

Homework 5

ECE 269: Linear Algebra and Applications

Homework #5-Solution

Instructor: Behrouz Touri

1. Show that for any alternating linear form $f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have the following:

(a) for any $i \neq j$,

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_m) = -f(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_m).$$

(b) if $m = n$, and $f(e_1, \dots, e_n) \neq 0$ (where e_1, \dots, e_n are the standard basis elements) then $f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ only if v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly dependent (of course, this is if and only if statement, as we have shown the if part in the class).

Solution:

(a) Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(v_1, \dots, v_i + v_j, \dots, v_i + v_j, \dots, v_m) \\ &= f(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_m) + f(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_m) \\ &\quad + f(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_m) + f(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_m) \\ &= 0 + f(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_m) + f(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_m) + 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_m) = -f(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_m).$$

(b) As mentioned in the discussion session, f should be a multiple of $f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ times the determinant. Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume $f(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$, which implies $f = \det$. Let $V = [v_1, \dots, v_n]$. If v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent, V is invertible. We also know that determinant function satisfies

$$f(I) = f(V)f(V^{-1}) = 1.$$

This leads to a contradiction to the fact that $f(V) \neq 0$. Now we provide a sketch of the proof for $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(AB) &= \det(B_{11}a_1 + \dots + B_{n1}a_n, \dots, B_{1n}a_1 + \dots + B_{nn}a_n) \\ &= \sum_P \prod_{(i,j) \in P} (-1)^{\#P} B_{ij} \det(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= \det(B) \det(A), \end{aligned}$$

where a_i , $i \in [n]$ is the i -th column of A and P is taken over all $n \times n$ permutation matrices.

2. *Practical Determinant.* In practice, one never goes over the extensive formula discussed in lecture for computing determinant, but rather the transformations involving the matrices. One of them being LU decomposition.

- (a) For a $n \times n$ lower-triangular matrix Q , show that $\det(Q) = Q_{11} \cdots Q_{nn}$. (Note that since $\det(A) = \det(A')$, same result holds for upper triangular matrices)
- (b) Using an LU decomposition of A (of the form $LPA = U$ or $PA = LU$), find $\det(A)$ for the following matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:

- (a) We know

$$\det(Q) = \sum_P \prod_{(i,j) \in P} (-1)^{\#P} Q_{ij},$$

where P is taken over all $n \times n$ permutation matrices. If for some P , $\prod_{(i,j) \in P} (-1)^{\#P} Q_{ij}$ is nonzero, then we must have $(i \leq j)$ for any $(i, j) \in P$ since Q is LT. Also notice

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in P} i = 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \sum_{(i,j) \in P} j.$$

Hence all inequalities $i \leq j$ become equalities. So $P = I$ and

$$\det(Q) = \prod_{i=1}^n Q_{ii}.$$

- (b) Let u_i , $i \in [4]$ be the i -th row of the matrix U . We first set $u_1 = [1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0]$ and $L_{11} = 1$. Next we set $u_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]$ and $L_{21} = 2$, $L_{22} = 1$. Then we set $u_3 = [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1]$ and $L_{31} = L_{32} = L_{33} = 1$. Finally, we set $u_4 = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2]$ and $L_{41} = 0$, $L_{42} = L_{43} = L_{44} = 1$. That is,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $\det(A) = 1 \cdot 2 = 2$.

3. *Eigenvalues.* Suppose that A has $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ as its eigenvalues.

- (a) Show that $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$.
- (b) Show that the eigenvalues of A' are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, that is, A and A' have the same set of eigenvalues.
- (c) Show that the eigenvalues of A^k are $\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and if A is invertible, the result holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (d) Show that A is invertible if and only if it does not have a zero eigenvalue.
- (e) For an invertible matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, show that A and $T^{-1}AT$ have the same set of eigenvalues, that is, eigenvalues are invariant under a similarity transformation $A \mapsto T^{-1}AT$.

