Kazuhiro Ogata

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

Roadmap

- E-strategy
- Infinite Lists
- Sieve of Eratosthenes
- Hamming's Problem
- Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

E-strategy

Let us consider triples of natural numbers and how to reduce 1st((1+1,2+2,3+3)) that has more than one redex.

$$1st((1+1,2+2,3+3))$$

What selects one among multiple redexes is called a reduction *strategy*.

Left-most inner-most strategy

```
1st((\underbrace{1+1}, 2+2, 3+3)) \to 1st((2, \underbrace{2+2}, 3+3)) \qquad by (+) \\ \to 1st((2, 4, \underbrace{3+3})) \qquad by (+) \\ \to \underbrace{1st((2, 4, 6))} \qquad by (+) \\ \to 2 \qquad by (1st)
```

(Left-most) outer-most strategy

```
\frac{1\operatorname{st}((1+1,2+2,3+3))}{2} \to \frac{1+1}{2} by (1st) by (+)
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
                               E-strategy
 mod! NAT-TRIPLE-IMLM { pr(NAT) [NatTriple]
                                                       set trace whole on
  op (_,_,) : Nat Nat Nat -> NatTriple {constr} .
                                                       open NAT-TRIPLE-IMLM.
  op 1st : NatTriple -> Nat {strat: (1 0)}.
                                                        red 1st((1+1,2+2,3+3)).
  vars FE SE TE : Nat .
                                                       close
  eq 1st((FE,SE,TE)) = FE. }
                                                       set trace whole off
                                 the local strategy
  -- reduce in %NAT-TRIPLE-IMLM : (1st(((1+1), (2+2), (3+3)))):Nat
 [1]: (1st(((1+1), (2+2), (3+3)))):Nat
                                            [1] 1 + 1 is rewritten to 2.
 ---> (1st((2, (2+2), (3+3)))):Nat
                                            [2] 2 + 2 is rewritten to 4.
 [2]: (1st((2, (2+2), (3+3)))):Nat
 ---> (1st((2, 4, (3+3)))):Nat
                                            [3] 3 + 3 is rewritten to 6.
 [3]: (1st((2, 4, (3+3)))):Nat
                                            [4] Since 1st(2,4,6) is a redex, one-
 ---> (1st((2, 4, 6))):Nat
                                            step rewrite is performed.
 [4]: (1st((2, 4, 6))):Nat
  ---> (2):NzNat
 (2):NzNat
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
                              E-strategy
 mod! NAT-TRIPLE-OMLM { pr(NAT) [NatTriple]
                                                      set trace whole on
  op (_,_,) : Nat Nat Nat -> NatTriple {constr} .
                                                      open NAT-TRIPLE-OMLM.
  op 1st : NatTriple -> Nat {strat: (0 1 0)} .
                                                       red 1st((1+1,2+2,3+3)).
  vars FE SE TE : Nat .
                                                      close
  eq 1st((FE,SE,TE)) = FE. }
                                                      set trace whole off
                                 the local strategy
  -- reduce in %NAT-TRIPLE-OMLM : (1st(((1+1), (2+2), (3+3)))):Nat
 [1]: (1st(((1+1), (2+2), (3+3)))):Nat
                                             [1] Since the given term is a redex,
 ---> (1+1):NzNat
                                             one-step rewrite is performed.
 [2]: (1 + 1):NzNat
                                             [2] 1 + 1 is rewritten to 2.
  ---> (2):NzNat
 (2):NzNat
```

E-strategy

op 1st : NatTriple -> Nat {**strat**: (1 0)} .

The local strategy $(1\ 0)$ given to the operator 1st says that when reducing a ground term 1st(arg), CafeOBJ first reduces the 1^{st} argument arg to arg' and performs one-step rewite to 1st(arg') if 1st(arg') is a redex; otherwise CafeOBJ returns 1st(arg') as the result; after the one-step rewrite CafeOBJ reduces the contract based on the local strategy given to the top operator of the contract.

op 1st : NatTriple -> Nat {**strat**: (0 1 0)} .

 $(0\ 1\ 0)$ says that when reducing a ground term 1st(arg), CafeOBJ first performs one-step rewite to 1st(arg) if 1st(arg) is a redex; otherwise CafeOBJ does the same as it does for the local strategy $(1\ 0)$; after the one-step rewrite CafeOBJ reduces the contract based on the local strategy given to the top operator of the contract.

