

Linear Transformations $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$

Algebra of Linear Transformations

Definition 1. Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We define the algebra of linear transformations on F^n to be the set $\mathcal{A}(F^n) = \{F^n \xrightarrow{T} F^n : T \text{ is a linear transformation}\}$.

Theorem 2. For the algebra $\mathcal{A}(F^n)$, the following operations are well-defined.

- (A) $\boxplus : \mathcal{A}(F^n) \times \mathcal{A}(F^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(F^n)$ given by $(T \boxplus S)(\mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{v}) + S(\mathbf{v})$.
- (M) $\circ : \mathcal{A}(F^n) \times \mathcal{A}(F^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(F^n)$ given by $(T \circ S)(\mathbf{v}) = T(S(\mathbf{v}))$.
- (S) $\cdot : F \times \mathcal{A}(F^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(F^n)$ given by $(cT)(\mathbf{v}) = cT(\mathbf{v})$.

Proof. We prove (A) and leave the other two as an exercise. (WD1) We must show that if $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$, then $S \boxplus T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$. That is, we must show that $(S \boxplus T) : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ is a linear transformation. If $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in F^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (S \boxplus T)(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) \\
 &= S(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + T(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) \quad (\text{Defn of } \boxplus \text{ in } \mathcal{A}(F^n)) \\
 &= (S(\mathbf{v}) + S(\mathbf{w})) + (T(\mathbf{v}) + T(\mathbf{w})) \quad (\text{Since } S, T \text{ are linear maps}) \\
 &= (S(\mathbf{v}) + T(\mathbf{v})) + (S(\mathbf{w}) + T(\mathbf{w})) \quad (\text{Usual properties of } + \text{ in } F^n) \\
 &= (S \boxplus T)(\mathbf{v}) + (S \boxplus T)(\mathbf{w})
 \end{aligned}$$

(WD2) We must show that if $S_1 = S_2$ and $T_1 = T_2$, then $S_1 \boxplus T_1 = S_2 \boxplus T_2$. To prove that these two maps are equal, we must show that they agree at every point in F^n . So choose any $\mathbf{v} \in F^n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \vdash S_1 = S_2 \text{ and } T_1 = T_2 \\
 & \Rightarrow S_1(\mathbf{v}) = S_2(\mathbf{v}) \text{ and } T_1(\mathbf{v}) = T_2(\mathbf{v}) \\
 & \Rightarrow S_1(\mathbf{v}) + T_1(\mathbf{v}) = S_2(\mathbf{v}) + T_2(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\text{Since } + \text{ is well-defined on } F^n) \\
 & \Rightarrow (S_1 \boxplus T_1)(\mathbf{v}) = (S_2 \boxplus T_2)(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\text{Defn of } \boxplus \text{ in } \mathcal{A}(F^n)) \\
 & \Rightarrow S_1 \boxplus T_1 = S_2 \boxplus T_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. For the algebra $\mathcal{A}(F^n)$, the following properties hold.

- (A1) \boxplus is associative.
- (A2) \boxplus is commutative.
- (A3) \boxplus has an identity $0 : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ given by $0(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$.
- (A4) \boxplus has an inverses $(-T) : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ given by $(-T)(\mathbf{v}) = -T(\mathbf{v})$.
- (M1) \circ is associative.
- (M2) \circ has the identity $1_{F^n} : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ given by $1_{F^n}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$.
- (D) $R \circ (S \boxplus T) = (R \circ S) \boxplus (R \circ T)$ for all $R, S, T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$.
- (S1) $1_F T = T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$.
- (S2) $(a + b)T = (aT) \boxplus (bT)$ for all $a, b \in F$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$.
- (S3) $a(S \boxplus T) = (aS) \boxplus (aT)$ for all $a \in F$ and $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$.
- (S4) $a(bT) = (ab)T$ for all $a, b \in F$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$.

