

Baseline characteristics were compared between treatment groups using chi-square and two-sample t tests. There were significant differences between BMI in treatment groups at baseline ( $p = .053$ ). There were no significant differences between treatment groups for other variables ( $p > .100$ ). We only controlled for BMI in the outcome analysis.

To examine baseline predictors of ISI, we conducted attrition analysis with 17 (10%) inevaluable participants due to missing outcome data. Comparison of evaluable and inevaluable participants using chi-square, Fisher exact test, and t tests revealed that the distributions of the study site ( $p = .089$ ) and annual household income ( $p = .052$ ) were different. Therefore, study site and annual household income were controlled in the outcome analysis.

The effect of the intervention on the outcome of ISI at baseline was estimated using a linear regression model with univariate analysis and multivariate analysis. In univariate analysis, higher CES-D scores at baseline ( $p < .001$ ) and FSI scores at baseline ( $p < .001$ ) were associated with higher ISI scores at baseline. In multivariate results, after adjusting for other variables, significant associations remained for CES-D scores at baseline and FSI scores at baseline. There are no significant associations between ISI scores at baseline and these predictor variables: age at baseline, race, study site, marital status, and annual household income ( $p > .100$ ). Together, these seven variables explained about 24.5% of the variability in ISI index scores at baseline.

The outcome analysis indicated that the participants in the control group had mean ISI scores at follow-up that were 1.43 (standard error = 0.69) points higher than the mindful awareness practices group, controlling for ISI scores at baseline, BMI, study site and annual household income. The P-value of the control and treatment groups is .040. ISI scores at baseline was also a significant predictor of ISI at follow-up ( $p < .001$ ). Together, these five variables explained about 56.42% of the variability in ISI index scores at follow-up.

Word counts: 332 words

**Table 1.** Summary of participant characteristics at baseline (N = 166)

Variable	Total Sample (n=166), Mean $\pm$ SD [range] or No. (%)	Waitlist Control (n=81), Mean $\pm$ SD [range] or No. (%)	Mindful Awareness Practice (n = 85), Mean $\pm$ SD [range] or No. (%)	P-value*
Age at baseline, years	45.2 $\pm$ 6.8 [23.2-54.5]	45.9 $\pm$ 5.6 [33.0-53.7]	44.5 $\pm$ 7.7 [23.2-54.5]	.203
Age at diagnosis, years	42.5 $\pm$ 6.6 [20.9-50.8]	43.2 $\pm$ 5.5 [29.3-50.8]	41.9 $\pm$ 7.5 [20.9-50.8]	.220
Time since diagnosis, years	2.7 $\pm$ 1.1 [0.4-5.7]	2.7 $\pm$ 1.2 [0.4-5.7]	2.6 $\pm$ 1.1 [0.9-5.2]	.634
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.1 $\pm$ 6.1 [16.6-44.7] <sup>a</sup>	28.0 $\pm$ 6.5 [16.9-44.7] <sup>a</sup>	26.2 $\pm$ 5.5 [16.6-42.9]	.053
Baseline CES-D score	17.5 $\pm$ 10.0 [0.0-48.0]	16.5 $\pm$ 9.9 [0.0-48.0]	18.5 $\pm$ 10.1 [1.0-46.0]	.199
Baseline FSI score	4.4 $\pm$ 1.6 [0.0-8.3]	4.4 $\pm$ 1.7 [0.0-8.3]	4.5 $\pm$ 1.6 [0.0-8.0]	.576
Baseline ISI score	11.7 $\pm$ 6.2 [0.0-27.0]	11.2 $\pm$ 6.6 [0.0-26.0]	12.1 $\pm$ 5.8 [2.0-27.0]	.373
Study site				.966
DF	66 (39.7)	33 (40.7)	33 (38.8)	
JH	33 (19.9)	16 (19.8)	17 (20.0)	
LA	67 (40.4)	32 (39.5)	35 (41.2)	
Marital status				.312
currently married or living with partner	119 (71.7)	61 (75.3)	58 (68.2)	
not	47 (28.3)	20 (24.7)	27 (31.8)	
Annual household income <sup>b</sup>				.762
<\$60,000	30 (19.5)	16 (21.3)	14 (17.7)	
\$60,000-\$100,000	34 (22.1)	15 (20.0)	19 (24.1)	
>\$100,000	90 (58.4)	44 (58.7)	46 (58.2)	

%. percent proportion of the stratum total was displayed. <sup>a</sup>: 1 participant was missing data. <sup>b</sup>: 12 participants were missing data.