E-strategy

The reduction strategy adopted by CafeOBJ is *E-strategy* that selects a redex based on local strategies given to operators as follows.

```
where 0 \le x_i \le n for each i=1,\ldots,m.

When reducing f(a_1,\ldots,a_n) referred as t, in the following.

for j=x_1,\ldots,x_m,

(1) if j=0, CafeOBJ performs one-step rewrite if t is a redex, reduces the contract and returns the result of reducing the contract as the result;

(2) otherwise, CafeOBJ reduces t_i;
```

CafeOBJ returns t as the result. Note that t may not be the (Note that the result may contain redexes.) same as $f(a_1,...,a_n)$.

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

E-strategy

Operators can be given local strategies by human users.

If human users do not so, the CafeOBJ system give some adequate local strategies to operators.

```
\label{eq:mod!} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{mod!} & \textbf{NAT-IF} & \textbf{pr}(\textbf{NAT}) \\ \textbf{op} & \textbf{if\_then}\{\_\} & \textbf{else}\{\_\} : \textbf{Bool Nat Nat -> Nat .} \\ \textbf{vars N1 N2 : Nat .} \\ \textbf{eq} & \textbf{if true then } \{\textbf{N1}\} & \textbf{else } \{\textbf{N2}\} = \textbf{N1 .} \\ \textbf{eq} & \textbf{if false then } \{\textbf{N1}\} & \textbf{else } \{\textbf{N2}\} = \textbf{N2 .} \end{tabular}
```

The CafeOBJ system gives $(1\ 0\ 2\ 3)$ to if_then $\{\ \}$ else $\{\ \}$ as the local strategy.

show NAT-IF

8

Infinite Lists

Lists that consist of an infinite number of elements

Based on the following

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

```
op nil : -> Nil {constr} .
op | : Elt.E List -> NnList {constr} .
```

any lists that consist of an arbitrary finite number of elements can be constructed but any infinite lists cannot.

```
Infinite Lists
One way to describe infinite lists in CafeOBJ is as follows:
     mod* INF-LIST(E :: TRIV) {
                                            Note that this local strategy
      [InfList]
                                            is given to _|_
      op | : Elt.E InfList -> InfList {strat: (1 0)}.
e_1 \mid e_2 \mid \dots \mid e_n \mid il is a term of InfList if e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n are terms
of Elt.E and il is a term of InfList.
                                           The module INF-LIST imports
 mod! GLIST(E :: TRIV) {
                                           the modules GLIST and NAT:
  [Nil NnList < List]
  op nil : -> Nil {constr} .
                                                 pr(NAT)
  op | : Elt.E List -> List {constr}.
                                                 pr(GLIST(E))
```

,

```
Infinite Lists

Some functions for infinite lists:

op take: InfList Nat -> List.
eq take(IL,0) = nil.
eq take(X | IL, NzN) = X | take(IL,p NzN).

op drop: InfList Nat -> InfList.
eq drop(IL,0) = IL.
eq drop(X | IL, NzN) = drop(IL,p NzN).

Note that the following variables are declared in INF-LIST:
vars X Y: Elt.E.
vars IL IL2: InfList.
var NzN: NzNat.
```

var N : Nat . var L : List .

12

Infinite Lists

```
    op _@_ : List InfList -> InfList .
    eq nil @ IL = IL .
    eq (X | L) @ IL = X | (L @ IL) .
    op zip : InfList InfList -> InfList .
    eq zip(X | IL,Y | IL2) = X | Y | zip(IL,IL2) .
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
                           Infinite Lists
   mod! NAT-INF-LIST { pr(INF-LIST(NAT)) .
     op mkNILFrom : Nat -> InfList .
     op if then{ }else{ }: Bool InfList InfList -> InfList .
     var N: Nat. vars IL1 IL2: InfList.
     eq mkNILFrom(N) = N \mid mkNILFrom(N + 1).
     eq if true then \{IL1\} else \{IL2\} = IL1.
     eq if false then \{IL1\} else \{IL2\} = IL2.
    open NAT-INF-LIST.
     red mkNILFrom(0).
     red take(mkNILFrom(0),10).
     red drop(mkNILFrom(0),10).
     red take(drop(mkNILFrom(0),997),10).
     red take(take(mkNILFrom(0),10) @ drop(mkNILFrom(0),10),20).
     red take(mkNILFrom(0),20).
     red zip(mkNILFrom(0),mkNILFrom(0)).
     red take(drop(zip(mkNILFrom(0),mkNILFrom(0)),997),10).
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
                Sieve of Eratosthenes
mod! ERATOSTHENES-SIEVE {
 pr(NAT-INF-LIST)
 op primes : -> InfList .
 op sieve : InfList -> InfList .
 op check: Nat InfList -> InfList.
                           -- primes
 vars X Y: Nat.
                           eq primes = sieve(mkNILFrom(2)).
 var NzX : NzNat .
                           -- sieve
 var IL: InfList.
                           eq sieve(X \mid IL) = X \mid sieve(check(X,IL)).
                            -- check
                           eq check(0,IL) = IL.
                           eq check(NzX,Y | IL)
                             = if NzX divides Y then {check(NzX,IL)}
                                         else {Y | check(NzX,IL)} .
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
```

