I217: Functional Programming

11. Program Verification – Natural Numbers

Kazuhiro Ogata

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

Roadmap

- Natural Numbers a la Peano
- Associativity of _+_
- Commutativity of _+_
- Associativity of _*_
- Commutativity of _*_
- Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial

Natural Numbers a la Peano

Natural numbers have been formalized by Giuseppe Peano (1858 – 1932), an Italian mathematician.

Inductively defined as follows:

- (1) 0 is a natural number.
- (2) If n is a natural number, then the successor of n, denoted s(n), is also a natural number.

```
0 s(0) s(s(0)) s(s(s(0))) s(s(s(s(0))))
0 1 2 3 4
```

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

Natural Numbers a la Peano

Natural numbers can be specified in CafeOBJ as follows:

```
mod! PNAT1 {
   [PNat]
   op 0 : -> PNat {constr} .
   op s : PNat -> PNat {constr} .
   ...
}
```

Terms 0, s(0), s(s(0)), s(s(s(0))), s(s(s(s(0)))) denote 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.

Natural Numbers a la Peano

For every sort *S*, the following operator and equations are prepared in the built-in module EQL that is imported by

BOOL: op
$$\underline{=}: S S \rightarrow Bool \{comm\}$$
.
eq $(X = X) = true$.
eq $(true = false) = false$.

where X is a variable of S.

We declare the following equations in PNAT1 for PNat:

eq
$$(0 = s(Y)) = false$$
.
eq $(s(X) = s(Y)) = (X = Y)$.

where X and Y are variables of PNat.

Let X, Y and Z be variables of PNat in the rest of the slides.

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

Natural Numbers a la Peano

Addition of natural numbers is defined as follows:

op _+_ : PNat PNat -> PNat .
eq
$$0 + Y = Y$$
 . -- (+1)
eq $s(X) + Y = s(X + Y)$. -- (+2)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underline{s(s(s(0))) + s(s(s(s(0))))} \\ \to \underline{s(s(s(0)) + s(s(s(s(0)))))} \\ \to \underline{s(s(s(0) + s(s(s(s(0))))))} \\ \to \underline{s(s(s(0) + s(s(s(s(0)))))))} \\ \to \underline{s(s(s(s(s(s(s(s(0))))))))} \\ \to \underline{s(s(s(s(s(s(s(s(0))))))))} \\ \end{array}$$

5

Natural Numbers a la Peano

Multiplication of natural numbers is defined as follows:

```
op * : PNat PNat -> PNat .
       eq 0 * Y = 0.
      eq s(X) * Y = (X * Y) + Y. -- (*2)
\underline{s(s(0)) * s(s(0))}
\rightarrow (\underline{s}(0) * \underline{s}(\underline{s}(0))) + \underline{s}(\underline{s}(0))
                                                            by (*2)
\rightarrow ((0 * s(s(0))) + s(s(0))) + s(s(0))
                                                            by (*2)
                                                            by (*1)
\rightarrow (0 + s(s(0))) + s(s(0))
\rightarrow s(s(0)) + s(s(0))
                                                            by (+1)
\rightarrow s(s(0) + s(s(0)))
                                                            by (+2)
\rightarrow s(s(\underline{0+s(s(0))}))
                                                            by (+2)
\rightarrow s(s(s(s(0))))
                                                            by (+1)
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Natural Numbers a la Peano

Factorial function can be defined as follows:

```
op fact1 : PNat -> PNat .

eq fact1(0) = s(0) . -- (f1-1)

eq fact1(s(X)) = s(X) * fact1(X) . -- (f1-2)
```

Another implementation (a tail recursive version) of Factorial function is as follows:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{op} \ fact2 : PNat \rightarrow PNat \ . \\ \textbf{op} \ sfact2 : PNat \ PNat \rightarrow PNat \ . \\ \textbf{eq} \ fact2(X) = sfact2(X,s(0)) \ . & -- (f2) \\ \textbf{eq} \ sfact2(0,Y) = Y \ . & -- (sf2-1) \\ \textbf{eq} \ sfact2(s(X),Y) = sfact2(X,s(X) * Y) \ . & -- (sf2-2) \end{array}
```

8

Natural Numbers a la Peano

Let l(X) and r(X) be terms of a same sort (say PNat) in which a variable X of PNat occurs. Then, the following (A) and (B) are equivalent:

(A)
$$(\forall X:PNat) l(X) = r(X)$$

(B) I.
$$l(0) = r(0)$$

II. If l(x) = r(x), then l(s(x)) = r(s(x)), where x is a fresh constant of PNat.

It suffices to prove (B) so as to prove (A). This is called proof by *structural induction* on natural number X. I is called the *base case*, II is called the *induction case*, and l(x) = r(x) is called the *induction hypothesis*.

