

Clonal interference between aneuploidy and mutation

Ilia Kohanovski^a, Avi Hu H. Yona^{b,c}, Orna Dahan^d, Yitzhak Pilpel^d, and Yoav Ram^{a,*}

^aSchool of Computer Sciences, IDC Herzliya, Herzliya 4610101, Israel

^bDepartment of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

^bDepartment of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

^dDepartment of Molecular Genetics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

*Corresponding author: yoav@yoavram.com

March 8, 2020

Abstract

Aneuploidy is common in eukaryotes, often leading to decreased cell growth and fitness. However, evidence from yeast and fungi, as well as tumour cells, suggests that aneuploidy can be beneficial under stressful conditions and lead to elevated growth rates and to adaptation. Thus, it is crucial to develop a quantitative theory for the role of aneuploidy in adaptive evolution. Here, we analyse results from experiments in which *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* adapted to heat stress. The experimental population first acquired chromosome duplications, only to later revert back to a euploid state. We use several evolutionary models within an approximate Bayesian computation framework to show that clonal interference between chromosome duplications and beneficial mutations explains the experimental results. Our results suggest that aneuploidy can both accelerate and decelerate adaptation in a non-intuitive manner, creating an evolutionary conflict between the individual and the population and further complicating the process of adaptation in cell populations.

Introduction

Aneuploidy is common in eukaryotes. Aneuploidy is an imbalance in the number of chromosomes in the cell: an incorrect karyotype. Recent evidence suggests aneuploidy is very common in eukaryotes, e.g. animals (Santaguida and Amon, 2015; Naylor and van Deursen, 2016; Bakhoum and Landau, 2017), and fungi (Pavelka et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2016; Robbins et al., 2017; Todd et al., 2017). Aneuploidy has been implicated in cancer formation and progression (Boveri, 2008; Schvartzman et al., 2010): 90% of solid tumours and 50% of blood cancers are aneuploid (Santaguida and Amon, 2015). Aneuploidy is also linked to the emergence of drug resistance (Selmecki et al., 2009) and virulence (Möller et al., 2018) in fungal pathogens, which are under-studied (Rodrigues and Albuquerque, 2018) despite infecting close to a billion people per year, causing serious infections and significant morbidity in >150 million people per year and killing >1.5 million people per year (Selmecki et al., 2009; Rodrigues and Albuquerque, 2018). In addition, aneuploidy is common in protozoan pathogens of the *Leishmania* genus, a major global health concern (Mannaert et al., 2012).

Aneuploidy is generally deleterious. The molecular and genetic mechanisms involved in aneuploidy have been explored in the past decade (Musacchio and Salmon, 2007; Sheltzer and Amon, 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Rancati and Pavelka, 2013; Gerstein et al., 2015; Shor and Perlin, 2015). Experiments with human and mouse embryos found that aneuploidy is usually lethal; it is also associated with developmental defects and lethality in other multicellular organisms (Sheltzer and Amon, 2011). For example, aneuploid mouse embryonic cells grow slower than euploid cells (Williams et al., 2008). Similarly, in unicellular eukaryotes growing in benign conditions, aneuploidy usually leads to slower growth and decreased overall fitness (Niwa et al., 2006; Torres et al., 2007; Pavelka et al., 2010; Sheltzer and Amon, 2011; Kasuga et al., 2016), in part due to proteotoxic stress caused by increased expression in aneuploid cells (Pavelka et al., 2010; Santaguida et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2018) and hypo-osmotic-like stress (Tsai et al., 2019).