Sieve of Eratosthenes

```
open ERATOSTHENES-SIEVE .
  red primes .
  red take(primes,10) .
  red take(primes,20) .
  red take(primes,50) .
  red take(primes,100) .
  close
```

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

10

Hamming's Problem

```
mod! HAMMING {
    pr(NAT-INF-LIST)
    op ham : -> InfList .
    op 2* : InfList -> InfList .
    op 3* : InfList -> InfList .
    op 5* : InfList -> InfList .
    op merge : InfList InfList -> InfList .
    vars X Y : Nat .
    vars IL IL2 : InfList .
    -- ham
    eq ham = 1 | merge(merge(2*(ham),3*(ham)),5*(ham)) .
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{Hamming's Problem} \\ & --2* \\ \text{eq } 2*(X \mid \text{IL}) = 2*X \mid 2*(\text{IL}) \; . \\ & --3* \\ \text{eq } 3*(X \mid \text{IL}) = 3*X \mid 3*(\text{IL}) \; . \\ & --5* \\ \text{eq } 5*(X \mid \text{IL}) = 5*X \mid 5*(\text{IL}) \; . \\ & --\text{merge} \\ \text{eq merge}(X \mid \text{IL},Y \mid \text{IL2}) \\ & = \text{if } X < Y \\ & \text{then } \{X \mid \text{merge}(\text{IL},Y \mid \text{IL2})\} \\ & \text{else } \{\text{if } Y < X \\ & \text{then } \{Y \mid \text{merge}(X \mid \text{IL},\text{IL2})\} \\ & \text{else } \{X \mid \text{merge}(\text{IL},\text{IL2})\} \; . \\ \} \end{array}
```

```
Hamming's Problem

open HAMMING .
red ham .
red take(ham,10) .
red take(ham,50) .
red take(ham,100) .
close
```

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

Let us consider a multi-threaded program in which multithreads are running simultaneously and sharing some resources, such as objects.

Some shared resources must be used mutually exclusively by at most one thread at any given moment.

A mechanism to achieve this is called a *mutual exclusion* (Mutex) protocol.

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

Suppose that there are two threads t1 & t2 that share a Boolean variable locked whose initial value is false and execute the following pseudocode: Each thread does

Each thread does something that requires some shared resources in "Critical Section".

Loop: "Remainder Section" something that does not rs: **while** *locked* = true {} ms: *locked* := true; "Critical Section" cs: *locked* := false;

require any shared resources in "Remainder Section".

Each thread t is at rs, ms or cs. When t is at rs, it can check if *locked* is false. If so, it moves to ms and sets *locked* true, entering "Critical Section" and doing something that requires some shared resources. Otherwise, it stays at rs. When t is at cs, it sets *locked* false, going back to "Remainder Section".

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
```

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

Each state of the protocol is characterized by three values: *locked*, the location of t1 and the location of t2. Therefore, a state of the protocol is expressed as

```
(locked: b, pc1: l_1, pc2: l_2)
```

where b is a Boolean value and l_1 and l_2 are rs, ms or cs.

