



## Review

## Biological monitoring for carbon capture and storage – A review and potential future developments

Ryan R.P. Noble<sup>a,\*</sup>, Linda Stalker<sup>a</sup>, Steven A. Wakelin<sup>b</sup>, Bobby Pejic<sup>a</sup>, Matthew I. Leybourne<sup>c,1</sup>, Allison L. Hortle<sup>a</sup>, Karsten Michael<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CSIRO Earth Science and Resource Engineering/CO2CRC, P.O. Box 1130 Bentley, Western Australia 6102, Australia

<sup>b</sup> AgResearch Ltd., Lincoln Science Centre, Cnr Springs Road and Gerald Street, Private Bag 4749, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand

<sup>c</sup> GNS Science, P.O. Box 30-368, Lower Hutt, New Zealand

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 28 January 2012

Received in revised form 17 July 2012

Accepted 19 July 2012

Available online 10 August 2012

## Keywords:

CO<sub>2</sub>

Monitoring and verification

Microbiological arrays

Biosensor

## ABSTRACT

Effective monitoring of carbon capture and storage (CCS) projects is essential, but detection of leaks and subsequent subtle changes in the surrounding environment are difficult to assess. New tools are being developed for this purpose, yet biological monitoring methods have been under valued; this is surprising given the rapid technological expansion in the field of microbial ecology over the last decade. A review of biological monitoring for CCS shows a number of techniques such as plant surveys, bacterial counts and DNA fingerprinting that have been applied to natural analogues or shallow injection sites. The results of the monitoring potential of these methods vary, perhaps explaining the limited research and adoption of biological monitoring for CCS projects. However, new tools such as microarrays provide rapid throughput that can characterise microbial populations and functional genes that may change due to CO<sub>2</sub> leakage and subsequent effects. These tools are not the whole answer for CCS monitoring, but they open new opportunities in this area and should lead to the development of simple biosensors and an expansion of the monitoring toolkit. Comparisons to other fields of research, such as tracing contaminants plumes of BTEX, demonstrate how the techniques reviewed can be developed and applied to CCS monitoring.

Crown Copyright © 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	521
2. Review of macroflora and fauna monitoring .....	521
2.1. Terrestrial ecosystem – botanical monitoring .....	521
2.2. Terrestrial ecosystem – zoological monitoring .....	523
2.3. Terrestrial ecosystem – rhizosphere monitoring .....	523
2.4. Aquatic ecosystem monitoring .....	523
2.5. Ecosystem monitoring limitations .....	524
3. Review of microbiological monitoring .....	524
3.1. Bacterial counts .....	525
3.2. Microbiological/metabolic activity .....	525
3.3. Biosensors for selected enzymes/metabolic shifts .....	526
3.4. Community structure analysis .....	527
3.4.1. Phospholipid fatty acids .....	527
3.4.2. Microcosms .....	527
3.4.3. PCR-based DNA fingerprinting .....	527
4. New tools .....	528
4.1. Microarrays .....	528
4.2. PhyloChip® .....	529
4.3. GeoChip® .....	529

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +61 8 6436 8559.

E-mail address: [ryan.noble@csiro.au](mailto:ryan.noble@csiro.au) (R.R.P. Noble).

<sup>1</sup> Present address: ALS Geochemistry, 2103 Dollarton Hwy, North Vancouver, BC, Canada V7H 0A7.