

# Comparison Fortran and Julia Methods

October 3, 2021

## 1 Fortran vs Julia

In this notebook I intend to compare the Fortran and Julia solvers for the PS3 model.

First we will compare both solvers in regards to solving the Value Function.

```
[4]: using Distributed, SharedArrays, BenchmarkTools
      cd("/home/mitchv34/Work/2nd Year/ECON 899 (Computational Methods)/1st Quarter/
      ↳ Problem Sets/Shared Repo/Shared Repo")
```

```
[8]: include("../JuliaCode/conesa_kueger.jl");
```

```
[17]: # This function will compile and run the Fortran code
      function V_fun_Fortran()
          path = "/home/mitchv34/Work/2nd Year/ECON 899 (Computational Methods)/1st Quarter/Problem Sets/Shared Repo/Shared Repo/PS3/FortranCode/"
          run(`gfortran -fopenmp -O2 -o $(path)V_fun_Fortran $(path)conesa_kueger.f90`)
          run(`$(path)V_Fortran`)
      end
```

V\_fun\_Fortran (generic function with 1 method)

```
[20]: # We can benchmark the Fortran code
      @benchmark V_fun_Fortran()
```

BenchmarkTools.Trial: 4 samples with 1 evaluation.

Range (min ... max):	1.422 s ... 1.775 s	GC (min ... max):	0.00% ... 0.00%
Time (median):	1.695 s	GC (median):	0.00%
Time (mean ± ):	1.647 s ± 157.521 ms	GC (mean ± ):	0.00% ± 0.00%

1.42 s

Histogram: frequency by time

1.77 s <

Memory estimate: 7.62 KiB, allocs estimate: 166.

```
[21]: # We can also benchmark the Julia code
@benchmark begin
    prim, res = Initialize()
    V_ret(prim, res)
    V_workers(prim, res)
end
```

BenchmarkTools.Trial: 3 samples with 1 evaluation.

```
Range (min ... max):  1.825 s ...  1.911 s    GC (min ... max): 0.70% ... 0.86%
Time  (median):      1.861 s              GC (median):    0.69%
Time  (mean ± ):     1.865 s ± 43.327 ms    GC (mean ± ):  0.75% ± 0.10%
```

1.82 s                      Histogram: frequency by time                      1.91 s <

Memory estimate: 312.40 MiB, allocs estimate: 44575.

Being fair just running the fortran code will not help a lot, next we will use a function that reads in the data from the Fortran code in a format that is easier to work with.

```
[28]: function V_fun_Fortran2(prim::Primitives, res::Results)
    @unpack r, w, b = res
    # PS3/FortranCode/conesa_kueger.f90
    # Compile Fortran code
    path = "/home/mitchv34/Work/2nd Year/ECON 899 (Computational Methods)/1st_
↳Quarter/Problem Sets/Shared Repo/Shared Repo/PS3/FortranCode/"
    run(`gfortran -fopenmp -O2 -o $(path)V_Fortran $(path)conesa_kueger.f90`)
    # run(`./T_op $q $n_iter`)
    run(`$(path)V_Fortran`)

    results_raw = readlm("$(path)results.csv");

    val_fun = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)      # Initialize the value_
↳function
    pol_fun = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)      # Initialize the policy_
↳function
    pol_fun_ind = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final + 1)      # Initialize the_
↳policy function index
    consumption = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)      # Initialize the_
↳consumption function
    l_fun = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)      # Initialize the labor_
↳policy function
    for j in 1:prim.N_final
        range_a = (j-1) * 2*prim.nA + 1 : j * 2*prim.nA |> collect
        val_fun[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end], _
↳results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1: end], end])
```

```

        pol_fun_ind[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-1],
    ↪ results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-1])
        pol_fun[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-2],
    ↪ results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-2])
        consumption[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-3],
    ↪ results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-3])
        l_fun[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-4],
    ↪ results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-4])
    end
    A_grid_fortran = results_raw[1:prim.nA, end-5]
    res.val_fun = val_fun
    res.pol_fun = pol_fun
    res.pol_fun_ind = pol_fun_ind
    res.l_fun = l_fun
    return A_grid_fortran, consumption

end # run_Fortran()

```

V\_fun\_Fortran2 (generic function with 1 method)

```

[34]: @benchmark begin
        prim, res = Initialize()
        V_fun_Fortran2(prim, res)
    end

```

BenchmarkTools.Trial: 3 samples with 1 evaluation.

```

Range (min ... max):  1.882 s ...  1.955 s    GC (min ... max): 6.95% ... 1.13%
Time  (median):      1.917 s                GC (median):    1.15%
Time  (mean ± ):     1.918 s ± 36.259 ms    GC (mean ± ):   2.77% ± 3.61%

```

1.88 s                      Histogram: frequency by time                      1.95 s <

Memory estimate: 142.82 MiB, allocs estimate: 3169629.