- (f) Let us define the set of eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalue λ , to be $v_\lambda(A) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Av = \lambda v\}$. Show that $v_\lambda(A)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .
- (g) The *trace* of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is defined by sum of its diagonal elements, i.e.,

$$\text{trace}(A) = A_{11} + A_{22} + \cdots + A_{nn}.$$

Show that

$$\text{trace}(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n.$$

Solution:

- (a) Consider the characteristic polynomial of A , namely, $\chi_A(\lambda) := \det(\lambda I - A)$. Clearly, the highest power of λ in $\chi_A(\lambda)$, i.e., the n^{th} power, occurs only in the term $\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - A_{ii})$. Therefore, the coefficient of λ^n equals 1. The constant term is given by $\chi_A(0) = \det(-A) = (-1)^n \det(A)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n &= \text{product of all roots of } \{\chi_A(\lambda) = 0\} \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{\text{constant term}}{\text{coefficient of } \lambda^n} \\ &= \det(A). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) We have

$$\chi_{A'}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A') = \det((\lambda I - A)') = \det(\lambda I - A) = \chi_A(\lambda),$$

which shows that A' and A have identical characteristic polynomials and hence, identical eigenvalues.

- (c) Consider the Jordan normal form of A , i.e., $A = TJT^{-1}$, where J is upper-triangular and has the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ as its diagonal entries. Then, $A^k = TJ^kT^{-1}$ and the diagonal entries of the upper-triangular matrix J^k are $\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$ in the same order. Then, the eigenvalues of J^k (and hence, of A^k , see part (f)) are given by $\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$.

Alternative proof: For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let μ_1, \dots, μ_k be the k^{th} roots of λ . Then we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^k (A - \mu_j I) = (-1)^k c_k I + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l c_l A^{k-l}, \quad (1)$$

where $c_0 = 1$, and for $1 \leq l \leq k$, c_l is the sum of all possible products of the μ_j s, taken l at a time. For example, c_k is simply $\prod_{j=1}^k \mu_j$.

Now, μ_1, \dots, μ_k are the roots of the polynomial $p(x) = x^k - \lambda = 0$, therefore $x^k - \lambda$ is identically equal to

$$\prod_{j=1}^k (x - \mu_j) = \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^l c_l x^{k-l}.$$

Equating the coefficients of like powers of x , we therefore conclude that $c_l = 0$ for $l = 1, \dots, k-1$, $c_0 = 1$, and $c_k = (-1)^{k-1} \lambda$.

Using these relations, (1) becomes

$$\lambda I - A^k = (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^k (\mu_j I - A). \quad (2)$$

Now, if the characteristic polynomial $\chi_A(\lambda) := \det(\lambda I - A)$ be given by

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{A^k}(\lambda) &= \det(\lambda I - A^k) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} (-1)^{n(k-1)} \prod_{j=1}^k \det(\mu_j I - A) \\ &= (-1)^{n(k-1)} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^n (\mu_j - \lambda_i) \\ &= (-1)^{n(k-1)} (-1)^{nk} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k (\lambda_i - \mu_j) \\ &= (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i^k - \lambda) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i^k), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the eigenvalues of A^k are exactly $\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$.

(d) Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A . We have

$$A \text{ is invertible} \iff \det(A) \neq 0 \iff \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \neq 0 \iff \lambda_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i.$$

(e) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{T^{-1}AT}(\lambda) &= \det(\lambda I - T^{-1}AT) \\ &= \det(T^{-1}(\lambda I - A)T) \\ &= \det(T^{-1}) \det(\lambda I - A) \det(T) \\ &= \chi_A(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $T^{-1}AT$ has the same eigenvalues as A .

(f) Let $x, y \in v_\lambda(A)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$A(x + \alpha y) = Ax + A\alpha y = \lambda x + \alpha \lambda y = \lambda(x + \alpha y).$$

Therefore, $v_\lambda(A)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

(g) We have

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = -(\text{coefficient of } \lambda^{n-1} \text{ in } \chi_A(\lambda)).$$

Now, in $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$, the only term containing λ^n and λ^{n-1} is $\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - A_{ii})$ (This is immediately clear by considering the definition of a determinant in terms of permutations). Therefore, the coefficient of λ^{n-1} in $\chi_A(\lambda)$ is the same as the coefficient of λ^{n-1} in $\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - A_{ii})$, which is given by $-\text{trace}(A)$. Therefore,

$$\text{trace}(A) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n.$$

4. *Gershgorin circles.* Let v be an eigenvector of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ associated with eigenvalue λ such that $\|v\|_\infty = |v_i| = 1$.