Aneuploidy can lead to adaptation. However, aneuploidy can be beneficial under stressful conditions due to the wide range of phenotypes it can produce, some of which are advantageous (Pavelka et al., 2010). Thus, aneuploidy can lead to rapid adaptation in unicellular eukaryotes (Gerstein et al., 2015; Torres et al., 2010; Hong and Gresham, 2014; Rancati et al., 2008), as well as to rapid growth of somatic tumour cells (Schvartzman et al., 2010; Sheltzer et al., 2017). For example, aneuploidy in *S. cerevisiae* facilitates adaptation to a variety of stressful conditions like heat and pH (Yona et al., 2012), copper (Covo et al., 2014; Gerstein et al., 2015), salt (Dhar et al., 2011), and nutrient limitation (Dunham et al., 2002; Gresham et al., 2008). Importantly, aneuploidy can also lead to drug resistance in pathogenic fungi such as *Candida albicans* (Selmecki et al., 2008, 2010; Gerstein and Berman, 2018) and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Sionov et al., 2010), which cause candidiasis and meningoencephalitis, respectively.

Transient adaptive solution. Aneuploidy differs from mutation due to its distinct properties. Chromosome duplication usually occurs more often than mutation and on average produces larger fitness effects. Yet, because it affects many genes on a whole chromosome or a chromosome fragment, aneuploidy also carries fitness costs. Thus, aneuploidy can be a *transient adaptive solution*: it can rapidly occur and fix in the population under stressful conditions, and can be rapidly lost when the cost outweighs the benefit – when stress is removed or after beneficial mutations occur. Experimental evidence of such a transient role of aneuploidy was demonstrated by Yona et al. (2012), who evolved populations of *S. cerevisiae* under strong heat or pH stress. In these experiments, the populations quickly adapted to the stress, and this adaptation was determined to be due to chromosome duplications. Later on, the populations reverted back to an euploid state, while remaining adapted to the stress and accumulating multiple mutations. However, under gradual heat stress, aneuploidy was not

observed. Yona et al. (2012) concluded that aneuploidy serves as a transient adaptive solution, or a “quick fix”, which is expected to facilitate adaptation.

The present study. Here, we develop a series of computational evolutionary models that include the effects of natural selection, genetic drift, aneuploidy and mutation to examine the role of aneuploidy in adaptation. These models follow a population of cells characterised by both their ploidy and their genotype. We fit these models to the empirical results of Yona et al. (2012) using approximate Bayesian computation. These model fits allow us to infer model parameters, including selection coefficients and transition rates, and to perform model selection between different models, thereby testing different hypotheses about the evolutionary process. We find that the aneuploidy rate is several orders of magnitude higher than the mutation rate; that a simple model of clonal interference is not enough to explain the transience of aneuploidy; and that aneuploidy rescues the population, albeit at the price of delaying further adaptation.

Models and Methods

Results

Discussion

Aneuploidy and mutation: *same-same but different*. The published data indicate that, like mutation, aneuploidy can be both deleterious and beneficial (Pavelka et al., 2010; Sheltzer and Amon, 2011). Nevertheless, there are important and fundamental differences between adaptation by aneuploidy and adaptation by beneficial mutations (Yona et al., 2015), which make aneuploidy a unique mechanism for generating genetic variation. First, the aneuploidy rate (i.e. the frequency of mis-segregation events) is significantly higher than the mutation rate (Santaguida and Amon, 2015). Thus, everything else being equal, adaptation by aneuploidy will be faster and more frequent. Second, fitness effects of aneuploidy are larger than those of the majority of mutations, on average, and are rarely neutral (Pavelka et al., 2010; Yona et al., 2012; Sunshine et al., 2015), allowing selection to quickly sort deleterious and beneficial genotypes. Third, the number of different karyotypes is considerably smaller than the number of different genotypes, and different karyotypes are likely to have different phenotypes (Pavelka et al., 2010). Therefore, exploration of the phenotype space by aneuploidy requires smaller populations and a shorter time span. Fourth, aneuploidy is a reversible state, as the rate of chromosome loss is high and the cost of aneuploidy is significant (Niwa et al., 2006). Indeed, aneuploidy often provides a transient solution: under short-term stress conditions, aneuploidy reverts (chromosome number returns to normal) when the stress subsides; under long-term stress conditions, aneuploidy reverts when refined solutions, generated by beneficial mutations, take over (Yona et al., 2012). Finally, aneuploidy results in increased genome instability, potentially increasing genetic variation by a positive feedback loop (Rancati and Pavelka, 2013; Bouchonville et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2012), while also increasing its own transience.