But Fortran code is actually doing more than just solving the Value Function. It also solves for the stationary distribution and the aggregate levels of capital and labor.

Let's compare the results with a Julia code that does the same.

```

[36]: @benchmark begin
        prim, res = Initialize()

        V_ret(prim, res);
        V_workers(prim, res);

        SteadyStateDist(prim, res);
    end

```

```

# calculate aggregate capital and labor
K = sum(res.F[:, :, :] .* prim.a_grid)
L = sum(res.F[:, :, :] .* res.l_fun) # Labor supply grid
end

```

BenchmarkTools.Trial: 2 samples with 1 evaluation.

```

Range (min ... max):  2.799 s ...  2.866 s    GC (min ... max): 2.75% ... 2.89%
Time  (median):      2.832 s                GC (median):    2.82%
Time  (mean ± ):      2.832 s ± 46.813 ms    GC (mean ± ):  2.82% ± 0.09%

```

2.8 s                      Histogram: frequency by time                      2.87 s <

Memory estimate: 1.33 GiB, allocs estimate: 1925921.

This means that we can use Fortran to solve the model using Fortran just with the aggregates which will reduce the computational load of reading in the data.

```

[42]: function solve_model_Fortran(prim, res; ::Float64=0.7, tol::Float64=1e-2, err::
      ↪Float64=100.0)
    prim, res= Initialize()
    @unpack w_mkt, r_mkt, b_mkt, J_R, a_grid = prim
    path = "/home/mitchv34/Work/2nd Year/ECON 899 (Computational Methods)/1st_
      ↪Quarter/Problem Sets/Shared Repo/Shared Repo/PS3/FortranCode/"

    n = 0 # loop counter
    while err > tol

        # calculate prices and payments at current K, L, and F
        res.r = r_mkt(res.K, res.L)
        res.w = w_mkt(res.K, res.L)
        res.b = b_mkt(res.L, res.w, sum(res. [J_R:end]))

        run(`gfortran -fopenmp -O2 -o $(path)V_Fortran $(path)conesa_kueger.
      ↪f90`)
        # run(`./T_op $q $n_iter`)
        run(`$(path)V_Fortran $0 $(res.r) $(res.w) $(res.b)`)

        K, L = readdlm("$(path)agg_results.csv");

        # calculate error
        err = maximum(abs.([res.K, res.L] - [K, L]))

        if (err > tol*10)
            # Leave at the default
        elseif (err > tol*5) & ( <= 0.85)

```

```

        = 0.85
    elseif (err > tol*1.01) & ( <= 0.90)
        = 0.90
    elseif <= 0.95
        = 0.95
    end

    # update guess
    res.K = (1-)*K + *res.K
    res.L = (1-)*L + *res.L

    n+=1

    println("$n iterations; err = $err, K = ", round(res.K, digits = 4), ",
↪L = ",
        round(res.L, digits = 4), ",   = $ ")

end # while err > tol
# return prim, res
end

```

solve\_model\_Fortran (generic function with 1 method)

```

[47]: @time begin
        prim, res = Initialize()
        solve_model_Fortran(prim, res);
    end

```

```

1 iterations; err = 1.853654283196522, K = 4.5561, L = 0.7164,   = 0.7
2 iterations; err = 0.628222627598225, K = 4.3676, L = 0.5981,   = 0.7
3 iterations; err = 0.7490854426911806, K = 4.1429, L = 0.5175,   = 0.7
4 iterations; err = 0.6394546435929285, K = 3.9511, L = 0.4625,   = 0.7
5 iterations; err = 0.5252353649988502, K = 3.7935, L = 0.4248,   = 0.7
6 iterations; err = 0.39666691660967324, K = 3.6745, L = 0.3991,   = 0.7
7 iterations; err = 0.29359646814189544, K = 3.5864, L = 0.3815,   = 0.7
8 iterations; err = 0.21407905080393608, K = 3.5222, L = 0.3695,   = 0.7
9 iterations; err = 0.15419735072324903, K = 3.4759, L = 0.3612,   = 0.7
10 iterations; err = 0.11656975646133416, K = 3.441, L = 0.3556,   = 0.7
11 iterations; err = 0.07834938237442968, K = 3.4292, L = 0.3537,   = 0.85
12 iterations; err = 0.06733148735338235, K = 3.4191, L = 0.352,   = 0.85
13 iterations; err = 0.05741369700356236, K = 3.4105, L = 0.3506,   = 0.85
14 iterations; err = 0.04806313110832816, K = 3.4057, L = 0.3499,   = 0.9
15 iterations; err = 0.04283516602035853, K = 3.4014, L = 0.3492,   = 0.9
16 iterations; err = 0.038778460525281666, K = 3.3975, L = 0.3486,   = 0.9
17 iterations; err = 0.03493051684980175, K = 3.394, L = 0.348,   = 0.9
18 iterations; err = 0.031047594362970443, K = 3.3909, L = 0.3475,   = 0.9
19 iterations; err = 0.02785262599422067, K = 3.3881, L = 0.3471,   = 0.9

