# Information theory applications for biological sequence analysis

Susana Vinga

Submitted: Ilth July 2013; Received (in revised form): I7th August 2013

#### **Abstract**

Information theory (IT) addresses the analysis of communication systems and has been widely applied in molecular biology. In particular, alignment-free sequence analysis and comparison greatly benefited from concepts derived from IT, such as entropy and mutual information.

This review covers several aspects of ITapplications, ranging from genome global analysis and comparison, including block-entropy estimation and resolution-free metrics based on iterative maps, to local analysis, comprising the classification of motifs, prediction of transcription factor binding sites and sequence characterization based on linguistic complexity and entropic profiles. IT has also been applied to high-level correlations that combine DNA, RNA or protein features with sequence-independent properties, such as gene mapping and phenotype analysis, and has also provided models based on communication systems theory to describe information transmission channels at the cell level and also during evolutionary processes.

While not exhaustive, this review attempts to <u>categorize existing methods</u> and to indicate their relation with broader transversal topics such as genomic signatures, data compression and complexity, time series analysis and phylogenetic classification, providing a resource for future developments in this promising area.

**Keywords:** information theory; alignment-free; Rényi entropy; sequence analysis; chaos game representation; genomic signature

#### INTRODUCTION

Information theory (IT) addresses the analysis of communication systems, which are usually defined as connected blocks representing a source of messages, an encoder, a (noisy) channel, a decoder and a receiver. IT, generally regarded as having been founded by Claude Shannon (1948) [1, 2], attempts to construct mathematical models for each of the components of these systems.

IT has answered two essential questions about the ultimate data compression, related with the entropy of a source, and also the maximum possible transmission rate through a channel, associated with its capacity, computed by its statistical noise characteristics. The fundamental theorem of IT states that it is possible to transmit information through a noisy channel (at any rate less than channel capacity) with an arbitrary small probability of error. This was a surprising and counter-intuitive result. The key idea to achieve

such transmission is to wait for several <u>blocks</u> of information and use <u>code words</u>, <u>adding redundancy</u> to the transmitted information [3, 4].

Although IT was first developed to study transmission of messages over channels for communication engineering applications, it was later applied to many other fields of research. Nowadays, IT is not a mere subset of communication theory and is playing a key role in disciplines such as physics and thermodynamics, computer science (through the connections with Kolmogorov complexity), probability and statistics [5, 6] and also in the life sciences. In fact, living organisms are able to process and transmit information at many levels, from genetic to ecological inheritance mechanisms [7], which frames IT as a broad research ground that crosses many disciplines.

Over three decades ago, in a seminal book [8], Lila Gatlin explored the <u>felation between IT and biology</u> and the applicability of entropy concepts to DNA

Corresponding author. Susana Vinga, IDMEC, Instituto Superior Técnico - Universidade de Lisboa (IST-UL), Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. Tel.: +351-218419504; Fax: +351-218498097; E-mail: svinga@dem.ist.utl.pt

**Susana Vinga** is Principal Investigator at IDMEC (University of Lisbon, Portugal). She holds a PhD in Bioinformatics (2005) from ITQB-UNL and currently works on systems engineering applications in the life sciences.

sequence analysis, following previous work in the 1960's [9, 10]. This was one of the first attempts to analyze DNA from an IT point of view, further pursued during that decade. Interestingly, Claude Shannon's PhD thesis, 'An Algebra for Theoretical Genetics' in 1940, precedes his IT founding articles.

Following Gatlins' work, other authors have proposed methods based on IT to address problems related with data coming from molecular biology, ranging form the analysis of mitochondrial sequences [11] to the relation between information content with evolutionary classification [12]. In 1996, Román–Roldán and colleagues reviewed methods for DNA sequence analysis through IT ([13] and reference therein), highlighting the renewed interest in the area, owing to the increase of data generated from genome projects.

Recently, other reviews have provided a broader view of the area, setting the ground for new developments. In particular, excellent surveys by Adami [14], Hanus [15] and Battail [16] describe topics in molecular biology where IT has provided valuable solutions. More recently, an IEEE special issue was fully dedicated to IT in molecular biology and neurosciences [17], which illustrates the growing interest in these cross-disciplinary efforts.

Sequence analysis has greatly benefited from methods and concepts derived from IT. For example, the notion of entropy, which was first used to study the thermodynamics of gases, was later defined as a measure of the uncertainty associated with a probabilistic experiment and applied to estimating sequence randomness. In [18], entropy and information definitions across disciplines were explored, comparing their meaning in thermodynamics (Boltzmann' principle) and statistics (Fisher information matrix).

The notion of complexity is also transversal and connected with the entropy of a source. The concept of physical complexity of a sequence, as proposed in [14, 19], refers to the amount of information that is stored in that particular sequence about a given environment, i.e. for genomes, this 'niche' is the one in which the sequence replicates.

Compression is also related with Shannon's entropy definitions and was also applied to biological sequences. There is a clear association between these concepts: a sequence with low entropy (high redundancy) will, in principle, be more compressible and the length of the compressed sequence gives an estimate of its complexity, and consequently, of its

entropy [20]. The <u>drawback</u> of this method is its <u>dependency on the compression procedures, which</u> might fail to recognize complex organization levels in the sequences. Although data compression is closely related with IT applications, a complete review of this topic is out of the scope of this work; see other surveys on average mutual information (AMI) applications [21], Kolmogorov complexity-based features [22] and a comprehensive review by Giancarlo *et al.* [23] for more details.

The relation with Linguistics and Semiotics is also explored elsewhere [24, 25], and aspects related with coevolution and phylogenetic analysis are descried in [26].

Interestingly, a significant set of these methods comprises an alignment-free feature. These methodologies have grown in the past decades as powerful approaches to compare and analyze biological sequences, constituting alternatives to alignment-based techniques (see [27, 28] for general reviews). For example, several dissimilarity measures can be derived from IT concepts (such as Kullback-Leibler discrepancy (K-LD), mutual information and complexity) leading to alignment-free methods for genome classification.

In this context, this survey is focused on IT applications for biological sequences analysis concentrating on those that simultaneously encompass an alignment-free feature. It also tries to establish common points and highlight similar characteristics so to bridge both methodologies and explore its synergy.

The structure of this review reflects the interconnectivity between the subjects and, to some extent, corresponds to a personal view of the area. The concepts of Rényi and Shannon' entropy, Kolmogorov complexity, time-delayed mutual information and autocorrelation functions are clearly interconnected. Another difficulty encountered was to categorize the methods and their applications in a logical way, giving the clear intersection and overlap between methods and application. This is reflected in the final structure of the review, which attempts to take an application-driven goal-dependent approach. Therefore, the sections are organized in global analysis and comparison (block-entropy estimation and resolution-free metrics based on iterative maps), local analysis (classification of motifs, prediction of transcription factor binding sites and sequence characterization based on linguistic complexity and entropic profiles), high-level associations merging DNA,

RNA or proteins with sequence-independent properties. Finally, communication systems theory models for the description of information channels are also briefly mentioned.

#### **METHODS**

#### Biological sequences representation

A sequence X can be represented as a succession of N symbols from a given alphabet A, of length r,  $X = s_1, \ldots, s_N$ ,  $s_i \in A$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ . For DNA, the alphabet A is composed by the nucleotide symbols representing the 4 bases  $A = \{A, C, G, T\}$ , and for proteins, each symbol of this alphabet represents one of the amino acids. For natural language texts, A is the set of all possible characters in each idiom. A segment of L symbols, with  $L \leq N$ , is designated an L-tuple (or L-word, L-plet, L-mer or L-gram). The set  $W_L = \{w_{L,1}, \ldots, w_{L,K}\}$  consisting of all possible L-tuples obtained from the alphabet A has  $K = r^L$  elements.