Evolutionary theory of aneuploidy. The role of aneuploidy in adaptation has only recently been observed (Sionov et al., 2010; Yona et al., 2012; Gerstein et al., 2015), and is largely missing from the literature on evolution and adaptation: the introductory textbook *Evolution* by Bergstrom and Dugatkin (2012) does not mention the word aneuploidy, and the graduate-level book *Mutation-Driven Evolution* by Nei (2013) only briefly mentions aneuploidy in the context of speciation, but not adaptation. In recent reviews of the literature, aneuploidy is suggested to play an important role in fungal adaptation (Robbins et al., 2017; Todd et al., 2017) and cancer evolution (Santaguida and Amon, 2015; Naylor and van Deursen, 2016; Sansregret and Swanton, 2017), yet these reviews cite no theoretical studies nor any quantitative models. Indeed, evolutionary, ecological, and epidemiological studies mostly assume adaptation occurs via beneficial mutations, recombination, and sex. Therefore, there is a critical need to develop an evolutionary theory of aneuploidy like the evolutionary theories of other mechanisms for generation of genetic variation, e.g. mutation (Lynch, 2010), recombination (Hartfield and Keightley, 2012), and sex (Otto, 2009). An evolutionary theory of aneuploidy will be central to the interpretation of experimental and clinical observations and design of new hypotheses, experiments, and treatments (Carja et al., 2014). For example, despite the lack of theoretical models, aneuploidy has been invoked in a new strategy to combat pathogens and tumour cells by setting “evolutionary traps” (Gerstein et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2015), in which a condition that predictably leads to emergence of aneuploidy is applied, followed by a condition that specifically selects against aneuploid cells.

Acknowledgements

We thank Lilach Hadany, Judith Berman, David Gresham, and Martin Kupiec for discussions and comments. This work was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation 552/19 (YR).

References

- Bakhoun, S. F. and Landau, D. A. (2017), ‘Chromosomal instability as a driver of tumor heterogeneity and evolution’, *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **7**(6), 1–14.
- Bergstrom, C. T. and Dugatkin, L. A. (2012), *Evolution*, 1 edn, W. W. Norton & Company, New York, NY.
- Bouchonville, K., Forche, A., Tang, K. E. S., Semple, C. a. M. and Berman, J. (2009), ‘Aneuploid chromosomes are highly unstable during DNA transformation of *Candida albicans*’, *Eukaryot. Cell* **8**(10), 1554–66.
URL: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2756872>
- Boveri, T. (2008), ‘Concerning the Origin of Malignant Tumours’, *J. Cell Sci.* **121**(Supplement 1), 1–84.
URL: <http://jcs.biologists.org/cgi/doi/10.1242/jcs.025742>
- Carja, O., Liberman, U. and Feldman, M. W. (2014), ‘Evolution in changing environments: Modifiers of mutation, recombination, and migration’, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* p. 201417664.
URL: <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1417664111>
- Chen, G., Mulla, W. A., Kucharavy, A., Tsai, H.-J., Rubinstein, B., Conkright, J., McCroskey, S., Bradford, W. D., Weems, L., Haug, J. S., Seidel, C. W., Berman, J. and Li, R. (2015), ‘Targeting the Adaptability of Heterogeneous Aneuploids’, *Cell* **160**(4), 771–784.
URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092867415000690>
- Chen, G., Rubinstein, B. and Li, R. (2012), ‘Whole chromosome aneuploidy: Big mutations drive adaptation by phenotypic leap’, *BioEssays* **34**(10), 893–900.
URL: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3526072&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
<http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/bies.201200069>
- Covo, S., Puccia, C. M., Argueso, J. L., Gordenin, D. A. and Resnick, M. A. (2014), ‘The sister chromatid cohesion pathway suppresses multiple chromosome gain and chromosome amplification.’, *Genetics* **196**(2), 373–384.
- Dhar, R., Sägerser, R., Weikert, C., Yuan, J. and Wagner, A. (2011), ‘Adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to saline stress through laboratory evolution.’, *J. Evol. Biol.* **24**(5), 1135–53.
URL: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21375649>
- Dunham, M. J., Badrane, H., Ferea, T., Adams, J., Brown, P. O., Rosenzweig, F. and Botstein, D. (2002), ‘Characteristic genome rearrangements in experimental evolution of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*’, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**(25), 16144–16149.
URL: <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.242624799>
- Gerstein, A. C. and Berman, J. (2018), ‘Diversity of acquired adaptation to fluconazole is influenced by genetic background and ancestral fitness in *Candida albicans*’, *bioRxiv* p. 360347.
URL: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/07/16/360347>