Proof. We prove (S3) and leave the remaining parts as an exercise. Choose any $\mathbf{v} \in F^n$ and check that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a(S \boxplus T))(\mathbf{v}) \\
&= a(S \boxplus T)(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\text{Theorem 2(S)}) \\
&= a(S(\mathbf{v}) + T(\mathbf{v})) \quad (\text{Theorem 2(A)}) \\
&= aS(\mathbf{v}) + aT(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\text{Usual scalar mult in } F^n) \\
&= (aS)(\mathbf{v}) + (aT)(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\text{Theorem 2(S)}) \\
&= ((aS) \boxplus (aT))(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\text{Theorem 2(A)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Remarks 4. From now on, we will write $S+T$ instead of $S \boxplus T$ and ST instead of $S \circ T$. Therefore, $T^k = T \circ T \circ \dots \circ T$ composed k times. If $p(x) \in F[x]$ and $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$, then

$$p(T)(v) = (a_0 1_{F^n} + a_1 T + \dots + a_n T^n)(\mathbf{v}) = a_0 \mathbf{v} + a_1 T(\mathbf{v}) + \dots + a_n T^n(\mathbf{v}).$$

Complex Eigenvalues

Lemma 5. Let $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$. If $\ker ST \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then $\ker S \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ or $\ker T \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$. More generally, if $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$ and $\ker T_1 T_2 \dots T_m \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then there exists a $j \leq m$ such that $\ker T_j \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proof. We prove the first part using the contrapositive. The second part follows by induction. If $\ker S = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\ker T = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then both S, T are 1-1. It follows that the composition ST is 1-1. This is equivalent to $\ker ST = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Theorem 6. Every $T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ has a complex eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Choose any non-zero $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Since $\dim(\mathbb{C}^n) = n$, the set

$$S = \{\mathbf{v}, T(\mathbf{v}), T^2(\mathbf{v}), \dots, T^n(\mathbf{v})\}$$

of $n+1$ many vectors is linearly dependent in \mathbb{C}^n . We can find $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ not all zero such that

$$a_0 \mathbf{v} + a_1 T(\mathbf{v}) + a_2 T^2(\mathbf{v}) + \dots + a_n T^n(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

After removing any leading zeros, we can write

$$a_0 \mathbf{v} + a_1 T(\mathbf{v}) + a_2 T^2(\mathbf{v}) + \dots + a_m T^m(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ where } m \leq n \text{ and } a_m \neq 0.$$

Using the algebra operations, we find that

$$(a_0 1_{\mathbb{C}^n} + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + \dots + a_m T^m)(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_mx^m \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

By The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, we can factor p as

$$p(x) = a_m(x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2) \cdots (x - \lambda_m).$$

It now follows that

$$p(T)(\mathbf{v}) = (a_m(T - \lambda_1)(T - \lambda_2) \cdots (T - \lambda_m))(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since \mathbf{v} is non-zero,

$$\ker(T - \lambda_1)(T - \lambda_2) \cdots (T - \lambda_m) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

By Lemma 5,

$$\ker(T - \lambda_1) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\} \text{ for some } j \leq m.$$

Therefore, there exists a non-zero $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$(T - \lambda_j)(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{0} \iff T(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda_j \mathbf{w}.$$

Definition 7. A matrix $A \in M_n$ is called upper triangular if $\text{ent}_{ij}(A) = 0$ whenever $i > j$. That is, if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (*)$$

A matrix $B \in M_n$ is called triangularizable if there exists an invertible matrix P such that $B = PAP^{-1}$ (similar to a triangular matrix). A linear transformation $T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is called triangularizable if there exists a basis B of \mathbb{C}^n such that $M(T, B, B)$ is triangular.

Theorem 8. Let $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a basis for F^n . The following statements are equivalent for a linear transformation $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$.

- (1) $M(T, B, B)$ is upper triangular.
- (2) $T(\mathbf{v}_k) \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ for each $k \leq n$.
- (3) $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ is invariant under T for each $k \leq n$.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) If $M(T, B, B)$ has the form $(*)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{v}_1) &= a_{11}\mathbf{v}_1 \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1) \\ T(\mathbf{v}_2) &= a_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + a_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \\ &\vdots \\ T(\mathbf{v}_n) &= a_{1n}\mathbf{v}_1 + a_{2n}\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_{nn}\mathbf{v}_n \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n). \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Choose any $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ and write $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(\mathbf{v}) \\
&= T(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n) \\
&= c_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + c_2T(\mathbf{v}_2) + \dots + c_nT(\mathbf{v}_n) \\
&\in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1) + \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) + \dots + \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) \quad (\text{since (2) holds}) \\
&= \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) \quad (\text{since } \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1) \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_1 &\in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1) \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_1) \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1) \quad (\text{since (2) holds}) \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_1) = a_{11}\mathbf{v}_1 \\
\mathbf{v}_2 &\in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_2) \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_2) = a_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 + a_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 \\
&\vdots \\
\mathbf{v}_n &\in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_n) = a_{1n}\mathbf{v}_1 + a_{2n}\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_{nn}\mathbf{v}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$M(T, B, B) = \begin{bmatrix} T(\mathbf{v}_1) & T(\mathbf{v}_2) & \dots & T(\mathbf{v}_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

we conclude that $M(T, B, B)$ has the form (*) and is upper triangular.