```
mod! STATE principal-sort State {
  pr(LOC)
  [State]
  op (locked:_,pc1:_,pc2:_) : Bool Loc Loc -> State {constr} .
}
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
```

22

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

```
mod! TID {
   [Tid]
   ops t1 t2 : -> Tid {constr} .
   op if_then{_}else{_} : Bool Tid Tid -> Tid .
   vars T1 T2 : Tid .
   eq if true then {T1} else {T2} = T1 .
   eq if false then {T1} else {T2} = T2 .
}

mod! LOC {
   [Loc]
   ops rs ms cs : -> Loc {constr} .
}
```

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
```

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

Given a state and a thread, the next state can be determined.

```
(locked: false, pc1: rs, pc2: rs)
t1

(locked: false, pc1: ms, pc2: rs)
t2

(locked: false, pc1: ms, pc2: ms)
t2

(locked: false, pc1: ms, pc2: ms)
t2

(locked: false, pc1: ms, pc2: ms)
t2

(locked: true, pc1: ms, pc2: cs)
```

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

2

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
```

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

```
-- t2
eq trans((locked: true,pc1: L1,pc2: rs),t2)
= (locked: true,pc1: L1,pc2: rs).
eq trans((locked: false,pc1: L1,pc2: rs),t2)
= (locked: false,pc1: L1,pc2: ms).
eq trans((locked: B,pc1: L1,pc2: ms),t2)
= (locked: true,pc1: L1,pc2: cs).
eq trans((locked: B,pc1: L1,pc2: cs),t2)
= (locked: false,pc1: L1,pc2: cs),t2)
= (locked: false,pc1: L1,pc2: rs).
```

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

26

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

The simulator takes a state and an infinite list of thread IDs (called a scheduling), and generates an infinite list of states (called a computation).

```
i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists
```

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

```
open SCHED .
  red take(sched(123),10) .
  red take(sched(1234),10) .
  red take(sched(12345),10) .
  close
```

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

20

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

```
mod! SIM { pr(FMUTEX) pr(COMP) pr(SCHED)
  op sim : State Nat -> Comp .
  op sub-sim : State Sched -> Comp .
  var S : State . var N : Nat . var NzD : NzNat .
  var T : Tid . var TIL : Sched .
  eq sim(S,N) = sub-sim(S,sched(N)) .
  eq sub-sim(S,T | TIL) = S | sub-sim(trans(S,T),TIL) .
}

open SIM .
  red take(sim((locked: false,pc1: rs,pc2: rs),123),10) .
  red take(sim((locked: false,pc1: rs,pc2: rs),1234),10) .
  red take(sim((locked: false,pc1: rs,pc2: rs),12345),10) .
  close
```

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

One desired property mutex protocols should satisfy is called the *mutex property* that there is at most one thread in "Critical Section" at any given moment. The simulator is revised so that it can check in a specified depth if each state generated satisfies the property. If the simulator finds a state in which the property is broken, it returns the computation fragment leading to the state.

The property is defined as follows:

```
\label{eq:continuous} \begin{split} & \text{op mutex}: State \to Bool \;. \\ & \text{vars L1 L2}: Loc \;. \\ & \text{var B}: Bool \;. \\ & \text{eq mutex}((locked: B,pc1: L1,pc2: L2)) = not \; (L1 == cs \; and \; L2 == cs) \;. \end{split}
```

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

20

Simulator of a Mutex Protocol

The revised simulator is as follows:

```
op sim-check : State Nat Nat -> FComp .
op sub-sim-check : State Sched Nat -> FComp .
var D : Nat . var NzD : NzNat .
eq sim-check(S,N,D) = sub-sim-check(S,sched(N),D) .
eq sub-sim-check(S,T | TIL,0) = S | nil .
eq sub-sim-check(S,T | TIL,NzD)
= if mutex(S) then {S | sub-sim-check(trans(S,T),TIL,p NzD)}
else {S | nil} .

open SIM .
red sim-check((locked: false,pc1: rs,pc2: rs),123,10) .
red sim-check((locked: false,pc1: rs,pc2: rs),1234,10) .
red sim-check((locked: false,pc1: rs,pc2: rs),12345,10) .
close
```

As you can see, the protocol does not satisfy the property. Please see Appendix for a correct version of the protocol.

Exercises

- 1. Write all programs in the slides and feed them into the CafeOBJ system. Moreover, write some more test code and do some more testing for the programs.
- 2. Revise the simulator (including the revised one) so that it can deal with the case in which there are four threads.

i217 Functional Programming - 6. Infinite Lists

32

Appendix

A correct version of the protocol:

```
Loop: "Remainder Section"

rs: while test&set(locked) = true {}

"Critical Section"

cs: locked := false;
```

test&set(x) does the following atomically:

```
tmp := x;

x := true;

return tmp;
```