- Gerstein, A. C., Ono, J., Lo, D. S., Campbell, M. L., Kuzmin, A. and Otto, S. P. (2015), 'Too much of a good thing: the unique and repeated paths toward copper adaptation.', *Genetics* **199**(2), 555–71.
URL: <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84936817878&partnerID=tZOtx3y1>
- Gresham, D., Desai, M. M., Tucker, C. M., Jenq, H. T., Pai, D. A., Ward, A., DeSevo, C. G., Botstein, D. and Dunham, M. J. (2008), 'The repertoire and dynamics of evolutionary adaptations to controlled nutrient-limited environments in yeast', *PLoS Genet.* **4**(12).
- Hartfield, M. and Keightley, P. D. (2012), 'Current hypotheses for the evolution of sex and recombination.', *Integr. Zool.* **7**(2), 192–209.
URL: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22691203>
- Hong, J. and Gresham, D. (2014), 'Molecular Specificity, Convergence and Constraint Shape Adaptive Evolution in Nutrient-Poor Environments', *PLoS Genet.* **10**(1).
- Kasuga, T., Bui, M., Bernhardt, E., Swiecki, T., Aram, K., Cano, L. M., Webber, J., Brasier, C., Press, C., Grünwald, N. J., Rizzo, D. M. and Garbelotto, M. (2016), 'Host-induced aneuploidy and phenotypic diversification in the Sudden Oak Death pathogen *Phytophthora ramorum*', *BMC Genomics* **17**(1), 1–17.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2717-z>
- Lynch, M. (2010), 'Evolution of the mutation rate.', *Trends Genet.* **26**(8), 345–352.
URL: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20594608>
- Mannaert, A., Downing, T., Imamura, H. and Dujardin, J. C. (2012), 'Adaptive mechanisms in pathogens: Universal aneuploidy in *Leishmania*', *Trends Parasitol.* **28**(9), 370–376.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2012.06.003>
- Möller, M., Habig, M., Freitag, M. and Stukenbrock, E. H. (2018), 'Extraordinary Genome Instability and Widespread Chromosome Rearrangements During Vegetative Growth', *Genetics* **210**(2), 517–529.
URL: <http://www.genetics.org/lookup/doi/10.1534/genetics.118.301050>
- Musacchio, A. and Salmon, E. D. (2007), 'The spindle-assembly checkpoint in space and time', *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **8**(5), 379–393.
- Naylor, R. M. and van Deursen, J. M. (2016), 'Aneuploidy in Cancer and Aging', *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **50**(1), 45–66.
URL: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-035303>
- Nei, M. (2013), *Mutation-Driven Evolution*, 1st edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Niwa, O., Tange, Y. and Kurabayashi, A. (2006), 'Growth arrest and chromosome instability in aneuploid yeast', *Yeast* **23**(13), 937–950.
URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/yea.1411>
- Otto, S. P. (2009), 'The Evolutionary Enigma of Sex', *Am. Nat.* **174**(july), S1–S14.
URL: <http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/599084%5Cnhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19441111>
- Pavelka, N., Rancati, G., Zhu, J., Bradford, W. D., Saraf, A., Florens, L., Sanderson, B. W., Hattem, G. L. and Li, R. (2010), 'Aneuploidy confers quantitative proteome changes and phenotypic variation in budding yeast.', *Nature* **468**(7321), 321–5.
URL: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2978756&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>