The identification of L-tuples in the sequence X can then be object of counting occurrences with overlapping  $c_L^X = \left(c_{L,1}^X, \ldots, c_{L,K}^X\right)$ , which can be further normalized by the total number of strings. The obtained vector of L-tuple frequencies is thus defined by  $f_L^X = c_{L,1}^X/(N-L+1)$  and will be pervasively used. For convenience, the frequency vector f is sometimes indexed by the L-tuple it represents  $f_{L,i}^X \equiv f_{\nu_i}^X$ .

Several other sequence representations exist [29], mapping strings into vectorial spaces. See [30] for a comprehensive review on sequence representation, covering DNA, RNA and proteins.

It is also common to model sequences using time series framework, in particular, borrowing concepts from the field of stochastic processes, e.g. Markov Chain models, and dynamic systems. This has been a ubiquitous representation in the biophysicists' literature, namely to study long- and short-range correlations in DNA and unraveling periodic properties and correlation structure of biosequences. Comprehensive reviews of the field include [31, 32], where a review of information-theoretical aspects from Shannon and Rényi entropy to Kolmogorov complexity are revisited, along with DNA sequence periodicity evaluations, and [33], which provides a general introduction to spectral methods for genome analysis. DNA spectra based on maximizing Shannon's entropy are shown to be

effective in characterizing sequence periodicities [34], illustrating the connection between these topics.

DNA representation through iterated function systems, namely chaos game representation (CGR) [35], was proposed as alternative mappings and later extended to higher-order alphabets [36] or alternative geometries [37]. Formally, each symbol mapping  $x_i \in \Re^2$  of an *N*-length DNA sequence *X* is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = (0.5, 0.5) \\ x_i = x_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - x_{i-1}), i = 1, \dots, N \end{cases}$$
where  $y_i = \begin{cases} (0,0) & \text{if } s_i = 'A' \\ (0,1) & \text{if } s_i = 'C' \\ (1,0) & \text{if } s_i = 'T' \end{cases}$  (1)

Besides its appealing graphical support and generalizations, CGR was recently proven to be an efficient representation for string algorithms [38] such as longest common extension queries, solved in constant time.

CGR properties and generalizations have been extensively applied as a consequence to the natural development of alignment-free techniques for sequence comparison [27, 28] and will be reviewed here only in the context of its IT-framework application.

#### Entropy definitions and properties

Entropy is a measure of the uncertainty associated with a probabilistic experiment. For a discrete random variable X taking values in  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_M\}$  with probabilities  $\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_M\}$ , represented as  $P(X=x_i)=p_i$ , the Shannon's entropy  $H_{Sh}$  of this experiment is a functional of the distribution of X, and is given as follows:

$$H_{Sh}(X) = H(p_1, \dots, p_M) = -\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_i \log p_i$$
 (2)

Shannon's entropy formulation can be interpreted as the minimum number of binary (yes/no) questions necessary, on 'average', to determine the output of one observation of X. This formulation can also be interpreted in terms of expected values, i.e.  $H_{Sh}(X) = E_p[-\log_2 p(X)]$ . The Shannon's entropy is a nonnegative quantity and its definition can be axiomatically derived [3]. It can be shown that  $H_{Sh}(p_1, \ldots, p_M) \leq \log_2 M$  with equality if and only if all  $p_i = 1/M$ , which means that the situation with the most uncertainty or with the highest entropy

occurs when all possibilities are equally likely, thus ascertaining a maximum value for  $H_{Sh}(X)$ .

Other important notions related to the entropy definition include **joint**, **conditional** and **relative entropy** of two discrete random variables X and Y, with joint probability function  $p(x_i, y_j) = P(X = x_i, Y = y_j) = p_{ij}$ , i = 1, ..., M and j = 1, ..., L. These measures turther deepen the former definition and extended it to the multivariate case, thus permitting the application of new techniques to distribution function comparison.

The relative entropy or K-LD of the probability mass function p(X) with respect to the mass function q(X) is defined as follows:

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} p_i \ln \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$
(3)

The *Mutual Information* between two random variables X and Y—or the information conveyed about X by Y—is defined as follows:

$$I(X,Y) = \sum \sum p(x_i, y_j) \ln \frac{p(x_i, y_j)}{p(x_i)p(y_j)}$$
  
=  $H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)$  (4)

Mutual information is a special case of the relative entropy because  $I(X,Y) = D(p(X,Y)||p(X) \cdot p(Y))$ ). Following the properties of D(p||q), the mutual information is 0 if and only if  $p(X,Y) = p(X) \cdot p(Y)$ , which is the definition of independence between variables X and Y. Therefore, I(X,Y) is measuring the 'dissimilarity' between those variables as assessed by their 'dependence'. Additional results relating these measures are proven elsewhere [3, 4].

The Rényi formulation appeared as a generalization of the Shannon's measures [39, 40]. The Rényi entropy of order  $\alpha \ge 0$ ,  $\alpha \ne 1$ ,  $H_{\alpha}$  is defined both for discrete p and continuous f(x) probability functions:

$$H_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \ln \sum_{i} p_{i}^{\alpha}$$

$$H_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \ln \int f(x)^{\alpha} dx$$
(5)

Shannon's entropy is a special case of Rényi's when  $\alpha = 1$ . When  $\alpha$  is 0, Renyi entropy corresponds to logarithm of the size of the support of set and converges to the min-entropy  $H\infty$  when  $a\rightarrow\infty$ . Properties of Rényi-derived functionals for different probability distributions are further described [41].

The notions of complexity and entropy are extensively presented elsewhere [4, 42] and only briefly exemplified in the reviewed applications.

## APPLICATIONS AND RESULTS Global analysis and comparison

The first approach to measuring sequence entropy was through calculating the entropy of L-tuple distributions across the target sequences. This corresponds to estimating the probabilities of each L-tuple using all the frequencies  $f_{L,i}^X$  and applying directly Shannon's equation [Equation (2)]. These 'block entropies' (or L-gram entropies) can be interpreted as the degree of variability of L-tuples across the whole sequence, thus representing a global overall measure of randomness.

This section will briefly describe some methods that use *L*-tuple distributions to estimate a global entropic measure for the sequence, which is related with its randomness, complexity and compressibility.

There is a strong rational for using these features because the introduction of the 'genomic signature' concept in the 90s [43], following previous analysis on oligonucleotide over- and underrepresentation [44]. The key finding was that dinucleotide vectors constituted a signature of an organism, i.e. there are significant differences between intra- and interspecies odds ratio based on normalized 2-tuple frequencies. The odds ratios  $\rho_{s_is_j} = f_{s_is_j}/f_{s_i} \cdot f_{s_j}$  represent the dinucleotide bias of 2-tuples. Interestingly, this is closely related with probabilistic independence and mutual information between the distributions. Extensions to these odds ratios may serve for better understanding of different properties of genomes through evolution [45].

#### Block entropy and divergence

Gatlin's pioneer work [8] proposed to use L-tuple frequencies to estimate a sequence feature named divergence. The first divergence  $D_1$  is defined as  $D_1 = H_{\text{max}} - H_1$ , corresponding to the difference between the maximum nucleotide (1-tuple) entropy  $H_{\text{max}} = 2$  bits and the observed 1-tuple or nucleotide entropy. This was used in several assessments on GenBank data [13, 46].