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

Associativity of _+_

(X + Y) + Z equals X + (Y + Z) for all natural numbers X,Y,Z. This is what we will prove by structural induction on X.

Theorem 1 [Associativity of
$$_+$$
 (assoc+)]
(\forall X:PNat) ((X + Y) + Z = X + (Y + Z))

In the rest of the slides, the universal quantifiers, such as $(\forall X:PNat)$, are omitted, and the above formula is written as follows:

$$(X+Y)+Z=X+(Y+Z)$$

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                       Associativity of +
Proof of Theorem 1 By structural induction on X.
Let x,y,z be fresh constants of PNat.
I. Base case
What to show is (0 + y) + z = 0 + (y + z).
(\underline{0+y})+z \rightarrow y+z by (+1) \underline{0+(y+z)} \rightarrow y+z by (+1)
II. Induction case
What to show is (s(x) + y) + z = s(x) + (y + z)
assuming the induction hypothesis (x + Y) + Z = x + (Y + Z) -- (IH)
(\underline{s}(x) + \underline{y}) + \underline{z} \rightarrow \underline{s}(x + \underline{y}) + \underline{z}
                                             by (+2)
                 \rightarrow s((x + y) + z) by (+2)
                \rightarrow s(x + (y + z))
                                             by (IH)
\underline{s(x) + (y + z)} \rightarrow \underline{s(x + (y + z))} \qquad by (+2)
End of Proof of Theorem 1
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Associativity of _+_

Part of the proof is written in CafeOBJ. Proofs written in CafeOBJ are called *proof scores*.

<u>Proof of Theorem 1</u> By structural induction on X.

```
I. Base case
                                 II. Induction case
                                  open PNAT1.
open PNAT1.
                                  -- fresh constants
-- fresh constants
                                  ops x y z : -> PNat.
ops yz : -> PNat.
                                  -- IH
-- check
                                  eq(x + Y) + Z = x + (Y + Z).
red (0 + y) + z = 0 + (y + z).
                                  -- check
close
                                   red (s(x) + y) + z = s(x) + (y + z).
                                  close
```

End of Proof of Theorem 1 Please see the appendices for the proof score.

13

Commutativity of _+_

Theorem 2 [Commutativity of $_+$ (comm+)] X + Y = Y + X

<u>Proof of Theorem 2</u> By structural induction on X.

Let x,y be fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is 0 + y = y + 0.

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$

Since $y \pm 0$ cannot be rewritten, we need a lemma that makes it possible to conclude that $y \pm 0$ equals 0. We conjecture the following lemma:

$$X + 0 = X \qquad -- (rz+)$$

$$y + 0 \rightarrow y$$

(The lemma will be proved later.)

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

1.1

Commutativity of _+_

II. Induction case

What to show is s(x) + y = y + s(x)

assuming the induction hypothesis x + Y = Y + x -- (IH)

$$\frac{s(x) + y}{\Rightarrow s(x + y)} \rightarrow s(y + x)$$

y + s(x) cannot be rewritten, and then we need a lemma that makes it possible to conclude that y + s(x) equals s(y + x). We conjecture the following lemma:

$$X + s(Y) = s(X + Y)$$
 -- (rs+)

$$y + g(x) \rightarrow g(y + x)$$

by (+2) by (IH)

End of Proof of Theorem 2

(The lemma will be proved later.)

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Commutativity of _+_

Lemma 1 [Right zero of $_+$ (rz+)] X + 0 = X

Proof of Lemma 1 By structural induction on X.

Let x be fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is 0 + 0 = 0.

$$\underline{0+0} \to 0 \qquad \text{by (+1)}$$

II. Induction case

What to show is s(x) + 0 = s(x)

assuming the induction hypothesis x + 0 = x -- (IH)

$$\frac{s(x) + 0}{\longrightarrow} s(\underline{x + 0}) \qquad \text{by (+2)}$$

$$\longrightarrow s(x) \qquad \text{by (IH)}$$

End of Proof of Lemma 1

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

1.0

Commutativity of _+_

Lemma 2 [Right successor of $_+$ (rs+)] X + s(Y) = s(X + Y)

Proof of Lemma 2 By structural induction on X.

