1. Given the following two functions which might appear to be performing the same task, write one implementation for f() such that they do not produce the same value, and another where the optimized second version would be valid because they would produce the same results.

```
int f(int);
                                             int f(int);
int func1(int x) {
                                             int func2(int x) {
  return f(x) + f(x) + f(x) + f(x);
                                               return 4 * f(x);
}
```

2. Write the body of the second loop so that the two loops would have equivalent effects.

```
for (i= 0; i < n; i++)
                                              for (i = 0; i < n; i += 4)
   c[i] = a[i] + b[i];
```

3. The following loop will reverse all of the elements of an array:

```
for (i= 0; i < SZ / 2; i++) {
  temp= arr[i];
  arr[i] = arr[SZ - i - 1];
  arr[SZ - i - 1] = temp;
}
```

CMSC 212

Is there any opportunity for optimization in this loop? If so, rewrite the loop to incorporate as many optimizations which a compiler might be able to make as you can see.

- 4. Assume there are three variants of a program which perform the same task, but differ in their efficiency as follows:
 - Version 1 takes 60 + 35n time.
 - Version 2 takes 135 + 4n time.
 - Version 3 takes 157 + 1.25n time.

If these functions measure the programs' running times (in clock cycles), for what values of n would each version be the fastest (n is an integer)?

5. Consider the following functions:

```
int min(int x, int y) {
    return x < y ? x : y;
}

int max(int x, int y) {
    return x < y ? y: x;
}

int square(int x) {
    return x < y ? y: x;
}</pre>
```

The following code segments call these functions. If x is 10 and y is 100, fill in the following table indicating the number of times each of the four functions ends up being called in code segments (a), (b) and (c).

code	min	max	incr	square
(a)				
(b)				
(c)				