Another evaluation aimed at estimating block entropies  $H_L$ , which measure the average amount of uncertainty of words of length L, or their normalized values  $H_L/L$ . The conditional entropies  $h_L = H_{L+1} - H_L$  were also proposed as a genomic characteristic that gives the uncertainty of the L+1

symbol given the preceding L symbols. One result that was obtained through these definitions was that proteins are fairly close to random sequences, with entropy reductions in the order of just 1% [47, 48]. Similar results were obtained for bacterial DNA, which may be associated with the subtle balance between error and fidelity because higher entropy translates into more information holding capacity.

To evaluate the distribution of this divergence as to assess hypothesis concerning randomness, surrogate sequences were simulated and their corresponding values calculated. These artificial DNA sequences were obtained through random shuffles of the original L-tuple frequencies and allowed their comparison, showing that up to triple shuffling the values of  $h_L$  were statistically different [49].

High-order divergences based on block entropies can be estimated directly from *L*-spectra [50, 51]. These spectra correspond to histograms of *L*-mer occurrences, for which statistical approximations for random sequences can be derived, along with the evaluation of relative spectral widths and reduced Shannon information. The application of these measures to *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* and *Escherichia coli* (which have entropies closed to the maximum value) were able to distinguish them from random surrogates.

Extensions to Shannon' entropy were also tested, namely using Rényi and Tsallis definitions [52], and applied to >400 chromosomes of 24 species, leading to reasonable clusters.

Block entropies can also be used for comparisons of different features such as coding versus noncoding regions, represented as a sequence of binary values (0 and 1) for each distinct region of the genome [53]. The authors used nonoverlapped or lumped *L*-grams and compared artificially generated sequences with human chromosomes and several organisms. The results obtained are compatible with previously proposed evolutionary mechanisms.

#### Estimation problems

In practice, one of the major problems faced when calculating high-order block entropies is the finite size sample effect [54], due to estimation bias [55], which causes a systematic underestimation for increasing L. For example, if L=16, the total number of possible 16-mers is  $4^{16} \approx 4.2 \cdot 10^9$ , exceeding the size of the human genome and hampering the accurate estimation of this quantity.

Several correction and estimation methodologies were proposed to address this problem, [56–61],

although it is expected that the main sample effect always persists for some higher word length. The theoretical limits of this measure and impact on this measure of existing repeated structures were also analyzed [62].

The evaluation of these quantities on genomes for several organisms such as *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was addressed [63]. Multispecies gene block entropies can also be estimated using Self-Organizing Maps [64], based on feature selection.

Many compression techniques have also been developed to estimate sequence entropy and complexity [60, 65]. Some applications include [66–68].

More theoretical approaches based on compression were also proposed, to cope with undersampled regimes when the alphabet and sample sizes are comparable ([69] and references therein). Alternative methods based on thorough descriptions of the statistical and convergence properties of different estimators [70] might also support future applications in DNA and proteins.

#### CGR-based entropies

Departing from the key idea of using *L*-tuple frequencies, several analyses were also conducted using directly CGR. In fact, these maps, besides providing a visually appealing description of the sequences, generalize all *L*-tuple characteristics and are therefore adequate to be applied to whole genomes.

The close relation between CGR and genomic signatures previously presented was strongly highlighted by Deschavanne and colleagues [71]. The pictures representing whole genomes were qualitatively the same as those obtained for short segments, which supports the idea of genomic signatures as pervasive, species-specific features and qualify CGR maps as a powerful tool to unveil it.

One option was to use **histograms** of the frequencies [72, 73] where, instead of using the frequencies directly, the authors proposed to estimate histograms of the number of CGR sub-quadrants m that have a given density. Therefore, what it is being assessed is a measure of the distribution homogeneity. The comparison of human beta globin genes with random sequences presented significant differences independent of the number of sub-quadrants used. The authors defined entropic profiles in this article as the function of the entropy versus m.

Using CGR sub-quadrant frequencies, although appealing, translates in practice into calculating block entropies depending on a fixed resolution *L*.

To overcome this fact and aiming at defining a resolution-free estimate of the entropy, Parzen's window method with Gaussian kernels was applied to CGR [74]. In this work, the genome entropy is defined as the Rényi quadratic entropy ( $\alpha = 2$ ) of the probability density estimation of the CGR map. The results have shown that this measure is in accordance with expected values, for example, sequence ATCG...ATCG (repetition of the motif ATCG) has the same entropy of a random sequence of length 4. Other results on Markov Chain derived sequences of different orders and random surrogates are also consistent.

#### Sequence comparison

Measures based on information-theoretical concepts have been applied widely to compare sequences in an alignment-free context [27, 28]. Often, these applications seek to define dissimilarities to classify and/or cluster genomic strings, a fundamental aspect in phylogenetic reconstruction studies. In this regard, mutual information and compression-based approaches have provided solid results that match most of the known molecular evolutionary events.

The measures include dissimilarity estimations via compression ratios [75] Kolmogorov [76] and Lempel-Ziv-based complexities [77], compared in [78].

Entropy concepts such as mutual information are a key feature to comparison tasks. In particular K-LD is a popular choice as an alignment-free technique [79]. Extensions to K-LD were also applied to classify DNA from *E. coli* and *Shigella flexneri* threonine operons and search sequence databases [80]. A symmetrized K-LD version (SK-LD) proposed in [81] was tested for the classification of shuffled open reading frames sequences, demonstrating its higher performance in the presence of genome rearrangements when compared with BLAST.

A mixed approach combining *L*-mer ranks and entropy concepts was proposed by [82]. The key idea of the information-based similarity index is to compare *L*-mer ranks of two sequences weighted by the relative Shannon entropy, which corresponds to a weighted city-block dissimilarity on the rank-order. Zipf and redundancy analysis using rank distributions had already discriminated between coding and noncoding regions [83]. Zipf's approach is based on calculating the histograms of word occurrences in linguistic texts and ranking them from most to less frequent. One remarkable feature in natural

languages is the Zipf's law, where a linear relation of this function in double logarithmic scale is found. The results obtained by Mantegna and colleagues [83] show that, by applying Zipf's regression, non-coding regions are closer to natural languages in terms of regression parameters and exhibit more redundancy than coding regions, as measured by block entropies. The application to SARS coronavirus illustrates the potential of combining IT and rank-order statistics for genomic analysis.

## Local analysis, time series and entropic profiles

In this section, the aspects related with local features of sequences will be reviewed, i.e. related with the information and properties of specific positions, motifs and regions (e.g. splicing, transcription factors binding sites (TFBSs), coding versus noncoding, respectively). Overall properties such as time series correlations and sequence profiles (linguistic and entropic) will also be reviewed.

#### TFBS and motifs

The analysis and comparison of TFBS is probably the most successful application of IT in molecular biology [84, 85]. A TFBS motif is usually represented as a matrix such as Position Frequency Matrix (PFM), which can represent a probabilistic model for the binding site. These sites can be thus interpreted as sources of symbols (nucleotides) whose emission probabilities are usually estimated through alignment.

In the pioneer work by Schneider [86], the relative entropy and information content of the binding site were derived, as a conservation measurement of the TFBS. If a nucleotide is highly conserved across several promoters, its relative entropy will be higher. Likewise, for nonconserved sites, this value will be close to zero. This can be visualized through sequence logos [87]. In practice, these inputs can be multiple sequence alignments of promoter sequences [88], from which per site redundancies are calculated as to characterize and analyze the TFBS.

The literature on TFBS identification and characterization through IT methodologies is now vast [89, 90] and will not be fully covered here—see [84] for a recent comprehensive review on this topic. A brief overview is warranted, covering alignment-free methods.