\*We used the  $\chi^2$  test for categorical and the t-test for continuous variables to conduct the p-values. All p-values were greater than .05.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation; No., number; BMI, body mass index; CES-D, center for epidemiologic studies-depression; FSI, fatigue severity index; ISI, insomnia severity index; DF, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; JH, Johns Hopkins Kimmel Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD; LA, UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA

**Table 2.** Attrition analysis (N = 166)

Variable	Evaluable data (n=149), Mean $\pm$ SD [range] or No. (%)	Inevaluable data (n = 17), Mean $\pm$ SD [range] or No. (%)	P-value*
Age at baseline, years	45.4 $\pm$ 6.5 [26.5-54.5]	43.7 $\pm$ 8.7 [23.2-53.9]	.348
Age at diagnosis, years	42.7 $\pm$ 6.3 [24.9-50.8]	41.2 $\pm$ 8.6 [20.9-50.6]	.395
Time since diagnosis, years	2.7 $\pm$ 1.2 [0.4-5.7]	2.5 $\pm$ 1.1 [0.6-4.9]	.510
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.1 $\pm$ 6.2 [16.6-44.7] <sup>a</sup>	26.9 $\pm$ 5.0 [19.0-37.5]	.881
Baseline CES-D score	17.2 $\pm$ 10.0 [0.0-48.0]	19.6 $\pm$ 10.2 [4.0-40.0]	.369
Baseline FSI score	4.5 $\pm$ 1.6 [0.0-8.3]	4.1 $\pm$ 1.8 [0.7-7.3]	.380
Baseline ISI score	11.9 $\pm$ 6.2 [0.0-27.0]	9.9 $\pm$ 6.0 [1.0-24.0]	.213
Study site			.089 <sup>c</sup>
DF	55 (36.9)	11 (64.7)	
JH	32 (21.5)	1 (5.9)	
LA	62 (41.6)	5 (29.4)	
Marital status			.500
currently married or living with partner	108 (72.5)	11 (64.7)	
not	41 (27.5)	6 (35.3)	
Annual household income <sup>b</sup>			.052
<\$60,000	24 (17.4)	6 (37.4)	
\$60,000-\$100,000	29 (21.0)	5 (31.3)	
>\$100,000	85 (61.6)	5 (31.3)	

%: percent proportion of the stratum total was displayed. <sup>a</sup>: 1 participant was missing data. <sup>b</sup>: 12 participants were missing data.

<sup>c</sup>: We used Fisher exact test to conduct the p-value due to small cell counts.

\*We used the  $\chi^2$  test for categorical and the t-test for continuous variables to conduct the p-values.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation; No., number; BMI, body mass index; CES-D, center for epidemiologic studies-depression; FSI, fatigue severity index; ISI, insomnia severity index; DF, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; JH, Johns Hopkins Kimmel Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD; LA, UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA

**Table 3.** Linear regression analysis of baseline data (N<sup>a</sup> = 153)

Variable	Univariate results		Multivariate results <sup>b</sup>	
	Coefficient (SE)	P-value	Coefficient (SE)	P-value
<b>Age at baseline (1-year increase)</b>	-0.016 (0.075)	.835	0.064 (0.072)	.380
<b>Baseline CES-D score (1-point increase)</b>	0.254 (0.046)	<.001	0.203 (0.057)	<.001
<b>Baseline FSI score (1-point increase)</b>	1.567 (0.281)	<.001	0.963 (0.338)	.005
<b>Race</b>				
Non-white (reference)				
White	-1.434 (1.492)	.338	-1.453 (1.381)	.295
<b>Study site<sup>c</sup></b>		.685		.936
DF (reference)				
JH	0.609 (1.381)	.660	-0.443 (1.278)	.729
LA	0.980 (1.131)	.388	-0.253 (1.042)	.809
<b>Marital status</b>				
not married or living with partner (reference)				
currently married or living with partner	-0.103 (1.115)	.926	0.240 (1.164)	.837
<b>Annual household income<sup>c</sup></b>		.887		.467
<\$60,000 (reference)				
\$60,000-\$100,000	-0.449 (1.581)	.777	0.388 (1.480)	.794
>\$100,000	0.167 (1.336)	.901	1.487 (1.377)	.282

<sup>a</sup>: 13 participants were omitted due to missing values. <sup>b</sup>: R<sup>2</sup> value for the multivariate model is .245. P-value of overall F-test is smaller than .001.

<sup>c</sup>: P-values from F-tests are shown for categorical variables with more than 2 categories.

Abbreviation: SE, standard error; CES-D, center for epidemiologic studies-depression; FSI, fatigue severity index; DF, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; JH, Johns Hopkins Kimmel Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD; LA, UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA