

1. identifiable. a parameterization for  $EY \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\boxed{EY} = f(\beta) = X\beta.$$

Def:  $\beta$  is identifiable for  $\forall \beta_1, \beta_2$ , if  $\begin{cases} f(\beta_1) = f(\beta_2) \Rightarrow \beta_1 = \beta_2. \\ g(\beta) \text{ is identifiable.} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \text{if } \overline{f(\beta_1) = f(\beta_2)} \Rightarrow g(\beta_1) = g(\beta_2).$

$$\boxed{Y} = \boxed{X} \overset{\downarrow}{\beta} + e.$$

knowing  $EY$  is not sufficient  
to tell us the value of  $\beta / g(\beta)$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \beta / g(\beta)$  not identifiable.

Regression model.  $X^{n \times p}$ .  $\text{rank}(X) = p$ .  $Y = X\beta + e$ .

$\beta$  is identifiable.

$$X\beta_1 = X\beta_2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{(X'X)^{-1}X' \cdot X\beta_1} = \underline{(X'X)^{-1}X' \cdot X\beta_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 = \beta_2.$$

$X^{n \times p}$   $\text{rank}(X) < p$ .  $Y = X\beta + e$ .

$\beta$  is no longer identifiable.

$(X'X)^{-1}$ . not exist!

Example:  $n$  patients two drugs  $\rightarrow$  effect on levels of sth. in blood.  
 $\downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$   
 $\mu \quad \quad \beta_1 \quad \beta_2$

$$y = \mu + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{+} + e.$$

$n \rightarrow$  first drug

$n \rightarrow$  second drug.

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \\ y_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_X \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} + e.$$

$R(X) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , rank = 2.  
 $\text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

$g(\beta) = \Lambda' \beta.$

$$\text{rank}(X) = 2 < 3.$$

$\vec{\beta}$  is identifiable.

is  $\mu$  identifiable?

No!

$$(\mu, \beta_1, \beta_2) = \begin{cases} (1, 10, 100) \\ (5, 6, 96) \end{cases}$$

$\downarrow$   
 $\begin{cases} EY_1 = 11 \\ EY_2 = 101 \end{cases}$

$\mu + \beta_1 = 11 \quad \beta_2 - \beta_1 = 90.$

Def: linear function of  $\beta$ ,  $\Lambda' \beta$  is estimable if  $\exists P$ .

$$\Lambda' \beta = P' X \beta.$$

$$\boxed{\Lambda' \in R(X)}.$$

estimable  $\Rightarrow$  identifiable.

$\Leftarrow$ .

$$\frac{f_1(\beta)}{f_2(\beta)}$$

$\mu$  is estimable?  $\mu = \underline{(1, 0, 0)} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{No!}$$

$\mu + \beta_1$  is estimable?

$$\mu + \beta_1 = \underline{(1 \ 1 \ 0)} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\beta_2 - \beta_1$  is estimable?  $\beta_2 - \beta_1 = (0 \ -1 \ 1) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$  ✓

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R(X).$$

$X$  not full rank.  $\hat{\beta}$  has infinite solution  
to minimize  $\|Y - X\beta\|^2 = \sum (y_i - \beta^T X_i)^2$ .

Prop:  $X\beta = \sum_{k=1}^p \vec{X}_k \beta_k$ .  $X = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{X}_1 & \vec{X}_2 & \dots & \vec{X}_p \end{pmatrix}$ .

$\beta_i$  is NOT identifiable (estimable).  $\Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  
 $X_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j X_j$  ←

covered by effect provided by others.

$r(X) < p$ . multicollinearity.

consider linear dependence between columns of  $X$ .

Procedure:  $Y \sim X_1, \dots, X_p$ .

for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ .

$$X_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_{i-1} X_{i-1} + \beta_{i+1} X_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_p X_p + e.$$

$$R_i^2 \quad VIF_i = \frac{1}{1 - R_i^2} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \underline{R_i^2 \rightarrow 1}.$$

find maximal  $\{VIF_i\}_i \rightarrow$  remove  $X_i$ .

for  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ .

$$X_i = \beta_0 + \dots + e.$$

rule of thumb

$$\boxed{\max_i \{VIF_i\} > 10}$$