Several methods based on IT have been applied to model TFBS, such as using the proposed minimum

transferred information between the site and the transcription factor during the binding process [91], incorporating position interdependencies. Also the motif characterization going beyond Information Content and Maximum a posteriori estimations were explored [92] to infer regulatory motifs in promoter sequences. IT models allowed extracting *E. coli* Fur binding sequences [93]. By also including in the mutual information estimation structural properties of DNA and amino acids, the prediction of their interaction can be improved [94].

Rényi entropy was also applied in this context, to create models accounting for nucleotide binding sites transition in *E. coli*, T7 and λ-organism [95, 96], to compare Rényi- and Shannon-based redundancies in LexA Lambda Cro/CrI, T7, ribosome, HincII and T7 binding sites [97] and evaluate TFBS through its differential counterpart [98].

Several alignment-free methods for TFBS can be found in the literature. For example, conservationbased motif discovery algorithms were shown to be competitive in speed and accuracy [99]. Other methods for TFBS prediction that neither require prealigned sequences nor the construction of a position weight matrices (PWMs) exist, for example the SiTaR tool [100]. Alignment-free methods for comparing TFBS motifs were also proposed recently [101], where Kullback-Leibler dissimilarities based on L-mer frequency vectors allowed to retrieve significant motifs and compare TFBS and PFM, illustrating the advantages of using hybrid techniques. Potential recognition sites for replication initiation in 3'UTRs and 5'UTRs of classical swine fever virus strains were obtained through iteratively maximizing the information content of unaligned sequences [102].

Other relevant applications of IT for motifs/regions characterization beyond the TFBS scope exist. For example, *ab initio* exon recognition can be performed through the minimization of Shannon entropy over a set of unaligned human sequences containing a structured motif [103]. Splicing recognition and the effect of mutations can also be predicted through the sequence information content [104].

The analysis of motifs for genome characterization and fragment classification also benefits from IT methodologies. The mutual information between an *L*-tuple distribution and a set of genomes can be used as a feature selection method for support vector machine classification [105]. By maximizing the conditional entropy it is possible to find the best

<u>L</u>-tuples in terms of discriminative power in fragment classification for taxonomy in metagenomics <u>studies</u>. The authors show that this criterion performs well on the phyla level for a significant set of bacterial genomes.

The entropy of genomes is also closely related to word statistics and coverage [106], which can be used for the detection of nonhuman DNA samples. In fact, specific, substrings or motifs and their distribution strongly characterize a genome, which has clear connections with IT concepts, as illustrated.

#### Time series and correlation properties

Time series analysis methodologies have a long tradition of applications to the study of biological sequences. This allowed to estimate long- and short-range correlations in sequences, to analyze internucleotide distances and to evaluate the correlations when specific gaps of length k are considered. The key idea is to estimate high-level periodicities, which may have relevant biological significance.

Internucleotide distances  $d_i$  are vectors that collect the gap lengths between two consecutive occurrences of nucleotide i [107]. Their distributions univocally characterize a given DNA sequence and are shown to be species-specific, thus constituting a genomic signature. Extension to L-tuple internucleotide distances, coupled with Shannon's entropy, can provide dissimilarity measures for gene clustering [108].

Other type of k-gap correlation was proposed, based on discrete autoregressive processes of order p,  $\underline{DAR}(p)$  [109]. The profiles of the estimated parameters, representing autocorrelations, are shown to be species-specific, thus also conveying a genomic signature concept, that can be further used for classification purposes [110]. In fact, the clustering tree obtained for 125 chromosomes of eight eukaryotic species reveals good agreement with phylogenetic relationships.

Profiting from gap-based distributions, new definitions have been proposed. The mutual information function I(k) quantifies the amount of information that can be obtained from one nucleotide s about another nucleotide t that is located k nucleotides downstream from s [111]. To filter for period-3 oscillations in coding regions, AMI values can be computed. AMI distributions are shown to be different in coding and noncoding DNA without prior training on species-specific genomes.

AMI was later applied to bacteriophage- $\lambda$  genome in [112], where the authors compare linear and

nonlinear model approximations for the prediction of nucleotide position  $t+\tau$  based on previous lagged symbols. AMI was also successfully used to classify *Oryza sativa* coding sequence (CDS), complementing hidden Markov models and Neural Networks methods [113].

Interestingly, AMI is shown to be a species-specific feature and also is pervasive for short segments [114], which is in close connection with the genomic signature concept previously defined [115]. In fact, the estimation of AMI profiles (obtained for different gap values k) of genomic sequences of eukaryotic and prokaryotic chromosomes, as well as viruses subtypes, allowed to extract and classify DNA fragments and also cluster genomes in a consistent way, which supports AMI as a key feature for species characterization [114].

#### Linguistic complexity and entropic profiles

Another type of analysis related with entropy and complexity concepts for local analysis was developed. In these studies, the characterization of the 'linguistic complexity' of genomes is related with the notion of self-repetitiveness [48, 116–118]. Linguistic complexity is estimated by using a sliding window and assessing the ratio of the number of all present *L*-tuples over the total number of possible words. In highly repetitive regions, the fraction will be low because a small percentage of all possible substrings form the dictionary is used. Likewise, regions with more distinct *L*-tuples have high variability and, therefore, higher entropy.

This alignment-free methodology was shown to be useful to determine new biological features in *S. cerevisiae* yeast chromosomes and to filter regular regions [116]. In [117], linguistic complexity was calculated for *Haemophilus influenzae* complete genome in linear time using suffix trees, illustrating its efficient implementation.

Linguistic complexity was later compared with Pearson's chi-square tests, complexity estimation by Wootton–Federhen and symbol Shannon entropy [119] giving rise to different profiles for genes and pseudogenes and showing that the regions around the start codon have the most significant discriminant power.

The analysis of vocabulary use can support the comparison between genome regions. The notion of topological entropy  $H_{top}$  [120] is based on analyzing, for a given sequence with length N, what is the proportion of L-tuples that appear, with a maximum of N-L+1. If all the possible sub-words are

present,  $H_{top} = 1$ ;  $H_{top}$  is approximate zero if the sequence is highly repetitive, i.e. contains few subwords. The authors apply this measure to human exon–intron comparison, namely for chromosomes X and Y, obtaining larger topological entropies for introns than for exons, which contradicts previous studies [83]. The discrepancies observed might be related with the distinct definitions used: topological entropies are based on truncated words set space to avoid finite sample effects and, therefore, the results may not be directly comparable and should be further elucidated.

The number of shared *L*-tuples between two genomes (relative to the smaller *L*-gram set) and spectral rearrangements of the corresponding matrixes can be used to define clusters of positions [121]. These measures also define profiles of conserved regions in whole genomes in an alignment-free way.

Another option to analyze the homogeneity of the frequency vectors along the genome is to partition the sequence in blocks of length *B*, calculate the entropy of each block and then estimate the entropy of all these entropies, what the authors called 'superinformation' [122]. By spanning different non-overlapping window lengths *B*, the authors where able to distinguish between coding and noncoding regions in human chromosomes, namely TTC34 gene (chr1).

Another measure of sequence homogeneity across CDS of the yeast genome was also assessed [123], based on partitioning the sequence into blocks and estimating all their codon probabilities, which were further used to calculate its Shannon's entropy. Codons that are distributed uniformly will have entropies close to one. The analysis of 16 *S. cereviseae* chromosomes was able to cluster amino acids in terms of structural properties.

The presented measures have subjacent a resolution or parameter indicating the specific block/window length. By using CGR maps and previous work on Rényi entropies, local estimation of the probability density function were used as a proxy for the local complexity of the sequence. In fact, highly populated CGR quadrants correspond to overexpression of a given suffix. Previous work on CGR (local) genomic signature characteristics allowed to correctly detect horizontal transfer in bacterial genomes [124].

