
Operations Research II

Final Report

Keenan Gao

Binghui Ouyang

Hanwen Zhang

Yiming Zong

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Abstract

Space reserved for abstract.

1 Problems Overview

TODO: Overview of the problem in words.

2 Mathematical Model

2.1 General Input

- n : Number of students ($n > 0$);
- m : Number of seminars ($m > 0$);
- k : Max number of selections that a student can make ($1 \leq k \leq m$);
- $s_{i,j}$: The j^{th} selection of i^{th} student, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$. $s_{i,j} = 0$ when the Student i makes no corresponding choice for Rank j ;
- q_k : The quota for k^{th} seminar, where $1 \leq k \leq m$.

2.2 Input Constraints

- Positivity: $n, m, k > 0, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, q_k > 0$;
- Number of selections for student is bounded by number of available seminars: $k \leq m$;
- (?) Student rankings are valid and unique: $\forall (i, j), 1 \leq s_{i,j} \leq m$. And, for each i , all non-zero entries $s_{i,j}$'s take unique values.

2.3 Decision Variables

- $Y_{i,j}$: Indicator variables for whether Student i is assigned to Seminar j , where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$;

2.4 Data Pre-Processing

In order to deal with cases when a student is only willing or allowed to rank $k' < m$ seminars, we automatically set all “unassigned” priorities to $(k + 1)$. Also, we change the representation of students' preference from $(\text{student}, \text{ranking}) \mapsto \text{seminar}$ to $(\text{student}, \text{seminar}) \mapsto \text{ranking}$ to make

further calculations easier, i.e.

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{cases} l & \text{If Student } i \text{ ranked } j \text{ as } l^{\text{th}} \text{ option, or } s_{i,l} = j \text{ for some } l \in \{1, \dots, k\} \\ k+1 & \text{If Seminar } k \text{ is not on Student } i\text{'s list, or } s_{i,l} \neq j \text{ for all } l \in \{1, \dots, k\} \end{cases}.$$

2.5 General Constraints

- $Y_{i,j}$'s are indeed indicator variables: $\forall(i, j), Y_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, Y_{i,j} \geq 0, Y_{i,j} \leq 1$;
- Each student is assigned precisely one seminar: $\forall i, \sum_{l=1}^m Y_{i,l} = 1$;
- Each seminar is within enrollment quota: $\forall j, \sum_{l=1}^n Y_{l,j} \leq q_j$;

3 Approach for Various Heuristic Functions

Due to the flexibility of the original problem, we are proposing different objective functions for optimization, including minimizing the total “rank” given by the students, maximizing the number of student getting their top λk choice (where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$), etc. In the following sub-sections we present our approach for each heuristic in mathematical terms.

3.1 Minimize Total Rank of Students

In this case, our goal is to minimize the sum of all student rankings for their assigned seminars. To do so, our objective is to minimize $W = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m X_{i,j} Y_{i,j}$.

3.2 Maximize Number of Students Getting Top-Tier Seminars

In this case, we would additionally require the user to input a value $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, representing the range of rankings we consider as “top-tier”, i.e. $\{1, \dots, \lfloor \lambda k \rfloor\}$. Given this heuristic, our objective is to maximize $W = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{X_{i,j} \leq \lfloor \lambda k \rfloor} Y_{i,j}$.

3.3 And Potentially More

4 Optimization Algorithm

Given the constraints, our goal is solve a *Generalized Assignment Problem*. According to Martello and Toth[1], it is *NP-hard*, so an approximation algorithm must be applied in order to solve the problem in a reasonable amount of time. Analogous to the *Knapsack Problem*, our fundamental approach is the greedy algorithm (with variations), and then make finishing touch based on the principle of *Stable Marriage Problem*. Following sub-sections will present the algorithm in details:

4.1 Ranking-Based Greedy Algorithm

For the greedy algorithm, we first satisfy (a portion of) all students' first choices, then second choices, and so on. Depending on the “popularity” of each seminar, we may limit the number of students allowed to be added to a seminar at each ranking. The algorithm (as *Algorithm 1* on next page) is outlined as follows, and it can be run multiple times in order to select an assignment with least amount of students that are not assigned to their ranked list.

4.2 Stable Assignment Optimization

Similar to the principle of *Stable Marriage Problem*, in our final seminar assignment we do not want to have two students A and B , such that A prefers B 's section, and also vice versa (we call those two students *rogue pair*). This can be done by scanning each pair of students and fixing every *rogue pair*. The algorithm is outlined in *Algorithm 2* on the next page.

Algorithm 1 Ranking-Based Greedy Algorithm

Output: $\text{asgn}_i \leftarrow$ seminar assignment for Student i based on greedy algorithm
 for $r = 1$ to k **do**
 for $i = 1$ to m **do**
 $\text{pool}[i] \leftarrow \{\text{unassigned student } s \mid s \text{ listed seminar } i \text{ as } r^{\text{th}} \text{ choice}\}$
 $\text{pool}[i] \leftarrow$ random subset of itself with certain size limit (e.g. seminar quota)
 Merge each $\text{pool}[i]$ into asgn
 Fill in still unassigned students

Algorithm 2 Rogue-Pair Fixing Algorithm

Input: $\text{asgn}_i \leftarrow$ current seminar assignment for Student i
Output: (i,j) if we found a rogue pair, otherwise null
 function FINDROGUEPAIR(asgn)
 for $i=1$ to n **do**
 for $j=i+1$ to n **do**
 if Student i and j prefer each other's seminar **then return** (i,j)
 return null

 Input: $\text{asgn}_i \leftarrow$ seminar assignment for Student i based on greedy algorithm
 Output: asgn_i : rogue pair-free assignment for Student i
 $p \leftarrow \text{FindRoguePair}(\text{asgn})$
 while p **not** null **do**
 $(i,j) \leftarrow p$
 $\text{asgn}_i \leftrightarrow \text{asgn}_j$
 $p \leftarrow \text{FindRoguePair}(\text{asgn})$

5 Summary of Results

6 Further Work & Enhancements

7 References

References

- [1] Martello, Silvano, and Paolo Toth. Knapsack Problems: Algorithms and Computer Implementations. Chichester: J. Wiley & Sons, 1990. Print.