```

```

20 iterations; err = 0.025149609438808618, K = 3.3856, L = 0.3467,   = 0.9
21 iterations; err = 0.022751006757098846, K = 3.3834, L = 0.3463,   = 0.9
22 iterations; err = 0.020527959303886956, K = 3.3813, L = 0.346,    = 0.9
23 iterations; err = 0.01845025031883818, K = 3.3795, L = 0.3457,    = 0.9
24 iterations; err = 0.01589216569091123, K = 3.3779, L = 0.3454,    = 0.9
25 iterations; err = 0.014489272438640288, K = 3.3764, L = 0.3452,    = 0.9
26 iterations; err = 0.013008477086864367, K = 3.3751, L = 0.345,     = 0.9
27 iterations; err = 0.011832110795989781, K = 3.3739, L = 0.3448,    = 0.9
28 iterations; err = 0.010596875039666998, K = 3.3729, L = 0.3447,    = 0.9
29 iterations; err = 0.00958704319094128, K = 3.3724, L = 0.3446,     = 0.95
46.302382 seconds (14.30 k allocations: 6.037 MiB, 0.03% gc time)

```

Compared with the same code using Julia functions:

```

[45]: function MarketClearing_Julia(prim, res, ::Float64=0.7, tol::Float64=1e-2, err:
      ↪::Float64=100.0)

    # unpack relevant variables and functions
    @unpack w_mkt, r_mkt, b_mkt, J_R, a_grid = prim

    n = 0 # loop counter

    # iteratively solve the model until excess savings converge to zero
    while err > tol

        # calculate prices and payments at current K, L, and F
        res.r = r_mkt(res.K, res.L)
        res.w = w_mkt(res.K, res.L)
        res.b = b_mkt(res.L, res.w, sum(res. [J_R:end]))

        # solve model with current model and payments

        V_ret(prim, res);
        V_workers(prim, res);

        SteadyStateDist(prim, res);

        # calculate aggregate capital and labor
        K = sum(res.F[:, :, :] .* a_grid)
        L = sum(res.F[:, :, :] .* res.l_fun) # Labor supply grid

        # calculate error
        err = maximum(abs.([res.K, res.L] - [K, L]))

        if (err > tol*10)
            # Leave at the default
        elseif (err > tol*5) & ( <= 0.85)
            = 0.85
        end
    end
end

```

```

elseif (err > tol*1.01) & ( <= 0.90)
    = 0.90
elseif <= 0.95
    = 0.95
end

# update guess
res.K = (1- )*K + *res.K
res.L = (1- )*L + *res.L

n+=1

println("$n iterations; err = $err, K = ", round(res.K, digits = 4), ",
↪L = ",
    round(res.L, digits = 4), ",    = $ ")

end # while err > tol
# return prim, res
end # MarketClearing

```

MarketClearing\_Julia (generic function with 4 methods)

```

[48]: @time begin
    prim, res = Initialize()
    solve_model_Fortran(prim, res);
end

```

```

1 iterations; err = 1.853654283196522, K = 4.5561, L = 0.7164,    = 0.7
2 iterations; err = 0.628222627598225, K = 4.3676, L = 0.5981,    = 0.7
3 iterations; err = 0.7490854426911806, K = 4.1429, L = 0.5175,    = 0.7
4 iterations; err = 0.6394546435929285, K = 3.9511, L = 0.4625,    = 0.7
5 iterations; err = 0.5252353649988502, K = 3.7935, L = 0.4248,    = 0.7
6 iterations; err = 0.39666691660967324, K = 3.6745, L = 0.3991,    = 0.7
7 iterations; err = 0.29359646814189544, K = 3.5864, L = 0.3815,    = 0.7
8 iterations; err = 0.21407905080393608, K = 3.5222, L = 0.3695,    = 0.7
9 iterations; err = 0.15419735072324903, K = 3.4759, L = 0.3612,    = 0.7
10 iterations; err = 0.11656975646133416, K = 3.441, L = 0.3556,    = 0.7
11 iterations; err = 0.07834938237442968, K = 3.4292, L = 0.3537,    = 0.85
12 iterations; err = 0.06733148735338235, K = 3.4191, L = 0.352,    = 0.85
13 iterations; err = 0.05741369700356236, K = 3.4105, L = 0.3506,    = 0.85
14 iterations; err = 0.04806313110832816, K = 3.4057, L = 0.3499,    = 0.9
15 iterations; err = 0.04283516602035853, K = 3.4014, L = 0.3492,    = 0.9
16 iterations; err = 0.038778460525281666, K = 3.3975, L = 0.3486,    = 0.9
17 iterations; err = 0.03493051684980175, K = 3.394, L = 0.348,    = 0.9
18 iterations; err = 0.031047594362970443, K = 3.3909, L = 0.3475,    = 0.9
19 iterations; err = 0.02785262599422067, K = 3.3881, L = 0.3471,    = 0.9
20 iterations; err = 0.025149609438808618, K = 3.3856, L = 0.3467,    = 0.9

```

```

21 iterations; err = 0.022751006757098846, K = 3.3834, L = 0.3463,   = 0.9
22 iterations; err = 0.020527959303886956, K = 3.3813, L = 0.346,   = 0.9
23 iterations; err = 0.01845025031883818, K = 3.3795, L = 0.3457,   = 0.9
24 iterations; err = 0.01589216569091123, K = 3.3779, L = 0.3454,   = 0.9
25 iterations; err = 0.014489272438640288, K = 3.3764, L = 0.3452,   = 0.9
26 iterations; err = 0.013008477086864367, K = 3.3751, L = 0.345,    = 0.9
27 iterations; err = 0.011832110795989781, K = 3.3739, L = 0.3448,   = 0.9
28 iterations; err = 0.010596875039666998, K = 3.3729, L = 0.3447,   = 0.9
29 iterations; err = 0.00958704319094128, K = 3.3724, L = 0.3446,   = 0.95
46.064710 seconds (14.26 k allocations: 6.034 MiB)

```

We can see that both converge in the same number of iterations to the same solution at virtually the same speed.

Finally we will use Fortran to solve the model completely.

```

[52]: function Fortran_solve_the_whole_thing()
    path = "/home/mitchv34/Work/2nd Year/ECON 899 (Computational Methods)/1st_
↳Quarter/Problem Sets/Shared Repo/Shared Repo/PS3/FortranCode/"
    run(`gfortran -fopenmp -O2 -o $(path)V_Fortran $(path)conesa_kueger.f90`)
    # run(`./T_op $q $n_iter`)
    run(`$(path)V_Fortran $1 $4 $0.9`)

    results_raw = readlm("$(path)results.csv");

    val_fun = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)    # Initialize the value_
↳function
    pol_fun = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)    # Initialize the policy_
↳function
    pol_fun_ind = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final + 1)    # Initialize the_
↳policy function index
    consumption = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)    # Initialize the_
↳consumption function
    l_fun = zeros(prim.nA, prim.nZ, prim.N_final)    # Initialize the labor_
↳policy function
    for j in 1:prim.N_final
        range_a = (j-1) * 2*prim.nA + 1 : j * 2*prim.nA |> collect
        val_fun[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end],
↳results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end])
        pol_fun_ind[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-1],
↳results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-1])
        pol_fun[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-2],
↳results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-2])
        consumption[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-3],
↳results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-3])
        l_fun[:, :, j] = hcat(results_raw[range_a[1:prim.nA], end-4],
↳results_raw[range_a[prim.nA+1:end], end-4])
    end
end

```



```

A_grid_fortran = results_raw[1:prim.nA,end-5]
res.val_fun = val_fun
res.pol_fun = pol_fun
res.pol_fun_ind = pol_fun_ind
res.l_fun = l_fun

return nothing
end

```

Fortran\_sove\_the\_whole\_thing (generic function with 1 method)

```

[54]: @time begin
      Fortran_sove_the_whole_thing();
end