To overcome domain problems when using Gaussian kernels in CGR maps (which extend beyond the unit square), new functions based on

cuboids were proposed [125], which later allowed the estimation of 'Entropic Profiles' (EP) [126]. These EP are defined for each position in the sequence and, although conveying local information, take into account, by definition, global features. This property can be explored for data mining procedures and motif finding. By spanning the parameter space, one can also estimate the local scale of each position, i.e. the suffix length for which that position is most statistically significant. New efficient implementations are now available [127, 128], allowing the study of whole genomes.

#### **High-level correlations**

Biological sequences can be interpreted in a broader sense by defining possible transformations of the original DNA, RNA or protein sequences. This section will describe some work on these high-level mappings, where new recoding and alphabets are used or partial information, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), are the basis for the analysis and comparison. This section will also address topics where sequence features are connected with non-string characteristics, such as phenotypes and protein–protein interaction (PPI) network connectivity.

The relation between the decrease of structural entropy associated to component compartmentalization in eukaryotic cells was analyzed recently [129]. In this work, thermodynamical entropy of molecules is normalized by the Shannon's entropy loss associated to the presence of a specific DNA sequence with length n,  $\Delta S_{\mathrm{DNA}} = -2n$  bits, thus trying to bridge the two concepts.

The analysis of molecular structures and binding can also be recoded as strings, where each atom is translated onto a symbol related to its structure in the molecule. From this representation, molecular descriptors based on Shannon's [130] and Rényi Entropy can be derived [131], useful for virtual chemical screening and drug discovery.

The goal of gene mapping is to identify DNA regions that are responsible for particular observed traits or phenotypes. The application of IT to estimate these relationships is typically based on identifying sets of SNPs or markers, coded as strings, and a set of phenotypes (e.g. case versus control individuals) and then calculate the mutual information between both distributions, in a given sample or population. If the two are independent, the mutual information is zero and no information is conveyed by a given SNP for that condition. The method

allowed estimation of positions (locus) with the highest mutual information for parkinsonism and schizophrenia [132], and also identification of regions associated with Graves autoimmune disease [133] by correcting finite sample problems and estimating statistical significance through Gamma distribution approximations. Further applications include the detection of gene—gene and gene—environmental interactions in complex diseases, a method with promising results in evaluating bladder cancer data [134].

Linguistic complexity based on maximum vocabulary of amino acid sequences and protein entropy was used as a key feature to compare nodes in PPI networks in yeast [135]. Interestingly, statistically significant differences were found between hub and nonhub proteins, but also between bottleneck nodes for some PPI data sets. Overall, complexities of hubs and/or bottleneck proteins were shown to have lower complexity, which seems to have relevant evolutionary explanations. This fact also illustrates the cross analysis between the global entropic properties of biological sequences and their correlation with their node function in the PPI network.

### Communication systems and errorcorrecting codes

The relation between Communication Engineering and Molecular Biology has been highlighted in a number of reports [15, 136]. In fact, several models for information transmission have appeared in the literature. Briefly, these are constituted by a source, an encoder, a message that goes through a (noisy) channel, a decoder and a receiver. Gatlin proposed a simple model where a given source transmits DNA sequences, the channel corresponds to transcription and translation and the received message is the amino acid protein sequence [8]. Since then, several other models have been proposed by Yockey [137], Roman-Roldan [13] and May, who reviewed this area [138, 139]. Other proposed channels including mutations (substitutions, insertions and deletions) were also analyzed in terms of their capacities [140].

The analysis of the protein communication channel, which considers an organism's proteome as the message transmitted in the evolution process, was conducted for archaea, bacteria and eukaryotes [141]. The authors take into account mutation and crossovers and estimate, for each domain of life, the capacities and rate distortion functions.

Coding theory [142], the evolution of the genetic code [143] and models for DNA to protein information transfer [144, 145] were also object of several studies.

Error-correcting codes, in particular, convolution code models, were also applied do DNA with the goal of extracting features for sequence comparison and analysis [146]. By coding the first exon of beta-globin genes of different species, a possible method for similarity definitions based on coding was proposed.

#### **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

In a recent article [16], Battail addressed the urgent need of IT in biology, as an essential step to understand the living world. He argues that, despite the tremendous progress of communication engineering in the past decades, communication theory remains completely neglected by biosemiotics and biology. One of the possible reasons might be the focus on semantic aspects and meaning of the former, while IT scope is on literal communication, leading to the irony that both areas 'have not been able to communicate with each other'. It is expected that the role of IT in molecular biology and sequence analysis, will indeed increase. DNA assembly problems [147] and metagenomic analysis [148] are just recent examples of this trend.

The present review addresses IT applications for sequence analysis, focusing on alignment-free methods. One of its main contributions is to categorize the applications according to its ultimate goal, reflected in the overall structure of this work, while offering a vast reference list to complement topics outside the scope of this survey.

#### **Key Points**

- Information Theory, widely applied in molecular biology, has provided successful methods for alignment-free sequence analysis and comparison.
- Current applications include global and local characterization of DNA, RNA and proteins, from estimating genome entropy to motif and region classification.
- Promising results are expected in gene mapping and nextgeneration sequencing projects, metagenomics- and communication theory-based models of information transmission in organisms.

#### Acknowledgements

The author thanks Jonas S. Almeida for enjoyable long-term discussions on these themes. She also acknowledges the reviewers' comments and suggestions that greatly improved this review.

#### **FUNDING**

This work was partially supported by national funds through Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal) under contracts PEst-OE/EEI/LA0021/2013 and PEst-OE/EME/LA0022/2011 (under the Unit IDMEC - Pole IST, Research Group IDMEC/LAETA/CSI), as well as projects InteleGen (PTDC/DTP-FTO/1747/2012) and BacHBerry (FP7). SV acknowledges support by Program Investigador FCT (IF/00653/2012) from FCT, co-funded by the European Social Fund (ESF) through the Operational Program Human Potential (POPH).

#### References

- Shannon CE. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Syst Tech J 1948;27(3):379–423.
- Shannon CE. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Syst Tech J 1948;27(4):623–56.
- Ash RB. InformationTheory. New York: Dover Publications, 1990. xi. 339.
- Cover TM, Thomas JA. Elements of Information Theory. 2nd edn. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Interscience, 2006.
- Khinchin AIA. Mathematical Foundations of Information Theory. New Dover edn. New York: Dover Publications, 1957.
- Kullback S. Information Theory and Statistics. New York: Dover Publications, 1968.
- Danchin E, Charmantier A, Champagne FA, et al. Beyond DNA: integrating inclusive inheritance into an extended theory of evolution. Nat Rev Genet 2011;12(7):475–86.
- 8. Gatlin LL. Information Theory and the Living System. New York: Columbia University Press, 1972.
- Gatlin LL. Information content of DNA. J Theor Biol 1966; 10(2):281–300.
- Gatlin LL. Information content of DNA. II. J Theor Biol 1968;18(2):181–94.
- Granero-Porati MI, Porati A. Informational parameters and randomness of mitochondrial-DNA. *J Mol Evol* 1988;27(2): 109–13.
- Rao GS, Hamid Z, Rao J.S. Information-content of DNA and evolution. *J Theor Biol* 1979;81(4):803–7.
- 13. Roman-Roldan R, Bernaola-Galvan P, Oliver JL. Application of information theory to DNA sequence analysis: a review. *Pattern Recognit* 1996;**29**(7):1187–94.
- 14. Adami C. Information theory in molecular biology. *Phys Life Rev* 2004;**1**(1):3–22.
- Hanus P, Goebel B, Dingel J, et al. Information and communication theory in molecular biology. Electr Eng 2007; 90(2):161–73.
- Battail G. Biology needs information theory. *Biosemiotics* 2013;6(1):77–103.
- Milenkovic O, Alterovitz G, Battail G, et al. Introduction to the special issue on information theory in molecular biology and neuroscience. *IEEE Trans Inf Theory* 2010;56(2):649–52.

