Six New Constant Weight Binary Codes.

Article <i>in</i> Ars Combinatoria -Waterloo then Winnipeg- · April 2003 Source: DBLP						
CITATIONS		READS 72				
3 authors, including: Yeow Meng Chee National University of Singapore 197 PUBLICATIONS SEE PROFILE						
Some of	of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:					
Project	Cooling codes View project					

Six New Constant Weight Binary Codes

Hui Kheng Aw
Kimberly-Clark Corporation
2100 Winchester Road
Neenah, Wisconsin 54956
USA

Yeow Meng Chee 12 Jambol Place Singapore 119339 ym@ymchee.com

Alan C. H. Ling
Department of Computer Science
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05405
USA

Abstract

We give six improved bounds on A(n,d,w), the maximum cardinality of a binary code of length n with minimum distance d and constant weight w.

1 Introduction

A binary code of length n is any set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$. The elements of \mathcal{C} are called codewords. \mathcal{C} is said to have minimum distance d and constant weight w if the Hamming distance between any two distinct codewords is at least d and $\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = w$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}$. For simplicity, we refer to a binary code of length n, minimum distance d, and constant weight w as an (n, d, w)-code. We also assume without loss of generality that d is even. Define A(n, d, w) to be the maximum cardinality of an (n, d, w)-code, that is,

$$A(n, d, w) = \max\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \text{ is an } (n, d, w) - \text{code}\}.$$

The function A(n, d, w) is fundamental in the theory of error-correcting codes [2]. Unfortunately, the exact determination of A(n, d, w) is difficult. Most efforts have therefore focused on establishing good bounds for A(n, d, w). The function A(n, d, w) is also widely studied in combinatorial design theory, under the guise of packing designs [3].

In this paper, we give some improved lower bounds on A(n, d, w).

2 Results

2.1 A Cyclic (30, 8, 5)-Code

The set of all distinct cyclic shifts of the two vectors

100000100000100000100000100000

is a (30, 8, 5)-code with 36 codewords.

2.2 Length-Reduction Heuristic

We represent a binary code \mathcal{C} by a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix $M(\mathcal{C})$ whose columns are the codewords of \mathcal{C} . Let $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}(d,w)$ be the set of all $n \times m \{0,1\}$ -matrices M with constant column sum w, such that the Hamming distance between any two distinct columns \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} of M is at least d. So for an (n,d,w)-code \mathcal{C} , we have $M(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n,|\mathcal{C}|}(d,w)$. For a positive integer $i, M \in \{0,1\}^{n \times m}$, and $\mathbf{u} \in \{0,1\}^n$, we denote by $M_i(\mathbf{u})$ the matrix obtained by replacing the ith column of M by \mathbf{u} . We also denote by \tilde{M} the matrix obtained from M by deleting its last row.

The length-reduction heuristic works as follows. The inputs are n, m, d, and w, where $n \geq w \geq d/2$. We begin with $M \in \mathcal{M}_{N,m}(d,w)$, for some N. At each stage of the heuristic, we generate a random integer i and a random element $\mathbf{u} \in \{0,1\}^n$ whose last component is zero. If $M_i(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}(d,w)$, then we replace M by $M_i(\mathbf{u})$. It could happen at this point that the last row of M is a zero vector. If this is the case, we replace M by \tilde{M} , and repeat the process. We stop when M has only n rows.

Let

$$I_{m,w} = \left[egin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{1}_w & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_w & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_w \end{array}
ight],$$

where $\mathbf{1}_w$ is the w-dimensional column vector of all ones. Clearly, $I_{m,w} \in \mathcal{M}_{mw,m}(d,w)$ for any $d \leq 2w$. For our experiments, the initial choice of M is $I_{m,w}$.

${\tt length-reduction}\; \mathbf{heuristic}(n,m,d,w)$

Step 1: $M = I_{m,w}$ and N = mw.

Step 2: Repeat Step 3 to Step 5 until N = n.

Step 3: Randomly choose $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \{0, 1\}^N$.

Step 4: If $M_i(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_{N,m}(d,w)$, then $M = M_i(\mathbf{u})$.

Step 5: If the last row of M is the zero vector, then $M = \tilde{M}$ and set N = N - 1.

It is easy to see that when the heuristic terminates, we have M as the matrix of an (n, d, w)-code of cardinality m.

Most algorithms and heuristics for constructing constant weight binary codes attempts to pack as many codewords into an (n, d, w)-code as possible, given n, d, and w. Here, the length-reduction heuristic takes the alternative approach of minimizing the length n of an (n, d, w)-code, given d, w, and its cardinality.

2.3 New Bounds

The length-reduction heuristic has been used to produce five new lower bounds on A(n, d, w).

Theorem 1. $A(18,6,5) \ge 69$, $A(27,8,5) \ge 31$, $A(29,8,5) \ge 34$, $A(33,8,5) \ge 44$ and $A(34,8,5) \ge 47$.

Proof. The matrices in Appendix A represent the necessary (n, d, w)-codes for providing the lower bounds on A(n, d, w). \square

3 Conclusion

The following table summarizes the results obtained in this paper.

			best lower bound	lower bound on
n	d	w	on $A(n,d,w)$	A(n,d,w) obtained
			previously known [1, 4, 5]	in this paper
18	6	5	68	69
27	8	5	30	31
29	8	5	33	34
30	8	5	33	36
33	8	5	43	44
34	8	5	43	47

References

- A. E. Brouwer, J. B. Shearer, N. J. A. Sloane, and W. D. Smith, A new table of constant weight codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 36 (1990) 1334–1380.
- [2] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1977.
- [3] W. H. Mills and R. C. Mullin, Coverings and packings, in: Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys (J. H. Dinitz, D. R. Stinson; eds.) John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1992, 371–399.
- [4] E. M. Rains and N. J. A. Sloane, Table of constant weight binary codes, URL=http://www.research.att.com/~njas/codes/Andw/.
- [5] J. Yin and A. M. Assaf, Constructions of optimal packing designs, J. Combin. Designs 6 (1998) 245–260.

Appendix

A Constant Weight Codes

The columns in the following matrices give the codewords for each code.

(18, 6, 5)-code with 69 codewords

(27, 8, 5)-code with 31 codewords

(29, 8, 5)-code with 34 codewords

100000000000010000000000000000101000100010 10000000000000000000000010010000011100 00100000100000010000001000000010000000100100010000100000010100000000000000001000011000010000000100000001000000101000100001000000010000001001000000000000100100000100010000000100001000000110000000100000000001001000 0000001000001000000100010000000001000100010 00000000100000010010010000000001100000100

(33, 8, 5)-code with 44 codewords

10000000000000100000000010000100000010011000 1000000000000000100001100000000000100001000100

(34, 8, 5)-code with 47 codewords