Let x,y be fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is
$$0 + s(y) = s(0 + y)$$
.

$$\underline{0 + s(y)} \rightarrow s(y)$$
 by $(+1)$ $s(\underline{0 + y}) \rightarrow s(y)$ by $(+1)$

II. Induction case

What to show is s(x) + s(y) = s(s(x) + y)

assuming the induction hypothesis x + s(Y) = s(x + Y) -- (IH)

$$\frac{s(x) + s(y)}{-} \rightarrow s(\underline{x + s(y)}) \quad \text{by (+2)} \qquad s(\underline{s(x) + y}) \rightarrow s(s(x + y)) \quad \text{by (+2)}$$

$$\rightarrow s(s(x + y)) \quad \text{by (IH)}$$

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                 Commutativity of +
<u>Lemma 1</u> [Right zero of _+ (rz+)] X + 0 = X
Proof of Lemma 1 By structural induction on X.
I. Base case
                                II. Induction case
                                open PNAT1.
open PNAT1.
                                 -- fresh constants
-- check
                                 op x : -> PNat.
red 0 + 0 = 0.
                                 -- IH
close
                                 eq x + 0 = x.
                                 -- check
                                 red s(x) + 0 = s(x).
                                close
End of Proof of Lemma 1
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                 Commutativity of _+_
<u>Lemma 2</u> [Right successor of _+ (rs+)] X + s(Y) = s(X + Y)
Proof of Lemma 2 By structural induction on X.
I. Base case
                                II. Induction case
                                open PNAT1.
open PNAT1.
                                 -- fresh constants
-- fresh constants
                                 ops x y : -> PNat.
op y : -> PNat .
                                 -- IH
-- check
                                 eq x + s(Y) = s(x + Y).
red 0 + s(y) = s(0 + y).
                                 -- check
close
                                 red s(x) + s(y) = s(s(x) + y).
                                close
End of Proof of Lemma 2
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                Commutativity of +
Theorem 2 [Commutativity of + (comm+)] X + Y = Y + X
Proof of Theorem 2 By structural induction on X.
I. Base case
                              II. Induction case
                              open PNAT1.
open PNAT1.
                            -- fresh constants
-- fresh constants
                             ops x y : -> PNat.
op y : -> PNat .
                              -- lemmas
 -- lemmas
eq X + 0 = X . -- (rz+) eq X + s(Y) = s(X + Y) . -- (rs+)
                               -- IH
 -- check
                             eq x + Y = Y + x.
 red 0 + y = y + 0.
                               -- check
close
                               red s(x) + y = y + s(x).
                              close
End of Proof of Theorem 2
```

Associativity of _*_

II. Induction case

What to show is (s(x) * y) * z = s(x) * (y * z) assuming the induction hypothesis (x * Y) * Z = x * (Y * Z) -- (IH)

$$(\underline{s(x) * y}) * z \rightarrow ((x * y) + y) * z$$
 by (*2)
 $\underline{s(x) * (y * z)} \rightarrow (x * (y * z)) + (y * z)$ by (*2)

We cannot make the two terms rewritten to a same term and then need a lemma to do so. It seems sufficient to conjecture the following one:

$$((x * y) * z = (X * Z) + (Y * Z) -- (d*o+)$$

$$((x * y) + y) * z \rightarrow ((x * y) * z) + (y * z) by (d*o+)$$

$$\rightarrow (x * (y * z)) + (y * z) by (IH)$$

End of Proof of Theorem 3

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

22

Associativity of _*_

<u>Lemma 3</u> [Distributive law of _*_ over _+_ (d*o+)] (X + Y) * Z = (X * Z) + (Y * Z)

<u>Proof of Lemma 3</u> By structural induction on X.

Let x,y,z be fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is (0 + y) * z = (0 * z) + (y * z).

$$(\underline{0+y}) * z \rightarrow y * z$$
 by (+1)

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                    Associativity of _*_
II. Induction case
What to show is (s(x) + y) * z = (s(x) * z) + (y * z)
assuming the induction hypothesis
(x + Y) * Z = (x * Z) + (Y * Z) -- (IH)
(\underline{s(x) + y}) * z \rightarrow \underline{s(x + y) *} z
                                                   by (+2)
               \rightarrow ((x + y) * z) + z
                                                   by (*2)
               \rightarrow \underline{((x * z) + (y * z)) + z}
                                                   by (IH)
               \rightarrow (x * z) + ((y * z) + z)
                                                  by (assoc+)
                                               by (comm+)
               \rightarrow (x * z) + (z + (y * z))
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                    Associativity of _*_
<u>Lemma 3</u> [Distributive law of _*_ over _+_ (d*o+)]
(X + Y) * Z = (X * Z) + (Y * Z)
<u>Proof of Lemma 3</u> By structural induction on X.
I. Base case
                                 II. Induction case
open PNAT2.
                                     open PNAT2.
-- fresh constants
                                      -- fresh constants
ops y z : \rightarrow PNat.
                                     ops x y z : -> PNat.
 -- check
 red (0 + y) * z = (0 * z) + (y * z). eq (x + Y) * Z = (x * Z) + (Y * Z).
                                      red (s(x) + y) * z = (s(x) * z) + (y * z).
End of Proof of Lemma 3
                                     close
Note that PNAT2 is PNAT1 in which + is declared as follows:
               op _+_ : PNat PNat -> PNat {assoc comm} .
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Associativity of _*_

Theorem 3 [Associativity of $_*$ (assoc*)] (X * Y) * Z = X * (Y * Z)

Proof of Theorem 3 By structural induction on X.