 Mutihac R, Cicuttin A, Mutihac RC. Entropic approach to information coding in DNA molecules. *Mater Sci Eng C-Biomimetic Supramol Sys* 2001;18(1–2):51–60.

- Adami C. What is complexity? BioEssays 2002;24(12): 1085–94.
- Farach M, Noordewier M, Savari S, et al. On the entropy of DNA-Algorithms and measurements based on memory and rapid convergence. Proceedings of the Sixth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms. Philadelphia: SIAM, 1995:48–57.
- Nalbantoglu OU, Russell DJ, Sayood K. Data compression concepts and algorithms and their applications to bioinformatics. *Entropy* 2010;12(1):34–52.
- Galas DJ, Nykter M, Carter GW, et al. Biological information as set-based complexity. IEEE Trans Inform Theory 2010; 56(2):667–77.
- 23. Giancarlo R, Scaturro D, Utro F. Textual data compression in computational biology: a synopsis. *Bioinformatics* 2009; **25**(13):1575–86.
- Ji SC. Isomorphism between cell and human languages: molecular biological, bioinformatic and linguistic implications. *Biosystems* 1997;44(1):17–39.
- 25. Searls DB. The linguistics of DNA. *Am Sci* 1992;**80**(6): 579–91.
- Carbone A, Dib L. Co-evolution and information signals in biological sequences. *Theor Comput Sci* 2011;412(23): 2486–95.
- 27. Vinga S. Biological sequence analysis by vector-valued functions: revisiting alignment-free methodologies for DNA and protein classification. In: Pham TD, Yan H, Crane D (eds). Advanced Computational Methods for Biocomputing and Bioimaging. New York: Nova Science Publishers, 2007. ix, 215.
- 28. Vinga S, Almeida J. Alignment-free sequence comparison-a review. *Bioinformatics* 2003;**19**(4):513–23.
- 29. Roy A, Raychaudhury C, Nandy A. Novel techniques of graphical representation and analysis of DNA sequences-a review. *J Biosci* 1998;**23**(1):55–71.
- 30. Randic M, Zupan J, Balaban AT, et al. Graphical Representation of Proteins. Chem Rev, 2011;**111**(2): 790–862.
- Li WT. The study of correlation structures of DNA sequences: a critical review. Comput Chem 1997;21(4):257–71.
- 32. Damasevicius R. Complexity estimation of genetic sequences using information-theoretic and frequency analysis methods. *Informatica* 2010;**21**(1):13–30.
- 33. Lobzin VV, Chechetkin VR. Order and correlations in genomic DNA sequences. The spectral approach. *Uspekhi Fizicheskikh Nauk* 2000;**170**(1):57–81.
- 34. Galleani L, Garello R. The minimum entropy mapping spectrum of a DNA sequence. *IEEE Trans Inform Theory* 2010;**56**(2):771–83.
- 35. Jeffrey HJ. Chaos game representation of gene structure. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1990;**18**(8):2163–70.
- 36. Almeida JS, Vinga S. Universal sequence map (USM) of arbitrary discrete sequences. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2002;**3**:6.
- 37. Almeida JS, Vinga S. Biological sequences as pictures—a generic two dimensional solution for iterated maps. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009;**10**:100.
- 38. Vinga S, Carvalho AM, Francisco AP, et al. Pattern matching through Chaos Game Representation: bridging

- numerical and discrete data structures for biological sequence analysis. *Algorithms Mol Biol* 2012;**7**:10.
- Renyi A. On the foundations of information theory. Revi Int Stat Inst 1965;33(1):1–14.
- Rényi A. On measures of entropy and information. Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics, Statistics and Probability. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1961.
- Bercher JF. On some entropy functionals derived from Renyi information divergence. *Inform Sci* 2008;**178**(12): 2489–506.
- Li M, Vitányi PMB. An introduction to Kolmogorov complexity and its applications. *Texts in Computer Science*. 3rd edn. New York: Springer, 2008. xxiii, 790.
- 43. Karlin S, Burge C. Dinucleotide relative abundance extremes: a genomic signature. *Trends Genet* 1995;**11**(7):283–90.
- Burge C, Campbell AM, Karlin S. Over- and underrepresentation of short oligonucleotides in DNA sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1992;89(4):1358–62.
- Nikolaou C, Almirantis Y. 'Word' preference in the genomic text and genome evolution: different modes of n-tuplet usage in coding and noncoding sequences. J Mol Evol 2005;61(1):23–35.
- 46. Hariri A, Weber B, Olmsted J. On the validity of shannon-information calculations for molecular biological sequences. *J Theoret Biol* 1990;**147**(2):235–54.
- Weiss O, Jimenez-Montano MA, Herzel H. Information content of protein sequences. J Theor Biol 2000;206(3): 379–86.
- 48. Orlov YL, Potapov VN. Complexity: an internet resource for analysis of DNA sequence complexity. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;**32**(Web Server issue):W628–33.
- 49. Jimenez-Montano MA, Ebeling W, Pohl T, *et al.* Entropy and complexity of finite sequences as fluctuating quantities. *Biosystems* 2002;**64**(1–3):23–32.
- Chen HD, Chang CH, Hsieh LC, et al. Divergence and Shannon information in genomes. Phys Rev Lett, 2005; 94(17):178103.
- Chang CH, Hsieh LC, Chen TY, et al. Shannon information in complete genomes. Proc IEEE Comput Syst Bioinform Conf 2004;20–30.
- Tenreiro Machado JA, Costa AC, Quelhas MD. Shannon, Renyie and Tsallis entropy analysis of DNA using phase plane. Nonlinear Anal Real World Appl 2011;12(6):3135–44.
- 53. Athanasopoulou L, Athanasopoulos S, Karamanos K, et al. Scaling properties and fractality in the distribution of coding segments in eukaryotic genomes revealed through a block entropy approach. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2010;82(5):051917.
- 54. Herzel H, Schmitt AO, Ebeling W. Finite sample effects in sequence analysis. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 1994;**4**(1):97–113.
- 55. Basharin G. On a statistical estimate for the entropy of a sequence of independent random variables. *Theory Probab Appl* 1959;**4**(3):333–6.
- Grassberger P. Finite sample corrections to entropy and dimension estimates. *Phys Lett A* 1988;128(6–7):369–73.
- 57. Schmitt AO, Herzel H, Ebeling W. A new method to calculate higher-order entropies from finite samples. *Europhys Lett* 1993;**23**(5):303–9.
- Schmitt AO, Herzel H. Estimating the entropy of DNA sequences. J Theor Biol 1997;188(3):369–77.

