

## 6.1 The Horse Race

**Assumption:** Let  $m$  horses run in a race. Let the  $i$ th horse win with probability  $p_i$ .

**Payoff:**

- If horse  $i$  wins, the payoff is  $o_i$  for 1.
- An investment of one dollar on horse  $i$  yields:

$$\begin{cases} o_i \text{ dollars,} & \text{if horse } i \text{ wins,} \\ 0 \text{ dollars,} & \text{if horse } i \text{ loses.} \end{cases}$$

- The gambler distributes **all** her money on the  $m$  possible bets:  
 $b_1, \dots, b_m, b_i \geq 0, \sum_i b_i = 1$

# Some intuitive possibilities

- Put all of the money on the horse with the highest return.
- Put all of the money on the horse with the highest probability.
- Risky: most probable horse might still lose.
- Better to hedge.

# Gambler's Wealth After $n$ Races

Let  $S_n$  be the gambler's wealth after  $n$  races. Then

$$S_n = \prod_{i=1}^n S(X_i),$$

where  $S(X) = b(X) o(X)$  is the factor by which the gambler's wealth is multiplied when horse  $X$  wins.

# Constant rebalanced portfolios

- Use a fixed distribution of the stocks  $\vec{b}$
- *Not* the same as buy and hold.
- **Example:**  $\vec{b} = (1/2, 1/2)$ 
  - Iter:** 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...
  - Cash:** 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ...
  - Stock:** 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, ...
- **Wealth:**  $1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{2} = \frac{9}{8}, \frac{9}{8}\frac{3}{2}, \dots$
- Wealth increases by a factor of  $\frac{9}{8}$  every two iterations.

- Suppose that the returns are drawn from a fixed and known distribution.
- The optimal strategy, in terms of rate of increase of log wealth, is a constant rebalanced portfolio.
- But which portfolio?

# What Are Universal Portfolios?

- **Concept:** Introduced by Thomas M. Cover, a universal portfolio is an investment strategy that asymptotically achieves the same growth rate of wealth as the best rebalanced portfolio in hindsight—*without knowing the future in advance*.
- **Key Idea:** Rather than fix a single strategy, the universal portfolio effectively averages over all possible rebalanced portfolios and updates weights based on observed performance.
- **Goal:** Leverage the *law of large numbers*-type property so that, over time, the universal portfolio tracks the growth rate of the best static rebalancing strategy.

# Model Setup

- Consider a market with  $m$  assets (e.g. stocks).
- Trading occurs in **discrete time**:  $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
- Let  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be the gross returns of the  $m$  assets between time  $t - 1$  and  $t$ .
  - For example, if the  $j$ -th asset goes up by 2%, then  $x_t^{(j)} = 1.02$ .
- A portfolio vector  $b_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  specifies how one's capital is allocated among the  $m$  assets at time  $t$ .
  - The entries of  $b_t$  sum to 1 and are nonnegative:  $\sum_{j=1}^m b_t^{(j)} = 1$ ,  
 $b_t^{(j)} \geq 0$ .

# Wealth Evolution

- Let  $S_t$  denote the wealth at time  $t$ .
- Given a portfolio  $b_t$  at time  $t$ , the wealth is updated by

$$S_{t+1} = S_t (b_t \cdot x_{t+1}),$$

where  $b_t \cdot x_{t+1}$  is the dot product of  $b_t$  and  $x_{t+1}$ .

- The goal is to choose  $\{b_t\}_{t=1}^n$  to maximize the final wealth  $S_{n+1}$  or equivalently  $\log S_{n+1}$ .



