

$$I_{\text{MOD}} = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{MOD}}$$

with modified Lagrangian density:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{MOD}} = & \mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{4} F_\alpha^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial_\mu A_\alpha^\mu)(\partial_\nu A_\alpha^\nu) \\ & - \partial_\mu \omega_\alpha^* \partial^\mu \omega_\alpha + C_{\text{FSR}} (\partial_\mu \omega_\alpha^*) A_\mu^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

→ Lagrangian is renormalizable

(total dimensionality of products of fields
and their derivatives is ≤ 4)

§ 1.6 BRST Symmetry

Even after we choose a gauge, the path integral
still does have a symmetry ... → BRST symmetry

$$I_{\text{MOD}} = I_{\text{EFF}} + I_{\text{GH}} = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{MOD}},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MOD}} = \mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\xi} f_\alpha f_\alpha + \omega_\alpha^* \Delta_\alpha,$$

$$\text{where } \Delta_\alpha(x) = \int d^4y \overline{f_{\alpha x}(y)} [A_\alpha] \omega_\beta(y)$$

$$\text{for the choice } \mathcal{B}[f] = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2\xi} \int d^4x f_\alpha f^\alpha\right)$$

$$\text{rewrite } \mathcal{B}[f] = \int \left[\prod_{\alpha,x} dh_\alpha(x) \right] \exp\left[\frac{i}{2} \int d^4x h_\alpha h_\alpha\right] \exp\left[i \int d^4x f_\alpha h_\alpha\right]$$

$$\rightarrow \text{new modified action: } I_{\text{NEW}} = \int d^4x \left(\mathcal{L} + \omega_\alpha^* \Delta_\alpha + h_\alpha f_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \Im h_\alpha h_\alpha \right)$$

→ not gauge invariant but enjoys the following symmetry:

let θ be an infinitesimal constant with

$$[\theta, \omega_2]_+ = [\theta, \omega_2^*]_+ = 0 \quad (\text{anti-commutator vanishes})$$

Then

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta_\theta \psi = it_\alpha \theta \omega_2 \psi, \\ \delta_\theta A_{\alpha\mu} = \theta D_\mu \omega_2 = \theta [D_\mu \omega_2 + C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_{\beta\mu} \omega_\gamma], \\ \delta_\theta \omega_2^* = -\theta h_\alpha, \\ \delta_\theta \omega_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \theta C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \omega_\beta \omega_\gamma, \\ \delta_\theta h_\alpha = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

keeps the action invariant.

Let us see how this works:

- a) transformation (1) is "nilpotent",
namely for $\delta_\theta F[\psi, A, \omega, \omega^*] = \theta sF$
 $\delta_\theta(sF) = 0 \iff s(sF) = 0$

Let us check this for matter fields

$$\delta_\theta s\psi = it_\alpha \delta_\theta(\omega_2 \psi)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} i C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} t_\alpha \theta \omega_\beta \omega_\gamma \psi - t_\alpha t_\beta \omega_2 \theta \omega_\beta \psi$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} i C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} t_\alpha \theta \omega_\beta \omega_\gamma \psi + \underbrace{t_\alpha t_\beta \theta \omega_2 \omega_\beta \psi}_{=\frac{1}{2} [t_\alpha, t_\beta] \theta \omega_2 \omega_\beta \psi}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\rightarrow ss4 = 0$$

Next, acting on a gauge field, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\theta s A_{\alpha\mu} &= s_\theta D_\mu \omega_\lambda \\ &= \partial_\mu s_\theta \omega_\lambda + C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} s_\theta A_{\beta\mu} \omega_\gamma + C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_{\beta\mu} s_\theta \omega_\gamma \\ &= \Theta \left(-\frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\mu (\omega_\beta \omega_\gamma) + C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\partial_\mu \omega_\beta) \omega_\gamma \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} A_{\delta\mu} \omega_\epsilon \omega_\beta - \frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} A_{\delta\mu} \omega_\delta \omega_\epsilon \right) \\ &= \Theta \left(\frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\partial_\mu \omega_\beta) \omega_\gamma + \frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\partial_\mu \omega_\gamma) \omega_\beta \right. \\ &\quad \left. - C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} A_{\delta\mu} \omega_\epsilon \omega_\beta - \frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} A_{\delta\mu} \omega_\delta \omega_\epsilon \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow ssA_{\alpha\mu} = 0$$

