

Homework 2

ECE 269: Linear Algebra and Applications Homework #2-Solution Instructor: Behrouz Touri

1. *Integrator!* Let $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ be a set of m vectors in a vector space V and $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ where

$$w_k = u_1 + \dots + u_k$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

- (a) Show that $\text{span}(U) = \text{span}(W)$.
 (b) Show that U is a basis if and only if W is a basis.

Solution:

- (a) Let $z = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_k u_k \in \text{span}(U)$. Then

$$z = c_1 w_1 + c_2 (w_2 - w_1) + \dots + c_k (w_k - w_{k-1}).$$

So $z \in \text{span}(W)$ and $\text{span}(U) \subseteq \text{span}(W)$. On the other hand, let $p = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k$. Then

$$p = a_1 u_1 + a_2 (u_1 + u_2) + \dots + a_k (u_1 + \dots + u_k).$$

So $p \in \text{span}(U)$ and $\text{span}(W) \subseteq \text{span}(U)$. Hence we conclude $\text{span}(W) = \text{span}(U)$.

- (b) If U is a basis, consider the equation $a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k \\ &= a_1 u_1 + a_2 (u_1 + u_2) + \dots + a_k (u_1 + \dots + u_k) \\ &= (a_1 + \dots + a_k) u_1 + (a_2 + \dots + a_k) u_2 + \dots + (a_{k-1} + a_k) u_{k-1} + a_k u_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since U is a basis, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 + \dots + a_k) &= 0 \\ (a_2 + \dots + a_k) &= 0 \\ &\dots \\ (a_{k-1} + a_k) &= 0 \\ a_k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By solving these equations backward, we obtain $a_k = \dots = a_1 = 0$. So W is a basis. If W is a basis, consider the equation $c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_k u_k = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_k u_k = 0 \\ &= c_1 w_1 + c_2 (w_2 - w_1) + \dots + c_k (w_k - w_{k-1}) \\ &= (c_1 - c_2) w_1 + (c_2 - c_3) w_2 + \dots + (c_{k-1} - c_k) w_{k-1} + c_k w_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since W is a basis, we must have

$$\begin{aligned}(c_1 - c_2) &= 0 \\ (c_2 - c_3) &= 0 \\ \dots \\ (c_{k-1} - c_k) &= 0 \\ c_k &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

By solving these equations backward, we obtain $c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$. So U is a basis.

2. Suppose U, W are both five-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^9 . Show that $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

Solution: We prove by contradiction. Suppose we have $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$. If for some $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, we have $u + w = 0$, then $w = -u \in U$. So $w \in U \cap W$. So $w = u = 0$. Now let U have a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_5\}$ and W have a basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_5\}$. Consider the equation

$$c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_5 u_5 + a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_5 w_5 = 0.$$

Since $u = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_5 u_5 \in U$ and $w = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_5 w_5 \in W$, we obtain from our previous argument that

$$c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_5 u_5 = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_5 w_5 = 0.$$

Since $\{u_1, \dots, u_5\}$ is a basis of U and $\{w_1, \dots, w_5\}$ is a basis of W , we must have $c_1 = \dots = c_5 = 0$ and $a_1 = \dots = a_5 = 0$, i.e., $u_1, \dots, u_5, w_1, \dots, w_5$ are linearly independent. So $\{u_1, \dots, u_5, w_1, \dots, w_5\}$ is a basis of $\text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_5, w_1, \dots, w_5\}$.

Now the dimension of $\text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_5, w_1, \dots, w_5\}$ is 10, but this is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^9 . This gives a contradiction.

3. *Properties of Matrices over Fields.* Let $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ be a field.

- Show that $0 \cdot a = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$.
- We define a left inverse of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ (if exists), to be a matrix $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ such that $BA = I$ (I is the identity matrix). Similarly, we define the right inverse of A to be a matrix $C \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ such that $AC = I$. Show that left and right inverse of a matrix are equal. (we denote that matrix by A^{-1})
- We say that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is a lower-triangular matrix if $A_{ij} = 0$ for $j > i$. Show that if A is an invertible matrix, its inverse is also a lower-triangular matrix.
- Suppose that \mathbb{F} is a finite-field. Show that if A is invertible, then $A^k = I$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Solution:

- $(0 + 0) = 0$. Right multiply the equation on both sides by a we get: $(0 + 0) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a$. Using the distributive property of fields on the left hand side we get: $0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a = 0 \cdot a$. Now adding the additive inverse of $0 \cdot a$ being $-0 \cdot a$ to both sides yields the result $0 \cdot a = 0, \forall a \in \mathbb{F}$.
- $B = BI = B(AC) = (BA)C = IC = C$. Using the fact that I is the multiplicative identity for matrices.

