

# Homework 6

## ECE 269: Linear Algebra and Applications

### Homework #6-Solution

Instructor: Behrouz Touri

1. For any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  show that

$$\dim(\text{span}\{A^k \mid k \geq 1\}) = \dim(\text{span}\{I, A, A^2, \dots, A^k, \dots\}) \leq n.$$

Hint: Show that for any  $k \geq 0$ ,  $A^k$  is a linear combination of  $I, \dots, A^{n-1}$ .

**Solution:** We claim that for any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $A^k \in \text{span}\{I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$ . Then we have

$$\text{span}\{A^k \mid k \geq 1\} \subset \text{span}\{I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$$

and thus  $\dim(\{A^k \mid k \geq 1\}) \leq n$ . In fact, for  $k < n-1$ , this is clearly true. The case for  $k = n$  is a consequence of the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, which states that the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  has a term of degree  $n$  with coefficient 1, implying  $A^n$  can be written as a linear combination of lower powers of  $A$ . Suppose our claim is true for all  $k \leq N$ , where  $N \geq n$ . For  $k = N+1$ , since  $A^N \in \text{span}\{I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$ ,  $A^{N+1} \in \text{span}\{A, \dots, A^n\} \subset \text{span}\{I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$  as  $A^n$  can be written as a linear combination of  $I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}$ . Therefore, by induction, our claim holds for all  $k \geq 1$ .

2. Show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are similar, then not only their eigenvalues of the two matrices are the same, but also the algebraic and geometric multiplicity of them are the same for the two matrices.

**Solution:** Let  $cB = PAP^{-1}$ . Since  $\det(\lambda I - B) = \det(P(\lambda I - A)P^{-1}) = \det(P) \det(\lambda I - A) \det(P^{-1})$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  and  $B$  are the same, and hence the algebraic multiplicity of them are also the same. Now, let  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  be a linearly independent set of eigenvalues of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ . Then we have

$$BPv_i = PAP^{-1}Pv_i = \lambda_0 v_i, \forall i \in [r]$$

and the equation

$$c_1 Pv_1 + \dots + c_r Pv_r = 0$$

has only trivial solution since  $P$  is invertible. Therefore,  $Pv_1, \dots, Pv_r$  is a linearly independent set of eigenvalues of  $B$ . This implies that the geometric multiplicity of  $B$  is greater than or equal to  $A$ . On the other hand, since  $B = P^{-1}AP$ , we can apply similar arguments with  $P$  replaced by  $P^{-1}$  to show that the geometric multiplicity of  $A$  is greater than or equal to  $B$ . Therefore, the the geometric multiplicity of  $A$  and  $B$  must be the same.

3. *A computational problem.*

(a) Find the eigenvalues of the matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) Show that  $A$  does not have an eigenvalue decomposition.
- (c) Provide the Jordan Decomposition of  $A$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) We can see that the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $(\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda - 2)$ . The eigenvalues of matrix  $A$  are  $\lambda_1 = 1$  with algebraic multiplicity 2,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ .
- (b) The normalized eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are given by  $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix}$ . Now let us look at the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_1$ , given by,

$$\text{Nullity}(A - \lambda_1 I) = \text{Nullity} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

Since geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_1$  is not equal to the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_1$ , the matrix is not diagonalizable and hence it does not have an eigenvalue decomposition.

- (c) For the Jordan decomposition of  $A$ , note that  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . To find the  $T$ -matrix, we need to obtain the generalized eigenvector,  $v_{12}$ , corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  by solving the following equation,

$$Av_{12} = v_1 + \lambda_1 v_{12},$$

giving us one possible  $v_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Using the generalized eigenvectors to build the  $T$ -matrix gives us,

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can now compute its inverse to get

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{6} \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. *Properties of symmetric matrices.* Let  $A = A' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $B = B' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Prove or provide a counterexample to each of the following statements.

- (a) If  $A \succeq 0$ , then  $X'AX \succeq 0$  for every  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ .
- (b) If  $A \succeq 0$  and  $B \succeq 0$ , then  $\text{trace}(AB) \geq 0$ .
- (c) If  $A \succeq 0$ , then  $A + B \succeq B$ .
- (d) If  $A \succeq B$ , then  $-B \succeq -A$ .
- (e) If  $A \succeq I$ , then  $I \succeq A^{-1}$ .

