0 | 104 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 12 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Never | 20.4 | 19.7 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 18.6 |
| 2. Some of the time | 43.1 | 46.2 | 47.4 | 45.8 | 45.9 | 46.0 |
| 3. About half the time | 13.3 | 11.9 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 14.7 |
| 4. Most of the time | 10.0 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 10.0 |
| 5. Always | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

modsex_disc

Label: How often do hiring and promotion discriminate agst women
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-4
Description: Modern Sexism
Question: When employers make decisions about hiring and promotion, how often do they discriminate against women? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Never | 98 | 185 | 283 |
| 2. Some of the time | 1069 | 2319 | 3388 |
| 3. About half the time | 367 | 711 | 1078 |
| 4. Most of the time | 229 | 304 | 533 |
| 5. Always | 68 | 46 | 114 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 93 | 0 | 93 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 16 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Never | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| 2. Some of the time | 52.0 | 58.7 | 60.1 | 58.9 | 57.3 | 58.8 |
| 3. About half the time | 17.9 | 15.0 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 17.8 |
| 4. Most of the time | 11.1 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 7.6 |
| 5. Always | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

modsex_prob

Label: Do women complaining about discrim cause more problems
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-4.5
Description: Modern Sexism
Question: When women complain about discrimination, how often do they cause more problems than they solve? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Never | 192 | 330 | 522 |
| 2. Some of the time | 989 | 1971 | 2960 |
| 3. About half the time | 313 | 728 | 1041 |
| 4. Most of the time | 262 | 443 | 705 |
| 5. Always | 82 | 97 | 179 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 83 | 0 | 83 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 12 | 20 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Never | 9.3 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.4 |
| 2. Some of the time | 48.1 | 50.8 | 51.1 | 48.3 | 50.1 | 49.2 |
| 3. About half the time | 15.2 | 14.2 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 17.6 | 18.3 |
| 4. Most of the time | 12.8 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 12.1 |
| 5. Always | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

modsex_oppo

Label: Do women or men have more opport for achievmt in the U.S.
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-5
 Description: Modern Sexism
 Question: In the U.S. today, do men have more opportunities for achievement than women have, do women have more opportunities than men, or do they have equal opportunities?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1 Men have more | 1086 | 2128 | 3214 |
| 2. Women have more | 77 | 221 | 298 |
| 3. Equal opportunities | 742 | 1223 | 1965 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 9 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 Men have more | 52.9 | 53.7 | 55.1 | 54.4 | 54.3 | 54.2 |
| 2. Women have more | 3.7 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| 3. Equal opportunities | 36.1 | 35.8 | 31.7 | 32.6 | 33.2 | 33.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

modsex_menmore

Label: How much more opportunities for achievement do men have
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-5.1
Description: Modern Sexism
Question: Do men have [many more opportunities, moderately more opportunities, or slightly more opportunities / slightly more opportunities, moderately more opportunities or many more opportunities]?
Universe: IF MEN HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACHIEVEMENT IN THE U.S. TODAY:
Logic: Ask if MODSEXM OPPOR= 1 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Many more | 425 | 681 | 1106 |
| 2. Moderately more | 420 | 954 | 1374 |
| 3. Slightly more | 235 | 493 | 728 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 843 | 1453 | 2296 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Many more | 20.7 | 17.1 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 18.7 | 16.6 |
| 2. Moderately more | 20.4 | 23.2 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 24.3 |
| 3. Slightly more | 11.4 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 12.3 | 13.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 41.0 | 40.5 | 37.6 | 38.1 | 38.8 | 38.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

modsex_wommore

Label: How much more opportunities for achievement do women have
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-5.2
Description: Modern Sexism
Question: Do women have [many more opportunities, moderately more opportunities, or slightly more opportunities / slightly more opportunities, moderately more opportunities or many more opportunities]?
Universe: IF WOMEN HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACHIEVEMENT IN THE U.S. TODAY:
Logic: Ask if MODSEXM OPPOR= 2 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Many more | 20 | 38 | 58 |
| 2. Moderately more | 24 | 120 | 144 |
| 3. Slightly more | 31 | 63 | 94 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1852 | 3360 | 5212 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Many more | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 2. Moderately more | 1.2 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 3. Slightly more | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 90.2 | 90.9 | 87.0 | 87.4 | 88.1 | 88.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

modsex_oppo_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Opportunities compared for women and men

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Men have many more | 425 | 681 | 1106 |
| 2. Men have moderately more | 420 | 954 | 1374 |
| 3. Men have slightly more | 235 | 493 | 728 |
| 4. Equal opportunities | 742 | 1223 | 1965 |
| 5. Women have slightly more | 31 | 63 | 94 |
| 6. Women have moderately more | 24 | 120 | 144 |
| 7. Women have many more | 20 | 38 | 58 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 9 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Men have many more | 20.7 | 17.1 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 18.7 | 16.6 |
| 2. Men have moderately more | 20.4 | 23.2 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 24.3 |
| 3. Men have slightly more | 11.4 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 12.3 | 13.1 |
| 4. Equal opportunities | 36.1 | 35.8 | 31.7 | 32.6 | 33.2 | 33.7 |
| 5. Women have slightly more | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 6. Women have moderately more | 1.2 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 7. Women have many more | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

aapost_hire

Label: For or against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 153-2
Description: Affirmative action in hiring
Question: What about your opinion -- are you FOR or AGAINST preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?
Web Logic: Online, bank with AFFIRM AFFINTRO. Omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. For preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 460 | 760 | 1220 |
| 2. Against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 1298 | 2784 | 4082 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY)(VOL) | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| 6. Other specify response recoded: against | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| -9. Refused | 27 | 37 | 64 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. For preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 22.4 | 15.3 | 19.7 | 18.5 | 20.6 | 17.4 |
| 2. Against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 63.2 | 71.1 | 72.1 | 73.0 | 69.0 | 72.3 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY)(VOL) | 2.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 6. Other specify response recoded: against | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| -9. Refused | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |

aapost_hire_othtxt

Label: POST: Other specify - for or against pref hiring/promotion of blacks

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------|
| <NULL> | | 5847 |
| neither | | 2 |
| Neither for or ag | | 2 |
| About the same | | 1 |
| against becuase i | | 1 |
| against it becaus | | 1 |
| against it but no | | 1 |
| Against preferent | | 1 |
| based on qualific | | 1 |
| BECAUSE BLKS WERE | | 1 |

aapost_hirefav

Label: Strength favor preferential hiring/promotion of blacks
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 153-3
 Description: Affirmative action in hiring
 Question: Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
 Universe: IF R IS FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:
 Logic: Ask if AFFIRM AFFOPIN = 1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 331 | 366 | 697 |
| 2. Not strongly | 125 | 393 | 518 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1469 | 2821 | 4290 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 16.1 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 9.4 |
| 2. Not strongly | 6.1 | 5.2 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 8.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 71.5 | 78.9 | 73.1 | 73.9 | 72.5 | 75.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

aapost_hireopp

Label: Strength oppose preferential hiring/promotion blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 153-4
Description: Affirmative action in hiring
Question: Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe: IF R IS AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:
Logic: Ask if AFFIRM AFFOPIN = 2 else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly | 990 | 1910 | 2900 |
| 2. Not strongly | 304 | 872 | 1176 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 631 | 797 | 1428 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly | 48.2 | 56.4 | 49.5 | 51.1 | 49.0 | 52.9 |
| 2. Not strongly | 14.8 | 14.5 | 22.6 | 21.8 | 19.9 | 19.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 30.7 | 23.2 | 20.6 | 19.5 | 24.1 | 20.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

aapost_hire_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor preferential hiring and promotion of blacks

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 331 | 366 | 697 |
| 2. Not strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 125 | 393 | 518 |
| 4. Not strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 304 | 872 | 1176 |
| 5. Strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 990 | 1910 | 2900 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 67 | 0 | 67 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 83 | 0 | 83 |
| -9. Refused | 29 | 40 | 69 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 16.1 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 9.4 |
| 2. Not strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 6.1 | 5.2 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 8.0 |
| 4. Not strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 14.8 | 14.5 | 22.6 | 21.8 | 19.9 | 19.3 |
| 5. Strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks | 48.2 | 56.4 | 49.5 | 51.1 | 49.0 | 52.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| -9. Refused | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |

auth_ind

Label: Child trait more important: independence or respect
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 154-2
Description: Authoritarianism
Question: Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have: INDEPENDENCE or RESPECT FOR ELDERS
Web Logic: Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Independence | 282 | 762 | 1044 |
| 2. Respect for elders | 1421 | 2812 | 4233 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 219 | 0 | 219 |
| 4. Neither (VOL) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 7 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Independence | 13.7 | 14.8 | 19.7 | 20.3 | 17.7 | 18.4 |
| 2. Respect for elders | 69.2 | 69.3 | 72.8 | 71.9 | 71.6 | 71.0 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 10.7 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

auth_cur

Label: Child trait more important: curiosity or good manners
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 154-3
Description: Authoritarianism
Question: (Which one is more important for a child to have:) CURIOSITY or GOOD MANNERS
Web Logic: Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Curiosity | 376 | 1071 | 1447 |
| 2. Good manners | 1346 | 2501 | 3847 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 204 | 0 | 204 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 9 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Curiosity | 18.3 | 23.0 | 27.7 | 25.7 | 24.5 | 24.8 |
| 2. Good manners | 65.5 | 61.9 | 64.8 | 66.5 | 65.0 | 64.9 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 9.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

auth_obed

Label: Child trait more important: obedience or self-reliance
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 154-4
Description: Authoritarianism
Question: (Which one is more important for a child to have:) OBEDIENCE or SELF-RELIANCE
Web Logic: Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Obedience | 1052 | 1997 | 3049 |
| 2. Self-reliance | 637 | 1577 | 2214 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 227 | 0 | 227 |
| 4. Neither (VOL) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Obedience | 51.2 | 47.1 | 51.7 | 52.1 | 51.6 | 50.3 |
| 2. Self-reliance | 31.0 | 36.9 | 40.9 | 40.1 | 37.4 | 39.0 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 11.1 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 4. Neither (VOL) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

auth_consid

Label: Child trait more important: considerate or well-behaved
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 154-5
Description: Authoritarianism
Question: (Which one is more important for a child to have:) BEING CONSIDERATE or WELL BEHAVED
Web Logic: Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Being considerate | 877 | 2266 | 3143 |
| 2. Well behaved | 700 | 1309 | 2009 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 347 | 0 | 347 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 6 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Being considerate | 42.7 | 49.7 | 58.7 | 56.0 | 53.1 | 53.8 |
| 2. Well behaved | 34.1 | 29.1 | 33.9 | 36.2 | 34.0 | 33.7 |
| 3. Both (VOL) | 16.9 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

egal_equal

Label: Society should make sure everyone has equal opportunity
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 155-2
Description: Equalitarianism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference. Bank with EGAL EGALINTRO.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 1204 | 1528 | 2732 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 477 | 986 | 1463 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 116 | 647 | 763 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 79 | 260 | 339 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 46 | 156 | 202 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 58.6 | 53.2 | 39.6 | 36.9 | 46.2 | 42.5 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 23.2 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 26.5 | 24.7 | 26.2 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 5.6 | 6.9 | 16.8 | 17.9 | 12.9 | 14.1 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 3.8 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 2.2 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

egal_toofar

Label: We have gone too far pushing equal rights
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 155-3
Description: Equalitarianism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 220 | 404 | 624 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 419 | 834 | 1253 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 345 | 1001 | 1346 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 405 | 654 | 1059 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 529 | 683 | 1212 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 5 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 10.7 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 10.9 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 20.4 | 22.4 | 21.6 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 21.5 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 16.8 | 18.9 | 25.9 | 28.2 | 22.8 | 25.0 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 19.7 | 19.9 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 17.9 | 17.7 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 25.8 | 21.3 | 17.7 | 15.6 | 20.5 | 17.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

egal_bigprob

Label: Its a big problem that we dont give equal chance to succeed
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 155-4
Description: Equalitarianism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 500 | 482 | 982 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 643 | 1080 | 1723 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 221 | 805 | 1026 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 368 | 800 | 1168 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 189 | 411 | 600 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 3 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 24.3 | 17.5 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 16.6 | 13.5 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 31.3 | 31.6 | 28.0 | 26.5 | 29.1 | 28.3 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 10.8 | 11.8 | 20.9 | 21.5 | 17.3 | 18.1 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 17.9 | 21.5 | 20.7 | 22.6 | 19.7 | 22.2 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 9.2 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 10.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

egal_worryless

Label: We'd be better off if worried less about equality
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 155-5
Description: Equalitarianism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 301 | 390 | 691 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 450 | 883 | 1333 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 325 | 1031 | 1356 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 420 | 750 | 1170 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 414 | 522 | 936 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 5 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 14.7 | 15.7 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 11.7 | 11.9 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 21.9 | 24.7 | 22.9 | 24.3 | 22.5 | 24.5 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 15.8 | 16.9 | 26.7 | 27.9 | 22.9 | 24.1 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 20.4 | 20.3 | 19.4 | 17.7 | 19.8 | 18.6 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 20.2 | 15.7 | 13.5 | 12.4 | 15.8 | 13.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

egal_notbigprob

Label: Not a big problem if some have more chance in life
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 155-6
Description: Equalitarianism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 126 | 176 | 302 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 400 | 670 | 1070 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 366 | 1064 | 1430 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 498 | 1020 | 1518 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 515 | 647 | 1162 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 4 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 6.1 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 19.5 | 20.7 | 17.4 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 18.8 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 17.8 | 19.9 | 27.6 | 29.2 | 24.2 | 26.0 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 24.2 | 25.7 | 26.4 | 25.3 | 25.7 | 25.4 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 25.1 | 21.4 | 16.8 | 15.5 | 19.6 | 17.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

egal_fewerprobs

Label: If people were treated more fairly would be fewer probs
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 155-7
Description: Equalitarianism
Question: (Looking at page [[preload: postpg g] / [preload:postpg h]] in the booklet) 'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg h.
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 671 | 665 | 1336 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 659 | 1229 | 1888 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 252 | 908 | 1160 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 237 | 545 | 782 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 94 | 231 | 325 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 3 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 32.7 | 24.9 | 17.2 | 15.8 | 22.6 | 19.0 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 32.1 | 33.7 | 31.8 | 30.5 | 31.9 | 31.6 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 12.3 | 15.2 | 23.5 | 25.8 | 19.6 | 22.1 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 11.5 | 14.0 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 13.2 | 14.2 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 4.6 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

wiretappo_toofar

Label: Has increase in govt wiretap powers gone too far
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 155.7-2
 Description: Security and Civil Liberties, increase in wiretapping
 Question: Have increases in the government's wiretapping powers since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Have gone too far | 483 | 1275 | 1758 |
| 2. Are just about right | 965 | 1948 | 2913 |
| 3. Do not go far enough | 254 | 328 | 582 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 223 | 0 | 223 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 30 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Have gone too far | 23.5 | 23.9 | 33.0 | 32.2 | 29.7 | 29.3 |
| 2. Are just about right | 47.0 | 47.5 | 50.5 | 49.9 | 49.3 | 49.1 |
| 3. Do not go far enough | 12.4 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 10.9 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 |

cog_opinions

Label: Does R have opinions about many, some or few things
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 156-2
Description: Cognitive style
Question: What about you? Would you say you have opinions about [ALMOST EVERYTHING, about MANY THINGS, about SOME THINGS, or about VERY FEW THINGS / VERY FEW THINGS, about SOME THINGS, about MANY THINGS, or about ALMOST EVERYTHING]?
Web Logic: Online, bank with COG COGINT.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Almost everything | 329 | 604 | 933 |
| 2. Many things | 652 | 1586 | 2238 |
| 3. Some things | 743 | 1269 | 2012 |
| 4. Very few things | 203 | 117 | 320 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 5 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Almost everything | 16.0 | 17.4 | 15.6 | 14.8 | 15.8 | 15.7 |
| 2. Many things | 31.7 | 36.8 | 41.1 | 39.5 | 37.8 | 38.6 |
| 3. Some things | 36.2 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 34.2 | 34.0 | 33.8 |
| 4. Very few things | 9.9 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 4.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

cog_opin

Label: Does R have fewer or more opinions than average person
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 156-3
 Description: Cognitive style
 Question: Compared to the average person do you have FEWER opinions about whether things are good or bad, ABOUT THE SAME number of opinions, or MORE opinions?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Fewer opinions | 332 | 473 | 805 |
| 2. About the same number of opinions | 1037 | 2224 | 3261 |
| 3. More opinions | 536 | 877 | 1413 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Fewer opinions | 16.2 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 13.6 | 13.9 |
| 2. About the same number of opinions | 50.5 | 50.7 | 57.6 | 57.1 | 55.1 | 54.9 |
| 3. More opinions | 26.1 | 27.4 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 23.9 | 23.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

cog_opinfew

Label: R have a lot or somewhat fewer opinions than average
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 156-4
 Description: Cognitive style
 Question: Would you say that you have A LOT fewer opinions or just SOMEWHAT fewer opinions?
 Universe: IF R HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAT THE AVERAGE PERSON:
 Logic: Ask if COG NUMOPIN = 1 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 38 | 76 | 114 |
| 2. Somewhat | 294 | 397 | 691 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1597 | 3108 | 4705 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 2. Somewhat | 14.3 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 11.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 77.8 | 79.2 | 80.5 | 79.2 | 79.6 | 79.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cog_opinmore

Label: R have a lot or somewhat more opinions than average
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 156-5
 Description: Cognitive style
 Question: Would you say that you have A LOT more opinions or just SOMEWHAT more opinions?
 Universe: IF R HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:
 Logic: Ask if COG NUMOPIN = 3 else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 227 | 375 | 602 |
| 2. Somewhat | 309 | 502 | 811 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1393 | 2704 | 4097 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 11.1 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 9.3 |
| 2. Somewhat | 15.0 | 16.8 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 13.7 | 14.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 67.8 | 66.9 | 70.1 | 70.6 | 69.3 | 69.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cog_opin_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Number of opinions R has compared to average person

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot fewer opinions than the average person | 38 | 76 | 114 |
| 2. Somewhat fewer opinions than the average person | 294 | 397 | 691 |
| 3. About the same number of opinions | 1037 | 2224 | 3261 |
| 4. Somewhat more opinions than the average person | 309 | 502 | 811 |
| 5. A lot more opinions than the average person | 227 | 375 | 602 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot fewer opinions than the average person | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 2. Somewhat fewer opinions than the average person | 14.3 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 11.9 |
| 3. About the same number of opinions | 50.5 | 50.7 | 57.6 | 57.1 | 55.1 | 54.9 |
| 4. Somewhat more opinions than the average person | 15.0 | 16.8 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 13.7 | 14.5 |
| 5. A lot more opinions than the average person | 11.1 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 9.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

nonmain_born

Label: Was the President born in the U.S.
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 157-1
Description: Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question: Was Barack Obama definitely born in the United States, probably born in the United States, probably born in another country, or definitely born in another country?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Definitely born in the U.S. | 918 | 1804 | 2722 |
| 2. Probably born in the U.S. | 457 | 905 | 1362 |
| 3. Probably born in another country | 264 | 626 | 890 |
| 4. Definitely born in another country | 89 | 223 | 312 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 194 | 0 | 194 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 23 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Definitely born in the U.S. | 44.7 | 39.0 | 46.7 | 42.6 | 46.0 | 41.3 |
| 2. Probably born in the U.S. | 22.2 | 24.7 | 23.4 | 25.7 | 23.0 | 25.3 |
| 3. Probably born in another country | 12.9 | 15.4 | 16.2 | 17.3 | 15.0 | 16.7 |
| 4. Definitely born in another country | 4.3 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 9.4 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

nonmain_endlife

Label: Does Health Care Act authorize end-of-life decision
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 157-2
Description: Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question: Does the health care law passed in 2010 definitely authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, probably authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, probably not authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, or definitely not authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Definitely authorizes | 137 | 375 | 512 |
| 2. Probably authorizes | 388 | 1056 | 1444 |
| 3. Probably does not authorize | 428 | 1027 | 1455 |
| 4. Definitely does not authorize | 516 | 1069 | 1585 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 456 | 0 | 456 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 54 | 58 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Definitely authorizes | 6.7 | 6.2 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 8.1 |
| 2. Probably authorizes | 18.9 | 19.3 | 27.4 | 29.4 | 24.4 | 25.9 |
| 3. Probably does not authorize | 20.8 | 22.9 | 26.6 | 27.9 | 24.6 | 26.1 |
| 4. Definitely does not authorize | 25.1 | 24.4 | 27.7 | 24.2 | 26.8 | 24.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 22.2 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 7.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

nonmain_govt911

Label: Did the U.S. government know about 9/11 in advance
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 157-3
Description: Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question: Did senior federal government officials definitely know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, probably knew about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, probably did not know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, or definitely did not know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Definitely knew | 216 | 326 | 542 |
| 2. Probably knew | 569 | 1011 | 1580 |
| 3. Probably did not know | 584 | 1453 | 2037 |
| 4. Definitely did not know | 445 | 771 | 1216 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 110 | 0 | 110 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 20 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Definitely knew | 10.5 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.5 |
| 2. Probably knew | 27.7 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 26.4 |
| 3. Probably did not know | 28.4 | 31.3 | 37.6 | 37.7 | 34.4 | 35.5 |
| 4. Definitely did not know | 21.7 | 23.1 | 20.0 | 17.8 | 20.6 | 19.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 5.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

nonmain_bias

Label: Does the Administration favor blacks or whites
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 157-4
Description: Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question: Do the policies of the Obama administration favor whites over blacks, favor blacks over whites, or do they treat both groups the same?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favors whites over blacks | 50 | 70 | 120 |
| 2. Favors blacks over whites | 187 | 977 | 1164 |
| 3. Treats both groups the same | 1607 | 2510 | 4117 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 24 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favors whites over blacks | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2. Favors blacks over whites | 9.1 | 13.3 | 25.3 | 26.6 | 19.7 | 22.0 |
| 3. Treats both groups the same | 78.2 | 74.9 | 65.0 | 63.2 | 69.6 | 67.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

nonmain_hurric

Label: Did the govt direct Katrina flooding into poor areas
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 157-5
Description: Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question: Some people say that when Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast in the summer of 2005, the federal government intentionally breached flood levees in New Orleans so that poor neighborhoods would be flooded and middle-class neighborhoods would be spared. Do you think the federal government definitely did this, probably did this, probably did not do this, or definitely did not do this?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Definitely did this | 67 | 94 | 161 |
| 2. Prob ably did this | 266 | 498 | 764 |
| 3. Probably did not do this | 663 | 1361 | 2024 |
| 4. Definitely did not do this | 781 | 1602 | 2383 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 148 | 0 | 148 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 26 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Definitely did this | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 2. Prob ably did this | 13.0 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 12.9 | 12.8 |
| 3. Probably did not do this | 32.3 | 32.6 | 35.3 | 35.3 | 34.2 | 34.3 |
| 4. Definitely did not do this | 38.0 | 42.7 | 41.5 | 39.5 | 40.3 | 40.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.2 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

csest_exphlth

Label: CSES: Public expenditure Health
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on HEALTH, should there be [much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now]?
Logic: All CSES expenditure questions are forward/ reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic: Online, for all CSES expenditure questions, set the expenditure area (health, welfare benefits, Social Security, etc.) in lower case boldface.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 279 | 348 | 627 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 614 | 987 | 1601 |
| 3. The same as now | 573 | 1371 | 1944 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 282 | 551 | 833 |
| 5. Much less than now | 122 | 310 | 432 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 14 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 13.6 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 9.2 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 29.9 | 28.6 | 25.6 | 24.2 | 27.1 | 25.7 |
| 3. The same as now | 27.9 | 31.0 | 35.5 | 36.1 | 32.9 | 34.3 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 13.7 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 14.1 | 14.9 |
| 5. Much less than now | 5.9 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

cses_expeduc

Label: CSES: Public expenditure: eduction
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on EDUCATION, should there be [much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 685 | 752 | 1437 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 690 | 1255 | 1945 |
| 3. The same as now | 363 | 1059 | 1422 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 117 | 310 | 427 |
| 5. Much less than now | 54 | 194 | 248 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 11 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 33.3 | 26.5 | 19.5 | 19.1 | 24.3 | 21.6 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 33.6 | 35.2 | 32.5 | 32.4 | 32.9 | 33.4 |
| 3. The same as now | 17.7 | 22.1 | 27.4 | 28.0 | 24.0 | 25.9 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 5.7 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| 5. Much less than now | 2.6 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

cses_expunemp

Label: CSES: Public expenditure: unemployment benefits
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.3
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 234 | 206 | 440 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 387 | 590 | 977 |
| 3. The same as now | 812 | 1624 | 2436 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 327 | 755 | 1082 |
| 5. Much less than now | 128 | 394 | 522 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 12 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 11.4 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 6.2 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 18.8 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 14.8 |
| 3. The same as now | 39.5 | 44.0 | 42.1 | 41.1 | 41.2 | 42.1 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 15.9 | 19.2 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 18.3 | 19.8 |
| 5. Much less than now | 6.2 | 6.8 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 9.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

csest_expdef

Label: CSES: Public expenditure: defense
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.4
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on DEFENSE, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now] / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 229 | 260 | 489 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 391 | 679 | 1070 |
| 3. The same as now | 735 | 1418 | 2153 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 362 | 829 | 1191 |
| 5. Much less than now | 161 | 383 | 544 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 12 | 12 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 11.1 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 19.0 | 20.1 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 18.1 | 18.4 |
| 3. The same as now | 35.8 | 37.4 | 36.7 | 38.4 | 36.4 | 38.1 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 17.6 | 19.0 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 19.4 |
| 5. Much less than now | 7.8 | 6.9 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 8.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

csest_exps

Label: CSES: Public expenditure: pensions
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.5
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on SOCIAL SECURITY, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 365 | 431 | 796 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 584 | 1084 | 1668 |
| 3. The same as now | 793 | 1666 | 2459 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 88 | 291 | 379 |
| 5. Much less than now | 44 | 96 | 140 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 13 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 17.8 | 13.4 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 12.2 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 28.4 | 26.2 | 28.1 | 27.4 | 28.2 | 27.0 |
| 3. The same as now | 38.6 | 46.1 | 43.2 | 41.8 | 41.6 | 43.3 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 4.3 | 4.7 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| 5. Much less than now | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

csest_exbusind

Label: CSES: Public expenditure: business and industry
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.6
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 138 | 137 | 275 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 339 | 586 | 925 |
| 3. The same as now | 728 | 1340 | 2068 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 475 | 1025 | 1500 |
| 5. Much less than now | 149 | 480 | 629 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 97 | 0 | 97 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 13 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 6.7 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 16.5 | 15.6 | 15.2 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 16.0 |
| 3. The same as now | 35.4 | 34.9 | 34.7 | 34.7 | 35.0 | 34.7 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 23.1 | 27.0 | 26.6 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 25.8 |
| 5. Much less than now | 7.3 | 7.3 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 10.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 4.7 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

cses_exppolc

Label: CSES: Public expenditure: police and law enforcement
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.7
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on POLICE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 287 | 272 | 559 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 609 | 1071 | 1680 |
| 3. The same as now | 818 | 1822 | 2640 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 136 | 310 | 446 |
| 5. Much less than now | 45 | 92 | 137 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 14 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 14.0 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 8.3 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 29.6 | 31.0 | 27.7 | 27.0 | 28.4 | 28.4 |
| 3. The same as now | 39.8 | 44.2 | 47.2 | 46.7 | 44.6 | 45.8 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 6.6 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| 5. Much less than now | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

cses_expwelf

Label: CSES: Public expenditure: welfare benefits
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.8
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Thinking about public expenditure on WELFARE BENEFITS, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Much more than now | 123 | 112 | 235 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 260 | 360 | 620 |
| 3. The same as now | 740 | 1280 | 2020 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 520 | 1052 | 1572 |
| 5. Much less than now | 231 | 765 | 996 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 12 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much more than now | 6.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| 2. Somewhat more than now | 12.7 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 10.5 | 9.1 |
| 3. The same as now | 36.0 | 35.1 | 33.2 | 33.4 | 34.2 | 34.0 |
| 4. Somewhat less than now | 25.3 | 30.6 | 27.3 | 25.6 | 26.6 | 27.4 |
| 5. Much less than now | 11.2 | 12.7 | 19.8 | 20.8 | 16.8 | 18.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

cses_impstdliv

Label: CSES: CSES: Improving standard of living
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Over the next ten years or so, how likely or unlikely is it that you will improve your standard of living? Very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very likely | 700 | 560 | 1260 |
| 2. Somewhat likely | 768 | 1410 | 2178 |
| 4. Somewhat unlikely | 258 | 1064 | 1322 |
| 5. Very unlikely | 171 | 539 | 710 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 8 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very likely | 34.1 | 27.6 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 21.3 | 19.8 |
| 2. Somewhat likely | 37.4 | 37.6 | 36.5 | 38.1 | 36.8 | 37.9 |
| 4. Somewhat unlikely | 12.6 | 17.3 | 27.6 | 25.8 | 22.4 | 22.8 |
| 5. Very unlikely | 8.3 | 10.3 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 11.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

cses_econ

Label: CSES: State of economy
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-3
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in the United States has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Gotten better | 609 | 1140 | 1749 |
| 2. Stayed the same | 768 | 1191 | 1959 |
| 3. Gotten worse | 532 | 1245 | 1777 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 5 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Gotten better | 29.6 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 26.6 | 29.6 | 27.3 |
| 2. Stayed the same | 37.4 | 33.6 | 30.9 | 32.3 | 33.1 | 32.8 |
| 3. Gotten worse | 25.9 | 31.3 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 30.0 | 32.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

csees_econb

Label: CSES: State of economy better
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-3.1
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Would you say much better or somewhat better?
 Universe: IF R SAYS U.S. ECONOMY HAS GOTTERN BETTER:
 Logic: Ask if CSES ECON=1, else skip
 Web Logic: Online, bank with CSES ECON.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much better | 69 | 174 | 243 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 540 | 965 | 1505 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1320 | 2441 | 3761 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much better | 3.4 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.3 |
| 2. Somewhat better | 26.3 | 26.5 | 25.0 | 22.7 | 25.4 | 24.0 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.3 | 65.7 | 63.2 | 65.8 | 63.6 | 65.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_econw

Label: CSES: State of economy worse
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-3.2
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?
 Universe: IF R SAYS U.S. ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE:
 Logic: Ask if CSES ECON=3, else skip
 Web Logic: Online, bank with CSES ECON.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Much worse | 206 | 631 | 837 |
| 2. Somewhat worse | 326 | 614 | 940 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1397 | 2336 | 3733 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Much worse | 10.0 | 13.9 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 14.2 | 15.7 |
| 2. Somewhat worse | 15.9 | 17.4 | 15.9 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 16.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 68.0 | 62.9 | 60.5 | 59.2 | 63.1 | 60.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_govtact

