Assignment 1

Applied Forecasting in Complex Systems 2022

Yanchao MURONG (14090759)

University of Amsterdam November, 7, 2022

Exercise 1


pigs %>% autoplot(Count)

autoplot() +

pigs %>% ACF(Count, lag_max = 100) %>%

labs(title = "Autocorrealtion", y = "")

```
1.1)
pigs <- aus_livestock %>%
  filter(State == "Victoria" & Animal == "Pigs" & between(year(Month), 1990, 1995))
pigs
## # A tsibble: 72 x 4 [1M]
## # Key:
               Animal, State [1]
##
        Month Animal State
                              Count
##
         <mth> <fct> <fct>
                              <dbl>
## 1 1990 Jan Pigs
                     Victoria 76000
## 2 1990 Feb Pigs
                     Victoria 78100
## 3 1990 Mar Pigs Victoria 77600
## 4 1990 Apr Pigs
                     Victoria 84100
## 5 1990 May Pigs
                     Victoria 98000
## 6 1990 Jun Pigs
                     Victoria 89100
## 7 1990 Jul Pigs
                     Victoria 93500
## 8 1990 Aug Pigs
                     Victoria 84700
## 9 1990 Sep Pigs
                     Victoria 74500
## 10 1990 Oct Pigs
                     Victoria 91900
## # ... with 62 more rows
1.2)
par(mar = c(4, 4, .1, .1))
```




For white noise series, we expect each autocorrelation to be close to zero and less than 5% of splikes should be outside the blue bounds. However, from the above figure on the right, the values slowly decrease as the lags increase and we observe a lot of autocorrelation coefficients lie outside the bounds. As a matter of fact, the ACF figure actually demontrates trends and seasonality caracteristics.

1.3)

```
all_pigs <- aus_livestock %>%
  filter(State == "Victoria" & Animal == "Pigs")
all_pigs %>% ACF(Count, lag_max = 1000) %>%
  autoplot() +
  labs(title = "Autocorrealtion", y = "")
```

Autocorrealtion




Now, if we include the whole pigs data from 1972 to 2018 and draw the ACF plot, interestingly, when the lags become larger than 240, the data seems to demonstrate a white noise pattern, which might indicate that the pigs slaughtering pattern has been tremendously changed after 20 years and would be difficult to do predictions based the data 20 years ago.

Exercise 2

2.1)

```
par(mar = c(4, 4, .1, .1))
global_economy %>%
  filter(Country=="United States") %>%
  autoplot(GDP) +
  labs(title= "GDP", y = "$US")

global_economy %>%
  filter(Country=="United States") %>%
  autoplot(GDP/Population) +
  labs(title= "GDP per capita", y = "$US")
```




We have done population adjustments and removed the population effect from the increase of GDP. **2.2**)

```
par(mar = c(4, 4, .1, .1))

aus_livestock %>%
    filter(State == "Victoria" & Animal == "Bulls, bullocks and steers") %>%
    autoplot(Count)


aus_livestock %>%
    filter(State == "Victoria" & Animal == "Bulls, bullocks and steers") %>%
    mutate(daysofmonth = days_in_month(as_date(Month))) %>%
    mutate(countperday = Count/daysofmonth) %>%
    autoplot(countperday)
```



We have done calender adjustments by calculating the counts per day in each month rather than the total counts in the month, which remove the calendar variation.

2.3)

```
par(mar = c(4, 4, .1, .1))
aus_production %>%
```



We applied the box cox transformation to make a constant variation with the level of the series.