Lemma 9. If $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$ and $\lambda \in F$, then $\text{Im}(T - \lambda 1_{F^n})$ is invariant under T .

Proof. Let $V = \text{Im}(T - \lambda 1_{F^n})$ and choose any $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(\mathbf{v}) \\
&= T(\mathbf{v}) - \lambda\mathbf{v} + \lambda\mathbf{v} \\
&= (T - \lambda 1_{F^n})(\mathbf{v}) + \lambda\mathbf{v} \\
&\in \text{Im}(T - \lambda 1_{F^n}) + V \\
&= V
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 10. Let $V \leq F^n$ and define $\mathcal{A}(V) = \{V \xrightarrow{T} V : T \text{ is a linear transformation}\}$.

Lemma 11. If $V \leq F^n$ is invariant under $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$, then the restriction map $T_V : V \rightarrow V$ given by $T_V(\mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{v})$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(V)$.

Proof. Since V is invariant under T , we have that $T(V) \subseteq V$. It follows that $T_V : V \rightarrow V$ is a well-defined function (in particular, WD1 is satisfied). Since $T_V(\mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$, it is certainly true that T_V is a linear transformation. That is, $T_V \in \mathcal{A}(V)$.

Theorem 12. Every $T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is triangularizable.

Proof. The proof goes by induction on $n = \dim(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and we dispense with the trivial case when $n = 1$. Suppose that $n > 1$ the theorem holds for all linear transformations $S \in \mathcal{A}(W)$ with $\dim(W) < n$. By Theorem 6, T has an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows that $\ker(T - \lambda 1_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ is non trivial and so $T - \lambda 1_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is not 1-1. But then, $T - \lambda 1_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is not onto (square) and so the subspace $\text{Im}(T - \lambda 1_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ is *properly* contained in \mathbb{C}^n . Setting $V = \text{Im}(T - \lambda 1_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ we have that $\dim(V) < n$, say $\dim(V) = m$. By Lemma 11, V is invariant under T . By Lemma 12, the restriction $T_V : V \rightarrow V$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(V)$. The induction assumption says that we can find a basis $B_V = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ such that $M(T_V, B, B)$ is triangular. It follows that for each $j \leq m$, we have $T(\mathbf{v}_j) = T_V(\mathbf{v}_j) \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j)$. Now extend B_V to a basis $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{x}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ of all of \mathbb{C}^n . We now have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(\mathbf{x}_j) \\
&= T(\mathbf{x}_j) - \lambda \mathbf{x}_j + \lambda \mathbf{x}_j \\
&= (T - \lambda 1_{F^n})(\mathbf{x}_j) + \lambda \mathbf{x}_j \\
&\in \text{Im}(T - \lambda 1_{F^n}) + \text{Span}(\mathbf{x}_j) \\
&= V + \text{Span}(\mathbf{x}_j) \\
&= \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m) + \text{Span}(\mathbf{x}_j) \\
&\subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)
\end{aligned}$$

and so T is triangularizable in the basis B .

Theorem 13. The upper triangular matrix in (*) above is invertible if and only if $a_{jj} \neq 0$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Let A be the triangular matrix in (*) and let $E_n = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ be the standard basis. We let $T : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ be the usual linear transformation such that $M(T, E_n, E_n) = A$.

