



Review

Progress in reducing calcination reaction temperature of Calcium-Looping CO₂ capture technology: A critical review

Rui Han^{a,b}, Yang Wang^{a,b}, Shuang Xing^{a,b}, Caihong Pang^{a,b}, Yang Hao^{a,b}, Chunfeng Song^{a,b}, Qingling Liu^{a,b,*}

^a Tianjin Key Lab of Indoor Air Environmental Quality Control, School of Environmental Science and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Engines, School of mechanical engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

CO₂ capture
Calcium looping
Calcination
Sintering prevention

ABSTRACT

The calcium looping (CaL) process is a promising CO₂ capture technology based on a reversible reaction, $\text{CaO(s)} + \text{CO}_2(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(\text{s})$, and the forward and reverse directions of this reaction are often referred to as carbonation and calcination. Although CaO-based sorbent has the advantages of abundant reserves, low cost, and high theoretical capacity of CO₂, it is limited by significant sintering of CaO grains over carbonation/calcination cycles, resulting in a rapid decline in CO₂ capture performance. As an essential part of CaL technology, the CaCO₃ calcination stage has a decisive influence on the sintering degree of CaO grains. In this work, a systematic understanding of fundamental aspects of the CaCO₃ calcination is reviewed. The effects of calcination reaction conditions on the sintering of CaO grains and the resulting decline in CO₂ capture performance during the cyclic operation were discussed. A number of efforts to reduce the calcination reaction temperature, thus slowing down CaO grains sintering, have been summarized, such as decreasing CaCO₃ crystallinity, doping CaCO₃ with alkali/alkaline earth salt, reducing CO₂ absolute pressure, injecting steam, as well as in situ converting CO₂. Finally, the future development trends for the above strategies to reduce the CaCO₃ calcination temperature are also recommended. We hope this work can help and inspire researchers to make breakthroughs in this field.

1. Introduction

It is well known that carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the primary greenhouse gas responsible for climate change, such as global warming and ocean acidification [1]. CO₂ capture and storage (CCS) technology is currently one of the most promising solutions to control CO₂ emissions and has been commercially demonstrated. CCS mainly includes 3 steps: CO₂ capture, transportation, and storage. Compared with the CO₂ transportation and storage steps, the cost of CO₂ capture accounts for 60 ~ 70% of the total cost of CCS [2]. Therefore, it is urgent to develop cost-effective CO₂ capture technology. The post-combustion amine scrubbing is currently the most mature CO₂ capture technology on the industrial scale. However, several issues related to this technology limit its large-scale application, including high regeneration energy (efficiency penalty of 9.9 ~ 14.0) [3], high cost (\$52 ~ 77/ton) [4], solvent degradation [5], corrosiveness [6], and reaction with other compounds in the flue gas (such as O₂, SO₂, NO_x, and fly ash) [7,8].

In the past few years, the utilization of solids to capture CO₂ has been considered a more practical and inexpensive alternative [9–12]. The calcium looping (CaL) process is an emerging and promising CO₂ capture technology based on the reversible carbonation/calcination reaction [13,14].

Carbonation:



Calcination:



Compared with other CO₂ capture technologies, such as amine scrubbing technology, CaL technology has the following advantages: (1) Natural limestone or dolomite, which is abundant and environmentally friendly, can be used as the raw material to prepare CaO; (2) The CO₂ absorption capacity of CaO is relatively high, reaching 0.786 gCO₂/gCaO; (3) The energy consumption of CaL technology is relatively low

* Corresponding author at: Tianjin Key Lab of Indoor Air Environmental Quality Control, School of Environmental Science and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China.

E-mail address: liuql@tju.edu.cn (Q. Liu).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.137952>

Received 13 April 2022; Received in revised form 11 June 2022; Accepted 4 July 2022

Available online 7 July 2022

1385-8947/© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.