```
II. Induction case
I. Base case
                               open PNAT2.
open PNAT2.
                               -- fresh constants
-- fresh constants
                               ops x y z : -> PNat.
ops y z : -> PNat .
                               -- lemmas
-- check
                               eq (X + Y) * Z = (X * Z) + (Y * Z) . -- (d*o+)
red (0 * y) * z = 0 * (y * z).
close
                                eq (x * Y) * Z = x * (Y * Z).
                                -- check
                                red (s(x) * y) * z = s(x) * (y * z).
                               close
```

End of Proof of Theorem 3

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

26

Commutativity of _*_

<u>Theorem 4</u> [Commutativity of $_*$ _ (assoc*)] X * Y = Y * X<u>Proof of Theorem 4</u> By structural induction on X.

Let x,y be fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is 0 * y = y * 0.

$$\underline{0*y} \to 0$$
 by (*1)

We need the following lemma to make progress in the proof:

$$X * 0 = 0$$
 -- $(rz*)$
by $(rz*)$

 $\underline{\mathbf{y} * \mathbf{0}} \to \mathbf{0}$

Commutativity of _*_

II. Induction case

What to show is s(x) * y = y * s(x)assuming the induction hypothesis x * Y = Y * x -- (IH)

$$\underline{s(x) * y} \rightarrow (\underline{x * y}) + y$$
 by (*2)

$$\rightarrow (y * x) + y$$
 by (IH)

We need the following lemma to make progress in the proof:

$$X * s(Y) = (X * Y) + X -- (rs*)$$

$$\underline{y * s(x)} \rightarrow (y * x) + y$$
 by (rs*)

End of Proof of Theorem 4

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

20

Commutativity of _*_

Lemma 4 [Right zero of $_*$ (rz*)] X * 0 = 0

Proof of Lemma 4 By structural induction on X.

Let x be a fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is 0 * 0 = 0.

$$\underline{0*0} \to 0$$
 by (*1)

II. Induction case

What to show is s(x) * 0 = 0

assuming the induction hypothesis x * 0 = 0 -- (IH)

$$\underline{s(x) * 0} \rightarrow (\underline{x * 0}) + 0$$
 by (*2)

$$\rightarrow 0 + 0$$
 by (IH)

$$\rightarrow 0$$
 by (+1)

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Commutativity of _*_

<u>Lemma 5</u> [Right successor of $_*$ (rs*)] X * s(Y) = (X * Y) + X<u>Proof of Lemma 5</u> By structural induction on X.

Let x,y be a fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is 0 * s(y) = (0 * y) + 0.

$$0 * s(y) \rightarrow 0$$
 by (*1)

$$(\underbrace{0*y}) + 0 \rightarrow \underbrace{0+0}_{0} \quad \text{by (*1)}$$
$$\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by (+1)}$$

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

20

Commutativity of _*_

II. Induction case

What to show is s(x) * s(y) = (s(x) * y) + s(x)assuming the induction hypothesis x * s(Y) = (x * Y) + x -- (IH)

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                 Commutativity of _*_
Lemma 4 [Right zero of _* (rz*)] X * 0 = 0
Proof of Lemma 4 By structural induction on X.
                               II. Induction case
I. Base case
open PNAT2.
                               open PNAT2.
                                -- fresh constants
-- check
                                op x : -> PNat.
red 0 * 0 = 0.
                                -- IH
close
                                eq x * 0 = 0.
                                -- check
                                red s(x) * 0 = 0.
                               close
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
                 Commutativity of _*_
Lemma 5 [Right successor of _* (rs*)] X * s(Y) = (X * Y) + X
Proof of Lemma 5 By structural induction on X.
                              II. Induction case
I. Base case
                               open PNAT2.
open PNAT2.
                              -- fresh constants
-- fresh constants
                               ops x y : -> PNat.
op y : -> PNat .
                                -- IH
-- check
red 0 * s(y) = (0 * y) + 0.
                                eq x * s(Y) = (x * Y) + x.
                                -- check
close
                                red s(x) * s(y) = (s(x) * y) + s(x).
                               close
End of Proof of Lemma 5
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Commutativity of _*_

Theorem 4 [Commutativity of $_*$ (assoc*)] X * Y = Y * X

Proof of Theorem 4 By structural induction on X.

