

# I Love Pepporoni Pizza

Notes on Time, Interface, and Why None of This Prevents Dinner

Yanlin Li  
USA  
yl@ogmf.net

## ABSTRACT

This note records a sequence of observations concerning time, causality, and quantum phenomena, framed through the concept of *observer interfaces*. Rather than advancing a closed physical theory, this note records a sequence of structural observations concerning time, causality, and quantum phenomena, framed through the concept of observer interfaces. Classical continuity, quantum discontinuity, and apparent violations of causality are examined under a unified interface-based perspective.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Many foundational debates in physics, computation, and philosophy revolve around perceived limits: limits of speed, limits of knowledge, limits of reversibility, and limits of decision. These limits are often treated as intrinsic properties of reality itself.

This note adopts a different perspective. We argue that many such limits are more fruitfully understood as consequences of *interfaces*—formal or informal contracts governing how systems interact, observe, and verify—rather than as statements about what exists.

The observations presented here are modest in scope. They do not propose new laws, nor do they attempt to resolve classical open problems. Their purpose is to make explicit a structural viewpoint that recurs across multiple domains.

For the purposes of this note, an *observer interface* refers to any rule-constrained mapping that mediates between underlying generative states and the observer-accessible records, queries, or measurements derived from them.

An *observer interface* is a rule-constrained mapping

$$\Phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{I},$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  denotes underlying generative states and  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes observer-accessible records, measurements, or descriptions. Distinct states in  $\mathcal{S}$  may induce identical interface data in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Remark.** No ontological claim is made regarding the nature of the generative states  $\mathcal{S}$ . The interface formalism is agnostic to their physical or metaphysical status; it characterizes only the rule-constrained mapping by which generative structure becomes observer-accessible.

## 2 TIME AND IRREVERSIBILITY AS INTERFACE PHENOMENA

Time is commonly modeled as a fundamental dimension or parameter. From the present perspective, time may instead be viewed as an emergent feature of interface non-invertibility.

When an observer interacts with a system through an interface that compresses information—discarding generative detail while preserving coarse consistency—the resulting interaction becomes irreversible. The ordering induced by this irreversible compression is experienced as time.

Under this view, temporal asymmetry does not require asymmetric microdynamics. It arises whenever observation or interaction enforces a many-to-one projection from underlying structure to accessible data.

## 3 GRAVITY AND BLACK HOLES AS INTERFACE-INDUCED BIAS

Gravity is traditionally treated either as a fundamental interaction or as a manifestation of spacetime curvature. From the present interface-based perspective, gravity may be interpreted as an emergent bias induced by irreversible information compression across spatial degrees of freedom.

Consider an observer interacting with a system through an interface that maps a high-dimensional generative structure onto a lower-dimensional observable description. When distinct micro-configurations differing in spatial arrangement are projected into equivalence classes under this interface, the resulting dynamics exhibit a systematic drift toward configurations of higher degeneracy.

This degeneracy-induced drift appears, at the interface level, as an effective attraction. Importantly, no intrinsic attractive force is required at the generative level for this behavior to arise. The apparent gravitational tendency reflects the asymmetry introduced by many-to-one projection: more underlying states correspond to “closer” or “lower-potential” configurations than to finely separated ones.

Under this view, gravitational irreversibility is not fundamental, but interface-induced. The observer cannot reconstruct the discarded spatial distinctions, and the induced bias becomes temporally stable. This aligns with the empirical universality of gravitation: any sufficiently

Phenomenon	Structural Cause (Interface Perspective)	Effect of Interface Enhancement
Gravity	Information compression across spatial degrees of freedom due to interface non-invertibility	Effective attraction weakens; trajectories become less biased as finer spatial distinctions are resolved
Energy	Interface occupancy density (cardinality of microstate equivalence classes)	Effective mass or inertia decreases as degeneracy is resolved into distinguishable microstates
Speed of light limit	Finite causal bandwidth of the observer interface	Maximum signal speed increases only if interface bandwidth is fundamentally expanded
Arrow of time	Irreversible information compression under observation	Temporal asymmetry diminishes as reversible micro-dynamics become externally accessible
Quantum nonlocal correlations	Projection of generative-level nonlocality through a constrained interface	Correlations appear less paradoxical as intermediate generative structure becomes observable
No superluminal communication	Inability to control or steer interface fibers	Limited signaling may emerge only if the interface allows directed control of microstate selection

**Table 1: Physical phenomena interpreted as consequences of interface structure, together with their expected modification under enhanced interface resolution or access.**

coarse interface that compresses spatial microstructure will induce a similar large-scale regularity.

