Notes

October 1, 2014

if G is a group, and $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq G$ then $AB = \{ab | a \in A, b \in B\} \subseteq G$.

proposition

let G be a group, then H, K subgroups of G. Assume that $h^{-1}kh \in K$ for all $h \in H$, $k \in K$ then HK is a subgroup of G that contain s both H and K, in fact, HK is the smallest subgroup of G that contains both H and K. Assumption only important if we are not dealing with abelian groups.

proof

$a, b \in HK$. Write $a = h_1k_1, b = h_2k_2$ with $h_i \in H, k_i \in K$ then $a \cdot b = h_1k_1h_2k_2 = h_1h_2(h_2^{-1}k_1h_2)k_2 \in HK$
$a = hk, a^{-1} = (hk)^{-1} = k^{-1}h^{-1} = h^{-1}(hk^{-1}h^{-1}) \in HK$

examples

$S_3, H = \{(1), (12)\}, K = \{(1), (123), (132)\}, (12)(123) = (23) \in HK, (12)(132) = (13) \in HK$ so $HK = G$ and is therefore contained by G
$(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, $H = a\mathbb{Z}, k = b\mathbb{Z}$, let $d = (a, b)$
claim: $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}$. clearly $a\mathbb{Z} \subseteq d\mathbb{Z}$, $b\mathbb{Z} \subseteq d\mathbb{Z}$.
$a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ is the smallest subgroup that contains both $a\mathbb{Z}$ and $b\mathbb{Z}$. so $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} \subseteq d\mathbb{Z}$.
$d = \gcd(a, b)$ so we can write $d = ma + nb$. let $\alpha \in d\mathbb{Z}$ and write $\alpha = dt, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\alpha = dt = mat + nbt \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$. so $d\mathbb{Z} \subseteq a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$

thm subgroup gen by a subset

G is a group, if $a \in G < a >= \{a^i | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the smallest subgroupthat contains a.

proof

let $S \subseteq G$, let $< S >= \{\underbrace{a_1a_2 \dots a_k}_{\text{word}} | a_i \in S \text{ or } {a_i}^{-1} \in S, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then $< S >$ is a subgroup, $< S >= \cap \forall H$ where $S \subseteq H \subseteq G$, and H is a subgroup of G, $< S >$ is the smallest subgroup of Gthat contains S.
so it is closed under multiplication, identity is in it, and the inverse of all words are in it.
show containment both ways, one is clear because we have words of length 1 that span S and so S is one of the elements of our H intersection.

example

$a, b \in G, S = \{a, b\} \subseteq G, < S >= \{a_1a_2 \dots a_k | a_i \in \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\}\}$
if $ab = ba$ then $< S >= \{a^ib^j | i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

maps

studied groups, subgroups. now we are going to talk about maps

if we have groups G_1, G_2 and $\varphi : G_1 \to G_2$ is a group homomorphism provided $x \to \varphi(x), y \to \varphi$ means that $\varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) * \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in G_1$.

examples

identity: $x \to x$

$(\mathbb{R}, +) = G_1, (\mathbb{R}^+, \cdot) = G_2$. $\varphi(x) = e^x$. ie $\varphi(x + y) = e^{x+y} = e^x e^y = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$.

notation

let $\varphi : G_1 \to G_2$ be a group homomorphism, then $\ker \varphi = \{x \in G_1 | \varphi(x) = e\}$

homomorphism always takes the identity in G_1 to G_2.

$\varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_1)^{-1} = \varphi(e_1 e_1)\varphi(e_1)^{-1} = \varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_1)^{-1} = e_2 = \varphi(e_1)$

prove that $\ker \varphi$ is a subgroup

now we say that φ is an isomorphism if φ is a group homomorphism and φ is bijective.

both of the previous examples are isomorphisms.

so from an algebraic point of view, there is no difference between addition on the reals and multiplication on the positive reals.

proposition

let φ be an isomorphism. the following are true

1. φ^{-1} which is the map from G_2 to G_1 is also an isomorphism.
2. if G_1 is abelian, then G_2 is abelian.
3. if G_1 is cyclic then so is G_2
4. if $a \in G_1$ then $\text{ord}(a) = \text{ord}(\varphi(a))$

1. need to prove $\varphi^{-1}(\alpha\beta) = \varphi^{-1}(\alpha)\varphi^{-1}(\beta)$ for all $\beta \in G_2$, but φ is injective so it is enough to prove that $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\alpha\beta)) = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)\varphi^{-1}(\beta) = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\alpha))\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\beta)) = \alpha\beta$
2. assume G_1 is abelian

$$\alpha\beta = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)\,\varphi^{-1}(\beta))$$

3. hint: assume that $G_1 =< a >$ for some $a \in G_1$ and then prove that $G_2 =< \varphi(a)$
4. no hint

example

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \not\equiv \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$$

by contradiction, assume that there exists an isomorphism φ from z4 to z2+z2. $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ and ord$[1] = 4$ so then ord$\varphi([1]) = 4$. But all elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ has no elements of order 4, so there is no isomorphisms. however, if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ then $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} \equiv \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$