

## 9.1

- B. Show that every subset of a discrete metric space is both open and closed.

We have a discrete metric  $d$  on a set  $X$ . Now we take  $U \subset X$ . For any  $x \in U$  we have  $B(x, r) \subset U$  if  $r \leq 1$  because the ball will contain only the point  $x$ . Note that this is trivially true even if  $U = \emptyset$  because there is no  $x \in U$  that does not have a ball around it. Now because our choice of  $U$  was arbitrary we know that all subsets of  $X$  are open. And the complements of any subsets of  $X$  are themselves subsets of  $X$ , and so they are open. But they are the complement of an open set, and so they must be closed. Thus every subset of a discrete metric space is both open and closed.

- D. Prove Theorem 9.1.7

Let  $f$  map a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  into a metric space  $(Y, \rho)$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ ;
- (2) for every sequence  $(x_n)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a \in X$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(a)$ ; and
- (3)  $f^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in U\}$  is open in  $X$  for every open set  $U$  in  $Y$ .

We start by assuming that  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ . Now we know that for every  $a \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sigma(f(x), f(a)) < \varepsilon$  whenever  $\rho(x, a) < \delta$ .

We also know that the limit of  $\rho(x, a)$  is zero as  $x \rightarrow a$ . Now from the definition of limit, we know that we can find some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\rho(x, a) < \delta$ . But then from the definition of continuity we can have  $\sigma(f(x), f(a)) < \varepsilon$  which means that the limit of  $\sigma(f(x), f(a))$  is zero as  $f(x) \rightarrow f(a)$  as required.

Now if we assume 1 is false, and  $f$  is discontinuous at some point  $a$  then we can find some number  $\varepsilon > 0$

- H. Two metrics  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  on a set  $X$  are **equivalent** if there are constants  $0 < c < C$  such that  $c\rho(x, y) \leq \sigma(x, y) \leq C\rho(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$

- (a) Prove that equivalent metrics are topologically equivalent

If we say  $\sigma(x, y) = r$  and let  $s = r/c$  then we have  $B_s^\rho(x) \subset B_r^\sigma(x)$ , straight from the inequality in the definition of equivalence. Now if  $\rho(x, y) = r$  then  $\sigma(x, y)/C \leq r/C = s$  because  $C > c$  and so we see that  $B_s^\sigma(x) \subset B_r^\rho(x)$ .

- (b) Prove that equivalent metrics have the same Cauchy sequences

We begin with some Cauchy sequence  $(x_n) \in \rho$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon/C > 0$  there exists some  $N$  such that  $\rho(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon/C$ . But

$\sigma(x_i, x_j) \leq C\rho(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon$  and so the sequence is Cauchy in  $\sigma$ . Now let us assume that our sequence is Cauchy in  $\sigma$ . Then for every  $c\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $N$  such that  $c\rho(x_i, x_j) \leq \sigma(x_i, x_j) < c\varepsilon$  and so certainly  $\rho(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon$ .

- (c) Give examples of topologically equivalent metrics that are not equivalent

If we let  $\sigma(x, y) = \min\{1, \rho(x, y)\}$  and  $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|$  then, no matter how small we make  $c$ , we can make  $y = x + 1/c + 1$  and then no matter our choice of  $c$  we can make  $y = x + 1/c + 1$  and  $\sigma(x, x + 1/c + 1) = 1$  but  $c\rho(x, x + 1/c + 1) = c + 1 > \sigma(x, x + 1/c + 1)$  so they are not equivalent. But if we choose any  $r$  for  $B_r^\sigma(x)$  we will have either all real numbers or all real numbers in  $[-r, r]$ . Either way, we can certainly say that  $s = \min(1/2, r/2)$  and then  $B_s^\rho(x) \subset B_r^\sigma(x)$  and  $B_s^\sigma(x) \subset B_r^\rho(x)$ .

- K. Recall the 2-adic metric of examples 9.1.2 (4) and 9.1.5 (4). Extend it to  $\mathbb{Q}$  by setting  $\rho_2(a/b, a/b) = 0$  and, if  $a/b \neq c/d$ , then  $\rho_2(a/b, c/d) = 2^{-e}$ , where  $e$  is the unique integer such that  $a/b - c/d = 2^e(f/g)$  and both  $f$  and  $g$  are odd integers

- (a) Prove that  $\rho_2$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{Q}$

if  $a/b \neq c/d$  then  $a/b - c/d = \frac{ad - cb}{db}$ . Now  $ad - cb = 2^i f$  for some odd  $f$  and  $db = 2^j g$  for some odd  $g$ . Then  $a/b - c/d = 2^{i-j}(f/g)$ . Of course  $2^{i-j}$  is non-zero and so  $\rho_2(a/b, c/d) \neq 0$ .

Now we assume that  $a/b - c/d = 2^e \frac{f}{g}$ . Then  $c/d - a/b = 2^e(-f/g)$  and so  $\rho_2(x, y) = \rho_2(y, x)$ .

And finally, if  $\rho_2(a/b, c/d) = 2^{-i+l}$ ,  $\rho_2(a/b, e/f) = 2^{-k+l}$  and  $\rho_2(c/d, e/f) = 2^{-j+l}$  then  $a/b - c/d = (adf - bcf)/bdf$  and  $c/d - e/f = (bcf - bde)/bdf$  while  $a/b - e/f = (adf - bde)/bdf = (adf - bcf)/bdf + (bcf - bde)/bdf$ . Now we see that  $\rho_2(a/b, e/f) = 2^{-i-j+l} \leq 2^{-i-j+2l} = 2^{-i+l} + 2^{-j+l}$

- (b) Show that the sequence of integers  $a_n = (1 - (-2)^n)/3$  converges in  $(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_2)$

$(1 - (-2)^n)/3 - 1/3 = -(-1)^n 2^n/3$  so  $\rho_2((1 - (-2)^n)/3, 1/3) = 2^{-n}$  which converges to zero, so  $(a_n)$  converges to  $\frac{1}{3}$

- (c) Find the limit of  $\frac{n!}{n! + 1}$  in this metric.

We know that  $n!$  is even for  $n \geq 2$ , so  $n! + 1$  is odd for  $n \geq 2$ . We also know that every other term of  $n!$  adds at least one factor of 2 to  $n!$ . Thus  $\rho_2(n!/(n! + 1), 0) \leq 2^{-n/2}$ . And so we know that if we choose  $N$  large enough that  $0 < 2^{-N/2} \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  then  $\rho_2(n!/(n! + 1), 0) \leq 2^{-n/2} \leq 2^{-N/2}$  for all  $n > N$ . We see that the limit must be 0.