```

```

Iteration:      0 ERR=   1.9040204465183033      K=   4.2856030215823608
L=  0.80821288785858592      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      1 ERR=   1.0099861783401369      K=   4.4371009242534347
L=  0.73361572785500595      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      2 ERR=   0.42798928423478255      K=   4.5012993066845919
L=  0.67234078960674060      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      3 ERR=   0.33920737965243147      K=   4.5304648847239344
L=  0.62145969074621010      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      4 ERR=   0.28289431902224044      K=   4.5308357670169617
L=  0.57902554963760022      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      5 ERR=   0.23569706986203343      K=   4.5174741018390527
L=  0.54367099477775127      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      6 ERR=   0.19692534421772662      K=   4.4948240412565621
L=  0.51413219784015840      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      7 ERR=   0.18453722564133113      K=   4.4671434618100729
L=  0.48943985373748833      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      8 ERR=   0.19222130238274460      K=   4.4383102710355740
L=  0.46877368147745607      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      9 ERR=   0.20022151062164184      K=   4.4082770492159806
L=  0.45144976616503224      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:     10 ERR=   0.18864153332136269      K=   4.3799808237153410
L=  0.43695496521219507      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:     11 ERR=   0.18507281545369825      K=   4.3522199058097657
L=  0.42479761836536156      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:     12 ERR=   0.15743349619959623      K=   4.3286048851333332
L=  0.41461844342526361      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:     13 ERR=   0.14849255370031145      K=   4.3063310056186248
L=  0.40607666746699228      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:     14 ERR=   0.12492295332510128      K=   4.2875925655982554
L=  0.39893407149173404      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:     15 ERR=   0.11476719629930709      K=   4.2703774888896220
L=  0.39294224267973626      LAMBDA=   0.85000002384185791
Iteration:     16 ERR=   0.10370603783454690      K=   4.2548215856869849

```

```

L= 0.38791750986416057      LAMBDA= 0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      17 ERR= 8.4965291911740515E-002 K= 4.2420767939259543
L= 0.38372762476537414      LAMBDA= 0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      18 ERR= 7.7219590550297035E-002 K= 4.2304938571844684
L= 0.38020776577883691      LAMBDA= 0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      19 ERR= 5.7853087478218157E-002 K= 4.2218158954420613
L= 0.37727664818335488      LAMBDA= 0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      20 ERR= 5.1686047433292970E-002 K= 4.2140629895593591
L= 0.37481734600466499      LAMBDA= 0.85000002384185791
Iteration:      21 ERR= 4.2919769740304758E-002 K= 4.2097710115620419
L= 0.37345499680719380      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      22 ERR= 3.8275399284274592E-002 K= 4.2059434707210581
L= 0.37224060968198430      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      23 ERR= 3.4616022613914055E-002 K= 4.2024818676343560
L= 0.37115775932448880      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      24 ERR= 2.8031696877328649E-002 K= 4.1996786972782951
L= 0.37020631277651050      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      25 ERR= 2.5190848753730677E-002 K= 4.1971596118023253
L= 0.36935816934804000      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      26 ERR= 2.2183401568691252E-002 K= 4.1949412711165630
L= 0.36860219259034466      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      27 ERR= 1.9412719170563086E-002 K= 4.1929999987366715
L= 0.36792770016824189      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      28 ERR= 1.7795482906533877E-002 K= 4.1912204500217403
L= 0.36732539295246452      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      29 ERR= 1.6398700802177757E-002 K= 4.1895805795505474
L= 0.36678758420362323      LAMBDA= 0.89999997615814209
Iteration:      30 ERR= 5.2032612827401792E-003 K= 4.1893204164243825
L= 0.36655609161406699      LAMBDA= 0.94999998807907104
Total elapsed time = 7.99200010 seconds
9.812714 seconds (3.18 M allocations: 141.008 MiB, 0.09% gc time)

```

1000×2×66 Array{Float64, 3}:

```

[:, :, 1] =
1.07313 0.125839
1.07263 0.0935282
1.07213 0.0930274
1.07163 0.0925267
1.07113 0.0920259
1.07063 0.0597153
1.03832 0.0592145
1.03782 0.0587138
1.03732 0.058213
1.03681 0.0577122

```

```

0.0      0.0
0.0      0.0
0.0      0.0

```

0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0

[:, :, 2] =

1.15486	0.134159
1.15436	0.101848
1.15386	0.101347
1.15336	0.100847
1.15286	0.100346
1.15236	0.0680352
1.15186	0.0675344
1.11955	0.0670337
1.11904	0.0665329
1.11854	0.0660322

0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0

[:, :, 3] =

1.23659	0.142479
1.23609	0.110168
1.23559	0.109667
1.23509	0.109167
1.23459	0.108666
1.23409	0.0763551
1.23359	0.0758544
1.23308	0.0753536
1.23258	0.0748528
1.23208	0.0743521

0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0

```
0.0      0.0
0.0      0.0
```

...

```
[:, :, 64] =
```

```
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
```

```
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
```

```
[:, :, 65] =
```

```
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
```

```
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
0.0  0.0
```

```
[:, :, 66] =
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

```
0.0 0.0
```

Clearly we only get significant speedup from using Fortran to run the whole model.