```
II. Induction case
I. Base case
                               open PNAT2.
open PNAT2.
                                -- fresh constants
-- fresh constants
                                ops x y : -> PNat.
op y : -> PNat .
                                -- lemmas
-- lemmas
                                eq X * s(Y) = (X * Y) + X . -- (rs*)
eq X * 0 = 0 . -- (rz*)
                                -- IH
-- check
                                eq x * Y = Y * x.
red 0 * y = y * 0.
                                -- check
close
                                red s(x) * y = y * s(x).
                               close
```

End of Proof of Theorem 4

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

2.4

Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial

<u>Theorem 5</u> [Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial (trf)]

```
fact1(X) = fact2(X)
```

Proof of Theorem 5 By structural induction on X.

Let x be fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is fact1(0) = fact2(0).

$$\frac{\text{fact1}(0)}{\text{fact2}(0)} \rightarrow \text{s}(0) \qquad \text{by (f1-1)}$$

$$\frac{\text{fact2}(0)}{\text{s}(0)} \rightarrow \frac{\text{sfact2}(0,\text{s}(0))}{\text{by (sf2-1)}}$$

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial

II. Induction case

```
What to show is fact1(s(x)) = fact2(s(x))
```

assuming the induction hypothesis fact1(x) = fact2(x) -- (IH)

$$\frac{\text{fact1}(s(x)) \rightarrow s(x) * \underline{\text{fact1}(x)}}{\rightarrow s(x) * \underline{\text{fact2}(x)}} \qquad \text{by (f1-2)} \\
\rightarrow s(x) * \underline{\text{fact2}(x)} \qquad \text{by (IH)} \\
\rightarrow s(x) * \underline{\text{sfact2}(x,s(0))} \qquad \text{by (f2)} \\
\underline{\text{fact2}(s(x))} \rightarrow \underline{\text{sfact2}(s(x),s(0))} \qquad \text{by (f2)} \\
\rightarrow \underline{\text{sfact2}(x,s(x) * s(0))} \qquad \text{by (sf2-2)}$$

We cannot make the two terms equal only by rewriting, and need a lemma. The following is one candidate:

$$s(X) * sfact2(X,s(0)) = sfact2(X,s(X) * s(0))$$

This is so specific that the proof of this lemma needs another lemma.

Therefore, we make it more generic as follows:

$$Y * sfact2(X,Z) = sfact2(X,Y * Z) -- (p-sf2)$$

$$\underline{s(x) * sfact2(x,s(0))} \rightarrow sfact2(x,s(x) * s(0)) \quad by (p-sf2)$$

End of Proof of Theorem 5

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

36

Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial

<u>Lemma 6</u> [Property of sfact2 (p-sf2)] Y * sfact2(X,Z) = sfact2(X,Y * Z)<u>Proof of Lemma6</u> By structural induction on X.

Let x,y,z be fresh constants of PNat.

I. Base case

What to show is y * sfact2(0,z) = sfact2(0,y * z).

$$y * sfact2(0,z) \rightarrow y * z$$
 by (sf2-1)

$$\underline{\text{sfact2}(0, y * z)} \rightarrow y * z \qquad \qquad \text{by (sf2-1)}$$

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
       Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial
II. Induction case
What to show is y * sfact2(s(x),z) = sfact2(s(x),y * z)
assuming the induction hypothesis
Y * sfact2(x,Z) = sfact2(x,Y * Z) -- (IH)
y * sfact2(s(x),z) \rightarrow y * sfact2(x,s(x) * z)
                                                                                         by (sf2-2)
                          \rightarrow sfact2(x.y * (s(x) * z))
                                                                                         by (IH)
\underline{\operatorname{sfact2}(\operatorname{s}(\operatorname{x}),\operatorname{y}*\operatorname{z})} \to \operatorname{sfact2}(\operatorname{x},\underline{\operatorname{s}(\operatorname{x})}*(\operatorname{y}*\operatorname{z}))