- (f) If  $A \succeq B \succ 0$ , then  $B^{-1} \succeq A^{-1} \succ 0$ .  
 (g) If  $A \succeq B \succeq 0$ , then  $A^2 \succeq B^2$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) Given any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y'(X'AX)y = (Xy)'A(Xy) \geq 0$ , since  $A \succeq 0$ . Thus,  $X'AX \succeq 0$ . We can in fact show that if  $A \succ 0$  and  $X$  is full-rank and tall, then  $X'AX \succ 0$ . To see this, consider  $y'X'AXy \geq 0$  with equality only if  $Xy = 0$ . But since the columns of  $X$  are linearly independent,  $Xy = 0$  if and only if  $y = 0$ .  
 (b) Let  $A$  admit an eigendecomposition  $A = Q\Lambda Q'$ . Since  $A$  is PD,  $\Lambda$  has non-negative diagonal elements and thus has a square root. Similarly, let  $B$  admit an eigendecomposition  $B = V\Sigma V'$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(AB) &= \text{trace}(Q\Lambda Q'V\Sigma V') \\ &= \text{trace}(\Lambda Q'V\Sigma V'Q) \\ &= \text{trace}(\Lambda^{1/2}Q'V\Sigma^{1/2}\Sigma^{1/2}V'Q\Lambda^{1/2}) \\ &= \text{trace}((\Sigma^{1/2}V'Q\Lambda^{1/2})'\Sigma^{1/2}V'Q\Lambda^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, for any matrix  $W = [w_1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_n]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(W'W) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (W'W)_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|w_i\|^2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\text{trace}(AB) \geq 0$ .

- (c) Since  $A = (A + B) - B \succeq 0$ , we have  $A + B \succeq B$ .  
 (d) If  $A \succeq B$ , we have  $A - B \succeq 0$ , which implies that  $-B - (-A) \succeq 0$ , and thus that  $-B \succeq -A$ .  
 (e) Since  $A$  is symmetric, we have  $A = Q\Lambda Q'$ , where  $QQ' = I$ . Thus,  $A - I = Q(\Lambda - I)Q'$ , and the eigenvalues of  $A - I$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  minus 1. Thus, if  $A - I$  is positive semidefinite, every eigenvalue of  $A \geq 1$ . Now  $A^{-1} = Q\Lambda^{-1}Q'$  with eigenvalues  $\leq 1$ . Hence,  $(I - A^{-1}) = Q(I - \Lambda^{-1})Q'$  is positive semidefinite.  
 (f) Since  $B = Q\Lambda Q' \succ 0$ ,  $B^{1/2} = Q\Lambda^{1/2}Q' \succ 0$ . Then by part (a),  $A - B \succeq 0$  implies  $B^{-1/2}(A - B)B^{-1/2} = B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2} - I \succeq 0$ . Hence by part (e),  $I - B^{1/2}A^{-1}B^{1/2} \succeq 0$ . Finally, by part (a) once again,  $B^{-1/2}(I - B^{1/2}A^{-1}B^{1/2})B^{-1/2} = B^{-1} - A^{-1} \succeq 0$ .  
 (g) This need not be true. Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $A \succeq B \succeq 0$ . But  $A^2 - B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is indefinite.

5. *Induced matrix norms.* We define the induced  $p$ -norm of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$  as

$$\|A\|_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p}.$$

When  $p = 2$ ,  $\|A\|_2$  is called the *spectral norm* of the matrix. One can view such norms as the maximum attenuation of the corresponding linear mapping on the unit ball.

(a) Show that  $\|A\|_p$  satisfies the axioms of matrix norms.

(b) Show that

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |A_{ij}|.$$

(c) Show that

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}| = \|A^*\|_1.$$

**Solution:**

(a) • *Absolute homogeneity:* By properties of the  $p$  norms of vectors, for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  we have  $\|\alpha Ax\|_p = |\alpha| \|Ax\|_p$ . Thus,

$$\|\alpha A\|_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|\alpha Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = |\alpha| \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = |\alpha| \|A\|_p.$$

• *Triangle Inequality:*

$$\|A+B\|_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax + Bx\|_p}{\|x\|_p} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p + \|Bx\|_p}{\|x\|_p} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} + \max_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|By\|_p}{\|y\|_p} = \|A\|_p + \|B\|_p.$$

• *Positive definiteness:* The vector  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is non-negative, which immediately implies that  $\|A\|_p \geq 0$ . Furthermore, for  $\|A\|_p = 0$ , we need  $\max_{x \neq 0} \|Ax\|_p = 0$ , which is only possible if  $A = 0$ . Conversely,  $A = 0$  implies that  $\|Ax\|_p = 0$ . Thus,  $\|A\|_p = 0$  iff  $A = 0$ .