# Cover's Universal Portfolio (Informal Definition)

- 1 **Consider all constant-rebalanced portfolios.** A constant-rebalanced portfolio (CRP) is one that keeps the same fraction in each asset at every time step.
- 2 **Assign a prior.** Treat each CRP as an element in the simplex of possible weights  $b \in \Delta^m$ . Typically, one uses the *Dirichlet* (or uniform) prior over the simplex.
- 3 **Update posterior.** After each period, update this distribution (the “mixture”) over all CRPs based on how well each CRP performed.
- 4 **Form the next investment by averaging.** Allocate the portfolio  $b_{t+1}$  as a weighted average of all possible CRPs, weighted by their posterior performance.

$$b_{t+1} = \int b d\mu_t(b),$$

where  $\mu_t$  is the posterior over the simplex after observing  $t$  periods.

- **Asymptotic Optimality:** Cover showed that the growth rate of the *universal portfolio* will, in the limit, approach the growth rate of the best *single* constant-rebalanced portfolio in hindsight.
- Formally, let  $b^*$  be the CRP that maximizes  $\log S_n$  in hindsight. Then the ratio of the universal portfolio's wealth  $U_n$  to the wealth of  $b^*$  (both starting at 1) grows sub-exponentially in  $n$ .

$$\frac{U_n}{S_n(b^*)} \geq \exp(-o(n)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- This means that the universal portfolio is *universally* good, without prior knowledge of which CRP is best.

## 1 Discretize the Simplex:

- In practice, the integral over all  $b$  in the simplex is approximated by a finite grid or sampling.

## 2 Recompute Weights:

$$w_{t+1}(b) = \frac{w_t(b) \cdot (b \cdot x_{t+1})}{\int w_t(u) \cdot (u \cdot x_{t+1}) du}$$

where  $w_t(b)$  is the “weight” or “posterior” for CRP  $b$ .

## 3 Compute New Investment:

$$b_{t+1} = \int b w_{t+1}(b) db \approx \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} b w_{t+1}(b).$$

## 4 Rebalance Accordingly: Actually execute $b_{t+1}$ in the market at time $t + 1$ .

# Simple Example (2 Assets)

- Suppose there are 2 assets, so  $b \in [0, 1]$  with  $b_1 = b$ ,  $b_2 = 1 - b$ .
- **Uniform Prior:** Start with  $w_0(b) = 1$  for  $b \in [0, 1]$ .
- **Observations:** If the asset returns over first period are  $(x_1, x_2)$ , then after seeing that outcome, the weight function updates:

$$w_1(b) \propto b x_1 + (1 - b) x_2.$$

- **Next Step:** The universal strategy at  $t = 1$  invests

$$b_1 = \int_0^1 b \frac{b x_1 + (1 - b) x_2}{Z} db,$$




where  $Z$  is a normalization constant to ensure the posterior integrates to 1.

# Numerical Illustration

- By discretizing  $b \in [0, 1]$  into many small steps, you can numerically approximate the integrals.
- Over time, the algorithm will put more weight on the “best” fraction  $b^*$  that maximizes growth, but it still accounts for uncertainty and adapts as the environment changes.

- **Cover's Universal Portfolio** is a powerful idea that uses mixture methods over all possible constant-rebalanced portfolios.
- **Guarantees:** It asymptotically matches the best constant-rebalanced strategy in hindsight.
- **Implementation:** Although conceptually elegant, the naive integral approach can be computationally expensive. Practical approximations are used (discretization, sampling, etc.).
- **Significance:** This method bridges information theory and portfolio choice, illustrating how “universal” strategies can learn from the market without predictions.

# References

-  T. M. Cover, *Universal Portfolios*, Math. Finance, Vol. 1, No. 1 (1991), pp. 1–29.
-  T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, Wiley, 2nd edition, 2006.
-  T. M. Cover, *Universal Portfolios*, in The Kelly Capital Growth Investment Criterion: Theory and Practice (2011), World Scientific.

# Blocks of Highlighted Text

In this slide, some important text will be highlighted because it's important. Please, don't abuse it.

## Block

Sample text

## Alertblock

Sample text in red box

## Examples

Sample text in green box. The title of the block is "Examples".