- Rancati, G. and Pavelka, N. (2013), 'Karyotypic changes as drivers and catalyzers of cellular evolvability: A perspective from non-pathogenic yeasts', *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **24**(4), 332–338.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2013.01.009> <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1084952113>
- Rancati, G., Pavelka, N., Fleharty, B., Noll, A., Trimble, R., Walton, K., Perera, A., Staehling-Hampton, K., Seidel, C. W. and Li, R. (2008), 'Aneuploidy Underlies Rapid Adaptive Evolution of Yeast Cells Deprived of a Conserved Cytokinesis Motor', *Cell* **135**(5), 879–893.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.039>
- Robbins, N., Caplan, T. and Cowen, L. E. (2017), 'Molecular Evolution of Antifungal Drug Resistance', *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **71**(1), 753–775.
URL: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-micro-030117-020345>
- Rodrigues, M. L. and Albuquerque, P. C. (2018), 'Searching for a change: The need for increased support for public health and research on fungal diseases', *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **12**(6), 1–5.
- Sansregret, L. and Swanton, C. (2017), 'The Role of Aneuploidy in Cancer Evolution', *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **7**(1), a028373.
URL: <http://perspectivesinmedicine.cshlp.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/cshperspect.a028373>
- Santaguida, S. and Amon, A. (2015), 'Short- and long-term effects of chromosome mis-segregation and aneuploidy', *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**(8), 473–485.
URL: <http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/nrm4025>
- Santaguida, S., Vasile, E., White, E. and Amon, A. (2015), 'Aneuploidy-induced cellular stresses limit autophagic degradation', *Genes Dev.* **29**(19), 2010–2021.
- Schvartzman, J. M., Sotillo, R. and Benezra, R. (2010), 'Mitotic chromosomal instability and cancer: Mouse modelling of the human disease', *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **10**(2), 102–115.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc2781>
- Selmecki, A. M., Dulmage, K., Cowen, L. E., Anderson, J. B. and Berman, J. (2009), 'Acquisition of Aneuploidy Provides Increased Fitness during the Evolution of Antifungal Drug Resistance', *PLoS Genet.* **5**(10), e1000705.
URL: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2760147>
<http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000705>
- Selmecki, A. M., Forche, A. and Berman, J. (2010), 'Genomic Plasticity of the Human Fungal Pathogen *Candida albicans*', *Eukaryot. Cell* **9**(7), 991–1008.
URL: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20495058> <http://ec.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/EC.00060-10>
- Selmecki, A. M., Gerami-Nejad, M., Paulson, C., Forche, A. and Berman, J. (2008), 'An isochromosome confers drug resistance in vivo by amplification of two genes, ERG11 and TAC1', *Mol. Microbiol.* **68**(3), 624–641.
URL: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18363649> <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06176.x>
- Sheltzer, J. M. and Amon, A. (2011), 'The aneuploidy paradox: Costs and benefits of an incorrect karyotype', *Trends Genet.* **27**(11), 446–453.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2011.07.003>