- 59. Holste D, Grosse I, Herzel H. Bayes' estimators of generalized entropies. *J Phys Math Gen* 1998;**31**(11):2551–66.
- Lanctot JK, Li M, Yang Eh. Estimating DNA sequence entropy. Proceedings of 11th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'00). San Francisco, CA: SIAM, 2000
- Lesne A, Blanc JL, Pezard L. Entropy estimation of very short symbolic sequences. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 2009;79(4):046208.
- 62. Ebeling W, Nicolis G. Entropy of symbolic sequences—the role of correlations. *Europhys Lett* 1991;**14**(3):191–6.
- Lio P, Politi A, Buiatti M, et al. High statistics block entropy measures of DNA sequences. J Theor Biol, 1996;180(2): 151–60.
- 64. Han X. Finding phylogenetically informative genes by estimating multispecies gene entropy. 2006 IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Network Proceedings, Vols 1–10. Vancouver, Canada, 2006;1942–9.
- Loewenstern D, Yianilos PN. Significantly lower entropy estimates for natural DNA sequences. *J Comput Biol* 1999; 6(1):125–42.
- Stern L, Allison L, Coppel RL, et al. Discovering patterns in Plasmodium falciparum genomic DNA. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2001;118(2):175–86.
- Dix TI, Powell DR, Allison L, et al. Comparative analysis of long DNA sequences by per element information content using different contexts. BMC Bioinformatics 2007;8:S10.
- 68. Usotskaya N, Ryabko B. Application of information-theoretic tests for the analysis of DNA sequences based on Markov chain models. *Comput Stat Data Anal* 2009;**53**(5):1861–72.
- Cai HX, Kulkarni SR, Verdu S. Universal entropy estimation via block sorting. *IEEE Trans Inform Theory* 2004;50(7): 1551–61.
- 70. Paninski L. Estimation of entropy and mutual information. *Neural Comput* 2003;**15**(6):1191–253.
- 71. Deschavanne P, Giron A, Vilain J, et al. Genomic signature: characterization and classification of species assessed by chaos game representation of sequences. *Mol Biol Evol* 1999;**16**(10):1391–9.
- Oliver JL, Bernaola-Galvan P, Guerrero-Garcia J, et al. Entropic profiles of DNA sequences through chaos-game-derived images. J Theor Biol 1993;160(4):457–70.
- 73. Román-Roldán R, Bernaola-Galván P, Oliver JL. Entropic feature for sequence pattern through iterated function systems. *Pattern Recognit Lett* 1994;**15**:567–73.
- 74. Vinga S, Almeida JS. Renyi continuous entropy of DNA sequences. *J Theoret Biol* 2004;**231**(3):377–88.
- Hanus P, Dingel J, Zech J, et al. Information theoretic distance measures in phylogenomics. Inform Theory Appl Workshop 2007;421–5.
- Li M, Badger JH, Chen X, et al. An information-based sequence distance and its application to whole mitochondrial genome phylogeny. Bioinformatics 2001;17(2):149–54.
- Otu HH, Sayood K. A new sequence distance measure for phylogenetic tree construction. *Bioinformatics* 2003;19(16): 2122–30.
- 78. Balzano W, Cicalese F, Del Sorbo MR, et al. Analysis and comparision of information theory-based distances for genomic strings. In: Ricciardi LM, Buonocore A, Pirozzi E (eds). Collective Dynamics: Topics on Competition and Cooperation in the Biosciences 2008;292–310.

- Sadovsky MG. The method to compare nucleotide sequences based on the minimum entropy principle. Bull Math Biol 2003;65(2):309–22.
- Pham TD, Zuegg J. A probabilistic measure for alignmentfree sequence comparison. *Bioinformatics* 2004;20(18): 3455–61.
- 81. Wu TJ, Huang YH, Li LA. Optimal word sizes for dissimilarity measures and estimation of the degree of dissimilarity between DNA sequences. *Bioinformatics* 2005;**21**(22): 4125–32.
- Yang ACC, Goldberger AL, Peng CK. Genomic classification using an information-based similarity index: application to the SARS coronavirus. *J Comput Biol* 2005;12(8):1103–16.
- 83. Mantegna RN, Buldyrev SV, Goldberger AL, et al. Linguistic features of noncoding DNA sequences. Phys Rev Lett 1994;73(23):3169–72.
- 84. Erill I. Information theory and biological sequences: insights from an evolutionary perspective. In: Deloumeaux P, Gorzalka JD (eds). *Information Theory: New Research*. New York: Nova Science Publishers, 2012;1–28.
- 85. Stormo GD. DNA binding sites: representation and discovery. *Bioinformatics* 2000;**16**(1):16–23.
- Schneider TD, Stormo GD, Gold L, et al. Information content of binding sites on nucleotide sequences. J Mol Biol 1986;188(3):415–31.
- 87. Schneider TD, Stephens RM. Sequence logos: a new way to display consensus sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1990; **18**(20):6097–100.
- 88. Willis S, Griffin PR. Mutual information identifies sequence positions conserved within the nuclear receptor superfamily: approach reveals functionally important regions for DNA binding specificity. *Nucl Recept Signal* 2011;9:e001.
- 89. Erill I, O'Neill MC. A reexamination of information theory-based methods for DNA-binding site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009;**10**–57.
- Krishnamachari K, Krishnamachari A. Sequence variability and long-range dependence in DNA: an information theoretic perspective. In: Pal NR, Kasabov N, Mudi RK, et al. (eds). Neural Information Processing. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2004, 1354–1361.
- 91. Tan M, Yu D, Jin Y, et al. An information transmission model for transcription factor binding at regulatory DNA sites. Theoret Biol Med Modell 2012;9:19.
- Wang D, Tapan S. MISCORE: a new scoring function for characterizing DNA regulatory motifs in promoter sequences. BMC Sys Biol 2012;6:S4.
- Chen Z, Lewis KA, Shultzaberger RK, et al. Discovery of fur binding site clusters in Escherichia coli by information theory models. Nucleic Acids Res, 2007;35(20):6762–77.
- Kauffman C, Karypis G. An analysis of information content present in protein-DNA interactions. *Pac Symp Biocomput*, 2008;477–88.
- Perera A, Vallverdu M., Claria F, et al. DNA binding sites characterization by means of Renyi entropy measures on nucleotide transitions. 28th IEEE EMBS Annual International Conference. New York City, USA, 2006.
- Perera A, Vallverdu M, Claria F, et al. DNA binding site characterization by means of Renyi entropy measures on nucleotide transitions. *IEEE Trans Nanobiosci* 2008;7(2): 133–41.

97. Krishnamachari A, Mandal VM, Karmeshu. Study of DNA binding sites using the Renyi parametric entropy measure. *J Theoret Biol* 2004;**227**(3):429–36.