Label: CSES: Govt action income inequality
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-4
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Please say to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statement: 'The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Logic: This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Agree strongly | 228 | 291 | 519 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 479 | 649 | 1128 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 449 | 984 | 1433 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 309 | 575 | 884 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 404 | 1075 | 1479 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 59 | 0 | 59 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Agree strongly | 11.1 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 7.4 |
| 2. Agree Somewhat | 23.3 | 20.0 | 16.8 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 18.7 |
| 3. Neither agree nor disagree | 21.9 | 20.9 | 25.5 | 25.9 | 24.2 | 24.2 |
| 4. Disagree Somewhat | 15.0 | 17.0 | 14.9 | 14.4 | 14.9 | 15.3 |
| 5. Disagree strongly | 19.7 | 27.3 | 27.8 | 26.0 | 25.0 | 26.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

csestwo_diffpower

Label: CSES: 5pt scale: make a difference who is in power
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-7
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Please look at page [preload: postpg k] in the booklet. Some people say that IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE who is in power. Others say that IT MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE who is in power. Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and FIVE means that it makes a big difference who is in power), where would you place yourself?
Web Logic: Online, omit the booklet reference in the first line. Change 'Using the scale in the booklet' to 'Using the scale below' Show numbers on all five scale points in the response options.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 115 | 292 | 407 |
| 2 | 109 | 314 | 423 |
| 3 | 373 | 833 | 1206 |
| 4 | 540 | 931 | 1471 |
| 5 | 767 | 1202 | 1969 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -9 | 2 | 9 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| 2 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| 3 | 18.2 | 18.1 | 21.6 | 23.0 | 20.4 | 21.3 |
| 4 | 26.3 | 31.0 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 24.9 | 26.1 |
| 5 | 37.3 | 32.6 | 31.1 | 29.3 | 33.3 | 30.4 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

cses_diffvote

Label: CSES: 5pt scale: make a difference who one votes for
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-8
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Now turning to page [preload: postpg m] in the booklet. Some people say that no matter who people vote for, IT WON'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE to what happens. Others say that who people vote for CAN MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE to what happens. Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?
Web Logic: Online, omit the booklet reference in the first line. Change 'Using the scale in the booklet' to 'Using the scale below'. Show numbers on all five scale points in the response options.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 90 | 250 | 340 |
| 2 | 66 | 328 | 394 |
| 3 | 283 | 800 | 1083 |
| 4 | 497 | 833 | 1330 |
| 5 | 979 | 1360 | 2339 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9 | 1 | 10 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| 2 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 6.7 | 7.7 |
| 3 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 20.7 | 22.1 | 18.3 | 19.6 |
| 4 | 24.2 | 29.3 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 22.5 | 23.4 |
| 5 | 47.7 | 41.9 | 35.2 | 33.0 | 39.6 | 36.1 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

csest_dptylike

Label: CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Democratic Party
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-9.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Please turn to page [preload: postpg n] of the booklet. I'd like to know what you think about our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first party is the DEMOCRATIC PARTY.
Web Logic: Online, number each response option 0 to 10. Render the question text as follows: We would like to know what you think about our political parties. Please rate each political party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If we come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, you don't have to answer. The first party is the DEMOCRATIC PARTY.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 0 | 75 | 439 | 514 |
| 1 | 37 | 187 | 224 |
| 2 | 84 | 259 | 343 |
| 3 | 110 | 290 | 400 |
| 4 | 124 | 199 | 323 |
| 5 | 246 | 514 | 760 |
| 6 | 105 | 239 | 344 |
| 7 | 204 | 355 | 559 |
| 8 | 249 | 458 | 707 |
| 9 | 162 | 174 | 336 |
| 10 | 478 | 448 | 926 |
| -2 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| -9 | 5 | 19 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 9.1 |
| 1 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| 2 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 7.0 |
| 3 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 8.6 |
| 4 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 6.4 |
| 5 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 12.9 | 14.1 |
| 6 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 6.2 |
| 7 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 8.9 |
| 8 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 10.8 |
| 9 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 4.8 |
| 10 | 23.3 | 14.4 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 15.7 | 11.7 |
| -2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| -9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

cses_rptylike

Label: CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Republican Party
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-9.2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Using the same scale, where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY?
Web Logic: Online, number each response option 0 to 10.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 0 | 253 | 523 | 776 |
| 1 | 104 | 276 | 380 |
| 2 | 164 | 342 | 506 |
| 3 | 206 | 360 | 566 |
| 4 | 186 | 324 | 510 |
| 5 | 377 | 572 | 949 |
| 6 | 166 | 294 | 460 |
| 7 | 142 | 315 | 457 |
| 8 | 148 | 285 | 433 |
| 9 | 73 | 127 | 200 |
| 10 | 54 | 147 | 201 |
| -2 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 46 | 0 | 46 |
| -9 | 5 | 16 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 12.3 | 7.9 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 11.4 |
| 1 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.6 |
| 2 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 7.9 |
| 3 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 8.5 |
| 4 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| 5 | 18.4 | 17.6 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 16.4 |
| 6 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 8.3 |
| 7 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 8.9 |
| 8 | 7.2 | 10.8 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.8 |
| 9 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| 10 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| -2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| -9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

csest_rpclike

Label: CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Republican Pres cand
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-10.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first is [preload: REP PCNAME]
Web Logic: Online, number each response option 0 to 10. Render the question text as follows: And what do you think of the presidential candidates? Please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If we name a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, you don't have to answer the question. The first is [preload: REP PCNAME]
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 0 | 354 | 629 | 983 |
| 1 | 138 | 285 | 423 |
| 2 | 183 | 353 | 536 |
| 3 | 197 | 300 | 497 |
| 4 | 191 | 243 | 434 |
| 5 | 273 | 445 | 718 |
| 6 | 129 | 259 | 388 |
| 7 | 132 | 325 | 457 |
| 8 | 136 | 344 | 480 |
| 9 | 77 | 162 | 239 |
| 10 | 84 | 215 | 299 |
| | | | |
| -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| -9 | 2 | 21 | 23 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 17.2 | 11.6 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 16.6 | 14.5 |
| 1 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 6.5 |
| 2 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.4 |
| 3 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 7.9 |
| 4 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.9 |
| 5 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| 6 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| 7 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 9.1 |
| 8 | 6.6 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 9.3 |
| 9 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| 10 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| | | | | | | |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 |

cses_dpclike

Label: CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Democratic Pres cand
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-10.2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Using the same scale, where would you place [preload: DEM PC-
NAME]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 0 | 121 | 665 | 786 |
| 1 | 61 | 214 | 275 |
| 2 | 91 | 210 | 301 |
| 3 | 93 | 181 | 274 |
| 4 | 85 | 188 | 273 |
| 5 | 165 | 298 | 463 |
| 6 | 102 | 191 | 293 |
| 7 | 143 | 277 | 420 |
| 8 | 241 | 422 | 663 |
| 9 | 234 | 310 | 544 |
| 10 | 575 | 611 | 1186 |
| -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| -9 | 2 | 14 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 13.3 | 14.1 |
| 1 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| 2 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| 3 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5.8 |
| 4 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| 5 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 9.3 |
| 6 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| 7 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| 8 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 10.0 |
| 9 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 7.9 |
| 10 | 28.0 | 17.9 | 15.8 | 14.5 | 20.1 | 15.7 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| -9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

csest_dptyleft

Label: CSES: 10pt scale: left-right Democratic Party
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-11.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Please turn to page [preload: postpg p] of the booklet. In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?
Web Logic: Online, omit booklet reference. Show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 0 | 197 | 630 | 827 |
| 1 | 82 | 292 | 374 |
| 2 | 193 | 471 | 664 |
| 3 | 181 | 458 | 639 |
| 4 | 130 | 300 | 430 |
| 5 | 174 | 644 | 818 |
| 6 | 67 | 142 | 209 |
| 7 | 116 | 149 | 265 |
| 8 | 138 | 147 | 285 |
| 9 | 98 | 72 | 170 |
| 10 | 285 | 218 | 503 |
| -2 | 67 | 0 | 67 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 195 | 0 | 195 |
| -9 | 6 | 58 | 64 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 9.6 | 12.2 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| 1 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| 2 | 9.4 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 11.2 | 12.5 |
| 3 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 11.2 |
| 4 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| 5 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 13.8 | 14.3 |
| 6 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| 7 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| 8 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| 9 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 2.0 |
| 10 | 13.9 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 6.2 |
| -2 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 |

csest_rptyleft

Label: CSES: 10pt scale: left-right Republican Party
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-11.2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Using the same scale, where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY?
Web Logic: Online, show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 0 | 177 | 219 | 396 |
| 1 | 64 | 92 | 156 |
| 2 | 74 | 128 | 202 |
| 3 | 110 | 173 | 283 |
| 4 | 137 | 197 | 334 |
| 5 | 219 | 510 | 729 |
| 6 | 116 | 276 | 392 |
| 7 | 130 | 373 | 503 |
| 8 | 259 | 489 | 748 |
| 9 | 158 | 476 | 634 |
| 10 | 213 | 589 | 802 |
| -2 | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 198 | 0 | 198 |
| -9 | 6 | 59 | 65 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 8.6 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 5.4 |
| 1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 2 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 3 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 3.9 |
| 4 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 5 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 13.7 |
| 6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| 7 | 6.3 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.9 |
| 8 | 12.6 | 16.9 | 12.7 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 13.5 |
| 9 | 7.7 | 10.0 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 10.6 |
| 10 | 10.4 | 12.3 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 13.9 |
| -2 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 |

csest_selfleft

Label: CSES: 10pt scale: left-right self placement
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-12
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale?
Web Logic: Online, show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 0 | 36 | 70 | 106 |
| 1 | 23 | 74 | 97 |
| 2 | 59 | 173 | 232 |
| 3 | 61 | 218 | 279 |
| 4 | 117 | 245 | 362 |
| 5 | 475 | 1202 | 1677 |
| 6 | 190 | 331 | 521 |
| 7 | 173 | 376 | 549 |
| 8 | 171 | 347 | 518 |
| 9 | 114 | 185 | 299 |
| 10 | 265 | 309 | 574 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8 | 238 | 0 | 238 |
| -9 | 7 | 51 | 58 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| 4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| 5 | 23.1 | 25.7 | 31.1 | 31.3 | 28.4 | 29.3 |
| 6 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 9.1 |
| 7 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 10.2 |
| 8 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.5 |
| 9 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 4.6 |
| 10 | 12.9 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 8.7 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| -9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 |

cses_satisdem

Label: CSES: Satisfied with way democracy works in the U.S
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-15
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: On the whole, are you [VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED / NOT AT ALL SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, or VERY SATISFIED] with the way democracy works in the United States?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very satisfied | 347 | 330 | 677 |
| 2. Fairly satisfied | 1148 | 1907 | 3055 |
| 3. Not very satisfied | 282 | 1068 | 1350 |
| 4. Not satisfied at all | 103 | 262 | 365 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 14 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very satisfied | 16.9 | 15.1 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 11.4 | 10.2 |
| 2. Fairly satisfied | 55.9 | 55.2 | 49.4 | 47.5 | 51.7 | 50.2 |
| 3. Not very satisfied | 13.7 | 15.3 | 27.7 | 29.8 | 22.8 | 24.8 |
| 4. Not satisfied at all | 5.0 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

csest_closepty

Label: CSES: Close to any political party
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | | 1166 | 2010 | 3176 |
| 2. No | | 752 | 1562 | 2314 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | | 1 | 9 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 56.8 | 56.6 | 52.1 | 49.5 | 53.7 | 51.9 |
| 2. No | 36.6 | 37.1 | 40.5 | 42.7 | 39.1 | 40.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

cses_ptymore

Label: CSES: Closer to one party
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?
Universe: IF R DOES NOT THINK OF SELF OR DK IF THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY:
Logic: Ask if CSES CLOSEPTY in(2,DK), else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 359 | 745 | 1104 |
| 2. | No | 391 | 817 | 1208 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 1167 | 2010 | 3177 |
| -6. | Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. | Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. | Don't know | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| -9. | Refused | 0 | 9 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 17.5 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.7 | 18.7 | 19.5 |
| 2. No | 19.0 | 17.9 | 21.2 | 23.0 | 20.4 | 21.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 56.8 | 56.7 | 52.1 | 49.5 | 53.7 | 52.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

csest_ptyclost

Label: CSES: Party closest to
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16.2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Which party do you feel closest to?
Universe: IF R THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO POLITICAL PARTY / IF R DOES NOT THINK SELF CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY BUT CLOSER TO ONE PARTY THAN OTHERS:
Logic: Ask if CSES CLOSEPTY=1 or CSES PTYMORE=1, else skip
Web Logic: Online, include a text box in place of 'SPECIFY' for response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Democratic party | 1046 | 1518 | 2564 |
| 2. Republican party | 428 | 1095 | 1523 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 49 | 140 | 189 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 404 | 826 | 1230 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democratic party | 50.9 | 41.0 | 39.3 | 36.5 | 43.4 | 38.0 |
| 2. Republican party | 20.8 | 32.0 | 28.4 | 29.3 | 25.8 | 30.2 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 19.7 | 18.4 | 21.4 | 23.3 | 20.8 | 21.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

csest_ptyclost_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: CSES: Party closest to

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 5510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

csest_degclose

Label: CSES: Degree closeness
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16.3
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Do you feel [very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close / not very close, somewhat close, or very close to this party]?
Universe: IF R THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO POLITICAL PARTY / IF R DOES NOT THINK SELF CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY BUT CLOSER TO ONE PARTY THAN OTHERS: IF PARTY R IS CLOSEST TO IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic: Ask if CSES PTYCLOST in(1,2,5), else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very close | 555 | 646 | 1201 |
| 2. Somewhat close | 825 | 1580 | 2405 |
| 3. Not very close | 141 | 385 | 526 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 406 | 968 | 1374 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very close | 27.0 | 22.9 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 20.3 | 17.8 |
| 2. Somewhat close | 40.2 | 45.0 | 40.9 | 40.3 | 40.7 | 41.9 |
| 3. Not very close | 6.9 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 9.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 19.8 | 18.4 | 25.1 | 26.7 | 23.2 | 23.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

cses_contct

Label: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: During the campaign, did a party or candidate contact you in person or by any other means?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 600 | 1878 | 2478 |
| 2. No | 1323 | 1696 | 3019 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 29.2 | 34.7 | 48.7 | 42.1 | 41.9 | 39.5 |
| 2. No | 64.4 | 59.2 | 43.9 | 50.1 | 51.0 | 53.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

cses_ftf

Label: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - in person
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.1
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Did they contact you in person, face-to-face?
 Universe: IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
 Logic: Ask if CSES CONTCT=1, else skip.
 Web Logic: Online, create a grid for items CSES FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG,
 EMAIL, and SOCNET. Bank these together on the same screen.
 At the top of the screen, display this text: 'How did a party or
 candidate contact you?' Below this question, list each question on
 the left with response columns for Yes and No on the right.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 132 | 399 | 531 |
| 2. No | 468 | 1478 | 1946 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 6.4 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.4 |
| 2. No | 22.8 | 27.3 | 38.3 | 33.2 | 32.9 | 31.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cse_s_mail

Label: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - by mail
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they contact you by mail?
Universe: IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic: Ask if CSES CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic: See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 471 | 1671 | 2142 |
| 2. No | 127 | 206 | 333 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 22.9 | 29.6 | 43.3 | 36.3 | 36.2 | 34.0 |
| 2. No | 6.2 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

cse_s_phone

Label: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - by phone
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.3
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they contact you by phone?
Universe: IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic: Ask if CSES CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic: See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 460 | 1647 | 2107 |
| 2. No | 137 | 230 | 367 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 22.4 | 28.4 | 42.7 | 36.0 | 35.6 | 33.4 |
| 2. No | 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

cses_txmsg

Label: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - text messaging
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.4
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they contact you by text message or SMS?
Universe: IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic: Ask if CSES CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic: See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 71 | 154 | 225 |
| 2. No | 527 | 1721 | 2248 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 3.5 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.1 |
| 2. No | 25.7 | 31.3 | 44.6 | 39.0 | 38.0 | 36.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

cse_email

Label: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - email
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.5
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they contact you by email?
Universe: IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic: Ask if CSES CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic: See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 230 | 817 | 1047 |
| 2. No | 366 | 1058 | 1424 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 11.2 | 14.0 | 21.2 | 16.5 | 17.7 | 15.6 |
| 2. No | 17.8 | 20.5 | 27.4 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 23.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

cses_socnet

Label: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - social network
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.6
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they contact you through a social network site or other Web-based method?
Universe: IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic: Ask if CSES CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic: See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 139 | 296 | 435 |
| 2. No | 458 | 1578 | 2036 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 6.8 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| 2. No | 22.3 | 26.5 | 40.9 | 36.3 | 34.4 | 32.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

cses_ptycont_1st

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention
1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Candidate - Democratic | 334 | 0 | 334 |
| 2. Candidate - Republican | 86 | 0 | 86 |
| 3. Party - Democratic | 117 | 0 | 117 |
| 4. Party - Republican | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 3581 | 4910 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Candidate - Democratic | 16.3 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 6.5 |
| 2. Candidate - Republican | 4.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| 3. Party - Democratic | 5.7 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 4. Party - Republican | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 83.0 | 81.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

cses_ptycont_2nd

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention
2

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 2. Candidate - Republican | 199 | 0 | 199 |
| 3. Party - Democratic | 74 | 0 | 74 |
| 4. Party - Republican | 103 | 0 | 103 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1551 | 3581 | 5132 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Candidate - Republican | 9.7 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 4.7 |
| 3. Party - Democratic | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 4. Party - Republican | 5.0 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 75.5 | 70.4 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 86.8 | 84.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_3rd

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention
3

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 3. Party - Democratic | 148 | 0 | 148 |
| 4. Party - Republican | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1752 | 3581 | 5333 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. Party - Democratic | 7.2 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| 4. Party - Republican | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.3 | 82.2 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 90.2 | 88.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_4th

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention
4

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 4. Party - Republican | 141 | 0 | 141 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1786 | 3581 | 5367 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Party - Republican | 6.9 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 87.0 | 84.6 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 90.8 | 89.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_5th

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention
5

Unweighted Frequencies

| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1921 | 3581 | 5502 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.5 | 93.7 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 93.0 | 92.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_dc

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Democratic candidate

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 266 | 761 | 1027 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 334 | 1117 | 1451 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 13.0 | 16.1 | 19.7 | 18.2 | 17.4 | 17.5 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 16.3 | 18.6 | 28.9 | 23.9 | 24.5 | 22.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_rc

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Republican candidate

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 315 | 786 | 1101 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 285 | 1092 | 1377 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 15.3 | 14.6 | 20.4 | 18.0 | 18.6 | 16.8 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 13.9 | 20.1 | 28.3 | 24.1 | 23.3 | 22.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_dp

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Democratic party

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 261 | 713 | 974 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 339 | 1165 | 1504 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 12.7 | 16.1 | 18.5 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 16.6 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 16.5 | 18.6 | 30.2 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 22.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_rp

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Republican party

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 305 | 706 | 1011 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 295 | 1172 | 1467 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 14.8 | 14.4 | 18.3 | 16.0 | 17.1 | 15.4 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 14.4 | 20.3 | 30.4 | 26.1 | 24.8 | 24.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_ptycont_othcont

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Other

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 574 | 1806 | 2380 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 26 | 72 | 98 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 27.9 | 33.3 | 46.8 | 40.4 | 40.2 | 37.9 |
| 1. Mentioned by R | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

csest_ptycont_othtxt

Label: POST: Other Specify- CSES Mobilization: institutional contact

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5833 |
| both | 5 |
| independent | 5 |
| Independent | 4 |
| libertarian | 4 |
| Libertarian | 3 |
| Tea Party | 3 |
| tea | 2 |
| all | 1 |
| ALL | 1 |

cses_ptycont_dkrf

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 573 | 1877 | 2450 |
| 1. DK mentioned by R | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 2. RF mentioned by R | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1329 | 1703 | 3032 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. Not mentioned by R | 27.9 | 32.9 | 48.6 | 42.1 | 41.4 | 38.9 |
| 1. DK mentioned by R | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 2. RF mentioned by R | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 64.7 | 59.6 | 44.1 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 53.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_persuade

Label: CSES: Mobilization: personal contact
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbor, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 719 | 1034 | 1753 |
| 2. No | 1203 | 2540 | 3743 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 35.0 | 38.7 | 26.8 | 25.0 | 29.6 | 29.7 |
| 2. No | 58.6 | 55.3 | 65.8 | 67.2 | 63.3 | 63.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

cses_persftf

Label: CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - in person
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they try to persuade you in person, face-to-face?
Universe: IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic: Ask if CSES PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank the following items together in a grid: CSES PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB. At the top of the screen include this question text: 'How did they try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate?' Include Yes and No response options in columns to the right of each question.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 634 | 891 | 1525 |
| 2. No | 84 | 143 | 227 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1210 | 2547 | 3757 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 30.9 | 34.1 | 23.1 | 21.1 | 25.8 | 25.6 |
| 2. No | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.9 | 55.6 | 66.0 | 67.5 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

csest_persmail

Label: CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - by mail
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.2
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they try to persuade you by mail?
Universe: IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic: Ask if CSES PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic: See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PER-SPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 94 | 159 | 253 |
| 2. No | 624 | 874 | 1498 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1210 | 2547 | 3757 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| 2. No | 30.4 | 33.2 | 22.6 | 21.5 | 25.3 | 25.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.9 | 55.6 | 66.0 | 67.5 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

cses_persph

Label: CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - by phone
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.3
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Did they try to persuade you by phone?
 Universe: IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
 Logic: Ask if CSES PERSUADE=1, else skip
 Web Logic: See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 257 | 364 | 621 |
| 2. No | 462 | 669 | 1131 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1210 | 2547 | 3757 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 12.5 | 13.6 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| 2. No | 22.5 | 25.1 | 17.3 | 16.9 | 19.1 | 19.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.9 | 55.6 | 66.0 | 67.5 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_perstxt

Label: CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - text message
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.4
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Did they try to persuade you by text message or SMS?
 Universe: IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
 Logic: Ask if CSES PERSUADE=1, else skip
 Web Logic: See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | | 104 | 97 | 201 |
| 2. No | | 615 | 936 | 1551 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 1210 | 2547 | 3757 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 5.1 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 |
| 2. No | 29.9 | 34.8 | 24.2 | 22.8 | 26.2 | 27.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.9 | 55.6 | 66.0 | 67.5 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

cses_perseml

Label: CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - email
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.5
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Did they try to persuade you by email?
 Universe: IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
 Logic: Ask if CSES PERSUADE=1, else skip
 Web Logic: See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PER-SPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 174 | 359 | 533 |
| 2. No | 545 | 674 | 1219 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1210 | 2547 | 3757 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 8.5 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 8.6 |
| 2. No | 26.5 | 28.2 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 20.6 | 21.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.9 | 55.6 | 66.0 | 67.5 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

csestpersweb

Label: CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - social network
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.6
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Did they try to persuade you through a social network site or other Web-based method?
Universe: IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic: Ask if CSES PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic: See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Yes | 261 | 307 | 568 |
| 2. | No | 458 | 725 | 1183 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 1210 | 2547 | 3757 |
| | -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| | -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 12.7 | 15.7 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 10.5 |
| 2. No | 22.3 | 23.0 | 18.8 | 17.2 | 20.0 | 19.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 58.9 | 55.6 | 66.0 | 67.5 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

cses_mobph

Label: CSES: Mobilization: sign up online information or alerts
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.7
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Prior to or during the campaign, did you use the Internet or your mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 209 | 438 | 647 |
| 2. No | 1717 | 3137 | 4854 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 6 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 10.2 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 10.3 |
| 2. No | 83.6 | 84.4 | 81.3 | 81.6 | 82.1 | 82.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

csestpoliinfone

Label: CSES: Political information - Secy Treasury
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Which of these persons was the Secretary of the Treasury before the recent election? [Hillary Clinton, Eric Holder, Leon Panetta, or Timothy Geithner]?
Randomization: Order of names in question text
Logic: Randomize the order of the names in the question text in the same order that the names in the response options appear.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Hillary Clinton | 337 | 511 | 848 |
| 2. Eric Holder | 137 | 466 | 603 |
| 3. Leon Panetta | 137 | 324 | 461 |
| 4. Timothy Geithner | 536 | 2116 | 2652 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 781 | 0 | 781 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 164 | 165 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Hillary Clinton | 16.4 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 16.3 | 14.3 | 15.2 |
| 2. Eric Holder | 6.7 | 6.4 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 10.2 | 10.5 |
| 3. Leon Panetta | 6.7 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| 4. Timothy Geithner | 26.1 | 32.6 | 54.8 | 49.4 | 44.8 | 43.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 38.0 | 35.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 12.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 3.5 |

csestopolinf2_rate

Label: POST: CSES: Political information - number used for unemployment rate

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------------|
| 7.9 | <u>1929</u> |
| -1 | 3581 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | <u>152</u> |

cses_polinftwo_date

Label: POST: CSES: Political information - date used for date of unempl
rate

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 3985 |
| 20121102 | 1929 |

csestpolinf2

Label: CSES: Political information - unemployment rate
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: What was the current unemployment rate in the United States as of [preload: dateunemp] -- [preload: unemp - 2.0], [preload: unemp], [preload: unemp + 2.0], or [preload: unemp + 4.0]?
Logic: Preload variables dateunemp and unemp will contain the values for the date of the latest available unemployment rate and the number corresponding to that unemployment rate. It will be necessary between the Pre and the Post, during the 1st week of November for the interviewer to sync in order to capture the latest unemployment date and rate, which will probably be data for October 2012. It is not known what day in Nov the latest rate (and date) will be available to sync, but it will be sometime during the 1st week of Nov that IWR will have to sync.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. <unemp - 2.0> | 103 | 113 | 216 |
| 2. <unemp> | 857 | 2496 | 3353 |
| 3. <unemp + 2.0> | 346 | 698 | 1044 |
| 4. <unemp + 4.0> | 193 | 212 | 405 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 427 | 0 | 427 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 62 | 65 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. <unemp - 2.0> | 5.0 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 2. <unemp> | 41.7 | 47.5 | 64.7 | 62.6 | 56.7 | 57.4 |
| 3. <unemp + 2.0> | 16.8 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 18.4 |
| 4. <unemp + 4.0> | 9.4 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 20.8 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 5.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 |

csestpoliinfthree

Label: CSES: Political information - party 2nd in House seats won
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20.3
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Which PARTY came in second in seats in the United States House of Representatives? [The Democratic party, the Republican party, the Green party, or the Libertarian party]?
Randomization: Order of parties in question text
Logic: Randomize the order of parties in the question text in the same order that the names in the response options appear.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Democratic party | 803 | 2337 | 3140 |
| 2. Republican party | 440 | 1010 | 1450 |
| 3. Green party | 20 | 54 | 74 |
| 4. Libertarian party | 23 | 67 | 90 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 638 | 0 | 638 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 113 | 118 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Democratic party | 39.1 | 45.7 | 60.5 | 57.7 | 53.1 | 53.5 |
| 2. Republican party | 21.4 | 19.8 | 26.2 | 27.0 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| 3. Green party | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 4. Libertarian party | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 31.1 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 9.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 |

csestpoliinffour

Label: CSES: Political information - Secy of the U.N.
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20.4
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: Who is the current Secretary-General of the United Nations - [Kofi Annan, Kurt Waldheim, Ban Ki-moon, or Boutros Boutros-Ghali]?
Randomization: Order of names in question text
Logic: Randomize the order of the names in the question text in the same order that the names in the response options appear.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Kofi Annan | 238 | 960 | 1198 |
| 2. Kurt Waldheim | 139 | 779 | 918 |
| 3. Ban Ki-Moon | 217 | 1150 | 1367 |
| 4. Boutros Boutros-Ghali | 98 | 399 | 497 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1232 | 0 | 1232 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 293 | 298 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Kofi Annan | 11.6 | 13.0 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 20.3 | 19.6 |
| 2. Kurt Waldheim | 6.8 | 7.8 | 20.2 | 21.4 | 15.5 | 16.7 |
| 3. Ban Ki-Moon | 10.6 | 13.0 | 29.8 | 28.6 | 23.1 | 23.2 |
| 4. Boutros Boutros-Ghali | 4.8 | 5.5 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 8.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 60.0 | 54.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 19.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 5.8 |

csest_increduc

Label: CSES: Household income in next 12 months
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-21
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: The next question is about your household's income. How likely or unlikely do you think it is that your household's income could be severely reduced IN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS? Very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very likely | 186 | 399 | 585 |
| 2. Somewhat likely | 520 | 1124 | 1644 |
| 4. Somewhat unlikely | 609 | 1389 | 1998 |
| 5. Very unlikely | 557 | 657 | 1214 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 12 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very likely | 9.1 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 9.5 |
| 2. Somewhat likely | 25.3 | 26.0 | 29.1 | 29.4 | 27.8 | 28.2 |
| 4. Somewhat unlikely | 29.6 | 30.5 | 36.0 | 34.8 | 33.8 | 33.3 |
| 5. Very unlikely | 27.1 | 27.2 | 17.0 | 17.7 | 20.5 | 21.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

cses_ownresid

Label: CSES: Ownership: residence
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Do you or a member of your household own a residence - for example, a home or an apartment?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 973 | 2668 | 3641 | |
| 2. No | 951 | 903 | 1854 | |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 | |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 | |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 10 | 13 | |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 47.4 | 60.9 | 69.1 | 68.2 | 61.6 | 65.7 |
| 2. No | 46.3 | 33.1 | 23.4 | 23.9 | 31.3 | 27.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

cses_ownoth

Label: CSES: Ownership: real estate
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22.1
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Do you or a member of your household own a business, a piece of property, a farm, or livestock?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 419 | 879 | 1298 |
| 2. No | 1504 | 2693 | 4197 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 9 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 20.4 | 25.8 | 22.8 | 22.1 | 21.9 | 23.4 |
| 2. No | 73.2 | 68.1 | 69.8 | 70.0 | 71.0 | 69.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

cses_ownstck

Label: CSES: Ownership: stocks or bonds
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22.2
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Do you or a member of your household own stocks or bonds?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 610 | 1651 | 2261 |
| 2. No | 1293 | 1913 | 3206 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| -9. Refused | 8 | 17 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 29.7 | 42.3 | 42.8 | 37.8 | 38.2 | 39.4 |
| 2. No | 63.0 | 50.3 | 49.6 | 54.1 | 54.2 | 52.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

cses_ownsavg

Label: CSES: Ownership: savings
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22.3
 Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
 Question: Do you or a member of your household have any savings?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 1233 | 2637 | 3870 |
| 2. No | 673 | 924 | 1597 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| -9. Refused | 13 | 20 | 33 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 60.0 | 69.3 | 68.3 | 65.7 | 65.4 | 67.0 |
| 2. No | 32.8 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 26.0 | 27.0 | 25.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

csest_diffjob

Label: CSES: R find another job
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-23
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: If you lost your job, how easy or difficult would it be to find another job IN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS? Very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat difficult, or very difficult ?
Universe: IF R IS CURRENTLY WORKING:
Logic: Ask if (DEM EMPTYTYPE includes 1) or (DEM HSWORKG=1) or (DEM RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Very easy | 169 | 144 | 313 |
| 2. Somewhat easy | 368 | 604 | 972 |
| 3. Somewhat difficult | 405 | 870 | 1275 |
| 4. Very difficult | 212 | 356 | 568 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 745 | 1594 | 2339 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 13 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very easy | 8.2 | 8.2 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 5.6 |
| 2. Somewhat easy | 17.9 | 18.0 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 16.4 | 17.5 |
| 3. Somewhat difficult | 19.7 | 19.9 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 21.6 | 21.7 |
| 4. Very difficult | 10.3 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 9.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 36.3 | 35.0 | 41.3 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 38.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

cses_spdiffjb

Label: CSES: Spouse/partner find another job
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 159-23.1
Description: Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question: If your [spouse/partner] lost their job, how easy or difficult would it be for them to find another job IN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS?
Very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat difficult, or very difficult?
Universe: IF R IS MARRIED/ IF R IS PARTNERED: IF R HAS INDICATED SPOUSE/PARTNER IS WORKING:
Logic: Ask if 1 in DEM EMPTYPEP, else skip. Load 'spouse' if DEM MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM PARTN=1.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very easy | 96 | 116 | 212 |
| 2. Somewhat easy | 209 | 408 | 617 |
| 3. Somewhat difficult | 198 | 541 | 739 |
| 4. Very difficult | 108 | 221 | 329 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1304 | 2292 | 3596 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 3 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very easy | 4.7 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| 2. Somewhat easy | 10.2 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 11.3 |
| 3. Somewhat difficult | 9.6 | 11.8 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 13.2 |
| 4. Very difficult | 5.3 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 6.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 63.5 | 56.8 | 59.4 | 58.8 | 60.8 | 58.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dhs_threat