(b) Let  $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]$ , and the columns of  $A$  be  $[a_1 \ a_2 \ \cdots \ a_n]$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|_1 &= \|a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \cdots + a_n x_n\|_1 \\ &\leq \|a_1 x_1\|_1 + \|a_2 x_2\|_1 + \cdots + \|a_n x_n\|_1 \\ &= |x_1| \|a_1\|_1 + |x_2| \|a_2\|_1 + \cdots + |x_n| \|a_n\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that an alternative way of characterizing the 1-norm is  $\max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1$ . Using the upper bound on  $\|Ax\|_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1 &\leq \max_{\|x\|_1=1} |x_1| \|a_1\|_1 + |x_2| \|a_2\|_1 + \cdots + |x_n| \|a_n\|_1 \\ &= \max_{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|=1} |x_1| \|a_1\|_1 + |x_2| \|a_2\|_1 + \cdots + |x_n| \|a_n\|_1 \\ &\leq \max_{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|=1} \max_i \|a_i\|_1 (|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_n|) \\ &= \max_i \|a_i\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |A_{ij}| \end{aligned}$$

Let  $j = \operatorname{argmax}_i \|a_i\|_1$ . The upper bound is achievable by taking  $x = e_j$ , and hence  $\|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |A_{ij}|$ .

- (c) Let the rows of  $A$  be  $\tilde{a}'_1, \tilde{a}'_2, \dots, \tilde{a}'_m$ . We then need to find  $\max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \|Ax\|_\infty = \max_j |\tilde{a}'_j x|$  over all  $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ . Now for all  $j$ ,

$$|\tilde{a}'_j x| = \left| \sum_k \tilde{a}_{jk} x_k \right| \leq \sum_k |\tilde{a}_{jk}| |x_k| \leq \sum_k |\tilde{a}_{jk}| \leq \max_i \|\tilde{a}_i\|_1.$$

Therefore,  $\|A\|_\infty \leq \max_i \|\tilde{a}_i\|_1 = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$ . If  $i_1 = \operatorname{argmax}_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$ , by taking

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\overline{A_{i_1 1}}}{|A_{i_1 1}|} & \frac{\overline{A_{i_1 2}}}{|A_{i_1 2}|} & \cdots & \frac{\overline{A_{i_1 n}}}{|A_{i_1 n}|} \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

we note that the upper bound is indeed achievable and thus  $\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$ .

#### 6. Properties of the spectral norm.

- (a) Show that  $\|A^* A\| = \|A\|^2$ .  
 (b) Show that the spectral norm is *unitarily invariant*, namely,  $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$  for any unitary matrices  $U$  and  $V$ .  
 (c) Show that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \max(\|A\|, \|B\|).$$

#### Solution:

- (a) Since  $A^* A$  is Hermitian, its singular values are the same as its eigenvalues. As mentioned in the discussion session, the largest eigenvalue (and hence the largest singular value) is  $\sigma_1^2(A) = \|A\|^2$ .  
 (b) Since  $\|Ux\| = \|x\|$  for every unitary matrix  $U$ ,

$$\|UAV\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|UAVx\|}{\|x\|} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|AVx\|}{\|x\|} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|AVx\|}{\|Vx\|}. \quad (1)$$

Since  $V$  is a unitary transformation,  $\{x | x \neq 0\} = \{x | Vx \neq 0\}$ . Substituting  $Vx = y$  and continuing the chain of equalities from (1) yields

$$\max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|AVx\|}{\|Vx\|} = \max_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|Ay\|}{\|y\|} = \|A\|.$$

- (c) If  $U_A \Sigma_A V_A^*$  is an SVD of  $A$ , and  $U_B \Sigma_B V_B^*$  is an SVD of  $B$ , then

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_A & 0 \\ 0 & U_B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_A & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_A^* & 0 \\ 0 & V_B^* \end{bmatrix}$$

is an SVD of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ . This shows that the singular values of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$  are the union of the singular values of  $A$  and  $B$  (including multiplicity), which in turn implies that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \max(\|A\|, \|B\|).$$