- Maynou J, Gallardo-Chacon JJ, Vallverdu M, et al. Computational detection of transcription factor binding sites through differential renyi entropy. IEEE Trans Inform Theory 2010;56(2):734–41.
- Gordan R, Narlikar L, Hartemink AJ. A fast, alignmentfree, conservation-based method for transcription factor binding site discovery. *Res Comput Mol Biol Proc* 2008; 4955:98–111.
- Fazius E, Shelest V, Shelest E. SiTaR: a novel tool for transcription factor binding site prediction. *Bioinformatics* 2011;27(20):2806–11.
- Xu ML, Su ZC. A novel alignment-free method for comparing transcription factor binding site motifs. Plos One, 2010;5(1):e8797.
- 102. Xiao M, Zhu ZZ, Liu JP, et al. Prediction of recognition sites for genomic replication of classical swine fever virus with information analysis. Mol Biol 2002;36(1):34–43.
- 103. Rogan PK. Ab initio exon definition using an information theory-based approach. 43rd Annual Conference on Information Sciences and Systems, Baltimore, MD, 2009.
- 104. Nalla VK, Rogan PK. Automated splicing mutation analysis by information theory. *Hum Mutat* 2005;25(4): 334–42.
- Garbarine E, DePasquale J, Gadia V, et al. Informationtheoretic approaches to SVM feature selection for metagenome read classification. Comput Biol Chem 2011;35(3): 199–209.
- 106. Liu Z, Venkatesh SS, Maley CC. Sequence space coverage, entropy of genomes and the potential to detect non-human DNA in human samples. *BMC Genomics* 2008;**9**:509.
- Afreixo V, Bastos CAC, Pinho AJ, et al. Genome analysis with inter-nucleotide distances. Bioinformatics 2009;25(23): 3064–70.
- Wei D, Jiang QS, Wei YJ, et al. A novel hierarchical clustering algorithm for gene sequences. BMC Bioinformatics 2012;13:174.
- Dehnert M, Helm WE, Hutt MT. A discrete autoregressive process as a model for short-range correlations in DNA sequences. *Physica A* 2003;327(3–4):535–53.
- 110. Dehnert M, Helm WE, Hutt MT. Information theory reveals large-scale synchronisation of statistical correlations in eukaryote genomes. *Gene* 2005;**345**(1):81–90.
- Grosse I, Herzel H, Buldyrev SV, etal. Species independence of mutual information in coding and noncoding DNA. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2000;61(5):5624–9.
- 112. Tsonis AA, Heller FL, Tsonis PA. Probing the linearity and nonlinearity in DNA sequences. *Phys A* 2002;**312**(3–4): 458–68.
- 113. Carels N, Vidal R, Mansilla R, et al. The mutual information theory for the certification of rice coding sequences. FEBS Lett 2004;568(1–3):155–8.
- 114. Bauer M, Schuster SM, Sayood K. The average mutual information profile as a genomic signature. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2008;**9**:48.
- 115. Swati D. In silico comparison of bacterial strains using mutual information. *J Biosci* 2007;**32**(6):1169–84.
- Crochemore M, Verin R. Zones of low entropy in genomic sequences. Comput Chem 1999;23(3–4):275–82.

- 117. Troyanskaya OG, Arbell O, Koren Y, et al. Sequence complexity profiles of prokaryotic genomic sequences: a fast algorithm for calculating linguistic complexity. Bioinformatics 2002;**18**(5):679–88.
- 118. Gabrielian A, Bolshoy A. Sequence complexity and DNA curvature. *Comput Chem* 1999;**23**(3–4):263–74.
- Pirhaji L, Kargar M, Sheari A, et al. The performances of the chi-square test and complexity measures for signal recognition in biological sequences. J Theoret Biol 2008; 251(2):380-7.
- 120. Koslicki D. Topological entropy of DNA sequences. *Bioinformatics* 2011;27(8):1061–7.
- 121. Maetschke SR, Kassahn KS, Dunn JA, et al. A visual framework for sequence analysis using n-grams and spectral rearrangement. *Bioinformatics* 2010;**26**(6):737–44.
- 122. Bose R, Chouhan S. Alternate measure of information useful for DNA sequences. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2011;83(5):051918.
- 123. Kim J, Kim S, Lee K, et al. Entropy analysis in yeast DNA. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2009;39(4):1565–71.
- 124. Dufraigne C, Fertil B, Lespinats S, et al. Detection and characterization of horizontal transfers in prokaryotes using genomic signature. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005;**33**(1):e6.
- Almeida JS, Vinga S. Computing distribution of scale independent motifs in biological sequences. Algorithms Mol Biol 2006;1:18.
- Vinga S, Almeida JS. Local Renyi entropic profiles of DNA sequences. BMC Bioinformatics 2007;8:393.
- 127. Fernandes F, Freitas AT, Almeida JS, *et al.* Entropic Profiler detection of conservation in genomes using information theory. *BMC Res Notes* 2009;**2**:72–2.
- 128. Comin M, Antonello M. Fast computation of entropic profiles for the detection of conservation in genomes. In: Ngom A, Formenti E, Hao JK, et al. (eds). Pattern Recognition in Bioinformatics. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2013,277–88.
- Marin D, Martin M, Sabater B. Entropy decrease associated to solute compartmentalization in the cell. *Biosystems* 2009; 98(1):31–6.
- Gregori-Puigjane E, Mestres J. SHED: shannon entropy descriptors from topological feature distributions. *J Chem Inform Model* 2006;46(4):1615–22.
- 131. Delgado-Soler L, Toral R, Santos Tomas M, et al. RED: a set of molecular descriptors based on renyi entropy. *J Chem InformModel* 2009;**49**(11):2457–68.
- 132. Hagenauer J, Dawy Z, Gobel B, et al. Genomic analysis using methods from information theory. In: IEEE Information Theory Workshop. 2004. IEEE, pp. 55, 59, 24–29 Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1109/ITW.2004.1405274.
- 133. Dawy Z, Goebel B, Hagenauer J, et al. Gene mapping and marker clustering using Shannon's mutual information. IEEE-ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinf 2006;3(1):47–56.
- 134. Fan R, Zhong M, Wang S, et al. Entropy-based information gain approaches to detect and to characterize gene-gene and gene-environment interactions/correlations of complex diseases. *Genet Epidemiol* 2011;**35**(7):706–21.
- 135. Kargar M, An AJ. The effect of sequence complexity on the construction of protein-protein interaction networks. In: Yao Y, Sun R, Poggio T, et al (eds). Brain Informatics. Vol. 6334, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, 308–19.

- 136. Battail G. Heredity as an encoded communication process. *IEEE Trans Inform Theory* 2010;**56**(2):678–87.
- Yockey HP. Information Theory and Molecular Biology. Cambridge; New York, NT, USA: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- 138. May EE, Vouk MA, Bitzer DL, et al. An error-correcting code framework for genetic sequence analysis. *J Franklin Inst Eng Applied Math* 2004;**341**(1–2):89–109.
- 139. May E. The Emergence of Biological Coding Theory as a Mathematical Framework for Modeling, Monitoring, and Modulating Biomolecular Systems. *Information Sciences and Systems*, 2009. CISS 2009. 43rd Annual Conference 2009. pp. 865–9, 18–20 March 2009. IEEE, Baltimore, MD. doi: 10.1109/CISS.2009.5054838.
- Balado F. Capacity of DNA data embedding under substitution mutations. IEEE Trans InformTheory 2013;59(2):928–41.
- Gong L, Bouaynaya N, Schonfeld D. Information-theoretic model of evolution over protein communication channel. IEEE-ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform 2011;8(1):143–51.
- 142. Wang XH, Istepanian RSH, Soni YH, et al. Review of application of coding theory in genetic sequence analysis. In: Chatterjee S, Laxminarayan S (eds). Enterprise Networking and Computing in Healthcare Industry, 2003.

- Healthcom 2003. Proceedings. 5th International Workshop 2003. pp. 5–9, 6–7 June 2003. IEEE, Santa Monica, US. doi: 10.1109/HEALTH.2003.1218711.
- 143. Tlusty T. A model for the emergence of the genetic code as a transition in a noisy information channel. *J Theor Biol* 2007;**249**(2):331–42.
- Karafyllidis IG. Quantum mechanical model for information transfer from DNA to protein. *Biosystems* 2008;93(3): 191–98.
- 145. Rosen GL. Examining coding structure and redundancy in DNA. *IEEE Eng Med Biol Magaz* 2006;**25**(1):62–8.
- 146. Liu X, Tian FC, Wang SY. Analysis of similarity/dissimilarity of DNA sequences based on convolutional code model. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 2010;**29**(2):123–31.
- 147. Motahari A, Bresler G, Tse D. Information theory for DNA sequencing: part i: a basic model. *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2012 IEEE International Symposium* 2012. pp. 2741–5, 1–6 July 2012. IEEE, Cambridge, MA. doi: 10.1109/ISIT.2012.6284020.
- 148. Akhter S, Bailey BA, Salamon P, et al. Applying Shannon's information theory to bacterial and phage genomes and metagenomes. *Sci Rep* 2013;**3**:1033.