- (c) Suppose that $A = [a_1 | \dots | a_n]$ is an invertible lower-triangular matrix with the inverse $B = A^{-1}$. For $k \leq n$, let $A^{(k)}$ be the $k \times k$ top-left submatrix of A , i.e.,

$$A_{ij}^{(k)} = A_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

Similarly, define $B^{(k)}$ to be the $k \times k$ top-left sub-matrix of B . You can verify that indeed $A^{(k)}B^{(k)} = I$, i.e., $A^{(k)}$ is invertible for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ with the inverse $B^{(k)}$.

Note that $A_{nn} \neq 0$ as otherwise, the last column of A would be a zero vector and hence, $[BA]_{nn} = 0$ which is contradiction with $BA = AB = I$. Since, all $A^{(k)}$ are lower triangular matrices, and they are all invertible, the same argument holds for them, which implies that $A_{kk} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Once we have this observation, we are almost done with the proof: suppose that B is not lower-triangular, which means that for some column j of B , $B_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $i < j$. Let i be the smallest i that satisfies this. Then we have:

$$[AB]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}B_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^i A_{ik}B_{kj},$$

where the last equality holds because A is lower-triangular. On the other hand, $B_{kj} = 0$ for $k < i$ (as i is the smallest index that $B_{ij} \neq 0$). Therefore,

$$[AB]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^i A_{ik}B_{kj} = A_{ii}B_{ij}.$$

But A_{ii} and B_{ij} are both non-zero and hence, $[AB]_{ij} = A_{ii}B_{ij} \neq 0$ which contradicts $AB_{ij} = I_{ij} = 0$. Therefore, B should be lower triangular.

- (d) Let $|\mathbb{F}| = p$, so there are p^{n^2} possible $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F} . Let $q = p^{n^2}$ and consider $\{A^k | k = 1, \dots, q+1\}$. Notice that its cardinality is less than q but there are $q+1$ possible values of k . Thus, this means that $\exists i, j \in \{1, \dots, q+1\}, i < j$ such that $A^i = A^j$. Recall that A is invertible, and:

$$((A^{-1})^i \cdot A^i = ((A^{-1})^{i-1} \cdot (A^{-1}A)A^{i-1} = \dots = A^{-1}A = I,$$

meaning $(A^i)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^i$.

Using the above observation and multiplying both sides of $A^i = A^j$ by $(A^{-1})^i$, we get that: $A^{j-i} = I$, thus for some $k = j - i$, $A^k = I$.

4. *Linear functions over \mathbb{F}^n .* A function (operator) $L : V \rightarrow W$ from a vector space V to a vector space W (both on a common field \mathbb{F}) is called *linear* if (i) $L(x+y) = L(x) + L(y)$, and (ii) $L(\alpha x) = \alpha L(x)$ for all $x, y \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

- Show that the function $f : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ defined by $f(x) = Ax$, where $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$, is linear.
- Show that any linear function $f : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ has a representation $f(x) = Ax$ for some $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$.
- Show that the representation in part (b) is unique by proving that $Ax = Bx$ for every x implies that $A = B$.

Solution:

- (a) We have $f(x + y) = A(x + y) = Ax + Ay = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(\alpha x) = A(\alpha x) = \alpha Ax = \alpha f(x)$. So f is linear.
- (b) Define $f(e_i) = a_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\forall i \in [n]$, where e_i is the vector whose components are all zero, except the i -th component equals 1. Let A be defined by

$$A = [a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_n].$$

Notice that for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $v = v_1 e_1 + \dots + v_n e_n$, so

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) &= v_1 f(e_1) + \dots + v_n f(e_n) \\ &= v_1 a_1 + \dots + v_n a_n \\ &= Av. \end{aligned}$$

By this, we have found the representation matrix of f .