- (a) Show that $(\lambda - A_{ii})v_i = \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}v_j$.
 (b) Let the *Gershgorin circles* of A be defined as

$$\mathcal{G}_i = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |A_{ii} - \xi| \leq \rho_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where the radius of the i -th circle centered at A_{ii} is

$$\rho_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}|.$$

Show that all eigenvalues of A are contained in the union of the Gershgorin circles.

- (c) We say that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is *diagonally dominated* if

$$A_{ii} > \sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Show that a diagonally dominated matrix A is nonsingular.

Solution:

- (a) Since v is an eigenvector associated with eigenvalue λ , we have $Av = \lambda v$. In particular, equating the i -th row of Av and λv , we have $\lambda v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}v_j = v_i A_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}v_j$, which yields $(\lambda - A_{ii})v_i = \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}v_j$.
 (b) From part (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\lambda - A_{ii})v_i| &= \left| \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij}v_j \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}| |v_j| \\ &\leq \sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $|\lambda - A_{ii}| \leq \rho_i$, or equivalently, $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_i$. Similarly, the other eigenvalues lie in one of the Gershgorin circles.

- (c) If 0 is an eigenvalue of A , $0 \in \mathcal{G}_{i^*}$ for some $i^* \in [n]$. So, we must have

$$|A_{i^*i^*} - 0| \leq \rho_{i^*} = \sum_{j \neq i^*} |A_{i^*j}|,$$

which contradicts to the diagonally dominated condition. So, 0 is not an eigenvalue of A and A is nonsingular.

5. *Nilpotent matrices.* We say that a square matrix A is *nilpotent* if $A^k = 0$ for some $k \geq 1$. We define the smallest k for which $A^k = 0$ to be its (nilpotent) index. For example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is nilpotent of index 3.

- (a) Show that every nilpotent matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ has no nonzero eigenvalue and thus that its characteristic function is $\chi(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^n$.
- (b) Show that the index of a nilpotent matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is always $\leq n$.
- (c) Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent of index n . Show that if $A^{n-1}x \neq 0$, then $x, Ax, A^2x, \dots, A^{n-1}x$ form a basis of \mathbb{F}^n .
- (d) Continuing part (c), let

$$T = [x \quad Ax \quad A^2x \quad \dots \quad A^{n-1}x] \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}.$$

Show that the similarity transformation of A by T is

$$T^{-1}AT = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution:

- (a) Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A , a nilpotent matrix with index k . By Problem 3(c), the eigenvalues of A^k are $\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$. But $A^k = 0$ and its eigenvalues $\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$ must be all zero, which in turn implies that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ must be all zero. Therefore all n eigenvalues of A are zero, implying that $\chi(\lambda) = \lambda^n$.
- (b) By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, $\chi(A) = A^n = 0$. Thus, the index of a nilpotent matrix is at most n .
- (c) To show that $x, Ax, A^2x, \dots, A^{n-1}x$ are independent, consider some a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} such that $a_0x + a_1Ax + a_2A^2x + \dots + a_{n-1}A^{n-1}x = 0$. Multiplying both sides by A^{n-1} , since $A^k = 0$ for $k \geq n$, we have $a_0A^{n-1}x = 0$, which implies that $a_0 = 0$. Next, we multiply both sides by A^{n-2} and use the fact that $a_0 = 0$ to show $a_1 = 0$. Continuing this way, we can show that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} are all zero. Thus, $x, Ax, A^2x, \dots, A^{n-1}x$ are n independent vectors that form a basis for \mathbb{F}^n .
- (d) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} AT &= A[x \quad Ax \quad A^2x \quad \dots \quad A^{n-1}x] \\ &= [Ax \quad A^2x \quad A^3x \quad \dots \quad A^n x] \\ &= [Ax \quad A^2x \quad \dots \quad 0] \\ &= T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$T^{-1}AT = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$