Also $ss\omega_\lambda^* = 0$ and $ss\eta^* = 0$ (trivial)

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} s_\theta s\omega_\lambda &= -\frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} s_\theta (\omega_\beta \omega_\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \Theta \left(C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\epsilon} \omega_\delta \omega_\epsilon \omega_\gamma - C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} \omega_\delta \omega_\gamma \omega_\epsilon \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Theta C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} \omega_\delta \omega_\epsilon \omega_\beta \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by Jacobi-identity} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow ss\omega_\lambda = 0$$

Now consider a product of two fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow s_\theta (\phi_1 \phi_2) &= \Theta(s\phi_1)\phi_2 + \phi_1 \Theta(s\phi_2) = \Theta[(s\phi_1)\phi_2 \pm \phi_1 s\phi_2] \\ \text{where the sign } \pm &\text{ is plus for } \phi_1 \text{ bosonic and } - \text{ for } \phi_1 \text{ fermionic.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow s(\phi_1 \phi_2) = (s\phi_1)\phi_2 + \phi_1 s\phi_2$$

Since $s_\theta(s\phi_i) = s_\theta(s\phi_i) = 0$, we get

$$s_\theta s(\phi_1 \phi_2) = (s\phi_1)\theta(s\phi_2) + \theta(s\phi_1)(s\phi_2)$$

But: $s\phi$ has opposite statistics to ϕ ,

$$\rightarrow s_\theta s(\phi_1 \phi_2) = \theta [(s\phi_1)(s\phi_2) + (s\phi_1)(s\phi_2)] = 0$$

Continuing the same argument, one sees that

$$s_\theta s(\phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \dots) = 0$$

Any functional $F[\phi]$ can be written as a sum of products of fields (with integrals).

$$\text{Thus } s_\theta s F[\phi] = \theta s s F[\phi] = 0.$$

b) Invariance of action:

For $F = F[A, \psi]$, s_θ acts like a gauge tf. with infinitesimal gauge parameter

$$\lambda(x) = \theta \omega_\lambda(x)$$

$$\rightarrow s_\theta \int d^4x \mathcal{L} = 0$$

Acting on other terms, we get

$$s_\theta f_\lambda[x; A, \psi] = \left. \int \frac{\delta f_\lambda[x; A_\lambda, \psi_\lambda]}{\delta \lambda^\mu(y)} \right|_{\lambda=0} \theta \omega_\lambda(y) d^4y$$

$$= \theta \int \widetilde{f}_{\alpha x, \beta y}[A, \psi] \omega_\beta(y) d^4y = \theta \Delta_\alpha(x; A, \psi, \omega)$$

Also recall: $s_\theta \omega_2^* = -\partial_\lambda$ and $s_\theta h_\lambda = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \omega_2^* \Delta_\lambda + h_\lambda f_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \{ h_\lambda, h_\lambda \} \\ &= -s(\omega_2^* f_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \{ \omega_2^* h_\lambda \}) \end{aligned}$$

or in other words

$$I_{\text{NEW}} = \int d^4x \mathcal{L} + s \bar{\Psi},$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\Psi} = - \int d^4x (\omega_2^* f_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \{ \omega_2^* h_\lambda \})$$

\rightarrow nilpotence of BRST trf. tells us
that $s \bar{\Psi}$ is also invariant!

