Notes

September 10, 2014

assignment

2.2 # 16

prove f is onto iff there exists $g: B \to A$ such that $f \circ g = f(g(x)) = 1_B$

proof

```
Assume f is onto then \forall b \in B \ \exists x_b \in A \ \text{such that} \ f(x_b) = b.

For every b \in B \ \text{choose} \ x_b \in A

define g: B \to A, g(b) = x_b, then (f \circ f)(b) = f(g(b)) = f(x_b) = b

other way

prove that for every b \in B there exists x \in A such that f(x) = b

we have b = f(g(b)). g(b) = x. almost a tautology. done
```

2.2 # 18

LOOK HERE

Let A be a

last time

equivalence relations

example

let $f: S \to T$. on S we define the equivalence relation as follows: $x, y \in S$ then $x \sim_f y$ iff f(x) = f(y). two elements are related iff they have the same image. if f is injective then [f] = f. constant function has one equivalence class.

proposition

there exists a one to one (bijection) from the set of equivalence classes S/\sim_f and f(s). $\bar{f}:S/\sim_f\to f(S)$. Namelly $[x]\to f(x)$.

question? does [x] = [y] imply that f(x) = f(y)? in this case, $[x] = [y] \Rightarrow x \sim_f y \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$ so f is a well defined function. (well defined is redundant, but places proper emphasis)

surjectivity of \bar{f} is clear. what about injectivity? if $f(x) = f(y) \to x \sim_f y \to [x] = [y]$

1.4 integers modulo n

```
n > 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}. on \mathbb{Z} we define the equiv relation \equiv as a \equiv b \mod n if and only if n | (a - b). \mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/\equiv \rightarrow set of equiv classes. \mathbb{Z}_n = \{[0], [1], \ldots, [n-1]\} alternate notation is \mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \ldots, n-1\}. define operations on \mathbb{Z}_n as follows: addition: [a] + [b] = [a + b] multiplication: [a] \cdot [b] = [a \cdot b] [a] = [a'], [b] = [b'] \rightarrow [a + b] = [a' + b']. n | (a - a'), n | (b - b') \rightarrow n | ((a + b) - (a' + b')) similarly for multiplication. [a] = [a'], [b] = [b'] \rightarrow [a \cdot b] = [a' \cdot b'].
```

proposition

these operation satisfy associative, commutative, distributive

definition: Let $[a]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. If there exists $[b]_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $[b] \neq 0, [a][b] = [0]$. We say that [a] is a zero-divisor in \mathbb{Z}_n

example

```
\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{[0], [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}. zero divisors are \{[0], [2], [3], [4]\}
```

multiplicative inverse

if [a][b] = 1 for some $[b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we say that [a] is an invertible element of \mathbb{Z}_n and [b] is a multiplicative inverse of [a].

```
\mathbb{Z}_6 invertible elements:\{[1][5]\} because [5][5] = 1 (25 mod 6 is 1) lets take [a] \in \mathbb{Z} then [a] is invertible iff \gcd(a,n) = 1.
```

proof

```
assume (a, n) = 1 then a\alpha + n\beta = 1 with \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}. Then [1] = [a][\alpha] + [n][\beta] [n] = [0] assume [a] is invertible, then there exists [b] such that [a][b] = 1, n|(ab-1), ab-1 = nk, (a, n) = 1
```