- Sheltzer, J. M., Ko, J. H., Replogle, J. M., Habibe Burgos, N. C., Chung, E. S., Meehl, C. M., Sayles, N. M., Passerini, V., Storchova, Z. and Amon, A. (2017), ‘Single-chromosome Gains Commonly Function as Tumor Suppressors’, *Cancer Cell* **31**(2), 240–255.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.12.004>
- Shor, E. and Perlin, D. S. (2015), ‘Coping with Stress and the Emergence of Multidrug Resistance in Fungi’, *PLOS Pathog.* **11**(3), e1004668.
URL: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004668>
- Sionov, E., Lee, H., Chang, Y. C. and Kwon-Chung, K. J. (2010), ‘*Cryptococcus neoformans* Overcomes Stress of Azole Drugs by Formation of Disomy in Specific Multiple Chromosomes’, *PLoS Pathog.* **6**(4), e1000848.
URL: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000848>
- Sunshine, A. B., Payen, C., Ong, G. T., Liachko, I., Tan, K. M. and Dunham, M. J. (2015), ‘The Fitness Consequences of Aneuploidy Are Driven by Condition-Dependent Gene Effects’, *PLOS Biol.* **13**(5), e1002155.
URL: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26011532%5Cnhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC44>
<http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002155>
- Todd, R. T., Forche, A. and Selmecki, A. M. (2017), ‘Ploidy Variation in Fungi: Polyploidy, Aneuploidy, and Genome Evolution’, *Microbiol. Spectr.* **5**(4), 1–20.
URL: <http://www.asmscience.org/content/journal/microbiolspec/10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0051-2016>
- Torres, E. M., Dephoure, N., Panneerselvam, A., Tucker, C. M., Whittaker, C. A., Gygi, S. P., Dunham, M. J. and Amon, A. (2010), ‘Identification of aneuploidy-tolerating mutations’, *Cell* **143**(1), 71–83.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.08.038>
- Torres, E. M., Sokolsky, T., Tucker, C. M., Chan, L. Y., Boselli, M., Dunham, M. J. and Amon, A. (2007), ‘Effects of Aneuploidy on Cellular Physiology and Cell Division in Haploid Yeast’, *Science* (80-.). **317**(5840), 916–924.
URL: <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1142210>
- Tsai, H. J., Nelliati, A. R., Choudhury, M. I., Kucharavy, A., Bradford, W. D., Cook, M. E., Kim, J., Mair, D. B., Sun, S. X., Schatz, M. C. and Li, R. (2019), ‘Hypo-osmotic-like stress underlies general cellular defects of aneuploidy’, *Nature* .
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1187-2>
- Williams, B. R., Prabhu, V. R., Hunter, K. E., Glazier, C. M., Whittaker, C. a., Housman, D. E. and Amon, A. (2008), ‘Aneuploidy Affects Proliferation and Spontaneous Immortalization in Mammalian Cells’, *Science* (80-.). **322**(5902), 703–709.
URL: <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1160058>
- Yona, A., Frumkin, I. and Pilpel, Y. (2015), ‘A Relay Race on the Evolutionary Adaptation Spectrum’, *Cell* **163**(3), 549–559.
URL: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867415013185>
- Yona, A., Manor, Y. S., Herbst, R. H., Romano, G. H., Mitchell, A., Kupiec, M., Pilpel, Y. and Dahan, O. (2012), ‘Chromosomal duplication is a transient evolutionary solution to stress.’, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**(51), 21010–5.
URL: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3529009>

- Zhu, J., Pavelka, N., Bradford, W. D., Rancati, G. and Li, R. (2012), 'Karyotypic determinants of chromosome instability in aneuploid budding yeast', *PLoS Genet.* **8**(5).
- Zhu, J., Tsai, H.-J., Gordon, M. R. and Li, R. (2018), 'Cellular Stress Associated with Aneuploidy', *Dev. Cell* **44**(4), 420–431.
URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.02.002>
- Zhu, Y. O., Sherlock, G. and Petrov, D. A. (2016), 'Whole Genome Analysis of 132 Clinical *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Strains Reveals Extensive Ploidy Variation', *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* **6**(8), 2421–2434.
URL: <http://g3journal.org/lookup/doi/10.1534/g3.116.029397>