Label: Does federal govt pose a threat to citizens
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-34
 Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
 Question: Do you think the federal government's powers pose a threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary citizens, or not?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Poses a threat | 804 | 1905 | 2709 |
| 2. Does not pose a threat | 975 | 1645 | 2620 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 143 | 0 | 143 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 31 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Poses a threat | 39.1 | 44.6 | 49.4 | 51.3 | 45.8 | 49.0 |
| 2. Does not pose a threat | 47.5 | 44.1 | 42.6 | 40.1 | 44.3 | 41.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

dhs_threatamt

Label: How much of a threat does federal govt pose to citizens
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-34.5
Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question: How threatening to rights and freedoms are the federal government's powers? [extremely threatening, very threatening, moderately threatening, or slightly threatening / slightly threatening, moderately threatening, very threatening, or extremely threatening]?
Universe: IF R THINKS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S POWERS THREATEN RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:
Logic: Ask if DHS THREAT=1, else skip.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely threatening | 128 | 350 | 478 |
| 2. Very threatening | 169 | 497 | 666 |
| 3. Moderately threatening | 317 | 736 | 1053 |
| 4. Slightly threatening | 186 | 320 | 506 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1125 | 1676 | 2801 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely threatening | 6.2 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| 2. Very threatening | 8.2 | 10.6 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 11.3 | 11.8 |
| 3. Moderately threatening | 15.4 | 16.7 | 19.1 | 20.7 | 17.8 | 19.3 |
| 4. Slightly threatening | 9.1 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 9.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 54.8 | 49.6 | 43.4 | 41.2 | 47.4 | 44.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dhs_appterr

Label: DHS: Approve/disappr govt efforts to reduce terrorism
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-83
Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question: Do you APPROVE, DISAPPROVE, or NEITHER APPROVE NOR DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. federal government has handled the effort to reduce the risk of terrorist attacks in the United States during the last four years?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 1301 | 1749 | 3050 |
| 2. Disapprove | 272 | 599 | 871 |
| 3. Neither approve nor disapprove | 295 | 1219 | 1514 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 14 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 63.3 | 63.4 | 45.3 | 42.8 | 51.6 | 49.9 |
| 2. Disapprove | 13.2 | 13.4 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 15.1 |
| 3. Neither approve nor disapprove | 14.4 | 15.2 | 31.6 | 33.1 | 25.6 | 26.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

dhs_appterrmch

Label: DHS: How much approve efforts to reduce terrorism
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-84
Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question: Do you approve [EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly / SLIGHTLY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or EXTREMELY strongly]?
Universe: IF R APPROVES HANDLING OF EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM:
Logic: Ask if DHS APPTERR=1, else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with previous item, DHS APPTERR.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely | 372 | 418 | 790 |
| 2. Moderately | 662 | 1113 | 1775 |
| 3. Slightly | 265 | 214 | 479 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 628 | 1832 | 2460 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely | 18.1 | 15.6 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 13.4 | 11.9 |
| 2. Moderately | 32.2 | 34.3 | 28.8 | 26.6 | 30.0 | 29.3 |
| 3. Slightly | 12.9 | 13.4 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 8.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 30.6 | 30.9 | 47.5 | 49.7 | 41.6 | 43.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

dhs_disterrmch

Label: DHS: How much disapprove efforts to reduce terrorism
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-85
 Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
 Question: Do you disapprove [EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly / SLIGHTLY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or EXTREMELY strongly]?
 Universe: IF R DISAPPROVES HANDLING OF EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM:
 Logic: Ask if DHS APPTERR=2, else skip
 Web Logic: Online, bank with DHS APPTERR.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely | 78 | 223 | 301 |
| 2. Moderately | 131 | 294 | 425 |
| 3. Slightly | 63 | 82 | 145 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1657 | 2982 | 4639 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely | 3.8 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| 2. Moderately | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.6 |
| 3. Slightly | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.7 | 80.9 | 77.3 | 76.4 | 78.4 | 77.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

dhs_attack

Label: DHS: Likely terrorist attack killing 100/more in nxt yr
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-97
Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question: During the next 12 months, how likely is it that there will be a terrorist attack in the United States that kills 100 or more people? Is it [EXTREMELY LIKELY, VERY LIKELY, MODERATELY LIKELY, SLIGHTLY LIKELY, or NOT AT ALL LIKELY / NOT AT ALL LIKELY, SLIGHTLY LIKELY, MODERATELY LIKELY, VERY LIKELY, or EXTREMELY LIKELY]?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely likely | 66 | 88 | 154 |
| 2. Very likely | 152 | 324 | 476 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 401 | 1071 | 1472 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 711 | 1559 | 2270 |
| 5. Not at all likely | 443 | 516 | 959 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 149 | 0 | 149 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 23 | 30 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely likely | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| 2. Very likely | 7.4 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| 3. Moderately likely | 19.5 | 21.6 | 27.7 | 28.3 | 24.9 | 26.0 |
| 4. Slightly likely | 34.6 | 36.9 | 40.4 | 39.5 | 38.4 | 38.6 |
| 5. Not at all likely | 21.6 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 15.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 7.3 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

dhs_torture

Label: DHS: Favor or oppose torture for suspected terrorists
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-143
 Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
 Question: Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information?
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 473 | 792 | 1265 |
| 2. Oppose | 1033 | 1467 | 2500 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 367 | 1307 | 1674 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 46 | 0 | 46 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 15 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 23.0 | 24.1 | 20.5 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 22.3 |
| 2. Oppose | 50.3 | 49.7 | 38.0 | 35.8 | 42.3 | 40.6 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 17.9 | 18.5 | 33.9 | 34.8 | 28.3 | 29.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

dhs_torturefav

Label: DHS: How much favor torture for suspected terrorists
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-144
Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question: Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe: IF R FAVORS USE OF TORTURE AGAINST SUSPECTED TERRORISTS:
Logic: Ask if DHS TORTURE=1 else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with DHS TORTURE.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 181 | 313 | 494 |
| 2. Moderately | 182 | 321 | 503 |
| 3. A little | 110 | 156 | 266 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1456 | 2789 | 4245 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.5 |
| 2. Moderately | 8.9 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| 3. A little | 5.4 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 70.9 | 70.2 | 72.3 | 71.1 | 71.8 | 70.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dhs_tortureopp

Label: DHS: How much oppose torture for suspected terrorists
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 160-145
Description: DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question: Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe: IF R OPPOSES USE OF TORTURE AGAINST SUSPECTED TERRORISTS:
Logic: Ask if DHS TORTURE=2 else skip
Web Logic: Online, bank with DHS TORTURE.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 619 | 846 | 1465 |
| 2. Moderately | 285 | 463 | 748 |
| 3. A little | 126 | 155 | 281 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 896 | 2114 | 3010 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 30.1 | 29.4 | 21.9 | 19.4 | 24.8 | 22.9 |
| 2. Moderately | 13.9 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 12.7 |
| 3. A little | 6.1 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 43.6 | 44.6 | 54.8 | 56.7 | 50.9 | 52.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

pohisp_hispnews

Label: HISPANIC: news in English or Spanish
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-1
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: For information about politics would you say you get the most information from Spanish-language television, radio, and newspapers, or from English-language TV, radio, and newspapers?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
Web Logic: Online, omit response options 3 and 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. English more | 313 | 374 | 687 |
| 2. Spanish more | 64 | 107 | 171 |
| 3. Both equally (bilingual) (VOL) | 56 | 0 | 56 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. English more | 15.2 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 8.1 | 11.6 | 7.7 |
| 2. Spanish more | 3.1 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| 3. Both equally (bilingual) (VOL) | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

pohisp_hispnews_othtxt

Label: POST: HISPANIC: Other specify- news in English or Spanish

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5912 |
| I never pay no mind to that | 1 |
| Neither | 1 |

pohisp_uselang

Label: HISPANIC: how much R uses English or Spanish
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-1.8
 Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
 Question: Comparing how often you speak in English or Spanish in your day-to-day life, would you say that you are generally speaking: - English and little or no Spanish, - Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time, - English and Spanish about equally, - Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time, or - Spanish and little or no English?
 Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
 Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. English and little or no Spanish | 158 | 154 | 312 |
| 2. Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time | 115 | 107 | 222 |
| 3. Both English and Spanish about equally | 100 | 125 | 225 |
| 4. Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time | 41 | 60 | 101 |
| 5. Spanish and little or no English | 24 | 36 | 60 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. English and little or no Spanish | 7.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| 2. Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time | 5.6 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 2.0 |
| 3. Both English and Spanish about equally | 4.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 2.2 |
| 4. Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| 5. Spanish and little or no English | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

pohisp_renglish

Label: HISPANIC and not English-only: converse well in English
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-2
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: Would you say you could carry on a conversation in English -- both understanding and speaking -- [VERY WELL, PRETTY WELL, JUST A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, JUST A LITTLE, PRETTY WELL, or VERY WELL]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF R DOES NOT SPEAK ONLY ENGLISH IN DAY TO DAY LIFE:
Logic: Ask if POHISP USELANG in(2,3,4,5), else skip. Response option Other is fixed last; only response options 1-4 are set to forward or reverse ordering.
Web Logic: Online, omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very well | 201 | 176 | 377 |
| 2. Pretty well | 40 | 74 | 114 |
| 3. Just a little | 32 | 67 | 99 |
| 4. Not at all | 6 | 11 | 17 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1649 | 3253 | 4902 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very well | 9.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 3.4 |
| 2. Pretty well | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| 3. Just a little | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| 4. Not at all | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 80.3 | 88.2 | 84.3 | 86.4 | 82.9 | 87.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

pohisp_renglish_othtxt

Label: POST: HISPANIC: Oth spec- how well speak in English (not English only)

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------|-------------|
| <NULL> | <u>5914</u> |

pohisp_rspanish

Label: HISPANIC and not Spanish-only: converse well in Spanish
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-3
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: Would you say you could carry on a conversation in Spanish -- both understanding and speaking -- [VERY WELL, PRETTY WELL, JUST A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, JUST A LITTLE, PRETTY WELL, or VERY WELL]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF R DOES NOT SPEAK ONLY ENGLISH IN DAY TO DAY LIFE:
Logic: Ask if POHISP USELANG in(1,2,3,4), else skip. Response option Other is fixed last; only response options 1-4 are set to forward or reverse ordering.
Web Logic: Online, omit response option 5.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very well | 188 | 221 | 409 |
| 2. Pretty well | 99 | 106 | 205 |
| 3. Just a little | 62 | 63 | 125 |
| 4. Not at all | 65 | 56 | 121 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1515 | 3135 | 4650 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very well | 9.2 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 6.9 | 4.0 |
| 2. Pretty well | 4.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| 3. Just a little | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| 4. Not at all | 3.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 73.8 | 85.2 | 81.2 | 83.0 | 78.6 | 83.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

pohisp_rspanish_othtxt

Label: POST: HISPANIC: Oth spec- how well speak in Spanish (not Spanish only)

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------|-------------|
| <NULL> | <u>5914</u> |

pohisp_keepspan

Label: HISPANIC: how important to speak Spanish
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-4
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: How important do you think it is for you or your family to maintain the ability to speak Spanish? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, NOT VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very Important | 295 | 274 | 569 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 88 | 132 | 220 |
| 3. Not very important | 20 | 45 | 65 |
| 4. Not at all important | 33 | 31 | 64 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very Important | 14.4 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 9.6 | 5.5 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 4.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.6 |
| 3. Not very important | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 4. Not at all important | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

pohisp_readeng

Label: HISPANIC: how impt to read and write English in U.S.
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-5
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn to read and write English? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, NOT VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very Important | 385 | 392 | 777 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 43 | 77 | 120 |
| 3. Not very important | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| 4. Not at all important | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very Important | 18.7 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 13.1 | 7.9 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 3. Not very important | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 4. Not at all important | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

pohisp_speakeng

Label: HISPANIC: how important to speak English in US
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-6
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn to speak English? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, NOT VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very Important | 382 | 385 | 767 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 49 | 79 | 128 |
| 3. Not very important | 7 | 15 | 22 |
| 4. Not at all important | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very Important | 18.6 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 7.5 | 13.0 | 7.8 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 3. Not very important | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Not at all important | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

pohisp_impassim

Label: HISPANIC: how important to blend in
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-7
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: How important is it for Hispanics to: Change so that they blend into the larger American society? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very important | 116 | 169 | 285 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 147 | 221 | 368 |
| 3. Not at all important | 163 | 92 | 255 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very important | 5.6 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 3.0 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 7.2 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| 3. Not at all important | 7.9 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

pohisp_impdistinct

Label: HISPANIC: how important to maintain culture
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-8
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: How important is it for Hispanics to: Maintain their distinct cultures? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very important | 277 | 233 | 510 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 126 | 223 | 349 |
| 3. Not at all important | 32 | 26 | 58 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very important | 13.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 8.6 | 5.1 |
| 2. Somewhat important | 6.1 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
| 3. Not at all important | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

pohisp_cnctcntry

Label: HISPANIC: how often contact with ancestral country
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-9
 Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
 Question: How often do you have contact with friends and family in [dem hispcntry] [ONCE A WEEK OR MORE, ONCE A MONTH OR MORE, ONCE EVERY SEVERAL MONTHS, or NEVER / NEVER, ONCE EVERY SEVERAL MONTHS, ONCE A MONTH OR MORE, or ONCE A WEEK OR MORE]?
 Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
 Logic: Ask if DEM HISPCNTRY = 1 and DEM HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM HISPCNTRY.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Once a week or more | 66 | 101 | 167 |
| 2. Once a month or more | 73 | 68 | 141 |
| 3. Once every several months | 78 | 89 | 167 |
| 4. Never | 181 | 216 | 397 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1527 | 3107 | 4634 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Once a week or more | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| 2. Once a month or more | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| 3. Once every several months | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| 4. Never | 8.8 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 4.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.3 | 85.4 | 80.5 | 82.4 | 78.4 | 83.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

pohisp_viscntry

Label: HISPANIC: how often visit ancestral country
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-10
 Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
 Question: How often do you visit [dem hispcntry]? [MORE THAN ONCE A YEAR, ONCE A YEAR, ONCE IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, ONCE IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS, MORE THAN FIVE YEARS AGO, or NEVER / NEVER, MORE THAN FIVE YEARS AGO, ONCE IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS, ONCE IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, ONCE A YEAR, or MORE THAN ONCE A YEAR]?
 Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
 Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1 and DEM HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM HISPCNTRY.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. More than once a year | 26 | 48 | 74 |
| 2. Once a year | 38 | 55 | 93 |
| 3. Once in the past three years | 50 | 51 | 101 |
| 4. Once in the past five years | 38 | 29 | 67 |
| 5. More than five years ago | 91 | 131 | 222 |
| 6. Never | 156 | 160 | 316 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1527 | 3107 | 4634 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More than once a year | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| 2. Once a year | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| 3. Once in the past three years | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| 4. Once in the past five years | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 5. More than five years ago | 4.4 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| 6. Never | 7.6 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 3.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.3 | 85.4 | 80.5 | 82.4 | 78.4 | 83.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

pohisp_moneycntry

Label: HISPANIC: how often send money ancestral country
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-11
 Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
 Question: How often do you send money to friends or family in [dem hispcntry]? [MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH, ONCE A MONTH, ONCE EVERY FEW MONTHS, ONCE A YEAR, LESS THAN ONCE A YEAR, or NEVER / NEVER, LESS THAN ONCE A YEAR, ONCE A YEAR, ONCE EVERY FEW MONTHS, ONCE A MONTH, MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH]?
 Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
 Logic: Ask if DEM HISPCNTRY = 1 and DEM HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM HISPCNTRY.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. More than once a month | 11 | 10 | 21 |
| 2. Once a month | 32 | 30 | 62 |
| 3. Once every few months | 38 | 39 | 77 |
| 4. Once a year | 21 | 31 | 52 |
| 5. Less than once a year | 14 | 32 | 46 |
| 6. Never | 282 | 332 | 614 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1527 | 3107 | 4634 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More than once a month | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 2. Once a month | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 3. Once every few months | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 4. Once a year | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 5. Less than once a year | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 6. Never | 13.7 | 5.9 | 8.6 | 7.2 | 10.4 | 6.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.3 | 85.4 | 80.5 | 82.4 | 78.4 | 83.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

pohisp_polcntry

Label: HISPANIC: attn to politics in ancestral country
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-12
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: How much attention would you say you pay to politics in [dem hispcntry]? Would you say you pay [A LOT of attention, SOME attention, a LITTLE attention, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, a LITTLE attention, SOME attention, or A LOT of attention]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1 and DEM HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM HISPCNTRY.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 18 | 37 | 55 |
| 2. Some | 55 | 110 | 165 |
| 3. Little | 117 | 150 | 267 |
| 4. None at all | 208 | 177 | 385 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1527 | 3107 | 4634 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| | | | |
| -8. Don't know | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 2. Some | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| 3. Little | 5.7 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
| 4. None at all | 10.1 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 4.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 74.3 | 85.4 | 80.5 | 82.4 | 78.4 | 83.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

pohisp_votecntry

Label: HISPANIC: did R ever vote in ancestral country
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 161-13
Description: Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question: Before coming to the U.S., did you ever vote in elections in [dem hispcntry]?
Universe: IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE: IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1 and DEM HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM HISPCNTRY <= 20 and DEM HISPBORN in(2,3), else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM HISPCNTRY.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 4 | 10 | 14 |
| 2. No | 7 | 22 | 29 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1807 | 3415 | 5222 |
| -4. Error | 110 | 134 | 244 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 2. No | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.0 | 90.6 | 88.5 | 89.6 | 88.3 | 90.0 |
| -4. Error | 5.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

casistartpo_iwrset4

Label: POST: FTF CASI ONLY: SETUP TEST- Does R have a dog

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 842 | 0 | 842 |
| 2. No | 1086 | 0 | 1086 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3581 | 3581 |
| -4. Error | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 41.0 | 44.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 15.4 |
| 2. No | 52.9 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 17.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 60.6 | 60.3 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

casistartpo_iwrset6

Label: POST: FTF CASI ONLY: SETUP TEST- What R ate for breakfast

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| 0. No text entered | 0 |
| 1. Text entered | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3581 |
| -2. Missing; available in forthcoming release | 1929 |
| -3. Restricted access | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

ftcasi_asian

Label: CASI Feeling thermometer: ASIAN-AMERICANS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-1
Description: CASI thermometers
Question: [Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] ASIAN-AMERICANS <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe: IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). Randomize the order of CASI group thermometers. If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic: Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Asian-Americans? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Asian-Americans?'
Source: Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|------------|
| 50 | 1481 |
| 70 | 926 |
| 85 | 807 |
| 60 | 593 |
| 100 | 551 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 40 | 221 |
| 75 | 155 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 80 | <u>141</u> |

ftcasi_hisp

Label: CASI Feeling thermometer: HISPANICS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-2
Description: CASI thermometers
Question: [Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] HISPANICS
 <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe: IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic: Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Hispanics? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Hispanics?'
Source: Respondent

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|------------|
| 50 | 1223 |
| 70 | 818 |
| 85 | 797 |
| 100 | 736 |
| 60 | 558 |
| 40 | 322 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 30 | 140 |
| 75 | <u>139</u> |

ftcasi_black

Label: CASI Feeling thermometer: BLACKS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-22
Description: CASI thermometers
Question: [Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] BLACKS
 <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe: IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic: Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Blacks? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Blacks?'
Source: Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|------------|
| 50 | 1238 |
| 70 | 843 |
| 85 | 842 |
| 100 | 820 |
| 60 | 523 |
| 40 | 279 |
| -6 | 252 |
| -7 | 152 |
| 80 | 127 |
| 30 | <u>121</u> |

ftcasi_illegal

Label: CASI Feeling thermometer: ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-24
Description: CASI thermometers
Question: [Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:]
ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe: IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic: Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate illegal immigrants? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate illegal immigrants?'
Source: Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|------------|
| 50 | 1001 |
| 0 | 800 |
| 40 | 672 |
| 30 | 591 |
| 15 | 506 |
| 60 | 455 |
| 70 | 398 |
| 85 | 264 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 100 | <u>198</u> |

ftcasi_white

Label: CASI Feeling thermometer: WHITES
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-26
Description: CASI thermometers
Question: [Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] WHITES
 <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe: IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic: Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Whites? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Whites?'
Source: Respondent

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|------------|
| 85 | 1205 |
| 50 | 1047 |
| 70 | 972 |
| 100 | 773 |
| 60 | 510 |
| -6 | 252 |
| 80 | 181 |
| 75 | 160 |
| 90 | 154 |
| -7 | <u>152</u> |

racecasi_infwhite

Label: CASI How much influence do whites have in U.S. politics
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-9
Description: CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question: Would you say that whites have TOO MUCH INFLUENCE in American politics, JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT of influence in American politics, or TOO LITTLE influence in American politics?
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Too much influence | 754 | 1078 | 1832 |
| 2. Just about the right amount of influence | 1059 | 2136 | 3195 |
| 3. Too little influence | 112 | 337 | 449 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 30 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too much influence | 36.7 | 25.2 | 27.9 | 25.3 | 31.0 | 25.3 |
| 2. Just about the right amount of influence | 51.6 | 62.9 | 55.3 | 56.4 | 54.0 | 58.7 |
| 3. Too little influence | 5.5 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 8.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

racecasi_infblacks

Label: CASI How much influence do blacks have in U.S. politics
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-10
Description: CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question: Would you say that blacks have TOO MUCH INFLUENCE in American politics, JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT of influence in American politics, or TOO LITTLE influence in American politics
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Too much influence | 190 | 517 | 707 |
| 2. Just about the right amount of influence | 978 | 1882 | 2860 |
| 3. Too little influence | 754 | 1146 | 1900 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 36 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too much influence | 9.3 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 12.7 |
| 2. Just about the right amount of influence | 47.6 | 55.3 | 48.8 | 50.6 | 48.4 | 52.2 |
| 3. Too little influence | 36.7 | 27.6 | 29.7 | 26.8 | 32.1 | 27.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 |

racecasi_infhisp

Label: CASI How much influence do Hisp have in U.S. politics
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-10.2
Description: CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question: Would you say that Hispanics have TOO MUCH INFLUENCE in American politics, JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT of influence in American politics, or TOO LITTLE influence in American politics
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Too much influence | 173 | 493 | 666 |
| 2. Just about the right amount of influence | 943 | 1779 | 2722 |
| 3. Too little influence | 808 | 1274 | 2082 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 35 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too much influence | 8.4 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 11.3 | 12.9 |
| 2. Just about the right amount of influence | 45.9 | 50.1 | 46.1 | 47.5 | 46.0 | 48.4 |
| 3. Too little influence | 39.3 | 32.3 | 33.0 | 30.0 | 35.2 | 30.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

racecasi_sympblacks

Label: CASI How often has felt sympathy for blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-11
Description: CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question: How often have you felt sympathy for Blacks? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 187 | 125 | 312 |
| 2. Most of the time | 267 | 411 | 678 |
| 3. About half the time | 287 | 620 | 907 |
| 4. Some of the time | 895 | 1778 | 2673 |
| 5. Never | 286 | 617 | 903 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 30 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 9.1 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 3.8 |
| 2. Most of the time | 13.0 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 9.3 |
| 3. About half the time | 14.0 | 12.7 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 15.3 | 14.8 |
| 4. Some of the time | 43.6 | 50.2 | 46.1 | 45.4 | 45.2 | 47.0 |
| 5. Never | 13.9 | 15.1 | 16.0 | 18.4 | 15.3 | 17.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

racecasi_admblacks

Label: CASI How often has felt admiration for blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-12
Description: CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question: How often have you felt admiration for Blacks? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Always | 208 | 186 | 394 |
| 2. Most of the time | 299 | 505 | 804 |
| 3. About half the time | 379 | 828 | 1207 |
| 4. Some of the time | 805 | 1646 | 2451 |
| 5. Never | 231 | 391 | 622 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 25 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Always | 10.1 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 4.7 |
| 2. Most of the time | 14.6 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 11.8 |
| 3. About half the time | 18.5 | 18.0 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 20.4 | 20.2 |
| 4. Some of the time | 39.2 | 44.9 | 42.6 | 42.3 | 41.4 | 43.2 |
| 5. Never | 11.2 | 12.3 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 10.5 | 12.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

ident_hispid

Label: CASI How important is being Hispanic to identity
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2
Description: CASI Group identity
Question: How important is being Hispanic to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe: IF R IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN THE PRE:
Logic: Ask if DEM HISP=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 177 | 112 | 289 |
| 2. Very important | 132 | 154 | 286 |
| 3. Moderately important | 67 | 129 | 196 |
| 4. A little important | 27 | 47 | 74 |
| 5. Not at all important | 35 | 40 | 75 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1491 | 3099 | 4590 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 8.6 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 2.7 |
| 2. Very important | 6.4 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 2.9 |
| 3. Moderately important | 3.3 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| 4. A little important | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 5. Not at all important | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 72.6 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 82.3 | 77.6 | 83.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ident_whiteid

Label: CASI How important is being White to identity
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.1
Description: CASI Group identity
Question: How important is being White to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe: IF R MENTIONS WHITE IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic: Ask if 1 (white) in DEM CPSRACE mentions , else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 142 | 284 | 426 |
| 2. Very important | 227 | 519 | 746 |
| 3. Moderately important | 302 | 851 | 1153 |
| 4. A little important | 217 | 531 | 748 |
| 5. Not at all important | 318 | 636 | 954 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 717 | 749 | 1466 |
| -2. Missing; R gave white identification in text of Other Specify for race | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 11 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 6.9 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 8.7 |
| 2. Very important | 11.1 | 14.7 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 14.4 |
| 3. Moderately important | 14.7 | 19.0 | 22.0 | 21.2 | 19.5 | 20.4 |
| 4. A little important | 10.6 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 14.0 |
| 5. Not at all important | 15.5 | 18.6 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 16.1 | 17.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 34.9 | 17.6 | 19.4 | 18.5 | 24.8 | 18.2 |
| -2. Missing; R gave white identification in text of Other Specify for race | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

ident_blackid

Label: CASI How important is being Black to identity
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.2
Description: CASI Group identity
Question: How important is being Black or African-American to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe: IF R MENTIONS BLACK IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic: Ask if 2 (black or African-American) in DEM CPSRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 321 | 249 | 570 |
| 2. Very important | 117 | 123 | 240 |
| 3. Moderately important | 52 | 87 | 139 |
| 4. A little important | 24 | 32 | 56 |
| 5. Not at all important | 28 | 26 | 54 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1385 | 3062 | 4447 |
| -2. Missing; R gave black identification in text of Other Specify for race | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 2 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 15.6 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 9.6 | 5.8 |
| 2. Very important | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.6 |
| 3. Moderately important | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| 4. A little important | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 5. Not at all important | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 67.4 | 81.2 | 79.3 | 80.9 | 75.2 | 81.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

ident_nativeid

Label: CASI How important is being Native Am to identity
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.3
 Description: CASI Group identity
 Question: How important is being an American Indian or Alaska Native to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
 Universe: IF R MENTIONS NATIVE AMERICAN IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
 Logic: Ask if 3 (American Indian or Alaska native) in DEM CPSRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 26 | 26 | 52 |
| 2. Very important | 18 | 18 | 36 |
| 3. Moderately important | 19 | 19 | 38 |
| 4. A little important | 23 | 12 | 35 |
| 5. Not at all important | 22 | 10 | 32 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1819 | 3496 | 5315 |
| -2. Missing; R gave native American/Alaskan identification in text of Other Specify for race | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 2. Very important | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 3. Moderately important | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 4. A little important | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 5. Not at all important | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 88.6 | 90.7 | 90.6 | 90.6 | 89.9 | 90.7 |
| -2. Missing; R gave native American/Alaskan identification in text of Other Specify for race | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ident_asianid

Label: CASI How important is being Asian to identity
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.4
Description: CASI Group identity
Question: How important is being Asian to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe: IF R MENTIONS ASIAN IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic: Ask if 4 (Asian) in DEM CPSRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| 2. Very important | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| 3. Moderately important | 8 | 27 | 35 |
| 4. A little important | 4 | 10 | 14 |
| 5. Not at all important | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1900 | 3514 | 5414 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 2. Very important | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 3. Moderately important | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 4. A little important | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 5. Not at all important | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.5 | 92.8 | 91.0 | 90.3 | 91.5 | 91.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ident_pacifid

Label: CASI How important is being Pacific Islander to identity
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.5
 Description: CASI Group identity
 Question: How important is being a Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
 Universe: IF R MENTIONS NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
 Logic: Ask if 5 (Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander) in DEM CP-SRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 2. Very important | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 3. Moderately important | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 4. A little important | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5. Not at all important | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1909 | 3572 | 5481 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. Very important | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. Moderately important | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. A little important | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5. Not at all important | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.9 | 93.6 | 92.5 | 92.2 | 92.7 | 92.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ident_otherid

Label: CASI How important is being Other to identity
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.6
 Description: CASI Group identity
 Question: How important is being [DEM RACECPS OTHER SPECIFY] to your identity? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
 Universe: IF R MENTIONS OTHER IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
 Logic: Ask if DEM RACECPS includes mention 95 and SPECIFY for mention is not null string and has length > 2, else skip. Load text of SPECIFY for DEM RACECPS code 95 into question. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 42 | 16 | 58 |
| 2. Very important | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| 3. Moderately important | 9 | 11 | 20 |
| 4. A little important | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| 5. Not at all important | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1834 | 3505 | 5339 |
| -2. Missing; R repeated existing race mention in Other Specify for race or Other Specify recoded to existing race | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 2. Very important | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 3. Moderately important | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 4. A little important | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 5. Not at all important | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 89.3 | 91.2 | 90.8 | 91.0 | 90.3 | 91.1 |
| -2. Missing; R repeated existing race mention in Other Specify for race or Other Specify recoded to existing race | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ident_religid_group

Label: POST: CASI/WEB: Religious group for importance of religious identity

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Christian | 1446 | 0 | 1446 |
| 5. Other (collapsed for confidentiality) | 138 | 0 | 138 |
| 7. Not religious | 312 | 0 | 312 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 33 | 3581 | 3614 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Christian | 70.4 | 67.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 23.6 |
| 5. Other (collapsed for confidentiality) | 6.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| 7. Not religious | 15.2 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1.6 | 1.3 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 61.1 | 60.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |

ident_religid

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Label: | CASI How important is religious identification to identity |
| Position: | Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.7 |
| Description: | CASI Group identity |
| Question: | How important is being [Christian / Jewish / Muslim / Hindu / Buddhist / not religious / agnostic / atheist] to your identity? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?] |
| Universe: | IF R IS CHRISTIAN / IF R IS JEWISH / IF R IS MUSLIM / IF R IS HINDU / IF R IS BUDDHIST / IF R IS NOT RELIGIOUS / IF R IS AGNOSTIC / IF R IS ATHEIST: |
| Logic: | Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). Create variable pre relgrp. Assign values using statements in this order: If RELIG RELGRPA in(1,2) or RELIG RELGRPNA in(1,2) or RELIG OTHXIAN=1 or RELIG RELDENOM in(1-26) then pre relgrp=1. If RELIG RELGRPA=3 or RELIG RELGRPNA=3 then pre relgrp=2. If RELIG RELDENOM=29 then pre relgrp=3. If RELIG RELDENOM=28 then pre relgrp=4. If RELIG RELDENOM=27 then pre relgrp=5. If RELIG CHMEMBER in(2,DK) then pre relgrp=6. If RELIG RELIGIDENT in(3,6) and pre relgrp not in(1,2,3,4,5) then pre relgrp = 6 ('not religious'). If RELIG RELIGIDENT=4 then pre relgrp=7 . If RELIG RELIGIDENT=5 then pre relgrp=8. If pre relgrp=1 then load 'Christian'. If pre relgrp=2 then load 'Jewish'. If pre relgrp=3 then load 'Muslim'. If pre relgrp=4 then load 'Hindu'. If pre relgrp=5 then load 'Buddhist'. If pre relgrp=6 then load 'not religious'. If pre relgrp=7 then load 'agnostic'. If pre relgrp=8 then load 'atheist'. If pre relgrp is missing then skip |
| Source: | Respondent |

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 597 | 1132 | 1729 |
| 2. Very important | 343 | 729 | 1072 |
| 3. Moderately important | 303 | 718 | 1021 |
| 4. A little important | 207 | 386 | 593 |
| 5. Not at all important | 418 | 571 | 989 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 33 | 34 | 67 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 28 | 11 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 29.1 | 27.9 | 29.3 | 29.0 | 29.2 | 28.6 |
| 2. Very important | 16.7 | 15.7 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 17.6 |
| 3. Moderately important | 14.8 | 13.6 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 17.3 | 16.8 |
| 4. A little important | 10.1 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 10.8 |
| 5. Not at all important | 20.4 | 23.6 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 16.7 | 17.7 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 |

ident_amerid

Label: CASI How important is being American to identity
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.8
Description: CASI Group identity
Question: How important is being American to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely important | 1007 | 1711 | 2718 |
| 2. Very important | 550 | 1021 | 1571 |
| 3. Moderately important | 220 | 550 | 770 |
| 4. A little important | 95 | 197 | 292 |
| 5. Not at all important | 53 | 88 | 141 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 14 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely important | 49.0 | 49.9 | 44.3 | 41.9 | 46.0 | 44.6 |
| 2. Very important | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.5 | 25.9 | 26.6 | 26.2 |
| 3. Moderately important | 10.7 | 10.5 | 14.2 | 15.6 | 13.0 | 13.8 |
| 4. A little important | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| 5. Not at all important | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

ineqinc_ineqgb

Label: CASI Is increased income inequality in U.S. good
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.45-2
Description: CASI Income inequality
Question: The difference in incomes has grown in the past few decades, as shown below. <br clear=all> The blue line on top shows that the incomes of households in the top 20 percent has increased from about 100,000 in 1967 to about 171,000 in 2009 (all income figures are in 2009 dollars). The red line on the bottom shows that the income of households in the bottom 20 percent has increased from about 9,000 in 1967 to about 11,500 in 2009. In 1967, households in the top 20 percent earned an average of 11 times as much as households in the bottom 20 percent. Today, the top earn an average of 15 times as much. Is it good, bad, or neither good nor bad that the DIFFERENCE between the top and the bottom incomes has changed this way?
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Good | 274 | 284 | 558 |
| 2. Bad | 966 | 1918 | 2884 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad | 679 | 1367 | 2046 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 10 | 12 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Good | 13.3 | 10.6 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 8.8 |
| 2. Bad | 47.0 | 48.7 | 49.7 | 47.9 | 48.8 | 48.2 |
| 3. Neither good nor bad | 33.1 | 34.7 | 35.4 | 36.3 | 34.6 | 35.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

ineqinc_ineqreduc

Label: CASI Does R favor-oppose govt reducing income inequality
 Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.45-3
 Description: CASI Income inequality
 Question: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the government trying to make this income difference smaller?
 Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Favor | 741 | 1257 | 1998 |
| 2. Oppose | 614 | 1269 | 1883 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 573 | 1048 | 1621 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 7 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favor | 36.1 | 34.4 | 32.6 | 31.3 | 33.8 | 32.4 |
| 2. Oppose | 29.9 | 33.4 | 32.9 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 33.7 |
| 3. Neither favor nor oppose | 27.9 | 26.5 | 27.2 | 27.0 | 27.4 | 26.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

stype_hwkwhite

Label: CASI stereotype: Whites hardworking
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-2
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate WHITES in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Logic: Randomize the order of the groups after whites. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 270 | 316 | 586 |
| 2 | 351 | 855 | 1206 |
| 3 | 452 | 1063 | 1515 |
| 4 | 613 | 1024 | 1637 |
| 5 | 148 | 235 | 383 |
| 6 | 44 | 43 | 87 |
| 7 | 35 | 24 | 59 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 16 | 21 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 10.0 |
| 2 | 17.1 | 19.4 | 22.2 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 20.7 |
| 3 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 27.5 | 27.2 | 25.6 | 26.0 |
| 4 | 29.8 | 30.3 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 27.7 | 27.9 |
| 5 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 5.4 |
| 6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

stype_hwkblack

Label: CASI Stereotype: Blacks hardworking
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-3
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate BLACKS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of groups after whites.
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 235 | 199 | 434 |
| 2 | 212 | 323 | 535 |
| 3 | 355 | 698 | 1053 |
| 4 | 610 | 1250 | 1860 |
| 5 | 298 | 680 | 978 |
| 6 | 123 | 283 | 406 |
| 7 | 85 | 127 | 212 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 11 | 21 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 11.4 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 5.7 |
| 2 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 7.6 |
| 3 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 18.1 | 17.2 | 17.8 | 17.1 |
| 4 | 29.7 | 35.2 | 32.4 | 33.2 | 31.5 | 33.9 |
| 5 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 16.8 |
| 6 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 7.4 |
| 7 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |

stype_hwkhis

Label: CASI Stereotype: Hispanics hardworking
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-4
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of groups after whites.
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1 | 519 | 468 | 987 |
| 2 | 410 | 791 | 1201 |
| 3 | 389 | 972 | 1361 |
| 4 | 439 | 887 | 1326 |
| 5 | 111 | 304 | 415 |
| 6 | 31 | 104 | 135 |
| 7 | 17 | 34 | 51 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 13 | 21 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 25.3 | 17.7 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 16.7 | 13.8 |
| 2 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 3 | 18.9 | 20.8 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 23.0 | 23.6 |
| 4 | 21.4 | 24.6 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 23.7 |
| 5 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.7 |
| 6 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| 7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

stype_hwkasi

Label: CASI Stereotype: Asians hardworking
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-5
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS in general on this scale?
<img src='hardworking.gif' width='600' height='80' align='left'
border='0' /> <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of groups after whites.
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks
>> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to
go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 487 | 654 | 1141 |
| 2 | 457 | 1088 | 1545 |
| 3 | 382 | 863 | 1245 |
| 4 | 461 | 708 | 1169 |
| 5 | 84 | 188 | 272 |
| 6 | 27 | 44 | 71 |
| 7 | 19 | 14 | 33 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 12 | 22 | 34 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 23.7 | 21.2 | 16.9 | 15.0 | 19.3 | 17.2 |
| 2 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 28.2 | 27.1 | 26.1 | 25.6 |
| 3 | 18.6 | 19.7 | 22.4 | 23.9 | 21.1 | 22.4 |
| 4 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 20.7 |
| 5 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| 6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

stype_intwhite

Label: CASI Stereotype: Whites intelligent
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-7
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate WHITES in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Logic: Randomize the order of the groups after whites. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 366 | 439 | 805 |
| 2 | 463 | 905 | 1368 |
| 3 | 432 | 1066 | 1498 |
| 4 | 539 | 995 | 1534 |
| 5 | 77 | 120 | 197 |
| 6 | 16 | 19 | 35 |
| 7 | 22 | 8 | 30 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 14 | 29 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 17.8 | 15.4 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 13.6 | 12.8 |
| 2 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 23.4 | 23.8 | 23.1 | 23.5 |
| 3 | 21.0 | 22.8 | 27.6 | 26.1 | 25.3 | 25.0 |
| 4 | 26.2 | 29.3 | 25.8 | 26.6 | 25.9 | 27.5 |
| 5 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| 6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

stype_intblack

Label: CASI Stereotype: Blacks intelligent
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-8
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate BLACKS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of groups after whites.
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 273 | 271 | 544 |
| 2 | 292 | 438 | 730 |
| 3 | 412 | 816 | 1228 |
| 4 | 646 | 1332 | 1978 |
| 5 | 195 | 478 | 673 |
| 6 | 64 | 158 | 222 |
| 7 | 35 | 60 | 95 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 12 | 28 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 7.5 |
| 2 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 12.3 | 11.1 |
| 3 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 19.7 |
| 4 | 31.5 | 37.3 | 34.5 | 36.1 | 33.4 | 36.5 |
| 5 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 11.4 | 12.0 |
| 6 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| 7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

stype_inthisp

Label: CASI Stereotype: Hispanics intelligent
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-9
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of groups after whites.
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 239 | 262 | 501 |
| 2 | 275 | 432 | 707 |
| 3 | 461 | 927 | 1388 |
| 4 | 698 | 1402 | 2100 |
| 5 | 178 | 406 | 584 |
| 6 | 43 | 95 | 138 |
| 7 | 22 | 30 | 52 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 13 | 27 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 8.5 | 7.3 |
| 2 | 13.4 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 12.0 | 10.1 |
| 3 | 22.4 | 22.3 | 24.0 | 22.7 | 23.5 | 22.6 |
| 4 | 34.0 | 38.0 | 36.3 | 38.1 | 35.5 | 38.1 |
| 5 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 10.3 |
| 6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| 7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

stype_intasian

Label: CASI Stereotype: Asians intelligent
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-10
Description: CASI Post group stereotypes
Question: Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS in general on this scale?
 <br clear=all>
Randomization: Order of groups after whites.
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | 505 | 699 | 1204 |
| 2 | 504 | 1051 | 1555 |
| 3 | 356 | 850 | 1206 |
| 4 | 436 | 801 | 1237 |
| 5 | 71 | 116 | 187 |
| 6 | 23 | 25 | 48 |
| 7 | 24 | 11 | 35 |
| -6 | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7 | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9 | 10 | 28 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 24.6 | 21.7 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 20.4 | 18.9 |
| 2 | 24.5 | 26.5 | 27.2 | 26.6 | 26.3 | 26.5 |
| 3 | 17.3 | 18.7 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 20.4 | 20.8 |
| 4 | 21.2 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 21.9 | 20.9 | 22.0 |
| 5 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| 6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

tipi_extra

Label: CASI TIPI extraverted, enthusiastic
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-1
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'extraverted, enthusiastic' describes me♦
Logic: After the 1st TIPI item, if it is not too difficult please have the lead text (We're interested...better than the other') in a light gray color rather than black. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 34 | 116 | 150 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 82 | 279 | 361 |
| 3. A little poorly | 120 | 472 | 592 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 408 | 800 | 1208 |
| 5. A little well | 363 | 793 | 1156 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 654 | 875 | 1529 |
| 7. Extremely well | 263 | 235 | 498 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 11 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 1.7 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 4.0 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 6.5 |
| 3. A little poorly | 5.8 | 6.0 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 10.2 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 19.9 | 20.0 | 20.7 | 21.5 | 20.4 | 21.0 |
| 5. A little well | 17.7 | 18.2 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 19.5 | 19.6 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 31.8 | 32.8 | 22.7 | 21.4 | 25.9 | 25.4 |
| 7. Extremely well | 12.8 | 11.1 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 8.4 | 7.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

tipi_crit

Label: CASI TIPI critical, quarrelsome
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-2
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'critical, quarrelsome' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 284 | 665 | 949 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 317 | 864 | 1181 |
| 3. A little poorly | 242 | 512 | 754 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 502 | 756 | 1258 |
| 5. A little well | 341 | 537 | 878 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 182 | 191 | 373 |
| 7. Extremely well | 57 | 43 | 100 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 13 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 13.8 | 13.7 | 17.2 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 15.4 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 15.4 | 18.6 | 22.4 | 21.4 | 20.0 | 20.4 |
| 3. A little poorly | 11.8 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 12.7 | 13.6 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 24.4 | 23.1 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 21.3 | 21.0 |
| 5. A little well | 16.6 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.8 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 8.9 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| 7. Extremely well | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

tipi_dep

Label: CASI TIPI dependable, self-disciplined
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-3
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'dependable, self-disciplined' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 10 | 13 | 23 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 30 | 27 | 57 |
| 3. A little poorly | 51 | 109 | 160 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 158 | 312 | 470 |
| 5. A little well | 250 | 561 | 811 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 714 | 1474 | 2188 |
| 7. Extremely well | 711 | 1074 | 1785 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 5 | 11 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 3. A little poorly | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 7.7 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 8.5 |
| 5. A little well | 12.2 | 10.6 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 13.3 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 34.8 | 37.7 | 38.2 | 37.8 | 37.0 | 37.8 |
| 7. Extremely well | 34.6 | 34.4 | 27.8 | 26.2 | 30.2 | 29.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

tipi_anx

Label: CASI TIPI anxious, easily upset
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-4
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'anxious, easily upset' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 264 | 526 | 790 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 372 | 830 | 1202 |
| 3. A little poorly | 260 | 533 | 793 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 415 | 757 | 1172 |
| 5. A little well | 316 | 589 | 905 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 211 | 250 | 461 |
| 7. Extremely well | 84 | 82 | 166 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 14 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 12.9 | 12.9 | 13.6 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 12.4 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 18.1 | 18.9 | 21.5 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 19.9 |
| 3. A little poorly | 12.7 | 13.6 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 13.7 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 20.2 | 19.3 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.6 |
| 5. A little well | 15.4 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 16.4 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 10.3 | 9.9 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 7.6 |
| 7. Extremely well | 4.1 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

tipi_open

Label: CASI TIPI open to new experiences
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-5
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We are interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'open to new experiences, complex' describes me?
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 19 | 35 | 54 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 43 | 176 | 219 |
| 3. A little poorly | 97 | 390 | 487 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 294 | 796 | 1090 |
| 5. A little well | 425 | 983 | 1408 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 674 | 885 | 1559 |
| 7. Extremely well | 370 | 305 | 675 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 11 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 2.1 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| 3. A little poorly | 4.7 | 5.5 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 8.2 | 9.2 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 14.3 | 14.0 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| 5. A little well | 20.7 | 22.9 | 25.5 | 25.8 | 23.8 | 24.8 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 32.8 | 34.1 | 22.9 | 20.9 | 26.4 | 25.5 |
| 7. Extremely well | 18.0 | 14.7 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 11.4 | 10.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

tipi_resv

Label: CASI TIPI reserved, quiet
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-6
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'reserved, quiet' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 147 | 183 | 330 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 205 | 335 | 540 |
| 3. A little poorly | 207 | 405 | 612 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 338 | 677 | 1015 |
| 5. A little well | 433 | 880 | 1313 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 405 | 738 | 1143 |
| 7. Extremely well | 188 | 353 | 541 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 6 | 10 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 7.2 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 10.0 | 11.9 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 9.6 |
| 3. A little poorly | 10.1 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 11.0 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 16.5 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 16.9 |
| 5. A little well | 21.1 | 21.2 | 22.8 | 23.7 | 22.2 | 22.8 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 19.7 | 18.2 | 19.1 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 18.0 |
| 7. Extremely well | 9.2 | 7.4 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 8.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

tipi_warm

Label: CASI TIPI sympathetic, warm
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-7
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'sympathetic, warm' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 15 | 17 | 32 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 23 | 71 | 94 |
| 3. A little poorly | 65 | 172 | 237 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 196 | 467 | 663 |
| 5. A little well | 364 | 908 | 1272 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 703 | 1262 | 1965 |
| 7. Extremely well | 559 | 672 | 1231 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 12 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 3. A little poorly | 3.2 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 9.5 | 9.9 | 12.1 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 12.1 |
| 5. A little well | 17.7 | 18.8 | 23.5 | 23.6 | 21.5 | 21.9 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 34.2 | 34.9 | 32.7 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 32.3 |
| 7. Extremely well | 27.2 | 25.4 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 20.8 | 19.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

tipi_disorg

Label: CASI TIPI disorganized, careless
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-8
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'disorganized, careless' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 569 | 1113 | 1682 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 451 | 977 | 1428 |
| 3. A little poorly | 246 | 534 | 780 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 316 | 517 | 833 |
| 5. A little well | 186 | 276 | 462 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 112 | 106 | 218 |
| 7. Extremely well | 45 | 48 | 93 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 10 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 27.7 | 27.7 | 28.8 | 28.3 | 28.4 | 28.1 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 22.0 | 25.8 | 25.3 | 24.4 | 24.1 | 24.9 |
| 3. A little poorly | 12.0 | 12.7 | 13.8 | 14.1 | 13.2 | 13.6 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 15.4 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 14.0 |
| 5. A little well | 9.1 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 5.5 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| 7. Extremely well | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

tipi_calm

Label: CASI TIPI calm, emotionally stable
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-9
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'calm, emotionally stable' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 17 | 39 | 56 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 47 | 81 | 128 |
| 3. A little poorly | 98 | 223 | 321 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 278 | 631 | 909 |
| 5. A little well | 380 | 772 | 1152 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 713 | 1258 | 1971 |
| 7. Extremely well | 392 | 565 | 957 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 12 | 16 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| 3. A little poorly | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 13.5 | 14.1 | 16.3 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 16.7 |
| 5. A little well | 18.5 | 18.2 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 19.4 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 34.7 | 36.2 | 32.6 | 30.4 | 33.3 | 32.4 |
| 7. Extremely well | 19.1 | 18.3 | 14.6 | 13.8 | 16.2 | 15.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

tipi_conv

Label: CASI TIPI conventional, uncreative
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-10
Description: CASI TIPI personality traits
Question: We♦re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'conventional, uncreative' describes me♦
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| 1. Extremely poorly | 328 | 433 | 761 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 420 | 757 | 1177 |
| 3. A little poorly | 327 | 714 | 1041 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 435 | 922 | 1357 |
| 5. A little well | 211 | 470 | 681 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 148 | 216 | 364 |
| 7. Extremely well | 56 | 60 | 116 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 4 | 9 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely poorly | 16.0 | 13.4 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 12.9 | 11.9 |
| 2. Somewhat poorly | 20.4 | 23.5 | 19.6 | 18.1 | 19.9 | 20.0 |
| 3. A little poorly | 15.9 | 17.0 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 17.6 | 18.7 |
| 4. Neither poorly nor well | 21.2 | 21.7 | 23.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.5 |
| 5. A little well | 10.3 | 10.1 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 11.9 |
| 6. Somewhat well | 7.2 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| 7. Extremely well | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

incpo_totinc

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Label: | CASI Total income amount |
| Position: | Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-1 |
| Description: | CASI Family and R income - Post |
| Question: | [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] The next question is about [the total income of all the members of your family living here / your total income] in 2011, before taxes. This figure should include income from all sources, including salaries, wages, pensions, Social Security, dividends, interest, and all other income. What was [the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here / your total income in 2011]? |
| Universe: | IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD / IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD: |
| Logic: | This asks the same income questions which appeared in the Pre but only for respondents who did not answer in full in the Pre. Ask if Pre item INC TOTMISS40 in(DK,RF,missing or 2) and INC TOTL20 not in(1,2,3,4,5,6) and INC TOTG20L40 not in(7,8,9,10,11,12) and INC TOTG40L70 not in(13,14,15,16,17,18) and INC TOTG70L100 not in(19,20,21,22) and INC TOTG100 not in(23,24,25,26,27,28); else skip. In preceding module FINANCE, item FINANCE FINFAM asks the number of family members residing with R. If FINANCE FINFAM > 0 or FINANCE FINFAM in(DK,RF) then FILL 'the total income of all the members of your family living here' and 'the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here' else FILL 'your total income' and 'your total income in 2011'. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to INCPO TOTMISS40. |
| Source: | Respondent |

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------|
| -3. Restricted access | 5510 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 152 |
| Refused | 0 |
| Breakoff | 0 |
| Error | 0 |
| Inapplicable | 0 |

incpo_totmiss40

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 40K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-3
Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 40,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
Logic: Ask if R attempted twice to proceed without answering (soft requirement) at INCPO TOTINC, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. 40,000 or more | 14 | 35 | 49 |
| 2. Less than 40,000 | 33 | 23 | 56 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1882 | 3478 | 5360 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 45 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 40,000 or more | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 2. Less than 40,000 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.6 | 92.5 | 90.1 | 89.5 | 90.6 | 90.5 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

incpo_totmiss20

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 20K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-4
Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 20,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000:
Logic: Ask if INCPO TOTMISS40 =2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. 20,000 or more | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| 2. Less than 20,000 | 19 | 10 | 29 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1896 | 3558 | 5454 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 5 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 20,000 or more | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 2. Less than 20,000 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.3 | 93.0 | 92.2 | 91.8 | 92.2 | 92.2 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

incpo_totl20

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories lt 20K
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-5
 Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
 Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
 Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 20,000:
 Logic: Ask if INCPO TOTMISS20 =2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Under 5,000 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 2. 5,000-9,999 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3. 10,000-12,499 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 4. 12,500-14,999 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5. 15,000-17,499 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 6. 17,500-19,999 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1910 | 3571 | 5481 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 5 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Under 5,000 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. 5,000-9,999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. 10,000-12,499 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4. 12,500-14,999 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. 15,000-17,499 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 6. 17,500-19,999 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.0 | 93.6 | 92.5 | 92.1 | 92.7 | 92.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

incpo_totg20l40

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 20-40K
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-6
 Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
 Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
 Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME 20,000 OR MORE:
 Logic: Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS20 =1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 09. 25,000-27,499 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10. 27,500-29,999 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 11. 30,000-34,999 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 12. 35,000-39,999 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1916 | 3573 | 5489 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 3 | 6 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 09. 25,000-27,499 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10. 27,500-29,999 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11. 30,000-34,999 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 12. 35,000-39,999 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.3 | 93.8 | 92.6 | 92.2 | 92.8 | 92.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

incpo_totmiss70

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 70K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-7
Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 70,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE:
Logic: Ask if INCPO TOTMISS40=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. 70,000 or more | 5 | 18 | 23 |
| 2. Less than 70,000 | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1915 | 3546 | 5461 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 10 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 70,000 or more | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 2. Less than 70,000 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.2 | 93.7 | 91.9 | 91.6 | 92.3 | 92.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

incpo_totg40l70

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 40-70K
 Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-8
 Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
 Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
 Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 70,000:
 Logic: Ask if INCPO TOTMISS70=2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
 Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 13. 40,000-44,999 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 14. 45,000-49,999 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 15. 50,000-54,999 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 16. 55,000-59,999 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 17. 60,000-64,999 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1921 | 3574 | 5495 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 13. 40,000-44,999 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 14. 45,000-49,999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 15. 50,000-54,999 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17. 60,000-64,999 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.5 | 94.1 | 92.6 | 92.3 | 92.9 | 92.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

incpo_totmiss100

Label: CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 100K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-9
Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 100,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE:
Logic: Ask if INCPO TOTMISS70=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. 100,000 or more | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| 2. Less than 100,000 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1924 | 3563 | 5487 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 8 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. 100,000 or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 2. Less than 100,000 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.7 | 93.9 | 92.3 | 91.9 | 92.8 | 92.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

incpo_totg70l100

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 70-100K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-10
Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 100,000:
Logic: Ask if INCPO TOTMISS100=2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 20. 75,000-79,999 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 21. 80,000-89,999 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1926 | 3580 | 5506 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 20. 75,000-79,999 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21. 80,000-89,999 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.8 | 94.0 | 92.7 | 92.4 | 93.1 | 93.0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

incpo_totg100

Label: CASI Income amt missing - categories 100+K
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-11
Description: CASI Family and R income - Post
Question: [/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe: IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 100,000 OR MORE:
Logic: Ask if INCPO TOTMISS100=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 23. 100,000-109,999 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 24. 110,000-124,999 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 28. 250,000 or more | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1928 | 3572 | 5500 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 7 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 24. 110,000-124,999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.2 | 92.5 | 92.2 | 93.0 | 92.9 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

incgroup_prepost

Label: CASI/WEB: PREPOST SUMMARY- Family income

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------|-------|
| 01. Under 5,000 | 661 |
| 11. 30,000-34,999 | 324 |
| 12. 35,000-39,999 | 288 |
| 15. 50,000-54,999 | 273 |
| 21. 80,000-89,999 | 261 |
| 13. 40,000-44,999 | 258 |
| 17. 60,000-64,999 | 225 |
| 23. 100,000-109,999 | 219 |
| 09. 25,000-27,499 | 215 |
| 07. 20,000-22,499 | 210 |

discrim_black

Label: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Blacks
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-1.3
Description: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question: (How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Blacks [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?
Randomization: Order of social groups
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 296 | 379 | 675 |
| 2. A lot | 505 | 779 | 1284 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 710 | 1370 | 2080 |
| 4. A little | 324 | 908 | 1232 |
| 5. None at all | 72 | 124 | 196 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 21 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 14.4 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 11.4 | 9.7 |
| 2. A lot | 24.6 | 21.8 | 20.2 | 18.2 | 21.7 | 19.4 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 34.6 | 39.6 | 35.5 | 35.4 | 35.2 | 36.9 |
| 4. A little | 15.8 | 19.1 | 23.5 | 24.8 | 20.8 | 22.8 |
| 5. None at all | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

discrim_hispanics

Label: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Hispanics
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-2
Description: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question: (How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Hispanics ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization: Order of social groups
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 208 | 352 | 560 |
| 2. A lot | 528 | 881 | 1409 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 739 | 1404 | 2143 |
| 4. A little | 367 | 815 | 1182 |
| 5. None at all | 66 | 106 | 172 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 21 | 23 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 10.1 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 8.3 |
| 2. A lot | 25.7 | 22.5 | 22.8 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 21.8 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 36.0 | 40.9 | 36.4 | 36.5 | 36.2 | 38.0 |
| 4. A little | 17.9 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 21.6 | 20.0 | 21.0 |
| 5. None at all | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

discrim_whites

Label: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Whites
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-3
Description: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question: (How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Whites ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization: Order of social groups
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 48 | 81 | 129 |
| 2. A lot | 77 | 191 | 268 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 389 | 728 | 1117 |
| 4. A little | 847 | 1642 | 2489 |
| 5. None at all | 546 | 917 | 1463 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 22 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 2. A lot | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 18.9 | 21.0 | 18.9 | 19.3 | 18.9 | 19.9 |
| 4. A little | 41.2 | 45.5 | 42.5 | 43.0 | 42.1 | 43.9 |
| 5. None at all | 26.6 | 20.7 | 23.8 | 21.8 | 24.7 | 21.4 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

discrim_gays

Label: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Gays and Lesbians
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-4
Description: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question: (How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Gays and Lesbians ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization: Order of social groups
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 509 | 729 | 1238 |
| 2. A lot | 670 | 1163 | 1833 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 474 | 1023 | 1497 |
| 4. A little | 203 | 523 | 726 |
| 5. None at all | 51 | 122 | 173 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 22 | 21 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 24.8 | 21.5 | 18.9 | 18.3 | 20.9 | 19.4 |
| 2. A lot | 32.6 | 33.7 | 30.1 | 29.5 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 23.1 | 24.9 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 25.3 | 26.0 |
| 4. A little | 9.9 | 11.0 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 13.1 |
| 5. None at all | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

discrim_women

Label: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Women
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-5
Description: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question: (How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Women ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization: Order of social groups
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 159 | 188 | 347 |
| 2. A lot | 371 | 528 | 899 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 767 | 1326 | 2093 |
| 4. A little | 527 | 1317 | 1844 |
| 5. None at all | 82 | 199 | 281 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 23 | 23 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 7.7 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 4.9 |
| 2. A lot | 18.1 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 15.2 | 13.1 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 37.3 | 38.3 | 34.4 | 34.0 | 35.4 | 35.5 |
| 4. A little | 25.7 | 30.9 | 34.1 | 34.8 | 31.2 | 33.4 |
| 5. None at all | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.3 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

discrim_self

Label: CASI How much discrimination has R faced personal
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-6
Description: CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question: How much discrimination have YOU personally faced because of your ethnicity or race? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / None at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?
Logic: Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|-----|------|-------|
| 1. A great deal | 117 | 101 | 218 |
| 2. A lot | 154 | 173 | 327 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 398 | 560 | 958 |
| 4. A little | 633 | 1220 | 1853 |
| 5. None at all | 620 | 1515 | 2135 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 7 | 12 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A great deal | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| 2. A lot | 7.5 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| 3. A moderate amount | 19.4 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 16.2 | 13.8 |
| 4. A little | 30.8 | 31.1 | 31.6 | 31.1 | 31.3 | 31.1 |
| 5. None at all | 30.2 | 41.0 | 39.2 | 40.5 | 36.1 | 40.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

rstype_violcath

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Catholics
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.1
Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question: Next we'll ask how well some words describe Catholics, Protestants, Muslims, Mormons, and non-religious people. How well does the word 'violent' describe most Catholics? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Logic: For each of the 2 religious stereotypes in this module (violent and patriotic), randomize the order of the religious groups except for 'non-religious people' which is always last.
Web Logic: Online, administer this section as the last section of the survey.
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 28 | 28 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 81 | 81 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 337 | 337 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 686 | 686 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 2411 | 2411 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 38 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 11.6 | 11.5 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 61.6 | 40.8 | 40.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

rstype_violprot

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Protestants
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.2
Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question: How well does the word 'violent' describe most Protestants? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 68 | 68 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 381 | 381 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 681 | 681 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 2378 | 2378 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 42 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.6 | 60.8 | 40.2 | 39.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

rstype_violmusl

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Muslims
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.3
Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question: How well does the word 'violent' describe most Muslims? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 300 | 300 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 428 | 428 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 798 | 798 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 1005 | 1005 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 1001 | 1001 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 49 | 49 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 22.0 | 13.5 | 14.4 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 24.7 | 17.0 | 16.1 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 25.2 | 16.9 | 16.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

rstype_violmorm

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Mormons
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.4
Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question: How well does the word 'violent' describe most Mormons? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 62 | 62 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 290 | 290 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 493 | 493 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 2671 | 2671 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 42 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 4.9 | 5.8 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 8.3 | 8.5 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 66.8 | 45.2 | 43.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

rstype_violnonrel

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - nonreligious
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.5
Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question: How well does the word 'violent' describe most non-religious people? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 70 | 70 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 203 | 203 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 691 | 691 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 1102 | 1102 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 1474 | 1474 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 41 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 11.7 | 12.6 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 28.3 | 18.6 | 18.5 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.2 | 36.4 | 24.9 | 23.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

rstype_patrcath

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Catholics

Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.1

Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes

Question: How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Catholics? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 330 | 330 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 830 | 830 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 1284 | 1284 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 703 | 703 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 379 | 379 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 55 | 55 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 18.7 | 14.0 | 12.2 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 32.8 | 21.7 | 21.4 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 19.9 | 11.9 | 13.0 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 |

rstype_patrmusl

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Muslims

Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.2

Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes

Question: How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Muslims? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 131 | 131 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 309 | 309 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 886 | 886 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 962 | 962 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 1236 | 1236 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 57 | 57 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 21.9 | 15.0 | 14.3 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 24.1 | 16.3 | 15.7 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 33.8 | 20.9 | 22.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

rstype_patrmorm

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Mormons

Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.3

Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes

Question: How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Mormons? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 242 | 242 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 689 | 689 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 1251 | 1251 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 840 | 840 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 497 | 497 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 62 | 62 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 16.1 | 11.7 | 10.5 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 31.5 | 21.2 | 20.6 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 22.6 | 14.2 | 14.8 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 8.4 | 9.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.5 |

rstype_patrprot

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Protestants
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.4
Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question: How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Protestants? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 307 | 307 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 866 | 866 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 1281 | 1281 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 669 | 669 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 393 | 393 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 65 | 65 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 19.8 | 14.6 | 13.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.2 | 32.7 | 21.7 | 21.3 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 11.3 | 12.0 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 6.6 | 7.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 |

rstype_patrnonrel

Label: WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - non-religio
Position: Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.5
Description: CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question: How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most non-religious people? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely well | 0 | 137 | 137 |
| 2. Very well | 0 | 554 | 554 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0 | 1459 | 1459 |
| 4. Slightly | 0 | 864 | 864 |
| 5. Not at all | 0 | 510 | 510 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1929 | 0 | 1929 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 | 130 | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 3 | 149 | 152 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 57 | 57 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 2. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 13.8 | 9.4 | 9.0 |
| 3. Moderately well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 35.7 | 24.7 | 23.3 |
| 4. Slightly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 23.6 | 14.6 | 15.4 |
| 5. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.9 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 5.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

sample_ftfpsu

Label: Sample - PSU for FTF cases

124 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| 84 | 61 |
| 51 | 56 |
| 100 | 54 |
| 38 | 45 |
| 28 | 43 |
| 108 | 39 |
| 72 | 39 |
| 98 | 38 |
| 107 | 32 |
| 109 | 32 |

sample_state

Label: Sample- state of Respondent address (2-char Postal abbrev)

51 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| CA | 745 |
| TX | 545 |
| FL | 420 |
| NY | 302 |
| PA | 268 |
| IL | 232 |
| OH | 226 |
| NC | 224 |
| MI | 173 |
| GA | 165 |

sample_stfips

Label: Sample- state FIPS code

51 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 6 | 745 |
| 48 | 545 |
| 12 | 420 |
| 36 | 302 |
| 42 | 268 |
| 17 | 232 |
| 39 | 226 |
| 37 | 224 |
| 26 | 173 |
| 13 | 165 |

sample_region

Label: Sample- Census region

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Northeast | 964 |
| 2. North Central | 1256 |
| 3. South | 2283 |
| 4. West | <u>1411</u> |

sample_district

Label: Sample - U.S. Congr district of Respondent address (113th U.S. Congress)

54 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 1 | 790 |
| 2 | 572 |
| 3 | 503 |
| 4 | 383 |
| 7 | 335 |
| 5 | 329 |
| 6 | 323 |
| 8 | 299 |
| 9 | 174 |
| 11 | 170 |

sample_district_prev

Label: Sample - previous U.S. Congr district of Respondent address
(112th U.S. Congress)

54 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 1 | 756 |
| 3 | 569 |
| 2 | 503 |
| 7 | 367 |
| 4 | 347 |
| 5 | 324 |
| 6 | 316 |
| 8 | 271 |
| 9 | 212 |
| 10 | 148 |

sample_countyfips

Label: RESTRICTED: Sample- county FIPS code

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

sample_countyname

Label: RESTRICTED: Sample- county name

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

sample_ftf_replicate

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- sample replicate

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|------|------|-------|
| 1 | 1639 | 0 | 1639 |
| 2 | 237 | 0 | 237 |
| 3 | 69 | 0 | 69 |
| 4 | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| 5 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 6 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 7 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 9 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 11 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1 | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 79.8 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 28.0 |
| 2 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| 3 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 10 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 13 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

sample_ftf_releasedate

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- sample release datae

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------|------|------|-------|
| <NULL> | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| 09082012 | 1615 | 0 | 1615 |
| 10042012 | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| 10162012 | 152 | 0 | 152 |
| 10192012 | 89 | 0 | 89 |
| 10232012 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 10262012 | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 10292012 | 92 | 0 | 92 |
| 11022012 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| <NULL> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| 09082012 | 78.6 | 79.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 27.7 |
| 10042012 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 10162012 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 10192012 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| 10232012 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 10262012 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 10292012 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 11022012 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

sample_ftf_chumflag

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- CHUM flag (CHUM procedure)

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Not CHUM | 687 |
| 2. CHUM | 1367 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

sample_ftf_tract

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Sample- Census tract

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

sample_ftf_timezone

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- time zone

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Eastern | 954 |
| 2. Central | 566 |
| 3. Mountain | 167 |
| 4. Pacific | 367 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

sample_web_statusmsa

Label: WEB ONLY: Sample- MSA status

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|------------|
| Metro | 3327 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| Non-Metro | <u>533</u> |

sample_web_cbsa

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Sample- CBSA

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

sample_web_mktarea

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Sample- designated Market Area

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

pre_admin_iwdatebeg

Label: Pre admin- Beginning date of IW (YYYYMMDD)

58 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| 20121016 | 1138 |
| 20121017 | 1067 |
| 20121018 | 522 |
| 20121021 | 377 |
| 20121020 | 337 |
| 20121019 | 274 |
| 20121022 | 242 |
| 20121011 | 124 |
| 20121023 | 112 |
| 20121012 | 64 |

pre_admin_iwbegtime

Label: Pre admin- Beginning time of IW (HHMMSS)

5393 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------|-------|
| 202228 | 7 |
| 195732 | 5 |
| 200143 | 5 |
| 222509 | 5 |
| 125144 | 4 |
| 180927 | 4 |
| 181732 | 4 |
| 184011 | 4 |
| 191000 | 4 |
| 201450 | 4 |

pre_admin_iwrecorded

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- R consent to recording Pre-election IW

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 1471 |
| 2. No | 583 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

pre_admin_lang_start

Label: Pre admin - language of Pre-election IW: starting language

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------|------------|
| 1. English | 5585 |
| 2. Spanish | 225 |
| -2. Missing | <u>104</u> |

pre_admin_ltr_notif

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election notification letter

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 2054 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

pre_admin_ltr_notifdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election notification letter (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------|------|------|-------|
| <NULL> | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |
| 20120829 | 1615 | 0 | 1615 |
| 20121003 | 57 | 0 | 57 |
| 20121016 | 152 | 0 | 152 |
| 20121019 | 89 | 0 | 89 |
| 20121023 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 20121026 | 137 | 0 | 137 |
| 20121102 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| <NULL> | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| 20120829 | 78.6 | 79.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 27.7 |
| 20121003 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 20121016 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 20121019 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| 20121023 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 20121026 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 20121102 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

pre_admin_ltr_refcon1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election refusal conversion letter 1

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 150 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 1904 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

pre_admin_ltr_refcon1date

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election ref-conversion
letter 1 (YYYYM)

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5764 |
| 20121004 | 87 |
| 20121009 | 32 |
| 20121016 | 18 |
| 20121025 | 13 |

pre_admin_ltr_refcon2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election refusal conversion letter 2

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 11 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 2043 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

pre_admin_ltr_refcon2date

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election ref-conversion
letter 2 (YYYYM)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5903 |
| 20121025 | 11 |

pre_admin_ltr_lockedbldg

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election locked building letter

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 22 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 2032 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

pre_admin_ltr_lockedbldgdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election locked bldg letter(YYYYMMDD)

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5892 |
| 20121002 | 15 |
| 20121010 | 4 |
| 20121015 | 3 |

pre_admin_ltr_nocont

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election no-contact letter

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 437 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 1617 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

pre_admin_ltr_nocontdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election no-contact letter
(YYYYMMDD)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5477 |
| 20121011 | 437 |

post_admin_iwdatebeg

Label: Post admin- Beginning date of IW (YYYYMMDD)

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| 20121129 | 1714 |
| 20121204 | 596 |
| 20121130 | 427 |
| <NULL> | 404 |
| 20121201 | 243 |
| 20121205 | 207 |
| 20121203 | 184 |
| 20121202 | 158 |
| 20121206 | 119 |
| 20121113 | 92 |

post_admin_iwbegtime

Label: Post admin- Beginning time of IW (HHMMSS)

5101 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------|-------|
| <NULL> | 404 |
| 010352 | 10 |
| 003555 | 5 |
| 005830 | 5 |
| 010749 | 5 |
| 002602 | 4 |
| 003904 | 4 |
| 004124 | 4 |
| 005504 | 4 |
| 005914 | 4 |

post_admin_iwrecorded

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- R consent to recording Post-election IW

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|----------|
| 1. Yes | 1312 |
| 2. No | 617 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -6. Unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 122 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>3</u> |

post_admin_lang_start

Label: Post admin - language of Post-election IW: starting language

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| 1. English | 4648 |
| 2. Spanish | 163 |
| -2. Missing | 699 |
| -6. Unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 252 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | <u>152</u> |

post_admin_ltr_notif

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election notification letter

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 2054 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

post_admin_ltr_notifdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election notification letter (YYYYMMDD)

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|------------|
| <NULL> | 3860 |
| 20121102 | 1494 |
| 20121109 | <u>560</u> |

post_admin_ltr_refcon

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election refusal conversion letter

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 18 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 2036 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

post_admin_ltr_refconde

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election ref-conversion letter (YYYYM)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5896 |
| 20121211 | 18 |

post_admin_ltr_nocont1

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election no-contact letter 1

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 305 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 1749 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

post_admin_ltr_nocont1date

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election no-contact letter 1 (YYYYMMDD)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5609 |
| 20121130 | 305 |

post_admin_ltr_nocontfin

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election final no-contact letter

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Letter sent | 415 |
| 2. No record of letter sent | 1639 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

post_admin_ltr_nocontfindate

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election final no-contact letter (YYY)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5499 |
| 20121211 | 415 |

hhlist_completed

Label: FTF ONLY: was household listing completed

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 0. Not completed | 1 |
| 1. Completed | 2053 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

hhlist_attempts

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- how many screener (HH listing) attempts

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|------|------|-------|
| 1 | 1765 | 0 | 1765 |
| 2 | 213 | 0 | 213 |
| 3 | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| 4 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 5 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1 | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 85.9 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.8 | 30.2 |
| 2 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| 3 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

hhlist_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- screener completion date (2013MMDD)

59 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 3873 |
| 20120922 | 58 |
| 20121020 | 57 |
| 20121006 | 55 |
| 20121013 | 55 |
| 20121027 | 54 |
| 20120927 | 50 |
| 20120928 | 50 |
| 20120926 | 48 |
| 20121005 | 48 |

hhlist_r_number

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- person number in HH listing selected as Respondent

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------|-------------|
| 1. Listed person 1 | 1478 |
| 2. Listed person 2 | 459 |
| 3. Listed person 3 | 96 |
| 4. Listed person 4 | 19 |
| 5. Listed person 5 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3861</u> |

hhlist_r_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- age (group) listed in screener

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 95 | 0 | 95 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 176 | 0 | 176 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 180 | 0 | 180 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 209 | 0 | 209 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 190 | 0 | 190 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 194 | 0 | 194 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 210 | 0 | 210 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 183 | 0 | 183 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 140 | 0 | 140 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 118 | 0 | 118 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 76 | 0 | 76 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 108 | 0 | 108 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| -9. Refused | 19 | 0 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 10.2 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 5.3 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

hhlist_r_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- listed as Spanish, Hispanic or Latino

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 490 |
| 2. No | 1562 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>1</u> |

hhlist_r_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- not listed, observ
clearly Hisp /Lati

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 1 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5913 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_r_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- listed as African-American or Black

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 585 |
| 2. No | 1468 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_r_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- not listed, observ
clearly AfrAm/Blac

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_listtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. One person listed | 798 | 0 | 798 |
| 2. Two persons listed | 920 | 0 | 920 |
| 3. Three persons listed | 242 | 0 | 242 |
| 4. Four persons listed | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| 5. Five persons listed | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| 6. Six persons listed | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 7. Seven persons listed | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. One person listed | 38.9 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 7.7 |
| 2. Two persons listed | 44.8 | 52.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 18.4 |
| 3. Three persons listed | 11.8 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 6.2 |
| 4. Four persons listed | 3.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| 5. Five persons listed | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 6. Six persons listed | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 7. Seven persons listed | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

hhlist_hhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed who are household members (usually re

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 1. One person listed as household member | 808 | 0 | 808 |
| 2. Two persons listed as household member | 915 | 0 | 915 |
| 3. Three persons listed as household member | 240 | 0 | 240 |
| 4. Four persons listed as household member | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| 5. Five persons listed as household member | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 6. Six persons listed as household member | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 7. Seven persons listed as household member | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. One person listed as household member | 39.3 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 7.8 |
| 2. Two persons listed as household member | 44.5 | 53.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 18.4 |
| 3. Three persons listed as household member | 11.7 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 6.2 |
| 4. Four persons listed as household member | 3.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| 5. Five persons listed as household member | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Six persons listed as household member | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 7. Seven persons listed as household member | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

hhlist_elighhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed as eligible household members

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. One person listed as eligible household member | 1010 | 0 | 1010 |
| 2. Two persons listed as eligible household members | 799 | 0 | 799 |
| 3. Three persons listed as eligible household members | 180 | 0 | 180 |
| 4. Four persons listed as eligible household members | 49 | 0 | 49 |
| 5. Five persons listed as eligible household members | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 6. Six persons listed as eligible household members | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. One person listed as eligible household member | 49.2 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 8.9 |
| 2. Two persons listed as eligible household members | 38.9 | 51.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 18.0 |
| 3. Three persons listed as eligible household members | 8.8 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.7 |
| 4. Four persons listed as eligible household members | 2.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| 5. Five persons listed as eligible household members | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| 6. Six persons listed as eligible household members | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

hhlist_hisphhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed as Hispanic and household members

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. No person listed as Hispanic household member | 1523 | 0 | 1523 |
| 1. One person listed as Hispanic household member | 260 | 0 | 260 |
| 2. Two persons listed as Hispanic household members | 174 | 0 | 174 |
| 3. Three persons listed as Hispanic household members | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| 4. Four persons listed as Hispanic household members | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 5. Five persons listed as Hispanic household members | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 7. Seven persons listed as Hispanic household members | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No person listed as Hispanic household member | 74.1 | 86.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 29.9 |
| 1. One person listed as Hispanic household member | 12.7 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 2.2 |
| 2. Two persons listed as Hispanic household members | 8.5 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| 3. Three persons listed as Hispanic household members | 3.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| 4. Four persons listed as Hispanic household members | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 5. Five persons listed as Hispanic household members | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

hhlist_blackhhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed as Black and household members

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 0. No person listed as Black household member | 1447 | 0 | 1447 |
| 1. One person listed as Black household member | 348 | 0 | 348 |
| 2. Two persons listed as Black household members | 181 | 0 | 181 |
| 3. Three persons listed as Black household members | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| 4. Four persons listed as Black household members | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 5. Five persons listed as Black household members | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | W |
| 0. No person listed as Black household member | 70.4 | 84.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | |
| 1. One person listed as Black household member | 16.9 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | |
| 2. Two persons listed as Black household members | 8.8 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | |
| 3. Three persons listed as Black household members | 2.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | |
| 4. Four persons listed as Black household members | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | |
| 5. Five persons listed as Black household members | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | |

hhlist_person1_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: eligible

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 1974 |
| 2. No | 79 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3861</u> |

hhlist_person1_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 2. Not a citizen | 48 | 0 | 48 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 12. Not a resident and not a citizen | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1975 | 3860 | 5835 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Not a citizen | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Not a resident and not a citizen | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 96.2 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 99.5 |

hhlist_person1_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list as usually residing in household

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 2052 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>1</u> |

hhlist_person1_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 66 | 0 | 66 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 170 | 0 | 170 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 166 | 0 | 166 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 206 | 0 | 206 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 202 | 0 | 202 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 197 | 0 | 197 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 224 | 0 | 224 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 185 | 0 | 185 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 153 | 0 | 153 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 125 | 0 | 125 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 80 | 0 | 80 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 109 | 0 | 109 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1 | 3860 | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -9. Refused | 19 | 0 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 2.7 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 5.3 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

hhlist_person1_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 24 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5890 |
| -8. Don't refused | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person1_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age all missing- obs clearly age
elig

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person1_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person1_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list as citizen

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 2003 |
| 2. No | 49 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>1</u> |

hhlist_person1_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list as Hispanic

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 467 |
| 2. No | 1585 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>1</u> |

hhlist_person1_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly
Hisp

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5913 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person1_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list as Black/AfrAm

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 569 |
| 2. No | 1484 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3861 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person1_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list if Black missing - obs clearly
Black

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person2_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: eligible

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 1074 |
| 2. No | 180 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>4660</u> |

hhlist_person2_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 105 | 0 | 105 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 12. Not a resident and not a citizen | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 34. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) and not age eligible | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 124. Not a resident, not a citizen, not age eligible | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1874 | 3860 | 5734 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 5.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 91.2 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 98.7 |

hhlist_person2_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list as usually residing in household

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 1243 |
| 2. No | 9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4660 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>2</u> |

hhlist_person2_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 76 | 0 | 76 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 86 | 0 | 86 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 124 | 0 | 124 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 127 | 0 | 127 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 135 | 0 | 135 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 115 | 0 | 115 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 116 | 0 | 116 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 117 | 0 | 117 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 116 | 0 | 116 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 87 | 0 | 87 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 47 | 0 | 47 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 800 | 3860 | 4660 |
| -8. Don't know | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| -9. Refused | 9 | 0 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 5.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 2.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 38.9 | 22.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 78.8 | 73.0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

hhlist_person2_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 14 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5899 |
| -8. Don't refused | 1 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person2_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age all missing- obs clearly age
elig

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 1 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5913</u> |

hhlist_person2_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 1 |
| 2. No | 11 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5902 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person2_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list as citizen

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 1146 |
| 2. No | 102 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4660 |
| -8. Don't know | 5 |
| -9. Refused | <u>1</u> |

hhlist_person2_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list as Hispanic

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 316 |
| 2. No | 938 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4660 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person2_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly
Hisp

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person2_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list as Black/AfrAm

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 284 |
| 2. No | 970 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4660 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person2_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list if Black missing - obs clearly
Black

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person3_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: eligible

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 279 |
| 2. No | 55 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5580</u> |

hhlist_person3_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| 23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1999 | 3860 | 5859 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 97.3 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 99.4 |

hhlist_person3_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list as usually residing in household

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 331 |
| 2. No | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5580 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person3_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 83 | 0 | 83 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 63 | 0 | 63 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1720 | 3860 | 5580 |
| -8. Don't know | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -9. Refused | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 83.7 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 91.3 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

hhlist_person3_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 6 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5908 |
| -8. Don't refused | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person3_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age all missing- obs clearly age
elig

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person3_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 3 |
| 2. No | 14 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5897 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person3_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list as citizen

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 301 |
| 2. No | 31 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5580 |
| -8. Don't know | 2 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person3_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list as Hispanic

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 110 |
| 2. No | 224 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5580 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person3_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly
Hisp

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person3_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list as Black/AfrAm

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 86 |
| 2. No | 248 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5580 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person3_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list if Black missing - obs clearly
Black

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person4_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: eligible

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 73 |
| 2. No | 21 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5820</u> |

hhlist_person4_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 12. Not a resident and not a citizen | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2033 | 3860 | 5893 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.6 |

hhlist_person4_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list as usually residing in household

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 91 |
| 2. No | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5820 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person4_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1960 | 3860 | 5820 |
| -8. Don't know | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 3. Age group 25-29 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 11. Age group 65-69 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 95.4 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 97.5 |
| -8. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

hhlist_person4_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 1 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5913 |
| -8. Don't refused | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person4_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age all missing- obs clearly age
elig

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person4_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 1 |
| 2. No | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5909 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person4_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list as citizen

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 81 |
| 2. No | 13 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5820 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person4_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list as Hispanic

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 30 |
| 2. No | 64 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5820 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person4_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly
Hisp

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person4_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list as Black/AfrAm

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 26 |
| 2. No | 68 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5820 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person4_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list if Black missing - obs clearly
Black

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person5_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: eligible

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 16 |
| 2. No | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5891</u> |

hhlist_person5_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2047 | 3860 | 5907 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Not person's usual place of residence | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Not a citizen | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 3. Not black/Hispanic (oversample case) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. Not 18 years old by election | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

hhlist_person5_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list as usually residing in household

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 22 |
| 2. No | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5891 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person5_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 5. Age group 35-39 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 7. Age group 45-49 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13. Age group 75 or older | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2031 | 3860 | 5891 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Age group 30-34 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 6. Age group 40-44 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9. Age group 55-59 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10. Age group 60-64 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.9 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.3 |

hhlist_person5_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't refused | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person5_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age all missing- obs clearly age
elig

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person5_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5913 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person5_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list as citizen

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 20 |
| 2. No | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5891 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person5_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list as Hispanic

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 6 |
| 2. No | 17 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5891 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person5_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly
Hisp

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person5_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list as Black/AfrAm

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 5 |
| 2. No | 18 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5891 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person5_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list if Black missing - obs clearly
Black

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person6_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: eligible

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 4 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5910</u> |

hhlist_person6_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: why not eligible

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person6_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list as usually residing in household

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 4 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5910 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person6_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2050 | 3860 | 5910 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Age group 17-20 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 12. Age group 70-74 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.8 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

hhlist_person6_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't refused | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person6_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age all missing- obs clearly age
elig

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person6_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person6_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list as citizen

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 4 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5910 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person6_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list as Hispanic

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 2 |
| 2. No | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5910 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person6_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly
Hisp

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person6_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list as Black/AfrAm

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5910 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person6_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list if Black missing - obs clearly
Black

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_person7_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: eligible

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 2 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5912</u> |

hhlist_person7_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: why not eligible

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person7_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list as usually residing in household

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 2 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5912 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person7_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 2. Age group 21-24 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2052 | 3860 | 5912 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 8. Age group 50-54 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.9 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

hhlist_person7_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't refused | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person7_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age all missing- obs clearly age
elig

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5914</u> |

hhlist_person7_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person7_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list as citizen

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 2 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5912 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person7_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list as Hispanic

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 2 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5912 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person7_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly
Hisp

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <u>0</u> |

hhlist_person7_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list as Black/AfrAm

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5912 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

hhlist_person7_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list if Black missing - obs clearly
Black

1 unique outcome.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5914 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | <hr/> |

hhlist_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- IWR id of interviewer completing the screener

153 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 3860 |
| 848 | 42 |
| 741 | 41 |
| 800 | 39 |
| 858 | 37 |
| 816 | 34 |
| 790 | 33 |
| 850 | 31 |
| 892 | 31 |
| 885 | 29 |

dwell_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Dwelling unit- DU module completed

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 2054 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

dwell_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY- Dwelling unit- date DU module completed

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 3860 |
| 20120922 | 87 |
| 20120919 | 84 |
| 20120920 | 72 |
| 20120914 | 69 |
| 20120918 | 67 |
| 20120926 | 66 |
| 20120927 | 65 |
| 20120915 | 62 |
| 20120921 | 62 |

dwell_winsign

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ1: No. campaign or political signs visible in structure

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------------|
| 0. No sign | 1977 |
| 1. One sign | 4 |
| 2. Two signs | 2 |
| 3. Three signs | 1 |
| 99. Unknown, outside gated community (when DU module completed) | 70 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

dwell_winsign_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ2: Describe window sign(s)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

dwell_frontsign

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ3: No. campaign or political signs visible in front/out

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 0. No sign | 1943 | 0 | 1943 |
| 1. One sign | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 2. Two signs | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 3. Three signs | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 4. Four signs | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11. Eleven signs | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 99. Unknown, outside gated community (when DU module completed) | 74 | 0 | 74 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No sign | 94.6 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 33.2 |
| 1. One sign | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 2. Two signs | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. Three signs | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Four signs | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11. Eleven signs | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 99. Unknown, outside gated community (when DU module completed) | 3.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

dwell_frontsign_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ4: Describe front/outside sign(s)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

dwell_sign_dpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ5: Do any of the signs support Barack Obama

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 9 |
| 2. No | 32 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5873</u> |

dwell_sign_rpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ6: Do any of the signs support Mitt Romney

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 8 |
| 2. No | 33 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5873 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

dwell_relig

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ7: Religious items/symbols around structure

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Cross or crucifix | 13 |
| 2. Painting/mural of religious figures or angels | 15 |
| 3. Star of David or Mezuzah | 0 |
| 4. Crescent moon and star | 1 |
| 5. Religious message (such as 'Jesus Saves') (SPECIFY) | 5 |
| 6. None | 2020 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

dwell_religmsg_spec

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ7: Specify religious message

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

dwell_secur_mention1

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Bars on the windows | 47 | 0 | 47 |
| 2. Crime watch or security systems signs | 179 | 0 | 179 |
| 3. No Trespassing signs | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| 4. Beware of dog sign | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 5. No solicitors sign | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 6. Security Door (windowless metal primary entrance) | 99 | 0 | 99 |
| 7. Guard/Door Person at the front door who has to call the unit | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8. Guard/Door Person at the front door who must give access to bldg | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 10. On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry) | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| 12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label) | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| 14. Threatening animal on or near the property | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 15. None | 1451 | 0 | 1451 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Bars on the windows | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 2. Crime watch or security systems signs | 8.7 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| 3. No Trespassing signs | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 4. Beware of dog sign | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 5. No solicitors sign | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 6. Security Door (windowless metal primary entrance) | 4.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| 7. Guard/Door Person at the front door who has to call the unit | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 8. Guard/Door Person at the front door who must give access to bldg | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10. On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry) | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label) | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 14. Threatening animal on or near the property | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 15. None | 70.6 | 74.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 26.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

dwell_secur_mention2

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 2

13 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

- 1. [redacted]
- 2. No further information
- 5. No security measures or barriers
- 6. Security Door (windowless metal primary door)
- 11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entrance)
- 3. No Trespassing
- 12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not unit numbers)
- 13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels
- 14. Threatening animal on or near the property
- 4. Beware of dog

dwell_secur_mention3

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 3

11 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

- 1. [redacted]
- 2. No further information
- 5. No security measures or barriers present
- 10. On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access
- 11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entrance)
- 12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not address)
- 6. Security Door (windowless metal primary door)
- 8. Guard/Door Person at the front door who must give access
- 13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels
- 14. Threatening animal on or near the property

dwell_secur_mention4

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 4

8 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

- 1. Bars on the windows
- 2. Crime watch or security system
- 3. Guard/Door Person at the front door who must give access
- 4. On-site/Off-site Staff/Manager who controls access
- 5. No security measures or barriers
- 6. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entrance)
- 7. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not numbers)
- 8. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels

-1. None

-2. Not further specified

dwell_secur_mention5

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 5

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

- 1. Bars on t
- 2. Crime watch or security sy
- 3. No Trespa
- 4. Beware
- 11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other lo
- 12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not
- 13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit ad
- 14. Threatening animal on or near t
- 1. I
- 2. No furth

dwell_structure

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ9: Type of structure

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Mobile home | 86 |
| 2. Detached single family | 1221 |
| 3. Duplex | 97 |
| 4. Apartment building / Condo/townhouse complex | 602 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 48 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

dwell_structure_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ9: Other type of structure

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

dwell_strctsize

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ10: Size of structure

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Single family home | 45 |
| 2. Structure with 2 to 9 units | 245 |
| 3. Structure with 10 to 49 units | 213 |
| 4. Structure with 50 or more units | 140 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5264</u> |

dwell_strctsize_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ10: Other size of structure

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

dwell_structresid

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ11: Is structure residential

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Entirely residential | 588 |
| 2. Primarily residential with some commercial or other non-residential | 54 |
| 3. Primarily commercial or other non-residential | 8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5264</u> |

dwell_block_urban

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ12: Is block urban

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Rural farm or undeveloped land | 118 |
| 2. Rural town | 315 |
| 3. Suburban | 1072 |
| 4. Urban | 549 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

dwell_block_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ13: Is block residential

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Residential only | 1806 |
| 2. With commercial properties, mostly retail | 171 |
| 3. With commercial properties, mostly wholesale or industrial | 44 |
| 5. Other (SPECIFY) | 33 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

dwell_block_resid_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ13: Other block type

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

dwell_hu_cond_mention1

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Missing roofing material(s) | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| 2. Boarded up window(s) | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 3. Missing/broken out window(s) | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| 6. Door(s) off hinges | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 103 | 0 | 103 |
| 8. Broken siding | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 56 | 0 | 56 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 11. None | 1737 | 0 | 1737 |
| 12. Unknown-outside gated community (when DU module complete) | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Missing roofing material(s) | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 2. Boarded up window(s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. Missing/broken outside window(s) | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 6. Door(s) off hinges | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 5.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 8. Broken siding | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 11. None | 84.6 | 85.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 29.8 |
| 12. Unknown-outside gated community (when DU module complete) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention2

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 2

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 2. Boarded up window(s) | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 3. Missing/broken out window(s) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| 6. Door(s) off hinges | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| 8. Broken siding | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1744 | 3860 | 5604 |
| -2. No further mention | 151 | 0 | 151 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Boarded up window(s) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 8. Broken siding | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 84.9 | 86.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 95.1 |
| -2. No further mention | 7.4 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.1 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention3

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 3

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 3. Missing/broken out window(s) | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 8. Broken siding | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1895 | 3860 | 5755 |
| -2. No further mention | 73 | 0 | 73 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. Missing/broken out window(s) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 8. Broken siding | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 92.3 | 92.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 97.2 |
| -2. No further mention | 3.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention4

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 4

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 6. Door(s) off hinges | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 8. Broken siding | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1968 | 3860 | 5828 |
| -2. No further mention | 39 | 0 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 6. Door(s) off hinges | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 8. Broken siding | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 95.8 | 95.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 98.6 |
| -2. No further mention | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention5

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 5

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 8. Broken siding | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2007 | 3860 | 5867 |
| -2. No further mention | 27 | 0 | 27 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Punched out/torn screens on windows | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8. Broken siding | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 97.7 | 97.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 99.2 |
| -2. No further mention | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention6

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 6

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 6. Door(s) off hinges | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 7. Peeling paint | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8. Broken siding | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2034 | 3860 | 5894 |
| -2. No further mention | 9 | 0 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 6. Door(s) off hinges | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.0 | 99.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention7

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 7

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 7. Peeling paint | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 8. Broken siding | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2043 | 3860 | 5903 |
| -2. No further mention | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 7. Peeling paint | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8. Broken siding | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.5 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention8

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 8

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 8. Broken siding | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2046 | 3860 | 5906 |
| -2. No further mention | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 8. Broken siding | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.6 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention9

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 9

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2047 | 3860 | 5907 |
| -2. No further mention | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

dwell_hu_cond_mention10

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 10

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2050 | 3860 | 5910 |
| -2. No further mention | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.8 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 |

dwell_area_cond_mention1

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Boarded houses or abandoned building | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| 2. Graffiti | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 3. Abandoned cars | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 4. Demolished houses | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 80 | 0 | 80 |
| 9. None | 1766 | 0 | 1766 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0 | 3860 | 3860 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Boarded houses or abandoned building | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 2. Graffiti | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. Abandoned cars | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 9. None | 86.0 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.9 | 30.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 65.3 |

dwell_area_cond_mention2

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 2

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 2. Graffiti | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 3. Abandoned cars | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 4. Demolished houses | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1766 | 3860 | 5626 |
| -2. No further mention | 182 | 0 | 182 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Graffiti | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. Abandoned cars | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 4. Demolished houses | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.0 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.1 | 95.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 8.9 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 |

dwell_area_cond_mention3

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 3

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 3. Abandoned cars | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4. Demolished houses | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1948 | 3860 | 5808 |
| -2. No further mention | 66 | 0 | 66 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. Abandoned cars | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 4. Demolished houses | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.8 | 95.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 98.4 |
| -2. No further mention | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

dwell_area_cond_mention4

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 4

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 4. Demolished houses | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2014 | 3860 | 5874 |
| -2. No further mention | 26 | 0 | 26 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Demolished houses | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 7. Factories or warehouses | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.1 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 99.5 |
| -2. No further mention | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

dwell_area_cond_mention5

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 5

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2040 | 3860 | 5900 |
| -2. No further mention | 9 | 0 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8. Stores or other retail outlets | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.3 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

dwell_area_cond_mention6

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 6

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2049 | 3860 | 5909 |
| -2. No further mention | 4 | 0 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.8 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

dwell_bldg_relcond

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ16: Condition of the building relative to others on sam

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Better | 193 |
| 2. Same | 1663 |
| 3. Worse | 180 |
| 4. No Other Buildings | 12 |
| 5. Unknown- outside gated community (when DU module completed) | 6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

dwell_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- IWR id of interviewer completing the DU (dwelling unit) module

153 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 3860 |
| 848 | 45 |
| 741 | 40 |
| 800 | 35 |
| 858 | 33 |
| 850 | 29 |
| 892 | 29 |
| 885 | 27 |
| 759 | 26 |
| 807 | 26 |

recontact_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Recontact- Pre-election recontact module completed
(after Pre interview)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 2054 |
| 2. No | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

recontact_rname_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give name (is any text present)

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 2028 |
| 2. No (RF) | 26 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

recontact_sampaddr_correct

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: is address on record correct?

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 2028 |
| 2. No | 24 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -9. Refused | <u>2</u> |

recontact_newaddr_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- is new address given (is any text present)

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 21 |
| 2. No | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5890</u> |

recontact_addr_err

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: is new address correction or move?

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Correction to sample address | 4 |
| 2. Move address | 7 |
| 3. Other | 10 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5893</u> |

recontact_phone_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give phone number

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 1972 |
| 2. No (RF) | 82 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

recontact_have2ndphone

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: have 2nd phone?

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-----------|
| 1. Yes | 448 |
| 2. No | 1463 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3942 |
| -9. Refused | <u>61</u> |

recontact_haveemail

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: have email address?

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|------------|
| 1. Yes | 850 |
| 2. No | 992 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -9. Refused | <u>212</u> |

recontact_setappt

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R set appt for Post IW

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Specific date and time | 657 |
| 2. General date | 665 |
| 3. Don't know | 716 |
| 4. Refused recontact | 16 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

recontact_setappt_date

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- date of appt for Post IW

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 5257 |
| 20121112 | 68 |
| 20121113 | 56 |
| 20121108 | 52 |
| 20121110 | 51 |
| 20121107 | 48 |
| 20121109 | 45 |
| 20121114 | 41 |
| 20121115 | 35 |
| 20121117 | 27 |

recontact_refreason

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- reason for refusing to set Post appt

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Soft refusal - too busy | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 2. Soft refusal - too old/too young | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6. Soft refusal - other | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7. Hard refusal - too busy | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 9. Hard refusal - general not interested | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 10. Hard refusal - too personal | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 12. Hard refusal - other | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2038 | 3860 | 5898 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Soft refusal - too busy | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. Soft refusal - too old/too young | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. Hard refusal - too busy | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9. Hard refusal - general not interested | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 10. Hard refusal - too personal | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12. Hard refusal - other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.2 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.6 |

recontact_c1_name_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give name of other contact person 1
(any text present)

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 445 |
| 2. No (RF) | 1609 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

recontact_c1_relshp

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: relationship of other contact person 1

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------|----------|
| 1. Friend | 59 |
| 2. Relative | 328 |
| 3. Other (SPECIFY) | 56 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5469 |
| -9. Refused | <u>2</u> |

recontact_c1_addr_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give addr of oth contact person 1 (any street addr te

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 263 |
| 2. No (RF) | 182 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5469</u> |

recontact_c1_phone_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give phone number of other contact person 1

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 425 |
| 2. No (RF) | 20 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5469</u> |

recontact_c1_haveothph

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 1 have other phone?

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-----------|
| 1. Yes | 32 |
| 2. No | 380 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5489 |
| -9. Refused | <u>13</u> |

recontact_c1_haveemail

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 1 have email address?

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-----------|
| 1. Yes | 40 |
| 2. No | 348 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5469 |
| -9. Refused | <u>57</u> |

recontact_c2_name_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give name of other contact person 2
(any text present)

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 78 |
| 2. No (RF) | 367 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5469</u> |

recontact_c2_relshp

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: relationship of other contact person 2

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------|----------|
| 1. Friend | 13 |
| 2. Relative | 59 |
| 3. Other (SPECIFY) | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5836 |
| -9. Refused | <u>1</u> |

recontact_c2_addr_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give addr of oth contact person 2 (any street addr te

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 45 |
| 2. No (RF) | 33 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5836</u> |

recontact_c2_phone_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give phone number of other contact person 2

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 73 |
| 2. No (RF) | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>5836</u> |

recontact_c2_haveothph

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 2 have other phone?

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 5 |
| 2. No | 68 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5841 |
| -9. Refused | <u>0</u> |

recontact_c2_haveemail

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 2 have email address?

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 10 |
| 2. No | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5836 |
| -9. Refused | <u>5</u> |

recontact_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- IWR id of interviewer completing the recontact module

152 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 3860 |
| 741 | 41 |
| 848 | 41 |
| 800 | 40 |
| 858 | 37 |
| 816 | 36 |
| 790 | 34 |
| 850 | 34 |
| 892 | 32 |
| 885 | 29 |

iwrobspre_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Pre IWR Obs- IWR obs module completed (after Pre-election IW)

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Yes | 2031 |
| 2. No | 23 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

iwrobspre_winsigns

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- No. signs in window

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|---------|
| 0. No window signs | 1614 |
| 1. One window sign | 6 |
| 2. Two window signs | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable (same date as DU module or Pre IWR obs not completed) | 4291 |
| -2. Missing | <hr/> 0 |

iwrobspre_frontsigns

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- No. signs outside/in front

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|----------|
| 0. No front signs | 1579 |
| 1. One front sign | 31 |
| 2. Two front signs | 9 |
| 3. Three front signs | 3 |
| 4. Four front signs | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable (same date as DU module or Pre IWR obs not completed) | 4291 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspre_sign_dpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Any sign for Dem Pres cand

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 12 |
| 2. No | 34 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5868 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspre_sign_rpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Any sign for Rep Pres cand

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes | 10 |
| 2. No | 36 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5868 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspre_othpresent1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No one other than R | 1103 | 0 | 1103 |
| 1. Children under 6 | 299 | 0 | 299 |
| 2. Older children | 153 | 0 | 153 |
| 3. Unknown person | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| 4. Other relatives | 113 | 0 | 113 |
| 5. Other adults | 129 | 0 | 129 |
| 6. Spouse | 183 | 0 | 183 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 23 | 3860 | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No one other than R | 53.7 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 17.7 |
| 1. Children under 6 | 14.6 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 4.2 |
| 2. Older children | 7.4 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| 3. Unknown person | 2.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 4. Other relatives | 5.5 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| 5. Other adults | 6.3 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 6. Spouse | 8.9 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.7 | 65.6 |

iwrobspre_othpresent2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 2. Older children | 65 | 0 | 65 |
| 3. Unknown person | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 4. Other relatives | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| 5. Other adults | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| 6. Spouse | 78 | 0 | 78 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1127 | 3860 | 4987 |
| -2. No further mention | 646 | 0 | 646 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Older children | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 3. Unknown person | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 4. Other relatives | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 5. Other adults | 2.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 6. Spouse | 3.8 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 54.9 | 51.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.3 | 83.3 |
| -2. No further mention | 31.5 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 11.8 |

iwrobspre_othpresent3

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 3. Unknown person | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 4. Other relatives | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| 5. Other adults | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 6. Spouse | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1773 | 3860 | 5633 |
| -2. No further mention | 195 | 0 | 195 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. Unknown person | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Other relatives | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 5. Other adults | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 6. Spouse | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 86.3 | 85.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 95.1 |
| -2. No further mention | 9.5 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 |

iwrobspre_othpresent4

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 4. Other relatives | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5. Other adults | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 6. Spouse | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1968 | 3860 | 5828 |
| -2. No further mention | 68 | 0 | 68 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Other relatives | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other adults | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 6. Spouse | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 95.8 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 98.7 |
| -2. No further mention | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |

iwrobspre_othpresent5

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 5. Other adults | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 6. Spouse | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2036 | 3860 | 5896 |
| -2. No further mention | 14 | 0 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Other adults | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6. Spouse | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.1 | 99.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

iwrobspre_othpresent6

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 6. Spouse | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2050 | 3860 | 5910 |
| -2. No further mention | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.8 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

iwrobspre_coop

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R cooperation
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-2
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: R's cooperation was:
Source: IWR

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very good | 1451 |
| 2. Good | 448 |
| 3. Fair | 111 |
| 4. Poor | 14 |
| 5. Very poor | 6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>1</u> |

iwrobspre_levinfo

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R level of information

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very high | 371 |
| 2. Fairly high | 542 |
| 3. Average | 721 |
| 4. Fairly low | 301 |
| 5. Very low | 95 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>1</u> |

iwrobspre_intell

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R intelligence
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-4
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: R's apparent intelligence:
Source: IWR

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very high | 349 |
| 2. Fairly high | 686 |
| 3. Average | 857 |
| 4. Fairly low | 120 |
| 5. Very low | 18 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>1</u> |

iwrobspre_suspic

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R suspicious
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-5
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?
Source: IWR

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------------|----------|
| 1. Not at all suspicious | 1467 |
| 2. Somewhat suspicious | 492 |
| 3. Very suspicious | 70 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>2</u> |

iwrobspre_interest

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R interest in IW

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very high | 536 |
| 2. Fairly high | 756 |
| 3. Average | 614 |
| 4. Fairly low | 108 |
| 5. Very low | 15 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>2</u> |

iwrobspre_sincere

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R sincere
Position: Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-7
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: How sincere did R seem to be in his or her answers?
Source: IWR

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Completely sincere | 1708 |
| 2. Usually sincere | 302 |
| 3. Often seemed to be insincere | 19 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>2</u> |

iwrobspre_doubtsnc

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Places where sincerity doubted

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes (SPECIFY) | 71 |
| 5. No | 1958 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>2</u> |

iwrobspre_doubtsnc_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Where sincerity doubted

2 unique outcomes.

| | Unweighted Frequencies | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 | |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> | |

iwrobspre_estinc

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: estimate of family income
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-10
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 2011).
Source: IWR

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| 11. 30,000-34,999 | 187 |
| 13. 40,000-44,999 | 172 |
| 15. 50,000-54,999 | 122 |
| 09. 25,000-27,499 | 113 |
| 12. 35,000-39,999 | 112 |
| 14. 45,000-49,999 | 107 |
| 07. 20,000-22,499 | 91 |
| 17. 60,000-64,999 | 88 |
| 16. 55,000-59,999 | 86 |

iwrobspre_estage

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R age estimate
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-11
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: What would you estimate R's age to be?
Source: IWR

75 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| -1 | 3883 |
| 40 | 97 |
| 50 | 93 |
| 35 | 84 |
| 30 | 79 |
| 60 | 76 |
| 45 | 74 |
| 55 | 72 |
| 65 | 56 |
| 25 | 55 |

iwrobspre_gender

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R gender
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-12
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: Is R male or female?
Source: IWR

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Male | 880 |
| 2. Female | 1148 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | <u>3</u> |

iwrobspre_esteduc

Label: FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R education estimate
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-13
Description: Pre IWR observations
Question: What would you estimate R's level of education to be?
Source: IWR

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------|
| 1. Low - probably less than high school diploma | 239 |
| 2. Probably has a high school diploma but probably no college | 632 |
| 3. Probably a little college | 577 |
| 4. Probably a college degree | 575 |
| 8. Hard to guess | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | 3 |

iwrobspre_esteduc_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre IWR Obs- Specify other: R education level

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3860 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>2054</u> |

iwrobspre_react1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 01. R had trouble using the tablet | 277 | 0 | 277 |
| 02. Negative - general | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 121 | 0 | 121 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 998 | 0 | 998 |
| 14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only) | 460 | 0 | 460 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 23 | 3860 | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weight |
| 01. R had trouble using the tablet | 13.5 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| 02. Negative - general | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 5.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 48.6 | 49.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 17.0 |
| 14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only) | 22.4 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.7 | 65.7 |
| -2. Missing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

iwrobspre_react2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 02. Negative - general | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 53 | 0 | 53 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 137 | 0 | 137 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 486 | 3860 | 4346 |
| -2. No further mention | 1228 | 0 | 1228 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 02. Negative - general | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 2.6 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 6.7 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 23.7 | 24.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 73.5 | 73.5 |
| -2. No further mention | 59.8 | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 20.8 |

iwrobspre_react3

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 03. Negative - too long | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1714 | 3860 | 5574 |
| -2. No further mention | 206 | 0 | 206 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 03. Negative - too long | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0. |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0. |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0. |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0. |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0. |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 1.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0. |
| -1. Inapplicable | 83.4 | 83.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.3 | 94. |
| -2. No further mention | 10.0 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3. |

iwrobspre_react4

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1920 | 3860 | 5780 |
| -2. No further mention | 82 | 0 | 82 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 93.5 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 98.0 |
| -2. No further mention | 4.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 |

iwrobspre_react5

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2002 | 3860 | 5862 |
| -2. No further mention | 29 | 0 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| -1. Inapplicable | 97.5 | 98.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 99. |
| -2. No further mention | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0. |

iwrobspre_react6

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2031 | 3860 | 5891 |
| -2. No further mention | 9 | 0 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.9 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.6 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

iwrobspre_react7

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 7

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2040 | 3860 | 5900 |
| -2. No further mention | 7 | 0 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.3 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

iwrobspre_react8

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 8

Unweighted Frequencies

| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2047 | 3860 | 5907 |
| -2. No further mention | 6 | 0 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

iwrobspre_react9

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 9

2 unique outcomes.

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5913 | |
| -2. No further mention | | 0 |

iwrobspre_iwreentry_casi

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R enter all CASI responses himself/herself

4 unique outcomes.

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| 1. Respondent entered all CASI items | | 1728 |
| 2. Interviewer answered at least 1 CASI item | | 300 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| | -2. Missing | 3 |

iwrobspre_iwraid_casi1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module 1

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------|
| 1. No, no assistance was necessary | 1664 |
| 2. YES, R required assistance due to VISUAL impairment | 55 |
| 3. YES, R required assistance due to PHYSICAL impairment | 34 |
| 4. YES, R required assistance due to OTHER PHYSICAL impairment | 275 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3883 |
| -2. Missing | 3 |

iwrobspre_iwraid_casi2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module 2

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|------------|
| 1. No, no assistance was necessary | 0 |
| 2. YES, R required assistance due to VISUAL impairment | 0 |
| 3. YES, R required assistance due to PHYSICAL impairment | 5 |
| 4. YES, R required assistance due to OTHER PHYSICAL impairment | 9 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5550 |
| -2. No further mention | <u>350</u> |

iwrobspre_iwraid_casi3

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module 3

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-----------|
| 1. No, no assistance was necessary | 0 |
| 2. YES, R required assistance due to VISUAL impairment | 0 |
| 3. YES, R required assistance due to PHYSICAL impairment | 0 |
| 4. YES, R required assistance due to OTHER PHYSICAL impairment | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5900 |
| -2. No further mention | <u>13</u> |

iwrobspre_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Date IWR obs module completed

59 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 3885 |
| 20121105 | 68 |
| 20121027 | 64 |
| 20121103 | 58 |
| 20121013 | 56 |
| 20121020 | 56 |
| 20121006 | 51 |
| 20121016 | 51 |
| 20121008 | 50 |
| 20121026 | 48 |

iwrobspre_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR obs- IWR id of interviewer completing Pre IWR observations

152 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 3883 |
| 741 | 41 |
| 848 | 41 |
| 800 | 40 |
| 858 | 37 |
| 790 | 34 |
| 850 | 34 |
| 816 | 33 |
| 892 | 32 |
| 885 | 29 |

iwrobspost_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Post IWR Obs- IWR obs module completed (after Post-election IW)

3 unique outcomes.

| Unweighted Frequencies | | Count |
|---|--|-------|
| 1. Yes | | 1907 |
| 2. No | | 22 |
| 7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 3985 |
| -6. Unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | | 0 |

iwrobspost_othpresent1

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No one other than R | 1122 | 0 | 1122 |
| 1. Children under 6 | 280 | 0 | 280 |
| 2. Older children | 132 | 0 | 132 |
| 3. Unknown person | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| 4. Other relatives | 92 | 0 | 92 |
| 5. Other adults | 109 | 0 | 109 |
| 6. Spouse | 134 | 0 | 134 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 147 | 3860 | 4007 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No one other than R | 54.6 | 54.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 1. Children under 6 | 13.6 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 3.7 |
| 2. Older children | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 3. Unknown person | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 4. Other relatives | 4.5 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 5. Other adults | 5.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 6. Spouse | 6.5 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 7.2 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.8 | 67.6 |

iwrobspost_othpresent2

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 2. Older children | 66 | 0 | 66 |
| 3. Unknown person | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 4. Other relatives | 63 | 0 | 63 |
| 5. Other adults | 47 | 0 | 47 |
| 6. Spouse | 69 | 0 | 69 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1269 | 3860 | 5129 |
| -2. No further mention | 522 | 0 | 522 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2. Older children | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 3. Unknown person | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 4. Other relatives | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 5. Other adults | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 6. Spouse | 3.4 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 61.8 | 61.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 86.6 |
| -2. No further mention | 25.4 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 9.2 |

iwrobspost_othpresent3

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 3. Unknown person | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 4. Other relatives | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 5. Other adults | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 6. Spouse | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1791 | 3860 | 5651 |
| -2. No further mention | 180 | 0 | 180 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 3. Unknown person | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4. Other relatives | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 5. Other adults | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 6. Spouse | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 87.2 | 88.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 95.8 |
| -2. No further mention | 8.8 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |

iwrobspost_othpresent4

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 4. Other relatives | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 5. Other adults | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 6. Spouse | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1971 | 3860 | 5831 |
| -2. No further mention | 59 | 0 | 59 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 4. Other relatives | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5. Other adults | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6. Spouse | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 96.0 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 98.8 |
| -2. No further mention | 2.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |

iwrobspost_othpresent5

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 5. Other adults | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 6. Spouse | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2030 | 3860 | 5890 |
| -2. No further mention | 17 | 0 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 5. Other adults | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6. Spouse | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.8 | 99.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.7 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

iwrobspost_othpresent6

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 6. Spouse | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2047 | 3860 | 5907 |
| -2. No further mention | 5 | 0 | 5 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 6. Spouse | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

iwrobspost_coop

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R cooperation

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very good | 1254 |
| 2. Good | 484 |
| 3. Fair | 140 |
| 4. Poor | 26 |
| 5. Very poor | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspost_levinfo

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R level of information

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very high | 238 |
| 2. Fairly high | 446 |
| 3. Average | 749 |
| 4. Fairly low | 349 |
| 5. Very low | 125 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspost_intell

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R apparent intelligence

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very high | 268 |
| 2. Fairly high | 564 |
| 3. Average | 921 |
| 4. Fairly low | 133 |
| 5. Very low | 21 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspost_suspic

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R suspicious

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------------|----------|
| 1. Not at all suspicious | 1753 |
| 2. Somewhat suspicious | 142 |
| 3. Very suspicious | 12 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspost_interest

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R interest in IW

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Very high | 487 |
| 2. Fairly high | 659 |
| 3. Average | 630 |
| 4. Fairly low | 111 |
| 5. Very low | 20 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspost_sincere

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R sincerity

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Completely sincere | 1660 |
| 2. Usually sincere | 222 |
| 3. Often seemed to be insincere | 25 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspost_doubtsnc

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Places where sincerity doubted

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|----------|
| 1. Yes (SPECIFY) | 63 |
| 5. No | 1844 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | <u>0</u> |

iwrobspost_doubtsnc_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Where sincerity doubted

2 unique outcomes.

| Unweighted Frequencies | |
|------------------------|--------------|
| | <u>Count</u> |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>1907</u> |

iwrobspost_react1

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|-----|------|-------|
| 01. R had trouble using the tablet | 222 | 0 | 222 |
| 02. Negative - general | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 125 | 0 | 125 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 899 | 0 | 899 |
| 14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only) | 522 | 0 | 522 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 147 | 3860 | 4007 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weight |
| 01. R had trouble using the tablet | 10.8 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| 02. Negative - general | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 6.1 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 43.8 | 46.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 16.0 |
| 14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only) | 25.4 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 7.2 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.8 | 67.8 |

iwrobspost_react2

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 02. Negative - general | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 118 | 0 | 118 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 669 | 3860 | 4529 |
| -2. No further mention | 1095 | 0 | 1095 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 02. Negative - general | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 03. Negative - too long | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 5.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 32.6 | 30.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 76.6 |
| -2. No further mention | 53.3 | 55.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 19.0 |

iwrobspost_react3

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 03. Negative - too long | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1764 | 3860 | 5624 |
| -2. No further mention | 175 | 0 | 175 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 03. Negative - too long | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0. |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0. |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0. |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0. |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0. |
| -1. Inapplicable | 85.9 | 86.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.1 | 95. |
| -2. No further mention | 8.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2. |

iwrobspost_react4

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 1939 | 3860 | 5799 |
| -2. No further mention | 80 | 0 | 80 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 04. Negative - too complicated | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 94.4 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 98.0 |
| -2. No further mention | 3.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 |

iwrobspost_react5

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2019 | 3860 | 5879 |
| -2. No further mention | 21 | 0 | 21 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 98.3 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.6 |
| -2. No further mention | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

iwrobspost_react6

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 12. R could not read Repondent Booklet | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2040 | 3860 | 5900 |
| -2. No further mention | 6 | 0 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 06. R wanted to stop before interview complete | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.3 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99. |
| -2. No further mention | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. |

iwrobspost_react7

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 7

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2046 | 3860 | 5906 |
| -2. No further mention | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 09. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13. R appeared to enjoy interview | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.6 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

iwrobspost_react8

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 8

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10. R became angry at interview CONTENT | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2048 | 3860 | 5908 |
| -2. No further mention | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 99.7 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| -2. No further mention | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

iwrobspost_iwrentry_casi

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Did R enter all CASI responses himself/herself

3 unique outcomes.

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| 1. Respondent entered all CASI items | | 1686 |
| 2. Interviewer answered at least 1 CASI item | | 221 |
| | -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| | -2. Missing | 0 |

iwrobspost_iwraids_casi

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------|
| 1. No, no assistance was necessary | 1606 |
| 2. YES, R required assistance due to VISUAL impairment | 53 |
| 3. YES, R required assistance due to PHYSICAL impairment | 29 |
| 4. YES, R required assistance due to OTHER PHYSICAL impairment | 219 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4007 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |

iwrobspost_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Date IWR obs module completed

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| <NULL> | 4007 |
| 20121112 | 82 |
| 20121114 | 79 |
| 20121113 | 78 |
| 20121115 | 76 |
| 20121116 | 75 |
| 20121117 | 73 |
| 20121119 | 73 |
| 20121128 | 66 |
| 20121127 | 64 |

iwrobspost_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR obs- IWR id of interviewer completing Post IWR observations

125 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 4007 |
| 759 | 43 |
| 741 | 41 |
| 816 | 38 |
| 850 | 36 |
| 829 | 35 |
| 892 | 33 |
| 898 | 32 |
| 885 | 29 |
| 858 | 28 |

pre_iwrdesc_completed

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR description: IWR agree to description module

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Yes, at least 1 question answered by Pre survey IWR | 1966 |
| 2. No, Pre survey IWR did not answer any IWR descr items | 88 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>3860</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR description: pre-election survey IWR id

152 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 3860 |
| 741 | 41 |
| 848 | 41 |
| 800 | 40 |
| 858 | 37 |
| 816 | 36 |
| 790 | 34 |
| 850 | 34 |
| 892 | 32 |
| 885 | 29 |

pre_iwrdesc_skintone

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: skintone

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 2 | 291 | 0 | 291 |
| 3 | 607 | 0 | 607 |
| 4 | 351 | 0 | 351 |
| 5 | 92 | 0 | 92 |
| 6 | 111 | 0 | 111 |
| 7 | 92 | 0 | 92 |
| 8 | 68 | 0 | 68 |
| 9 | 80 | 0 | 80 |
| -1 | 88 | 3860 | 3948 |
| -2 | 172 | 0 | 172 |
| -9 | 102 | 0 | 102 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2 | 14.2 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| 3 | 29.6 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 11.8 |
| 4 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| 5 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| 7 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 8 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 9 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| -1 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.8 | 67.1 |
| -2 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| -9 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 |

pre_iwrdesc_age

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: age

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>1966</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: agr group

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|----------|
| 1. Age 18-34 | 83 |
| 2. Age 35-50 | 707 |
| 3. Age 51-64 | 830 |
| 4. Age 65+ | 105 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | 232 |

pre_iwrdesc_educ

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: education

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>1966</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_educgrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: education group

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. High school level | 105 |
| 2. College level | 1730 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>122</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_gender

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: gender

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. Male | 405 |
| 2. Female | 1403 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>149</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_lang

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Spanish spoken

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| 1. Fluent in Spanish | 586 |
| 2. Speak Spanish, not fluently | 251 |
| 3. Do not speak Spanish | 983 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>137</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_exper

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: experience

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 1966 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>0</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_exper_grp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: experience (grouped)

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. 0-5 years | 976 |
| 2. 6-10 years | 232 |
| 3. 11 or more years | 638 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>111</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Hispanic

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. Yes | 514 |
| 2. No | 1243 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>200</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_race_white

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: White

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 0. Not selected | 496 |
| 1. White | 1297 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>164</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_race_black

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Black

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------|------------|
| 0. Not selected | 1384 |
| 1. Black or African-American | 409 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>164</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_race_other

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Other race

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 0. Not selected | 1548 |
| 1. Other selected | 245 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>164</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_pid

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Party ID

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. Democrat | 749 |
| 2. Republican | 358 |
| 3. Independent | 487 |
| 4. Something else | 210 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3948 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>162</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_pid_demstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: strong Democrat

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Strong Democrat | 405 |
| 2. Not very strong Democrat | 321 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5165 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>14</u> |

pre_iwrdesc_pid_repstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: strong Republican

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| 1. Strong Republican | 201 |
| 2. Not very strong Republican | 157 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5556 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | 0 |

pre_iwrdesc_pid_lean

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: leaning toward either major party

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Closer to the Republican Party | 76 |
| 2. Closer to the Democratic Party | 314 |
| 3. Neither | 264 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5217 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>43</u> |

post_iwrdesc_completed

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR description: IWR agree to description module

3 unique outcomes.