**OBSERVATION 1 (INTERFACE-INDUCED GRAVITY).** *If an observer accesses spatial structure only through a non-invertible interface  $\Phi$ , then interface-level dynamics exhibit a systematic aggregation bias toward configurations with larger preimages under  $\Phi$ . This bias manifests as an effective attractive interaction.*

**REMARK 1.** *Gravity is unavoidable for observers not because attraction is fundamental, but because any observer interface that irreversibly compresses spatial information induces a systematic aggregation bias in the space of accessible configurations.*

### 3.1 Interface Enhancement and Gravitational Weakening

If the observer interface were enhanced so as to retain finer spatial distinctions—i.e., reducing the degree of compression—the effective gravitational bias would weaken. Trajectories that appear strongly curved under a coarse interface would appear progressively less constrained as additional degrees of freedom become externally accessible.

In the limiting case of a fully invertible spatial interface, no gravitational attraction would be observed at all. Dynamics would be described directly in terms of generative structure, without emergent bias induced by information loss.

This interpretation does not contradict general relativity. Rather, it reframes curvature as an interface-stable

description of systematic compression effects, leaving the underlying generative ontology unspecified.

The phenomena described above characterize observer-level capacities and constraints imposed by a fixed interface. They are not independent physical forces, but structural regularities induced by limitations on information access, control, and reversibility. The four fundamental interactions discussed next arise as stable instantiations within these interface-imposed constraints.

### 3.2 Black Holes as the Extreme Limit of Interface Compression

From the interface-based perspective developed above, black holes may be understood as the extreme regime of gravitational phenomena, corresponding to maximal interface-induced compression of spatial and generative information.

In ordinary gravitational settings, interface non-invertibility induces a systematic bias in observable trajectories by collapsing distinct spatial micro-configurations into coarse equivalence classes. A black hole represents the limiting case in which this compression becomes so severe that almost all generative distinctions beyond a small set of global invariants are projected away.

At the interface level, this manifests as an effective loss of retrievable structure. Observable quantities converge toward a minimal description, while the cardinality of the underlying equivalence classes grows without bound. This behavior does not require the absence of underlying generative information, but follows from the observer interface becoming maximally non-invertible.

In this sense, a black hole is not characterized here as a breakdown of physical law, but as an interface-saturating gravitational configuration. Gravitational attraction and information compression coincide: gravity reaches a regime where further spatial distinctions no longer survive projection to the observable interface.

This interpretation is compatible with existing physical theories. It does not assert new dynamical mechanisms, nor does it modify relativistic or quantum descriptions. Rather, it classifies black holes as boundary cases in which gravitational effects and interface compression become inseparable.

This interpretation is classificatory rather than mechanistic: it concerns interface saturation and information compression, not horizon microphysics, evaporation dynamics, or specific proposals for information recovery.

## 4 THE FOUR FUNDAMENTAL INTERACTIONS AS INTERFACE-CONDITIONED REGULARITIES

This section is organized around Table 2, which provides a compact interface-level synopsis of the four fundamental interactions. The surrounding text serves only to clarify the structural reading encoded in the table.

We record a compact interface-level synopsis of the four fundamental interactions. The intent is not to reduce physical theory to a single principle, but to express a common structural reading: observable regularities may be shaped by the information retained (and discarded) by an observer interface.

**Unified Interpretation.** Across all four interactions, observed regularities arise from interface-constrained projections of underlying generative structure. Physics characterizes invariant appearances under shared observation interfaces; logic governs admissible inference and verification within those interfaces; mathematics provides a consistency-based meta-language extending beyond observational regimes without asserting recoverability or physical realization.

The phenomena listed above characterize observer-level capacities and constraints imposed by a fixed interface. They are not independent physical forces, but structural regularities induced by limitations on information access, control, and reversibility. The four fundamental interactions discussed next arise as stable instantiations within these interface-imposed constraints.

## 5 ON NON-PREVENTION OF DINNER

None of the preceding observations impose practical constraints on ordinary activities. The existence of interface-induced irreversibility does not prevent meals, choices, or

schedules. It merely explains why some processes cannot be undone, replayed, or perfectly predicted.

Dinner remains decidable.

## 6 SCOPE

This note makes no claims regarding the resolution of open problems in physics or theoretical computer science. It introduces no new complexity classes, physical constants, or metaphysical commitments.

Its contribution is interpretive. By treating time, hardness, and irreversibility as interface phenomena, it offers a unified lens through which otherwise disparate limits may be compared.

## 7 BROADER IMPLICATIONS OF INTERFACE CONSTRAINTS

### 7.1 Hardness and the Separation of Verification and Construction

For an observer, the world guarantees only verifiable existence, not recoverable truth. All access to phenomena is mediated through finite and non-invertible observation interfaces. Such interfaces allow verification of consistency and admissibility relative to fixed rules, but they do not, in general, permit reconstruction of the underlying generative structure.

