A New Lower Bound for A(17,6,6)

Yeow Meng Chee
Card View Pte. Ltd.
41 Science Park Road
#04-08A The Gemini
Singapore 117610
ymchee@alumni.uwaterloo.ca

Abstract

We construct a record-breaking binary code of length 17, minimal distance 6, constant weight 6, and containing 113 codewords.

1 Introduction

Let A(n,d,w) denote the maximum possible number of codewords in a binary code of length n, minimal distance d and constant weight w. The Nordstrom-Robinson code \mathcal{N}_{16} of length 16, minimal distance 6, and containing 256 codewords has weight enumerator $1+112x^6+30x^8+112x^{10}+x^{16}$. Hence, taking all the codewords of weight 6 in \mathcal{N}_{16} gives a constant weight code that shows $A(16,6,6) \geq 112$. Since $A(17,6,6) \geq A(16,6,6)$, we also have $A(17,6,6) \geq 112$. This is in fact the best lower bound on A(17,6,6) known [2].

In this note, we give the first improvement on the lower bound for A(17,6,6) since that implied by the 1967 result of Nordstrom and Robinson [3]. We exhibit a new binary code $\mathcal C$ of length 17, minimal distance 6, constant weight 6, and containing 113 codewords, showing $A(17,6,6) \geq 113$. Our code has no particular structure (its automorphism group is trivial) and is obtained through a combination of search techniques involving simulated annealing [4], length-reduction [1], and local optimization.

The support supp(x) of a codeword $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the set of indices of its non-zero coordinates, that is, supp $(x) = \{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}$. The supports of the codewords in \mathcal{C} are listed in the next section.

2 The Code

0 1 2 3 6 15 0 1 2 4 11 16 0 1 2 7 8 9 0 1 2 10 12 13 0 1 3 4 8 10 0 1 3 5 7 12 0 1 3 9 13 16 0 1 4 6 7 13 0 1 5 6 10 16 0 1 5 8 11 13 0 1 6 9 11 12 0 1 7 10 11 15	
0 1 3 9 13 16 0 1 4 6 7 13 0 1 5 6 10 16 0 1 5 8 11 13 0 1 6 9 11 12 0 1 7 10 11 15	
0 1 5 8 11 13	
0 1 8 12 14 15 0 2 3 4 9 12 0 2 3 5 8 16	
0 2 3 7 11 13 0 2 4 5 7 10 0 2 4 8 13 15	
0 2 5 6 9 13 0 2 5 11 14 15 0 2 6 7 12 16	
0 2 6 8 10 11 0 3 4 5 6 11 0 3 4 7 14 16	
0 3 5 10 13 15 0 3 6 7 9 10 0 3 6 8 12 13	
0 3 8 9 11 15 0 3 10 11 12 14 0 4 5 12 13 14	
0 4 6 8 9 16	
0 4 9 10 11 13 0 5 6 7 8 15 0 5 8 9 10 14	
0 5 9 12 15 16 0 6 11 13 14 16 0 7 8 10 13 16	
0 7 9 13 14 15	
1 2 3 8 11 12	
1 2 5 6 7 11	
1 2 6 8 13 16	
1 3 4 7 9 11	
1 3 6 10 11 13	
1 4 5 7 8 16	
1 4 6 8 11 15 1 4 8 9 12 13 1 4 10 13 14 16	;
1 5 6 12 13 15 1 5 7 9 10 13 1 6 7 8 10 12	
1 6 7 9 15 16 1 7 11 12 13 16 1 8 9 10 11 16	
2 3 4 6 7 8 2 3 4 10 11 15 2 3 5 6 10 12	
2 3 5 7 9 15 2 3 6 9 11 16 2 3 8 9 10 13	
2 3 12 13 15 16 2 4 5 6 15 16 2 4 5 8 9 11	
2 4 6 11 12 13 2 4 7 9 13 16 2 4 8 10 12 14	
2 5 7 8 12 13 2 5 10 11 13 16 2 6 7 10 13 15	
2 6 8 9 12 15 2 7 8 11 15 16 2 7 9 10 11 12	
2 9 10 14 15 16 3 4 5 8 12 15 3 4 5 9 10 16	
3 4 6 13 14 15 3 4 7 10 12 13 3 4 8 11 13 16	
3 5 6 7 13 16 3 5 7 8 10 11 3 5 9 11 12 13	
3 6 7 11 12 15 3 6 8 10 15 16 3 7 8 9 12 16	
4 5 6 7 9 12 4 5 6 8 10 13 4 5 7 11 13 15	
4 6 7 10 11 14 4 7 8 9 10 15 4 11 12 14 15 1	6
5 6 8 11 12 16 5 6 9 10 11 15 5 7 9 11 14 16	
5 7 10 12 14 15 5 8 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 11 13	
6 9 10 12 13 16 8 10 11 12 13 15	

References

[1] H. K. Aw, Y. M. Chee and A. C. H. Ling, "Six new constant weight binary codes", $Ars\ Combin.$, $\bf 67\ (2003),\ 313-318.$

- [2] A. E. Brouwer, J. B. Shearer, N. J. A. Sloane and W. D. Smith, "A new table of constant weight codes", *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 36 (1990), 1334–1380.
- [3] A. W. Nordstrom and J. P. Robinson, "An optimum nonlinear code", *Inform. Control*, **11** (1967), 613–616.
- [4] K. J. Nurmela and P. R. J. Östergård, Upper bounds for covering designs by simulated annealing, Congr. Numer. bf 96 (1993), 93– 111.