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---|------------------------|-------|
| 1. Yes, at least 1 question answered by Post survey IWR | 1804 | |
| 2. No, Post survey IWR did not answer any IWR descr items | 125 | |
| | | <hr/> |
| -1. Inapplicable | 3985 | |
| -6. Unit nonresponse (no Post-election interview) | 0 | |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0 | <hr/> |

post_iwrdesc_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR description- post-election survey IWR id

125 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----|-------|
| -1 | 3985 |
| 759 | 43 |
| 741 | 41 |
| 816 | 40 |
| 850 | 36 |
| 829 | 35 |
| 892 | 33 |
| 898 | 32 |
| 885 | 29 |
| 858 | 28 |

post_iwrdesc_skintone

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: skintone

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|-----|------|-------|
| 2 | 326 | 0 | 326 |
| 3 | 563 | 0 | 563 |
| 4 | 317 | 0 | 317 |
| 5 | 83 | 0 | 83 |
| 6 | 95 | 0 | 95 |
| 7 | 81 | 0 | 81 |
| 8 | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| 9 | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| -1 | 250 | 3860 | 4110 |
| -2 | 127 | 0 | 127 |
| -9 | 95 | 0 | 95 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 2 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| 3 | 27.4 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 10.5 |
| 4 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| 5 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| 7 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| 8 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -1 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 69.5 | 70.2 |
| -2 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| -9 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 |

post_iwrdesc_age

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: age

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>1804</u> |

post_iwrdesc_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: agr group

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|----------|
| 1. Age 18-34 | 66 |
| 2. Age 35-50 | 583 |
| 3. Age 51-64 | 825 |
| 4. Age 65+ | 111 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | 210 |

post_iwrdesc_educ

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: education

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>1804</u> |

post_iwrdesc_educgrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: education group

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. High school level | 113 |
| 2. College level | 1574 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>108</u> |

post_iwrdesc_gender

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: gender

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. Male | 316 |
| 2. Female | 1340 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>139</u> |

post_iwrdesc_lang

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Spanish spoken

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| 1. Fluent in Spanish | 545 |
| 2. Speak Spanish, not fluently | 230 |
| 3. Do not speak Spanish | 905 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>115</u> |

post_iwrdesc_exper

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: experience

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -3. Restricted access | 1804 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>0</u> |

post_iwrdesc_exper_grp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: experience (grouped)

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|-----------|
| 1. 0-5 years | 881 |
| 2. 6-10 years | 204 |
| 3. 11 or more years | 611 |
| | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>99</u> |

post_iwrdesc_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Hispanic

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. Yes | 487 |
| 2. No | 1146 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>162</u> |

post_iwrdesc_race_white

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: White

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 0. Not selected | 424 |
| 1. White | 1240 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>140</u> |

post_iwrdesc_race_black

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Black

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------|------------|
| 0. Not selected | 1317 |
| 1. Black or African-American | 347 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>140</u> |

post_iwrdesc_race_other

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Other race

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 0. Not selected | 1477 |
| 1. Other selected | 187 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>140</u> |

post_iwrdesc_pid

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Party ID

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------------------|------------|
| 1. Democrat | 671 |
| 2. Republican | 338 |
| 3. Independent | 465 |
| 4. Something else | 188 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 4110 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>142</u> |

post_iwrdesc_pid_demstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: strong Democrat

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Strong Democrat | 396 |
| 2. Not very strong Democrat | 253 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5243 |
| -2. Missing | 9 |
| -7. Deleted due to partial (Post-election) interview | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>13</u> |

post_iwrdesc_pid_repstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: strong Republican

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| 1. Strong Republican | 198 |
| 2. Not very strong Republican | 140 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5576 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | 0 |

post_iwrdesc_pid_lean

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: leaning toward either major party

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Closer to the Republican Party | 91 |
| 2. Closer to the Democratic Party | 307 |
| 3. Neither | 209 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 5261 |
| -2. Missing | 0 |
| -9. Refused (by IWR) | <u>46</u> |

profile_completed

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Date completed

111 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----------|-------|
| -1 | 2054 |
| 20120620 | 491 |
| 20120624 | 458 |
| 20120708 | 335 |
| 20120628 | 321 |
| 20120622 | 252 |
| 20120720 | 159 |
| 20120716 | 121 |
| 20120712 | 120 |
| 20120704 | 115 |

profile_headhh

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R household head

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 0. No | 581 |
| 1. Yes | 3279 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_hhszie

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Size of R household

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|------|------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 839 | 839 |
| 2 | 0 | 1465 | 1465 |
| 3 | 0 | 651 | 651 |
| 4 | 0 | 534 | 534 |
| 5 | 0 | 227 | 227 |
| 6 | 0 | 94 | 94 |
| 7 | 0 | 29 | 29 |
| 8 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| 9 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -1 | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 20.3 | 14.2 | 13.2 |
| 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 35.4 | 24.8 | 23.1 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 11.0 | 11.9 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 9.0 | 10.2 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_housing

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Housing type of respondent home

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------------|
| 1. A one-family house detached from any other house | 2691 |
| 2. A one-family house attached to one or more houses | 325 |
| 3. A building with 2 or more apartments | 672 |
| 4. A mobile home | 160 |
| 5. Boat, RV, van, etc. | 12 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_homeown

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R home ownership status

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household | 2830 |
| 2. Rented for cash | 922 |
| 3. Occupied without payment of cash rent | 108 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_educdegree

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- R education

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_educ

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R education 4 categories

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Less than high school | 306 |
| 2. High school | 1028 |
| 3. Some college | 1194 |
| 4. Bachelor's degree or higher | 1332 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_region9

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Sample address region 9 categories

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------|------|-----|-------|
| 1. New England | 0 | 168 | 168 |
| 2. Mid-Atlantic | 0 | 472 | 472 |
| 3. East-North Central | 0 | 587 | 587 |
| 4. West-North Central | 0 | 289 | 289 |
| 5. South Atlantic | 0 | 777 | 777 |
| 6. East-South Central | 0 | 214 | 214 |
| 7. West-South Central | 0 | 437 | 437 |
| 8. Mountain | 0 | 279 | 279 |
| 9. Pacific | 0 | 637 | 637 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. New England | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| 2. Mid-Atlantic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 12.7 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| 3. East-North Central | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 13.9 | 9.9 | 9.1 |
| 4. West-North Central | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| 5. South Atlantic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 20.5 | 13.1 | 13.4 |
| 6. East-South Central | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 4.1 |
| 7. West-South Central | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| 8. Mountain | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 5.5 |
| 9. Pacific | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 15.3 | 10.8 | 10.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_hhchild0to1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 0-1

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_hhchild2to5

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 2-5

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_hhchild6to12

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 6-12

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_hhchild13to17

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 13-17

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_hhchild0to5_x

Label: WEB ONLY: SUMMARY: Core profile- household children 0-5

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------------|
| 0 | 3420 |
| 1 | 301 |
| 2 | 124 |
| 3 | 13 |
| 4 | 2 |
| -1 | <u>2054</u> |

profile_hhchild6to17_x

Label: WEB ONLY: SUMMARY: Core profile- household children 6-17

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|------|------|-------|
| 0 | 0 | 3034 | 3034 |
| 1 | 0 | 426 | 426 |
| 2 | 0 | 288 | 288 |
| 3 | 0 | 89 | 89 |
| 4 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| -1 | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 77.2 | 51.3 | 50.4 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 7.2 | 7.6 |
| 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_hhadults

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- household adults 18/older

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----|------|------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 949 | 949 |
| 2 | 0 | 2088 | 2088 |
| 3 | 0 | 540 | 540 |
| 4 | 0 | 211 | 211 |
| 5 | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| 6 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| 7 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -1 | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.6 | 23.1 | 16.0 | 15.1 |
| 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.1 | 55.1 | 35.3 | 36.0 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 14.2 | 9.1 | 9.2 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_raceeth

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race-ethnicity

5 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---|-------------|
| 1. White, Non-Hispanic | 2577 |
| 2. Black, Non-Hispanic | 544 |
| 3. Other, Non-Hispanic (incl. 2+ races) | 174 |
| 4. Hispanic | 565 |
| 5. 2+ Races, Non-Hispanic | 0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_gender

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R gender

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Male | 1959 |
| 2. Female | 1901 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_marital

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R marital status

7 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Married | 2109 |
| 2. Widowed | 231 |
| 3. Divorced | 486 |
| 4. Separated | 83 |
| 5. Never married | 694 |
| 6. Living with partner | 257 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_hhincome

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- household income 19 categories

20 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | | 2054 |
| 13. 60,000 to 74,999 | | 403 |
| 16. 100,000 to 124,999 | | 384 |
| 12. 50,000 to 59,999 | | 323 |
| 11. 40,000 to 49,999 | | 291 |
| 15. 85,000 to 99,999 | | 270 |
| 14. 75,000 to 84,999 | | 265 |
| 8. 25,000 to 29,999 | | 231 |
| 10. 35,000 to 39,999 | | 228 |
| 17. 125,000 to 149,999 | | 213 |

profile_empstatus

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Current employment status -- OLD

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Working - as a paid employee | 0 | 1782 | 1782 |
| 2. Working - self-employed | 0 | 301 | 301 |
| 3. Not working - on temporary layoff from a job | 0 | 37 | 37 |
| 4. Not working - looking for work | 0 | 270 | 270 |
| 5. Not working - retired | 0 | 930 | 930 |
| 6. Not working - disabled | 0 | 271 | 271 |
| 7. Not working - other | 0 | 269 | 269 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Working - as a paid employee | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 48.6 | 30.1 | 31.1 |
| 2. Working - self-employed | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 4.0 |
| 3. Not working - on temporary layoff from a job | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 4. Not working - looking for work | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| 5. Not working - retired | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 19.9 | 15.7 | 13.8 |
| 6. Not working - disabled | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| 7. Not working - other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_inetaccess

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- household internet access

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 0. No | 760 |
| 1. Yes | 3100 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

paprofile_lang

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Survey language

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. English | 3698 |
| 2. Spanish | 162 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

paprofile_righttrack

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q1: country on right track

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---|------|------|-------|
| 1. Are generally going in the right direction | 0 | 1248 | 1248 |
| 2. Have gotten off on the wrong track | 0 | 2605 | 2605 |
| | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 7 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Are generally going in the right direction | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 30.2 | 21.1 | 19.7 |
| 2. Have gotten off on the wrong track | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.5 | 69.5 | 44.0 | 45.4 |
| | | | | | | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

paprofile_mip

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q2: most important issue facing the U.S. today

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | | 2054 |
| 4. Economy | | 1639 |
| 7. Jobs | | 630 |
| 15. Federal deficit | | 404 |
| 6. Healthcare | | 311 |
| 14. Other (please specify): | | 256 |
| 2. Education | | 132 |
| 11. Immigration | | 103 |
| 1. Taxes | | 62 |
| 3. Terrorism | | 59 |

paprofile_mip_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q2: most important issue-other

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_regist

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q3: Is R currently registered to vote

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, I am registered to vote at my present address | 0 | 3212 | 3212 |
| 2. Yes, I am registered to vote at a different address | 0 | 183 | 183 |
| 3. No, I am not registered to vote | 0 | 369 | 369 |
| 4. Not sure | 0 | 92 | 92 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, I am registered to vote at my present address | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.2 | 77.7 | 54.3 | 50.7 |
| 2. Yes, I am registered to vote at a different address | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 3. No, I am not registered to vote | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 12.6 | 6.2 | 8.2 |
| 4. Not sure | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

paprofile_everreg

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q4: has R ever been registered to vote

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 193 | 193 |
| 2. No | 0 | 239 | 239 |
| 3. Not sure | 0 | 29 | 29 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3395 | 5449 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 4.1 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 8.6 | 4.0 | 5.6 |
| 3. Not sure | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 83.9 | 92.1 | 89.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

paprofile_vote2008_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q5: did R vote in 2008 presidential election

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 3081 | 3081 |
| 2. No | 0 | 489 | 489 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 272 | 2326 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79.8 | 74.1 | 52.1 | 48.4 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 15.7 | 8.3 | 10.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 9.8 | 39.3 | 41.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_howvote2008_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q6: how did R vote in 2008 pres election

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Barack Obama (Democrat) | 0 | 1656 | 1656 |
| 2. John McCain (Republican) | 0 | 1269 | 1269 |
| 3. Another candidate, please specify: | 0 | 101 | 101 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 779 | 2833 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 55 | 55 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Barack Obama (Democrat) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 38.7 | 28.0 | 25.2 |
| 2. John McCain (Republican) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 31.4 | 21.5 | 20.5 |
| 3. Another candidate, please specify: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 25.9 | 47.9 | 51.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 |

paprofile_pres2008_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q6: other vote- R vote 2008 pres election

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_vote2010_congr

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q6B: did R vote in 2010 Congressional election

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2424 | 2424 |
| 2. No | 0 | 1151 | 1151 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 272 | 2326 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 13 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.8 | 54.5 | 41.0 | 35.6 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.8 | 35.4 | 19.5 | 23.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 9.8 | 39.3 | 41.2 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

paprofile_howvote2010_cong

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q6C: how did R vote in 2010 Congr election

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. The Democratic candidate | 0 | 1182 | 1182 |
| 2. The Republican candidate | 0 | 1083 | 1083 |
| 3. A candidate from another party | 0 | 122 | 122 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 1436 | 3490 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 37 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. The Democratic candidate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.6 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 16.3 |
| 2. The Republican candidate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 25.6 | 18.3 | 16.7 |
| 3. A candidate from another party | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 37.2 | 45.5 | 59.0 | 64.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |

paprofile_pid

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q7: party identification

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Republican | 0 | 970 | 970 |
| 2. Democrat | 0 | 1348 | 1348 |
| 3. Independent | 0 | 927 | 927 |
| 4. Another party, please specify: | 0 | 69 | 69 |
| 5. No preference | 0 | 540 | 540 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 6 | 6 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 26.3 | 16.4 | 17.2 |
| 2. Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.9 | 32.9 | 22.8 | 21.5 |
| 3. Independent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 23.0 | 15.7 | 15.0 |
| 4. Another party, please specify: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 5. No preference | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 9.1 | 10.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

paprofile_othpid

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q7: party identification-other

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_pidstrong_rep

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q8: strength Repub party identification

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong Republican | 0 | 538 | 538 |
| 2. Not very strong Republican | 0 | 428 | 428 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2890 | 4944 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 9.0 |
| 2. Not very strong Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 7.2 | 8.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 74.9 | 73.7 | 83.6 | 82.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

paprofile_pidstrong_dem

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q9: strength Dem party identification

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strong Democrat | 0 | 794 | 794 |
| 2. Not very strong Democrat | 0 | 547 | 547 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2512 | 4566 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 7 | 7 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 18.0 | 13.4 | 11.8 |
| 2. Not very strong Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 9.2 | 9.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.1 | 67.1 | 77.2 | 78.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

paprofile_pidcloser

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q10: PID closer to which party

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Republican Party | 0 | 715 | 715 |
| 2. Democratic Party | 0 | 719 | 719 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2318 | 4372 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 108 | 108 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Republican Party | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| 2. Democratic Party | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 12.2 | 12.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60.1 | 59.2 | 73.9 | 73.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |

profile_pid7_x

Label: WEB ONLY: SUMMARY: PA profile- political party affiliation (7 cat)

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| 1. Strong Republican | 0 | 538 | 538 |
| 2. Not Strong Republican | 0 | 432 | 432 |
| 3. Leans Republican | 0 | 715 | 715 |
| 4. Undecided/Independent/Other | 0 | 108 | 108 |
| 5. Leans Democrat | 0 | 719 | 719 |
| 6. Not Strong Democrat | 0 | 554 | 554 |
| 7. Strong Democrat | 0 | 794 | 794 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strong Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 9.0 |
| 2. Not Strong Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 12.5 | 7.3 | 8.2 |
| 3. Leans Republican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| 4. Undecided/Independent/Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 5. Leans Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 12.2 | 12.5 |
| 6. Not Strong Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 14.9 | 9.4 | 9.7 |
| 7. Strong Democrat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 18.0 | 13.4 | 11.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

paprofile_libcon_self

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11: 7pt liberal-conservative self rating

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Extremely liberal | 0 | 129 | 129 |
| 2. Liberal | 0 | 492 | 492 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 0 | 379 | 379 |
| 4. Moderate, middle of the road | 0 | 1330 | 1330 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 0 | 526 | 526 |
| 6. Conservative | 0 | 813 | 813 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 0 | 145 | 145 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 46 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Extremely liberal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| 2. Liberal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 8.3 | 8.4 |
| 3. Slightly liberal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| 4. Moderate, middle of the road | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.5 | 35.5 | 22.5 | 23.1 |
| 5. Slightly conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 12.8 | 8.9 | 8.3 |
| 6. Conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 20.4 | 13.7 | 13.3 |
| 7. Extremely conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

paprofile_libcon_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11A: 7pt liberal-conservative President rating

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Extremely liberal | 0 | 1021 | 1021 |
| 2. | Liberal | 0 | 983 | 983 |
| 3. | Slightly liberal | 0 | 637 | 637 |
| 4. | Moderate, middle of the road | 0 | 813 | 813 |
| 5. | Slightly conservative | 0 | 163 | 163 |
| 6. | Conservative | 0 | 105 | 105 |
| 7. | Extremely conservative | 0 | 52 | 52 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. | Refused | 0 | 86 | 86 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | | |
|-----|------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | |
| 1. | Extremely liberal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 25.2 | 17.3 | 16.4 |
| 2. | Liberal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.5 | 26.8 | 16.6 | 17.5 |
| 3. | Slightly liberal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 10.8 | 10.3 |
| 4. | Moderate, middle of the road | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 13.7 | 13.7 |
| 5. | Slightly conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| 6. | Conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 7. | Extremely conservative | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. | Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 |

paprofile_favrate_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q12: overall impression of President favorable

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Favorable | 0 | 1068 | 1068 |
| 2. Somewhat favorable | 0 | 700 | 700 |
| 3. Neither favorable nor unfavorable | 0 | 492 | 492 |
| 4. Somewhat unfavorable | 0 | 482 | 482 |
| 5. Unfavorable | 0 | 1087 | 1087 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 31 | 31 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Favorable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 25.1 | 18.1 | 16.4 |
| 2. Somewhat favorable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 11.7 |
| 3. Neither favorable nor unfavorable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 8.3 | 9.2 |
| 4. Somewhat unfavorable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 8.2 | 9.1 |
| 5. Unfavorable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.2 | 28.5 | 18.4 | 18.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |

paprofile_presperf

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q13: approve Pres on job as President

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly approve | 0 | 534 | 534 |
| 2. Approve | 0 | 1011 | 1011 |
| 3. Neither approve nor disapprove | 0 | 680 | 680 |
| 4. Disapprove | 0 | 663 | 663 |
| 5. Strongly disapprove | 0 | 939 | 939 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 33 | 33 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 7.9 |
| 2. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 25.6 | 17.1 | 16.7 |
| 3. Neither approve nor disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 18.3 | 11.5 | 11.9 |
| 4. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 18.7 | 11.2 | 12.2 |
| 5. Strongly disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 15.9 | 16.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |

paprofile_presperf_econ

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(1): approve Pres on the economy

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1582 | 1582 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 2236 | 2236 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 42 | 42 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 37.4 | 26.8 | 24.4 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9 | 61.3 | 37.8 | 40.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

paprofile_presperf_deficit

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(2): approve Pres on federal deficit

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1363 | 1363 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 2415 | 2415 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 40 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 33.3 | 23.0 | 21.7 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.6 | 64.5 | 40.8 | 42.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

paprofile_presperf_healthc

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(3): approve Pres on healthcare

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1693 | 1693 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 2127 | 2127 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 40 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.9 | 40.5 | 28.6 | 26.4 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.1 | 58.3 | 36.0 | 38.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

paprofile_presperf_taxes

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(4): approve Pres on taxes

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1741 | 1741 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 2078 | 2078 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 41 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.1 | 41.1 | 29.4 | 26.8 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 57.7 | 35.1 | 37.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

paprofile_presperf_educ

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(5): approve Pres on education

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 2063 | 2063 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 1756 | 1756 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 41 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 50.5 | 34.9 | 33.0 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 48.2 | 29.7 | 31.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

paprofile_presperf_forgnpol

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(6): approve Pres on foreign policy

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 2021 | 2021 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 1795 | 1795 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 44 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 49.5 | 34.2 | 32.3 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.5 | 49.0 | 30.4 | 32.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

paprofile_presperf_racerel

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(7): approve Pres on race relations

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 2279 | 2279 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 1538 | 1538 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 43 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 56.1 | 38.5 | 36.6 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.8 | 42.6 | 26.0 | 27.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

paprofile_presperf_envir

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(8): approve Pres on the environment

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 2133 | 2133 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 1682 | 1682 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 45 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.3 | 52.4 | 36.1 | 34.2 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.6 | 46.1 | 28.4 | 30.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

paprofile_presperf_immig

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(9): approve Pres on immigration

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1580 | 1580 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 2234 | 2234 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 46 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 38.9 | 26.7 | 25.4 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9 | 59.7 | 37.8 | 38.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

paprofile_presperf_terror

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(10): approve Pres on terrorism

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 2422 | 2422 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 1393 | 1393 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 45 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.7 | 60.4 | 41.0 | 39.4 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.1 | 38.2 | 23.6 | 24.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

paprofile_presperf_afghan

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(11): approve Pres on Afghanistan situation

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1950 | 1950 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 1870 | 1870 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 40 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 48.5 | 33.0 | 31.7 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.4 | 50.2 | 31.6 | 32.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

paprofile_presperf_jobs

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(12): approve Pres on jobs

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1645 | 1645 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 2174 | 2174 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 41 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.6 | 39.6 | 27.8 | 25.9 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 59.2 | 36.8 | 38.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

paprofile_presperf_energy

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q14(13): approve Pres on energy

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Approve | 0 | 1878 | 1878 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0 | 1938 | 1938 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 44 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Approve | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 46.7 | 31.8 | 30.5 |
| 2. Disapprove | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 51.9 | 32.8 | 33.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

paprofile_prestime_forgnpol

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q15(1): time of Pres on foreign policy issues

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Too much time | 0 | 591 | 591 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0 | 2133 | 2133 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0 | 1060 | 1060 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 76 | 76 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too much time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 16.4 | 10.0 | 10.7 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.3 | 53.2 | 36.1 | 34.8 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 28.3 | 17.9 | 18.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 |

paprofile_prestime_economic

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q15(2): time of Pres on economic issues

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Too much time | 0 | 153 | 153 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0 | 1408 | 1408 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0 | 2224 | 2224 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 75 | 75 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too much time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 34.0 | 23.8 | 22.2 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.6 | 59.9 | 37.6 | 39.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |

paprofile_prestime Domestic

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q15(3): time of Pres on domestic policy issues

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Too much time | 0 | 201 | 201 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0 | 1678 | 1678 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0 | 1907 | 1907 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 74 | 74 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too much time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 41.3 | 28.4 | 26.9 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49.4 | 51.1 | 32.2 | 33.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |

paprofile_prestime_homesec

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q15(4): time of Pres home security since 9/11

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Too much time | 0 | 345 | 345 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0 | 2451 | 2451 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0 | 1006 | 1006 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 58 | 58 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Too much time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| 2. Right amount of time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.5 | 62.2 | 41.4 | 40.6 |
| 3. Not enough time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 27.5 | 17.0 | 18.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

paprofile_interestpolit

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q16: interest in politics and public affairs

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very interested | 0 | 1034 | 1034 |
| 2. Somewhat interested | 0 | 1371 | 1371 |
| 3. Slightly interested | 0 | 969 | 969 |
| 4. Not at all interested | 0 | 469 | 469 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 17 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very interested | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 22.8 | 17.5 | 14.9 |
| 2. Somewhat interested | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 33.7 | 23.2 | 22.0 |
| 3. Slightly interested | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 27.6 | 16.4 | 18.0 |
| 4. Not at all interested | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 15.5 | 7.9 | 10.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_freqpolit_work

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- PIN1(1): how often politics at work

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 0 | 309 | 309 |
| 2. Some | 0 | 1042 | 1042 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0 | 761 | 761 |
| 4. Never | 0 | 418 | 418 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0 | 1292 | 1292 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 38 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
| 2. Some | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 17.6 | 17.4 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 21.0 | 12.9 | 13.7 |
| 4. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 32.0 | 21.8 | 20.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |

paprofile_freqpolit_church

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- PIN1(2): how often politics at church

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 0 | 126 | 126 |
| 2. Some | 0 | 623 | 623 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0 | 845 | 845 |
| 4. Never | 0 | 895 | 895 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0 | 1336 | 1336 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 35 | 35 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 2. Some | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 20.8 | 14.3 | 13.6 |
| 4. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 15.1 | 15.9 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.6 | 35.6 | 22.6 | 23.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |

paprofile_freqpolit_friends

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- PIN1(3): how often politics friends

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 0 | 690 | 690 |
| 2. Some | 0 | 1886 | 1886 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0 | 864 | 864 |
| 4. Never | 0 | 273 | 273 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0 | 107 | 107 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 40 | 40 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 15.0 | 11.7 | 9.8 |
| 2. Some | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.9 | 47.0 | 31.9 | 30.7 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 25.3 | 14.6 | 16.5 |
| 4. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 5.7 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |

paprofile_freqpolit_family

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- PIN1(4): how often politics family

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 0 | 887 | 887 |
| 2. Some | 0 | 1819 | 1819 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0 | 760 | 760 |
| 4. Never | 0 | 237 | 237 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0 | 125 | 125 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 20.6 | 15.0 | 13.5 |
| 2. Some | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 45.6 | 30.8 | 29.8 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 21.8 | 12.9 | 14.2 |
| 4. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 4.8 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

paprofile_freqpolit_blog

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- PIN1(5): how often politics inet msg board/blog

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 0 | 254 | 254 |
| 2. Some | 0 | 585 | 585 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0 | 532 | 532 |
| 4. Never | 0 | 1056 | 1056 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0 | 1411 | 1411 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 22 | 22 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 2. Some | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 14.4 | 9.9 | 9.4 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 9.0 | 9.4 |
| 4. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 28.7 | 17.9 | 18.7 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.6 | 35.3 | 23.9 | 23.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

paprofile_freqpolit_socmedia

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- PIN1(6): how often politics social media

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. A lot | 0 | 255 | 255 |
| 2. Some | 0 | 673 | 673 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0 | 616 | 616 |
| 4. Never | 0 | 979 | 979 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0 | 1266 | 1266 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 29 | 29 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. A lot | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 2. Some | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 11.4 | 11.6 |
| 3. Hardly ever | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 17.1 | 10.4 | 11.2 |
| 4. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 26.6 | 16.6 | 17.4 |
| 5. Does not apply | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.8 | 30.1 | 21.4 | 19.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

paprofile_politinfo_radio

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- MED1(1): politics info from radio

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| 1. Every day | 0 | 881 | 881 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0 | 591 | 591 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0 | 575 | 575 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0 | 490 | 490 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0 | 534 | 534 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 737 | 737 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 52 | 52 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 20.1 | 14.9 | 13.1 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 14.5 | 10.0 | 9.4 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 15.0 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 8.3 | 8.6 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 21.4 | 12.5 | 13.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 |

paprofile_politinfo_inetnews

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- MED1(2): politics info from internet news sites

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Every day | 0 | 869 | 869 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0 | 548 | 548 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0 | 541 | 541 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0 | 411 | 411 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0 | 432 | 432 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 1014 | 1014 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 45 | 45 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 18.9 | 14.7 | 12.3 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 13.2 | 9.3 | 8.6 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 14.1 | 9.1 | 9.2 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 7.6 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 29.1 | 17.1 | 19.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

paprofile_politinfo_prntnwsp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- MED1(3): politics info from print newspapers

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| 1. Every day | 0 | 922 | 922 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0 | 467 | 467 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0 | 613 | 613 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0 | 408 | 408 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0 | 577 | 577 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 818 | 818 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 55 | 55 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 20.0 | 15.6 | 13.0 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 10.5 | 7.9 | 6.9 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 15.3 | 10.4 | 10.0 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 6.9 | 7.8 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 16.2 | 9.8 | 10.6 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 24.7 | 13.8 | 16.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 |

paprofile_politinfo_tv

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- MED1(4): politics info from television

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Every day | 0 | 1729 | 1729 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0 | 672 | 672 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0 | 536 | 536 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0 | 346 | 346 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0 | 267 | 267 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 269 | 269 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 41 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.8 | 39.2 | 29.2 | 25.6 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 11.4 | 11.5 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 9.1 | 10.0 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 5.9 | 6.5 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 5.3 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 4.5 | 5.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |

paprofile_politinfo_mags

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- MED1(5): politics info from magazines

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Every day | 0 | 121 | 121 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0 | 208 | 208 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0 | 487 | 487 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0 | 691 | 691 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0 | 954 | 954 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 1351 | 1351 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 48 | 48 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 16.3 | 11.7 | 10.6 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 25.0 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 38.4 | 22.8 | 25.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

paprofile_politinfo_blogs

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- MED1(6): politics info from internet blogs

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Every day | 0 | 163 | 163 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0 | 170 | 170 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0 | 291 | 291 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0 | 300 | 300 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0 | 507 | 507 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 2367 | 2367 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 62 | 62 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 8.6 | 8.4 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.3 | 62.5 | 40.0 | 40.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

paprofile_politinfo_socmedia

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- MED1(7): politics info from social media

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Every day | 0 | 262 | 262 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0 | 266 | 266 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0 | 400 | 400 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0 | 340 | 340 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0 | 512 | 512 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 1994 | 1994 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 44 | 44 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Every day | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
| 2. Three times a week or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| 3. Almost every week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| 4. One to three times a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| 5. Less than once a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 8.7 | 8.6 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 51.2 | 33.7 | 33.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

paprofile_ancestry_1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- QEG1(1): R ancestry 1
(not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_ancestry_2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG1(2): R ancestry 2 (not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_ancestry_3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG1(3): R ancestry 3 (not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_ancestry_4

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG1(4): R ancestry 4 (not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_ancestry_5

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG1(5): R ancestry 5 (not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_rlang_1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG3(1): languages R speaks 1

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_rlang_2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG3(2): languages R speaks 2

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_rlang_3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG3(3): languages R speaks 3

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_hhmembers

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- QEG4: Are there other members in household

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 0. No | 3115 |
| 1. Yes | 697 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2102</u> |

paprofile_otherslang_1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG4(1): languages others speak 1

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_otherslang_2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG4(2): languages others speak 2

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_otherslang_3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG4(3): languages others speak 3

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_borncit

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- QEG23: Was R born a citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Born a U.S. citizen | 0 | 3537 | 3537 |
| 2. Naturalized U.S. citizen | 0 | 319 | 319 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 1 | 2055 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Born a U.S. citizen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91.6 | 93.0 | 59.8 | 60.7 |
| 2. Naturalized U.S. citizen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 4.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

paprofile_whencit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG24: Year R become a citizen

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_priorcit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG25: R prior citizenship

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_multcit

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- QEG26: Is R a citizen of more than 1 country

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. I am a citizen of only one country | 0 | 3728 | 3728 |
| 2. I am a citizen of more than one country | 0 | 128 | 128 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. I am a citizen of only one country | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.6 | 97.3 | 63.0 | 63.5 |
| 2. I am a citizen of more than one country | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

paprofile_2ndcit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- QEG28: Country of 2nd citizenship

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_orient_r

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q23: R sexual orientation

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----------------------------|------|-----|------|-------|
| 1. Heterosexual or straight | | 0 | 3599 | 3599 |
| 2. Gay | | 0 | 72 | 72 |
| 3. Lesbian | | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| 4. Bisexual | | 0 | 73 | 73 |
| 5. Other, please specify | | 0 | 51 | 51 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 | |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 43 | 43 | |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Heterosexual or straight | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.2 | 94.0 | 60.9 | 61.4 |
| 2. Gay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 3. Lesbian | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Bisexual | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 5. Other, please specify | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 |

paprofile_orient_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q22: Sexual orientation of friends and family

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2480 | 2480 |
| 2. No | 0 | 1343 | 1343 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 37 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.2 | 63.5 | 41.9 | 41.4 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 35.8 | 22.7 | 23.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |

paprofile_transgender

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q23A: Does R consider self transgender

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | 3860 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

paprofile_unionmem

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q24: Is R a member of a labor union

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 391 | 391 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3401 | 3401 |
| 3. Don't know | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 25 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 5.7 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 89.2 | 57.5 | 58.2 |
| 3. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

paprofile_aarp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q24A: Is R a member of the AARP

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 889 | 889 |
| 2. No | 0 | 1146 | 1146 |
| 3. Don't know | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 1786 | 3840 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 17.9 | 15.0 | 11.7 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 23.3 | 19.4 | 15.2 |
| 3. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46.3 | 58.1 | 64.9 | 72.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

paprofile_milit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q25: R ever served on active military duty

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | 3860 |
| -4. Error | 0 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 |
| -8. Don't know | 0 |
| -9. Refused | 0 |

paprofile_milit_family

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q25A: Family serving on active military duty

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 579 | 579 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3257 | 3257 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 24 | 24 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.4 | 84.3 | 55.1 | 55.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

paprofile_religion

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q26: What is R's religion

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Non-Christian | 0 | 592 | 592 |
| 2. Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal) | 0 | 1714 | 1714 |
| 3. Catholic | 0 | 988 | 988 |
| 4. Other Christian | 0 | 534 | 534 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Non-Christian | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 16.4 | 10.0 | 10.7 |
| 2. Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 43.5 | 29.0 | 28.4 |
| 3. Catholic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 23.8 | 16.7 | 15.5 |
| 4. Other Christian | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 15.4 | 9.0 | 10.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

paprofile_religion_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q26: What is R's religion
-other

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_bornagain

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q26A: IS R born-again or evangelical Christian

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1053 | 1053 |
| 2. No | 0 | 1957 | 1957 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 835 | 2889 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 15 | 15 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 27.8 | 17.8 | 18.2 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.7 | 49.4 | 33.1 | 32.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 22.4 | 48.9 | 49.3 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_jewish