Observation therefore establishes existence in a relational sense: there exists at least one underlying configuration compatible with the observed data. However, the interface does not guarantee that this configuration is uniquely identifiable, reconstructible, or even describable from within the interface itself. Multiple distinct generative structures may induce identical observational outcomes.

Accordingly, truth understood as a uniquely recoverable, observer-independent object is not promised by observation. What remains well-defined is verification: the ability to determine whether an observation is consistent with the governing rules of the interface. This distinction separates epistemic validity from ontological completeness and clarifies the limits of observer-based knowledge.

### 7.2 Mathematics Beyond Observational Regimes

Mathematics is itself a formal language, but it is not an observational one. Unlike empirical or physical descriptions, mathematics does not require observability, constructibility, decidability, or interaction. Its foundational requirement is internal consistency.

By relinquishing all interface-bound commitments, mathematics admits constructions that extend far beyond any observational regime. For example, the collection of all formal languages over a finite alphabet,

Interaction	Interface-Retained Structure	Compression Character	Characteristic Interface Behavior
Gravitational	Scalar occupancy measures reflecting total degeneracy across spatial degrees of freedom	Fully scalar, sign-erasing compression over spatial configuration space	Universally attractive and unscreenable; manifests as a global bias acting on all energy-localized interface states
Electromagnetic	Signed and vector-valued relational attributes (e.g., charge and orientation)	Partial compression preserving sign and directional information	Attractive or repulsive; screenable; long-range correlations supported by retained vector structure
Weak	Chiral and flavor-asymmetric admissibility labels	Selective, symmetry-breaking compression over transition pathways	Short-range and non-universal; induces irreversible state transmutations at the interface level
Strong	Multi-component relational constraints governing compositional admissibility	Minimal compression of internal relational structure; separability is suppressed	Confining and saturating; prevents stable interface representation of isolated constituents

**Table 2: The four fundamental interactions interpreted through interface retention and information compression, with a unified structural interpretation across physical, logical, and mathematical layers.**

defined set-theoretically as the power set of  $\Sigma^*$ , is mathematically well-formed despite the fact that most such languages are undecidable, undefinable, and inaccessible to any observer.

Mathematics therefore functions as a meta-language: it does not operate within particular languages, but treats languages themselves as abstract objects. Its expressive scope arises not from increased descriptive power, but from minimal semantic obligation. Mathematics can quantify over structures without asserting their observability, realizability, or physical relevance.

### 7.3 Physics as Interface-Constrained Description

Physical laws do not describe reality in itself, but the stable invariants that persist under a given mode of observation. They characterize how phenomena appear when filtered through specific measurement interfaces governed by fixed rules.

A physical law is thus an interface law: a compressed description of regularities that remain invariant across observers sharing comparable interfaces. The apparent universality of such laws does not arise from direct access to generative structure, but from the structural similarity of the observational constraints.

Phenomena such as gravity illustrate this point. Gravitational behavior is unavoidable for observers operating within spacetime-based interfaces, not because gravity exhausts the nature of reality, but because it emerges inevitably under interface-level compression.

Extreme cases, including black holes, correspond to limiting regimes of such compression rather than privileged ontological disclosures.

## 8 SELF NOTE ON POSITION AND DISCIPLINE

This work is written from the position of an observer rather than a claimant of privileged insight. No assertion herein presumes access to truth beyond what is afforded by rule-governed observation.

The universe is treated as a product of rules and structure rather than as a process with a terminal state. Nothing in this framework implies culmination or finality.

Whether these ideas are understood, accepted, or rejected by others is structurally irrelevant. Verification does not depend on consensus, only on internal consistency relative to declared rules.

A distinction is maintained between intuition and justification. Subjective conviction or experiential intensity is acknowledged but not granted epistemic authority. What may feel present is not thereby claimed as possessed. Rational restraint is preserved explicitly to prevent such slippage.

## 9 CONCLUSION: NONE OF THIS PREVENTS DINNER

Interfaces shape what can be known, reversed, or constructed. They do so quietly, without announcing themselves as fundamental laws.

The title of this note should not be overinterpreted. Neither should reality.

The unifying interface-based perspective presented here should not be read as a claim that these phenomena share a single underlying mechanism. Rather, the claim is structural: diverse physical regularities may arise whenever dynamics are necessarily expressed through limited, non-invertible observer interfaces.

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

**Recommended citation.** Li, Yanlin. *I Love Peperoni Pizza: Notes on Time, Interface, and Why None of This Prevents Dinner*, Independent research note, 2025.

Available at: [https://github.com/ylogmf/Type-Consistent-Feasibility/tree/main/notes/solution-semantics/i\\_love\\_peperoni\\_pizza.pdf](https://github.com/ylogmf/Type-Consistent-Feasibility/tree/main/notes/solution-semantics/i_love_peperoni_pizza.pdf).