                                                                                         by (sf2-2)
                          \rightarrow sfact2(x,(\underline{s(x)} * \underline{y}) * z)
                                                                                         by (assoc*)
                          \rightarrow sfact2(x,(y * s(x)) * z)
                                                                                         by (comm*)
                          \rightarrow sfact2(x,y * (s(x) * z))
                                                                                         by (assoc*)
End of Proof of Lemma 6
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
    Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial
<u>Lemma 6</u> [Property of sfact2 (p-sf2)] Y * sfact2(X,Z) = sfact2(X,Y * Z)
Proof of Lemma 6 By structural induction on X.
                             II. Induction case
I. Base case
                                                    Note that we need to use the
                             open PNAT3.
open PNAT3.
                                                    lemma that was not used in
                              -- fresh constants
-- fresh constants
                                                   the manual proof.
                              ops x y z : -> PNat.
ops yz : -> PNat.
-- check
                              -- lemmas
                              eq (X + Y) * Z = (X * Z) + (Y * Z). -- (d*o+)
red y * sfact2(0,z)
                              -- IH
    = sfact2(0, y * z).
                              eq Y * sfact2(x,Z) = sfact2(x,Y * Z).
close
                              -- check
                              red y * sfact2(s(x),z) = sfact2(s(x),y * z).
End of Proof of Lemma 6
                             close
Note that PNAT3 is PNAT2 in which * is declared as follows:
               op * : PNat PNat -> PNat {assoc comm} .
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial

<u>Theorem 5</u> [Correctness of a Tail Recursive Factorial (trf)] fact1(X) = fact2(X)

<u>Proof of Theorem 5</u> By structural induction on X.

```
II. Induction case open PNAT3 .  
-- check  
red fact1(0) = fact2(0) .  
close  

II. Induction case open PNAT3 .  
-- fresh constants op x : -> PNat .  
-- lemmas  
eq Y * sfact2(X,Z) = sfact2(X,Y * Z) . -- (sf2-p)  
-- IH  
eq fact1(x) = fact2(x) .  
-- check  
red fact1(x) = fact2(x) .  
close
```

End of Proof of Theorem 5

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

40

Exercises

- 1. Write the specifications and proof scores used in the slides and feed them to the CafeOBJ systems.
- 2. Write two functions sum1 and sum2 that calculate the sum of natural numbers up to a given natural numbers (inclusive) that correspond to fact1 and fact2, write manual proofs verifying that sum1(X) equals sum2(X) for all natural numbers X, and write proof scores formally verifying that sum1(X) equals sum2(X) for all natural numbers X.

```
i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers
```

Appendices

The proof score of Theorem 1 is as follows:

```
"Theorem 1 [associativity of _+_ (assoc+)] (X + Y) + Z = X + (Y + Z)

Proof of Theorem 1. By structural induction on X. I. Base case"

open PNAT1 .
-- fresh constants ops y z : -> PNat .
-- check red (0 + y) + z = 0 + (y + z) . close
```

i217 Functional Programming - 11. Program Verification - Natural Numbers

42

Appendices

"II. Induction case"

```
open PNAT1 . 

-- fresh constants 

ops x y z: -> PNat . 

-- IH 

eq (x + Y) + Z = x + (Y + Z) . 

-- check 

red (s(x) + y) + z = s(x) + (y + z) . close
```

"End of Proof of Theorem 1"