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q26B: R consider self Jewish

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_jewishparent

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q26C: R Jewish mother or father

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_attend

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q27: How often R attends religious services

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| 1. More than once a week | 0 | 430 | 430 |
| 2. Once a week | 0 | 944 | 944 |
| 3. Once or twice a month | 0 | 336 | 336 |
| 4. A few times a year | 0 | 628 | 628 |
| 5. Once a year or less | 0 | 667 | 667 |
| 6. Never | 0 | 811 | 811 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 7 | 2061 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 37 | 37 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. More than once a week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 9.9 | 7.3 | 6.5 |
| 2. Once a week | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 23.1 | 16.0 | 15.1 |
| 3. Once or twice a month | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 |
| 4. A few times a year | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 10.6 | 10.7 |
| 5. Once a year or less | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 11.3 | 11.7 |
| 6. Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 22.8 | 13.7 | 14.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 34.8 | 34.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

paprofile_orgservice

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q28(1): R active in service club or frat org

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3611 | 3611 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 206 | 206 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 43 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.5 | 94.5 | 61.1 | 61.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

paprofile_orgveterans

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q28(2): R active in veterans group

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3670 | 3670 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 147 | 147 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 43 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95.1 | 95.9 | 62.1 | 62.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

paprofile_orgrelig

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q28(3): R active in religious group

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3187 | 3187 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 630 | 630 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 43 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 84.4 | 53.9 | 55.1 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 14.5 | 10.7 | 9.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

paprofile_orgsenior

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q28(4): R active in senior center or group

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3647 | 3647 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 170 | 170 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 43 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94.5 | 95.5 | 61.7 | 62.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

paprofile_orgwomen

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q28(5): R active in womens group

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3587 | 3587 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 230 | 230 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 43 | 43 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 93.5 | 60.7 | 61.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

paprofile_orgpolitiss

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q29(1): R active in issue-oriented polit group

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3699 | 3699 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 107 | 107 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 54 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95.8 | 96.0 | 62.5 | 62.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

paprofile_orgcivic

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q29(2): R active in non-partisan civic org

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3671 | 3671 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 135 | 135 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 54 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95.1 | 96.1 | 62.1 | 62.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

paprofile_orgschool

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q29(3): R active in school club or association

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3522 | 3522 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 284 | 284 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 54 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91.2 | 91.2 | 59.6 | 59.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

paprofile_orgyouth

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q29(4): R active in hobby/sport team/youth grp

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3213 | 3213 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 593 | 593 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 54 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.2 | 83.7 | 54.3 | 54.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 14.6 | 10.0 | 9.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

paprofile_orgneighb

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q29(5): R active in neighborhd assoc/commun grp

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3370 | 3370 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 436 | 436 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 54 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 89.6 | 57.0 | 58.5 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 5.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

paprofile_orgethnic

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q29(6): R active in racial/ethnic interest grp

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3762 | 3762 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 44 | 44 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 54 | 54 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.5 | 97.4 | 63.6 | 63.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |

paprofile_attendpta

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q30(1): R attend PTA/school grp mtg in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3468 | 3468 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 360 | 360 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 89.8 | 90.2 | 58.6 | 58.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

paprofile_attendcommun

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q30(2): R attend community grp mtg in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3171 | 3171 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 657 | 657 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.2 | 85.4 | 53.6 | 55.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 13.7 | 11.1 | 9.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

paprofile_donateblood

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q30(3): R donated blood in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3330 | 3330 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 498 | 498 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.3 | 87.4 | 56.3 | 57.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 8.4 | 7.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

paprofile_givecharity

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q30(4): R gave money to charity in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1593 | 1593 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2235 | 2235 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 | 46.2 | 26.9 | 30.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9 | 52.9 | 37.8 | 34.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

paprofile_workcharity

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q30(5): R worked for church/charity in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2791 | 2791 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1037 | 1037 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.3 | 75.1 | 47.2 | 49.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 24.0 | 17.5 | 15.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

paprofile_rally

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(1): R attend protest or rally in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3630 | 3630 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 158 | 158 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94.0 | 94.7 | 61.4 | 61.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_govt offic

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(2): R contact govt official in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3101 | 3101 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 687 | 687 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.3 | 83.3 | 52.4 | 54.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 14.8 | 11.6 | 9.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_prescamp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(3): R work for pres campaign in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3713 | 3713 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 96.4 | 62.8 | 62.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_othcamp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(4): R work for oth cand or issue in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3652 | 3652 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 136 | 136 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94.6 | 95.2 | 61.8 | 62.1 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_moneyprescamp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(5): R gave money to pres campgn in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3475 | 3475 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 313 | 313 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 92.2 | 58.8 | 60.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 3.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_moneyothcamp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(6): R gave money oth cand/issue in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3452 | 3452 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 336 | 336 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 89.4 | 91.8 | 58.4 | 59.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 4.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_workcommuniss

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(7): R work in commun on issue in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3371 | 3371 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 417 | 417 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 89.3 | 57.0 | 58.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 5.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_workcommbd

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(8): R serve on community board in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3601 | 3601 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 187 | 187 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.3 | 94.4 | 60.9 | 61.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_lettertonewsp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(9): R written letter to editor in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3592 | 3592 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 196 | 196 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.1 | 93.9 | 60.7 | 61.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_messagebd

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(10): R polit comment message brd in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3426 | 3426 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 362 | 362 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 90.0 | 57.9 | 58.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 6.1 | 5.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_publicofc

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31(11): R held publicly elected ofc in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3762 | 3762 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 26 | 26 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 72 | 72 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 63.6 | 63.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

paprofile_1stcamp

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q31A: First time involved in a polit campaign

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 130 | 130 |
| 2. No | 0 | 434 | 434 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3296 | 5350 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 5.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 88.6 | 90.5 | 92.5 |

paprofile_active_teapty

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D(1): R active in Tea Party movement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3709 | 3709 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 104 | 104 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 47 | 47 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.1 | 96.4 | 62.7 | 62.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_active_envir

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D(2): R active in environmental rights movemt

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3731 | 3731 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 82 | 82 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 47 | 47 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.7 | 97.3 | 63.1 | 63.5 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_active_womrts

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D(3): R active in womens rights movement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3735 | 3735 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 78 | 78 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 47 | 47 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.8 | 97.5 | 63.2 | 63.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_active_eqrts

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D(4): R active in racial equality movement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3767 | 3767 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 47 | 47 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.6 | 98.2 | 63.7 | 64.1 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_active_righttolife

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D(5): R active in right to life movement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3708 | 3708 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 105 | 105 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 47 | 47 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.1 | 96.6 | 62.7 | 63.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_active_peace

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D(6): R active in peace movement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3755 | 3755 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 58 | 58 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 47 | 47 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.3 | 97.9 | 63.5 | 63.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_active_lgbt

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D(7): R active in LGBT rights movement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3743 | 3743 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 70 | 70 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 47 | 47 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.0 | 97.1 | 63.3 | 63.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_active_occupy

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11D: R active in Occupy movement

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3738 | 3738 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 46 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.8 | 97.4 | 63.2 | 63.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

paprofile_recent_teapty

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(1): R Tea Party movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 76 | 76 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3608 | 3608 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0 | 61 | 61 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 73 | 73 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.5 | 93.6 | 61.0 | 61.1 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 |

paprofile_recent_envir

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(2): R envrnmntal rights movmnt in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 72 | 72 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3597 | 3597 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 86 | 86 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.2 | 93.8 | 60.8 | 61.2 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 |

paprofile_recent_womrts

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(3): R womens rights movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 57 | 57 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3609 | 3609 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0 | 64 | 64 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 88 | 88 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.5 | 93.8 | 61.0 | 61.3 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 |

paprofile_recent_equrts

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(4): R racial equality movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3629 | 3629 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 76 | 76 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94.0 | 94.6 | 61.4 | 61.7 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 |

paprofile_recent_righttolife

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(5): R right to life movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 92 | 92 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3583 | 3583 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 80 | 80 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 93.4 | 60.6 | 61.0 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.2 |

paprofile_recent_peace

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(6): R peace movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 48 | 48 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3619 | 3619 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 88 | 88 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.8 | 94.3 | 61.2 | 61.5 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 |

paprofile_recent_lgbt

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(7): R LGBT rights movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 61 | 61 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3617 | 3617 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 77 | 77 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 94.0 | 61.2 | 61.4 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 |

paprofile_recent_occupy

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q11E(8): R Occupy movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| 2. No | | 0 | 3608 | 3608 |
| 3. Dont Know | | 0 | 69 | 69 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | | 0 | 85 | 85 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.5 | 93.7 | 61.0 | 61.2 |
| 3. Dont Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 |

paprofile_moneyenvir

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(1): Given money to environ org in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3577 | 3577 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 265 | 265 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.7 | 93.9 | 60.5 | 61.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_timeenvir

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(2): Given time to environ org in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3743 | 3743 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 99 | 99 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.0 | 97.5 | 63.3 | 63.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_recyclepaper

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(3): Recycled paper in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1333 | 1333 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2509 | 2509 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.5 | 39.0 | 22.5 | 25.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 60.5 | 42.4 | 39.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_recyclecans

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(4): Recycled cans in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1016 | 1016 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2826 | 2826 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 29.5 | 17.2 | 19.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.2 | 70.0 | 47.8 | 45.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_recycleglass

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(5): Recycled glass in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1641 | 1641 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2201 | 2201 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 46.9 | 27.7 | 30.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.0 | 52.6 | 37.2 | 34.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_recycleplast

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(6): Recycled plastic in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1269 | 1269 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2573 | 2573 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 37.2 | 21.5 | 24.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 62.2 | 43.5 | 40.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_prodrecycled

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(7): Chose product bczse recycled in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2828 | 2828 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1014 | 1014 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 74.7 | 47.8 | 48.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 24.8 | 17.1 | 16.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_energycut

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(8): Took steps to reduce energy in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1615 | 1615 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2227 | 2227 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.8 | 43.9 | 27.3 | 28.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 55.6 | 37.7 | 36.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_replacebulbs

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(9): Replaced fluoresc bulbs with CFLs

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1876 | 1876 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1925 | 1925 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 17 | 17 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.6 | 52.4 | 31.7 | 34.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49.9 | 46.2 | 32.5 | 30.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_otherenvir

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32(10): Other environmental in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3672 | 3672 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 170 | 170 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 18 | 18 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95.1 | 95.5 | 62.1 | 62.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

paprofile_engytaxcredit

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q32A: Qualify resid energy income tax credit

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 537 | 537 |
| 2. No | 0 | 3285 | 3285 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 38 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 9.1 | 8.1 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.1 | 86.5 | 55.5 | 56.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

paprofile_selfenvironlst

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q33: Describe yourself as an environmentalist

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes, definitely | 0 | 299 | 299 |
| 2. Yes, somewhat | 0 | 1905 | 1905 |
| 3. No | 0 | 1618 | 1618 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 38 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes, definitely | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
| 2. Yes, somewhat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49.4 | 46.9 | 32.2 | 30.6 |
| 3. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.9 | 45.2 | 27.4 | 29.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

paprofile_hhguns

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q34: Have guns or revolvers in home or garage

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1268 | 1268 |
| 2. No | 0 | 2483 | 2483 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 109 | 109 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.8 | 32.4 | 21.4 | 21.2 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 64.8 | 42.0 | 42.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |

paprofile_pistol

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q35(1): Type of gun- pistol selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 353 | 353 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 906 | 906 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2592 | 4646 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 9 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 23.4 | 15.3 | 15.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 67.6 | 78.6 | 78.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

paprofile_shotgun

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q35(2): Type of gun- shotgun selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 511 | 511 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 748 | 748 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2592 | 4646 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 9 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 12.6 | 8.6 | 8.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 12.6 | 12.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 67.6 | 78.6 | 78.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

paprofile_rifle

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q35(3): Type of gun- rifle selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 462 | 462 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 797 | 797 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2592 | 4646 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 9 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 7.8 | 7.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 20.1 | 13.5 | 13.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 67.6 | 78.6 | 78.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

paprofile_othergun

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q35(4): Type of gun- other selected

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1214 | 1214 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2592 | 4646 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 9 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 31.1 | 20.5 | 20.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 67.6 | 78.6 | 78.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

paprofile_othergun_type

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: PA profile- Q35(4): Type of gun- other

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

paprofile_personalgun

Label: WEB ONLY: PA profile- Q36: Does any gun personally belong to R

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 902 | 902 |
| 2. No | 0 | 358 | 358 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2592 | 4646 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 8 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 22.4 | 15.3 | 14.6 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 67.6 | 78.6 | 78.8 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

profile_race_r

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. White | 3018 |
| 2. Black or African American | 565 |
| 3. Other | 277 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_censusrace_r

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race, Census categories

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. White | 3062 |
| 2. Black or African American | 562 |
| 3. Other | 236 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_hisp

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. No, I am not | 0 | 3295 | 3295 |
| 2. Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano | 0 | 304 | 304 |
| 3. Yes, Puerto Rican | 0 | 60 | 60 |
| 4. Yes, Cuban | 0 | 55 | 55 |
| 5. Yes, Central American | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| 6. Yes, South American | 0 | 41 | 41 |
| 7. Yes, Caribbean | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| 8. Yes, Other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino | 0 | 64 | 64 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. No, I am not | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 55.7 | 57.7 |
| 2. Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 4.1 |
| 3. Yes, Puerto Rican | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 4. Yes, Cuban | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| 5. Yes, Central American | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 6. Yes, South American | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 7. Yes, Caribbean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 8. Yes, Other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_lang

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R language

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. English | 3698 |
| 2. Spanish | 160 |
| 3. Choice | 2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_preferlang

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R prefer to do surveys in Spanish or English

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Spanish | 162 |
| 2. English | 3698 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_primelang

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R primary language

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. English Proficient | 0 | 209 | 209 |
| 2. Bilingual | 0 | 235 | 235 |
| 3. Spanish Proficient | 0 | 121 | 121 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 3295 | 3295 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. English Proficient | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| 2. Bilingual | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| 3. Spanish Proficient | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 55.7 | 57.7 |

profile_spanspeak

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of Spanish speaking proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very well | 0 | 301 | 301 |
| 2. Pretty well | 0 | 116 | 116 |
| 3. Just a little | 0 | 74 | 74 |
| 4. Not at all | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| 5. Don't know | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3295 | 5349 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 3.4 |
| 2. Pretty well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 3. Just a little | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 4. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 5. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 90.4 | 92.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

profile_spanread

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of Spanish reading proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

| | | F2F | Web | Total |
|-----|---------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. | Very well | 0 | 239 | 239 |
| 2. | Pretty well | 0 | 129 | 129 |
| 3. | Just a little | 0 | 88 | 88 |
| 4. | Not at all | 0 | 93 | 93 |
| 5. | Don't know | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 2054 | 3295 | 5349 |
| -9. | Refused | 0 | 11 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | | |
|-----|---------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | |
| 1. | Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| 2. | Pretty well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| 3. | Just a little | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| 4. | Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 5. | Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| -1. | Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 90.4 | 92.4 |
| -9. | Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

profile_engspeak

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of English speaking proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very well | 0 | 379 | 379 |
| 2. Pretty well | 0 | 85 | 85 |
| 3. Just a little | 0 | 74 | 74 |
| 4. Not at all | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| 5. Don't know | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3295 | 5349 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 10 | 10 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 5.1 |
| 2. Pretty well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 3. Just a little | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 4. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 5. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 90.4 | 92.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

profile_engread

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of English reading proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Very well | 0 | 387 | 387 |
| 2. Pretty well | 0 | 81 | 81 |
| 3. Just a little | 0 | 69 | 69 |
| 4. Not at all | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| 5. Don't know | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 3295 | 5349 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 8 | 8 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Very well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 5.1 |
| 2. Pretty well | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 3. Just a little | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 4. Not at all | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 5. Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 90.4 | 92.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

profile_race1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core Profile- Race ident 1 (not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_race2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core Profile- Race ident 2 (not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_race3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core Profile- Race ident 3 (not ordered)

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_racemost

Label: WEB ONLY: Core Profile- Race R identifies with most

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. White | 61 |
| 2. Black or African American | 17 |
| 3. Other | 3782 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_spanishsurv

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Spanish survey taker

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3698 | 3698 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 162 | 162 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95.8 | 97.0 | 62.5 | 63.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_trynewprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Try new products before other people

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0 | 155 | 155 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0 | 600 | 600 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0 | 1207 | 1207 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0 | 1009 | 1009 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0 | 856 | 856 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 33 | 33 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 10.1 | 11.1 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 29.8 | 20.4 | 19.5 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 25.3 | 17.1 | 16.5 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

profile_newbrands

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Often try new brands

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0 | 913 | 913 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0 | 1079 | 1079 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0 | 974 | 974 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0 | 663 | 663 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 39 | 39 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 24.5 | 15.4 | 16.0 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 27.6 | 18.2 | 18.0 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 24.2 | 16.5 | 15.8 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 11.2 | 11.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

profile_looknewprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- When shopping I look for what is new

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0 | 231 | 231 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0 | 959 | 959 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0 | 1126 | 1126 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0 | 892 | 892 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0 | 606 | 606 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 46 | 46 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 26.0 | 16.2 | 16.9 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 27.9 | 19.0 | 18.2 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 22.7 | 15.1 | 14.8 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 10.2 | 10.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

profile_trynew1st

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Like to be first to try something new

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0 | 163 | 163 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0 | 485 | 485 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0 | 1148 | 1148 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0 | 1066 | 1066 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0 | 960 | 960 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 38 | 38 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 13.8 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 29.3 | 19.4 | 19.1 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 26.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 24.5 | 16.2 | 16.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

profile_tellothersnew

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Like to tell others about what is new

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0 | 319 | 319 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0 | 940 | 940 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0 | 1098 | 1098 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0 | 728 | 728 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0 | 734 | 734 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 41 | 41 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Strongly Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 5.4 | 5.7 |
| 2. Somewhat Agree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 24.9 | 15.9 | 16.3 |
| 3. Neither Agree or Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 27.1 | 18.6 | 17.7 |
| 4. Somewhat Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 12.3 | 12.2 |
| 5. Strongly Disagree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 19.1 | 12.4 | 12.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

profile_hhshopamt

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Amount of household grocery shopping

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. You do all of it | 0 | 1452 | 1452 |
| 2. You do most of it | 0 | 814 | 814 |
| 3. You do about half of it | 0 | 632 | 632 |
| 4. Someone else does most of it, you do some of it | 0 | 661 | 661 |
| 5. Someone else does all of it | 0 | 299 | 299 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. You do all of it | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.6 | 36.7 | 24.6 | 24.6 |
| 2. You do most of it | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 20.6 | 13.8 | 13.8 |
| 3. You do about half of it | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| 4. Someone else does most of it, you do some of it | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 16.6 | 11.2 | 11.2 |
| 5. Someone else does all of it | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_rparentguard

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R parent or legal guardian

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|----|-------|
| 0 | 2948 |
| 1 | 862 |
| -1 | 2054 |
| -9 | 50 |

profile_emptynext

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Empty nest household

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 0. No | <u>2633</u> |
| 1. Yes | 1227 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_everparent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R ever a parent

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 0. No | 1363 |
| 1. Yes | 2497 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_hhlandline

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Working landline in household

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2992 | 2992 |
| 2. No | 0 | 854 | 854 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 3 | 3 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.5 | 70.3 | 50.6 | 45.9 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 28.9 | 14.4 | 18.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

profile_hhcellphone

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Working cell phone in household

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Yes | 0 | 3564 | 3564 |
| 2. No | 0 | 283 | 283 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 91.6 | 60.3 | 59.8 |
| 2. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

profile_phoneuse

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Cell phone/landline phone use

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|--|------|------|-------|
| 1. (Cell phone only household) | 0 | 820 | 820 |
| 2. All or almost all calls received on cell phones | 0 | 526 | 526 |
| 3. Some received on cell phones and some on regular phones | 0 | 1690 | 1690 |
| 4. Very few or none on cell phones | 0 | 527 | 527 |
| 5. (Regular phone only household) | 0 | 249 | 249 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 37 | 2091 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 11 | 11 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|--|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. (Cell phone only household) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 27.8 | 13.9 | 18.1 |
| 2. All or almost all calls received on cell phones | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 8.9 | 8.8 |
| 3. Some received on cell phones and some on regular phones | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 37.4 | 28.6 | 24.4 |
| 4. Very few or none on cell phones | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 8.9 | 8.3 |
| 5. (Regular phone only household) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 35.4 | 35.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

profile_computers

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Number of computers in household

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. None | 0 | 488 | 488 |
| 1. One or more | 0 | 3363 | 3363 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 9 | 9 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. None | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 15.5 | 8.3 | 10.1 |
| 1. One or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 84.2 | 56.9 | 55.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

profile_inetcomputers

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Number of computers connected to the Internet

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 752 | 752 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 3106 | 3106 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 23.1 | 12.7 | 15.1 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.5 | 76.8 | 52.5 | 50.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

profile_inet_dialup

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection dial-up

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2943 | 2943 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 96 | 96 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.2 | 72.2 | 49.8 | 47.1 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_inet_dsl

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection DSL

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2087 | 2087 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 952 | 952 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.1 | 52.4 | 35.3 | 34.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 21.6 | 16.1 | 14.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_inet_cable

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection Cable modem

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1583 | 1583 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1456 | 1456 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 38.1 | 26.8 | 24.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.7 | 35.9 | 24.6 | 23.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_inet_bband

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection mobile broadband

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2618 | 2618 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 421 | 421 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.8 | 62.5 | 44.3 | 40.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 7.1 | 7.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_inet_satell

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection satellite

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2964 | 2964 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.8 | 72.0 | 50.1 | 47.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_inet_fiboptics

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection fiber optics

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2778 | 2778 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 261 | 261 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 68.1 | 47.0 | 44.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_inet_tohbband

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection other broadband

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2934 | 2934 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 105 | 105 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 71.3 | 49.6 | 46.5 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_inet_wireless

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection wireless

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 5 | 2059 |
| -4. Error | 0 | 3761 | 3761 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 34.8 | 34.8 |
| -4. Error | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.4 | 96.2 | 63.6 | 62.8 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |

profile_inet_other

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection something else

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2983 | 2983 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 739 | 2793 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0 | 80 | 80 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.3 | 72.6 | 50.4 | 47.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 47.2 | 49.6 |
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

profile_birthmonth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- Month of birth

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_birthday

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- Day of birth

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_birthyear

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- Year of birth

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 |
| -3. Restricted access | <u>3860</u> |

profile_rpet_cat

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : cat

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2788 | 2788 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1072 | 1072 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.2 | 71.5 | 47.1 | 46.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 28.5 | 18.1 | 18.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rpet_dog

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : dog

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 2428 | 2428 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 1432 | 1432 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.9 | 61.2 | 41.1 | 39.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.1 | 38.8 | 24.2 | 25.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rpet_fish

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : fish

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3507 | 3507 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 353 | 353 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 90.1 | 59.3 | 58.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rpet_bird

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : bird

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3709 | 3709 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 151 | 151 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.1 | 95.9 | 62.7 | 62.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rpet_gerbil

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : gerbil etc.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3763 | 3763 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 97 | 97 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.5 | 97.2 | 63.6 | 63.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rpet_reptile

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : reptile

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3797 | 3797 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.4 | 98.1 | 64.2 | 64.0 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rpet_horse

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : horse

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3803 | 3803 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 57 | 57 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.5 | 98.3 | 64.3 | 64.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rpet_other

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : other

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3752 | 3752 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 108 | 108 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.2 | 96.9 | 63.4 | 63.2 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_oth_hhpet

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does anyone in the HH own a pet?

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 1619 | 1619 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 2241 | 2241 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.9 | 39.5 | 27.4 | 25.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 60.5 | 37.9 | 39.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_rstudent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R full-time or part-time student?

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Full-time student | 0 | 183 | 183 |
| 2. Part-time student | 0 | 105 | 105 |
| 3. Not enrolled in school | 0 | 3553 | 3553 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 19 | 19 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|---------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Full-time student | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 2. Part-time student | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 3. Not enrolled in school | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.0 | 90.0 | 60.1 | 58.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

profile_dualincome

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Dual income household

3 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|------------------|-------------|
| 0. No | 3428 |
| 1. Yes | 432 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

profile_numjobs

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- How many jobs R has

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. One | 0 | 1754 | 1754 |
| 2. Two | 0 | 264 | 264 |
| 3. Three | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| 4. Four or more | 0 | 27 | 27 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 1771 | 3825 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 13 | 13 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. One | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.4 | 46.9 | 29.7 | 30.6 |
| 2. Two | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| 3. Three | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 4. Four or more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 45.9 | 44.1 | 64.7 | 63.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

profile_fulltimejob

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Full-time/part-time main job or main job

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. R works full-time | 0 | 1603 | 1603 |
| 2. R works part-time | 0 | 472 | 472 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 1771 | 3825 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 14 | 14 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|----------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. R works full-time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 42.7 | 27.1 | 27.9 |
| 2. R works part-time | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 45.9 | 44.1 | 64.7 | 63.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

profile_ind_foodmfg

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Food Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3785 | 3785 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.1 | 97.7 | 64.0 | 63.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_bevtob

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Beverage and Tobacco Product Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3839 | 3839 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 64.9 | 64.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_pharm

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Pharmaceutical and Medicine Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3814 | 3814 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 98.9 | 64.5 | 64.5 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_healthprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Health, Personal Care, and Beauty Product Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3779 | 3779 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 81 | 81 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.9 | 97.6 | 63.9 | 63.7 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_hhprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Household Product Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3813 | 3813 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 98.7 | 64.5 | 64.4 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_advert

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Advertising Agencies

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3835 | 3835 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 64.8 | 64.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_healthcare

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Health Care

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3425 | 3425 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 435 | 435 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 89.3 | 57.9 | 58.3 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 7.4 | 7.0 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_mktresearch

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Market Research and Public Opinion Polling

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3846 | 3846 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 65.0 | 65.1 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_marketing

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Marketing Consulting Services

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3829 | 3829 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 64.7 | 64.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_pubrel

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Public Relations Agencies

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3837 | 3837 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 64.9 | 64.9 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_broadcast

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Broadcasting

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3846 | 3846 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 65.0 | 65.1 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_finance

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Finance

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3710 | 3710 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 150 | 150 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.1 | 96.0 | 62.7 | 62.6 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_retail

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Retail Trade

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3512 | 3512 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 348 | 348 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91.0 | 90.1 | 59.4 | 58.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 5.9 | 6.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_ind_foodbev

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Food and Beverage Stores

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 0. No | 0 | 3714 | 3714 |
| 1. Yes | 0 | 146 | 146 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 0 | 2054 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 0. No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 62.8 | 62.8 |
| 1. Yes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 34.7 |

profile_occ1current

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (detailed) in current or main job

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---|------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | 3825 | |
| 29. Other (Please specify) | 304 | |
| 1. Management | 273 | |
| 22. Office and Administrative Support | 199 | |
| 10. Other professional | 126 | |
| 3. Computer and Mathematical | 117 | |
| 8. Teacher, except college and university | 113 | |
| 20. Retail Sales | 75 | |
| 2. Business and Financial Operations | 74 | |
| 27. Transportation and Material Moving | 71 | |

profile_occ2current

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Major occupation group in current or main job

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---|------------------------|-------|
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 2099 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | |
| 1. Management occupations | 273 | |
| 17. Office and administrative support occupations | 199 | |
| 16. Sales and related occupations | 158 | |
| 8. Education, training, and library occupations | 145 | |
| 9. Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media occupations | 126 | |
| 3. Computer and mathematical occupations | 117 | |
| 10. Healthcare practitioner and technical occupations | 102 | |
| 2. Business and financial operations occupations | 74 | |

profile_occ3current

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (tight scale) in current or main job

13 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 2099 | |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | |
| 2. Professional and related occupations | 651 | |
| 1. Management, business, and financial occupations | 347 | |
| 5. Office and administrative support occupations | 199 | |
| 3. Service occupations | 173 | |
| 4. Sales and related occupations | 158 | |
| 10. Transportation and material moving occupations | 71 | |
| 8. Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations | 65 | |
| 9. Production occupations | 45 | |

profile_employersize

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Total number of persons working for employer

Unweighted Frequencies

| | F2F | Web | Total |
|------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Under 10 | 0 | 398 | 398 |
| 2. 10-24 | 0 | 183 | 183 |
| 3. 25-99 | 0 | 287 | 287 |
| 4. 100-499 | 0 | 341 | 341 |
| 5. 500-999 | 0 | 160 | 160 |
| 6. 1000+ | 0 | 695 | 695 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 1771 | 3825 |
| -9. Refused | 0 | 25 | 25 |

Weighted Percentages

| | F2F | | Web | | Total | |
|------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights | Raw | Weights |
| 1. Under 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 6.5 |
| 2. 10-24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| 3. 25-99 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| 4. 100-499 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| 5. 500-999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| 6. 1000+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 17.6 | 11.8 | 11.5 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 100.0 | 100.0 | 45.9 | 44.1 | 64.7 | 63.5 |
| -9. Refused | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

profile_occ1recent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (detailed) in most recent job

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---|------------------------|-------|
| -1. Inapplicable | | 4683 |
| 29. Other (Please specify) | | 206 |
| 1. Management | | 128 |
| 22. Office and Administrative Support | | 125 |
| 8. Teacher, except college and university | | 71 |
| 20. Retail Sales | | 59 |
| 10. Other professional | | 53 |
| 2. Business and Financial Operations | | 49 |
| 16. Food Preparation and Serving | | 44 |
| 27. Transportation and Material Moving | | 44 |

profile_occ2recent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Major occupation group in most recent job

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|---|------------------------|-------|
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | | 2862 |
| -1. Inapplicable | | 2054 |
| 1. Management occupations | | 128 |
| 17. Office and administrative support occupations | | 125 |
| 16. Sales and related occupations | | 112 |
| 8. Education, training, and library occupations | | 94 |
| 9. Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media occupations | | 53 |
| 2. Business and financial operations occupations | | 49 |
| 13. Food preparation and serving related occupations | | 44 |
| 22. Transportation and material moving occupations | | 44 |

profile_occ3recent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (tight scale) in most recent job

13 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

| | Unweighted Frequencies | Count |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| -6. Not asked, unit nonresponse | 2862 | 2862 |
| -1. Inapplicable | 2054 | 2054 |
| 2. Professional and related occupations | 310 | 310 |
| 1. Management, business, and financial occupations | 177 | 177 |
| 5. Office and administrative support occupations | 125 | 125 |
| 4. Sales and related occupations | 112 | 112 |
| 3. Service occupations | 107 | 107 |
| 10. Transportation and material moving occupations | 44 | 44 |
| 9. Production occupations | 35 | 35 |
| 8. Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations | 32 | 32 |

profile_hhpurchdec

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Major purchase decisions in household

4 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | Count |
|--|-------------|
| 1. I make most or all of the decisions for my household | 434 |
| 2. Someone else and I share the decisions for my household | 691 |
| 3. Someone else makes most/all of the decisions for my hh | 39 |
| -1. Inapplicable | <u>2054</u> |

paprofile_comments

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- R comments you would like to share

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

| | <u>Count</u> |
|----|--------------|
| -1 | 2054 |
| -3 | 3860 |