

Write up of ASG0: mycat

Yujia Li

CruzID: yli302

How does the code for handling a file differ from that for handling standard input?

What concept is this an example of?

For handling a file, the program needs to `open()` the file depends on file and `read()` from **file descriptor**.

For handling a standard input, the program needs to `read()` from the **standard input**.

Because of the different way to handle user data, I set them in this structure:

```
if () {standard input} else {file};
```

This is an example of **modularity**.

The way to write the read result of file descriptor and stdin in `mycat` code is same, I just need to change the first argument in `read()` function.

The input to `mycat` from file and standard input could be different, but the outputs are always in the same format. This stands '*Be tolerant of inputs and strict on outputs*'.

Therefore, it is a example of **abstraction**.

TEST:

1. With zero argument:

Copy stdin to stdout.

```
$ ./mycat
first input
first input
second input
second input

$ ./mycat < smallfile.txt
This is a small file.$

$ ./mycat < test
cat: -: Is a directory
```

2. With one argument:

- a. File less than 10KB

```
$ ./mycat smallfile.txt
This is a small file.$
```

- b. File greater than 1MB

Just like smallfile, but more things on standard output.

c. File of size zero

End program without output.

```
$ ./mycat zerofile.txt
$
```

d. File name which does not exist.

```
$ ./mycat fff
cat: fff: No such file or directory
```

e. File name which is a directory

```
$ ./mycat test
cat: test: Is a directory
```

f. File which do not have read permission.

It should be Permission denied

g. Other test with redirection operator

```
$ ./mycat smallfile.txt >> newfile
$ ./mycat newfile
This is a small file.$ ./mycat smallfile.txt >>
newfile
This is a small file.This is a small file.$
```

3. With more than one arguments:

Program will run the first argument, then run the second argument, and until all arguments have run.