(\Rightarrow) We will prove that if $a_{jj} = 0$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then A is not invertible. If $a_{11} = 0$, then

$$T(\mathbf{e}_1) = a_{11}\mathbf{e}_1 = 0.$$

which means that $\ker T \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$. It follows that T is not 1-1 and hence, not invertible. Suppose now that $a_{jj} = 0$ for some $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. It follows that

$$T(\mathbf{e}_j) = a_{1j}\mathbf{e}_1 + a_{2j}\mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + a_{j-1,j}\mathbf{e}_{j-1} + 0\mathbf{e}_j \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1}).$$

Let T_j be the restriction of the map T to the domain $\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1})$. It follows from the previous equation and Theorem 8 that $T(\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1}, \mathbf{e}_j)) \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1})$. Hence,

$$T_j : \text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1}, \mathbf{e}_j) \rightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1}).$$

Since $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1}, \mathbf{e}_j\}$ is a linearly independent set, we have that

$$\dim(\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1}, \mathbf{e}_j)) = j > j - 1 = \dim(\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j-1})).$$

It is therefore impossible that T_j is 1-1. Since T_j is a restriction of T , it is impossible that T is 1-1 and hence T is not invertible.

(\Leftarrow) If A is not invertible, then it is certainly true that $A_T = A$ is not invertible. It follows that T is not 1-1 (since T is square) and there exists a *nonzero* $\mathbf{v} \in F^n$ such that $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$. Let us write $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + c_2\mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + c_m\mathbf{e}_m$ where $m \leq n$ and m is the largest index of a nonzero scalar coefficient. That is, $c_m \neq 0$ and $c_k = 0$ for all $k \in \{m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0} \\ &= T(\mathbf{v}) \\ &= T(c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + c_{m-1}\mathbf{e}_{m-1} + c_m\mathbf{e}_m) \\ &= T(c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + c_{m-1}\mathbf{e}_{m-1}) + c_mT(\mathbf{e}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_m \neq 0$, we can write

$$T(\mathbf{e}_m) = -\frac{1}{c_m}T(c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + c_{m-1}\mathbf{e}_{m-1}).$$

But T is triangular making $\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1})$ invariant under T and we obtain

$$T(\mathbf{e}_m) \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1}).$$

On the other hand, the equation

$$T(\mathbf{e}_m) = a_{1m}\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + a_{m-1,m}\mathbf{e}_{m-1} + a_{mm}\mathbf{e}_m$$

forces $a_{mm} = 0$ as needed.

Theorem 14. If $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is an upper triangular matrix, then the elements on the main diagonal are precisely the eigenvalues of the linear transformation $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$ such that $A_T = A$.

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } T \\ \Leftrightarrow & \ker(\theta - \lambda 1_V) \neq \{0\} \\ \Leftrightarrow & T - \lambda 1_V \text{ is not invertible} \\ \Leftrightarrow & A_{T - \lambda 1_V} \text{ is not invertible} \\ \Leftrightarrow & a_{j,j} - \lambda = 0 \text{ for some } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \lambda = a_{j,j} \text{ for some } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 15. Let $T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and let B be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n such that $M = M(T, B, B)$ is triangular with diagonal entries $\text{ent}_{ii}(M) = a_{ii}$. We define the characteristic polynomial $\chi_T(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ to be the polynomial

$$\chi_T(x) = (x - a_{11})(x - a_{22}) \cdots (x - a_{nn})$$

Theorem 16. (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem) If $T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then $\chi_T(T) = 0$. Equivalently, $\chi_T(A_T) = [0]$.

Proof. By Theorem 12, T is a triangular linear transformation with respect to some basis $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$. Using the matrix $A = M(T, B, B)$ in equation (*) of Definition 7 and rearranging some terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T - a_{11})(\mathbf{v}_1) &= \mathbf{0} \\ (T - a_{22})(\mathbf{v}_2) &= T(\mathbf{v}_2) - a_{22}\mathbf{v}_2 = a_{12}\mathbf{v}_1 \\ (T - a_{33})(\mathbf{v}_3) &= T(\mathbf{v}_3) - a_{33}\mathbf{v}_3 = a_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + a_{23}\mathbf{v}_2 \\ &\vdots \\ (T - a_{nn})(\mathbf{v}_n) &= T(\mathbf{v}_n) - a_{nn}\mathbf{v}_n = a_{1n}\mathbf{v}_1 + a_{2n}\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_{n-1,n}\mathbf{v}_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that $\chi_T(T) = (T - a_{11})(T - a_{22}) \cdots (T - a_{nn})$ annihilates every basis element \mathbf{v}_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. We will use the fact that the linear transformations $(T - a_{ii})$ and c commute for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. That is,

$$[(T - a_{jj})(T - a_{ii})](\mathbf{v}) = [(T - a_{ii})(T - a_{jj})](\mathbf{v})$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (verify!). We have

$$(T - a_{11})(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{0}$$

and so by commutativity,

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_T(T)(\mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= [(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22}) \cdots (T - a_{nn})](\mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= [(T - a_{22}) \cdots (T - a_{nn})(T - a_{11})](\mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= [(T - a_{22}) \cdots (T - a_{nn})](\mathbf{0}) \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

At the next step,

$$\begin{aligned} &[(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22})](\mathbf{v}_2) \\ &= (T - a_{11})(a_{12}\mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= a_{12}(T - a_{11})(\mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= a_{12}\mathbf{0} \\ &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\chi_T(T)(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$

One more for good measure.

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22})(T - a_{33})](\mathbf{v}_3) \\
= & [(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22})](a_{13}\mathbf{v}_1 + a_{23}\mathbf{v}_2) \\
= & a_{13}[(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22})](\mathbf{v}_1) + a_{23}[(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22})](\mathbf{v}_2) \\
= & a_{13}[(T - a_{22})(T - a_{11})](\mathbf{v}_1) + a_{23}[(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22})](\mathbf{v}_2) \\
= & \mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing on in this fashion, we find that

$$[\chi_T(T)](\mathbf{v}_j) = [(T - a_{11})(T - a_{22}) \cdots (T - a_{nn})](\mathbf{v}_j) = \mathbf{0}$$

for all basis elements \mathbf{v}_j . It follows that $\chi_T(T)$ kills every vector in \mathbb{C}^n so that $\chi_T(T) = 0$.

Exercises

1. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Justify all responses

- Is A invertible?
- Write down the characteristic polynomial $\chi_A(x)$.
- Write down all eigenvalues of A .
- Write down the basis vectors for each eigenspace.
- Is A diagonalizable? If so, find an invertible matrix P and a diagonal matrix D such that $D = PAP^{-1}$.

2. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Justify all responses

- Is A invertible?
- Write down the characteristic polynomial $\chi_A(x)$.
- Write down all eigenvalues of A .
- Write down the basis vectors for each eigenspace.
- Is A diagonalizable? If so, find an invertible matrix P and a diagonal matrix D such that $D = PAP^{-1}$.

- 3.** Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ and let $\lambda \in F$ be an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$. Prove the following statements.
- (a) $f(\lambda)$ is an eigenvalue of $f(T)$.
 - (b) $f(T) = 0$ implies $f(\lambda) = 0$.
 - (c) $f(T) = 0$ and $f(\mu) = 0$ does not imply μ is an eigenvalue of T . (Give a counterexample and a careful explanation.)
- 4.** Upper triangularity is really needed in Theorem 13! Give an example of a square matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ with the following properties.
- (a) $\text{ent}_{ii}(A) \neq 0$ for each $i \leq n$ but A is not invertible.
 - (b) $\text{ent}_{ii}(A) = 0$ for each $i \leq n$ but A is invertible.
- 5.** Let $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$ with S invertible. Given any polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$, prove that $p(STS^{-1}) = Sp(T)S^{-1}$.
- 6.** Let $T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Prove that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue of $p(T)$ if and only if T has an eigenvalue $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $p(\mu) = \lambda$. Does the result hold if \mathbb{C} is replaced by \mathbb{R} ?
- 7.** Let $T \in \mathcal{A}(F^n)$. Prove that, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, there is a T -invariant subspace $U_k \leq F^n$ such that $\dim(U_k) = k$.

Exercises

- 1.** Prove that a polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ has a root $\alpha \in F$ if and only if there exists a polynomial $g(x) \in F[x]$ such that $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$. Hint: Use Theorem 5 of the polynomial notes.
- 2.** Prove that if $f(x) \in F[x]$ with $\deg(f) = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f(x)$ has at most n roots in F . Hint: Use Exercise 1 and induction.
- 3.** For every polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with $\deg(f) = n$, there exists a unique set $\{c, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = c(x - z_1)(x - z_2) \cdots (x - z_n)$. Hint: Use Theorem 6 of the polynomial notes and Exercise 1 for existence.
- 4.** A complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is a root of the polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ if and only if the conjugate \bar{z} is a root of $f(x)$. Hint: Theorem 3 of the polynomial notes.
- 5.** Let $f(x) = x^2 + bx + c$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Prove that there is a polynomial factorization of the form $f(x) = (x - r_1)(x - r_2)$ for some $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $b^2 - 4c \geq 0$.