- (c) If $Ax = Bx$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $Ae_i = Be_i$ for any $i \in [n]$. Since Ae_i equals to the i -th column of A and Be_i equals to the i -th column of B , all columns of A and B are equal. So $A = B$.

5. *Differentiation of polynomials.* Let \mathcal{P}_n be the vector space consisting of all polynomials of degree $\leq n$ with real coefficients.

- (a) Show that the monomials x^i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, form a basis for \mathcal{P}_n .
- (b) Consider the transformation $T : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ defined by

$$T(p(x)) = \frac{dp(x)}{dx}.$$

For example, $T(1 + 3x + x^2) = 3 + 2x$. Show that T is linear.

- (c) Using $\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$ as a basis, represent the transformation in part (b) by a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$. Find the rank of A .
- (d) Characterize the nullspace of A .

Solution:

- (a) The equation

$$c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_n x^n = 0$$

gives $c_0 = \dots = c_n = 0$. So x^i , $i \in [n]$ are linearly independent. Besides, any polynomial in \mathcal{P}_n can be written in a form of $c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_n x^n$, i.e., a linear combination of monomials. So the monomials form a basis of \mathcal{P}_n .

- (b) For any $p(x), q(x) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(p(x) + q(x)) &= \frac{d(p(x) + q(x))}{dx} \\ &= \frac{dp(x)}{dx} + \frac{dq(x)}{dx} \\ &= T(p(x)) + T(q(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha p(x)) &= \frac{d(\alpha p(x))}{dx} \\ &= \alpha \frac{dp(x)}{dx} \\ &= \alpha T(p(x)). \end{aligned}$$

So T is linear.

(c) We define for any $i \in [n+1]$, $e_i = x^{i-1}$. Similar to question 4(b), we calculate

$$T(e_i) = T(x^{i-1}) = \begin{cases} (i-1)x^{i-2} & i \neq 1 \\ 0 & i = 1. \end{cases}$$

So, the first column of A is 0 and the i -th row, $i \neq 1$ of A is $(i-1)e_{i-1}$. That is,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By inspection, the rank of A is n .

(d) We have

$$Av = \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ v_3 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, $Av = 0$ if and only if $v_2 = \dots = v_{n+1} = 0$, i.e., $v \in \text{span}\{e_1\}$. So,

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \text{span}\{e_1\} = \{\text{all constant functions}\}.$$

6. *Zero nullspace.* Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Prove that the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{R}(A') = \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (c) The columns of A are independent.
- (d) A is tall (i.e., $n \leq m$) and full-rank (i.e., $\text{rank}(A) = \min(m, n) = n$).

Solution: We will show the chain of equivalences (a) \implies (b) \implies (c) \implies (d) \implies (a).

(a) \implies (b): By the rank-nullity theorem, we have $\dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) + \text{rank}(A) = n$, which implies $\text{rank}(A) = n$ (since $\dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) = 0$). Since $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A')$, we then have $\text{rank}(A') = n$. Since rank is equivalent to the dimension of the column space, the dimension of the column space of A' is n . Because each column vector in A' is of length n , this means that $\mathcal{R}(A') = \mathbb{F}^n$.

(b) \implies (c): Since A' is onto, $\text{rank}(A') = \dim(\mathcal{R}(A')) = n$. Because $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A') = n$, the $\dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) = n$. Note now that A has n column vectors and for them to span a space of dimension n , all of these column vectors have to be independent.

(c) \implies (d): If the columns of A are independent, since each column vector is of length m , there cannot be more than m of them (since more than m vectors of length m necessarily need to be dependent). Thus $n \leq m$. Since n independent vectors span a space of dimension n , we know that $\dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) = n \implies \text{rank}(A) = n = \min(m, n)$.

(d) \implies (a): By the rank-nullity theorem, $\text{rank}(A) + \dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) = n$. Since $\text{rank}(A) = n$, we have $\dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) = 0$, which implies that $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\}$.

7. *Rank of AA' .* Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$.

- (a) Suppose that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Prove that $\text{rank}(AA') = \text{rank}(A)$ or provide a counterexample.
- (b) Suppose that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Repeat part (a).
- (c) Suppose that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. Repeat part (a).
- (d) Suppose that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. Prove that $\text{rank}(AA^*) = \text{rank}(A)$ or provide a counterexample.