Remark:

Physical content of a gauge theory (encoded in $\int d^4x \mathcal{L}$) is contained in $\bigcup_s \text{Ker } s_\theta / \text{Im } s_\theta$!

$$\int d^4x \mathcal{L} + s \bar{\Psi} \quad s \bar{\Psi}$$

\rightarrow form elements of the "cohomology" of s_θ .
Physical matrix elements should be invariant
under change $\bar{s} \bar{\Psi}$ in $\bar{\Psi}$:

$$(2) \quad \bar{s} \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = i \langle \alpha | \bar{s} I_{\text{NEW}} | \beta \rangle = i \langle \alpha | s \bar{\Psi} | \beta \rangle$$

Introduce fermionic BRST "charge" Q :

$$s_\theta \hat{\Phi} = i [\theta Q, \hat{\Phi}] = i \theta [Q, \hat{\Phi}]_- \text{ or } [Q, \hat{\Phi}]_- = i s \hat{\Phi}$$

sign is being - or + for bosonic or fermionic $\hat{\Phi}$.
 \rightarrow nilpotence gives $0 = -ss\hat{\Phi} = [Q, [Q, \hat{\Phi}]_I]_+ = [Q^2, \hat{\Phi}]_-$.
 $\rightarrow Q^2 = 0$.

Thus eq. (2) becomes

$$\tilde{s} \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha | [Q, \tilde{s}\hat{\Phi}] | \beta \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow \langle \alpha | Q = Q | \beta \rangle = 0. \quad (*)$$

"Independent" physical states correspond to states
in the Kernel of Q modulo the image of Q .
 \rightarrow elements of Q -cohomology!

Example:

Consider pure QED and take $f = \partial_\mu A^\mu$

\rightarrow BRST trf. is

$$sA_\mu = \partial_\mu \omega, \quad s\omega^* = \partial_\mu A^\mu / \xi, \quad s\omega = 0 \quad (3)$$

Using normal mode expansions

$$A^\mu(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2p^0)} \left[a^\mu(\vec{p}) e^{ip \cdot x} + a^{\mu*}(\vec{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} \right],$$

$$\omega(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2p^0)} \left[c(\vec{p}) e^{ip \cdot x} + c^*(\vec{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} \right],$$

$$\omega^*(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2p^0)} \left[b(\vec{p}) e^{ip \cdot x} + b^*(\vec{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} \right].$$

Matching coefficients on both sides of (3), we get:

$$[Q, \hat{a}^m(\vec{p})]_- = -\hat{p}^m c(\vec{p}), \quad [Q, \hat{a}_m^*(\vec{p})]_- = \hat{p}^m c^*(\vec{p}),$$

$$[Q, \hat{b}(\vec{p})]_+ = \hat{p}^m \hat{a}_m(\vec{p})/\zeta, \quad [Q, \hat{b}^*(\vec{p})]_+ = \hat{p}^m \hat{a}_m^*(\vec{p})/\zeta,$$

$$[Q, \hat{c}(\vec{p})]_+ = [Q, \hat{c}^*(\vec{p})]_+ = 0$$

Consider any physical state $|4\rangle$ with $Q|4\rangle = 0$

$\rightarrow |e, 4\rangle = e_m \hat{a}_m^*(\vec{p}) |4\rangle$ with one additional photon then satisfies

$$Q|e, 4\rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } e_m p^m = 0$$

also $|4\rangle' = \hat{b}^*(\vec{p}) |4\rangle$ satisfies

$$Q|4\rangle' = \hat{p}^m \hat{a}_m^*(\vec{p}) |4\rangle'/\zeta$$

$$\Rightarrow |e + \alpha p, 4\rangle = |e, 4\rangle + \zeta \times Q|4\rangle'$$

$\rightarrow e^m$ is physically equivalent to $e^m + \alpha p^m$
(usual gauge invariance)

$$\text{But } Q\hat{b}^*(\vec{p})|4\rangle = \hat{p}^m \hat{a}_m^*(\vec{p})|4\rangle \neq 0,$$

$\rightarrow \hat{b}^*|4\rangle$ is not in physical Hilbert space !

Also

$$\hat{c}^*(\vec{p})|4\rangle = Q e_m \hat{a}_m^*(\vec{p})|4\rangle / e.p$$

\rightarrow BRST exact \rightarrow also not in Hilbert space

\rightarrow Thus the physical Hilbert space is free of ghosts and antighosts !