



Review

Review on CO₂ removal from ocean with an emphasis on direct ocean capture (DOC) technologies

Sumudu Karunarathne^{a,*}, Sara Andrenacci^b, Andres Carranza-Abaid^c, Chameera Jayarathna^d, Michel Maelum^e, Ragnhild Skagestad^a, Hans Aksel Haugen^a

^a SINTEF Industry, Forskningsparken, Hydrovegen 67, 3936 Porsgrunn, Norway

^b SINTEF Industry, Sem Sælands vei 12, 7034 Trondheim, Norway

^c Equinor ASA, R&T Centre, Arkitekt Ebbells veg 10, 7005 Trondheim, Norway

^d Hydro Aluminium AS, Hydrovegen 67, P.O. Box. 1128, N-3905 Porsgrunn, Norway

^e Schlumberger Norge AS, Tormod gjestlandsvei 16, 3936 porsgrunn, Norway

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

DOC
CCS
CDR
Carbon capture
Electrochemical ocean capture
Mineralization
Ocean alkalinity enhancement

ABSTRACT

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) capture and removal are pivotal in addressing climate change. Beyond capturing CO₂ directly from industrial emissions, the scope of greenhouse gas control has been extended to include technologies designed to remove CO₂ from the atmosphere. Recent developments focus on maturing promising Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR) technologies that remove and permanently store CO₂. This article specifically examines a subset of CDR technologies referred to as ocean-based negative emission technologies (ONETs). The technologies under review involve modifications to seawater chemistry aimed at maximizing the ocean's potential as a CO₂ sink. Specifically, electrochemical ocean capture (EOC) and ocean alkalinity enhancement (OAE) are discussed.

There is a growing interest towards electrochemical ocean capture (EOC) utilizing different approaches, such as bipolar membrane electrodialysis (BPMED), three-chambered electrolytic cation exchange module (E-CEM), electrochemical hydrogen looping (EHL) and asymmetric chloride-mediated electrochemical process. The literature review shows that recent developments have up to 91% CO₂ capture efficiency and a record-low electricity consumption in the electrodialysis process of 2.4 GJ/tonneCO₂ with an EHL system and up to 87% CO₂ capture efficiency with an electricity consumption of 2.8 GJ/tonneCO₂ in the asymmetric chloride-mediated electrochemical process. Potential industrial and environmental challenges and solutions for the successful large-scale implementation of ONETs for greenhouse gas removal are discussed.

1. Background

Ever since the first anthropogenic CO₂ was generated from fossil fuels, humans have released substantial amounts of CO₂ to the atmosphere where a large fraction of these emissions have been absorbed by the oceans. It has been estimated that over the period from year 1750 to 2000, the oceans have absorbed approximately one-third of the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. This has dropped the overall ocean alkalinity and the biggest changes have been seen in the ocean surface where the pH has decreased from 8.2 to 8.1 [1]. However, despite being a thermodynamically favourable process, oceans cannot completely offset all anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, even though oceans cover more than 70 % of Earth's surface. This limitation arises from the slow kinetics and mass transfer involved in the CO₂ solubilization, coupled with a

diminishing driving force. Consequently, the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere has been steadily increasing over the past centuries.

According to the IPCC report [2], aggressive mitigation measures should be taken to reduce CO₂ emissions by 100 – 1000 Gt CO₂ before the end of the 21st century to avert the worst consequences of climate change. However, aside from capturing CO₂ directly from industrial emission sources, the technology toolbox is now extended to develop technologies to capture CO₂ directly from the environment. These technologies are also referred to as climate-positive or carbon dioxide removal (CDR) technologies. The objective of climate positive technologies is twofold: to abate unavoidable CO₂ emissions and to remove already-made emissions. It must be remarked that climate positive technologies are not to be considered as competitive substitutes for Post-Combustion CO₂ Capture (PCCC) technologies; instead, they are complementary.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sumudu.karunarathne@sintef.no (S. Karunarathne).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.128598>

Received 23 April 2024; Received in revised form 15 June 2024; Accepted 26 June 2024

Available online 28 June 2024

1383-5866/© 2024 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.