Solution:

- (a) If $AA'x = 0$, then $x'AA'x = (A'x)'(A'x) = \|A'x\|^2 = 0$, which implies that $A'x = 0$. Thus, for every $x \in \mathcal{N}(AA')$, $x \in \mathcal{N}(A')$, or equivalently, $\mathcal{N}(AA') \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A')$. Conversely, if $A'x = 0$, then $AA'x = 0$, which implies that $\mathcal{N}(A') \subseteq \mathcal{N}(AA')$. Hence, $\mathcal{N}(AA') = \mathcal{N}(A')$ and by the rank-nullity theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(A) &= \text{rank}(A') \\ &= m - \dim(\mathcal{N}(A')) \\ &= m - \dim(\mathcal{N}(AA')) \\ &= \text{rank}(AA'). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In \mathbb{Z}_2 , $AA' = 0$. Thus, $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ but $\text{rank}(AA') = 0$.

- (c) Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, $AA' = 0$. Thus, $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ but $\text{rank}(AA') = 0$.

- (d) We can show that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(AA^*)$ using the same proof as part (a), with A' replaced by A^* . Indeed, $(A^*x)^*(A^*x) = 0 \implies A^*x = 0$. Note, however, that this proof does not work for parts (b) and (c) – since in \mathbb{Z}_2 or \mathbb{C} , $(A'x)'(A'x) = 0 \neq A'x = 0$.

8. *Rank of a sum.* Let $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$. Show that

$$\text{rank}(A + B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B).$$

Solution: Let r_A and r_B denote the rank of A and B respectively. Consider a basis $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{r_A})$ that spans $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and another basis $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r_B})$ that spans $\mathcal{R}(B)$. We will show that the set of vectors $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{r_A}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r_B})$ spans $\mathcal{R}(A + B)$.

Consider the column space of $A + B$. If the columns of A are denoted by (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) , and those of B are denoted by (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) , the columns of $A + B$ are denoted by $(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$. Thus, any linear combination of $(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$ can be written as a linear combination of the $2n$ vectors $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_n, b_n)$. Since $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{r_A})$ is a basis for $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r_B})$ a basis for $\mathcal{R}(B)$, $\text{span}(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_n, b_n) = \text{span}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{r_A}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r_B})$.

Since the vectors $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{r_A}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r_B})$ span the column space of $(A+B)$, it immediately follows that $\text{rank}(A + B) = \dim(\mathcal{R}(A + B)) \leq r_A + r_B = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$.

9. *Rank of a product.* Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 4}$ has rank 2 and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 5}$ has rank 3.

- Find the smallest possible value r_{\min} of $\text{rank}(AB)$. Find specific A and B such that $\text{rank}(AB) = r_{\min}$.
- Find the largest possible value r_{\max} of $\text{rank}(AB)$. Find specific A and B such that $\text{rank}(AB) = r_{\max}$.

Solution:

- We first prove that

$$\mathcal{N}(AB) \leq \mathcal{N}(A) + \mathcal{N}(B) \quad (1)$$

for any pair of matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. To show this, we decompose $\mathcal{N}(AB)$ by $\mathcal{N}(B)$ and its orthogonal complement $\mathcal{N}(B)^\perp = \mathcal{R}(B')$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(AB) &= \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k : ABz = 0\} \\ &= \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k : Bz = 0\} + \{z \in \mathcal{R}(B') : Bz \in \mathcal{N}(A)\} \\ &= \mathcal{N}(B) + \mathcal{V}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{N}(AB) = \dim(\mathcal{N}(AB)) \leq \dim(\mathcal{N}(B)) + \dim(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{N}(B) + \dim(\mathcal{V}),$$

and it suffices to show that $\dim(\mathcal{V}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) = \mathcal{N}(A)$. To upper bound $\dim(\mathcal{V})$, suppose that z_1, \dots, z_l form a basis for \mathcal{V} . Then Bz_1, \dots, Bz_l must be independent; otherwise, for some nonzero $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$,

$$\alpha_1 Bz_1 + \dots + \alpha_l Bz_l = B(\alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \alpha_l z_l) = 0$$

implies that $z = \alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \alpha_l z_l \neq 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{N}(B)$, which is a contradiction to the assumption that $z \in \mathcal{R}(B') = \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp$. But at the same time, $Bz_1, \dots, Bz_l \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ and thus $l \leq \dim(\mathcal{N}(A))$. Therefore, $\dim(\mathcal{V}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{N}(A))$.

By the rank-nullity theorem, (1) implies

$$n - \text{rank}(AB) \leq (n - \text{rank}(A)) + (k - \text{rank}(B)),$$

or equivalently,

$$\text{rank}(AB) \geq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) - k,$$

where k is the number of rows of B . Thus, specializing to our problem, we have

$$\text{rank}(AB) \geq 2 + 3 - 4 = 1.$$

This lower bound is tight, as shown by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

of ranks 2 and 3, respectively, and

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has rank 1.

- (b) Recall that $\text{rank}(AB) \leq \min(\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)) = 2$. This upper bound is tight, as shown by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has rank 2.

10. Parity check codes. Let

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n \times k},$$

where $A \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(n-k) \times k}$ and $n \geq k$, where I is the $k \times k$ identity matrix. Suppose that a k -bit message $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ is encoded into an n -bit codeword $y = Gx \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. This is an example of an (n, k) *binary linear parity check code*. In this context, G is referred to as a *generator* matrix of the code and its range $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is referred to as the set of codewords or the *codebook*. The additional $n - k$ bits, or *parity bits*, provide redundant information that can be used for correction (or detection) of errors that occur to the codewords.

- Find $|\mathcal{R}(G)|$ and interpret this value in terms of the codewords of the (n, k) code.
- Let $H = \begin{bmatrix} A & I \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(n-k) \times n}$. Show that $HG = 0$.
- Show that $\mathcal{N}(H) = \mathcal{R}(G)$, namely, y is a codeword if and only if $Hy = 0$. For this reason, H is referred to as a *parity check matrix* of the code.

- (d) Consider the code with generator matrix H' that encodes $(n - k)$ -bit messages into n -bit codewords. This $(n, n - k)$ code is said to be *dual* to the original (n, k) code with generator matrix G . Find a parity check matrix P of the dual code, that is, a matrix P that satisfies $Py = 0$ if and only if y is a codeword of the dual code.

Solution:

- (a) For a useful code, no two different k -bit messages should be encoded into the same n -bit message. Therefore, G is one-one, implying that $\text{nullity}(G) = 0$. By the rank-nullity theorem, this implies $\dim(\mathcal{R}(G)) = \text{rank}(G) = k - \text{nullity}(G) = k$. Let $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ be a basis for $\mathcal{R}(G)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}(G)| &= |\{(\alpha_1 c_1 + \dots + \alpha_k c_k) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}_2\}| \\ &= 2^k, \end{aligned}$$

since each α_i can be chosen in 2 ways (0 or 1), and by the property of a basis, no two different choices of α^k gives the same vector in $\mathcal{R}(G)$. $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is simply the set of possible codewords of the code, and therefore, this result implies that an (n, k) binary linear parity check code has exactly 2^k codewords.

- (b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} HG &= [A \quad I] \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \end{bmatrix} \\ &= AI + IA \quad (\text{multiplying the matrices by blocks}) \\ &= A + A \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $a + a = 0$.

- (c) Let $y = [y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n]' \in \mathcal{N}(H)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Hy &= 0 \\ \implies A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} y_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} &= 0 \\ \implies A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \\ \implies \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \\ y_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} I \\ \text{alpha} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $y \in \mathcal{R}(G)$. Conversely, let $x \in \mathcal{R}(G)$. Then, $x = Gu$ for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$. Therefore, we have $Hx = HGu = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}(H) = \mathcal{R}(G)$.

- (d) c is a codeword of the dual code if and only if $c = H'y$ for some $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-k}$. We therefore conclude that $c := [c_1 \ \cdots \ c_n]'$ is a codeword of the dual code if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} A' \\ I \end{bmatrix} y \\
 \iff \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-k} \\ c_{n-k+1} \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} A'y \\ y \end{bmatrix} \\
 \iff A' \begin{bmatrix} c_{n-k+1} \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \iff A' \begin{bmatrix} c_{n-k+1} \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iff [I_{k \times n} \ A'] \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} &= 0 \\
 \iff G'c &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P := G'$ is a parity check matrix of the dual code.